

Investigating the intention to receive the COVID-19 vaccination in Macao: implications for vaccination strategies

1

2 Carolina Oi Lam UNG¹ †, PhD

3 Yuanjia HU¹, PhD

4 Hao HU¹, PhD

5 Ying BIAN*, PhD

6

7 ¹ State Key Laboratory of Quality Research in Chinese Medicine, Institute of Chinese Medical
8 Science, University of Macau, Taipa, Macao SAR, China

9 * **Correspondence:**

10 Ying BIAN

11 Email: BianYing@um.edu.mo

12 Address: N22 Research Building, University of Macau, Avenida da Universidade, Taipa, Macao SAR,
13 China

14 Tel: +853 8822 8537

15

16

17 word count:2980

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 **Keywords: COVID-19, vaccination, intention, strategy, social responsibility**

25

26 **Key Points**

27 **Question:** What is the intention to receive COVID-19 vaccination in Macao and the influencing
28 factors?

29

30 **Findings:** A cross-sectional study involving 552 respondents found that the intention rate of COVID-
31 19 vaccination was 62.3% in Macao. Positive predictors of intention included being able to make
32 arrangement to receive the vaccine, a sense of social responsibility, and an offer of time off from
33 work after vaccination. Concerns over vaccine safety and relying on online resources for vaccine
34 information were negative predictors.

35

36 **Meaning:** Intention to receive COVID-19 vaccination is multifactorial requiring multi-component
37 strategies to promote vaccine uptake.

38

39

40 **Abstract**

41 **Importance:** Understanding the intention of receiving COVID-19 vaccines is important for
42 informing effective vaccination strategies especially for areas with low incidence.

43
44 **Objective:** This study aimed to investigate the intention to receive COVID-19 vaccination, identify
45 the key influencing factors, and determine the most important intention predictors using a
46 theoretically principled model.

47
48 **Design, setting, and participants:** This was a cross-sectional online survey study hosted by Survey
49 Monkey and implemented for 10 days from May 14 2021. People who aged 18 years or above and
50 had been residing in Macao for 12 months prior to the study were recruited through social media.

51
52 **Main outcomes and measures:** Intention, the constructs of protection motivation theory (perceived
53 severity, perceived susceptibility, maladaptive response reward, self-efficacy, response-efficacy, and
54 response cost), constructs of health belief model (cues to action), social attitude, social norm, past
55 experience and information seeking behavior, in addition to demographic variables.

56
57 **Results:** Of the 552 respondents, 79.5% aged between 25 and 54 years old, 59.4% were female, and
58 88% had a bachelor degree or above. Overall, 62.3% of the respondents indicated their intention
59 while 19.2% were hesitant and 18.5% did not have any intention. While 67.0% believed COVID-19
60 infection was life-threatening, only 19.0% thought they were at risk. Control variables such as age,
61 gender, education level, and having travel plans were significantly correlated with intention.
62 Significant associations were found between intention and all the measures ($p < 0.05$). The most
63 important positive predictors of intention were “*being able to make arrangement to receive the*
64 *vaccine*” ($\beta = 0.333$, $P < 0.001$), “*a sense of social responsibility*” ($\beta = 0.326$, $P < 0.001$), and “*time*
65 *off from work after vaccination*” ($\beta = 0.169$, $P < 0.001$), whereas “*concerns over vaccine safety*” ($\beta = -$
66 0.124 , $P < 0.001$) and “*relying on online resources for vaccine information*” ($\beta = -0.065$, $P < 0.05$)
67 were negative predictors. Perceived severity was not a predictor of intention.

68
69 **Conclusion and relevance:** Multi-component strategies that address various factors affecting
70 intention are needed to formulate effective interventions. Health literacy, vaccination convenience,
71 social responsibility, reasonable incentives and well-informed risk and benefit analysis are
72 recommended consideration for future vaccination campaigns.

73 **Introduction**

74 The impact of COVID-19 pandemic on human health, economy and societal activities is
75 unprecedented. Health systems continue to endure massive challenges in preventing and managing
76 the infection with COVID-19 and its variants, and be stretched to deliver effective public health
77 measures.¹

78 One of the critical public health interventions to mitigate COVID-19 pandemic at the population
79 level is for individuals to get vaccinated with COVID-19 vaccines. Since the release of the genetic
80 sequence of SARS-CoV-2 in January 2020, the R&D activity to develop a vaccine against the disease
81 has been intense across the globe.² As of June 1 2021, at least 102 vaccines were in clinical
82 development.³ Evidence about the safety and efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines in preventing
83 symptomatic and asymptomatic COVID-19 infections, related hospitalizations, severe disease, death,
84 and protection against variant strains is accumulating.^{4,6} Drug regulatory authorities and the World
85 Health Organization have successively listed a number of new COVID-19 vaccine candidates for
86 emergency use, and many governments rushed to distribute the vaccines to their people.⁷⁻¹⁰

87
88 The speedy development, approval and distribution of COVID-19 vaccines, however, do not
89 necessarily translate into full-range vaccine uptake. As of June 3 2021, only 41.2% of the total
90 population in the US were fully vaccinated¹¹; in China, 723.5 million doses were administered on the
91 same day¹² but to vaccinate 40% of population by end-July was a speculation¹³. Vaccination rates
92 also varied largely among other developed countries (40.1% in the UK, 20.1% in Germany, 17.6% in
93 France, 6.4% in Canada, 3.14% in Japan).¹⁴ Besides availability, accessibility and affordability,
94 vaccine hesitancy affecting an individual's decision-making process about getting vaccinated is a
95 critical challenge to national vaccination programs.^{15,16} Vaccine hesitancy refers to "*delay in*
96 *acceptance or refusal of vaccination despite availability of vaccination services*", and a high rate of
97 hesitancy or a low rate of intention would undermine the level of demand for a vaccine.¹⁵
98 Considering the far-reaching goal of 60-75% vaccination rate to achieve herd immunity^{17,18}, it is
99 paramount to have a good understanding of the vaccination intention in order to encourage vaccine
100 uptake.

101

102 The decision about taking a COVID-19 vaccine is multifactorial and context-specific varying across
103 people, time, place and vaccines.¹⁵ There is an ongoing need to investigate the factors contributing to
104 vaccine hesitancy or affecting the intention to get vaccinated against COVID-19. This is particularly

105 important for areas whereby intention may be hampered by low incidence of COVID-19 cases.
106 Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the intention to get vaccinated against COVID-19
107 and the associations between such intentions and theoretically principled and sociodemographic
108 factors in Macao.

