A Cross-Sectional Survey of the Knowledge, Attitudes & Practices of Antimicrobial Users and
Providers in an Area of High-Density Livestock-Human Population in Western Kenya
Steven A Kemp <sup>1,2,3</sup> , Gina Pinchbeck <sup>1</sup> , Eric M Fèvre <sup>1,2</sup> , Nicola J Williams <sup>1</sup>
Affiliations
1. Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, UK
2. Division of Infection & Immunity, University College London, London, UK
3. Department of Medicine, Cambridge, UK
4. International Livestock Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya
Address for correspondence:
Steven. A Kemp
Cambridge Institute for Therapeutic Immunology and Infectious Diseases
Jeffrey Cheah Biomedical Centre
Puddicombe Way
Cambridge CB2 0AW, UK
SK2137@cam.ac.uk

20

21 Keywords: AMR, AMU, veterinary antimicrobials, KAP, antimicrobial stewardship

#### 22 Abstract

### 23 Background

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is one of the most important global health crises in recent times and is driven primarily by antimicrobial consumption. In East Africa, there is a paucity of data regarding the knowledge, attitudes and practices related to antimicrobial use (AMU). Here we investigate the ways in which antimicrobial users in the veterinary sector accessed veterinary antimicrobials, and common behaviours of veterinary antimicrobial users and prescribers associated with AMU and AMR.

30

#### 31 Methods

In total, 70 farmers, staff at 49 agricultural-veterinary antimicrobial shops (agrovet staff) and 28 veterinary animal healthcare workers or veterinary surgeons (veterinary professionals) were interviewed in Busia county, western Kenya in 2016 using a standard questionnaire as a framework for structured interviews. Data recorded included participant demographics, level of education, access to and sources of veterinary antimicrobials, prescribing patterns and knowledge of AMR and antimicrobial withdrawal periods.

38

#### 39 Results

The majority of antimicrobials were accessed through informal means, purchased from agroveterinary shops; more than half of staff did not hold nationally mandated qualifications to advise on or sell veterinary antimicrobials. Approximately 40% of veterinary antimicrobials were sold without a prescription and it was noted that both price and customer preference were important factors when selling antimicrobials in almost all agrovet shops. Knowledge of the dangers associated with AMR and AMU were mostly superficial. Treatment failure occurred often, and there was a lack of differentiation between AMR and simply treatment failure.

47

## 48 Conclusion

In this study area in East Africa with high-density human and livestock populations, AMU was primarily for maintenance of livestock health. These findings have highlighted several aspects surrounding inappropriate access to antimicrobials, and as such require attention from policy makers concerned with AMR in both livestock and human medicine sectors. Improving prescribing practices and ensuring a minimum level of general education and awareness of prescribers, as well as expanding the role of agrovet staff in antimicrobial stewardship programmes, may help begin to mitigate the maintenance and transmission of AMR, particularly amongst livestock.

56

#### 58 Introduction

59 Antimicrobials are essential for maintaining animal health in livestock production systems, but 60 inappropriate dispensing and dosing, poor quality of drugs, overuse and self-medication of 61 antimicrobials can select for and exacerbate the emergence, transmission, and persistence of antimicrobial resistance  $(AMR)^{1-3}$ . In East Africa, there is high demand for animal food products to 62 support the rapidly growing population, and this demand is largely fulfilled by the high proportion 63 (83%) of people engaging in crop and livestock farming<sup>4</sup>. In some parts of the region, such as in the 64 Lake Victoria crescent ecosystem, increased demand has prompted the shift from small holder 65 farming to greater commercialisation and intensification<sup>5</sup>, which often necessitates increased 66 antimicrobial use (AMU) for prophylaxis and treatment of animals in order to maintain animal 67 68 health<sup>6</sup>. Livestock may act as reservoir of AMR bacteria, with potential for widespread transmission 69 between humans and animals as a result of close contact between the two or via the food chain. The former is an issue when there are high densities of both humans and livestock<sup>4</sup>, as is the case in both 70 rural and urban Kenya, where this study was conducted<sup>7,8</sup>. 71

72

73 There are significant ramifications of AMR amongst livestock; nine of the 14 classes of antimicrobials considered to be 'critically important' for human health are used in both human and livestock 74 health. Three of these (3<sup>rd</sup>-5<sup>th</sup> generation cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones and polymyxins) are 75 considered to be highest-priority critically important antimicrobials (HPCIAs) for human health<sup>9</sup>. 76 77 AMU in livestock production is predicted to increase by up to 67% by 2030; as increased AMU may 78 result in significant negative impacts on animal welfare and food security, as well as reducing efficacy of antimicrobials which have crossover for human health<sup>10</sup>. However, it is important to note 79 80 that owing to the complex epidemiology of AMR, the quantifiable contribution that AMU in livestock 81 has on the emergence, transmission, and maintenance of AMR in humans is still debatable. Studies 82 have shown that similar strains of AMR bacteria are found in both food animals and humans<sup>11</sup>, as well as plasmid-mediated resistance in *E. coli* to polymixins (mcr-1), originating from food animals<sup>12</sup>. 83 84 Despite this, other argue that transfer of animal to human resistance genes is negligible and that reduction of AMU in food-producing animals may have a negative effect on food safety and human 85 health<sup>13</sup>. Regardless of the debate, such data to is mostly absent in sub-Saharan Africa. 86

87

In many sub-Saharan African countries, including Kenya, there is a paucity of data on the prevalence
of both AMR and AMU, as the combined realities of underfunded veterinary healthcare systems,
limited regulatory capacities and lack of systematic, national, or regional surveillance systems

91 undermine efforts to promote prudent AMU and control AMR<sup>14,15</sup>. Indeed, Kenya is part of a global
92 effort to improve surveillance capacity in line with its National AMR Action Plan.

