1	Portable and label-free quantitative Loop-mediated Isothermal
2	Amplification (qLAMP) for reliable COVID-19 diagnostics in 3 minutes:
3	An Arduino-based detection system assisted by a pH microelectrode
4	
5	Mario Moisés Alvarez ^{1,2, *} , Sergio Bravo-González ^{1,2} , Everardo González-González ^{1,2} ,
6	Grissel Trujillo-de Santiago ^{1,3,*} ,
7	
8	1) Centro de Biotecnología-FEMSA, Tecnologico de Monterrey, Monterrey 64849,
9	NL, México
10	2) Departamento de Bioingeniería, Escuela de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Tecnologico de
11	Monterrey, Monterrey 64849, NL, México
12	3) Departamento de Ingeniería Mecatrónica y Eléctrica, Escuela de Ingeniería y
13	Ciencias, Tecnologico de Monterrey, Monterrey 64849, NL, México
14	
15	(*) corresponding authors: grissel@tec.mx; mario.alvarez@tec.mx
16	
17	Abstract
18	Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) has been recently studied as an
19	alternative method for cost-effective diagnostics in the context of the current COVID-19
20	pandemic. Recent reports document that LAMP-based diagnostic methods have a
21	comparable sensitivity and specificity to that of RT-qPCR. We report the use of a portable
22	Arduino-based LAMP-based amplification system assisted by pH microelectrodes for the

23	accurate and reliable diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 during the first 3 minutes of the
24	amplification reaction. We show that this simple system enables a straightforward
25	discrimination between samples containing or not containing artificial SARS-CoV-2
26	genetic material in the range of 10 to 10,000 copies per 50 μ L of reaction mix. We also
27	spiked saliva samples with SARS-CoV-2 synthetic material and corroborated that the
28	LAMP reaction can be successfully monitored in real time using microelectrodes in saliva
29	samples as well. These results may have profound implications for the design of real-time
30	and portable quantitative systems for the reliable detection of viral pathogens including
31	SARS-CoV-2.
32	
33	Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, qLAMP, amplification, diagnostics, electrodes.
34	To be submitted to
35	Biosensors and Bioelectronics
36	

37 Introduction

At present, nearly 150 million people have been diagnosed with COVID-19 (+) worldwide, and the disease has killed more than 3 million people [1], making it the most lethal infectious disease in a century. COVID-19 has laid bare the severe limitations in our capacities to respond to epidemic emergencies and has made clear that we must expand and strengthen the portfolio of available tools for effective diagnostics of infectious diseases [2] both now and in the future.

The retro-transcriptase quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) is currently the
gold standard methodology for COVID-19 detection [2–5]. Despite its unquestionable
accuracy and robustness, qPCR-based methods have some serious limitations (e.g., lack of

47 portability, dependence on centralized facilities, need for technical expertise to conduct RT-

qPCR testing, and high infrastructural and operative costs) that prevent the provision of
cost-effective and massive diagnostics during this time of COVID-19 [2,6,7].

50 One potential alternative to RT-qPCR could be Loop-mediated Isothermal Amplification 51 (LAMP), which has been extensively studied in recent reports as a cost-effective diagnostic 52 system in the context of the current COVID-19 pandemic [8–16]. Overall, these reports 53 have documented that LAMP-based methods have comparable sensitivity and specificity to 54 that of RT-qPCR. More importantly, LAMP methods provide attractive advantages over 55 RT-qPCR methods in terms of lower capital and operating costs. LAMP is an isothermal 56 method, so it frees the user from the need for a costly thermal cycler. In addition, LAMP 57 methods that exhibit excellent sensibility and acceptable specificity have been described for 58 the extraction-free analysis of nasopharyngeal and even saliva samples [15,17,18]. The 59 release from the need for an extraction stage further reduces the cost of LAMP 60 methodology.

Most LAMP-based methods rely on a discrimination between positive and negative samples due to a change in the pH associated with an acidification of the reaction mix due to the liberation of hydrogen ions (H_3O^+) inherent in the amplification [15,17,19]. In a weakly buffered reaction medium, the change in pH may translate into a change in color if a pH indicator is added to the reaction mix. A frequent embodiment of colorimetric LAMP uses phenol red as the pH indicator [17,19–21] and a weak buffer that contains mainly Tris-HCl, (NH4)₂SO₄ (ammonium sulfate), and KCl (potassium chloride).

However, colorimetric LAMP methods also have some limitations. One is that the adequateperformance of colorimetric LAMP mediated by phenol red depends on the initial pH of the

sample. This is particularly relevant in extraction-free applications, since acidic samples may prematurely shift the color of the reaction mix, even in non-infected saliva samples, thereby possibly rendering false positives. LAMP-based methods also use from four to six primer sets, and the design of LAMP primer sets is nontrivial as the primers may interact among themselves during amplification. This can promote non-specific amplifications that may also result in false positives[22,23].

76 In the present study, we demonstrate the straightforward use of microelectrodes to monitor, 77 in real time, the evolution of the amplification of SARS-CoV-2 genetic material. This 78 simple implementation renders a quantitative and label-free embodiment of the LAMP 79 reaction (LF-LAMP) for amplification of any genetic sequence. Label free quantitative 80 LAMP (LF-qLAMP) allows the continuous and online monitoring of the change in the 81 electric potential of the reaction mix due to the release of hydrogen ions during 82 amplification. We show that the progressions of the signal from truly positive samples 83 (samples containing genetic material from SARS-CoV-2) and negative samples clearly 84 differ in LF-qLAMP. Therefore, this method provides a straightforward way to distinguish 85 pH shifts that are truly due to specific amplifications and are not false positives.

In this time of COVID-19, we also illustrate LF-qLAMP for the diagnostics of COVID-19
from saliva samples spiked with SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acids. Overall, we demonstrate the
utility of extraction-free LF-LAMP for COVID-19 from samples containing artificial
SARS-CoV-2 genetic material and from saliva samples containing artificial SARS-CoV-2
genetic material.

91

92

93 Materials and methods

94 *Equipment specifications:*

We fabricated a simple and portable prototype for the isothermal incubation of samples and
online monitoring of the LAMP amplification process using a commercial and low-cost pH
sensor (Gaohau pH 0–14; available at Amazon.com; USA), a commercial pH electrode
(inLab nano; Mettler Toledo, Switzerland), and an Arduino UNO® microprocessor
(Arduino, Italy).

100 This *ad hoc* heating system was assembled with components acquired over the internet 101 (amazon.com) and consisted of a 12V eliminator, a 10 mL vessel with a silicon lead, a 102 heating mat (electric resistance), and an Arduino UNO–based proportional integral 103 derivative (PID) temperature controller (fully described in the supplementary material). The 104 Arduino-based PID controller is depicted in Figure 2A and Figure S1. The code used in our 105 heating/amplification experiments has been made available as supplementary material 106 (Supplementary file 1).