109

110 **Methods**

111 An online, cross-sectional survey method was applied in this study. The project has been approved
112 by the Panel on Research Ethics of the University of Macau in May 2021 (SSHRE21-APP018-
113 ICMS). As indicated in the Participant Information Statement, it was assumed that, by completing
114 and submitting the survey online, participants agreed to take part in the research study. This study
115 followed the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) reporting guideline.

116

117 Macau is a city with a population of around 690,000 over 32.9km² ranked one of the most densely
118 populated places in the world. As of June 6 2021, there were only 51 imported cases in the city all of
119 whom fully recovered, and no signs of community transmissions.¹⁹ The percentage of population
120 fully vaccinated was around 10%. The target population in this study was people aged 18 years or
121 above who had resided in Macao in the past 12 months. A minimum sample size of 384 respondents
122 would provide a target 5% margin of error for population percentage estimates with a level of 95%
123 confidence.

124

125 The online questionnaire, hosted by Survey Monkey, was open on from May 14 2021 and closed on
126 May 23 2021 when no new responses were received for 24 hours. Invitations to participate in the
127 study were distributed through social media like Facebook and WeChat, and news media social
128 platform. Given the popularity of the social media among the Macao population and the need to
129 maintain social distancing, social media and online communication platform was chosen. Simplified-
130 snowball sampling technique was also used to recruit more people from respondents' contact. The
131 survey took less than 8 min to complete on average.

132

133 **Measures**

134 The design of the questionnaire was informed by (1) the current literature on intention of COVID-19
135 vaccination²⁰⁻²², (2) the health belief model²³ and the protection motivation theory²⁴, and (3) a
136 clinician involved in the local COVID-19 vaccination campaign. The schematic diagram of the

137 theoretically principled constructs applied to the intention to receive COVID-19 vaccination in this
138 study is provided in Figure 1.

139

140 (Figure 1. Schematic diagram of theoretically-principled constructs applied to the intention to receive
141 COVID-19 vaccination)

142 The questionnaire mainly comprised of 2 sections: Section A collect respondents' demographic
143 information and Section B asked respondents to rate their level of agreement using a 5-point Likert
144 scale (1=strongly disagree, 2=disagree, 3=not sure, 4=agree, and 5=strongly agree) their intention
145 and 28 items representing 11 constructs: perceived severity, perceived susceptibility, maladaptive
146 response rewards, self-efficacy, response-efficacy, response costs, social attitude, social norms, past
147 experiences, information seeking behavior, and cues for action. At the conclusion of the study,
148 participants were invited to provide additional feedback via a free-text response box.

149

150 The questionnaire was pilot-tested twice (2 doctors, 2 pharmacists and 2 researchers in Round 1, and
151 10 individuals in Round 2) following the concepts described by Leavy²⁵ to assess content validity,
152 content consistency, and comprehension, defective questions and the time needed to complete.

153

154 **Statistical analysis**

155 The data was analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 24 software
156 for Windows. In addition to descriptive statistics (frequencies, means, and standard deviations),
157 Pearson chi-square test was used to compare the differences in COVID-19 vaccination intentions
158 among subgroups, Spearman's rho was used to test the correlation of intention with the variables, and
159 multiple linear regression analysis to identify predictors of intention. Whenever the P-value is found
160 to be smaller than 0.05, the association would be considered statistically significant at a confidence
161 level of 95%.

162

163 **Results**

164 **Respondents' characteristics and the differences in the intention among subgroups**

165 A total of 552 valid responses were received. As shown in Table 1, 79.5% aged between 25 and 54
166 years old, 59.4% were female, 74.8% were local residents, 88% had a bachelor degree or above and
167 64.5% planned to travel in and out of Macao within the next 6 months. In general, the respondents

168 were broadly representative of the population in Macao in terms of age and types of residency, but
169 the proportion of female and people having higher levels of education were higher than intended.

170

171 **Intention to receive COVID-19 vaccination**

172 Overall, 62.3% of the respondents indicated their intention to receive COVID-19 vaccination, while
173 19.2% were hesitant and 18.5% did not want to get vaccinated at all. The intention rate within each of
174 the subgroup is shown in Figure 2. According to the Pearson Chi-square test results (Table 1),
175 statistically significant differences in the intention were observed among the subgroups of age,
176 gender, residency types, education level, parental status and having plans to travel in and out of
177 Macao.

178

179 (Figure 2. Intention of getting COVID-19 vaccination among subgroups (%))

180

181 **Respondents' perception about the measurements**

182 Descriptive statistics for items assessing factors related to intention are reported in Table 2. The
183 results of Spearman's rho suggested that almost all of the items were significantly associated with
184 respondents' level of intention to receive COVID-19 vaccination.

185

186 While respondents rated severity highly (mean=3.82±1.47), the perceived susceptibility was much
187 lower (mean=2.49±1.24). Regarding maladaptive responses, respondents rated the money they had
188 to spend on COVID-19 vaccination the least concern (mean=1.72±1.10). The rating for self-efficacy
189 was high especially about their ability to register for COVID-19 vaccination (mean=4.41±1.02) and
190 make appropriate arrangements (mean=4.14±1.22). Their ratings on the response-efficacy was
191 relatively low regarding the effect of reducing symptoms (mean=3.68±1.22). More than one-third of
192 the respondents believed that it would be likely for them to experience unbearable side effects after
193 COVID-19 vaccination. In terms of social attitudes, the respondents recognized the effectiveness of
194 COVID-19 vaccination on the community (mean=4.09±1.06) more than that on their family
195 (mean=3.88±1.14). The respondents thought highly of getting COVID-19 vaccination as a social
196 responsibility (mean=3.99±1.17). In terms of the source of information about COVID-19, they relied
197 mostly on the government (mean=3.68±1.27) and least on family and friends (mean=2.73±1.27).
198 Regarding cues for action, the respondents rated loosening of travel restrictions as a result of

199 COVID-19 vaccination the highest (mean=3.91±1.30) and financial incentive the lowest
200 (mean=3.07±1.52).

201

202 **Results of multiple linear regressions**

203 All factors found to be significantly associated with intention in Table 1 and 2 were analyzed as the
204 independent variables, and the intention as the dependent variable. As shown in Table 3, the model
205 is able to explain 57.9 % of the variance in intention to receive COVID-19 vaccination. In addition to
206 age, 8 items from 7 constructs were shown to be predictors of intention, including 5 positive
207 predictors and 3 negative predictors.

