Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 Populations in Wastewater by Amplicon Sequencing and Using the Novel Program SAM Refiner

3 Devon A. Gregory¹, Chris G. Wieberg², Jeff Wenzel³, Chung-Ho Lin⁴ and Marc C. Johnson^{5,*}

Dept. of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology; University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65201, USA;
 gregoryde@missouri.edu

6 ² Director, Water Protection Program, Missouri Department of Natural Resources

³ Bureau Chief, Bureau of Environmental Epidemiology, Division of Community and Public Health, Missouri
 ⁸ Department of Health and Senior Services

9 ⁴ Center for Agroforestry, University of Missouri-Columbia, USA; School of Natural Resources, University of
 10 Missouri-Columbia, USA

⁵ Dept. of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology; University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65201, USA;
 marcjohnson@missouri.edu

13 * Correspondence: marcjohnson@missouri.edu

Abstract: Sequencing SARS-CoV-2 from wastewater has become a useful tool in monitoring the spread of variants. We use a novel computation workflow with SARS-CoV-2 amplicon sequencing in order to track wastewater populations of the virus. As part of this workflow, we developed a program for both variant reporting and removal of PCR generated chimeric sequences. With these methods, we are able to track viral population dynamics over time. We observe the emergence of the variants of concern B.1.1.7 and P.1, and their displacement of the D614G B.1 variant.

21 Keywords: Coronavirus; Wastewater; Metagenomics; Molecular Epidemiology

22

23 **1. Introduction**

SARS-CoV-2 became pandemic and caused a world-wide health crisis starting in 2020 [1]. Full 24 genome sequences of SARS-CoV-2 were rapidly made available within the first months of spread 25 [2, 3]. Partial and whole genome sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 has been an important tool in 26 monitoring transmission paths and the emergence of variant lineages. Most sequencing of SARS-27 CoV-2 has been done on clinical samples. However, early in the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, 28 wastewater began to be used to track community levels and spread of SARS-CoV-2 by RT-gPCR 29 methods [4, 5]. Investigators have also used high throughput sequencing on wastewater samples 30 to obtain full or partial SARS-CoV-2 genomic sequences which were used for metagenomic and 31 epidemiologic analysis [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. Sequences identified in wastewater samples 32 may reflect known lineages, as well as lineages not reported from clinical samples. Combinations 33 of mutations not observed in clinical samples may represent new infections not yet picked up by 34 clinical sampling or lineages that are under-represented in clinical samples. Approaches using 35 wastewater are particularly relevant with the emergence of variant lineages that may vary from 36 previous isolates in their fitness and/or disease. 37

The state of Missouri has been monitoring wastewater with RT-qPCR to track the prevalence and spread of SARS-CoV-2

(https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/f7f5492486114da6b5d6fdc07f81aacf). We sought to begin 41 using the same samples for high throughput sequencing to track the presence and spread of 42 known and previously unreported variant lineages. We were specifically interested in the spike 43 gene and used primers to target 3 regions for amplification, the N-terminal domain (NTD), receptor 44 binding domain (RBD) and the region of the S1 and S2 subunit split (S1S2). We chose these 45 regions due to the numerous variations matching evolving lineages found in them and their 46 significance in potential immune evasion [14]. While there are a number of high throughput 47 sequencing technologies and methods, the sequence output is relatively standard; the processing 48 and analysis of that sequence data is not. There are numerous programs and pipelines that can 49 be used to obtain information from sequences and remove errors generated from PCR, such as 50 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and chimeric sequences. While many of these are 51 quality approaches, we were unable to find a simple program or workflow with existing programs 52 that provided easily human readable output that detailed variant lineages with the information we 53 wanted and with sufficient removal of chimeric sequences. Specifically, we wished to include 54 deletion and insertion events as well as SNPs and multiple nucleotide polymorphisms (MNP)s in 55 our analysis and be able to view linked variances as single lineages. We also wished to be able to 56 view downstream amino acid changes and have removal of chimeric sequences generated from 57 PCR. 58

59

60 Here we detail the workflow (Fig1) we used to analyze high throughput sequencing data and the

program we developed to provide a human readable, information dense output for viewing
 lineages.



63

Figure 1. Workflow of Amplicon Sequencing Analysis. Computational processing of sequencing results prior to the use of SAM Refiner is seen in the black boxes. Paired end reads generated from an Illumina MiSeq were trimmed of low quality calls at the end of the reads. Paired end reads were then merged into single contiguous reads. Reads were then dereplicated to unique sequences with at least 100 counts while preserving the count information in the sequence IDs. Dereplicated sequences were then mapped to the sequence of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike ORF using

Bowtie2. SAM Refiner was then used to process the mapped reads to obtain information about the variant lineages
 observed, initially outputting 4 TSV files to report unique sequences, nt calls, indels and covariants. The unique
 sequences and covariants were further processed to remove chimeric PCR artifacts to produce covariant

72 deconvolution and chimera removed outputs.

73 2. Materials and Methods

74 2.1. Wastewater Collection

Twenty-four hour composite samples were collected at wastewater treatment facilities (WWTF)
 and were maintained at 4°C until they were delivered to the analysis lab, generally within 24 hours
 of collection. Samples reported in this study were collected at the NPSD Interim Saline Creek
 Regional WWTF in Fenton, MO.

