Disease-specific contribution of pulvinar dysfunction to impaired emotion recognition in schizophrenia

*Antígona Martínez, Ph.D.^{1,2}, Russell H. Tobe, M.D.¹, Pablo A. Gaspar, M.D., Ph.D.³, Daniel Malinsky, Ph.D.⁴, Elisa C. Dias, Ph.D.¹, Pejman Sehatpour, M.D., Ph.D.^{2,5}, Peter Lakatos, M.D., Ph.D.¹, Gaurav H. Patel, M.D., Ph.D.^{2,5}, Gail Silipo, M.A.¹ and Daniel C. Javitt, M.D., Ph.D.^{1,2}

*Corresponding Author:

Antígona Martínez Nathan Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research 140 Old Orangeburg Rd, Orangeburg, NY 10962, USA Email: martinez@nki.rfmh.org

¹Nathan Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research, Orangeburg, NY, USA

²Columbia University, College of Physician and Surgeons, New York, NY, USA

³Department of Psychiatry, Biomedical Neurosciences Institute, IMHAY, University of Chile, Santiago, Chile

⁴Columbia University, Mailman School of Public Health, New York, NY, USA

⁵ New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York, NY, USA

Abstract

One important aspect for managing social interactions is the ability to rapidly and accurately perceive and respond to facial expressions, which is highly dependent upon intact processing within both cortical and subcortical components of the early visual pathways. Social cognitive deficits, including face emotion recognition (FER) deficits, are characteristic of several neuropsychiatric disorders, including schizophrenia (Sz) and autism spectrum disorders (ASD). Here, we investigated potential visual sensory contributions to FER deficits in Sz (n=28) and adult ASD (n=20) participants compared to neurotypical (n=30) controls using task-based fMRI during an implicit static/dynamic FER task. Compared to neurotypical controls, both Sz and ASD participants had significantly lower FER scores which interrelated with diminished activation of the superior temporal sulcus (STS). In Sz, STS deficits were predicted by reduced activation of both early visual regions and the pulvinar nucleus of the thalamus, along with impaired cortico-pulvinar interaction. By contrast, ASD participants showed patterns of increased early visual cortical and pulvinar activation. Large effect-size structural and histological abnormalities of pulvinar have previously been documented in Sz. Moreover, we have recently demonstrated impaired pulvinar activation to simple visual stimuli in Sz. Here, we provide the first demonstration of a disease-specific contribution of impaired pulvinar activation to social cognitive impairment in Sz.

Introduction

Social cognitive deficits are a core feature of schizophrenia (Sz)¹ and autism spectrum disorders (ASD) and contribute to impaired functional outcome^{2,3}. One important aspect of social functioning is the ability to rapidly and accurately perceive facial expressions. Impaired face-emotion recognition (FER) has been extensively reported in Sz^{4,5} and ASD^{6,7} however the underlying neuronal substrates of these deficits are not fully understood and, indeed, may arise from differential underlying neural pathologies⁸. Over recent years, the contribution of sensory-processing deficits to cognitive impairments has been increasingly appreciated, including the potential role of dysfunction within subcortical components of the afferent visual streams. Here, we utilize functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to evaluate the contributions of impaired early sensory processing to FER impairments in Sz. Data were collected as well from both neurotypical and ASD comparison groups in order to assess the specificity and magnitude of observed activation deficits in Sz.

During normative brain function, FER is supported by activation of specific components of the "social brain", which includes structures along both the dorsal and ventral visual-cortical pathways 10-12. These pathways receive retinal information from the lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN), which projects to primary visual cortex (V1). The dorsal pathway receives its primary input from the magnocellular geniculostriate pathway and is specialized for rapid detection of low spatial-frequency and motion information. Key dorsal structures include motion-sensitive mid-temporal regions (MT, MST). In Sz, differential deficits in magnocellular processing have been reported and related to potentially impaired patterns of sensory gain and functions of the N-methyl-D-aspartate-

type glutamate receptors (NMDAR) (reviewed in¹³). Moreover, impairments in magnocellular function correlate with behavioral measures of impaired FER in Sz, supporting the involvement of this pathway in social cognition¹⁴⁻¹⁶. By contrast, the ventral visual stream receives predominant input from the subcortical parvocellular system and is specialized for slower but higher-resolution processing of stimulus details. Key targets of the ventral stream include visual area V4 and the fusiform face complex (FFC).

An additional cortical area important for processing social stimuli is the superior temporal sulcus (STS), which has been reliably associated with processing biological motion signals¹⁷⁻¹⁹ including the dynamic changeable aspects of facial features (eyes, lips). Impaired STS activation has been documented in Sz but the basis for the deficit remains unknown²³⁻²⁷.

In addition to the cortical system, humans retain an evolutionarily old retinogeniculate system that mediates non-conscious affective processing via amygdala, superior colliculus and the pulvinar nucleus of the thalamus (PulN) (reviewed in²⁸). In addition to mediating retinogeniculate input into visual cortex, PulN also mediates cortico-cortical interactions between successive brain regions within the dorsal and ventral stream pathways (e.g. ²⁹), and is the site of greatest NMDAR density within primate thalamus ³⁰. PulN is anatomically divided into discrete, functionally differentiated subdivisions (e.g. ^{31,32}). For example, the 'visual pulvinar', consisting of its inferior (PI) and lateral (PL) subdivisions, has dense connections with early visual sensory regions ³² and likely plays a modulatory role in visual information processing ³³. In addition, projections from PI specifically innervate motion sensitive regions surrounding area MT, especially

MST³⁴, and also serve as drivers to secondary areas of visual cortex (e.g. V2) and as

modulators to V1³³. On the other hand, medial pulvinar (PM) is considered multimodal

and is primarily coupled with prefrontal and temporal regions including STS³⁵ and may

play a unique role in processing emotional information (reviewed in ³⁶).