208

209 Positive predictors were: (1) “*I am able to make arrangement to get COVID-19 vaccination*” in self-
210 efficacy ($\beta = 0.333$, $P < 0.001$); (2) “*I consider getting COVID-19 vaccination a social responsibility*”
211 in social norm ($\beta = 0.326$, $P < 0.001$); (3) “*I might have a stronger intention to take COVID-19*
212 *vaccination if there is a rewarding system such as time off from work*” in cues to action ($\beta = 0.169$, P
213 < 0.001); (4) “*Most people I know would expect me to get COVID-19 vaccination*” ($\beta = 0.114$, $P =$
214 0.000) in social norm; and (5) “*The risk for me to catch COVID-19 is high*” in perceived
215 susceptibility ($\beta = 0.076$, $P < 0.05$).

216

217 Negative predictors were: (1) “*It is likely that I will have serious side-effects that I cannot bear from*
218 *COVID-19 vaccination*” in response cost ($\beta = -0.124$, $P < 0.001$); (2) “*If I do not get a COVID-19*
219 *vaccination, I will not have to worry about the safety of the vaccine*” in maladaptive response reward
220 ($\beta = -0.082$, $P < 0.05$); and (3) “*I rely on online sources (such as internet, social media) for accurate*
221 *information about COVID-19 vaccination*” in information seeking behavior ($\beta = -0.065$, $P < 0.05$).

222

223 **Discussion**

224 This is one of the few studies that investigated the intention rate of COVID-19 vaccination in areas
225 with low incidence rate. The intention rate in Macao was 62.3%, and age, gender, type of residency,
226 education, parental status, and having travel plans exhibited significant correlation with intention.
227 Importantly, it was also found that the ability to make arrangement to receive the vaccine, a sense of
228 social responsibility, an offer of time-off from work after vaccination, peer influence, and perceived
229 susceptibility were predictors of increased intention, while concerns about vaccine safety and seeking
230 vaccine information from online sources were predictors of decreased intention. Together with age,
231 these predictors could explain 57.9% of the variance in vaccination intention.

232

233 The intention rate of COVID-19 vaccination in Macao (62.3%) was higher than that reported in Hong
234 Kong (4.2% to 44.2%)^{26,27}, but lower than that reported in China (88.6%-91.9%)^{28,29} and other
235 countries (such as 94.3% in Malaysia³⁰, 75.8% in Australia³¹ and 67.9% in Singapore³²). These
236 intention rates indicated that hesitancy and refusal about COVID-19 vaccines is a common challenge
237 impeding the vaccine uptake across the populations.³³ This is especially concerning for Macao
238 considering that the low vaccination rate (around 10%) and the slow uptake since vaccination was
239 launched in February 2021, exposing the vulnerability of the city against the spread of the virus..

240

241 *1. Improve health literacy to counteract the negative effect of low education background*

242 Consistent with previous findings^{34,35}, respondents with lower education level indicated lower
243 vaccination intention. On this note, the association between lower education level and poorer health
244 was repeatedly reported³⁶ and the mechanism behind the association could be explained by the
245 concept of health literacy, presenting an opportunity for intervention.³⁷ Future vaccine
246 communication strategies should consider the level of health literacy in subpopulations when
247 considering the content and means of the promotion activities.³² Decreasing the complexity in
248 communication and information processing, using infographic along with detailed information,
249 streamlining the COVID-19 vaccination process, and involving healthcare professionals in improving
250 public health literacy may help to mitigate the impact associated with low education level.^{38,39}

251

252 *2. Mitigate the false sense of “safety zone”*

253 The correlation between not having travel plans and low vaccination intention was less reported in
254 previous studies. Due to effective border control and low incidence rate in Macao, non-travelers
255 generally felt “remote” from COVID-19 pandemic and thus perceived a low level of susceptibility
256 despite high perceived severity affecting their intention to receive vaccination. This is worrying
257 because for a completely naive population, a pathogen can easily spread among susceptible hosts in a
258 rampant manner when there is sufficient exposure of susceptible hosts to infected individuals.⁴⁰
259 Moreover, it would up to 2 weeks after administration of the second shot to reach the expected
260 efficacy. Educating the public that the risks of infection would increase with the pace back to
261 “normality” and the time lag between vaccine administration and optimal protection is crucial to help
262 them decide about taking preventative actions in a timely manner.

263

264 *3. Promote the social responsibility in COVID-19 vaccination and make positive action visible*

265 Our model showed that the sense of social responsibility and peer influence could largely explain the
266 variance in intention. According to the 2012 Global Vaccine Action Plan approved by the WHO,
267 “*individuals and communities understand the value of vaccines and demand immunization as both*
268 *their right and responsibility*”.⁴¹ The recognition of individual’s social responsibility should be
269 strongly promoted within the community to leverage the power of social norm.⁴² Empowering
270 vaccine recipients to share their personal stories and reasons for vaccination, and making positive
271 decisions visible by, for instance, providing vaccination badges or ribbons have been
272 recommended.^{42,43} Promoting and displaying the development momentum of social norms has been
273 shown to be a powerful tool to shift public mentality towards health behavior change.^{42,43}

274

275 4. *Empower the public by optimizing their self-efficacy*

276 The respondent’s ability to make arrangement to receive COVID-19 vaccine being a strong predictor
277 reaffirmed previous findings about self-efficacy.^{44,45} To better leverage self-efficacy, the convenience
278 of getting the vaccine could be enhanced. At present, mass vaccination sites have been employed to
279 allow high throughput, and tailored vaccine-administration software systems enabled user-
280 friendliness in making prior booking and onsite enrolment.⁴⁶ Group-based, out-reach vaccination
281 sites (e.g. corporate and universities) to reach people in their communities were also initiated. To
282 bring vaccination services to the neighborhood, mobile vaccination trucks may be employed in future
283 campaigns.⁴⁷ Importantly, the “customer journey” should also be reviewed and streamlined
284 consistently to promote vaccine recipient’s pleasant experiences. Increasing vaccination capacity to
285 cater for increased vaccine demand, onsite registration and appointment rescheduling, as well as
286 reminding system for the second dose and follow-up for no-shows should also be considered.⁴⁸