79 2.2. RNA Extraction

Wastewater samples were centrifuged at 3,000xg for 10 minutes and then filtered through a 0.22
µM polyethersolfone membrane (Millipore). Approximately 37.5 mL of wastewater was mixed with
12.5 mL solution containing 50% (w/vol) polyethylene glycol 8,000 and 1.2 M NaCl, mixed, and
incubated at 4°C for at least 1 hr. Samples were then centrifuged at 12,000xg for 2h at 4°C.
Supernatant was decanted and RNA was extracted from the remaining pellet (usually not visible)
with the QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen) using the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was
extracted in a final volume of 60 µL.

87

88 2.3. Sequencing

The primary RT-PCR (25 µl) was performed with 5 microliters of RNA extracted from wastewater 89 samples with loci specific primers (0.5 µM each) shown in Table 1 using the Superscript IV One-90 Step RT-PCR System (Thermo Fisher). Primary RT-PCR amplification was performed as follows: 91 $25^{\circ}C(2:00) + 50^{\circ}C(20:00) + 95^{\circ}C(2:00) + [95^{\circ}C(0:15) + 55^{\circ}C(0:30) + 72^{\circ}C(1:00)] \times 25$ cycles. 92 Secondary PCR (25 µl) was performed using 5 ul of the primary PCR as template with gene 93 specific primers containing 5' adapter sequences (0.5 µM each), dNTPs (100 µM each) and Q5 94 DNA polymerase (NEB). Secondary PCR amplification was performed as follows: 95°C(2:00) + 95 [95°C(0:15) + 55°C(0:30) + 72°C(1:00)] x 20 cycles. A tertiary PCR (50 µl) was performed to add 96 adapter sequences required for Illumina cluster generation with forward and reverse primers (0.2 97 µM each), dNTPs (200 µM each), and Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (1U). PCR 98 amplification was performed as follows: $98^{\circ}C(3:00) + [98^{\circ}C(0:15) + 50^{\circ}C(0:30) + 72^{\circ}C(0:30)] \times 7$ 99 cycles +72°C(7:00). Amplified product (10 µl) from each PCR reaction is combined and 100 thoroughly mixed to make a single pool. Pooled amplicons were purified by addition of Axygen 101 AxyPrep MaqPCR Clean-up beads in a 1.0 ratio to purify final amplicons. The final amplicon 102 library pool was evaluated using the Agilent Fragment Analyzer automated electrophoresis 103 system, quantified using the Qubit HS dsDNA assay (Invitrogen), and diluted according to 104 Illumina's standard protocol. The Illumina MiSeg instrument was used to generate paired-end 105 106 300 base pair length reads. Adapter sequences were trimmed from output sequences using cutadapt [15]. The raw and trimmed reads for the samples used in this report are available at 107 https://github.com/degregory/SR manuscript/tree/master/Fenton Data. 108

TABLE 1		PCR Prime					
Region	PCR	Orienation	Primer Sequences				
RBD	Primary	forward	CTGCTTTACTAATGTCTATGCAGATTC				
	Primary	reverse	TCCTGATAAAGAACAGCAACCT				
	Secondary	forward	acactctttccctacacgacgctcttccgatctGTGATGAAGTCAGACAAATCGC				
	Secondary	reverse	gtgactggagttcagacgtgtgctcttccgatctATGTCAAGAATCTCAAGTGTCTG				
NTD	Primary	forward	GTGGTGTTTATTACCCTGACAAAG				
	Primary	reverse	GCTGTCCAACCTGAAGAAGA				
	Secondary	forward	acactetttecetacacgacgetettecgatetCATTCAACTCAGGACTTGTTCTT				
	Secondary	reverse	gtgactggagttcagacgtgtgctcttccgatctCCAATGGTTCTAAAGCCGAAA				
S1S2	Primary	forward	GCCGGTAGCACACCTTGTAA				
	Primary	reverse	TGTGCAAAAACTTCTTGGGTGT				
	Secondary	forward	cactctttccctacacgacgctcttccgatctCAGGCACAGGTGTTCTTACT				
	Secondary	reverse	gtgactggagttcagacgtgtgctcttccgatctGTCTTGGTCATAGACACTGGTAG				

110

111 Table 1. PCR primers used to amplify Spike regions for MiSeq sequencing. Upper case indicate SARS-CoV-2 112 sequence. Lower case indicates adapter sequence.

113 **3. Results**

3.1. Computational Pre-processing

Figure 1 illustrates the steps of our workflow. The two steps of our process after read trimming 115 used the VSEARCH tool [16]. First, the trimmed paired reads were merged using vsearch – 116 fastg merge with default parameters. Then merged reads were dereplicated using vsearch --117 derep fullength with the arguments --minsize 100 and --sizeout. These arguments limit the output 118 to unique sequences that occur at least 100 times and appends the sequence IDs with 'size=#', 119 where # is the number of times that sequence occurred in the reads. The cutoff of 100 counts 120 removes late stage PCR errors, leaving only sequences representing the original templates or 121 errors that occurred in early cycles of the PCR. This removal makes further analysis simpler and 122 faster. However, very low frequency original template sequences will also be removed by this cut 123 off, so this step could be skipped to preserve such rare sequences. The resulting unique 124 sequences were mapped to the sequence of SARS-CoV-2 (NCBI Reference Sequence: 125 NC_045512.2, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_045512) spike ORF using Bowtie2 [17] 126 with default parameters to generate standard SAM formatted files. Having SAM formatted files 127 allows the use of the program we developed for amplicon sequencing results. All files associated 128 with these steps for our analysis of the Fenton, MO sewershed in this manuscript can be accessed 129 at https://github.com/degregory/SR manuscript/tree/master/Fenton Data. 130