Here, we evaluate whole-brain fMRI activation patterns during FER in Sz, relative to

both neurotypical individuals and ASD. Inclusion of ASD controls is based on a previous

study involving simple visual stimuli in which we observed a divergent pattern of

disturbance within early visual areas and PulN relative to Sz, despite similar magnitude

of FER impairment¹⁴. We hypothesized that, in Sz, deficits within FER-related higher tier

visual regions (e.g. STS) would be driven significantly by impaired activation within both

early visual regions (e.g. V1, MST) and PulN as well as impaired cortico-pulvinar

interaction. Moreover, we hypothesized that deficit patterns would be differential across

Sz and ASD despite similar levels of behavioral impairment, suggesting disorder-specific

pathophysiological mechanisms underlying social cognitive impairments across

neuropsychiatric populations.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Seventy-eight participants took part, including 28 participants diagnosed with Sz and on

stable doses of antipsychotic medication, 30 neurotypical controls and 20 adults with

ASD (Supplementary Methods). The investigation was approved by the Nathan Kline

5

Institute (NKI) institutional review board. Informed consent was obtained from all

participants.

Paradigm

Unique video clips of five actors (three male) dynamically expressing each of four

emotions (happy, sad, angry, fearful) were selected from the University of Cambridge

Mind Reading Emotions Library (adult level 6)³⁷. Five additional actors (two male) from

the NKI community acted a neutral expression consisting of non-emotionally salient

head/eye movements (left/right, up/down). Neutral videos were matched in size,

resolution and luminance to emotion videos. For each video, representative single frames

were extracted and used as corresponding static stimuli. Both dynamic and static stimuli

were presented for 2s each. In each of two 7.5-minute fMRI scans, dynamic and static

stimuli of a single emotion/neutral were delivered in 12-second blocks interleaved with

10-seconds of fixation-only. A total of ten blocks of static/dynamic faces either emotional

or neutral were presented in random order per scan (Figure 1A). Participants responded

to a predesignated actor, irrespective of emotion or motion.

Behavior measures:

Following scanning, a forced-choice task was administered outside the scanner using

static and dynamic emotional faces, along with the Penn Emotion Recognition (ER-40)

test³⁸. For both tests, percent correct responses were recorded (see **Supplementary**

Methods).

Functional Imaging

Imaging took place on a Siemens 3T TiM Trio scanner. Functional MRI data were

preprocessed and analyzed with Analysis of Functional NeuroImages (AFNI)

software^{39,40} (see **Supplementary Methods** for acquisition/analyses details). Individual

cortical surfaces were rendered from high-resolution anatomical images using Freesurfer and registered to the fsaverage mesh⁴¹. The pulvinar and amygdala were derived individually using a Bayesian atlas-based automated segmentation method⁴²⁻⁴⁴. Primary analyses involved the entire pulvinar. In secondary analyses, pulvinar subdivisions were tested separately. Single-subject data were analyzed on the cortical surface with a general linear model including stimulus-specific regressors and head-motion parameters. Identical analyses were carried out in native-space volumes to assess subcortical structures. The HCP-MMP1.0 cortical parcellation⁴⁵, resampled to fsaverage, was applied to individual data (**Supplementary Figure 1A**). For group-level analyses, mean beta parameter estimates were extracted from cortical parcels of interest and from pulvinar and amygdala.

General statistics

Across- or between-group comparisons of beta parameter effect sizes were conducted using univariate (ANOVA) or multivariate (MANOVA) repeated measures analysis of variance as appropriate, with a between-group factor of diagnostic group and withingroup factors of face-motion (dynamic, static) and face-emotion (emotional, neutral). In secondary analyses, effects were analyzed as a function of face-emotion-type (happy, sad, angry, fearful). The relationships among measures were assessed by analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) or by Pearson correlation. All statistics were two-tailed with preset α threshold for significance set to 0.05.

Path Analyses

Exploratory linear mediation analyses were carried out using the PROCESS3.5 macro⁴⁶ implemented in SPSS26 (https://www.ibm.com/products/spss-statistics). Statistical

significance of indirect pathways, reflecting the impact of mediation, was evaluated using a non-parametric bootstrap approach with 10,000 replication samples to obtain a 95% confidence interval (CI)⁴⁷.

Results

Behavior:

Mean FER accuracy on the dynamic/static FER task was lower in Sz (F(1,56)=15.02, p<.001) and ASD participants (F(1,48)=7.67, p=.009) than neurotypical controls (**Figure 1B, Supplementary Figure S2A**) and intercorrelated across subjects with ER-40 test results (F(1,72)=8.01, p=.006; R²=.401) (**Supplementary Figure S2B,C**). Behavioral performance on the identity recognition task during fMRI was marginally worse in Sz (F(1,56)=3.76, p=.058) and significantly worse in ASD (F(1,48)=4.52, p=.039) compared to controls.

Between-group activation differences (Sz vs. control):

To avoid issues related to circularity in data analysis⁴⁸, parcels of interest were first identified across all participants, yielding a mask consisting of 35 bilateral parcels (**Supplementary Figure S1B,C**). Within this mask the group X parcel (F(34,23)=2.14, p=.030) interaction was significant, prompting follow-up analyses.