287

288 5. *Prioritize vaccination incentives (vaccination certificates and time off work, and money?)*

289 Most people agreed that they would be more willing to receive COVID-19 vaccination should there
290 be incentives, and preferred easing travel restrictions with vaccination and time off work over
291 monetary incentives. Incentivizing individuals with vaccination certificates is crucial for social good,
292 and the implications for the “recovery” of economic and socially activities are profound. Regarding
293 time-off from work after vaccination, people might experience such side effects as fever, tiredness
294 and muscle pain which might last for about 1-2 days.⁴⁹ As such, as the US-CDC recommended, it is
295 important for employers to take strategies that appropriately help their employees to manage side
296 effects after vaccination. In contrast, the evidence about monetary incentives in changing health
297 behavior is mixed.^{50,51} Moreover, it is difficult to determine the amount of money sufficient enough

298 to drive people's decision about vaccination at a population level, and yet such action would be
299 highly resource consuming of which effectiveness remained questionable.⁵²

300

301 6. *Educate the public about scientific-based risk and benefit analysis about COVID-19 vaccines*

302 Concerns about vaccine safety and seeking COVID-19 vaccine information from internet were found
303 to be negative predictors of COVID-19 vaccination as reported previously.^{53,54} Misinformation
304 regarding the vaccine safety and lack of advanced vaccination knowledge can easily contribute to
305 anxiety, leading to overestimating possible side effects.^{55,56} On this note, there is a need for
306 transparency and to answer concerns about the speedy development and safety of COVID-19
307 vaccines.⁵⁷ Proactive surveillance of misinformation should be reinforced. To share clear and
308 accurate messages to otherwise unreachable population subgroups, the government may partner with
309 trusted messengers (e.g. respected community-based groups and non-governmental organizations,
310 opinion leaders).³² Less than half of the respondents considered healthcare professionals their major
311 source of vaccine information, which indicated under-utilization of healthcare resources. Rather,
312 healthcare professionals may be empowered to strengthen their capacity in having
313 empathetic vaccine conversations, addressing myths, and providing vaccine information tailored to
314 their patients.⁵⁷

315

316 **LIMITATIONS**

317 This study had a number of limitations. Firstly, using an online platform to invite participants and
318 operating the survey online might have induced sampling. People with lower information technology
319 literacy might also be under-represented in this study. By the nature of cross-sectional study, no
320 causal inferences could be made between the key factors and the intention. There is also the
321 timeliness to the study findings as people's intention to receive COVID-19 vaccination is bound to
322 fluctuate with the spread of the virus, new evidence about vaccine safety and efficacy, emergence of
323 new variants, etc. Lastly, the vaccination intention may be affected by factors not explored in this
324 study. Follow-up studies to identify influencing factors more comprehensively, and stratified studies
325 to determine the differences in the impact of such factors on various subgroups would be necessary
326 for precision vaccination strategy design.

327

328 **CONCLUSION**

329 This study reaffirmed that low intention to receive COVID-19 vaccination is a common challenge in
330 the combat of the pandemic. Multi-component strategies that address various factors affecting

331 intention are needed to formulate effective interventions. Health literacy, vaccination convenience,
332 social responsibility, reasonable incentives and well-informed risk and benefit analysis are
333 recommended consideration for future vaccination campaigns.

334

335 Author Contributions:

336 COLU had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data
337 and the accuracy of the data analysis. Concept and design: COLU and BY. Acquisition, analysis, or
338 interpretation of data: All authors. Drafting of the manuscript: COLU. Critical revision of the
339 manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors. Statistical analysis: BY. Obtained funding:
340 COLU, YH.

341

342 Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

343

344 Funding/Support: The Research Fund of University of Macau (MYRG2019-00038-ICMS)

345

346 Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study;
347 collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of
348 the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364
365
366
367

Table 1: Respondents' demographic information (n=552)

Demographic information	Frequency	%	Intention to receive COVID-19 vaccination						X ²	P	
			Negative n=102 18.5%		Not decided n=106 19.2%		Positive n=344 62.3%				
			n	%	n	%	n	%			
Age (years old)	18-24	35	6.3%	9	25.7%	3	8.6%	23	65.7%	21.97	<0.05
	25-34	139	25.2%	34	24.5%	25	18.0%	80	57.6%		
	35-44	157	28.4%	30	19.1%	29	18.5%	98	62.4%		
	45-54	143	25.9%	23	16.1%	38	26.6%	82	57.3%		
	55-64	55	10.0%	5	9.1%	6	10.9%	44	80.0%		
	65+	23	4.2%	1	4.3%	5	21.7%	17	73.9%		
Gender	Male	224	40.6%	35	15.6%	34	15.2%	155	69.2%	7.70	<0.05
	Female	328	59.4%	67	20.4%	72	22.0%	189	57.6%		
Type of residency	Macao residents	413	74.8%	84	20.3%	88	21.3%	241	58.4%	25.88	<0.001
	Non-residents (worker)	75	13.6%	12	16.0%	13	17.3%	50	66.7%		
	Non-residents (student)	48	8.7%	2	4.2%	2	4.2%	44	91.7%		
	Non-residents (other)	9	1.6%	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	5	55.6%		
	None of the above	7	1.3%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%		
Marital status	Married	336	60.9%	55	16.4%	70	20.8%	211	62.8%	7.32	0.293
	Cohabitant	25	4.5%	7	28.0%	5	20.0%	13	52.0%		
	Single	171	31.0%	37	21.6%	25	14.6%	109	63.7%		
	Widowed or divorced	20	3.6%	3	15.0%	6	30.0%	11	55.0%		