- 131 3.2. SAM Refiner: SAM Processing
- 132 Our program, SAM Refiner, is currently a command line based python script and is available at
- https://github.com/degregory/SAM_Refiner along with updated documentation. In order to run
 SAM Refiner, a python compiler or interpreter is needed
- 135 (https://docs.python.org/3/tutorial/interpreter.html). Though only tested in a Linux environment, it
- should function with other common OSes. Figure 2 shows the command line usage for SAM
- 137 Refiner. Standard SAM formatted files are the starting point for our program. These files are
- generated by many mapping programs, such as Bowtie2 [17] or BWA [18]. The default functions
- of SAM Refiner follow. Files with the extension .sam (case insensitive) in the working directory will
 be identified and processed. To process SAM files, SAM Refiner must be provided a FASTA
- 141 formatted file for a reference sequence using the command line argument '-r reference fasta',
- where the FASTA file contains the same sequence ID and sequence used to map the sequencing

reads in the SAM formatted file. If the IDs of the given reference and the reference of mapped sequences in the SAM file do not match, those sequences will be ignored. If the SAM formatted files were generated from dereplicated or collapsed sequences that still contain the unique read count, SAM Refiner can process the counts from certain formats. SAM Refiner will recognize the counts in sequence ids where the count is at the end of the id and denoted with a '=' or '-', i.e.

¹⁴⁸ 'Seq1:1;counts=20' will be recognized as a sequence with 20 occurrences.

149

150

Figure 2. Command Line Usage of SAM Refiner. The standard help output from SAM Refiner is shown. Syntax for the command line usage is seen, followed by details about potential arguments to modify program parameters.

For each SAM file, SAM Refiner initially outputs 4 tab separated values (TSV) files that can be 153 read by any standard spreadsheet software. For a SAM file with the name Sample.sam, the 154 outputs are named Sample unique seqs.tsv, Sample nt calls.tsv, Sample indels.tsv and 155 Sample covars.tsv. Example outputs of each are provided in Supplemental Files 1, 2, 3, and 4, 156 respectively (https://github.com/degregory/SR manuscript/tree/master/Supplementals). All reports 157 are based on the FASTA reference relative to the SAM formatted file, so any errors made by the 158 mapping or incongruence between the FASTA reference and the mapping reference will result in 159 propagated errors. The reports also include the coded amino acids and their position in the coded 160 peptide as if the reference is an in-frame coding sequence. If multiple nucleotides in a single 161 codon differ from the reference, they will be reported together as a MNP with the associated amino 162 acid change. Within the files, all of the sample specific outputs start with the name of the sample 163 taken from the SAM file name followed in parenthesis by the count of reads mapped. 164

165

The Sample_unique_seqs.tsv file (Sup. 1) lists the unique sequence reads mapped in the SAM file using a variance notation to list the variations from the reference along with occurrence count and abundance. For example, using the previously mentioned SARS-CoV-2 spike ORF as the reference sequence, a sequence read that matches the reference except for having a T at position

1501 instead of the reference A would be reported simply as '1501A(N501Y)'. The abundance
reported uses a decimal notation, so 0.2 represents 20%. Unique sequences that have an
abundance below 0.001 are not reported.

173

The Sample nt calls.tsv file (Sup. 2) has a line for each nt position covered in at least 0.1% of the 174 reads. Based on the reference sequence, each line first reports the nt position, the reference nt, 175 the amino acid position, and the reference amino acid residue. The line then reports the number 176 of calls for each base and for deletions at that position, followed by the most abundant (primary) 177 call and its counts and abundance. If that primary nt is different from the reference, the amino 178 acids encoded by the primary nt sequence and by the reference sequence with only that nt 179 changed are reported. Further, if the second (secondary) and third (tertiary) most abundance nts 180 are above .1% of the total read counts, those nts, their counts and abundances, and their 181 associated amino acid changes are also reported. 182

183

The Sample_indels.tsv (Sup. 3) file lists each insertion or deletion found in the mapping along with its occurrence count and abundance. Reported insertions have the format of 'positioninsertNT(s)', so an insertion between nt positions 54 and 55 of the sequence 'GCA' will be reported as '55-insertGCA'. Reported deletions have the format 'start Position-end positionDel', so a deletion of the nts at positions 61 through 64 would be reported as '61-64Del'. Amino acid changes are reported if the indel maintains the reading frame. If there are no indels in the reads, no indel report will be generated.

