

Psychosis-like experiences and cognition in young adults: an observational and Mendelian randomisation study

Authors: Caroline Skirrow, Steph Suddell, Liam Mahedy, Ian S. Penton-Voak, Marcus R. Munafò, Robyn E. Wootton

Background: Psychosis-like experiences (PLEs) are common and associated with mental health problems and poorer cognitive function. There is limited longitudinal research examining associations between cognition and PLEs in early adulthood.

Aims: We investigated the association of PLEs with different domains of cognitive function, using cross-sectional and longitudinal observational, and Mendelian randomisation (MR) analyses.

Method: Participants from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) completed tasks of working memory at age 18 and 24, and tasks of response inhibition and facial emotion recognition at age 24. Semi-structured interviews at age 18 and 24 established presence of PLEs (none vs. suspected/definite). Cross-sectional and prospective regression analysis tested associations between PLEs and cognition (N=3,087 imputed sample). MR examined causal pathways between schizophrenia liability and cognition.

Results: The fully adjusted models indicated that PLEs were associated with poorer working memory performance (cross-sectional analyses: $b=-0.18$, 95% CI -0.27 to -0.08, $p<0.001$; prospective analyses: $b=-0.18$, 95% CI -0.31 to -0.06, $p<0.01$). A similar pattern of results was found for PLEs and response inhibition (cross-sectional analyses: $b=7.29$, 95% CI 0.96 to 13.62, $p=0.02$; prospective analyses: $b=10.29$, 95% CI 1.78 to 18.97, $p=0.02$). We did not find evidence to suggest an association between PLEs and facial emotion recognition. MR analyses were underpowered and did not support observational results.

Conclusions: In young adults, PLEs are associated with poorer concurrent and future working memory and response inhibition. Better powered genetically informed studies are needed to determine if these associations are causal.

Psychosis-like experiences and cognition in young adults: an observational and Mendelian randomisation study

Psychosis-like experiences (PLEs; subclinical delusions, hallucinations or other unusual experiences) are common in the general population. Around 10% of children and adolescents experience PLEs¹, and among adults lifetime prevalence is estimated at 6%². PLEs are more common in younger children, with rates decreasing across age. Longitudinal studies show predominantly remitting or intermittent trajectories, and a minority have persistent trajectories^{3,4}. Although common in early life, onset of PLEs may occur throughout the lifespan². Early PLEs enhance the risk not only for later developing psychotic disorders, but also for other mental health conditions, including anxiety, affective, behavioural and substance use disorders¹, and broader functional and social deficits⁵.

For individuals who subsequently develop schizophrenia, meta-analyses show that generalised cognitive deficits are typically present by age 16⁶, and that reductions in IQ over time increase the risk of schizophrenia diagnosis⁷. Cognitive impairments including intellectual functioning, working memory, verbal and visual memory, executive function and attention, are present in individuals at high risk of developing schizophrenia before onset of psychotic illness⁸. Research shows limited evidence of decline during, or after, the first episode of psychosis⁹. Together, evidence supports a neurodevelopmental rather than neurodegenerative model of schizophrenia. Longitudinal studies are important for revealing emergence and trajectory of these features over time⁸.

However, how cognitive functions develop in the context of PLEs is less understood. Meta-analysis shows moderate deficits in IQ in individuals with PLEs, alongside more modest impairments in memory, working memory, and processing speed¹⁰. A recent study in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC), a large UK birth cohort, comparing healthy subjects, young people with psychotic disorder, and young people with PLEs suggested that only individuals with psychotic disorders at age 18 showed progressive IQ declines prior to onset of psychosis. Cognitive function of subjects with PLEs was intermediate between healthy subjects and those with psychotic disorder, and did not decline with age¹¹. Evidence from an earlier study in ALSPAC showed that below average IQ at age 8 was associated with PLEs at age 12¹². Poorer cognitive function may therefore predate the onset of PLEs as it does for psychotic disorders.

A longer-term developmental lens is needed to further our understanding of the relationship between PLEs, psychotic illness and cognition across the lifespan. As young people reach and progress through early adulthood, cognitive function in specific domains reach maturity¹³.

This is also a time of vulnerability for development of PLEs² and psychotic disorders¹⁴. To understand the relationship between cognition and PLEs it is therefore not sufficient to rely on data from childhood and adolescence as many functions continue to develop in young adulthood.