Compared to controls, reduced activation (beta parameter estimates) were observed in Sz patients within seven parcels comprising primary (V1, p=.002), early (V4, p=.005), motion-sensitive (MST, p=.042), face-selective (FFC, p=.045), superior-temporal (TPOJ1, p=.001; STSdp, p=.019) and inferior-frontal (IFSp, p=.041) regions (**Figure 2A-D**; **Supplementary Table 1**). Overall, activations were larger over the right hemisphere

(F(1,56)=24.12, p<.001) but were equivalently so for Sz and control participants (F(1,56)=.28, p=.597). Subsequent tests were collapsed across hemispheres.

A significant across-group effect of face-emotion was observed in V1 (F(1,56)=4=17.21, p<.001) and, as expected, of face-motion in MST (F(1,56)=132.92, p<.001) (**Figure 2B**). In MST, the group X face-motion interaction was significant (F(1,56)=4.08, p=.048), reflecting reduced activation in Sz specific to dynamic (p=.005) but not static (p=.460) faces, irrespective of face-emotion (**Figure 2B**).

A main effect of face-motion was also observed in both STS parcels (TPOJ1: (F(1,56)=4.160, p<.001); STSdp: (F(1,56)=13.82, p<.001). In STSdp, the main effects of face-emotion (F(1,56)=10.35, p=.002) was significant, as was the group X face-motion (F(1,56)=5.13, p=.027), group X face-emotion, (F(1,56)=12.31, p=.001) and group X face-motion X face-emotion (F(1,56)=5.28, p=.025) interactions, reflecting preferential

Pulvinar: In PulN, activations were reduced in Sz overall (F(1,56)=4.35, p=.042) especially to emotional versus neutral faces (F(1,56)=4.96, p=.030) (**Figure 2D**). All other main effects and interactions were non-significant (p > .2 for all).

activation deficits to dynamic emotional faces (t(56)=5.69, p<.001) (**Figure 2C**).

When PulN subdivisions were analyzed separately, mean activations in the lateral (PL) (F(1,56)=9.97, p=.003) and medial (PM) (F(1,56)=10.35, p=.002) subnuclei were significantly reduced in Sz. Activation of the inferior subdivision (PI) did not differ significantly between groups (F(1,56)=.43, p=.513) (**Figure 2E**).

Regression and Path Analyses

The interrelationship between cortical and subcortical activation deficits in Sz was assessed by ANCOVA and mediation tests. FER scores were first covaried against mean activation of all cortical/subcortical areas (see Supplementary Fig. S2B). Activation of STSdp predicted FER scores across groups (F(1.54)=17.03, p<.001; Adi. $R^2=.611$) and in Sz (r=.542, p=.003) and control (r=.470, p=.009) groups independently (**Figure 3A**). In turn, activation of both V1 (F(1,54)=7.13, p=.010; Adj. $R^2=.254$) and TPOJ1 $(F(1,54)=9.55, p=.003; Adi. R^2=.275)$ predicted STSdp activity (**Figure 3B**). Finally, in all subjects, MST activation was a strong predictor of TPOJ1 (F(1,54)=28.65, p<.001 Adj. R^2 =.472) (**Figure 3C**). In all cases, the covariate X group interaction was nonsignificant suggesting a similar slope of the relationships across groups. Subcortically, PulN and V1 activation were significantly correlated across participants $(F(1,54)=20.43, p<.001 \text{ Adj. } R^2=.364)$ (**Figure 3D**). In Sz patients, PulN activity also predicted MST (F(1,54)=6.40, p=.014; Adj. $R^2=.193$), TPOJ1 (F(1,54)=8.51, p=.005; Adj. R^2 =.385) and STSdp (F(1,54)=9.03, p=.004; Adj. R^2 =.271) activation (**Figure 3E**). Exploratory mediation analyses involving PulN subdivisions were used to further investigate these pulvino-cortical interrelations in Sz, based on observed pairwise correlations (Supplementary Figure S3A) and known anatomical projection patterns. Consistent with "visual PulN" concepts, activation of V1 significantly predicted that of PL (Beta=.42; CI:[0.18, 0.66]), which in turn was a significant predictor of STSdp activation (Beta=1.06; CI:[0.18, 0.66]) (**Figure 3F**). After controlling for PL activation, V1 was no longer associated with STSdp (Beta=.10; CI:[-0.41, 0.60]). Moreover, using a bootstrapping approach, the (unstandardized) coefficient for the indirect pathway from

V1→PL→STSdp was significant (Beta=.45; CI:[0.08, 0.84]), consistent with full mediation. Although PM also potentially mediated the path between V1 and STSdp (Beta=.32; CI:[0.01, 0.64]), the proportion of the effect of V1 on STSdp activation mediated through PM (total effect/indirect effect) was lower (58%) than the corresponding proportion through PL (82%) (Supplementary Table 2).

A significant mediating effect of PM was also observed between TPOJ1 and STSdp (Beta=.59; CI:[0.01, 1.13]). Specifically, whereas the relationship between both TPOJ1 and PM (Beta=.47; CI:[0.21, 0.73]) and PM and STSdp (Beta=1.26; CI:[0.29, 2.22]) was significant (**Figure 3G**), after controlling for the proposed mediator, the TPOJ1-STSdp association was not significant (Beta=0.12; CI:[-0.64, 0.88]), again suggesting full mediation.

Lastly, activation of PI significantly predicted MST activity (Beta=0.42; CI:[0.12, 0.73]) which in turn predicted TPOJ1 (Beta=0.62; CI:[0.28, 0.95]) (**Figure 3H**). The analysis found no significant association between PI and TPOJ1 when conditioning on MST (Beta=0.13; CI:[-0.16, 0.41]), but did find a significant indirect pathway, consistent with full mediation of PI effects by MST (Beta=0.26; CI:[0.13, 0.60]).