191

Finally, the Sample covars.tsv (Sup. 4) file lists all observed single variances and variance 192 combinations relative to the reference sequence. The number and abundance of sequence reads 193 containing each covariant (covar) are reported regardless of whether any of those reads have 194 other variations or not. As an example of this processing, the sequence '1212G(G404G) 195 1501T(N501Y) 1709A(A570D)' with 100 counts would have the covariants of '1212G(G404G)'. 196 '1501T(N501Y)', '1709A(A570D)', '1212G(G404G) 1501T(N501Y)', '1212G(G404G) 197 1709A(A570D)', '1501T(N501Y) 1709A(A570D)' and '1212G(G404G) 1501T(N501Y) 198 1709A(A570D)', and contribute 100 counts to each. Because unique sequences that fall below 199 the .1% reporting cutoff can still contribute to covariants, there may be variances in the reported 200 covariants that aren't seen in the unique sequence output. Any sequence with more than 40 201 variances from the reference are ignored. While all sequences with 40 or fewer variances are 202 analyzed, only combinations of 8 or less variances are reported. 203

204

Once the above outputs are generated from each SAM file found, SAM Refiner will collect information from each sample and report them in a single file for the covars and unique_seqs reports (Collected_Covariances.tsv and Collected_Unique_Seqs.tsv). These collections have a threshold of 1% occurrence for reporting.

Many options are available as command line arguments to change parameters of the SAM 210 processing of SAM Refiner (Fig. 2). There are no strictly required command line arguments, 211 though the -r argument is required for the SAM processing. Omitting the reference will cause SAM 212 Refiner to skip the SAM processing and only perform the collections and chimera removal (see 213 below), which require per-exisiting outputs. The other input option is the '-S' argument which 214 provides SAM Refiner with SAM files to process instead of allowing it to search the working 215 directory. The use of dereplicated/collapsed counts in the SAM files can be disabled with '--216 use counts 0'. There are also options for the outputs. All outputs can be separately suppressed 217 with the arguments '--seq 0', '--nt call 0', '--indel 0', '--covar 0' and '--collect 0'. The collections file 218 names can be prepended with a string specified by the argument '--collD'. To change the 219 reporting threshold for the sample and collected outputs, arguments '--min abundance1' and '--220 min abundance2' are used respectively. For '--min abundance1', despite its name, the value can 221 be used to either set a minimal abundance threshold or a minimal count threshold. Values of 1 or 222 greater will set a count threshold, while those less than 1 will set an abundance threshold. Only 223 an abundance threshold is available for '--min abundance2'. All amino acid information in the 224 reports can be suppressed with the argument '--AAreport 0'. This disabling is recommended if the 225 reference doesn't primarily provide an in frame coding sequence. Users can also have all nt 226 changes processed independently, even if they are in the same codon with --AAcodonasMNP 0'. 227 Using '--ntabund' will change the required mapped coverage threshold for reporting a position in 228 the nt calls output. Finally, '--max dist' and '--max covar' allow changes to the covar processing 229 and reporting. Sequences with more variations than the amount specified by '--max dist' are not 230 included in the covar analysis. The maximum number of variances reported in a combination can 231 be set with '--max covar'. As an example, if '--max covar 2' were used for Sup. 4, then '1216-232 1216Del 1501T(N501Y) 1709A(A570D)', '1212G(G404G) 1501T(N501Y) 1709A(A570D)' and 233 '1217-1217Del 1501T(N501Y) 1709A(A570D)' would not be reported. 234

235

Using the SAM files generated from the sequencing data of the Fenton sewershed, we ran SAM 236 Refiner with the SARS-CoV-2 (NCBI Reference Sequence: NC 045512.2) spike ORF sequence 237 as a reference, the same as was used with the Bowtie2 mapping. The resulting outputs can be 238 accessed at https://github.com/degregory/SR manuscript/tree/master/Fenton Data. These 239 outputs allow us to see the variant lineages present at different dates in this sewer shed. 240 However, as can be seen in Sup. 1, many of the sequences reported appear to be chimeric 241 sequences arising from template jumping. While these outputs can still be used for further 242 analysis, removing chimeric sequences makes such analysis easier. SAM Refiner also has 243 methods to remove such chimeric sequences. 244

245 3.3. SAM Refiner: Chimera Removal

PCR amplification can introduce sequence errors that obscure the original template sequences.
Of most concern are the introduction of false SNPs and chimeric reads. Most PCR introduced
SNPs can be removed from analysis by the use of an abundance threshold such as is the default
for SAM Refiner, or as was used in our pre-processing dereplication step. There are also
numerous programs that can be used to attempt to remove such errors. Chimeric sequences are
generally more difficult to remove. Many programs exist for this task; however, we were unable to
find any that provided satisfying results for our amplicon sequencing. We developed two

algorithms for SAM Refiner in order to remove chimeric errors arising from PCR template jumping
 from the SAM processing outputs. They are redundant in their function but use different methods,
 allowing for increased confidence in results by crosschecking between the two methods.

256

14	Variant Sequence 150A(E484K) 1709A(A570D)	Counts 1478	Abundance 0.006		
	Potential Chimera	Parent Pairs			
Left Parent : Abundan 1450A(E484K) : 0.07	ce Righ 1501T(N501	t parent : Abun Y) / 1709A(A57	Mult	plied Abundance 0.03402 (73%)	
1450A(E484K) : 0.07	1709A(A57	0D) : 0.097			0.00679 (14%)
1450A(E484K) : 0.07	1450A(E484	K) 1501T(N501)	() / 1709A(A570D) : 0.03	33	0.00231 (5%)
1450A(E484K) / 1501T(N501Y) 1709/	A(A570D) : 0.033 1709A(A57	0D) : 0.097		0.003201 (7%)	
1450A(E484K) / 1501T(N501Y) : 0.00	06 1709A(A57	1709A(A570D) : 0.097			0.000582 (1%)
				Total:	0.046903 (100%)
Query (ac	tual) Abundance Multiplie	d Parent (exp	ected) Abundance		
	0.006 <	.046903	× 1.2		
1450A(E4	84K) 1709A(A570D) flagged	as chimera, c	ounts redistributed		

257

Figure 3. First method of detection and removal of chimeras, Chimera Removed. Using the sequences shown in Sup. 5, the query of the least abundant sequence is shown. Potential parents whose recombination could result in the query sequence are found. The abundances of each potential pair are multiplied. The sum the multiplied abundances of the pairs (expected) is then compared to the abundance of the query sequence (actual) to determine if the query sequence is a chimera. If the actual abundance is greater or equal to 1.2 times the expected abundance, the sequence is considered non-chimeric.

