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#### Abstract

Background: Schools are primary venues of influenza amplification with secondary spread to communities. We assessed K-12 student absenteeism monitoring as a means for early detection of influenza activity in the community.


Materials and Methods: Between September 2014 and March 2020, we conducted a prospective observational study of all-cause (a-TOT), illness-associated (a-I), and influenza-like illness-associated (a-ILI) absenteeism within the Oregon School District (OSD), Dane County, Wisconsin. Absenteeism was reported through the electronic student information system. Students were visited at home where pharyngeal specimens were collected for influenza RT-PCR testing. Surveillance of medically-attended laboratory-confirmed influenza (MAI) occurred in five primary care clinics in and adjoining OSD. Poisson general additive log linear regression models of daily counts of absenteeism and MAI were compared using correlation analysis.

Findings: Influenza was detected in 723 of 2,378 visited students, and in 1,327 of 4,903 MAI patients. Over six influenza seasons, a-ILI was significantly correlated with MAI in the community ( $\mathrm{r}=0.57 ; 95 \%$ CI: $0.53-0.63$ ) with a one-day lead time and a-I was significantly correlated with MAI in the community $(\mathrm{r}=0.49 ; 0.44-0.54)$ with a 10 -day lead time, while aTOT performed poorly $(\mathrm{r}=0.27 ; 0.21-0.33)$, following MAI by six days.

Discussion: Surveillance using cause-specific absenteeism was feasible and performed well over a study period marked by diverse presentations of seasonal influenza. Monitoring a-I and a-ILI can provide early warning of seasonal influenza in time for community mitigation efforts.

## INTRODUCTION

Seasonal influenza contributes to widespread individual and societal costs. Infection causes school and work absenteeism, medical visitation, hospitalization, and death, with direct and indirect costs between $\$ 6.3$ and $\$ 25.3$ billion annually in the United States.[1] Although schoolaged children have the highest rates of medically-attended influenza (MAI) [2], they have relatively low rates of hospitalization and death.[3] Nevertheless, influenza is a primary driver of school absenteeism for students; medically-attended acute respiratory infection (ARI) due to influenza accounts for nearly $50 \%$ of school days missed due to ARI.[4]

Monitoring and surveillance systems for seasonal and pandemic influenza provide situational awareness for public health interventions and health system preparedness, assessment of timing and intensity of outbreaks, and virological characterization.[5] These systems typically involve evaluations of influenza-like illness (ILI) prevalence, rates of MAI and hospitalization, results of laboratory testing, and estimates of mortality.[2,3] Accordingly, surveillance systems are usually based in more populated areas supporting the necessary healthcare infrastructure.

Because of generally high influenza attack rates in school-aged children [2], significant linkages between MAI and school absenteeism [4], and the purported role of transmission and amplification of influenza in schools [6], use of cause-specific absenteeism may provide a useful and inexpensive complementary method for influenza monitoring and early warning of schoolbased as well as community-wide outbreaks. Absenteeism data are routinely collected within 14,000 US school districts.[7] A functional and generalizable system could provide wide geographic coverage for influenza monitoring efforts, particularly in resource-scarce jurisdictions.

Starting with the first report relating school absenteeism to an influenza outbreak in 1958 [8], there have been numerous attempts to evaluate school absenteeism for detecting accelerated spread of seasonal and pandemic influenza within communities.[9-14] For example, comparisons performed during the 2009 influenza $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~N} 1)$ pandemic provided promisingly high correlation $(\mathrm{r}=0.92)$ between ILI-related absenteeism and weekly counts of hospitalized influenza cases.[14] The autumnal pandemic outbreak, however, provided very strong signals in both absenteeism and hospitalization which are not representative of typical outbreaks of seasonal influenza.

The goal of the ORegon CHild Absenteeism due to Respiratory Disease Study (ORCHARDS) is to develop and implement a replicable system for daily school-based monitoring of ILI-specific student absenteeism in kindergarten through grade 12 (K-12) schools, and to assess the system's usability for early detection of accelerated influenza and other respiratory pathogen transmission in schools and surrounding communities.[15] In this paper, we discuss the relationship between student absenteeism and MAI in surrounding communities.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The complete, detailed methodology for ORCHARDS has been published elsewhere.[15] Briefly, ORCHARDS is based in the Oregon School District (OSD: www.oregonsd.org), which includes the villages of Oregon and Brooklyn, located in a semi-rural area of Dane County, Wisconsin. The district comprises three elementary schools (grade K-4)—two in Oregon and one in Brooklyn—with 1,503 students, one intermediate school (grade 5-6) with 623 students, one middle school (grade 7-8) with 596 students, and one high school (grade 9-12) with 1,145 students, all located in Oregon.[16]

Data collection for this study commenced with the start of the 2014-2015 academic year, on September 2, 2014, and concluded with the closure of OSD schools related to the emerging SARS-CoV-2 pandemic after March 13, 2020. Data collection occurred over six academic years and six sequential influenza seasons, marked by significant diversity in the dominant influenza types and subtypes, outbreak intensity, and timing of outbreaks relative to the academic calendar (Table 1).