Highest level of education completed	Secondary education or below	65	11.8 %	11	16.9%	22	33.8%	32	49.2%	27.67	<0.001
	Bachelor degree	267	48.4 %	60	22.5%	58	21.7%	149	55.8%		
	Master degree or above	220	39.9 %	31	14.1%	26	11.8%	163	74.1%		
Benign parent	No	220	39.9 %	53	24.1%	36	16.4%	131	59.5%	8.22	<0.05
	Yes	332	60.1 %	49	14.8%	70	21.1%	213	64.2%		
Living status	Living alone	61	11.1 %	7	11.5%	8	13.1%	46	75.4%	5.03	0.081
	Living with other people	491	88.9 %	95	19.3%	98	20.0%	298	60.7%		
Estimated number of entries and exits to Macau within the next 6 months	0	196	35.5 %	45	23.0%	52	26.5%	99	50.5%	24.91	<0.05
	At least once every 3 months	199	36.1 %	33	16.6%	34	17.1%	132	66.3%		
	At least once a month	112	20.3 %	17	15.2%	18	16.1%	77	68.8%		
	At least once a week	37	6.7%	7	18.9%	2	5.4%	28	75.7%		
	At least once a day	8	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%		

368

369

Table 2. Measurement of variables and other factors

		Mean	Std. Deviation	Frequency										Association with Intention to get COVID-19 vaccination	
				Strongly disagree		Disagree		Not sure		Agree		Strongly agree		Spearman's rho	P
				n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Dependent variables															
Intention	<i>I intend to have COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	3.83	1.41	63	11%	39	7%	106	19%	62	11%	282	51%		
PMT variables and other factors															
Severity	<i>COVID-19 can be a life-threatening illness.</i>	3.82	1.47	78	14%	39	7%	68	12%	82	15%	285	52%	0.120	<0.05
Susceptability	<i>The risk for me to catch COVID-19 is high.</i>	2.49	1.24	146	26%	146	26%	158	29%	48	9%	54	10%	0.148	<0.001
Maladaptive response rewards (Cronbach's alpha 0.701)	<i>If I do not get a COVID-19 vaccination, I will not have to worry about the safety of the vaccine.</i>	2.63	1.35	154	28%	102	18%	168	30%	50	9%	78	14%	-0.215	<0.001
	<i>If I do not get a COVID-19 vaccination, I will not have to spend time getting vaccinated.</i>	2.04	1.17	252	46%	114	21%	131	24%	24	4%	31	6%	-0.173	<0.001
	<i>If I do not get a COVID-19 vaccination, I will not have to spend money getting vaccinated.</i>	1.72	1.1	337	61%	96	17%	78	14%	16	3%	25	5%	-0.610	0.154

Self-efficacy (Cronbach's alpha = 0.865)	<i>I am confident about my ability to make an informed decision about COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	4.12	1.08	19	3%	25	5%	99	18%	133	24%	276	50%	0.468	<0.001
	<i>I have the necessary information to decide whether to vaccinate against COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	4.01	1.14	26	5%	32	6%	105	19%	135	24%	254	46%	0.464	<0.001
	<i>I know how to register to get COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	4.41	1.02	23	4%	11	2%	47	9%	109	20%	362	66%	0.442	<0.001
	<i>I am able to make arrangement to get COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	4.14	1.22	36	7%	31	6%	65	12%	107	19%	313	57%	0.613	<0.001
Response efficacy (Cronbach's alpha = 0.921)	<i>Having a COVID-19 vaccination would help reduce the symptoms if ever I contracted the disease.</i>	3.68	1.22	47	9%	42	8%	116	21%	180	33%	167	30%	0.357	<0.001
	<i>Having a COVID-19 vaccination would help reduce the severity of symptoms if ever I contracted the disease.</i>	3.76	1.17	38	7%	38	7%	118	21%	185	34%	173	31%	0.358	<0.001
	<i>Having a COVID-19 vaccination would help reduce the risk of death if ever I contracted the disease.</i>	3.72	1.2	43	8%	42	8%	114	21%	182	33%	171	31%	0.371	<0.001
Response cost	<i>It is likely that I will have serious side-effects that I cannot bear from COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	3.07	1.22	71	13%	97	18%	187	34%	116	21%	81	15%	-0.235	<0.001
Social attitudes (Cronbach's alpha =	<i>Getting COVID-19 vaccination helps to reduce the risk of my family contracting COVID-19.</i>	3.88	1.14	27	5%	35	6%	128	23%	152	28%	210	38%	0.511	<0.001

0.870)	<i>Getting COVID-19 vaccination helps to prevent the diffusion of COVID-19 in the community.</i>	4.09	1.06	20	4%	26	5%	90	16%	166	30%	250	45%	0.510	<0.001
Social norm (Cronbach's alpha = 0.725)	<i>I consider getting COVID-19 vaccination a social responsibility.</i>	3.99	1.17	28	5%	31	6%	119	22%	114	21%	260	47%	0.655	<0.001
	<i>People I know have already received COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	4.16	1.08	18	3%	30	5%	86	16%	127	23%	291	53%	0.319	<0.001
	<i>Most people I know would expect me to get COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	3.47	1.28	53	10%	65	12%	164	30%	110	20%	160	29%	0.508	<0.001
Past experience	<i>I have bad experiences with other types of vaccines before.</i>	1.71	1.15	361	65%	72	13%	65	12%	28	5%	26	5%	-0.150	<0.001
Information seeking behaviour (Cronbach's alpha = 0.673)	<i>I have actively sought information about COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	3.48	1.26	50	9%	65	12%	158	29%	128	23%	151	27%	0.379	<0.001
	<i>I rely on the government (such as the Health Bureau) for accurate information about COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	3.68	1.27	50	9%	43	8%	132	24%	135	24%	192	35%	0.279	<0.001
	<i>I rely on healthcare professionals (such as doctors, pharmacists, nurses) for accurate information about COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	3.19	1.37	88	16%	81	15%	150	27%	104	19%	129	23%	0.287	<0.001
	<i>I rely on online sources (such as internet, social media) for accurate information about COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	3.68	1.15	34	6%	40	7%	156	28%	161	29%	161	29%	0.147	<0.001

	<i>I rely on my family and friends for accurate information about COVID-19 and COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	2.73	1.27	123	22%	107	19%	179	32%	82	15%	61	11%	0.085	<0.05
Facilitating factors (Cronbach's alpha = 0.835)	<i>I might have a stronger intention to take COVID-19 vaccination if people I know have done so.</i>	3.42	1.35	74	13%	56	10%	143	26%	122	22%	157	28%	0.376	<0.001
	<i>I might have a stronger intention to take COVID-19 vaccination if that somehow helps to lift travel restrictions.</i>	3.91	1.3	49	9%	36	7%	92	17%	115	21%	260	47%	0.423	<0.001
	<i>I might have a stronger intention to take COVID-19 vaccination if there is a rewarding system such as time off from work.</i>	3.37	1.49	102	18%	54	10%	121	22%	86	16%	189	34%	0.358	<0.001
	<i>I might have a stronger intention to take COVID-19 vaccination if there is financial incentive.</i>	3.07	1.52	135	24%	62	11%	134	24%	68	12%	153	28%	0.265	<0.001