93

Many existing studies examining antimicrobial treatment patterns typically rely on self-reported 94 95 data, showing that antimicrobials are almost always purchased without prescriptions at 'agrovets' 96 (shops which stock agricultural and veterinary antimicrobials as well as other agro-veterinary 97 products)<sup>16-19</sup>. Agrovets are often staffed with pharmaceutical technicians<sup>20</sup> who have obtained formal training in animal sciences. As such 'agrovet staff' may sell antimicrobials, but crucially 98 99 cannot prescribe them. To comply with local law, agrovet owners may be veterinarians and would 100 thereby be able to legally prescribe antimicrobials. Private veterinary professionals travel to farms at 101 the request of farmers where they provide advice, treat animals, or prescribe veterinary drugs. 102 Veterinary professionals would typically have professional qualifications specifically enabling them 103 to prescribe veterinary antimicrobials and are governed by the Veterinary Surgeons and Veterinary Paraprofessionals Act of the Government of Kenya<sup>21</sup>. Together, antimicrobial sellers and prescribers 104 105 are responsible for, and play a pivotal role in, highlighting issues that surround AMU and AMR, as well as being the front line of antimicrobial stewardship<sup>22</sup>. Relatively few studies<sup>23</sup> have examined 106 the knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) of antimicrobial users and prescribers, and such 107 108 studies are critically required in order to identify risky behaviours and target them for intervention.

109

In this study, we assessed the way in which antimicrobials were accessed and the general awareness
 and common behaviours relating to antimicrobial purchase and prescription amongst farmers,
 agrovet shop staff and veterinary professionals in a small holder livestock production system in
 western Kenya<sup>24</sup>.

- 114
- 115

#### 116 <u>Results</u>

### 117 Participant Demographics & Education

118 A total of 70 farmers, 49 staff at agrovet shops, 27 AHAs and 1 veterinary surgeon were recruited 119 (Table 1). As recognised professionals, the veterinary surgeon and AHAs were considered together in 120 our analysis and are referred to as 'veterinary professionals' throughout. The predominant age 121 bracket for all groups surveyed was 25-44. The majority of agrovet staff were either agrovet 122 assistants (79.6%) or shop owners (18.4%). Only 44.9% of agrovet staff had obtained college or 123 university education, compared to 89.2% of veterinary professionals. For farmers, the majority 124 (47.1%) had completed at least secondary school education. Significantly more veterinary 125 professionals had received specific training in livestock health and disease (P = 0.01) than agrovets. 126 Only 42.9% of agrovets and 82.1% of veterinary professionals had received specific training to 127 dispense veterinary antimicrobials. A large proportion of agrovets cited informal training (44.9%) as 128 their primary source of knowledge, compared to 92.9% of veterinary professionals who obtained a 129 professional qualification awarded by a college or university. However, 7.1% (n=2) of veterinary 130 professionals interviewed stated that they did not have university education, therefore could not be 131 called veterinary professionals.

132

#### 133 Access to antimicrobials and common sales patterns

All veterinary antimicrobials were purchased directly from agrovet shops, where both farmers and veterinary professionals can purchase antimicrobials from. Antimicrobials and vaccines were distributed to local agrovets shops by two larger wholesale agrovet shops (one within Busia county, one in neighbouring Bungoma county) who obtained antimicrobials directly from manufacturers and through their supply chains.

139

140 Farmers reported no restrictions (in amount or class) when purchasing antimicrobials from agrovet 141 shops, even without a valid prescription. More than half (57.1%) of veterinary professionals stated 142 that they provided a prescription for farmers to obtain antimicrobials, with the remainder treating 143 animals with their own stock and billing farmers separately for these. This agreed with responses 144 from agrovet staff who reported that they (60%) often dispensed antimicrobials against a 145 prescription. Direct observations when visiting such premises confirmed that agrovet shops did sell 146 antimicrobials with no prescription, as well as dispensing single syringes of formula antimicrobials or partial-treatments to farmers, even though this is a contravened practice in Kenyan Law<sup>25</sup>. 147

149 Participants were asked to indicate the most commonly sold or prescribed antimicrobials (agrovet 150 staff and veterinary professionals) or most commonly purchased (farmers), and a total of 26 151 different antimicrobials were reported by all groups. Oxytetracycline and penicillin-streptomycin 152 were the two most commonly sold or prescribed antimicrobials by agrovet staff and veterinary professionals (Table 2), followed by sulfonamides. The majority of farmers opted to purchase 153 154 oxytetracycline as their primary drug of choice (78.6%) from agrovet shops. There was no reported use or sale/prescription of  $3^{rd}$ + generation cephalosporins or fluoroguinolones. There was only a 155 156 single occasion whereby a farmer purchased polymyxins (colistin), but these drugs are available at 157 agrovets when requested.

158

There were large inconsistencies in the reported use of antimicrobials. Antimicrobials were predominantly reported as being used therapeutically (i.e. not for growth promotion or prophylaxis) by farmers (85.7%) and veterinary professionals (100%), and sold for therapeutic purposes by agrovets (98.0%). However, prophylactic use of antimicrobials was subsequently indicated by 37.1% of farmers and 28.6% of veterinary professionals and sold as such by 38.8% of agrovets in a later question in the questionnaire. Use of antimicrobials as growth promoters was reported by 37.1% farmers, but not sold as such by agrovet shops or prescribed by veterinary professionals.

166

167 The most common diseases that antimicrobials were cited as being purchased to treat were East 168 Coast fever (theileriosis), anaplasmosis, trypanosomiasis, diarrhoea, and general respiratory 169 diseases.

170

#### 171 Advice and considerations given at point of sale regarding AMU, AMR, and withdrawal periods

172 Most farmers reported first seeking the advice of a veterinary professional before purchasing 173 antimicrobials (78.6%). More than half of farmers (54.3%) never requested specific antimicrobials 174 without first discussing with either agrovet staff or veterinary professional. A small minority of 175 farmers (12.9%) purchased antimicrobials without obtaining any advice from an agrovet or a 176 prescription from a veterinary professional. Such farmers stated they did so "using [their] own 177 knowledge" or "already had a prescription from a veterinary officer from a previous consultation". A 178 small proportion of farmers also reported using antimicrobials previously prescribed or purchased, 179 "[having antimicrobials leftover] from previous use".