## Absenteeism reporting system

OSD utilizes Infinite Campus® (https://www.infinitecampus.com), a commercially available electronic student information system, to track attendance. Parents/guardians report their child's absences to school attendance staff by calling an automated telephone system. Callers are prompted to provide the student's name and reason for absence, including symptoms if the child has a cold or flu-like illness. Uniform messaging is provided on each school's absentee lines with additional information pertaining to ILI symptoms:
"Please inform us if your child has any flu-like symptoms such as fever with cough, sneezing, chills, sore throat, body aches, fatigue, runny nose, and/or stuffy
nose."

Subsequently, school attendance staff members identify a student and record a reason for absence from a modifiable, drop-down pick list. To facilitate this study, the OSD Information Technology (IT) staff added "Absent due to influenza-like illness" (a-ILI) to existing categories of student absenteeism in Infinite Campus®.

For this study, we define absenteeism for an individual student as being absent for the entire day or any part of the day. This definition provides simplicity and generalizability for electronic data retrieval. All-cause or total absenteeism (a-TOT) is defined as an absence for any reason.

Absence due to illness (a-I) is an absence due to any reported illness. Absence due to ILI (a-ILI) is a subset of a-I for which ILI symptoms are reported by the parent/caregiver when calling the school absenteeism telephone line. We considered several established definitions for ILI.[17,18] For ease of recognition by school attendance staff without medical backgrounds, however, ILI was defined as the presence of fever and at least one respiratory tract symptom (cough, chest congestion, sore throat, scratchy throat, sneezing, runny nose, nasal discharge, nasal stuffiness, or nasal congestion).

OSD IT staff created automated processes within Infinite Campus® to extract daily counts of absent students by school, grade, and absence type (a-TOT, a-I, and a-ILI). The data contain no personal identifiers and are fully compliant with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA: 20 U.S.C. § 1232g; 34 CFR Part 99).[19] The data are sent to a secure file transfer protocol (ftp) site at the University of Wisconsin Department of Family Medicine and Community Health, as illustrated in Figure 1.

## Laboratory assessment of influenza and other respiratory viruses

Laboratory-supported data to evaluate the role of influenza on a-ILI status were collected for OSD students who opted to participate in ORCHARDS.[15] Briefly, 2,378 home visits were conducted during the study period for children who met criteria for ARI. Absenteeism was not required for a home visit to occur. A nasal swab specimen was obtained for testing using the Quidel Sofia Influenza A+B fluorescent immunoassay

## (https://www.quidel.com/immunoassays/rapid-influenza-tests/sofia-influenza-fia) by

ORCHARDS staff. In addition, a high oropharyngeal or nasopharyngeal swab was collected for testing with influenza RT-PCR [in-vitro diagnostic (IVD) FDA-approved CDC Human Influenza Virus Real-time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel (Cat.\# FluiVD03)] [20] and a respiratory pathogen panel [21] at the Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene (WSLH).

## Assessment of community MAI

An independent and long-standing influenza surveillance system was used to assess MAI in and around OSD. The Optional Influenza Surveillance Enhancements/Wisconsin Influenza Incidence Surveillance Project is sponsored by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and conducts prospective, active surveillance for influenza and other respiratory viruses in medicallyattended patients with ARI or ILI. The ORCHARDS research team organizes this surveillance system.[2,22] Active surveillance occurs at five family medicine clinics within or adjoining the OSD. Specific clinic locations include Belleville, Oregon, Madison (2 sites), and Verona (Figure 2). Together, the clinics recorded 412,752 ambulatory visits between June 29, 2014, and June 29, 2019, and assessed 4,903 individual ARI patients. Each assessed ARI patient was tested using an on-site rapid influenza diagnostic test, and by influenza RT-PCR [20] and a multiplexed PCR respiratory pathogen panel [21] performed at WSLH.

## Data analyses

We assessed the relationship between a-ILI status (outcome) and influenza (exposure) in the subset of students who had a home visit and who could be absent from school, thus excluding students who were home-schooled or had illness episodes on weekend days, vacation days, school closure days, or during the summer. Students were classified as a-ILI if they were absent
and had fever plus one respiratory tract symptom (cough, sore throat, nasal congestion, or runny nose) as reported during the home visit. The odds ratio for meeting the a-ILI definition given laboratory-confirmed influenza, compared to a-ILI occurring in the absence of influenza was calculated using standard logistic regression methods.

A generalized additive quasi-Poisson log-linear regression model was fit to the daily counts of MAI from surrounding surveillance clinics as a function of calendar date represented using a thin-plate regression spline and day of the week represented using dummy variables.[23,24] Similarly, generalized additive quasi-Poisson log-linear regression models were fit to daily counts of three absenteeism categories (a-TOT, a-I and a-ILI) as a function of calendar date represented using a thin-plate regression spline and day of the week represented using dummy variables.

Sample cross-correlation functions were calculated between the (square-root) daily number of medically attended influenza cases and lagged (or leading) (square-root) daily absenteeism counts from three sources (a-TOT, a-I, a-ILI) for each school year individually and for all school years combined. The optimal lag (or lead) resulting in the maximum cross-correlation was identified for each absenteeism series.

## IRB and project oversight

All components of this proposed study were reviewed and approved by the Human Subjects Committees of the Education and Social/Behavioral Sciences IRB (initial approval on September 4, 2013; ID number: 2013-1268) and the University of Wisconsin Health Sciences-IRB (initial approval on December 5, 2013, with additional approvals as the protocol expanded and modified; ID number: 2013-1357). The study is in full compliance with the Health Insurance

Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA), FERPA, and all other federally mandated human subjects regulations. The US Office of Management and Budget has approved all forms used in this study.