107 The evolution of the amplification process was followed using commercially available pH electrodes (inLab nano, from Mettler Toledo, Switzerland; and Lab Sen 241-3, from Aprea 108 109 Instruments, available at Amazon.com). These electrodes were interfaced through an 110 Arduino UNO microprocessor using a pH sensor module (Gaohou pH 0-14 detect sensor module, available at Amazon.com). The InLab microelectrode, with a tip diameter of 1.3 111 112 mm, can be easily placed inside a conventional 200 µL Eppendorf tube and enables the use 113 of small reaction volumes (25–50 μ L of reaction mix and sample). Alternatively, we have 114 run online experiments using a lower cost electrode from Apera Instruments (~150 USD)

with a tip diameter of 3 mm, which can also be used with similar measuring performance
(supplementary material; Figure S1) if a larger reaction volume is used (~50 µL).

In our experiments, the insertion of the electrode within the reaction mix impedes closure of the Eppendorf tube during incubation. To avoid evaporation during heating, two drops of mineral oil were dispensed into each Eppendorf tube before electrode placement to allow the development of a thin lid layer of mineral oil at the liquid surface of the reaction mix. The Arduino code used in the experiments reported here are available as supplemental material (Supplemental file 1).

Experiments with synthetic genetic material: We ran several series of experiments where samples containing different quantities of SARS-CoV-2 synthetic genetic material were analyzed using LF-qLAMP. These amplification experiments were conducted using the homemade system previously described for isothermal heating of the samples at 62.5 +/-1.5 °C and for online monitoring of the progression of the electric potential in the reaction mix during amplification.

Samples were prepared by adding 4 µL of a solution containing the DNA template to 250 129 µL Eppendorf tubes containing 25 µL WarmStart[®] Colorimetric LAMP 2× Master Mix 130 (DNA & RNA) from New England Biolabs (MA, USA), 18 µL nucleasease-free water 131 132 from New England Biolabs (MA, USA), and 2 µL primer solution. Samples were prepared 133 by adding different quantities of positive DNA templates (i.e., 0, 10, 100, 1,000, and 10,000 copies of the gene N from SARS-CoV-2; Integrated DNA Technologies, IA, USA). 134 135 Negative amplification controls were prepared using the reaction mix, as previously 136 described, but without primer addition.

137 Preparation of saliva samples spiked with RNA extracts: A set of 5 saliva samples obtained 138 from healthy volunteers was spiked with different quantities of SARS-CoV-2 synthetic 139 genetic material. Saliva samples were also collected from a COVID-19(+) patient after obtaining written consent and in full compliance with the principles stated in 140 141 the Helsinki Declaration. Every precaution has been taken to protect the privacy of 142 sample donors and the confidentiality of their personal information. Our experimental protocols for saliva collection and saliva use in amplification was 143 144 approved, as part of a wider study to collect and test saliva samples from human 145 volunteers, by the Alfa Medical Research Committee in the resolution AMCCI-TECCOVID-001 on May 20th, 2020 (Alfa Medical Center, Research Committee; 146 Monterrey, NL, México). Saliva samples were inactivated by heat treatment at 62.5 °C 147 148 for 35 minutes prior to amplification.

149 *Amplification mix:* We used WarmStart[®] Colorimetric LAMP 2× Master Mix (DNA & 150 RNA) from New England Biolabs (MA, USA), and followed the recommended protocol: 151 25 μ L Readymix, 1.6 μ M FIP primer, 1.6 μ M BIP primer, 0.2 μ M F3 primer, 0.2 μ M B3 152 primer, 0.4 μ M LF primer, 0.4 μ M LB primer, 2 μ L DNA template (~ 625 to 2 × 10⁵ DNA 153 copies), and nuclease-free water in a final reaction volume of 50 μ L. This commercial mix 154 contains phenol red as a pH indicator to reveal the pH shift occurring during LAMP 155 amplification across the threshold of pH=6.8.

Primers used: A sets of six LAMP primers, referred to here as the α -set, was designed in 156 157 using LAMP design Primer Explorer house the primer software V5 (http://primerexplorer.jp/lampv5e/index.html). These LAMP primers were designed to 158 target a region of the sequence of the SARS-CoV-2 N gene; specifically, they were based 159

on the analysis of alignments of the SARS-CoV-2 N gene sequences using the Geneioussoftware (Auckland, New Zealand).

- 162 RT-qPCR amplification experiments were conducted using one the primer sets 163 recommended by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) for the standard diagnostics of 164 COVID-19 (i.e., the N1 assay). The sequences of our LAMP primers are presented in Table 165 1. The sequences of the PCR primers (N1) have been reported elsewhere [24,25].
- 166 *Amplification protocols*: For all LAMP experiments, we performed isothermal heating at
- 167 62.5 +/- 1.2 °C for 30 to 60 min.
- 168

169 **Results and discussion**

170 Rationale of the design and operation

Here, we have demonstrated the use a portable Arduino-based LAMP system as a label-free quantitative method (LF-qLAMP) for the reliable and fast identification of samples containing SARS-CoV-2 genetic material. We have introduced a simple and fully portable setup for the detection of viral genetic material using commercially available pH microelectrodes for real-time monitoring of the progression of LAMP reactions. Remarkably, this LAMP-based method is capable of discerning between positive and negative samples during the first three minutes of amplification.

The rationale behind the operation of this strategy is straightforward. In the weakly buffered reaction mix used for LAMP, the release of H_3O^+ ions inherent in the amplification process [26] can be measured in real time as a difference in the electric potential[27,28] or a pH change [29,30]. We fabricated a simple and portable prototype to

accomplish two purposes: the isothermal incubation of samples and the online monitoring
of the amplification process. To this aim, we used a commercial and low-cost pH sensor, a
commercial pH electrode, and an Arduino UNO® microprocessor.

185 Figure 1 shows the different aspects of this prototype. The isothermal amplification 186 reactions were conducted at 62.5 °C +/- 1.5 °C in 200 µL Eppendorf tubes immersed in a 187 mineral oil bath (Figure 1A) and containing a total reaction mix volume of 50 µL. The tip 188 of a commercial pH microelectrode was immersed into the reaction mix for online 189 monitoring of the progression of the electric potential (in mV) using an Arduino-based 190 system. A simple Arduino code was used to enable the collection and transmission of data 191 to the computer (Supplementary File S1); the difference in the electric potential (ΔP), as 192 evaluated in the reaction solution, was continuously transmitted and recorded at a sampling 193 rate of 1 Hz (i.e., 1 sampling point per second).

194 The stability of the electric potential readings is affected by temperature fluctuations around 195 the set point. Therefore, adequate temperature control (62.5 +/- 1.5 °C) is highly 196 recommended for conclusive results. In our experiments, executed at home, the temperature 197 within the water bath was controlled at 62.5 °C using the Arduino-based PID controller. 198 For convenience, we have included all the information needed to build this portable and 199 low-cost isothermal PID-incubator for Eppendorf tubes that enables full portability (Figure 200 1; Figure 2) of the system. Alternatively, the LAMP reaction can be incubated in any 201 commercial thermo block or in a miniPCR® apparatus [31].