371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382

383 **Table 3. Results of multiple linear regressions**
384


Variables		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients Beta	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error			
(Constant)		0.057	0.271		0.209	0.834
PMT constructs and other factors						
Perceived Severity	<i>COVID-19 can be a life-threatening illness.</i>	-.033 ^j	-1.124	0.261	-0.048	0.911
Perceived susceptibility	<i>The risk for me to catch COVID-19 is high.</i>	0.086	0.033	0.076	2.607	0.009
Maladaptive response rewards	<i>If I do not get a COVID-19 vaccination, I will not have to worry about the safety of the vaccine.</i>	-0.085	0.030	-0.082	-2.808	0.005
	<i>If I do not get a COVID-19 vaccination, I will not have to spend time getting vaccinated.</i>	-.012 ^j	-0.374	0.708	-0.016	0.768
	<i>If I do not get a COVID-19 vaccination, I will not have to spend money getting vaccinated.</i>	.041 ^j	1.386	0.166	0.059	0.880
Self efficacy	<i>I am confident about my ability to make an informed decision about COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	.064 ^j	1.819	0.069	0.078	0.631
	<i>I have the necessary information to decide whether to vaccinate against COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	.051 ^j	1.412	0.159	0.061	0.603
	<i>I know how to register to get COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	.016 ^j	0.403	0.687	0.017	0.470
	<i>I am able to make arrangement to get COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	0.386	0.040	0.333	9.666	0.000
Response efficacy	<i>Having a COVID-19 vaccination would help reduce the symptoms if ever I contracted the disease.</i>	-.018 ^j	-0.541	0.589	-0.023	0.672
	<i>Having a COVID-19 vaccination would help reduce the severity of symptoms if ever I contracted the disease.</i>	-.014 ^j	-0.429	0.668	-0.018	0.683
	<i>Having a COVID-19 vaccination would help reduce the risk of death if ever I contracted the disease.</i>	.006 ^j	0.192	0.848	0.008	0.692
Response cost	<i>It is likely that I will have serious side-effects that I cannot bear from COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	-0.143	0.035	-0.124	-4.139	0.000
Social attitudes	<i>Getting COVID-19 vaccination helps to reduce the risk of my family contracting COVID-19.</i>	.051 ^j	1.384	0.167	0.059	0.564
	<i>Getting COVID-19 vaccination helps to prevent the diffusion of COVID-19 in the community.</i>	.003 ^j	0.071	0.943	0.003	0.471
Social norm	<i>I consider getting COVID-19 vaccination a social responsibility.</i>	0.393	0.044	0.326	8.939	0.000
	<i>People I know have been already received COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	.003 ^j	0.094	0.925	0.004	0.648

	<i>Most people I know would expect me to get COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	0.126	0.039	0.114	3.236	0.001
Past experience	<i>I have had experiences with other types of vaccines before.</i>	-.046 ^j	-1.559	0.119	-0.067	0.901
Information seeking behaviour	<i>I have actively sought information about COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	.040 ^j	1.206	0.228	0.052	0.697
	<i>I rely on the government (such as the Health Bureau) for accurate information about COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	-.018 ^j	-0.594	0.553	-0.026	0.810
	<i>I rely on healthcare professionals (such as doctors, pharmacists, nurses) for accurate information about COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	.008 ^j	0.262	0.793	0.011	0.834
	<i>I rely on online sources (such as internet, social media) for accurate information about COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	-0.080	0.038	-0.065	-2.095	0.037
	<i>I rely on my family and friends for accurate information about COVID-19 and COVID-19 vaccination.</i>	-.006 ^j	-0.196	0.845	-0.008	0.865
Facilitating factors	<i>I might have a stronger intention to take COVID-19 vaccination if people I know have done so.</i>	.025 ^j	0.691	0.490	0.030	0.591
	<i>I might have a stronger intention to take COVID-19 vaccination if that somehow helps to lift travel restrictions.</i>	-.031 ^j	-0.828	0.408	-0.036	0.543
	<i>I might have a stronger intention to take COVID-19 vaccination if there is a rewarding system such as time off from work.</i>	0.160	0.030	0.169	5.345	0.000
	<i>I might have a stronger intention to take COVID-19 vaccination if there is financial incentive.</i>	.060 ^j	1.255	0.210	0.054	0.337
Control variables						
Age (years old)		0.090	0.034	0.078	2.627	0.009
Gender		-.045 ^j	-1.583	0.114	-0.068	0.963
Type of residency		.047 ^j	1.546	0.123	0.066	0.820
Highest educational level		.007 ^j	0.237	0.813	0.010	0.894
Being a parent		.016 ^j	0.500	0.617	0.021	0.753
Estimated number of entries and exits to Macau in the next 6 months		.036 ^j	1.249	0.212	0.054	0.914

F =82.923, d.f. = 9, P < 0.001, R = 0.761, R² = 0.579, adjusted R² = 0.572

385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392


393 Figure 1
394



417
418 **Figure 1. Schematic diagram of theoretically-informed constructs applied to the intention to receive COVID-19 vaccination**
419