Per the cooperative agreement, the CDC project officer (AU) assisted with study design, interpretation of data, writing of this report, and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

## RESULTS

## Absenteeism

We monitored $3,738,562$ potential student-days over six academic years. During this period, enrollment at OSD slowly increased from 3,588 to 3,887 students (Table 2). Absenteeism was relatively stable over six years with a-TOT, a-I and a-ILI accounting for 357,054 (9.6\%), 68,809 $(1.8 \%)$ and $8,161(0.22 \%)$ of total potential student days, respectively. Percentages for a-I and aILI in 2019-2020 were elevated due to the abrupt and early end of in-person instruction and absence recording after March 13, 2020 during the influenza season. Categories of recorded absenteeism had varying relationships with grade, with a-TOT increasing ( $\mathrm{r}=0.924 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and a-ILI declining with grade level ( $\mathrm{r}=-0.945 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). No such effects were seen for $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{r}=-$ $0.498 ; \mathrm{P}=0.083$ ) (Figure 3).

## Medically attended influenza

Throughout the study period, 4,903 ARI patients were evaluated for influenza at the five surveillance clinics (Table 3). MAI was confirmed by PCR for 1,327 (27.1\%) individual patient encounters, with influenza A noted in 943 (19.2\%) and influenza B present in 385 (7.9\%)
encounters. One adult patient was positive for both influenza A and B. The prevalence of influenza in ARI patients and the relative distribution of influenza types varied across the sixyear study period. The percentage of ARI patients with MAI varied between $17.9 \%$ in 2015-2016 and 39.6\% in 2019-2020. Influenza B comprised between 5.4\% of MAI in 2018-2019 and 48.4\% in 2017-2018.

There were 386 (29.1\%) MAI cases in school-aged children (ages 5-18 years) and 941 (70.9\%) MAI cases in younger children (ages $0-4$ years) and adults (ages $\geq 19$ years) combined. The mean dates of MAI cases were similar between the school-aged children and other individuals. A significant difference in timing (Mann-Whiney; $\mathrm{P}=0.031$ ) was noted for only one season (20142015), during which MAI in school-aged children occurred 16 days later than for other individuals.

## Timing of absenteeism and MAI

The relative timing of a-TOT, a-I, a-ILI and MAI over the entire six-year time series are provided in Figure 4. Longer breaks (e.g., winter, spring, and summer) are easily seen in the graphic. Total absenteeism (a-TOT) shows less day-to-day variability than a-I and a-ILI. As expected, MAI demonstrates distinct seasonality, with influenza cases primarily occurring in the winter and early spring.

## Relationship between influenza detection and a-ILI status

Of 2,378 home visits conducted with ORCHARDS participants, 2,143 (90\%) occurred at times when absenteeism was possible. Of those, $1,214(57 \%)$ met the criteria for a-ILI and $639(30 \%)$ students had laboratory-confirmed influenza (Table 4). There was a significant association
between a-ILI and influenza $\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}=244.2\right.$; d.f.$\left.=1 ; \mathrm{P}<0.0001\right)$ and the odds ratio for meeting a-ILI criteria if influenza was detected was 5.52 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 4.40-6.93$ ).

## Relationship between absenteeism and MAI

For all academic years/influenza seasons combined, a-TOT was positively correlated with MAI with a maximum correlation of $0.27(95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.21-0.33)$ achieved when a-TOT followed MAI by six days (Figure 5); the maximum correlation with a-TOT preceding MAI was 0.21 (0.150.27 ) with a lead of one day. a-I had a stronger positive correlation with MAI, with a maximum correlation of 0.49 ( $0.44-0.54$ ) when leading MAI by 10 days. a-ILI had the strongest positive correlation with MAI, with a maximum correlation of 0.57 ( $0.53-0.63$ ) when leading MAI by one day.

For individual school years/influenza seasons, the maximum correlation (lagging or leading) between a-TOT and MAI varied from 0.20 (0.05-0.34) when trailing MAI by 11 days in 20172018 to 0.39 (0.23-0.47) when trailing MAI by six days in 2019-2020. When restricted to evaluations for a-TOT preceding MAI only, the range was $0.09(-0.06-0.23)$ with a lead of four days in 2017-2018 to 0.39 (0.23-0.53) with a lead of eight days in 2019-2020. The maximum correlation between a-I and MAI varied from 0.34 (0.21-0.47) while preceding MAI by 13 days in 2015-2016 to 0.71 (0.61-0.79) while preceding MAI by seven days in 2019-2020. When restricted to evaluations for a-I preceding MAI only, the range was the same. Finally, maximum correlation between a-ILI and MAI varied between 0.48 ( $0.36-0.58$ ) while trailing MAI by three days in 2015-2016 and 0.82 (0.75-0.87) while trailing MAI by seven days in 2019-2020. When restricted to evaluations for a-ILI preceding MAI only, the range was 0.46 (0.33-0.57) with a lead of one day in 2015-2016 to 0.80 (0.73-0.86) with a lead of one day in 2019-2020. For all six
seasons, however, significant, positive cross-correlations were achieved for a-I and a-ILI at least 14 days in advance of MAI (Figure 5). Moreover, in every season, cross-correlations with MAI were higher for a-I and a-ILI than for a-TOT; in all but 2014-2015, cross-correlations with MAI were higher for a-ILI than for a-I.