In the current study we used data from ALSPAC to investigate the relationship between PLEs and three cognitive domains in early adulthood: working memory, response inhibition, and emotion recognition. We aimed to: (1) examine the cross-sectional association between PLEs and cognition at age 24, (2) use longitudinal data at age 18 and 24 to test whether PLEs simply co-occur with poorer cognitive function or if they are preceded by poorer cognitive function, and (3) use Mendelian randomisation to explore causal effects between genetic liability for schizophrenia and cognitive impairment.

Method

Participants

ALSPAC (www.alspac.bris.ac.uk) is a birth cohort initially comprising 14,541 pregnancies in the former County of Avon, currently the Bristol area of the UK, with an estimated delivery date between April 1991 and December 1992. The parents completed regular postal questionnaires on aspects of the children's development since birth, and from mid childhood (age 7.5 and above) children attended in-clinic assessments where they take part in a range of face-to-face interviews and tests. ALSPAC includes a wide range of phenotypic and environmental measures, genetic information and linkage to health and administrative records.

Detailed information about ALSPAC is available online www.bris.ac.uk/alspac and in cohort profiles¹⁵⁻¹⁷. A detailed overview of the study population that completed cognitive assessments at age 24, including attrition at the different measurement occasions is presented by Mahedy and colleagues¹⁸. Please note that the study website contains details of all the data that is available through a fully searchable data dictionary and variable search tool: <http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/our-data/>.

Ethics statement

Ethics approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees. Written informed consent was obtained for the use of data collected via questionnaires and clinics from parents and participants following recommendations of the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee at the time. Consent for

biological samples has been collected in accordance with the Human Tissue Act (2004). More details on ethics committees/institutional review boards are provided here: <http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/research-ethics/>.

Measures

Supplementary Figure S1 shows a timeline of the data used in analyses. Study data gathered from age 22 years onwards was collected using REDCap data capture tools¹⁹.

Psychosis-like Experiences (PLE)

PLEs were assessed via the psychosis-like symptoms interview (PLIKSi), a semi-structured interviewer-rated screening assessment. The interview lasts approximately 20 minutes and examines unusual experiences (unusual sensations, derealization, depersonalization, self-unfamiliarity, dysmorphophobia, partial object perception, and other perceptual abnormalities), and the three main domains of positive psychotic symptoms: hallucinations (auditory, visual, olfactory and tactile), delusions (being spied on, persecution, thoughts being read, reference, control, grandiose ability and other unspecified delusions) and bizarre symptoms (thought broadcasting, insertion and withdrawal).

Interviews were completed by trained psychology graduates who rated psychotic experiences as absent, suspected or definite. For each symptom, the interviewer read out a stem question from the interview schedule, and the participant responded with 'yes', 'no' or 'maybe'. Where the participant responded 'yes' or 'maybe' the reply was then examined further with additional probes. Attribution questions identified if these were experienced only while falling asleep or waking, during high fever or under the influence of alcohol or other substances – in which case the experience was rated as not present. Symptoms were rated as definite where a clear example was provided.

For the purposes of this study, and in line with earlier research¹², a binary variable was used for broad psychosis-like symptoms (none, versus suspected or definitely present). PLIKSi data was available from age 18 and 24. Symptom frequency in the last 6 months was examined at age 18 and symptom frequency in the last year was examined at age 24.

Cognitive assessments

Three computer-based cognitive assessments were delivered via E-Prime version 2 (Psychology Software Tools, pstnet.com).

Working memory: The N-back task²⁰ is a widely used measure of working memory, requiring on-line monitoring, updating, and manipulation of remembered information²¹. We analysed data from the 2-back variant, administered at ages 18 and 24. Participants monitored a series of numbers (0-9), and indicated with a '1' keystroke when the presented number was the same as the one presented 2 trials previously, and a '2' keystroke when it was different. Stimuli were presented for 500ms, followed by a 3,000ms response window. Participants completed a practice block consisting of 12 trials containing two targets and response feedback, followed by an experimental block containing 48 trials with eight targets and no response feedback. The primary outcome measure was d-prime, the ratio of hits (correct detection of an n-back match) to false alarms (response during no match). Participants who responded to fewer than 50% of trials or with a negative d-prime were excluded. Higher d-prime scores index better working memory performance.