202

Figure 1

Figure 2 schematically shows the connections that should be made between the Arduino microcontroller, the low-cost pH sensor, and the rest of the components of the circuit that

constitute the PID control system and the online electric potential monitor. Figure S1 (in
supplementary material) shows an image of the actual experimental Arduino-based PID
controller system.

208

Figure 2

209 Characterization of the performance of the monitoring system

We evaluated the stability of the potential signal at the temperature set point and during temperature ramps. The electric potential is a strong function of the temperature (Figure 3A). For instance, the electric potential increased ~90 mV per 10°C in our experiments in the range of 45 to 55 °C. By contrast, at isothermal conditions, the intrinsic variation of the electric potential was very stable; the variations were lower than 0.3% for the range of pH buffers that we assayed.

216 We also analyzed the ability of this simple PID controller to function for extended 217 incubation periods (i.e., 60 minutes) and under different room temperatures (Figure 3B). 218 Overall, we found that this simple incubator system is sufficiently robust to maintain the temperature at the set point (average temperature of 62.5 °C; average standard deviation of 219 220 0.5 °C) regardless of the room temperature (i.e., in a range between 15 and 25 °C). As we 221 will show, this level of control is sufficient to obtain reliable and reproducible results of 222 determination of the electric potential in solutions with different pH values and during 223 LAMP reactions.

In a first set of experiments, we evaluated the robustness and consistency of the characteristics of the electrode readings at different pH values. Figure 3A shows

226	representative readings associated with the immersion of the microelectrode in buffer
227	solution of different pH values (i.e., pH 6.0, 6.4, 6.8, 7.2, 7.6, and 8.0).

228

Figure 3

The standard deviation associated with the readings within this window of pH values ranges from 1.38 and 6.91 mV (Table S1). For example, the average electric potential measured for a buffer solution at pH 6.8 (which is approximately the threshold value of phenol red) was 2777 mV and exhibited a standard deviation of +/- 1.38 mV.

233 A buffer solution at pH 8.0 (which is similar to the pH value of the LAMP buffer used) had 234 an electric potential of 2587.58 mV with a standard deviation of \pm -6.21 mV. Overall, we 235 observed coefficients of variance lower than 0.3% for all the experiments conducted in the 236 absence of amplification reactions. In all these cases, the electric potential remains 237 essentially stable during incubation periods of 60 minutes. This indicates that the inherent 238 error (i.e., the intrinsic fluctuation associated with the potential signal at the experimental conditions) is in the range of +/- 12.3 mV (i.e., the maximum standard deviations are lower 239 240 than 7 mV). We also evaluated the stability of the signal reported by the microelectrode 241 when immersed in the buffer of the reaction mix. Our results also suggest that the potential readings obtained using this simple sensing system are robust and steady, with intrinsic 242 243 coefficients of variance lower than 1%.

244 Discrimination between positive and negative samples

Using the simple Arduino-based system that we have described, we incubated a series of LAMP reactions and monitored the progress of the amplification reaction by measuring the electric potential in the reaction mix in real time. We successfully discriminated between

248 COVID-19(+) and COVID-19(-) samples in a set of synthetic samples and in a set of saliva
249 samples spiked with synthetic SARS-CoV-2 genetic material.

We first characterized the baseline corresponding to negative samples, where no primers were added (supplementary material; Figure S2), As expected, the signal associated with these samples was relatively steady (average standard deviations ~10.7; n=3). We then monitored the evolution of the LAMP reactions in the negative samples containing LAMP reaction mix and primers, but no synthetic SARS-CoV-2 genetic material. One of the limitations of colorimetric LAMP-based methods based on the phenol red color

shift is that some degree of color change exists in samples containing no template (see also
ref [27]). This has been associated to the occurrence of non-specific amplification induced
by the interaction among the LAMP primers [23,32], which often serve as templates for
off-target polymerizations. In general, non-specific amplification is believed to be the main
reason for false positives in LAMP colorimetric reactions.

Figure 4 A shows the typical profile of the progression of the electric potential in negative samples (with primers, reaction mix, but no SARS-CoV-2 template). Four representative replicates are shown. The shape of the progression of the electric potential in the negative samples is highly consistent. Figure 4B presents a normalization of the four representative curves shown in Figure 4A. The curves were normalized by subtracting the initial potential value for each curve. In doing so, all curves practically collapse into an invariant shape.

The black line in Figure 4B depicts the average of the four curves corresponding to independently run negative samples. This profile, characteristic of negative samples, is distinguishable from that inherent to the specific amplification observed in samples that do contain SARS-CoV-2 genetic material. Figure 4C shows the trajectories of the electric

potential associated with positive samples containing different quantities of SARS-CoV-2
synthetic genetic material (color curves).

273

Figure 4

274 The average progression of the electric potential in negative samples (from Figure 4B) is 275 indicated by the gray line. The potential exhibits a distinctive, practically immediate, and 276 sharp increase at the incubation conditions that are associated with a high initial rate of amplification. The initial slope of the potential curve is steeper in positive samples than in 277 negative samples. However, a high sampling rate is required in the monitoring device for a 278 279 clear identification of this steep initial slope. In our experiments, we achieved the resolution 280 needed to identify the sharp slope associated with samples that contained SARS-CoV-2 281 genetic material by sampling at least once per second (the Arduino code is available in 282 supplementary material; File S1). Lower sampling rates (e.g., 0.2 Hz) do not serve the 283 purpose of clearly resolving the initial slope of the reaction in positive samples 284 (supplementary material; Figure S3). We also observed that the evolution of each curve is 285 consistent with the copy load in the positive samples, so that higher copy numbers originate 286 curves with a higher initial slope than is observed for curves associated with lower loads. 287 Since the initial rate of amplification is remarkably high in positive samples (> 25 to 75 mV s⁻¹), the differences between slopes can be clearly established only if high sampling 288 289 rates (~ 1 sample s^{-1} : 1 Hz) are imposed.

In general, discrimination in positive samples between negative samples and positive samples with medium to high viral loads (i.e., at least 100 copies) by comparison of the potential trajectories. However, samples containing a low copy number (i.e., less than 10 gene copies) cannot be distinguished from negative samples by only comparing trajectories

294 (Figure 4C). The inset in Figure 4C zooms in on the first five minutes of the amplification 295 reaction and shows that the behavior of the trajectory of the amplification reaction in 296 positive and negative samples can be better discriminated at this first stage of the 297 amplification. For instance, the value of the area under the curve or of ΔP versus t is 298 distinguishably higher in positive samples than in negative samples during the first stage of 299 the amplification. Here, we define IP3, the integral of the potential with respect to time for 300 the first 3 minutes of reaction, as an indicator of specific amplification of genetic material 301 in the LAMP reactions (equation 1).