Dividing a-ILI data into two subsets, namely grades K-4 and grades 5-12, did not improve correlations. For all school years/influenza seasons combined, maximal correlations for a-ILI (K4) and a-ILI (5-12) were 0.52 and 0.58 at lags, respectively.

## DISCUSSION

Cause-specific daily K-12 absenteeism within a school district mirrors MAI in surrounding communities. Absenteeism due to ILI (a-ILI) and absenteeism due illness (a-I) demonstrated strong and moderate positive correlations with MAI, respectively, over a period of six consecutive influenza seasons. The maximal correlations either led (a-I) or occurred coincidentally (a-ILI) with MAI. Moreover, as time frames are shifted, significant correlations are demonstrated between cause-specific absenteeism (a-ILI and a-I) and MAI well in advance of MAI in all cases. Accordingly, results from this six-year study support the hypothesis that cause-specific school absenteeism monitoring can provide timely and potentially advance identification of community outbreaks of seasonal influenza. As more stringent criteria are applied to evaluate absenteeism (e.g., a-I and a-ILI), there are increasing correlations with community levels of influenza. Accordingly, a-ILI may be seen as having a relatively higher specificity for community outbreaks of influenza. A less specific criterion (a-I) may be more sensitive for influenza with a composite time lag for the maximum correlation estimated at minus
six days. In general, all-cause absenteeism (a-TOT) performed less well for identifying influenza in the community.

The absenteeism monitoring tool used in ORCHARDS [15] has performed well since inception, retrieving and transmitting data from 1,001 consecutive school days with minimal maintenance. Given that absenteeism is widely monitored across school districts, student information systems are commonly employed in the K-12 environment, and a simple enhancement allowed for accurate ILI data capture and anonymized electronic file transfer, this approach may be generalizable to many of the nearly 14,000 school districts in the United States.[8] Widespread use of K-12 school-based absenteeism monitoring may augment MAI surveillance where available and could fill in geospatial gaps where MAI surveillance is not available. The composite of all grades (K-12) appeared to perform better than subsets of younger or older children.

The strength of correlations for individual outbreaks of influenza appears to follow the intensity of the influenza season. The most significant influenza seasons experienced by the OSD and the surveillance clinics (2017-2018 and 2019-2020) resulted in very high maximal correlations ( $\mathrm{r}=0.64$ and 0.82 , respectively) between a-ILI and MAI. This supports potential benefit of causebased absenteeism in monitoring systems for pandemic influenza.

There have been multiple efforts to assess the utility of monitoring absenteeism of children as an indicator of influenza in the community.[9-14,25,26] Many reports have been based on all-cause absenteeism.[9-11,25,26] Whereas monitoring all-cause absenteeism is appealing and intuitive due to the routine collection of this metric, significant problems abound due to "noise" within aTOT resulting from the multi-factorial nature of absenteeism.[9,26] On any given day, an
estimated $7.8 \%$ of American school children, or 4.3 million children, are absent.[27] Some are absent due to illness; a subset of these ill children are absent due to respiratory infections. As aILI comprised between 1.7-2.9\% of total annual absenteeism in our study, the signal from influenza may be obscured. The Pennsylvania Influenza Sentinel School Monitoring System illustrated this concept during the 2009 influenza pandemic.[9] Poor correlation ( $\mathrm{r}=0.10 ; \mathrm{P}=0.56$ ) was noted between all-cause absenteeism and statewide laboratory-confirmed influenza. For ORCHARDS, a-TOT performed poorer than a-I or a-ILI, with an overall maximal correlation of 0.27 , and lagging behind community MAI by six days.

There are very few examples of utilization of cause-specific absenteeism for detection of influenza. One study from the 2009 influenza pandemic demonstrated poor ( $\mathrm{r}=0.33$ ), high $(\mathrm{r}=0.63)$, and very high ( $\mathrm{r}=0.92$ ) correlation between confirmed influenza hospitalizations and all-cause absenteeism, ILI health office visits, and ILI-related absenteeism, respectively.[14] Likewise, ORCHARDS demonstrated lower correlation for a-TOT ( $\mathrm{r}=0.39$ ) and very high correlation for a-ILI ( $\mathrm{r}=0.82$ ) during 2019-2020, a year characterized by a prolonged and severe seasonal influenza outbreak. The combination of multiple years in ORCHARDS provides a more robust assessment of cause-specific absenteeism. In all years, a-I demonstrated higher maximal correlation than a-TOT; in all but one school year a-ILI demonstrated higher correlation than a-I.

We are aware of a single example of the use of automated reporting of absenteeism data for influenza monitoring. A smart card reader was employed to capture attendance at 107 schools in Hong Kong, allowing for near real-time reporting.[28] Implementation of this system, using routinely-collected information, was found to be feasible and acceptable. This study also reported a modest maximal cross-correlation coefficient between ILI-specific absenteeism and
hospital laboratory-confirmed influenza $(\mathrm{CCC}=0.434)$ with a three-week lag. The results, however, are not directly comparable to ORCHARDS due to differences in ascertainment of aILI status and use of hospital vs. outpatient assessment of MAI.