ASD:

ASD participants showed similar impairments to Sz patients in higher-tier regions, including FFC (p=.020) and STS (TPOJ1 (p=.004); STSdp, p=.040) (**Figure 4A, B**). In STSdp the deficits, as in Sz, were greatest for dynamic emotional faces (3-way interaction: F(1,48)=5.73, p=.021) (**Figure 4C**) and predicted impaired FER (F(1,18)=7.39, p=.014; Adj. $R^2=.252$) (**Figure 4D**). Additional activation deficits that

were not observed in Sz were observed in more anterior parts of STS (STSva, p=.032) and frontal eye fields (FEF, p=.045) (**Supplementary Table 1**).

In addition, a differential pattern was observed within lower-tier areas in ASD versus Sz, including an overall increase in activity within early visual cortex (V2) in ASD that was significant relative to both control (F(1,48)=5.15, p=.028) and Sz (F(1,46)=4.57, p=.038) subjects. This heightened V2 activation specifically predicted impaired STSdp activity in ASD (F(1,18)=17.39, p=.001; Adj. $R^2=.463$) (**Figure 4E**).

Also in contrast to Sz, activation of PulN did not differ overall between ASD and control participants (F(1,48)=.26, p=.614) (**Figure 4F**), nor did activation of PL or PM independently. By contrast, mean PI activation was significantly increased in ASD participants and was reflected in a significant group X subdivision interaction compared to neurotypical controls (F(2,47)=4.36, p=.011). Furthermore, increased activity of PI significantly predicted enhanced V2 activation in ASD (F(1,18)=7.14, p=.016; Adj. $R^2=.244$) (**Figure 4G**). The increase in PI activation was again in contrast to that observed in Sz (F(1,46)=5.84, p=.020), reflecting a disorder-specific, double-dissociation of subnucleus-specific activation patterns in Sz versus ASD.

Amygdala activation:

Compared to control subjects, mean activation of the amygdala was significantly higher in Sz patients (F(1,56)=7.58, p=.008) and the group difference was larger for emotional, relative to neutral, faces (F(1,56)=4.93, p=.030). In the ASD group, activation of the amygdala was equivalent, overall, to that of control subjects (F(1,48)=1.39, p=.244) (**Supplementary Figure 2D**). Nevertheless, no correlations between amygdala activation and FER were observed either across of within groups (p>.09, all).

Discussion

Deficits in social cognition contribute disproportionately to impaired functional outcome across a range of neurocognitive disorders, including Sz and ASD. FER is an important component of these deficits and, in the visual system, depends upon coordinated function of both subcortical and cortical regions for processing of static and dynamic facial features. Here, we investigated cortical and subcortical correlates of FER impairments in adults with Sz and ASD using a dynamic/static FER task that engages motion-sensitive areas as well as traditional face-processing regions. In addition, we investigated the subcortical pathway to cortex involving PulN.

The primary findings of the study relate to the relative involvement of cortico-cortical versus thalamo-cortical transmission paths underlying impaired FER in Sz. Traditionally, it was assumed that cortical regions showing intercorrelated activity mediate their joint activations primarily through direct cortico-cortical connections. More recent models by contrast propose that connections are mediated primarily by successive loops between cortex and thalamus, with higher-tier thalamic regions such as PulN and dorsomedial nuclei generally interacting with posterior and anterior association regions, respectively^{49,50}. Within PulN, discrete subnuclei interact with specific visual cortical regions²⁹. This theory converges with anatomical studies showing reduced PulN volume and cell number in schizophrenia⁵¹⁻⁵³, along with our recent observations of impaired PulN activation to simple visual stimuli in Sz^{14,15,54}.

In the present study, activation deficits in Sz were observed within the HCP-MMP1.0⁴⁵ parcels comprising lower-tier visual regions including primary (V1), early visual (V4) and motion-sensitive cortex, along with higher-tier (multisensory) regions associated with

FER. Within STS two discrete parcels were activated by the task– STSdp and TPOJ1. Activation of the STSdp, in particular, showed uniquely greater activation to dynamic emotional faces which correlated with behavioral measures of FER, in accord with the prominent role of STS in face-emotion assessment⁵⁵. In Sz, STSdp deficits intercorrelated with impairments in activation of both early visual cortical regions and PulN.

Role of pulvinar subdivisions: PulN is divided into discrete anatomical subdivisions which mirror the dorsal/ventral stream distinction of visual cortex³⁴ such that the more lateral regions (PL) project predominantly to primary visual cortex and ventral visual stream, whereas a subset of nuclei in the inferior subdivision (PI) project mainly to dorsal stream regions including motion-sensitive cortex (e.g. MST)^{28,34,56}. The medial subdivision (PM) is primarily connected with multimodal sensory association areas as well as prefrontal and cingulate cortices and has been tied to emotion processing³⁵.

In the present study, in addition to intercorrelated cortical activation deficits, we observed correlations between cortical regions and PulN subnuclei. We therefore conducted a series of mediation analyses to evaluate underlying pathways.

An initial analysis evaluated the potential pathways underlying intercorrelated deficits between V1 and STS in the schizophrenia group. A significant indirect pathway from V1 \Rightarrow PL \Rightarrow STSdp was observed, in support of indirect mediation by PL. A possible indirect pathway from V1 \Rightarrow PM \Rightarrow STSdp was also detected, but on the assumption that these two pathways operate independently, the pathway involving PM accounted for a smaller proportion of the effect of V1 on STSdp.

Consistent with mediation by PM, the intercorrelation between the STS parcels (TPOJ1 and STSdp) was not significant once an indirect path via PM was modeled. In contrast, PI

appeared to mediate its effects via MST. Of note, unlike PL and PM, activation of PI was relatively intact in patients. Given that PI receives much of its driving inputs from neurons in the superior colliculus³⁴, this finding is suggestive of unimpaired input via the retinotectal system. By contrast, the observed deficits in V1 are consistent with impaired input via the geniculostriate visual pathway^{14,57}.