302 $IP_3 = \int \Delta \mathbf{P}^* dt \mid_{3min}$ equation (1)

303
$$\Delta \mathbf{P}^* = (\Delta P_i - \Delta P_o)$$
 equation (2)

Here, ΔP_i is the value of electric potential measured at every sampling point and ΔP_o is the value of electric potential at the beginning of the experiment. Therefore ΔP^* is the increment in potential measured at each sampling event (i.e., in our case, every second) with respect to the initial value of electric potential in the reaction mix at the initial point of the amplification. Equation 3 provides a step by step approximation of IP₃.

309 IP₃ ~
$$\Sigma_{i \text{ to n}} (\Delta \mathbf{P}^*)_i (\Delta \mathbf{t})$$
 equation (3)

Here, n is the number of sampling points within the time frame from 0 to 3 minutes. Indeed, we found that IP_3 is a reliable and robust predictor that enables the consistent and rapid identification of samples containing (or not containing) SARS-CoV-2 genetic material. Figure 4C shows the evolution of the integral of IP_3 for the first 3 minutes of a set of LAMP reactions. Progressions corresponding to samples added with different quantities of synthetic genetic material (i.e., 10, 100, 1000, and 10000 copies of the N gene of SARS-

316 CoV-2) were compared versus three independent repeats of negative samples (i.e., samples 317 without SARS-CoV-2 genetic material). Negative samples (plotted in yellow) exhibit 318 integrals significantly lower than those calculated from the analysis of positive samples. In 319 addition, a linear correlation can be established between the logarithm of the copy number 320 and the value of the integral (Figure 4D; inset). These results suggest that IP_3 is a reliable 321 and quantitative indicator of the specific amplification of genetic material in simple 322 samples.

323 qLAMP in saliva samples

We then extended the use of this embodiment of qLAMP to the identification of SARS-CoV-2 genetic material in saliva samples. As already mentioned, colorimetric LAMP using phenol red has recently received attention as a cost-effective diagnostic method that enables discrimination between COVID(+) and COVID(-) samples by naked eye inspection and is therefore independent of the use of costly PCR instruments[11,33].

329 However, colorimetric LAMP exhibits its own important limitations, and its direct 330 implementation in saliva samples is technically challenging. Human saliva exhibits a wide 331 range of pH values[34,35]; therefore, even small volumes of saliva may significantly affect 332 the initial pH of the reaction mix in LAMP-based methods. Acidic saliva samples may 333 induce a premature shift in the pH (and the color) that is not associated with amplification 334 and will eventually lead to a false positive diagnosis. The direct and real-time reading of 335 electric potential throughout the amplification offers a solution to some of the limitations of 336 final-point colorimetric LAMp methods in the context of the analysis of saliva samples.

Figure 5A shows the results of the progression of LAMP amplification in saliva sampleswith or without added synthetic genetic material in different quantities (i.e., in the range of

339 10 to 10,000 copies of the N gene of SARS-CoV-2). In this experimental set, the reaction 340 mix contained $3-4 \mu L$ of real undiluted saliva (volume defined based in ref [15]) from 341 SARS-CoV-2 negative volunteers (as determined by end-point colorimetric LAMP). As 342 before, the amplification curves that corresponded to samples spiked with SARS-CoV-2 343 genetic material exhibited a steep slope that was immediately observable upon initiation of 344 the incubation. The typical smoother progression associated with negative samples (Figure 345 4B) was also observed in negative saliva samples. In Figure 5B, we have plotted the results 346 from a set of experiments in which the IP₃ values for saliva samples with or without SARS-347 CoV-2 genetic material were calculated. The IP_3 values were clearly higher in positive 348 samples than in negative samples. The positive samples with low to medium SARS-CoV-2 349 gene copy loads were also clearly distinguishable from negative samples.

350

Figure 5

351 The IP₃ value is an indicator of the extent of specific amplification that is somehow 352 analogous to the progression of the change of color (from red-magenta to crisp yellow; 353 Figure 5C) during the amplification in colorimetric LAMP. Indeed, the use of a commercial 354 color sensor allows the quantitative analysis of the process of the color change during 355 colorimetric LAMP[36] mediated by phenol red, as we and others have shown previously 356 [11,37] (supplementary material; Figure S4). For comparison, we monitored online the 357 change in color associated with the process of amplification in samples that contained 358 different quantities of SARS-CoV-2 genetic material (Figure 5D).

Note that the shape of the curves that describes the change in color and the change in potential are similar. This is expected. Both processes (i.e., the change in electric potential in the reaction mix and the development of color) are cumulative processes directly related

to the release of protons during amplification. However, the time scale at which color and electric potential evolve differs quite drastically. Changes in color are observable at a much slower rate—positive and negative samples can be discriminated due to their color evolution within the first 30 minutes of reaction (supplementary material; Figure S4 A and C). Remarkably, the evolution of the IP₃ indicator introduced here enables a reliable discrimination between positive and negative samples within the initial 3 minutes of the reaction.

369 **Potential relevance and limitations**

370 The result presented here may be highly relevant in practical terms. The typical 371 concentration of gene copies of SARS-CoV-2 in the saliva of positive subjects (even asymptomatic ones) is generally higher than 10^5 mL^{-1} ($10^3 \mu \text{L}^{-1}$). In the analysis presented 372 here, $3-4 \mu L^{-1}$ of undiluted saliva can be added without observing inhibitory effects in 373 374 terms of the extent of the amplification. Therefore, copy number values greater than 10^3 per 375 assay can be expected. Based on our experiments, the limit of detection/discrimination of 376 this method, assisted by online monitoring of the electric potential of the solution during 377 amplification, is two orders of magnitude below this (i.e., 10–100 SARS-CoV-2 copies per 378 assay). This suggests that this method could render reliable diagnostic results for diagnosis 379 of COVID-19 in unextracted (undiluted or diluted) saliva samples that have even moderate 380 or low viral loads. This hypothesis remains to be tested by the diagnostic evaluation of 381 saliva samples from actual patients and at this point should be taken as an inference.

Several limitations of the methodology presented here should also be noted. The successful performance of this diagnostic strategy is centered on the ability to sample the electric potential (or pH) of the reaction mix frequently (the authors recommend at least at 1.0 Hz)

during the initial stage of the amplification reaction (i.e., at least during the initial three minutes). Therefore, this requires an electrode with a sufficiently fast response and a sensor capable of operating at a sufficiently high sampling rate (at least one pH measurement per second). In addition, equilibration of the electrode at the typical initial pH (or potential) of the LAMP reaction mix is desirable. Failure to do this may hinder the resolution of the readings during the first seconds of the amplification due to a lag in the response or the adjustment of the sensor to the pH conditions (supplementary material; Figure S3).