Following cessation of in-person instruction due to the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, OSD did not monitor student absenteeism between March 16, 2020 and the end of the academic year on June 5, 2020. Absenteeism monitoring resumed on September 9, 2021, with a hybrid teaching model. An initial analysis of data available suggests that COVID-19-related absenteeism may provide an early warning of SARS-CoV-2 activity in the surrounding community.[29]

Our study had several limitations. First, ORCHARDS is limited to a single, relatively small school district that is more affluent, less diverse, and better educated than the US average.[30] In addition, OSD parents were engaged with this study and many of the study personnel have longterm involvement within the community. Second, OSD had an existing telephone absenteeism reporting system with messaging dating back to the 2009 influenza pandemic. Accordingly, parents and guardians were attuned to reporting respiratory symptoms. The transition to ILI reporting was a small change in usual procedure. Third, OSD had sufficient IT staff skills to allow programming for autonomous data reporting. Fourth, we used a modified ILI definition for ease of recognition by the attendance staff. Although different from other ILI definitions [17,18], a-ILI as defined in ORCHARDS was highly associated with laboratory-confirmed influenza.[15]

This study also has notable strengths. First, there have been excellent community acceptance and participation in all aspects of ORCHARDS as evidenced by students participating in 2,378 home visits, thus allowing for validation of the a-ILI as a marker of influenza. Second, reporting of absenteeism into the telephone system by parents/guardians has not decreased over time. Third,

ORCHARDS is a longitudinal, multiyear study. This extended, six-year time frame encompasses a diversity of influenza in terms of type and subtype, proportionality of types, seasonal intensity, and timing of influenza activity relative to the academic calendar. Moreover, results in ORCHARDS were not biased by the significant signal emanating from an influenza pandemic. Fourth, ORCHARDS was enhanced by the existence of a longstanding and highly functional clinic-based MAI surveillance system based within and surrounding the OSD. Finally, we utilized a robust statistical method to assess the patterns of MAI and absenteeism, comparing daily levels across six influenza seasons.

In conclusion, cause-specific absenteeism monitoring in K-12 schools identified community influenza outbreaks with high reliability across six distinct and diverse influenza seasons. The timing of periods of increased absenteeism either preceded or coincided with MAI in surrounding communities. The fully automated monitoring system was easily implemented and maintained and performed consistently over a six-year period. Accordingly, school-based, causespecific and near real-time absenteeism monitoring can contribute to the timely detection of influenza in the community, thus allowing for mitigation efforts. As school absenteeism monitoring is a common feature of schools and school districts across the United States, this approach has the potential to enhance influenza surveillance efforts, especially in low-resource areas, and provide early warnings for outbreak identification and containment.

## DECLARATIONS

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

All components of this proposed study were reviewed and approved by the Human Subjects Committees of the Education and Social/Behavioral Sciences IRB (initial approval on September

4, 2013) and the University of Wisconsin Health Sciences-IRB (initial approval on December 5, 2013, with additional approvals as the protocol expanded and modified). The study is in full compliance with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA), FERPA, and all other federally mandated human subjects regulations. The US Office of Management and Budget has approved all forms used in this study.

## Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available because the study is ongoing, but may be available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## Competing interests

Dr. Jonathan Temte has received financial and material support from Quidel Corporation. Dr.
John Tamerius and Quidel Corporation have generously supplied RIDT analyzers and tests.

## Funding

This study has been supported by CDC through the cooperative agreement \# 5U01CK000542-02-00. The findings and conclusions in this study are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge Dr. Yenlik Zheteyeva, MD, MPH, formerly of CDC's Division of Global Migration and Quarantine, for her contributions to the study design and implementation. We are also grateful to Ms. Ashley Fowlkes, MPH, of CDC's Influenza Division for her assistance in accessing and interpreting the OISE/IISP data for the purpose of
this study. We thank Cecilia He, Caroline Hamer, Bradley Maerz, Lily Comp, Mitchell Arnold, Kimberly Breunig, and Sarah Clifford for their assistance with data collection and Rich Griesser, Tim Davis, Tonya Danz, and Erika Hanson (Virology Laboratory Staff at the Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene) for their assistance with specimen testing throughout ORCHARDS. Special thanks for Dr. Brian Bussler, Superintendent, Jon Tanner, Information Technology Director, and the attendance staff at OSD. Finally, a multitude of student participants of ORCHARDS and their families made this research possible.

## REFERENCES

1. Putri WCWS, Muscatello DJ, Stockwell MS, Newall AT. Economic burden of seasonal influenza in the United States. Vaccine 2018;36(27):3960-3966. doi:
10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.05.057.
2. Fowlkes A, Steffens A, Temte J et al; Influenza Incidence Surveillance Project Working Group. Incidence of medically attended influenza during pandemic and post-pandemic seasons through the Influenza Incidence Surveillance Project, 2009-13. Lancet Respir Med. 2015 Sep;3(9):709-18.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. FluView - Weekly U.S. Influenza Surveillance Report. Accessed 10/16/2020 at: https://www.cdc.gov/flu/weekly/index.htm.
4. McLean HQ, Peterson SH, King JP, Meece JK, Belongia EA. School absenteeism among school-aged children with medically attended acute viral respiratory illness during three influenza seasons, 2012-2013 through 2014-2015. Influenza Other Respir Viruses.