The algorithms to remove chimeric sequences rely on the unique sequence and covariant files 264 generated by the SAM processing. The first algorithm, chimera removed (chim rm), goes through 265 the individual unique sequences, starting with the lowest abundance, and determines if the 266 sequences are chimeric. Figure 3 shows a simplified example of how the determination is made 267 on the lowest abundant sequence of an example unique sequence output (Sup. 5). The more 268 detailed and exact method is as follows. The sequence being considered as a potential chimera is 269 broken up into all possible dimeric halves. Each pair is then compared to all the other sequences 270 to detect potential parents. A sequence is flagged as a potential parent if its abundance is greater 271 than or equal to the abundance of the potential chimera multiplied by 1.8 (foldab) and there is at 272 least one other sequence that would be a matched parent to the complimentary dimeric half. 273 When a pair of dimeric halves have potential parents, the abundances of parent pairs are 274 multiplied. The products from each potential parent pairings are summed as an expected 275 abundance value and compared to the observed abundance of the potential chimera. If the 276 potential chimeras abundance is less than that of the expected value multiplied by 1.2 (alpha), that 277 sequence is flagged as a chimera and removed. The counts attributed to that flagged chimeric 278 sequence are then redistributed to the parents sequences based on the relative expected 279 contribution to recombination. Once this process has been done for all the sequences, it is 280 repeated until no more sequences are flagged as chimeric or 100 chimera removal cycles have 281 completed. The results of this algorithm that have a recalculated abundance of 0.001 or greater 282 283 are output in a new file (Sup. 6 Example a1.2f1.8rd1 chim rm.tsv). The added string represent values of the parameters used for the processing (alpha, foldab and redist, see below for more 284 information on the parameters). 285

Α	1450Ą(E484K) 1501T(N501Y) 1709Ą(A570D)							
	Sequence abundance				0.033 Observed			
	Singles abundance 0.115	×	0.6	26 ×	0.	621 =	0.037 EX	pected
						Ratio: (0.033 / 0.0	37 = 0.890
B	Unique Sequence			Abundan	ce	Singles	Ratio	Pass/Fail
14	1450A(E484K)					N/A	1	Pass
14	50A(E484K) 1501T(N501Y)			0.039		0.059	0.653	Fail
14	50A(E484K) 1501T(N501Y) 1709A	A(A57	'0D)	0.033		0.037	0.890	Fail
_14	50A(E484K) 1709A(A570D)			0.038		0.071	0.532	Fail
15	1501T(N501Y)					N/A	1	Pass
1501T(N501Y) 1709A(A570D)				0.519		0.322	1.610	Pass
17	1709A(A570D)					J N/A	1	Pass
Re	ference			0.202		N/A	1	Pass
С	Sequence	Cou	int					
	1501T(N501Y) 1709A(A570D)	136	755					
	1501T(N501Y)	1650	000					
	1709A(A570D)	163	714					
			,					
	1501T(N501Y) 1709A(A570D)	163	714					
	15011(N501Y) 165000 - 163714	1	286					
	1709A(A570D)		0					
	1100/((10102)		v					

287

Figure 4. Second chimera removal method in SAM Refiner, Covariant Deconvolution. A. Calculations of the singles / expected abundance and abundance ratio for one of the unique sequences from Sup 5 and the abundances from Sup 7. Lines connect the singles and their abundance to the same in B. B. Calculations for determining if a unique sequence passes the initial check. Sequences pass when they have an Abundance/Singles ratio of 1 or greater. C. Passed sequences are processed in order of greatest ratio to least. Counts of the sequence are set to the counts of the least abundant single variant, and that count is then removed from all single variants in that sequence.

The second algorithm, covariant deconvolution (covar deconv), is a two-step process. Figure 4 294 shows these processes using example outputs in Sup. 5 and 7. The first step determines if a 295 sequence is likely to be a true or chimeric sequence by obtaining the ratio of the frequency of a 296 given covariant sequence relative to an expected abundance of that covariant sequence assuming 297 random recombination of its individual polymorphisms. The expected abundance is obtained by 298 multiplying the abundances of each individual variance that is present in that covariant sequence. 299 For instance, in a sample where '1501T(N501Y)' has an abundance of 0.32 and '1709A(A570D)' 300 has an abundance of 0.35, the expected abundance of the covariant '1501T(N501Y) 301 1709A(A570D)' would be 0.112 [0.32 × 0.35]. If the ratio of the observed abundance to the 302 expected abundance is equal to or greater than 1 (beta), that covariant passes the check and is 303 sent to the second step. Any sequence that has an abundance of 0.3 or greater is automatically 304 passed. If such a sequence has an observed/expected ratio less than 1, it will be assigned a ratio 305 of 1. The second step processes the passed sequences in order of greatest observed/expected 306 ratio to least. If multiple sequences have the same ratio, they are processed in order of greatest to 307 least distance from the reference. Sequences that automatically pass the first step are processed 308 after the other sequences and in order of least abundant to greatest. Sequences are assigned a 309 new occurrence count based on their constituent individual variances. For the sequence being 310 processed, the count for the least abundant individual variance is assigned to the sequence and 311 constituent variances making up the sequence have their count reduced by the amount of the 312 least variance. This reduction means the individual variance that had the least counts is assigned 313 0 counts, so any sequence not yet processed in which that variance is present is functionally 314 removed. This process is repeated until all sequences have been reassessed or removed. The 315 final results with an abundance of 0.001 or greater are reported in a new file (Sup. 8 316 Example covar deconv.tsv). 317