Although anatomical abnormalities in PulN are well documented in schizophrenia ^{53,58}, the functional consequences of these abnormalities have, to date, remained relatively unexplored. Here, we provide evidence that impairments in visual PulN function significantly undermines visual processing required for effective face processing. In specific, deficits in PL function may mediate effects of impaired V1 activation, which, in turn likely reflects impaired magnocellular input to cortex. In addition, impaired PM activation mediated impaired input from more posterior to mid-STS regions, suggesting that accumulating deficits across successive cortico-pulvinar loops may lead to the large effect-sized deficits in FER-related reduced STS activation in Sz.

Comparison to ASD: While deficits in social cognition are a prominent component of Sz, they are not unique to the disorder. In particular, we¹⁴ and others^{59,60} have reported FER deficits in adult ASD subjects that are as severe as those observed in Sz, despite the much higher level of overall function. Consistent with these prior results, adult ASD participants in the present study showed FER and STS activation deficits that were similar to those of Sz, supporting a role for STS as a common mediator of FER dysfunction across disorders.

Despite the similar STS impairments, ASD participants showed markedly different patterns of disturbance within early visual regions, including normal V1 activation

patterns but increased PI and V2 activation compared with both Sz participants and controls. Although the source of the increased activation in ASD is not known, a parsimonious explanation would be hyperactivity of the subcortical retinocollicular pathway, which provides preferential input to PI³⁴ and which, in turn, acts like a driver to V2³³ (see **Supplement** for further discussion). Regardless of underlying pathophysiology, the differential mechanisms suggest that neural mechanisms underlying FER deficits in Sz are disorder-specific, and, consistent with RDoC concepts, suggest the need to dissect pathophysiological mechanisms across units of analysis⁶¹.

Amygdala activation: Lastly, in the present study amygdala activation was elevated overall in Sz, as reported previously (reviewed in⁶²), possibly reflecting abnormal salience attribution to neutral stimuli⁶³⁻⁶⁵, heightened anxiety⁶⁶ and/or paranoid ideation⁶⁷.

Limitations

Despite our differential findings, some limitations must be considered. First, Sz participants were receiving antipsychotic medication which may have impacted measures on brain activity. We did not observe any correlations with medication dose (see Supplementary Material), however, this issue could be best addressed in future studies involving medication-naïve patients. Additionally, we did not track fixation locations either in the scanner or during behavior. Thus, we do not know if activation failures relate to inability to process information, or simply from differential facial scanning approaches. Lastly, Sz participants had lower IQ and were older than controls or ASD participants, although correcting for IQ and age did not diminish the findings.

Conclusions

In summary, higher cortical (e.g. STS) contributions to impaired FER have been

extensively documented in Sz and ASD¹, but early visual and subcortical contributions

have been evaluated to only a limited degree. Here, we demonstrate significant but

opposite abnormalities of circuits centered on PulN in Sz versus ASD that correlate with

impaired STS activation, which in turn correlated across groups with impaired FER.

These findings highlight the importance of close integration between subcortical and

cortical visual processing pathways and the potential breakdown of this tight coordination

in Sz and ASD. Further, the findings reaffirm that similar behavioral deficits (e.g.

impairment in social cognition) do not necessarily imply convergent pathophysiological

mechanisms, and that physiological measures may be useful for guiding etiological and

interventional studies in neuropsychiatry.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the staff of the Clinical Research and Evaluation Facility and the

Clinical Evaluation Center at the Nathan S. Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research and

all research participants for their contributions.

Conflict of Interest

DCJ: Intellectual property for NMDAR agonists in schizophrenia, NMDAR antagonist

in depression, fMRI for prediction of ECT response and System for diagnosis of mental

disorders. Equity in Glytech, AASI and NeuroRx. Scientific advisory board NeuroRx,

17

Promentis. Consultant payments Concert, Lundbeck, Phytec, Autifony, SK Life

Sciences, Biogen, Cadence, Boehringer-Ingelheim and Pfizer. Research support from

Cerevance.

This work was supported by NIMH grant MH49334 (DCJ).

References

- 1. Fernandes JM, Cajao R, Lopes R, Jeronimo R, Barahona-Correa JB. Social Cognition in Schizophrenia and Autism Spectrum Disorders: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Direct Comparisons. *Front Psychiatry* 2018;9:504.
- 2. Bishop-Fitzpatrick L, Mazefsky CA, Eack SM, Minshew NJ. Correlates of Social Functioning in Autism Spectrum Disorder: The Role of Social Cognition. *Res Autism Spectr Disord* Mar 2017;35:25-34.
- **3.** Mancuso F, Horan WP, Kern RS, Green MF. Social cognition in psychosis: multidimensional structure, clinical correlates, and relationship with functional outcome. *Schizophr Res* Feb 2011;125(2-3):143-151.
- **4.** Kohler CG, Walker JB, Martin EA, Healey KM, Moberg PJ. Facial emotion perception in schizophrenia: a meta-analytic review. *Schizophr Bull* Sep 2010;36(5):1009-1019.
- **5.** Edwards J, Jackson HJ, Pattison PE. Emotion recognition via facial expression and affective prosody in schizophrenia: a methodological review. *Clin Psychol Rev* Jul 2002;22(6):789-832.
- **6.** Harms MB, Martin A, Wallace GL. Facial emotion recognition in autism spectrum disorders: a review of behavioral and neuroimaging studies. *Neuropsychol Rev* Sep 2010;20(3):290-322.
- 7. Uljarevic M, Hamilton A. Recognition of emotions in autism: a formal meta-analysis. *J Autism Dev Disord* Jul 2013;43(7):1517-1526.
- **8.** Foss-Feig JH, Adkinson BD, Ji JL, et al. Searching for Cross-Diagnostic Convergence: Neural Mechanisms Governing Excitation and Inhibition Balance in Schizophrenia and Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Biol Psychiatry* May 15 2017;81(10):848-861.
- **9.** Adolphs R. The social brain: neural basis of social knowledge. *Annu Rev Psychol* 2009;60:693-716.
- **10.** Allison T, Puce A, McCarthy G. Social perception from visual cues: role of the STS region. *Trends Cogn Sci* Jul 2000;4(7):267-278.
- **11.** Haxby JV, Hoffman EA, Gobbini MI. The distributed human neural system for face perception. *Trends Cogn Sci* Jun 2000;4(6):223-233.
- **12.** Pitcher D, Dilks DD, Saxe RR, Triantafyllou C, Kanwisher N. Differential selectivity for dynamic versus static information in face-selective cortical regions. *Neuroimage* Jun 15 2011;56(4):2356-2363.
- **13.** Javitt DC, Freedman R. Sensory processing dysfunction in the personal experience and neuronal machinery of schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* Jan 2015;172(1):17-31.