2017;11(3):220-229. doi:10.1111/irv. 12440 .
5. Qualls N, Levitt A, Kanade N, Wright-Jegede N, Dopson S, Biggerstaff M, Reed C, Uzicanin A; CDC Community Mitigation Guidelines Work Group. Community mitigation guidelines to prevent pandemic influenza - United States, 2017. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2017 Apr 21;66(1):134. doi: $10.15585 / \mathrm{mmwr} . \mathrm{rr6601a}$.
6. Russell ES, Zheteyeva Y, Gao H, et al. Reactive school closure during increased influenzalike illness (ILI) Activity in Western Kentucky, 2013: A field evaluation of effect on ILI
incidence and economic and social consequences for families. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2016;3(3):ofw113. Published 2016 May 25. doi:10.1093/ofid/ofw113.
7. National Center for Education Statistics. Table 98. Number of public school districts and public and private elementary and secondary schools: Selected years, 1869-70 through 2010-11. Accessed 10/16/2020 at: https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d12/tables/dt12_098.asp.
8. Harboe A, Juul K. Absenteeism of school children in Norwegian towns during Asian influenza epidemic. Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen. 1958 Sep 15;78(18):887-90.
9. Besculides M, Heffernan R, Mostashari F, Weiss D. Evaluation of school absenteeism data for early outbreak detection, New York City. BMC Public Health. 2005 Oct 7;5:105.
10. Short VL, Marriott CK, Ostroff S, Waller K. Description and evaluation of the 2009-2010 Pennsylvania Influenza Sentinel School Monitoring System. Am J Public Health. 2011 Nov;101(11):2178-83.
11. Kara EO, Elliot AJ, Bagnall H, Foord DG, Pnaiser R, Osman H, Smith GE, Olowokure B. Absenteeism in schools during the 2009 influenza $A(H 1 N 1)$ pandemic: a useful tool for early detection of influenza activity in the community? Epidemiol Infect. 2012 Jul;140(7):1328-36.
12. Kom Mogto CA, De Serres G, Douville Fradet M, Lebel G, Toutant S, Gilca R, Ouakki M, Janjua NZ, Skowronski DM. School absenteeism as an adjunct surveillance indicator: Experience during the second wave of the 2009 H1N1 pandemic in Quebec, Canada. PLoS One. 2012;7(3):e34084.
13. Lau EH, Cheng CK, Ip DK, Cowling BJ. Situational awareness of influenza activity based on multiple streams of surveillance data using multivariate dynamic linear model. PLoS One. 2012;7(5):e38346.
14. Williams NJ, Ghosh TS, Bisgard KM, Vogt RL. Comparison of 3 school-based influenza surveillance indicators: lessons learned from 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1)-Denver Metropolitan Region, Colorado. J Public Health Manag Pract. 2013 Mar;19(2):119-25.
15. Temte JL, Barlow S, Goss M, et al. The Oregon Child Absenteeism Due to Respiratory Disease Study (ORCHARDS): Rationale, Objectives, and Design. Preprint. MedRxiv 2021: 2021.02.01.21250878.
16. Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction. Wisconsin Information System for Education data Dashboard. Accessed 10/16/2020 at: https://wisedash.dpi.wi.gov/Dashboard/portalHome.jsp
17. Thomas RE. Is influenza-like illness a useful concept and an appropriate test of influenza vaccine effectiveness? Vaccine. 2014 Apr 17;32(19):2143-9. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.02.059.
18. Casalegno JS, Eibach D, Valette M, Enouf V, Daviaud I, Behillil S, Vabret A, Soulary JC, Benchaib M, Cohen JM, van der Werf S, Mosnier A, Lina B. Performance of influenza case definitions for influenza community surveillance: based on the French influenza surveillance network GROG, 2009-2014. Euro Surveill. 2017 Apr 6;22(14). pii: 30504. doi: 10.2807/15607917.ES.2017.22.14.30504.
19. U.S. Department of Education. Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). Accessed 10/16/2020 at: https://www2.ed.gov/policy/gen/guid/fpco/ferpa/index.html
20. CDC (2011) CDC Human Influenza Virus Real-time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel. Package Insert LB-029, R-0.
21. Luminex. NxTAG® Respiratory Pathogen Panel. Accessed 10/20/2019 at: https://www.luminexcorp.com/nxtag-respiratory-pathogen-panel/\#overview.
22. Fowlkes A, Dasgupta S, Chao E, Lemmings J, Goodin K, Harris M, Martin K, Feist M, Wu W, Boulton R, Temte J, Brammer L, Finelli L. Estimating influenza incidence and rates of influenza-like illness in the outpatient setting. Influenza Other Respi Viruses. 2013: 7(5): 694700.
23. Wood SN. Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R. Chapman \& Hall/CRC). 2006.
24. Brown PJ. Measurement, Regression and Calibration. Oxford University Press. 1994.
25. Aldridge RW, Hayward AC, Field N, Warren-Gash C, Smith C, Pebody R, Fleming D, McCracken S, Are school absences correlated with influenza surveillance data in England? Results from Decipher My Data-A Research Project conducted through scientific engagement with schools. PLoS One. 2016;11(3):e0146964. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0146964.
26. Ma T, Englund H, Bjelkmar P, Wallensten A, Hulth A. Syndromic surveillance of influenza activity in Sweden: an evaluation of three tools. Epidemiol Infect. 2015 Aug;143(11):2390-8. doi: 10.1017/S0950268814003240.
27. National Center for Education Statistics. Average daily attendance (ADA) as a percentage of total enrollment, school day length, and school year length in public schools, by school level and
state: 2003-04 and 2007-08. Accessed 10/16/2020 at:
https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d11/tables/dt11 043.asp.
28. Ip DK, Lau EH, So HC, Xiao J, Lam CK, Fang VJ, Tam YH, Leung GM, Cowling BJ. A smart card-based electronic school absenteeism system for influenza-like illness surveillance in Hong Kong: Design, implementation, and feasibility assessment. JMIR Public Health Surveill. 2017 Oct 6;3(4):e67. doi: 10.2196/publichealth.6810.
29. Temte JL, Barlow S, Goss M, Temte E, Bell C, Uzicanin A. COVID-19-related school absenteeism precedes community cases of SARS-CoV-2. [Under review at Pediatrics].
30. United States Census. Quick Facts. Accessed 10/16/2020 at:
https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/oregonvillagewisconsin.