318

As before, the results from individual samples are collected and reported for entries above 1% 319 occurrence. A number of command line arguments will also influence the chimera removal 320 algorithms. Both chimera removal algorithms run by default, but either or both can be disabled (--321 chim rm 0 and -covar deconv 0). The collections are again disabled with '--collect 0'. An 322 additional output of the covariants that passed the first step of the second algorithm can be 323 generated with '--pass out 1' (Sup. 9). The outputs are constrained as before by a minimum 324 abundance with command line arguments '--min abundance1' and '--min abundance2'. 325 Collection file names are also prepended with '--collD'. The only input parameter that can be 326 changed by command line argument is the abundance of sequences or covariants that will be 327 considered in the algorithms. By default, only entries from the inputs that have a 0.001 abundance 328 or greater are processed. This threshold can be changed with '--chim in abund'. 329

330

Four parameters can be altered for the first algorithm. The abundance ratio that is used as a 331 threshold for selecting potential parents of potential chimera can be set with '--foldab'. Larger 332 values will generally reduce the pool of sequences that will be considered as potential parents, 333 thus potentially reducing the total expected abundance obtained from parent pairs and number of 334 sequences flagged as chimeric. In the most simple theoretical model of PCR chimera generation, 335 two parents generate one chimera. The parents have at least twice the abundance of the chimera 336 as they would exist and have been amplified prior to the chimera. The reality of chimera 337 generation can be much more complex, as many sequences may generate identical chimeras 338 multiple times. If a sample has little chimera generation, a --foldab value close to 2, such as the 339 default of 1.8, should be sufficient to remove chimeras without also removing non-chimeric 340 sequences in error. However, the more chimera generation observed, the more the --foldab value 341 needs to be reduced to accurately remove all chimeric sequences, even to 0 to not exclude any 342 sequence from being considered a potential parent (though it will likely be vary rare for such a 343 value to be necessary). Lower values, however, will also increase the likelyhood of a sequence 344 being flagged as a chimera in error. Users may need to empirically determine the best value for 345 their samples. 346

347

The multiplier for the parental summed abundance for determining if a sequence is a chimera can be set with '--alpha'. Larger values will generally result in a greater number of sequences flagged as chimeric. As with --foldab, the optimal value for --alpha will depend on the extent of chimera generation in the samples being processed, with a value near 1 for minimal chimera generation (such as the default 1.2) and 2 or even higher for rampant chimera generation. Once again, the later would also increase the likelihood of sequences being flagged as chimeric in error.

354

Redistribution of the counts from the chimera to the parent sequences can be disabled with '-redist 0'. Redistribution is meant to give an estimate of the counts and abundances that would have been observed without chimera generation, which users may wish to forgo. The maximum number of chimera removal cycles can be change by '--max_cycles', ei '--max_cycles 2' will only allow two iterations of the chimera removal. Multiple removal cycles allows chimeras to be found

based on new counts and abundances resulting from previous cycles, increasing the likelihood
 chimeras are removed from a sample.

362

The second algorithm has two parameter that can be changed. The ratio threshold at which a covariant will be passed to the second step can be altered with '--beta'. The abundance at which a covariant will automatically be passed can be changed with '--autopass'.

366

The chimera removal methods of SAM Refiner were also used on the Fenton sewershed sequencing data. Due to the relatively high amount of chimeric sequences in our samples, we used the command line arguments '--foldab=0.6 –alpha=2.2'. The outputs generated for the Fenton sewershed from 2-2-21 to 4-13-21 can be accessed at

https://github.com/degregory/SR manuscript/tree/master/Fenton Data. The two different chimera

³⁷¹ removal methods showed good concordance, validating each as being a viable method. Duplicate

373 RT-PCR preparation and sequencing of the same wastewater sample also generally provided

similar results, though less consistently (Fig. 5. Compare A and B RBD amplicon preparations).

³⁷⁵ These differences were more pronounced with covariants with relatively low abundance, such as

is seen with 3-30 RBD samples, where one detects T478K and the other does not (Fig. 5). These

377 differences illustrate the stochastic nature of RT-PCR amplification.



379

Figure 5. Relative Abundance of Reference and Variant SARS-CoV-2 Sequences Observed in Fenton, MO
 sewershed from February to March. Results from sequencing of Spike amplicons of the NTD, RBD and S1S2 junction
 regions are shown. Lines of short dashes connect values obtained by the chimera removed method, lines of long
 dashes connect values obtained by the covariant deconvolution method. All amplicons show a population shift from
 the reference with D614G to B.1.1.7 sequences with the appearance of P.1 sequences at the last time point.
 Additionally, known common polymorphisms T478K and L452R were observed from the RBD amplicons. RT-PCR for
 the RBD amplicon was performed in duplicate for some samples.