- 14. Martinez A, Tobe R, Dias EC, et al. Differential Patterns of Visual Sensory Alteration Underlying Face Emotion Recognition Impairment and Motion Perception Deficits in Schizophrenia and Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* May 29 2019;86(7).
- Martinez A, Gaspar PA, Hillyard SA, Andersen SK, Lopez-Calderon J, Corcoran CM, Javitt DC. Impaired Motion Processing in Schizophrenia and the Attenuated Psychosis Syndrome: Etiological and Clinical Implications. *Am J Psychiatry* Dec 1 2018;175(12):1243-1254.
- **16.** Marosi C, Fodor Z, Csukly G. From basic perception deficits to facial affect recognition impairments in schizophrenia. *Scientific reports* Jun 20 2019;9(1):8958.
- 17. Kilts CD, Egan G, Gideon DA, Ely TD, Hoffman JM. Dissociable Neural Pathways Are Involved in the Recognition of Emotion in Static and Dynamic Facial Expressions.

 NeuroImage 2003;18(1):156-168.
- **18.** Sato W, Kochiyama T, Yoshikawa S, Naito E, Matsumura M. Enhanced neural activity in response to dynamic facial expressions of emotion: an fMRI study. *Brain Res Cogn Brain Res* Jun 2004;20(1):81-91.
- **19.** Deen B, Koldewyn K, Kanwisher N, Saxe R. Functional Organization of Social Perception and Cognition in the Superior Temporal Sulcus. *Cereb Cortex* Nov 2015;25(11):4596-4609.
- **20.** Patel GH, Sestieri C, Corbetta M. The evolution of the temporoparietal junction and posterior superior temporal sulcus. *Cortex* Sep 2019;118:38-50.
- 21. Schurz M, Aichhorn M, Martin A, Perner J. Common brain areas engaged in false belief reasoning and visual perspective taking: a meta-analysis of functional brain imaging studies. *Front Hum Neurosci* 2013;7:712.
- Pichon S, de Gelder B, Grezes J. Two different faces of threat. Comparing the neural systems for recognizing fear and anger in dynamic body expressions. *Neuroimage* Oct 1 2009;47(4):1873-1883.
- 23. Kronbichler L, Tschernegg M, Martin Al, Schurz M, Kronbichler M. Abnormal Brain Activation During Theory of Mind Tasks in Schizophrenia: A Meta-Analysis. *Schizophr Bull* Oct 21 2017;43(6):1240-1250.
- **24.** Kim J, Park S, Blake R. Perception of biological motion in schizophrenia and healthy individuals: a behavioral and FMRI study. *PloS one* 2011;6(5):e19971.
- **25.** Matsumoto Y, Takahashi H, Miyata J, Sugihara G, Murai T, Takahashi H. Neural basis of altered earlier attention and higher order biological motion processing in schizophrenia. *Soc Neurosci* Oct 2018;13(5):594-601.
- 26. Mier D, Eisenacher S, Rausch F, et al. Aberrant activity and connectivity of the posterior superior temporal sulcus during social cognition in schizophrenia. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* Oct 2017;267(7):597-610.
- 27. Mier D, Lis S, Zygrodnik K, Sauer C, Ulferts J, Gallhofer B, Kirsch P. Evidence for altered amygdala activation in schizophrenia in an adaptive emotion recognition task. *Psychiatry Res* Mar 30 2014;221(3):195-203.
- **28.** Tamietto M, Morrone MC. Visual Plasticity: Blindsight Bridges Anatomy and Function in the Visual System. *Curr Biol* Jan 25 2016;26(2):R70-73.
- **29.** Bridge H, Leopold DA, Bourne JA. Adaptive Pulvinar Circuitry Supports Visual Cognition. *Trends Cogn Sci* Feb 2016;20(2):146-157.
- **30.** Ibrahim HM, Healy DJ, Hogg AJ, Jr., Meador-Woodruff JH. Nucleus-specific expression of ionotropic glutamate receptor subunit mRNAs and binding sites in primate thalamus. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res* Jun 23 2000;79(1-2):1-17.