|  | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dominant influenza type/subtype ${ }^{1}$ | A(H3) | A(H1) | A(H3) | A(H3) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{H} 1) \\ & \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{H} 3) \end{aligned}$ | B (early) <br> A(H1) <br> (late) |
| Other influenza in circulation ${ }^{1}$ | late B | with B | with B | with B | minimal B | minimal <br> A(H3) |
| Outbreak severity ${ }^{1}$ | moderately severe | low | moderate | severe | moderate | moderately severe |
| Wisconsin Outbreak peak timing ${ }^{2}$ | Dec/Jan | Mar | Feb | Jan/Feb | Mar | Feb |

Table 1. Dominant influenza types and subtypes across six influenza seasons, along with outbreak severity and timing
${ }^{1}$ Characterization of influenza season based on data presented by Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. FluView - Weekly U.S. Influenza Surveillance Report. Accessed 10/16/2020 at: $\underline{\text { https: } / / w w w . c d c . g o v / f l u / w e e k l y / i n d e x . h t m ~}$
${ }^{2}$ Wisconsin outbreak timing based on peak PCR detections reported by Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene. Influenza Activity. Accessed 11/23/2020 at:
http://www.slh.wisc.edu/wcln-surveillance/surveillance/virology-surveillance/influenza-activity/

Table 2. School enrollment estimates and student absenteeism by defined type* and school year Oregon School District, Dane County, Wisconsin: 2014-2020.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2014- \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015- \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2016- \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2017- \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2018- } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2019- \\ & 2020^{\dagger} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ENROLMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Estimated Enrollment ${ }^{* *}$ | 3,588 | 3,713 | 3,749 | 3,828 | 3,867 | 3,887 |
| Total Curricular Days | 176 | 177 | 175 | 176 | 174 | 123 |
| Total Possible <br> Student Days | 629,024 | 635,076 | 649,775 | 673,728 | 672,858 | 478,101 |

STUDENT

## ABSENTEEISM

| a-TOT Days | 56,477 | 55,871 | 56,511 | 63,476 | 69,092 | 55,627 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\% of total) | $(8.98 \%)$ | $(8.80 \%)$ | $(8.70 \%)$ | $(9.42 \%)$ | $(10.26 \%)$ | $(11.63 \%)$ |
| Average Daily a- | 320.9 | 315.7 | 322.9 | 360.7 | 397.1 | 452.3 |
| TOT |  | $(79.2)$ | $(80.4)$ | $(96.3)$ | $(106.2)$ | $(118.0)$ |
| (std. dev.) | $(78.4)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| a-I Days | 12,024 | 12,158 | 11,922 | 11,710 | 10,312 | 10,683 |
| $(\%$ of total $)$ | $(1.91 \%)$ | $(1.91 \%)$ | $(1.83 \%)$ | $(1.74 \%)$ | $(1.53 \%)$ | $(2.23 \%)$ |


| Average Daily a-I | 68.3 | 68.7 | 68.1 | 66.5 | 59.3 | 86.9 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (std. dev.) | (39.0) | $(27.0)$ | $(27.0)$ | $(30.1)$ | $(21.7)$ | $(39.0)$ |
| a-ILI Days | 1,314 | 1,609 | 1,309 | 1,261 | 1,141 | 1,527 |
| (\% of total) | $(0.21 \%)$ | $(0.25 \%)$ | $(0.20 \%)$ | $(0.19 \%)$ | $(0.17 \%)$ | $(0.32 \%)$ |
| Average Daily a- | 7.5 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 12.4 |
| ILI |  | (6.6) | (5.9) | (5.8) | (5.5) | (13.7) |
| (std. dev.) | (7.3) |  |  |  |  |  |

*Defined types of absenteeism: a-TOT = absent for any reason; a-I = absent due to illness; a-ILI $=$ absent due to influenza-like illness.
${ }^{* *}$ Total estimated enrollment excluding pre-kindergarten students (for whom absenteeism data is not submitted). Data extracted from: Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction, Wisconsin Information System for Education data Dashboard:
https://wisedash.dpi.wi.gov/Dashboard/portalHome.jsp
$\dagger$ Early termination of the school year due to SARS-CoV-2 on March 13, 2020.