180

181 In agrovet shops the primary consideration when selling antimicrobials was customer preference 182 (65.3%). Veterinary professionals' primary consideration was antimicrobial effectiveness (57.9%) and

183 then cost (39.3%). Farmers were primarily concerned with antimicrobial cost (44.3%), followed by 184 effectiveness (40.0%). As cost was a common consideration, the sale price of various antimicrobials 185 was collected (Figure 2). The average price of oxytetracyclines were cheaper than 186 penicillin/streptomycin; this is consistent with the finding that oxytetracyclines were the most 187 commonly sold antimicrobial in agrovets shops. A small minority of farmers also considered 188 antimicrobial availability and the distance they needed to travel to purchase specific types of 189 antimicrobials as their primary point of consideration (5.7%). Specific agrovet shops were chosen by farmers for several reasons including the "close distance to [their] farms", ability to "get drugs on 190 191 credit" and for "wide selection" and "good stock availability".

192

193 The most commonly offered information regarding antimicrobials at point of sale or prescription 194 differed significantly between antimicrobial sellers and antimicrobial providers; 61.2% of agrovet 195 staff gave directions for use of antimicrobials, compared to only 25.0% of veterinary professionals, 196 where they were provided to the farmer to use themselves. Similarly, significantly more veterinary 197 professionals chose to give no information at all (50.0%) compared to 18.4% of agrovets (Figure 3). 198 The other two most common cited pieces of information provided to farmers were withdrawal 199 periods and dosage instructions, though in all cases, these were reported to be read from the 200 packaging.

201

### 202 Understanding of AMR

203 Participants rarely recognised the terms "antimicrobial resistance" or "antibiotic resistance". Once 204 given a definition, many suggested that they had heard of it, but did not recognise the specific 205 terminology. A large proportion of agrovets (69.4%), veterinary professionals (39.3%) and farmers 206 (29.0%) did not know the causes of AMR. Of those who had some knowledge of causes, the most 207 common response was underdosing (significantly more veterinary professionals than agrovets) and 208 prolonged use (Figure 4). Some farmers additionally reported "bacteria mutation" (2.9%), 209 "misdiagnosis by an agrovet/AHA" (15.9%) and using "counterfeit antimicrobials" (1.4%) as causes of 210 AMR. Participants who were unsure about the cause of AMR instead guessed: "when you treat an 211 animal and it doesn't respond", "when the animal is tired, the antibiotic will not work" and "cheap 212 drugs no longer work, but the more expensive ones do". Of those respondents who were familiar 213 with AMR, they suggest that there may be resistance to oxytetracyclines, penicillin-streptomycin and 214 sulfonamides were posited, though no formal resistance testing was routinely undertaken.

216 Knowledge of withdrawal periods was mostly superficial amongst farmers. Contrary to EU 217 regulations, withdrawal periods are usually specific to the route of administration e.g. antimicrobials 218 administered to cattle may have a nil milk withdrawal due to penetration into the udder, but would 219 have a meat withdrawal period – this is not often defined on antimicrobial packaging 220 (Supplementary Figure 1a-d). However, with respect to withdrawal periods or definitions, 12.9% 221 having "no understanding" (never heard of withdrawal period before), 34.3% had "minor 222 understanding" (had heard of it but quoted incorrect withdrawal periods for animal food products), 223 and 27.1% had "good understanding" (good knowledge and accurate recall of withdrawal periods of 224 each antimicrobial they regularly treated animals with). The remainder (18.6%) stated they 225 sometimes referred to antimicrobial packaging for withdrawal period times. The majority of farmers 226 stated that they did not sell or consume animals or animal products during withdrawal periods 227 (75.7%), though some reported that they purposefully chose to ignore withdrawal period 228 recommendations (17.1%). Commonly farmers fed antimicrobial residue-containing milk to their 229 dogs (14.3%) or allowed calves to suckle during treatment (44.3%). One farmer stated that they 230 regularly gave contaminated milk to their animals, despite understanding the danger of consuming 231 residues: "[1] give to the calves and the dog. [1] understand that resistance may develop in these 232 animals, but [I] choose to ignore it to avoid waste".

233

### 234 Management of Drug Failure

Only one instance of a highest-priority critically important antibiotics (HPCIA) was reportedly sold or purchased during the study - colisitin. No agrovet staff and only a veterinary professional (3.6%) had heard the term "HPCIA" before. The majority of veterinary professionals and agrovets were unaware of any specific guidelines for antimicrobial prescription or sale, which also extended to sale and use of HPCIAs. Some veterinary professionals cited guidelines from the Kenya Veterinary Board (21.4%) or instructions from the County Veterinary Officer (10.7%) regarding sales or use of antimicrobials. Agrovet staff cited pharmaceutical guidelines (6.1%) or Kenya Veterinary Board guidelines (14.3%).

242

243 In terms of defined AMR, there were no confirmed instances due to no diagnostics. However, few instances of clinical failure were reported by agrovet staff (by proxy of farmers returning to purchase 244 245 an alternative antimicrobial from them). Where clinical failure was reported, reported failures were 246 to oxytetracyclines (10.2%), penicillin-streptomycin (4.1%) and sulfonamides (8.1%). The majority of 247 agrovets indicated that they "[did] not know" or there was "no reported" resistance to 248 antimicrobials (61.2%). Some stated that there had been cases of suspected clinical failure attributed 249 to AMR, but they did not know to which antimicrobial (16.3%) and this was not verified in a 250 laboratory setting. Veterinary professionals suggested that some clinical failures may be attributed

to AMR, and that such failures occurred in oxytetracycline (41.4%) and penicillin-streptomycin (27.6%), but not to sulfonamides. Farmers suggested that they had encountered treatment failure in less than half of cases (41.3%). Of those who reported failure, oxytetracycline was the most common (20.6%), followed by penicillin-streptomycin (7.9%) and sulfonamides (1.6%). A small subgroup of farmers suggested that there had been failure, but were unsure to which antimicrobial (11.1%).