- **31.** Bourgeois A, Guedj C, Carrera E, Vuilleumier P. Pulvino-cortical interaction: An integrative role in the control of attention. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* Apr 2020;111:104-113.
- **32.** Guedj C, Vuilleumier P. Functional connectivity fingerprints of the human pulvinar: Decoding its role in cognition. *Neuroimage* Nov 1 2020;221:117162.
- de Souza BOF, Cortes N, Casanova C. Pulvinar Modulates Contrast Responses in the Visual Cortex as a Function of Cortical Hierarchy. *Cereb Cortex* Mar 14 2020;30(3):1068-1086.
- **34.** Kaas JH, Baldwin MKL. The Evolution of the Pulvinar Complex in Primates and Its Role in the Dorsal and Ventral Streams of Cortical Processing. *Vision (Basel)* Dec 30 2019;4(1).
- **35.** Homman-Ludiye J, Bourne JA. The medial pulvinar: function, origin and association with neurodevelopmental disorders. *J Anat* Sep 2019;235(3):507-520.
- **36.** Arend I, Henik A, Okon-Singer H. Dissociating emotion and attention functions in the pulvinar nucleus of the thalamus. *Neuropsychology* Mar 2015;29(2):191-196.
- **37.** Golan O, Baron-Cohen S, Hill J. The Cambridge Mindreading (CAM) Face-Voice Battery: Testing complex emotion recognition in adults with and without Asperger syndrome. *J Autism Dev Disord* Feb 2006;36(2):169-183.
- **38.** Taylor SF, MacDonald AW, 3rd, Cognitive Neuroscience Treatment Research to Improve Cognition in S. Brain mapping biomarkers of socio-emotional processing in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* Jan 2012;38(1):73-80.
- **39.** Cox RW. AFNI Software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic resonance neuroimages. *Computers and Biomedical Research* 1996;29(3):162-173.
- **40.** Saad ZS, Reynolds RC. Suma. *Neuroimage* Aug 15 2012;62(2):768-773.
- **41.** Fischl B, Sereno MI, Tootell RB, Dale AM. High-resolution intersubject averaging and a coordinate system for the cortical surface. *Hum Brain Mapp* 1999;8(4):272-284.
- **42.** Bocchetta M, Iglesias JE, Neason M, Cash DM, Warren JD, Rohrer JD. Thalamic nuclei in frontotemporal dementia: Mediodorsal nucleus involvement is universal but pulvinar atrophy is unique to C9orf72. *Hum Brain Mapp* Mar 2020;41(4):1006-1016.
- **43.** Iglesias JE, Insausti R, Lerma-Usabiaga G, et al. A probabilistic atlas of the human thalamic nuclei combining ex vivo MRI and histology. *Neuroimage* Dec 2018;183:314-326.
- **44.** Saygin ZM, Kliemann D, Iglesias JE, et al. High-resolution magnetic resonance imaging reveals nuclei of the human amygdala: manual segmentation to automatic atlas. *Neuroimage* Jul 15 2017;155:370-382.
- **45.** Glasser MF, Coalson TS, Robinson EC, et al. A multi-modal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. *Nature* Aug 11 2016;536(7615):171-178.
- **46.** Hayes AF. Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: a regression-based approach. New York: The Guilford Press; 2013.
- **47.** Preacher KJ, Hayes AF. Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behav Res Methods* Aug 2008;40(3):879-891.
- **48.** Kriegeskorte N, Simmons WK, Bellgowan PS, Baker CI. Circular analysis in systems neuroscience: the dangers of double dipping. *Nat Neurosci* May 2009;12(5):535-540.
- **49.** Llinas R, Ribary U, Contreras D, Pedroarena C. The neuronal basis for consciousness. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* Nov 29 1998;353(1377):1841-1849.
- **50.** Sherman SM, Guillery RW. Functional organization of thalamocortical relays. *J Neurophysiol* Sep 1996;76(3):1367-1395.

- **51.** Byne W, Buchsbaum MS, Mattiace LA, et al. Postmortem assessment of thalamic nuclear volumes in subjects with schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 2002;159(1):59-65.
- **52.** Byne W, Fernandes J, Haroutunian V, et al. Reduction of right medial pulvinar volume and neuron number in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* Feb 2007;90(1-3):71-75.
- **53.** Dorph-Petersen KA, Lewis DA. Postmortem structural studies of the thalamus in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* Feb 2017;180:28-35.
- **54.** Martinez A, Hillyard SA, Bickel S, Dias EC, Butler PD, Javitt DC. Consequences of magnocellular dysfunction on processing attended information in schizophrenia. *Cereb Cortex* Jun 2012;22(6):1282-1293.
- **55.** Haist F, Anzures G. Functional development of the brain's face-processing system. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Cogn Sci* Jan 2017;8(1-2).
- **56.** Arcaro MJ, Pinsk MA, Kastner S. The Anatomical and Functional Organization of the Human Visual Pulvinar. *J Neurosci* Jul 8 2015;35(27):9848-9871.
- **57.** Martinez A, Hillyard SA, Dias EC, et al. Magnocellular pathway impairment in schizophrenia: evidence from functional magnetic resonance imaging. *J Neurosci* Jul 23 2008;28(30):7492-7500.
- 58. Huang AS, Rogers BP, Sheffield JM, Jalbrzikowski ME, Anticevic A, Blackford JU, Heckers S, Woodward ND. Thalamic Nuclei Volumes in Psychotic Disorders and in Youths With Psychosis Spectrum Symptoms. *Am J Psychiatry* Dec 1 2020;177(12):1159-1167.
- **59.** Couture SM, Penn DL, Losh M, Adolphs R, Hurley R, Piven J. Comparison of social cognitive functioning in schizophrenia and high functioning autism: more convergence than divergence. *Psychol Med* Apr 2010;40(4):569-579.
- 60. Sasson NJ, Pinkham AE, Weittenhiller LP, Faso DJ, Simpson C. Context Effects on Facial Affect Recognition in Schizophrenia and Autism: Behavioral and Eye-Tracking Evidence. *Schizophr Bull* May 2016;42(3):675-683.
- **61.** Casey BJ, Oliveri ME, Insel T. A neurodevelopmental perspective on the research domain criteria (RDoC) framework. *Biol Psychiatry* Sep 1 2014;76(5):350-353.
- Dugre JR, Bitar N, Dumais A, Potvin S. Limbic Hyperactivity in Response to Emotionally Neutral Stimuli in Schizophrenia: A Neuroimaging Meta-Analysis of the Hypervigilant Mind. *Am J Psychiatry* Dec 1 2019;176(12):1021-1029.
- 63. Holt DJ, Kunkel L, Weiss AP, et al. Increased medial temporal lobe activation during the passive viewing of emotional and neutral facial expressions in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* Feb 28 2006;82(2-3):153-162.
- Premkumar P, Cooke MA, Fannon D, et al. Misattribution bias of threat-related facial expressions is related to a longer duration of illness and poor executive function in schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder. European psychiatry: the journal of the Association of European Psychiatrists Jan 2008;23(1):14-19.
- **65.** Gur RE, Loughead J, Kohler CG, et al. Limbic activation associated with misidentification of fearful faces and flat affect in schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* Dec 2007;64(12):1356-1366.
- **66.** Du Y, Grace AA. Amygdala Hyperactivity in MAM Model of Schizophrenia is Normalized by Peripubertal Diazepam Administration. *Neuropsychopharmacology* Sep 2016;41(10):2455-2462.
- **67.** Pinkham AE, Liu P, Lu H, Kriegsman M, Simpson C, Tamminga C. Amygdala Hyperactivity at Rest in Paranoid Individuals With Schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* Aug 1 2015;172(8):784-792.