|  | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | $\begin{aligned} & 2019- \\ & 2020^{\dagger} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARI Cases <br> Evaluated | 986 | 698 | 708 | 1,033 | 645 | 833 |
| Influenza $A^{* *}$ | 170 | 106 | 95 | 230 | 141 | 201 |
| Influenza $\mathrm{B}^{* *}$ | 78 | 19 | 46 | 102 | 8 | 132 |
| Total Influenza (\% of ARI) |  | $\begin{gathered} 125 \\ (17.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 141 \\ (19.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 332 $(32.1 \%)$ | $149$ <br> (23.1\%) | $\begin{gathered} 332 \\ (39.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean date of influenza | 1/25/2015 | 3/04/2016 | 3/02/2017 | 2/06/2018 | 2/28/2019 | 2/07/2020 |
| Age 5-18 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARI Cases <br> Evaluated <br> (\% of all <br> ages) | 157 $(15.9 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 132 \\ (18.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 142 \\ (20.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 130 \\ (20.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 236 \\ (28.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ |


| Influenza <br> $\mathrm{A}^{* *}$ | 37 | 17 | 29 | 59 | 42 | 50 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Influenza <br> $\mathrm{B}^{* *}$ | 12 | 7 | 14 | 39 | 4 | 76 |
| Total <br> Influenza <br> $(\%$ of ARI) | 49 | 24 | 43 | 98 | 46 | 126 |
| $(31.2 \%)$ | $(18.2 \%)$ | $(30.3 \%)$ | $(43.6 \%)$ | $(35.4 \%)$ | $(53.4 \%)$ |  |
| Mean date | $1 / 28 / 2015$ | $3 / 03 / 2016$ | $3 / 03 / 2017$ | $2 / 07 / 2018$ | $2 / 28 / 2019$ | $2 / 07 / 2020$ |
| of influenza |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Ages 0-4 years and $\geq 19$ years

| ARI Cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Evaluated | 829 | 566 | 566 | 808 | 515 | 597 |
| $(\%$ of all |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ages) | $(84.1 \%)$ | $(81.1 \%)$ | $(79.9 \%)$ | $(78.2 \%)$ | $(79.8 \%)$ | $(71.7 \%)$ |
| Influenza <br> A* $^{* *}$ | 133 | 89 | 66 | 171 | 99 | 151 |
| Influenza <br> $B^{* *}$ | 66 | 12 | 32 | 63 | 4 | 56 |
| Total | 199 | 101 | 98 | 234 | 103 | 206 |
| Influenza | $(24.0 \%)$ | $(17.8 \%)$ | $(17.3 \%)$ | $(29.0 \%)$ | $(20.0 \%)$ | $(34.5 \%)$ |
| $(\%$ of ARI) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean date | $1 / 12 / 2014$ | $3 / 08 / 2015$ | $3 / 01 / 2016$ | $2 / 04 / 2017$ | $2 / 27 / 2019$ | $2 / 06 / 2020$ |
| of influenza |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Data are shown for all ages, school-aged children (age 5-18 years) and non-school-aged individuals (ages 0-4 and $\geq 19$ years). Mean dates of medically attended influenza are provided for each group and in season.
*All surveillance clinics are family medicine clinics affiliated with the University of Wisconsin and provide care to patients of all ages.
${ }^{* *}$ Influenza type is based on testing using CDC Human Influenza Virus Real-time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel.[20]
${ }^{\dagger}$ Clinic visits through March 20, 2020.

Table 4. Relationship between influenza laboratory detection and absence with influenza-like illness (a-ILI) in a cohort of students visited at home. Oregon School District, Dane County, Wisconsin. Chi-square for association $=244.2$ (d.f. $=1 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). Odds Ratio for meeting a-ILI criteria with exposure to influenza $=5.521$ ( $95 \%$ CI: 4.399-6.929)

| Exposure | Outcome |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | a-ILI | Not a-ILI | Total |
|  | Influenza (+) | 526 | 113 | 639 |
|  | Influenza (-) | 688 | 816 | 1,504 |
|  | Total | 1,214 | 929 | 2,143 |

Figure 1. Flow diagram of absenteeism data from telephone reporting by parents/guardians, to entry into the student information system at the Oregon School District, to data transfer to the ORCHARDS research team.

Figure 2. Location of the Oregon School District (OSD) in the Villages of Oregon and Brooklyn in South Central Wisconsin. The red dots depict locations of family medicine clinics that participate in long-term surveillance of medically-attended influenza.

Figure 3. Total absentee counts, by grade level, across entire study for a-ILI, a-I and a-TOT. Defined types of absenteeism: $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{TOT}=$ absent for any reason; $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{I}=$ absent due to illness; $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ILI}=$ absent due to influenza-like illness.

Figure 4. Numbers of daily student absences in each of three categories (a-TOT $=$ absent for any reason, $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{I}=$ absent due to illness and $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ILI}=$ absent due to influenza-like illness) and number of all-age medically-attended influenza (MAI) cases in surrounding communities during six school years/influenza seasons. The black line is the estimated mean number of daily events from a generalized additive quasi-Poisson regression model with calendar date (thin-plate spline) and day of week (dummy variables) as predictors. Gaps in the fitted curves represent breaks in the school calendar.

Figure 5. Cross-correlation functions (estimate and $95 \%$ confidence interval) for square-root daily absenteeism counts and square-root medically-attended influenza (MAI) counts for all six school years/influenza seasons combined (top row) and for each school year/influenza season. Results are shown for total absenteeism (a-TOT; first column), absenteeism due to illness (a-I; second column) and absenteeism due to influenza-like illness (a-ILI; third column). Negative
lag/lead indicates absenteeism preceding MAI, while positive lag/lead indicates MAI preceding absenteeism. The maximal correlation for absenteeism preceding MAI is highlighted in blue; if different, the maximum correlation over the entire time frame ( -14 days to +14 days) is highlighted in red.
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