Response inhibition: A Stop Signal Task²² assessed the ability to prevent a prepotent motor response. Participants were instructed to respond rapidly to visual stimuli (an X or O) with the corresponding keys on a keyboard (X/O), unless they heard a tone (i.e., 'stop signal') after the stimulus presentation. Targets were displayed for 1000ms, with a variable inter-stimulus interval of 500-100ms. Stop signal delay (SSD) was drawn from one of four adaptive staircases at 100ms, 200ms, 400ms or 500ms. On successful inhibition the staircase was adjusted by -25ms, and on failed inhibition it was adjusted by +25 (min SSD 25ms, max 800ms). Participants completed 32 practice trials, in which incorrect responses and slow responses were given the feedback "wrong" and "too slow", respectively. This was followed by the task consisting of 4 blocks of 64 trials, with 25% randomly interspersed trials including a stop signal. The primary outcome measure was stop signal reaction time (SSRT), calculated as the difference between the median reaction time for go trials (Go Reaction Time_{med}) and an estimate of the median stop signal delay (SSRT = Go Reaction Time_{med} – Median Stop Signal Delay). Median Stop Signal Delay was the latency where each participant was likely to fail to inhibit 50% of trials. Lower SSRTs indicate better response inhibition.

Emotion recognition: A six alternative forced choice emotion recognition task (ERT) measured the ability to identify emotions in facial expressions²³. Participants were presented with a series of face stimuli (male and female), each displaying one of six emotions: anger, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness, or surprise. They were instructed to click on the descriptor that best described the emotion. Images were displayed one at a time for 200ms, followed by a backwards mask of visual noise to prevent processing of afterimages for 250ms. Emotion intensity varied across 8 levels within each emotion from the prototypical emotion to an almost neutral face. Each individual stimulus was presented twice, giving a total of 96 trials with each

emotion presented 16 times. Outcome measures included ERT hits (the total number of facial emotions accurately identified), and the number of correctly identified emotions for each discrete emotion category. Higher ERT scores indicate better performance.

Confounding variables

Background characteristics controlled for in analyses included participant sex (male, female), ethnicity (non-white, white), highest parental occupational level (grouped into four categories using the 1991 Office of Population Census and Statistics Classification²⁴: unskilled or semiskilled manual, skilled manual or non-manual, managerial and technical, and professional), maternal education (categorised as: below O-level [examinations usually taken at age 16], O-level, above O-level), housing tenure (owned/mortgaged vs. other), maternal age at birth, maternal smoking in pregnancy (present, absent). Data was collected from questionnaire measures completed by parents in the antenatal and postnatal period.

Confounders relating to cognitive dysfunction included a history of head injury and a prior index of global cognitive function as measured by IQ. Head injury was defined as a cracked skull or unconsciousness at any time up to age 16 (present vs. absent), with data collected via questionnaires at age 4, 5, 6, 8, 11 years from parents and at age 16 years via self-report questionnaires. IQ was estimated from vocabulary and matrix reasoning subtests of the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence²⁵ at age 15.

Mental health confounders included above threshold symptomatology for depression or anxiety on the Clinical Interview Schedule-Revised²⁶ at both age 18 and age 24. Depression (mild, moderate or severe) and anxiety disorder (generalized anxiety disorder, social phobia, agoraphobia, and/or panic disorder) were coded as present or absent.

Statistical methods

Observational analyses

Observational analyses were conducted in Stata (Release 15)²⁷.

Study 1: Multivariable linear regression was used to examine the cross-sectional associations between PLEs and cognition (assessed at age 24). Summary variables from cognitive assessments (d-prime, SSRT, and ERT hits) were examined within one model. Individual ERT emotions (ERT hits for Anger, Disgust, Fear, Happy, Sad and Surprise) were entered into a second model to examine whether PLEs were associated with poorer recognition of specific emotions. A Wald test was used to test for differential effects across outcomes. Using complete case analysis without taking missing data into account can result in biased

estimates²⁸ so we imputed missing data using multivariate imputation by chained equations using the 'ice' package in Stata. Analyses were conducted on individuals with complete information on exposures, outcomes and confounders (n=2,134 for summary variables, n=2,300 for individual emotion ERT). Analysis of imputed data were based on 3,087 participants who provided data for all cognitive outcomes at age 24. A number of auxiliary variables known to be related to missingness were included in the imputation models and 100 data sets were imputed. An overview of predictors of attrition in ALSPAC participants completing cognitive testing at age 24 has been reported previously¹⁸.

Study 2: Multivariable linear regression was used to examine the prospective associations between PLEs and cognition. The association between PLEs assessed at age 18 and summary cognitive outcomes (d-prime, SSRT, and ERT hits) assessed at age 24 were examined in one model, and the association of PLEs at 18 with individual ERT emotions (ERT hits for Anger, Disgust, Fear, Happy, Sad and Surprise) at age 24 were entered into a second model, again using a Wald test to examine differential effects of exposure across outcomes. 1,788 individuals provided complete information on exposures, outcomes, and confounders for all summary cognitive outcomes, and 1,918 for all individual ERT emotions. Logistic regression was also used to examine the prospective association between working memory (d-prime) at age 18 and PLEs at age 24, for which 2,134 individuals provided complete information on exposures, outcomes, and confounders. Like Study 1, analysis of imputed data was based on 3,087 participants who provided data for all cognitive outcomes at age 24.