Tables and Figure Legends

	CTL	SZ	ASD
Age	31.4 (9.8)	38.8 (10.1)**	28.9 (7.7)
Gender (F/M)	8/22	8/20	4/16
Years of Education	14.6 (2.0)	11.8 (2.0)***	13.7 (2.5)
Participant SES	39.6 (11.5)	24.4 (6.3) ***	33.7 (11.8)
Parental SES	46.1 (14.8)	38.3 (13.1)	49.2 (11.5)
IQ	103.1 (8.9)	96.7 (9.9)*	101.2 (9.0)
PSI	101.5 (12.3)	81.8 (12.7)***	
POI	108.9 (16.1)	88.7 (15.7)***	
Illness Duration (yrs)		14.2 (9.1)	
CPZ Equiv. SZ)		834.8 (629.1)	
Anti-Psychotic Medication Type		Typical (6)	
		Atypical (15)	
		Combination (7)	
ER-40	35.8 (1.9)	28.7 (5.5)***	30.2 (4.7)+++
PANSS (positive)		11.1 (4.1)	
PANSS (negative)		19.3 (5.6)	
ADOS-2 (Comm.)			4.7 (1.5)
ADOS-2 (Social Inter.)			8.3 (2.5)

Table 1: Participant characteristics. Control (CTL), schizophrenia (SZ) autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Chlorpromazine equivalents (CPZ); *PSI*: Processing Speed Index; *POI*: Perceptual Organization Index; *ER-40*: Penn Emotion Recognition Task; *PANSS*: Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; *ADOS-2*: Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, Second Edition, Communication (Comm.) and Social Interaction (Social Inter.) scores. Asterisks denote significant differences between CTL and SZ participants; plus signs denote differences between CTL and ASD participants (**/++p<.01; ***/+++p<.001). Standard deviations in parentheses.

Figure 1.

A. Schematic of fMRI paradigm. B. FER accuracy for dynamic (filled bars) and static

(open) faces in control (CTL; blue), schizophrenia (SZ; orange) and autism (ASD; green).

Significance of group differences are relative to CTL (*p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.005).

Figure 2.

A. Localization of key parcels. **B.** Mean activation (beta parameter) for CTL and SZ

groups. For areas with face-motion effects, activation to dynamic (solid bars)/static (open

bars) faces are plotted separately. C. Activation in STS parcels including differential

patterns in STSdp. E. Whole-pulvinar activation for emotional/neutral faces. F.

Localization and groupwise activations of lateral (PL), inferior (PI) and medial (PM)

pulvinar subdivisions.

Figure 3.

A. Groupwise correlation between STSdp activation and FER performance. B.

Correlation between STSdp-V1 and STSdp-TPOJ1 activation; C. MST-TPOJ1

correlation; **D.** Whole-pulvinar-V1 correlation. **E.** Interrelationship between activated

cortical regions and FER. Black lines: significant relationship across groups. Orange

lines: significant relationship only in SZ group. F. Correlations between PL/V1 (left Y-

axis) and PL/STSdp (right Y-axis). Schematic of proposed V1 \rightarrow PL \rightarrow STSdp path. Beta


coefficients for direct and indirect path (a*b) paths given. G. Correlations and

TPOJ1→PM→STSdp mediated path. H. Correlations and PI→MST→TPOJ1 path.

Figure 4.

between enhanced PI/V2 activation.

A. Localization of parcels with CTL versus ASD differences. **B.** Mean activation in CTL (blue) and ASD (green) groups. **C.** Mean activation to dynamic (solid)/static (open) faces in STS parcels. **D.** Correlation between STSdp deficits and FER in ASD. **E.** Correlation between enhanced V2 and reduced STSdp activation. **F.** Whole-pulvinar activation to emotional/neutral faces and mean activation within pulvinar subdivisions. **G.** Correlation






Figure 1




Figure 2