392 In the current developmental state of our diagnostic device, we depend on the use of 393 commercial pH microelectrodes that are relatively expensive. For instance, we estimate that 394 the cost of development a diagnostic unit capable of running 8 reactions simultaneously in 395 our lab would be on the order of 10,000 USD. In addition, the use of glass or membrane-396 based pH microelectrodes may be a source of contamination of samples with residual DNA 397 (from a previous positive amplification). Protocols should be established that can 398 effectively remove residual nucleic acids after the completion of an amplification 399 experiment from the electrode. The development of disposable and low-cost pH 400 microelectrodes should be a priority for enabling the cost-effective use of this strategy to 401 intensify the ability to perform label-free and portable/mobile molecular diagnostics of 402 SARS-CoV-2 and other pathogens.

403 **Conclusions and outlook**

404 Preparedness to face infectious diseases is the key to buffering the spread of epidemics and
405 minimizing the number of human deaths. Extraction-free and portable diagnostics from
406 saliva will greatly enable the intensification of testing efforts in the context of pandemic
407 COVID-19 and other infectious diseases.

408 Here, we have introduced a strategy for reliable and consistent identification of samples 409 containing SARS-CoV-2 genetic material. This strategy relies on the real-time monitoring 410 of the LAMP reaction in the weakly buffered reaction mix commonly used for colorimetric 411 LAMP. The continuous release of protons associated with the incorporation of bases into 412 the nascent chains of DNA during amplification is measured online with a pH 413 microelectrode that is immersed in the reaction mix incubated at 62.5 °C. We also showed that proper isothermal control and online determination of changes in potential (or pH) in 414 415 the LAMP reaction mix can be appropriately accomplished using a simple Arduino-based 416 device.

417 In addition, we demonstrate that the area under the curve that describes the time evolution of the values of the electric potential of the reaction mix during the first three minutes of 418 419 the amplification (i.e., defined here as IP_3) is a reliable predictor of the extent of specific 420 amplification occurring during the LAMP reactions. The evaluation of IP₃ enables the 421 consistent discrimination between samples containing or not containing SARS-CoV-2 422 genetic material. We also demonstrated the feasibility of using this portable, fast, and reliable diagnostic strategy with saliva samples containing different loads of SARS-CoV-2 423 424 genetic material.

Recent reports have shown that the performance of LAMP is greatly influenced by the reaction conditions and the reagents used (i.e., primers sets, retro-transcriptases or proteases, additives, and fluorescent dyes, among others) [17,38]. The label-free qLAMP platform presented here may be highly useful in the selection of reaction reagents (i.e., alternative polymerases, additives to enhance the amplification, or primer sets) or optimization of LAMP conditions (i.e., temperatures and concentrations). The mere

431 comparison of the curves of evolution of protons with time under different reaction
432 conditions provides a direct way to evaluate the effect of any modifications in the protocol
433 on the overall performance of the amplification.

The release of protons during amplification, which is the underlying process that we are monitoring, is inherent in all nucleic acid amplification methods. Therefore, the methodology of real-time monitoring of the change in electric potential during amplification is fully translatable to other amplification schemes (i.e., qPCR and RPA, among others).

439

440 Acknowledgments

441 EGG and SB acknowledge funding from doctoral scholarship provided by CONACyT 442 (Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, México). GTdS and MMA acknowledge the 443 institutional funding received from Tecnológico de Monterrey (Grant 002EICIS01 and 444 Novus 2019) and CONACyT (Sistema Nacional de Investigadores; 66839 and 26048). The 445 authors acknowledge the funding provided by the Federico Baur Endowed Chair in 446 Nanotechnology (0020240I03). The authors gratefully acknowledge funding from 447 Fundación-FEMSA and from an alumnus of Tecnológico de Monterrey who prefers to 448 remain anonymous.

449 **CRediT author statement**

450 Mario Moisés Alvarez: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Formal Analysis,
451 Resources, Software, Data curation, Writing - original draft, Writing-Reviewing & Editing,

452 Supervision, Project Administration, Funding acquisition; Sergio Bravo-González:

453	Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Software; Everardo González-González:
454	Methodology, Investigation, Resources; Grissel Trujillo-de Santiago: Conceptualization,
455	Methodology, Resources, Writing-Reviewing & Editing, Supervision, Project
456	Administration, Funding acquisition.
457	
458	Competing interest
459	The authors declare no competing interests.
460	
461	
462	
463	
464	
465	
466	
467	
468	
469	
470	
471	
472	
473	
474	
475	

- **Figures and captions:**



Figure 1. Experimental setup. (A) A micro pH electrode is inserted into the Loop-mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) colorimetric reaction mix and (B) a thin layer of oil is added to avoid evaporation during incubation (C) in an oil bath. (D) Image of the actual system; a heating mat connected to an Arduino-based proportional integral derivative (PID) controller is used to control the temperature at 62.5 +/- 1.2 °C.



498 Figure 2. Schematic representation of the Arduino-based proportional integral derivative (PID)
 499 temperature controller and online electric potential monitoring system.
 500

.



- 526 527
- 528
- 529

530 Figure 3. Basic characterization of the electric potential monitoring system and the Arduino-based 531 proportional integral derivative (PID) controller. (A) The potential signal is stable at different pH 532 values. (B) A linear dependence between pH and potential differential was observed in the entire 533 range of pH values relevant to the Loop-mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) reaction. 534 (C) The potential differential is stable at isothermal conditions. (D) The Arduino-based PID 535 controller is able to maintain the temperature value around the set point value (62.5 +/- 0.5° C) in a 536 set of 16 independent experiments. The red bar indicates the average temperature and standard 537 deviations of this set of 16 independent experiments. 538



542 Discrimination between SARS-CoV-2 positive and negative samples using online Figure 4. 543 electric potential measurements. (A) Measurement of four different negative samples (i.e., samples without SARS-CoV-2 genetic material, but containing reaction mix and primers). (B) Normalized 544 545 signal of a set of four different negative samples indicated with yellow lines. Values were 546 normalized by subtracting the initial potential value of each curve. The black line depicts the average of the four yellow trajectories and is characteristic of the progression of the Loop-547 548 mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) reaction observed in negative samples. (C) 549 Comparison of the online potential signal in samples containing 10,000 (magenta), 1000 (red), 100 (pink), and 10 (yellow) copies of synthetic SARS-CoV-2 genetic material. The average of four 550 551 negative samples is depicted in gray. The inset shows a close-up of the first five minutes of the 552 amplification reaction. (D) Plot of the integral of the differential of potential with respect to time for 553 the first 3 minutes of amplification in samples containing different copy numbers of synthetic 554 SARS-CoV-2 genetic material: 10,000 (dark blue), 1000 (brown), 100 (light blue), and 10 (red) copies of synthetic SARS-CoV-2 genetic material. Trends associated with negative samples are 555 556 presented in blue, orange, green, and yellow. 557