Model reporting: For both Study 1 and Study 2, we present unadjusted results, followed by results adjusted for: (i) sociodemographic variables (sex, ethnicity, parental occupation, maternal education, housing tenure, maternal age at birth and maternal smoking in pregnancy); (ii) additionally, confounders relating to cognitive dysfunction (history of head injury and IQ at age 15); and (iii) additionally, co-occurring depression and anxiety at age 24 in cross-sectional models, or depression and anxiety symptomatology at age 18 in prospective models. Models using multiply imputed data are presented as the main findings. Non-imputed (complete case) results are reported in the Online Supplement. Results from observational analyses are reported as unstandardized beta coefficients (*b*) or odds ratios (OR), as appropriate, both with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI).

Mendelian randomization

Study 3: Causal pathways between psychotic experiences and cognition were examined with Mendelian randomisation (MR) analyses. A genome-wide association study (GWAS) of PLEs across three adolescent population samples identified no genome-wide significant single

nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with PLE symptom domains, although genetic covariance between psychotic-like experience domains and schizophrenia genetic risk were documented²⁹. For psychosis symptoms we therefore used 145-independent genome-wide significant loci associated with schizophrenia identified by Pardiñas and colleagues³⁰ in a meta-analysis of genetic datasets including the CLOZUK sample, and an independent dataset from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC) comparing 40,675 cases with schizophrenia and 64,643 controls³⁰.

For cognition we used the full GWAS output in ALSPAC for each of our three primary cognitive outcome measures collected at age 24: d-prime, SSRT, and ERT hits, reported previously by Mahedy and colleagues¹⁸. GWAS of these cognitive measures yielded no statistically genome-wide significant SNPs which could serve as robust instrumental variables³¹. MR analysis was therefore conducted in only one direction, from schizophrenia liability as the exposure (using genome-wide significant SNPs for schizophrenia) to cognition as the outcome.

Two-sample MR analyses were carried out in the MR Base R package 0.4.26³² and compared four methods, each with different assumptions: (1) the inverse-variance weighted (IVW) method estimate, which is only accurate where all genetic variants are valid instrumental variables or when pleiotropy is balanced; (2) the weighted median, which allows for consistent estimation even when up to 50% of information comes from invalid instrumental variables³³; (3) the mode-based estimate, which remains consistent when the largest number of similar individual-instrument causal effect estimates comes from valid instruments³⁴; (4) MR Egger regression, which does not constrain the intercept to zero and therefore allows for directional pleiotropy in the instrumental variables³⁵. Weighted median, mode-based and MR Egger methods provide useful sensitivity analyses in addition to the standard IVW approach, and consistent effects across all methods allows for more confident causal inference.

In one-sample MR analyses a polygenic risk score (PRS) for schizophrenia was calculated for each participant by computing the sum of risk alleles for Schizophrenia reaching genome-wide significance³⁰, weighted by the effect size estimate. Risk loci and the natural logarithm of odds ratios of effect for 131 out of 145 risk loci were entered into PLINK v.1.90³⁶ to calculate the PRS, after excluding indels and palindromic loci. A logistic regression model was then used, regressing risk for schizophrenia on the presence of PLEs at age 24, to examine internal validity. Following this internal validation, the aim was to perform an instrumental variable regression, regressing the residuals from the PRS to PLE analysis onto cognitive outcome measures in the ALSPAC sample to examine a causal association from psychotic experiences to cognitive function.

Results

Psychotic experiences

At age 18, PLIKSi data were available from 4,718 participants, of whom 374 participants (8%) reported PLEs within the last six months ($n=176$ suspected, $n=198$ definite). At age 24, PLIKSi data were available from 3,889 participants, of whom 409 participants (11%) reported PLEs within the last year ($n=153$ suspected, $n=256$ definite). For the 2,822 participants with PLIKSi data available across both measurement occasions, PLEs remitted between age 18 and 24 for 130 participants, arose at age 24 where not present at age 18 for 174 participants, and persisted across both measurement occasions for 85 participants. Anxiety and depressive disorders were common in individuals who experience PLEs. Demographic and comorbidity data are provided in Supplementary Table S1. An overview of cognitive data across groups is provided in Supplementary Figure S2.

Observational analyses

For studies 1 and 2, we primarily report the findings from the multiply imputed datasets, due to the greater potential for biased estimates in complete case analyses²⁸. Complete case models for both studies are reported in the Supplementary Material.