420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441

442 Figure 2
 443
 444
 445



490 Reference

- 491 1. World Health Organization. *Strengthening the health system response to COVID-19:*
492 *technical guidance# 1: maintaining the delivery of essential health care services while*
493 *mobilizing the health workforce for the COVID-19 response, 18 April 2020.* World Health
494 Organization. Regional Office for Europe;2020.
- 495 2. Le TT, Andreadakis Z, Kumar A, et al. The COVID-19 vaccine development landscape. *Nat*
496 *Rev Drug Discov.* 2020;19(5):305-306.
- 497 3. World Health Organization. COVID-19 vaccine tracker and landscape. World Health
498 Organization. Published 2021. Accessed 2021.06.10, 2021.
499 <https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/draft-landscape-of-covid-19-candidate-vaccines>.
- 500 4. Al Kaabi N, Zhang Y, Xia S, et al. Effect of 2 Inactivated SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines on
501 Symptomatic COVID-19 Infection in Adults: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*.
502 2021.doi.10.1001/jama.2021.8565
- 503 5. Livingston EH, Malani PN, Creech CB. The Johnson & Johnson Vaccine for COVID-19.
504 *JAMA.* 2021;325(15):1575.doi.10.1001/jama.2021.2927
- 505 6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. COVID-19 Vaccines and Vaccination.
506 Published 2021. Accessed 2021.06.10, 2021. [https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/science/science-briefs/fully-vaccinated-people.html)
507 [ncov/science/science-briefs/fully-vaccinated-people.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/science/science-briefs/fully-vaccinated-people.html).
- 508 7. FDA. COVID-19 Vaccines. Published 2021. Accessed 06.10, 2021.
509 [https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-](https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/covid-19-vaccines)
510 [19/covid-19-vaccines](https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/covid-19-vaccines).
- 511 8. European Medicines Agency. COVID-19 vaccines. Accessed 06.10, 2021.
512 [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/overview/public-health-threats/coronavirus-](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/overview/public-health-threats/coronavirus-disease-covid-19/treatments-vaccines/covid-19-vaccines)
513 [disease-covid-19/treatments-vaccines/covid-19-vaccines](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/overview/public-health-threats/coronavirus-disease-covid-19/treatments-vaccines/covid-19-vaccines).
- 514 9. National Medical Products Administration. National Medical Products Administration.
515 Accessed 06.10, 2021. <https://www.nmpa.gov.cn/>.
- 516 10. World Health Organization. WHO lists additional COVID-19 vaccine for emergency use and
517 issues interim policy recommendations. Published 2021. Accessed 2021.06.10, 2021.
518 [https://www.who.int/news/item/07-05-2021-who-lists-additional-covid-19-vaccine-for-](https://www.who.int/news/item/07-05-2021-who-lists-additional-covid-19-vaccine-for-emergency-use-and-issues-interim-policy-recommendations)
519 [emergency-use-and-issues-interim-policy-recommendations](https://www.who.int/news/item/07-05-2021-who-lists-additional-covid-19-vaccine-for-emergency-use-and-issues-interim-policy-recommendations).

- 520 11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. COVID Data Tracker Weekly Review.
521 Published 2021. Accessed 06.10, 2021. [https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/covid-](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/covid-data/covidview/index.html)
522 [data/covidview/index.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/covid-data/covidview/index.html).
- 523 12. Yu S. COVID-19 vaccination status (as of June 2). Published 2021. Accessed 2021.06.10,
524 2021. http://www.gov.cn/xinwen/2021-06/03/content_5615289.htm.
- 525 13. Reuters. China aims to vaccinate 40% of population by end-July: senior adviser. Published
526 2021. Accessed 06.10, 2021. [https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-china-](https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-china-vaccine-idUSKBN2AU0J9)
527 [vaccine-idUSKBN2AU0J9](https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-china-vaccine-idUSKBN2AU0J9).
- 528 14. Coronavirus Resource Center J. UNDERSTANDING VACCINATION PROGRESS.
529 Published 2021. Accessed 06.10, 2021. <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/vaccines/international>.
- 530 15. MacDonald NE. Vaccine hesitancy: Definition, scope and determinants. *Vaccine*.
531 2015;33(34):4161-4164.
- 532 16. Creech CB, Walker SC, Samuels RJ. SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. *Jama*. 2021;325(13):1318-1320.
- 533 17. Anderson RM, Vegvari C, Truscott J, Collyer BS. Challenges in creating herd immunity to
534 SARS-CoV-2 infection by mass vaccination. *The Lancet*. 2020;396(10263):1614-1616.
- 535 18. Britton T, Ball F, Trapman P. A mathematical model reveals the influence of population
536 heterogeneity on herd immunity to SARS-CoV-2. *Science*. 2020;369(6505):846-849.
- 537 19. Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Health Bureau. Special Webpage against
538 Epidemics. Published 2021. Accessed 06.10, 2021.
539 <https://www.ssm.gov.mo/apps1/PreventCOVID-19/ch.aspx#clg17458>.
- 540 20. Head KJ, Kasting ML, Sturm LA, Hartsock JA, Zimet GD. < covid19 > A National Survey
541 Assessing SARS-CoV-2 Vaccination Intentions: Implications for Future Public Health
542 Communication Efforts. *Science Communication*. 2020;42(5):698-723.
- 543 21. Reiter PL, Pennell ML, Katz ML. Acceptability of a COVID-19 vaccine among adults in the
544 United States: How many people would get vaccinated? *Vaccine*. 2020;38(42):6500-6507.
- 545 22. World Health Organization. Behavioural considerations for acceptance and uptake of
546 COVID-19 vaccines: WHO technical advisory group on behavioural insights and sciences for
547 health, meeting report, 15 October 2020. 2020.
- 548 23. Wong MC, Wong EL, Huang J, et al. Acceptance of the COVID-19 vaccine based on the
549 health belief model: A population-based survey in Hong Kong. *Vaccine*. 2021;39(7):1148-
550 1156.
- 551 24. Li L, Wang J, Nicholas S, Maitland E, Leng A, Liu R. The Intention to Receive the COVID-
552 19 Vaccine in China: Insights from Protection Motivation Theory. *Vaccines*. 2021;9(5):445.