257

258 Where there was treatment failure, approximately half of veterinary professionals reportedly 259 collected a venous blood smear (53.6%) or sent blood for a bacterial culture (7.1%), or PCR (3.6%). 260 The remainder prescribed an alternative antimicrobial without conducting diagnostics. A guarter of 261 agrovet staff involved a more experienced agrovet staff member or veterinary professional, or the 262 owner of an agrovet shop (28.6%) where they received a report of treatment failure. More than a 263 quarter (26.5%) would suggest an alternative antimicrobial without gaining more information 264 regarding the animal and 22.4% had not encountered treatment failure before. The remainder of 265 agrovet staff would first try to obtain more information i.e. ask about more clinical signs, and then 266 recommend an alternative antimicrobial.

267

268 Many antimicrobials prescribers/sellers (64.3% of veterinary professionals and 71.4% of agrovet 269 shops) kept some form of records regarding antimicrobial sale or prescription or incidence of 270 treatment failure. There was good concordance between antimicrobials volunteered as regular 271 purchases or prescriptions and those records that we read. Half of farmers (50.0%) also had some 272 records of antimicrobials they administered to their animals though these were often nonspecific i.e. 273 did not often contain specific antimicrobial names or dosages. When guestioned, farmers were 274 often unsure which antimicrobials were used as a veterinary professional had provided and 275 administered the treatment, and not recorded it for them (corroborating the previous point that 276 veterinary professionals do not provide detailed information regarding antimicrobials to farmers).

### 278 Discussion

279 Our study has shown that all interviewed farmers and veterinary professionals in Busia county 280 accessed veterinary antimicrobials through agrovet shops and that there were, in practice, no 281 restrictions on class or quantity that could be purchased. The most commonly purchased veterinary 282 antimicrobials were tetracyclines, sulfonamides and penicillins. This study found no reported use of fluoroquinolones or 3<sup>rd</sup>+ generation cephalosporins, and only one reported use of colistin; given that 283 these antimicrobials are critically important for human health<sup>9,26</sup> this was a positive finding. 284 However, few veterinary professionals or agrovet staff recognised examples of HPCIAs despite being 285 286 presented with a list of those antimicrobials - this is likely due to lack of awareness and available 287 information. Interestingly, these drugs are widely available and found to be drugs of choice amongst 288 some farmers in more urbanised areas of Kenya<sup>7</sup>, despite their relatively higher cost.

289

290 Our study highlighted a number of poor antimicrobial-related sale practices in agrovet shops, 291 notably the dispensing of antimicrobials without a prescription and the inclusion of customer 292 preference as a primary consideration when selling antimicrobials. Approximately 40% of agrovet 293 staff stated that they dispensed antimicrobials without a valid prescription, though direct 294 observations made during the study suggested that all shops sold antimicrobials without a 295 prescription at least occasionally; this is consistent with similar studies conducted in Nairobi<sup>23</sup> and Tanzania<sup>27</sup>. Indeed, observations made during the study also suggest that there is a lack of formal 296 297 written prescriptions, and that most prescriptions are simply verbal instructions from a veterinary or 298 veterinary paraprofessional. However, this is potentially for convenience, where travel to an agrovet 299 shop or a veterinary professional cannot travel, or a farmer cannot afford to pay for an in-person 300 visit, to a farm. Furthermore, there were significant inconsistencies in the reported use of 301 antimicrobials. Despite not being prescribed or sold as such

302

303 In our study, cost of antimicrobials to farmers was a major consideration – we noted that 304 oxytetracyclines were on average cheaper than penicillin-streptomycin (relative to number of doses 305 per container), but more expensive than sulfonamides (Figure 2). To save on costs, farmers, who in this study represent a low income group<sup>28</sup> sometimes opted to bypass veterinary professionals when 306 307 treating their animals. Numerous farmers stated that they had reused prescriptions from a previous 308 encounter with a veterinary professional or agrovet staff, or they opted to use leftover 309 antimicrobials from previous treatment because they had previously worked. To prevent such 310 irrational drug use by farmers, 75% of veterinary professionals purposely did not provide any 311 direction for antimicrobial use to farmers so that full responsibility for treating animals remained

with them (**Figure 3**). Farmer administration of drugs would be difficult to control; controlling it would require interventions that limit access to antimicrobials<sup>29</sup> but also would require regulation of pricing structures for access to veterinary care, which might be challenging in a liberalised veterinary market<sup>30</sup>.

316

317 One of the major drivers of AMU is commercial gain. Livestock production is an important industry in 318 developing countries, driven by market demand and financial incentives. As such, farmers need to 319 keep their animals healthy and resort to this by using antimicrobials. In Kenya, antimicrobials are 320 viewed as high-margin products that are typically administered or sold by a recognised professional. 321 Agrovet staff are routinely approached by large pharmaceutical companies to train staff (Table 1) 322 regarding specific antimicrobials they are selling and encourage them to purchase stock for their 323 shops. As staff have made an investment, they would therefore preferentially sell these 324 antimicrobials, even in instances where a cheaper antimicrobial may be more appropriate. It is a 325 lucrative business, as is testament to the large number of agrovet shops and informal veterinary 326 antimicrobial sellers found within this KAP study. Separately, veterinary professionals are paid a 327 salary and would make additional money through extension services, such as selling antimicrobials 328 directly to a farmer, and then charging them for administering those antimicrobials (and taking 329 responsibility for treatment and follow-up care of those animals). Where farmers may be unable to 330 afford such services, they would resort to noting what the veterinary professional has done, and 331 attempt to replicate this later, by purchasing antimicrobials without a prescription.