We used the chimera removed and covariant deconvolution outputs to assign sequences to known variant lineages or the reference (Sup. 10, 11 & 12) based on variances present. Variances that only appeared in one sequencing run and did not appear frequently in GSIAD

(https://www.gisaid.org/) were considered likely PCR error and not taken into account for

³⁹¹ sequence assignment. Based on the assignments, we were able to observe the changes to virus

³⁹² populations in the sewershed over time (Fig. 5). We classified the sequences found from the NTD

amplicon as matching reference sequence, lineage B.1.1.7 with '203-208Del 429-431Del' or

lineage P.1 with '412T(D138Y) 570T(R190S)' (Sup 10). Sequences from the RBD amplicon

matched reference sequence, lineages B.1.1.7 with '1501T(N501Y) 1709A(A570D)' or P.1 with

³⁹⁶ '1250C(K417T) 1450A(E484K) 1501T(N501Y)', or had the single variations of T478K or L452R ³⁹⁷ (Sup 11). T478K and L452R each have lineage associations. However, no other variances are

(Sup 11). T478K and L452R each have lineage associations. However, no other variances are
 associated with these in the RBD amplicons, nor were any variances present in the other

amplicons that would indicate the presence of any associated lineages. While these SNPs could be the result of PCP error, it is more likely the associated lineages exist in the sewershed, but due

be the result of PCR error, it is more likely the associated lineages exist in the sewershed, but due

to stochastic effects the other associated variances in the other amplicons were not detected. 401 They could have also arisen in the reference background. As we can not assign them to known 402 variant lineages with any certainty, we assigned them to their own category. Sequences from the 403 S1S2 amplicon matched lineage B.1.1.7 with '1841G(D614G) 2042A(P681H) 2147T(T716I)', 404 lineage P.1 with '1841G(D614G) 1963T(H655Y) 2063T(A688V)' or only had the now ubiguitous 405 D614G variation (Sup 12). The 03-23 S1S2 sample had a sequence '1841G(D614G) 406 2037G(N679K) 2063T(A688V)'. While A688V is associated with P.1, it does not appear in that 407 context here. As that is the only sample where those covariant sequences were observed and the 408 variances are not frequently reported in GISAID (outside of P.1 for A688V), we assigned it to the 409 reference category. Looking at all samples over time and the three amplicon regions in concert, 410 we can conclude that the SARS-CoV-2 population of this sewershed changed from almost 411 exclusively having only the D614G variation to mainly the B.1.1.7 lineage, with the introduction of 412 P.1 early in April. This general method is now being used to track SARS-CoV-2 variants in many 413 Missouri sewersheds (https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/f7f5492486114da6b5d6fdc07f81aacf). 414

415 3.4. SAM Refiner: Limitations and Future Development

While the outputs of SAM Refiner can be very informative, the program has some limitations, some of which may be overcome in future development. Currently the greatest limitation is the need for users to be familiar with command line usage. We hope to develop a graphical user interface version of these programs to overcome this user hurdle in the future. We also intend to develop SAM Refiner to be available from widely used functional collections, such as BioConda (https://bioconda.github.io/) and Galaxy (https://usegalaxy.org/).

422

Though SAM Refiner can be used on sequencing not based on amplicons, its usefulness will be more limited, as the relative abundance of sequences and covariants will be calculated based on total reads and not positional coverage. Future development may include modes for whole genome sequencing or multiple amplicons, even the ability to use multiple sequences for a reference.

428

The accuracies of the chimera removal algorithms will vary greatly depending on the parameters 429 used and the sample they are being run on. Due to the stochastic nature of chimera generation 430 and amplification during PCR and the possible complexity of the original template sequences, 431 samples will sometimes be refractory to chimera removal algorithms. This problem is faced by all 432 programs designed for this purpose. The ability to modify parameters in the algorithms and having 433 two algorithms with different approaches to the chimera removal improves the accuracy the user 434 can achieve with this software. Some samples will, however, always fail to be processed 435 accurately by one or both methods. 436

437

438 Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at

https://github.com/degregory/SR_manuscript/tree/master/Supplementals, Sup. 1 Example of SAM
 Refiner's Output for Reporting Unique Sequences, Sup. 2 Example of SAM Refiner's Output for

Reporting Positional NT Calls, Sup. 3 Example of SAM Refiner's Output for Reporting Insertions
 and Deletions, Sup. 4 Example of SAM Refiner's Output for Reporting Covariance, Sup. 5 Sample