565 Figure 5. Discrimination between SARS-CoV-2 positive and negative saliva samples using online electric potential measurements. (A) Results from the online evaluation of electric potential in a 566 567 saliva sample from a volunteer diagnosed as SARS-CoV-2 negative (dark blue curve) and a set of 568 five saliva samples added with 10 (blue line), 100 (green line), 1000 (orange curve), 5000 (beige 569 curve), and 10000 copies (brown curve) of SARS-CoV-2 genetic material. (B) Plot of the integral 570 of the differential of potential with respect to time for the first 3 minutes of amplification in saliva 571 samples containing different copy numbers of synthetic SARS-CoV-2 genetic material in the range 572 of 10-100 copies (purple, green and red curves) or not containing SARS-CoV-2 genetic material (orange and blue curves). The blue curve corresponds to the analysis of an actual sample from a 573 574 symptomatic patient later confirmed as SARS-CoV-2 negative by RT-qPCR. (C) Typical color 575 progression (from red-magenta to crisp yellow in a positive sample) through colorimetric Loopmediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP). (D) Evolution of the difference in color (with 576 577 respect to the initial red-magenta color) in positive samples containing 0 (green), 10 (blue), 100 578 (red), and 1000 (purple) added synthetic copies of SARS-CoV-2 genetic material. 579

580	
-----	--

581

582

- 583
- _ _ _ _
- 584
- 585

Table 1. Sequences of the Loop-mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) primers used
for the detection of segments of genetic material encoding for the expression of the N
protein of SARS-CoV-2.

589 590

590	G (
-	Set		Description	Primers Sequence (5'>3')
	Prime	er set α	2019-nCoV 1-F3	
			2019 - 11COV 1 - DS 2010 - nCoV 1 - EID	
			2019-1100 1-111	CACCCCGCATTACG
			2019-nCoV 1-BIP	CGCGATCAAAACAACGTCGGCCCTTG
				CCATGTTGAGTGAGA
			2019-nCoV 1-LF	TGAATCTGAGGGTCCACCAA
			2019-nCoV 1-LB	TTACCCAATAATACTGCGTCTTGGT
591				
592				
593				
594				
595				
596				
597				
598	Refe	rences		
599	[1]	Home - Johr	ns Hopkins Coronavirus	Resource Center, (n.d.). https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/
600		(accessed Se	eptember 10, 2020).	
601	[2]	M.N. Esbin,	O.N. Whitney, S. Chon	g, A. Maurer, X. Darzacq, R. Tjian, Overcoming the
602		bottleneck to	widespread testing: A 1	apid review of nucleic acid testing approaches for
603		COVID-19 d	detection, RNA. 26 (202	0) 771–783. doi:10.1261/rna.076232.120.
604	[3]	N. Younes, l	D.W. Al-Sadeq, H. AL-J	ighefee, S. Younes, O. Al-Jamal, H.I. Daas, H.M.
605		Yassine, G.H	K. Nasrallah, Challenges	in Laboratory Diagnosis of the Novel Coronavirus
606		SARS-CoV-	-2, Viruses. 12 (2020) 58	32. doi:10.3390/v12060582.
607	[4]	M.P. Cheng,	, J. Papenburg, M. Desja	rdins, S. Kanjilal, C. Quach, M. Libman, S. Dittrich,
608		C.P. Yansou	ni, Diagnostic Testing for	or Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Related
609		Coronavirus	2: A Narrative Review,	Ann. Intern. Med. 172 (2020) 726-734.

610 doi:10.7326/M20-1301.

611 [5] C.B.F. Vogels, A.F. Brito, A.L. Wyllie, J.R. Fauver, I.M. Ott, C.C. Kalinich, M.E. Petrone,

612		A. Casanovas-Massana, M. Catherine Muenker, A.J. Moore, J. Klein, P. Lu, A. Lu-Culligan,
613		X. Jiang, D.J. Kim, E. Kudo, T. Mao, M. Moriyama, J.E. Oh, A. Park, J. Silva, E. Song, T.
614		Takahashi, M. Taura, M. Tokuyama, A. Venkataraman, O. El Weizman, P. Wong, Y. Yang,
615		N.R. Cheemarla, E.B. White, S. Lapidus, R. Earnest, B. Geng, P. Vijayakumar, C. Odio, J.
616		Fournier, S. Bermejo, S. Farhadian, C.S. Dela Cruz, A. Iwasaki, A.I. Ko, M.L. Landry, E.F.
617		Foxman, N.D. Grubaugh, Analytical sensitivity and efficiency comparisons of SARS-CoV-2
618		RT-qPCR primer-probe sets, Nat. Microbiol. 5 (2020) 1299-1305. doi:10.1038/s41564-
619		020-0761-6.
620	[6]	J.M. Sharfstein, S.J. Becker, M.M. Mello, Diagnostic Testing for the Novel Coronavirus,
621		JAMA - J. Am. Med. Assoc. 323 (2020) 1437–1438. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.3864.
622	[7]	A.K. Giri, D.R. Rana, Charting the challenges behind the testing of COVID-19 in
623		developing countries: Nepal as a case study, Biosaf. Heal. 2 (2020) 53-56.
624		doi:10.1016/j.bsheal.2020.05.002.
625	[8]	L. Yu, S. Wu, X. Hao, X. Dong, L. Mao, V. Pelechano, WH. Chen, X. Yin, Rapid
626		Detection of COVID-19 Coronavirus Using a Reverse Transcriptional Loop-Mediated
627		Isothermal Amplification (RT-LAMP) Diagnostic Platform, Clin. Chem. 66 (2020) 975-
628		977. doi:10.1093/clinchem/hvaa102.
629	[9]	L.E. Lamb, S.N. Bartolone, E. Ward, M.B. Chancellor, Rapid Detection of Novel
630		Coronavirus (COVID19) by Reverse Transcription-Loop-Mediated Isothermal
631		Amplification, SSRN Electron. J. (2020). doi:10.2139/ssrn.3539654.
632	[10]	Y. Zhang, N. Odiwuor, J. Xiong, L. Sun, R.O. Nyaruaba, H. Wei, N.A. Tanner, Rapid
633		Molecular Detection of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Virus RNA Using Colorimetric LAMP,
634		MedRxiv. 2 (2020) 2020.02.26.20028373. doi:10.1101/2020.02.26.20028373.
635	[11]	E. González-González, I.M. Lara-Mayorga, I.P. Rodríguez-Sánchez, Y.S. Zhang, S.O.
636		Martínez-Chapa, G.T. Santiago, M.M. Alvarez, Colorimetric loop-mediated isothermal
637		amplification (LAMP) for cost-effective and quantitative detection of SARS-CoV-2: the
638		change in color in LAMP-based assays quantitatively correlates with viral copy number,
639		Anal. Methods. 13 (2021) 169-178. doi:10.1039/d0ay01658f.
640	[12]	J. Rodriguez-Manzano, K. Malpartida-Cardenas, N. Moser, I. Pennisi, M. Cavuto, L.
641		Miglietta, A. Moniri, R. Penn, G. Satta, P. Randell, F. Davies, F. Bolt, W. Barclay, A.
642		Holmes, P. Georgiou, Handheld Point-of-Care System for Rapid Detection of SARS-CoV-2
643		Extracted RNA in under 20 min, ACS Cent. Sci. (2021). doi:10.1021/acscentsci.0c01288.
644	[13]	X. Zhu, X. Wang, L. Han, T. Chen, L. Wang, H. Li, S. Li, L. He, X. Fu, S. Chen, M. Xing,
645		H. Chen, Y. Wang, Multiplex reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification

646		combined with nanoparticle-based lateral flow biosensor for the diagnosis of COVID-19,
647		Biosens. Bioelectron. 166 (2020) 112437. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2020.112437.
648	[14]	N. Toppings, A. Mohon, Y. Lee, H. Kumar, D. Lee, R. Kapoor, G. Singh, L. Oberding, O.
649		Abdullah, K. Kim, B. Berenger, D. Pillai, Saliva-Dry LAMP: A Rapid Near-Patient
650		Detection System for SARS-CoV-2, (n.d.). doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-141322/v1.
651	[15]	M.A. Lalli, J.S. Langmade, X. Chen, C.C. Fronick, C.S. Sawyer, L.C. Burcea, M.N.
652		Wilkinson, R.S. Fulton, M. Heinz, W.J. Buchser, R.D. Head, R.D. Mitra, J. Milbrandt,
653		Rapid and Extraction-Free Detection of SARS-CoV-2 from Saliva by Colorimetric Reverse-
654		Transcription Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification, Clin. Chem. 67 (2021) 415-424.
655		doi:10.1093/clinchem/hvaa267.
656	[16]	B.A. Rabe, C. Cepko, SARS-CoV-2 detection using isothermal amplification and a rapid,
657		inexpensive protocol for sample inactivation and purification, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.
658		117 (2020) 24450–24458. doi:10.1073/pnas.2011221117.
659	[17]	A. Alekseenko, D. Barrett, Y. Pareja-Sanchez, R.J. Howard, E. Strandback, H. Ampah-
660		Korsah, U. Rovšnik, S. Zuniga-Veliz, A. Klenov, J. Malloo, S. Ye, X. Liu, B. Reinius, S.J.
661		Elsässer, T. Nyman, G. Sandh, X. Yin, V. Pelechano, Direct detection of SARS-CoV-2
662		using non-commercial RT-LAMP reagents on heat-inactivated samples, Sci. Rep. 11 (2021)
663		1820. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-80352-8.
664	[18]	N. L'Helgouach, P. Champigneux, F.S. Schneider, L. Molina, J. Espeut, M. Alali, J.
665		Baptiste, L. Cardeur, B. Dubuc, V. Foulongne, F. Galtier, A. Makinson, G. Marin, M.C.
666		Picot, A. Prieux-Lejeune, M. Quenot, F.C. Robles, N. Salvetat, D. Vetter, J. Reynes, F.
667		Molina, EasyCOV: LAMP based rapid detection of SARS CoV 2 in saliva, MedRxiv.
668		(2020) 2020.05.30.20117291. doi:10.1101/2020.05.30.20117291.
669	[19]	E. González-González, I.M. Lara-Mayorga, I.P. Rodríguez-Sánchez, Y.S. Zhang, S.O.
670		Martinez-Chapa, G. Trujillo de Santiago, M.M. Alvarez, Colorimetric Loop-mediated
671		Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) for cost-effective and quantitative detection of SARS-
672		CoV-2: The change in color in LAMP-based assays quantitatively correlates with viral copy
673		number., Anal. Methods. (2020). doi:10.1039/D0AY01658F.
674	[20]	N.A. Tanner, Y. Zhang, T.C. Evans, Visual detection of isothermal nucleic acid
675		amplification using pH-sensitive dyes, Biotechniques. 58 (2015) 59-68.
676		doi:10.2144/000114253.
677	[21]	M.J. Kellner, J.J. Ross, J. Schnabl, M.P.S. Dekens, R. Heinen, I. Grishkovskaya, B. Bauer, J.
678		Stadlmann, L. Menéndez-Arias, R. Fritsche-Polanz, M. Traugott, T. Seitz, A. Zoufaly, M.
679		Födinger, C. Wenisch, J. Zuber, A. Pauli, J. Brennecke, S. Ameres, B. Bauer, N. Beer, K.

680		Bergauer, W. Binder, C. Blaukopf, B. Bochev, J. Brennecke, S. Brinnich, A. Bundalo, M.
681		Busslinger, A. Bykov, T. Clausen, L. Cochella, G. de Vries, M. Dekens, D. Drechsel, Z.
682		Dzupinkova, M. Eckmann-Mader, U. Elling, M. Fellner, T. Fellner, L. Fin, B.V. Gapp, G.
683		Grabmann, I. Grishkovskaya, A. Hagelkruys, B. Hajdusits, D. Haselbach, R. Heinen, L. Hill,
684		D. Hoffmann, S. Horer, H. Isemann, R. Kalis, M. Kellner, J. Kley, T. Köcher, A. Köhler, D.
685		Kordic, C. Krauditsch, S. Kula, R. Latham, M.C. Leitner, T. Leonard, D. Lindenhofer, R.A.
686		Manzenreither, K. Mechtler, A. Meinhart, S. Mereiter, T. Micheler, P. Moeseneder, S.
687		Nimpf, M. Nordborg, E. Ogris, M. Pagani, A. Pauli, J.M. Peters, P. Pjevac, C. Plaschka, M.
688		Rath, D. Reumann, S. Rieser, M. Rocha-Hasler, A. Rodriguez, J.J. Ross, H. Scheuch, K.
689		Schindler, C. Schmidt, H. Schmidt, J. Schnabl, S. Schüchner, T. Schwickert, A. Sommer, J.
690		Stadlmann, A. Stark, P. Steinlein, S. Strobl, Q. Sun, W. Tang, L. Trübestein, C. Umkehrer,
691		S. Urmosi-Incze, K. Uzunova, G. Versteeg, A. Vogt, V. Vogt, M. Wagner, M.
692		Weissenboeck, B. Werner, R. Yelagandula, J. Zuber, A rapid, highly sensitive and open-
693		access SARS-CoV-2 detection assay for laboratory and home testing, BioRxiv. (2020).
694		doi:10.1101/2020.06.23.166397.
695	[22]	J.C. Rolando, E. Jue, J.T. Barlow, R.F. Ismagilov, Real-time kinetics and high-resolution
696		melt curves in single-molecule digital LAMP to differentiate and study specific and non-
697		specific amplification, Nucleic Acids Res. 48 (2021) 42. doi:10.1093/NAR/GKAA099.
698	[23]	X. Gao, B. Sun, Y. Guan, Pullulan reduces the non-specific amplification of loop-mediated
699		isothermal amplification (LAMP), Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 411 (2019) 1211-1218.
700		doi:10.1007/s00216-018-1552-2.
701	[24]	E. Gonzalez-Gonzalez, G.T. Santiago, I.M. Lara-Mayorga, S.O. Martinez-Chapa, M.M.
702		Alvarez, Portable and accurate diagnostics for COVID-19: Combined use of the miniPCR
703		thermocycler and a well-plate reader for SARS-Co2 virus detection, MedRxiv. (2020)
704		2020.04.03.20052860. doi:10.1101/2020.04.03.20052860.
705	[25]	OSF Preprints Landscape Coronavirus Disease 2019 test (COVID-19 test) in vitro A
706		comparison of PCR vs Immunoassay vs Crispr-Based test, (n.d.). https://osf.io/6eagn
707		(accessed April 8, 2020).
708	[26]	J.M. Rothberg, W. Hinz, T.M. Rearick, J. Schultz, W. Mileski, M. Davey, J.H. Leamon, K.
709		Johnson, M.J. Milgrew, M. Edwards, J. Hoon, J.F. Simons, D. Marran, J.W. Myers, J.F.
710		Davidson, A. Branting, J.R. Nobile, B.P. Puc, D. Light, T.A. Clark, M. Huber, J.T.
711		Branciforte, I.B. Stoner, S.E. Cawley, M. Lyons, Y. Fu, N. Homer, M. Sedova, X. Miao, B.
712		Reed, J. Sabina, E. Feierstein, M. Schorn, M. Alanjary, E. Dimalanta, D. Dressman, R.
713		Kasinskas, T. Sokolsky, J.A. Fidanza, E. Namsaraev, K.J. McKernan, A. Williams, G.T.