332

333 Few studies have focussed on antimicrobial prescribers and sellers and their knowledge of AMR in 334 LMICs<sup>31</sup>. In this study there was mostly superficial knowledge of AMR and the dangers of AMU 335 amongst farmers, agrovet staff and some veterinary professionals (Figure 4). This may have been 336 due to specific terminology, as other studies have highlighted that there is minimal familiarity with terms such as AMR and antibiotic resistance<sup>32,33</sup>. After an accurate definition was provided, many 337 338 interview participants were able to correctly give examples of factors which they thought may 339 contribute to the emergence of AMR. Withdrawal periods were also generally not well understood 340 or abided by. A study conducted in neighbouring Tanzania found that depending on the region, people were variably likely to observe withdrawal periods<sup>34</sup>, highlighting different attitudes to AMR 341 342 amongst people engaged in different types of agriculture. If there is insufficient knowledge of the 343 contribution of antimicrobial residues, this may indicate why. Some farmers in our study suggested 344 that withdrawal periods only applied to milk or eggs and were unaware that residues may also occur 345 in meat. There is clear scope, in line with Kenya's AMR Action Plan, to improve knowledge of the

346 livestock keepers and address the poor understanding of rational drug use amongst farmers and 347 antimicrobial sellers; innovative approaches such as information design (which delivers relevant 348 information in an accessible way to the end user)<sup>35</sup> could play a role in communicating information 349 regarding AMR in appropriate and simple ways.

350

351 An important issue identified in this study was ambiguity surrounding AMR. As there is a routine lack 352 of diagnostics undertaken, cases of treatment failure may be attributed to use of incorrect 353 antimicrobials or incorrect dosing, rather than development of AMR. Veterinary professionals 354 typically relied on their clinical experience for disease identification, and agrovet staff relied on 355 farmer description of animal disease, or more experienced agrovet staff to advise on an appropriate 356 treatment for those reported signs. Several diagnostic laboratories exist in western Kenya, though 357 the cost involved in collecting samples, shipping them to a laboratory and the testing itself is a 358 barrier to most farmers, who cannot afford such services. As such, there is over-reliance on 359 empirical, broad-spectrum antimicrobials.

360

361 Because AMR surveillance has not been systematically conducted in Kenya, there is incomplete 362 knowledge of the prevalence of AMR and AMU. Whilst other studies have shown a high prevalence of AMR amongst humans and livestock in LMICs<sup>36,37</sup>, data is lacking in Kenya. Absence of 363 documentation regarding veterinary antimicrobial therapies, systematic reporting of treatment 364 365 failures and AMR surveillance, precludes gaining an accurate representation of issues surrounding 366 AMR in the current circumstances. Two main areas of address are required for reduction of AMU 367 and AMR: 1) Reform through legislative and policy changes – there is some progress with this as a 368 result of the Kenyan AMR action plan, which is being implemented with support through the Fleming 369 (https://www.flemingfund.org/countries/kenya/); and 2) Additional training through fund 370 professional and trade bodies who supply antimicrobials to agrovet shops. With these in mind, a 371 strong emphasis on continuous professional development and information provision may help 372 achieve these aims.

373

This study determined that community-owned agrovet shops are the primary level of veterinary care in an area of smallholder crop-livestock farming. Previous studies have shown positive correlations between AMU and the level of AMR in animal populations<sup>38, 39</sup>, and therefore, use of antimicrobials in this smallholder farming production may constitute a major contributing factor to the development of AMR. To remedy this, antimicrobial stewardship must be foremost for prescribers and sellers. As well as improving knowledge in the retail and farming sectors, efforts should be made

to standardise record-keeping into a computerised system managed in collaboration with local
 government, to allow for accurate tracking of prescribed and sold antimicrobials and minimise over and non-prudent use of antimicrobials.

383

### 384 Conclusions

is a cheaper alternative to comprehensive hygiene and biosafety measures<sup>40</sup>. The findings presented 385 in this study suggest that there was low awareness of both AMU and AMR amongst both 386 387 antimicrobial users and prescribers, which can have significant public health implications. Bacteria 388 isolated from livestock, humans, and their shared environments (including those which may cause 389 clinical disease in animals and have zoonotic potential), showed a high degree of AMR to numerous 390 classes of antimicrobial. This will eventually lead to a situations where there is significantly reduced 391 antimicrobial efficacy in both veterinary and human medicine. In particular, inappropriate 392 prescribing practices by agrovet shops highlights the need to encourage diverse forms of targeted 393 education focussed on prudent antimicrobial prescription and use, in combination with the 394 deployment of national level AMR surveillance in both the livestock and human populations utilising 395 an inter-sectoral collaborative approach to restrict the inappropriate use of antimicrobials.

396

### 397 Methods

### 398 <u>Study Area & Population</u>

A cross-sectional study investigating how farmers, agrovets and veterinary paraprofessionals access and prescribe antimicrobials was conducted in Busia county, western Kenya in 2017. The region was selected for study as it supports the highest human and animal population densities in eastern Africa with approximately 893,681 people<sup>41</sup>, 83% of which engage in livestock production<sup>4</sup>; the region is also broadly representative of other communities spanning the Victoria Lake Basin in Kenya, Uganda, and Tanzania.

405

406 Busia county is sub-divided into seven 'sub-counties'. Within each sub-county, ten farms were 407 randomly selected for interview as a convenience, but also to capture the spatial distribution and 408 diversity of farming practices across the county. Systematic interviewing of agrovet shops and 409 veterinary professionals (Figure 1) was conducted with assistance from the sub-county veterinary 410 officer from each sub-county. Interviews were sought with the most senior member of staff in all 411 locatable agrovet shops in the county, except when shops were closed on more than two occasions 412 during repeat visits. A comprehensive list of all known veterinary professionals was collected from 413 sub-county district officers and veterinary professionals were recruited by phone. Veterinary

professionals were who agreed to participate were interviewed separately from agrovet shops, at aconvenient location to each participant.