443 Unique Sequences Output With Chimeric Sequences, Sup. 6 Sample Output of Sequences of

- 444 SAM Refiner's Chimeras Removed, Sup. 7 Sample Covariance Output With Chimeric Sequences,
- Sup. 8 Sample Passed Sequences Output from the First Part of SAM Refiner's Covariant
- 446 Deconvolution Method, Sup. 9 Sample Output of Sequences by SAM Refiner's Covariant
- 447 Deconvolution Method, Sup. 10 Assignment of NTD Covariant Sequences to Variants and
- Lineages, Sup. 11 Assignment of RBD Covariant Sequences to Variants and Lineages, Sup. 12
- 449 Assignment of S1S2 Covariant Sequences to Variants and Lineages
- Author Contributions: Conceptualization, MJ.; methodology, MJ; software, DG; validation, DG
 and MJ.; formal analysis, DG and MJ; investigation, DG and MJ; resources, MJ, JW; data curation,
 DG, CW, CL and MJ; writing—original draft preparation, DG; writing—review and editing, DG, JW,
 CW and MJ; visualization, DG; supervision, MJ; project administration, CW, JW, and MJ; funding
 acquisition, JW and MJ. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the
 manuscript
- Funding: Funding for the project was administered by the Missouri Department of Health and
 Senior Services (DHSS). This project was supported by funding from the Centers for Disease
 control and the National Institutes of Health grant U01DA053893-01
- Data Availability Statement: Raw and processed data can be accessed at
 https://github.com/degregory/SR_manuscript
- Acknowledgments: We would like to acknowledge Christopher Bottoms for assistance in
 software development, and the University of Missouri DNA Core for assistance in developing deep
 sequencing protocols.
- 464 References
- 1. Ghebreyesus, Tedros Adhanom. (2020) Speech.
- https://www.who.int/director-general/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020
- Zhu N., Zhang D., Wang W., Li X., Yang B., et al. (2020). A novel coronavirus from patients
 with pneumonia in China, 2019. N. Engl. J. Med. 382 727–733
- Wu F., Zhao S., Yu B., Chen Y. M., Wang W., et al. (2020). A new coronavirus associated with
 human respiratory disease in China. Nature 579 265–269
- 472 4. Ahmed W, Angel N, Edson J, Bibby K, Bivins A, et al. (2020) First confirmed detection of
 473 SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewater in Australia: A proof of concept for the wastewater
 474 surveillance of COVID-19 in the community. Sci Total Environ. 2020 Aug 1;728:138764
- Medema G., Heijnen L., Elsinga G., Italiaander R., and Brouwer A. (2020) Presence of SARSCoronavirus-2 RNA in Sewage and Correlation with Reported COVID-19 Prevalence in the
 Early Stage of the Epidemic in The Netherlands. Environmental Science & Technology Letters
 2020 7 (7), 511-516
- 479 6. Nemudryi A, Nemudraia A, Wiegand T, Surya K, Buyukyoruk M, et al. (2020)Temporal
- 480 Detection and Phylogenetic Assessment of SARS-CoV-2 in Municipal Wastewater. Cell Rep
- 481 Med. 2020 Sep 22;1(6):100098

- 482 7. Martin J, Klapsa D, Wilton T, Zambon M, Bentley E et al. (2020) Tracking SARS-CoV-2 in
 483 Sewage: Evidence of Changes in Virus Variant Predominance during COVID-19 Pandemic.
 484 Viruses. 2020 Oct 9;12(10):1144.
- 485 8. UI-Rahman A, Shabbir MAB, Aziz MW, Yaqub S, Mehmood A, et al. (2020) A comparative
 486 phylogenomic analysis of SARS-CoV-2 strains reported from non-human mammalian species
 487 and environmental samples. Mol Biol Rep. 2020 Nov;47(11):9207-9217.
- 9. Crits-Christoph A, Kantor RS, Olm MR, Whitney ON, Al-Shayeb B, et al. (2021) Genome
 Sequencing of Sewage Detects Regionally Prevalent SARS-CoV-2 Variants. mBio. 2021 Jan
 19;12(1):e02703-20.
- Izquierdo-Lara R, Elsinga G, Heijnen L, Munnink BBO, Schapendonk CME, et al. (2021)
 Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 Circulation and Diversity through Community Wastewater
 Sequencing, the Netherlands and Belgium. Emerg Infect Dis. 2021 May;27(5):1405-1415
- 494 11. La Rosa G, Mancini P, Bonanno Ferraro G, Veneri C, et al. (2021) Rapid screening for SARS 495 CoV-2 variants of concern in clinical and environmental samples using nested RT-PCR assays
 496 targeting key mutations of the spike protein. Water Res. 2021 Jun 1;197:117104.
- 12. Smyth D, Trujillo M, Cheung K, Gao A, Hoxie I, et al. (2021) Detection of Mutations Associated
 with Variants of Concern Via High Throughput Sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 Isolated from NYC
 Wastewater. medRxiv [Preprint]. 2021
- Fontenele RS, Kraberger S, Hadfield J, Driver EM, Bowes D et al. (2021) High-throughput
 sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater provides insights into circulating variants. medRxiv
 [Preprint]. 2021 Jan 25:2021.01.22.21250320.
- 14. Weisblum Y, Schmidt F, Zhang F, DaSilva J, Poston D, et al. Escape from neutralizing
 antibodies by SARS-CoV-2 spike protein variants. Elife. 2020 Oct 28;9:e61312. doi:
 10.7554/eLife.61312.
- 15. Martin, Marcel. (2011) Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput
 sequencing reads. EMBnet.journal, [S.I.], v. 17, n. 1, p. pp. 10-12, may 2011.
- 16. Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, Quince C, Mahé F. (2016) VSEARCH: a versatile open source
 tool for metagenomics. PeerJ 4:e2584.
- 17. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature Methods. 2012
 Mar 4;9(4):357-9.
- 18. Li H. and Durbin R. (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
- 513 Transform. Bioinformatics, 25:1754-60.
- 514