714		Roth, J. Bustillo, An integrated semiconductor device enabling non-optical genome
715		sequencing, Nature. 475 (2011) 348-352. doi:10.1038/nature10242.
716	[27]	D. Gosselin, M. Gougis, M. Baque, F.P. Navarro, M.N. Belgacem, D. Chaussy, A.G.
717		Bourdat, P. Mailley, J. Berthier, Screen-Printed Polyaniline-Based Electrodes for the Real-
718		Time Monitoring of Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification Reactions, Anal. Chem. 89
719		(2017) 10124–10128. doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.7b02394.
720	[28]	D. Han, R. Chand, Y.S. Kim, Microscale loop-mediated isothermal amplification of viral
721		DNA with real-time monitoring on solution-gated graphene FET microchip, Biosens.
722		Bioelectron. 93 (2017) 220–225. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2016.08.115.
723	[29]	C. Toumazou, L.M. Shepherd, S.C. Reed, G.I. Chen, A. Patel, D.M. Garner, C.J.A. Wang,
724		C.P. Ou, K. Amin-Desai, P. Athanasiou, H. Bai, I.M.Q. Brizido, B. Caldwell, D. Coomber-
725		Alford, P. Georgiou, K.S. Jordan, J.C. Joyce, M. La Mura, D. Morley, S. Sathyavruthan, S.
726		Temelso, R.E. Thomas, L. Zhang, Simultaneous DNA amplification and detection using a
727		pH-sensing semiconductor system, Nat. Methods. 10 (2013) 641-646.
728		doi:10.1038/nmeth.2520.
729	[30]	S. Xie, Y. Yuan, Y. Song, Y. Zhuo, T. Li, Y. Chai, R. Yuan, Using the ubiquitous pH meter
730		combined with a loop mediated isothermal amplification method for facile and sensitive
731		detection of Nosema bombycis genomic DNA PTP1, Chem. Commun. 50 (2014) 15932-
732		15935. doi:10.1039/c4cc06449f.
733	[31]	E. González-González, G. Trujillo-de Santiago, I.M. Lara-Mayorga, S.O. Martínez-Chapa,
734		M.M. Alvarez, Portable and accurate diagnostics for COVID-19: Combined use of the
735		miniPCR thermocycler and a well-plate reader for SARS-CoV-2 virus detection, PLoS One.
736		15 (2020) e0237418. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0237418.
737	[32]	YP. Wong, S. Othman, YL. Lau, S. Radu, HY. Chee, Loop-mediated isothermal
738		amplification (LAMP): a versatile technique for detection of micro-organisms, J. Appl.
739		Microbiol. 124 (2018) 626-643. doi:10.1111/jam.13647.
740	[33]	K. Nawattanapaiboon, E. Pasomsub, P. Prombun, A. Wongbunmak, A. Jenjitwanich, P.
741		Mahasupachai, P. Vetcho, C. Chayrach, N. Manatjaroenlap, C. Samphaongern, T.
742		Watthanachockchai, P. Leedorkmai, S. Manopwisedjaroen, R. Akkarawongsapat, A.
743		Thitithanyanont, M. Phanchana, W. Panbangred, S. Chauvatcharin, T. Srikhirin,
744		Colorimetric reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP) as a
745		visual diagnostic platform for the detection of the emerging coronavirus SARS-CoV-2,
746		Analyst. 146 (2021) 471-477. doi:10.1039/d0an01775b.
747	[34]	M. Cohen, R. Khalaila, Saliva pH as a biomarker of exam stress and a predictor of exam

748		performance, J. Psychosom. Res. 77 (2014) 420–425. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2014.07.003.
749	[35]	S. Baliga, S. Muglikar, R. Kale, Salivary pH: A diagnostic biomarker, J. Indian Soc.
750		Periodontol. 17 (2013) 461-465. doi:10.4103/0972-124X.118317.
751	[36]	E. Gonzalez-Gonzalez, I.M. Lara-Mayorga, A. Garcia-Rubio, C.E. Garciamendez-Mijares,
752		G.E. Guerra-Alvarez, G. Garcia-Martinez, J.A. Aguayo-Hernandez, YS. Zhang, S.O.
753		Martinez-Chapa, G. Trujillo-de Santiago, M.M. Alvarez, Scaling diagnostics in times of
754		COVID-19: Rapid prototyping of 3D-printed water circulators for Loop-mediated
755		Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) and detection of SARS-CoV-2 virus, Cold Spring Harbor
756		Laboratory Press, 2020. doi:10.1101/2020.04.09.20058651.
757	[37]	G. Papadakis, A. Pantazis, N. Fikas, S. Chatziioannidou, K. Michaelidou, V. Pogka, M.
758		Megariti, M. Vardaki, K. Giarentis, J. Heaney, E. Nastouli, T. Karamitros, A. Mentis, S.
759		Agelaki, E. Gizeli, Real-time colorimetric LAMP methodology for quantitative nucleic acids
760		detection at the point-of-care, BioRxiv. (2020) 2020.07.22.215251.
761		doi:10.1101/2020.07.22.215251.
762	[38]	M. Janíková, J. Hodosy, P. Boor, B. Klempa, P. Celec, Loop mediated isothermal
763		amplification for the detection of SARS \Box CoV \Box 2 in saliva, Microb. Biotechnol. 14 (2021)
764		307–316. doi:10.1111/1751-7915.13737.
765		