416

### 417 <u>Questionnaire Design & Piloting</u>

418 All recruited participants were interviewed orally using a questionnaire as a framework. 419 Questionnaires were designed in Adobe® Acrobat® Pro DC (Adobe, San Jose, United States) and 420 coded electronically using AppSheet® (AppSheet c/o Solvebot Inc., Seattle, Washington). Participants 421 were interviewed in English or Kiswahili by bilingual Kenyan research members. Answers were given 422 verbally by the participant and recorded verbatim as transcribed text into the coded questionnaire 423 on a mobile phone or tablet, by the interviewer. Questions were designed to determine the 424 participant's education level, access to veterinary antimicrobials, prescribing patterns of 425 antimicrobials, knowledge of antimicrobials, resistance, and withdrawal periods. Questions 426 specifically asked of farmers focused on access to veterinary antimicrobials, basic information on 427 animals kept (date of acquisition, vaccination status), common diseases and understanding of AMR 428 and withdrawal periods. Veterinary professional and agrovet staff questionnaires focused primarily 429 on sales/prescription patterns and responsible use of antimicrobials.

430

431 Questionnaires were piloted on field team staff. Minor refinements to question wording were made
432 to better reflect local conditions before conducting a further pilot on a sub-county veterinary officer,

- 433 before applied in the field. A summary of all questions is presented in (Supplementary Table 1).
- 434

### 435 <u>Data Analysis</u>

436 Transcribed answers for each question were imported into Microsoft Excel 2016 (Microsoft 437 Corporation, Redmond, USA). Descriptive analysis including frequencies and percentages for 438 categorical variables (gender, age, education level) were calculated using SPSS Statistics v25.0 (IBM 439 SPSS Statistics for Windows Version 25.0, (New York: IBM Corp). Open-ended questions were analysed on a question-per-question basis using a thematic approach<sup>42</sup>. Briefly, all responses were 440 441 imported into excel and read twice for familiarisation, data were coded, and then individual themes 442 were generated and checked independently. Finally, themes were reviewed once again, refined, and 443 then presented. Also using SPSS v25.0, the Fisher's exact test was used to compare specific training 444 undertaken by antimicrobial providers relating to antimicrobial prescription.

445

Maps were constructed using QGis v3.10 (QGIS Development Team, <u>http://qgis.osgeo.org/</u>). Figures
were constructed in Prism v9.1.1.

## 449 <u>Ethical Approval</u>

Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Research Ethics Committee of the International
Livestock Research Institute (ILRI-IREC2016-03), and the University of Liverpool Veterinary Science
Research Ethics Committee (VREC387). All participants gave informed, written consent before
participation in the study.

454

## 455 <u>Funding</u>

This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, the Department for International Development, the Economic & Social Research Council, the Medical Research Council, the Natural Environment Research Council and the Defence Science & Technology Laboratory, under the Zoonoses and Emerging Livestock Systems (ZELS) programme, grant reference BB/L019019/1. It also received support from the CGIAR Research Program on Agriculture for Nutrition and Health (A4NH), led by the International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI). We acknowledge the CGIAR Fund Donors (http://www.cgiar.org/funders).

463

### 464 Transparency Declaration

- 465 None to declare.
- 466

## 467 Conflict of Interest

## 468 None to declare.

469

## 470 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Jane Poole (International Livestock Research Institute, Nairobi) for her assistance with the study design, the field team at ILRI and Dr Salome Bukache (University of Nairobi) for helping to pilot the questionnaire. Thank you to individual Busia sub-county veterinary officers for accompanying us on field visits. We would also like to thank all questionnaire participants who gave their time for this study.

476

## 477 <u>References</u>

- Padget, M., Guillemot, D. & Delarocque-Astagneau, E. Measuring antibiotic consumption in
   low-income countries: a systematic review and integrative approach. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 48, 27-32, doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.04.024 (2016).
- 4812Bebell, L. M. & Muiru, A. N. J. G. h. Antibiotic use and emerging resistance: how can482resource-limited countries turn the tide?9, 347-358 (2014).

483 3 Jasovsky, D., Littmann, J., Zorzet, A. & Cars, O. Antimicrobial resistance-a threat to the 484 world's sustainable development. Ups J Med Sci 121, 159-164, 485 doi:10.1080/03009734.2016.1195900 (2016). 486 Statistics, K. N. B. o. (Inst. for Resource Development/Westinghouse, 2015). 4 487 5 Chen, M. et al. Diversification and intensification of agricultural adaptation from global to 488 local scales. PloS one 13, e0196392, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0196392 (2018). 489 6 Van Boeckel, T. P. et al. Reducing antimicrobial use in food animals. Science 357, 1350-1352, 490 doi:10.1126/science.aao1495 (2017). 491 7 Muloi, D. et al. Epidemiology of antimicrobial-resistant Escherichia coli carriage in sympatric 492 humans and livestock in a rapidly urbanizing city. Int J Antimicrob Agents 54, 531-537, 493 doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2019.08.014 (2019). 494 8 Falzon, L. C. et al. One Health in Action: Operational Aspects of an Integrated Surveillance 495 System for Zoonoses in Western Kenya. Front Vet Sci 6, 252, doi:10.3389/fvets.2019.00252 496 (2019). 497 9 WHO. WHO list of critically important antimicrobials for human medicine (WHO CIA list). 498 (World Health Organization, 2019). 499 10 Van Boeckel, T. P. et al. Global trends in antimicrobial resistance in animals in low- and 500 middle-income countries. Science 365, eaaw1944, doi:10.1126/science.aaw1944 (2019). 501 Pirolo, M. et al. Unidirectional animal-to-human transmission of methicillin-resistant 11 502 Staphylococcus aureus ST398 in pig farming; evidence from a surveillance study in southern 503 Italy. Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control 8, 1-10 (2019). 504 12 Bich, V. T. N. et al. An exploration of the gut and environmental resistome in a community in 505 northern Vietnam in relation to antibiotic use. Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control 506 **8**, 1-10 (2019). 507 13 Mather, A. E. et al. Distinguishable epidemics of multidrug-resistant Salmonella 508 Typhimurium DT104 in different hosts. Science (New York, N.Y.) 341, 1514-1517, 509 doi:10.1126/science.1240578 (2013). 510 14 Cox, J. A. et al. Antibiotic stewardship in low- and middle-income countries: the same but 511 different? Clinical microbiology and infection : the official publication of the European Society 512 of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 23, 812-818, doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2017.07.010 513 (2017). 514 15 Founou, L. L., Founou, R. C. & Essack, S. Y. Antibiotic Resistance in the Food Chain: A 515 microbiology Developing Country-Perspective. Frontiers in 7, 1881, 516 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.01881 (2016). 517 16 Johnson, S., Bugyei, K., Nortey, P. & Tasiame, W. Antimicrobial drug usage and poultry 518 production: case study in Ghana. Animal Production Science 59, 177-182, 519 doi:10.1071/An16832 (2019). 520 17 Higham, L. E., Ongeri, W., Asena, K. & Thrusfield, M. V. Characterising and comparing animal-521 health services in the Rift Valley, Kenya: an exploratory analysis (part I). Tropical animal 522 health and production **48**, 1621-1632, doi:10.1007/s11250-016-1136-0 (2016). 523 18 Mboya, E. A., Sanga, L. A. & Ngocho, J. S. Irrational use of antibiotics in the Moshi 524 Municipality Northern Tanzania: a cross sectional study. The Pan African medical journal 31, 525 165, doi:10.11604/pamj.2018.31.165.15991 (2018). 526 19 Afakye, K. et al. The Impacts of Animal Health Service Providers on Antimicrobial Use 527 Attitudes and Practices: An Examination of Poultry Layer Farmers in Ghana and Kenya. 528 Antibiotics-Basel 9, 554, doi:ARTN 554 529 10.3390/antibiotics9090554 (2020). 530 Aywak, D. *et al.* Pharmacy Practice in Kenya. *Can J Hosp Pharm* **70**, 456-462, 20 531 doi:10.4212/cjhp.v70i6.1713 (2017). 532 21 National Council for Law Reporting. (<u>www.kenyalaw.org</u>, 2011).