- 553 25. Leavy P. Research design: Quantitative, qualitative, mixed methods, arts-based, and
554 community-based participatory research approaches. 2017.
- 555 26. Wang K, Wong EL-Y, Ho K-F, et al. Change of willingness to accept COVID-19 vaccine and
556 reasons of vaccine hesitancy of working people at different waves of local epidemic in Hong
557 Kong, China: Repeated cross-sectional surveys. *Vaccines*. 2021;9(1):62.
- 558 27. Yu Y, Lau JT, Lau M, Wong M, Chan PK. Understanding the prevalence and associated
559 factors of behavioral intention of COVID-19 vaccination under specific scenarios combining
560 effectiveness, safety, and cost in the Hong Kong Chinese general population. *International
561 Journal of Health Policy and Management*. 2021.
- 562 28. Chen M, Li Y, Chen J, et al. An online survey of the attitude and willingness of Chinese
563 adults to receive COVID-19 vaccination. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*. 2021:1-10.
- 564 29. Wang J, Lu X, Lai X, et al. The changing acceptance of COVID-19 vaccination in different
565 epidemic phases in China: A longitudinal study. *Vaccines*. 2021;9(3):191.
- 566 30. Wong LP, Alias H, Wong P-F, Lee HY, AbuBakar S. The use of the health belief model to
567 assess predictors of intent to receive the COVID-19 vaccine and willingness to pay. *Human
568 vaccines & immunotherapeutics*. 2020;16(9):2204-2214.
- 569 31. Rhodes A, Hoq M, Measey M-A, Danchin M. Intention to vaccinate against COVID-19 in
570 Australia. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2021;21(5):e110.
- 571 32. Lazarus JV, Ratzan SC, Palayew A, et al. A global survey of potential acceptance of a
572 COVID-19 vaccine. *Nature medicine*. 2021;27(2):225-228.
- 573 33. Dubé E, Vivion M, MacDonald NE. Vaccine hesitancy, vaccine refusal and the anti-vaccine
574 movement: influence, impact and implications. *Expert review of vaccines*. 2015;14(1):99-117.
- 575 34. Khubchandani J, Sharma S, Price JH, Wiblishauser MJ, Sharma M, Webb FJ. COVID-19
576 vaccination hesitancy in the United States: a rapid national assessment. *Journal of Community
577 Health*. 2021;46(2):270-277.
- 578 35. Dodd RH, Cvejic E, Bonner C, et al. Willingness to vaccinate against COVID-19 in Australia.
579 *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2021;21(3):318-319.
- 580 36. Kunst AE, Bos V, Lahelma E, et al. Trends in socioeconomic inequalities in self-assessed
581 health in 10 European countries. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2005;34(2):295-305.
- 582 37. Nutbeam D. The evolving concept of health literacy. *Social science & medicine*.
583 2008;67(12):2072-2078.
- 584 38. Lorini C, Santomauro F, Donzellini M, et al. Health literacy and vaccination: A systematic
585 review. *Human vaccines & immunotherapeutics*. 2018;14(2):478-488.

- 586 39. Leask J, Kinnersley P, Jackson C, Cheater F, Bedford H, Rowles G. Communicating with
587 parents about vaccination: a framework for health professionals. *BMC pediatrics*.
588 2012;12(1):1-11.
- 589 40. Randolph HE, Barreiro LB. Herd immunity: understanding COVID-19. *Immunity*.
590 2020;52(5):737-741.
- 591 41. World Health Organization. *Global vaccine action plan 2011-2020*. Vol 772013.
- 592 42. Chevallier C, Hacquin A-S, Mercier H. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy: Shortening the last
593 mile. *Trends in cognitive sciences*. 2021.
- 594 43. Brewer NT, Chapman GB, Rothman AJ, Leask J, Kempe A. Increasing vaccination: putting
595 psychological science into action. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*.
596 2017;18(3):149-207.
- 597 44. Maddux JE, Rogers RW. Protection motivation and self-efficacy: A revised theory of fear
598 appeals and attitude change. *Journal of experimental social psychology*. 1983;19(5):469-479.
- 599 45. Britt RK, Hatten KN, Chappuis SO. Perceived behavioral control, intention to get vaccinated,
600 and usage of online information about the human papillomavirus vaccine. *Health Psychology*
601 *and Behavioral Medicine: An Open Access Journal*. 2014;2(1):52-65.
- 602 46. Goralnick E, Kaufmann C, Gawande AA. Mass-vaccination sites—an essential innovation to
603 curb the covid-19 pandemic. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2021;384(18):e67.
- 604 47. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Mobile Vaccination Resources. Published 2021.
605 Accessed 2021.06.10, 2021. <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/covid-19/planning/mobile.html>.
- 606 48. Brown KF, Kroll JS, Hudson MJ, et al. Factors underlying parental decisions about
607 combination childhood vaccinations including MMR: a systematic review. *Vaccine*.
608 2010;28(26):4235-4248.
- 609 49. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Post Vaccine Considerations for Workplaces.
610 Published 2021. Accessed 06.10, 2021. [https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-
611 ncov/community/workplaces-businesses/vaccination-considerations-for-workplaces.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/workplaces-businesses/vaccination-considerations-for-workplaces.html).
- 612 50. Giles EL, Robalino S, McColl E, Sniehotta FF, Adams J. The effectiveness of financial
613 incentives for health behaviour change: systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS one*.
614 2014;9(3):e90347.
- 615 51. Jarrett C, Wilson R, O’Leary M, Eckersberger E, Larson HJ. Strategies for addressing vaccine
616 hesitancy—A systematic review. *Vaccine*. 2015;33(34):4180-4190.

- 617 52. Adams J, Giles EL, McColl E, Sniehotta FF. Carrots, sticks and health behaviours: a
618 framework for documenting the complexity of financial incentive interventions to change
619 health behaviours. *Health psychology review*. 2014;8(3):286-295.
- 620 53. Tam CC, Qiao S, Li X. Factors associated with decision making on COVID-19 vaccine
621 acceptance among college students in South Carolina. *medRxiv*. 2020.
- 622 54. Dubé E, MacDonald NE. Vaccine acceptance: barriers, perceived risks, benefits, and
623 irrational beliefs. In: *The Vaccine Book*. Elsevier; 2016:507-528.
- 624 55. Sallam M, Dababseh D, Eid H, et al. High Rates of COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy and Its
625 Association with Conspiracy Beliefs: A Study in Jordan and Kuwait among Other Arab
626 Countries. *Vaccines*. 2021;9(1):42.
- 627 56. Karafillakis E, Dinca I, Apfel F, et al. Vaccine hesitancy among healthcare workers in Europe:
628 A qualitative study. *Vaccine*. 2016;34(41):5013-5020.
- 629 57. Lucia VC, Kelekar A, Afonso NM. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among medical students.
630 *Journal of Public Health*. 2020.
- 631 58. Li J, Hu H, Lui W, et al. Predicting pharmacist intention to contribute to COVID-19
632 management at community level: a cross-sectional survey study . *Frontiers in Public Health*.
633 2021.
- 634