533	22	Sakeena, M. H. F., Bennett, A. A. & McLachlan, A. J. Enhancing pharmacists' role in
534		developing countries to overcome the challenge of antimicrobial resistance: a narrative
535		review. Antimicrobial resistance and infection control 7, 63, doi:10.1186/s13756-018-0351-z
536		(2018).
537	23	Muloi, D. et al. A cross-sectional survey of practices and knowledge among antibiotic
538		retailers in Nairobi, Kenya. <i>Journal of global health <b>9</b>,</i> doi:ARTN 020412

539 10.7189/jogh.09.020412 (2019).

- 54024Fevre, E. M. et al. An integrated study of human and animal infectious disease in the Lake541Victoria crescent small-holder crop-livestock production system, Kenya. BMC Infect Dis 17,542457, doi:10.1186/s12879-017-2559-6 (2017).
- 543 25 National Council for Law Reporting. (2012).
- 54426EMA. European Medicines Agency Antimicrobial resistance Recommendations on the use545ofantibioticsinanimals,546<<u>http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/regulation/general/general conten</u>547t000639.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058080a585#chapter2> (2015), Accessed.
- 54827Horumpende, P. G. *et al.* Prescription and non-prescription antibiotic dispensing practices in549part I and part II pharmacies in Moshi Municipality, Kilimanjaro Region in Tanzania: A550simulated clients approach. *PloS one* **13**, e0207465, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0207465551(2018).
- 55228de Glanville, W. A. *et al.* Household socio-economic position and individual infectious553disease risk in rural Kenya. Scientific reports **9**, 2972, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-39375-z554(2019).
- 55529Tangcharoensathien, V., Chanvatik, S. & Sommanustweechai, A. Complex determinants of556inappropriate use of antibiotics. Bull World Health Organ 96, 141-144,557doi:10.2471/BLT.17.199687 (2018).
- 55830Leonard, D. K. The Supply of Veterinary Services Kenyan Lessons. Agricultural559Administration and Extension 26, 219-236, doi:Doi 10.1016/0269-7475(87)90061-4 (1987).
- Wilkinson, A., Ebata, A. & MacGregor, H. Interventions to Reduce Antibiotic Prescribing in
  LMICs: A Scoping Review of Evidence from Human and Animal Health Systems. *Antibiotics*(*Basel, Switzerland*) 8, doi:10.3390/antibiotics8010002 (2018).
- 563 32 WHO. Multi-country public awareness survey. World Health Organization: Geneva,
  564 Switzerland, 59 (2015).
- 56533Caudell, M. A. *et al.* Towards a bottom-up understanding of antimicrobial use and resistance566on the farm: A knowledge, attitudes, and practices survey across livestock systems in five567African countries. *PloS one* **15**, e0220274, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0220274 (2020).
- 56834Caudell, M. A. *et al.* Antimicrobial Use and Veterinary Care among Agro-Pastoralists in569Northern Tanzania. *PloS one* **12**, e0170328, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170328 (2017).
- Walker, S. Effective antimicrobial resistance communication: the role of information design.
   *Palgrave Communications* 5, 24, doi:ARTN 24

572 10.1057/s41599-019-0231-z (2019).

- 573
   36
   Tadesse, B. T. *et al.* Antimicrobial resistance in Africa: a systematic review. *BMC Infect Dis* 

   574
   **17**, 616, doi:10.1186/s12879-017-2713-1 (2017).
- 575 37 Ampaire, L. *et al.* A review of antimicrobial resistance in East Africa. *African journal of* 576 *laboratory medicine* **5**, 432, doi:10.4102/ajlm.v5i1.432 (2016).
- 577 38 Chantziaras, I., Boyen, F., Callens, B. & Dewulf, J. Correlation between veterinary 578 antimicrobial use and antimicrobial resistance in food-producing animals: a report on seven 579 countries. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **69**, 827-834 (2014).
- 58039Roth, N. *et al.* The application of antibiotics in broiler production and the resulting antibiotic581resistance in Escherichia coli: A global overview. *Poultry science* **98**, 1791-1804 (2019).
- 58240Robinson, T. P. et al. Animal production and antimicrobial resistance in the clinic. Lancet583(London, England) **387**, e1-3, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00730-8 (2016).

584 41 Kenya National Bureaua of Statistics. 2019 Kenya Population & Housing Census (2019).

585 42 Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E. & Moules, N. J. Thematic Analysis: Striving to Meet 586 the Trustworthiness Criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods* **16**,

- 587 1609406917733847, doi:Artn 1609406917733847
- E00 ~
- 588 10.1177/1609406917733847 (2017).
- 589
- 590
- 591

# 592 **Table 1**. Participant Demographics and Education

		Agrovet Staff		Vet	Farmers		
Ch	aracteristics	Agrovet Staff (n=49)Veterinary professionals (n=28)Farmers (n=70)n%n%n2551.02796.44868.62449.013.62231.4816.322.93571.42071.44057.1510.2621.41724.312.027.11115.712.01450.012.01450.012.0143.612.0310.73979.613.612.0310.712.0310.712.0310.712.0310.712.012.012.012.013.613.613.613.613.613.613.61					
		n	%	n	%	n	%
Condor	Male	25	51.0	27	96.4	48	68.6
Gender	Female	24	49.0	1	3.6	22	31.4
	18-24	8	16.3	-	-	2	2.9
Ago Group	25-44	35	71.4	20	71.4	40	57.1
Age Group	45-64	5	10.2	6	21.4	17	24.3
	65+	1	2.0	Veterinary professionals (n=28)       Far (n)         n       %       n         27       96.4       48         1       3.6       22         20       71.4       40         6       21.4       17         2       7.1       11         14       50.0       -         1       3.6       -         1       3.6       -         1       3.6       -         1       3.6       -         1       3.6       -         1       3.6       -         3       10.7       -         5       17.6       -         1       3.6       -         2       7       96.4       -         1       3.6       -       -         1       3.6       -       -         27       96.4       -       -         27       96.4       -       -         27       96.4       -       -         27       96.4       -       -         23       82.1       7       -         24       3	15.7		
	Animal Healthcare Worker	1	2.0	14	50.0	-	-
	Artificial Insemination Technician	-	-	1	3.6	-	-
	Sub-country Veterinary Officer	-	-	3	10.7	-	-
	Agrovet Assistant	39	79.6	1	3.6	-	-
Job Position	Laboratory Staff / Vet Technician	1	2.0	3	10.7	-	-
	Livestock Officer	-	-	5	17.6	-	-
	Veterinarian	-	-	1	1 3.6		-
	Manager	1	2.0	-	-	-	-
	Owner	9	18.4	-	-	-	-
Longth of time	<1 Year	14	28.6	1	3.6	-	-
cengui or unie	1-2 Years	4	8.2	-	-	-	-
at job	>3 Years	31	63.3	27	96.4	-	-
	No Formal Education	-	-	-	-	4	5.7
	Primary Education	-	-	-	-	24	34.3
Highest	Secondary Education	27	55.1	3	10.7	33	47.1
Education Level	College (certificate/diploma)	20	40.8	23	82.1	7	10
	University	2	4.1	2	7.1	2	2.9
	Professional Qualification	8	16.3	26	92.9	-	-
Nature of	Pharmaceutical company	15	30.6	-	-	-	-
Training	None/Informal Training	22	44.9	2	7.1	-	-
-	Cannot Remember	3	6.1	-	-	-	-

- 595 Table 2. List of the most commonly used/purchased/prescribed antimicrobials according to farmers,
- 596 agrovets and veterinary professionals, to treat livestock. Up to 5 'most common' antimicrobials were
- 597 volunteered; therefore, each antimicrobial was counted once each time it featured in the
- 598 respondents' answer.

Antimicrobial	Veterinary professionals (n=28)		Agrovets (n=49)		Farmers (n=70)					
	n	%	n	%	n	%				
Oxytetracycline	26	92.9	46	93.9	55	78.6				
Penicillin-streptomycin	27	96.4	39	79.6	33	47.1				
Sulfachloropyrazine	9	32.1	27	55.1	-	-				
Sulfadimidine	9	32.1	13	26.5	2	2.9				
Trimethoprim & Sulfadiazine	9	32.1	8	16.3	4	5.7				
Tylosin & Doxycycline	-	-	18	36.7	2	2.9				
Sulfamethoxazole	3	10.7	8	16.3	-	-				
Gentamicin	6	21.4	-	-	1	1.4				
Tylosin	4	14.3	-	-	-	-				
Tetracycline	1	3.6	3	6.1	-	-				
Fosfomycin & Tylosin	-	-	4	8.2	-	-				
Sulfamethoxazole & Trimethoprim	-	-	4	8.2	-	-				
Erythromycin	2	7.1	-	-	1	1.4				
Gentamicin & Doxycycline	-	-	3	6.1	-	-				
Neomycin	-	-	3	6.1	-	-				
Cefalexin	1	3.6	-	-	1	1.4				
Metronidazole	1	3.6	-	-	1	1.4				
Ampicillin	1	3.6	-	-	-	-				
Streptomycin	1	3.6	-	-	-	-				
Amoxicillin	-	-	1	2.0	-	-				
Dexamet has one **	-	-	1	2.0	-	-				
Erythromycin & Oxytetracycline	-	-	1	2.0	-	-				
Colistin*	-	-	-	-	1	1.4				
599 *Highest Priority Critically Import	ant Antim	icrobials **	not an a	ntimicrobi	al but des	scribed by tl				
600	respondent as one.									

601



**Figure 1.** Map of Busia county, western Kenya, indicating the locations of all interviewed farmers, agrovet staff (within their shops) and animal healthcare assistants.



**Figure 2.** Average sale cost of antimicrobials from 49 agrovet shops across Busia county. Error bars represent standard deviation where more than one agrovet shop reported pricing data.



**Figure 3.** Information given to farmers regarding AMU, AMR and withdrawal periods at point-of-sale (agrovet shop) or when receiving a prescription (veterinary professional). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.



**Figure 4.** Most common responses given by participants indicating what they thought were the main causes of antimicrobial resistance. Error bars are 95% CI.