Study 1: There was strong evidence for a cross-sectional association between PLEs and poorer working memory at age 24, and this was robust to all levels of adjustment (Table 1, see Table S2 for complete case analyses). There was also evidence for an association between PLEs and poorer response inhibition (Table 1). Evidence for this association was weaker in the complete case analysis; however, effect estimates were consistent (i.e., in the same direction) across all models. We did not find clear evidence to suggest a cross-sectional association between emotion recognition performance and PLEs in any analyses (Tables 1 and 2, Supplementary Tables S2 and S3).

[Table 1]

Study 2: Our results indicated that participants reporting PLEs at age 18 experience poorer working memory at age 24 (Table 1), with consistent results in the complete case analysis (Supplementary Table S2). Examining the prospective association in the reverse direction, from working memory at age 18 to PLEs at 24, we found no evidence for an association across all levels of adjustments (ORs 0.93 to 0.99; Supplementary Table S4).

There was evidence for a prospective association between PLEs at age 18 and poorer response inhibition at age 24, robust to all levels of adjustment (Table 1). Slightly weaker

evidence for this association was also found in the complete case analysis (Supplementary Table S2). We found no clear evidence to suggest a prospective association between global or specific emotion recognition and PLEs (Tables 1 and 2), with comparable results in complete cases (Supplementary Tables S2 and S3).

[Table 2]

Mendelian randomization analyses

Study 3: Results from two-sample MR analyses were ambiguous (Table 3), most likely because they were underpowered. We found a consistent (negative) direction of effect estimates across all four methods for emotion recognition, but the confidence intervals for these estimates were wide. We found a mixed direction of effect estimates across MR methods for working memory and response inhibition, with similar imprecision.

For one-sample MR analyses, polygenic risk scores for schizophrenia did not predict PLEs within our sample (Estimate= 23.22, 95% CI -56.13 to 102.98, $p=.567$). We therefore did not progress these analyses beyond the validation stage.

[Table 3]

Discussion

We examined cross-sectional and prospective relationships between experiences of psychosis and specific cognitive domains (working memory, response inhibition and emotion recognition) in a population sample. We found evidence of both cross-sectional and prospective associations between PLEs and poorer cognitive test performance, in particular in the domains of working memory and response inhibition, indicating that PLEs at age 18 predict poorer later cognitive function in these domains over a period of 6 years. However, MR analyses did not provide evidence to allow us to conclude that these associations reflect causal pathways.

Comparison with previous studies

Our findings extend previous research showing cross-sectional associations between PLEs and impairments in specific domains of cognitive function, including working memory¹⁰. By using a longitudinal approach, we were able to evaluate associations over a key developmental stage of cognitive maturation¹³, and vulnerability for development of PLEs² and psychotic disorders¹⁴.

Previous research has examined longitudinal associations between general cognitive function, as measured by IQ, and PLEs early in childhood and adolescence, showing that lower IQ is associated with a higher rate of later PLEs¹². Here we find rather that PLEs predict poorer performance in specific domains of cognitive function when assessed later in life. This may indicate bi-directional causal pathways of PLEs with cognitive function.

The longitudinal nature of ALSPAC data allows us to adjust for key confounders, including socioeconomic and gestational factors, head injury and earlier global cognitive function. PLEs are also associated with affective and anxiety disorders¹, which themselves are linked to cognitive impairment^{37,38}. Therefore, adjusting for depression and anxiety is important to identify cognitive impairments specifically associated with PLEs, rather than simply those linked to co-occurring mental health problems. Results robust to these adjustments improves confidence that the associations we report are not the result of concurrent or historical confounders.

MR analyses did not provide evidence to allow us to conclude that the associations we observed reflect causal pathways. Previous research has shown negative genetic associations between general cognition and schizophrenia using a polygenic risk score (PRS) approach³⁹, and that high IQ attenuates likelihood of schizophrenia in research using an MR approach⁴⁰. Conducting MR analyses to identify causal pathways from specific cognitive domains, such as response inhibition and working memory, may identify targets for intervention. Unfortunately, our MR analyses were most likely underpowered, since GWAS of individual cognitive domains did not yield any genome-wide significant results. Moreover, we found that the PRS for schizophrenia did not predict PLEs at age 24, limiting our ability to complete a one-sample MR analysis. This result is in keeping with prior research in ALSPAC showing that genetic liability for schizophrenia is associated primarily with negative symptoms rather than with PLEs^{41,42}. Therefore, MR analyses of causal pathways between specific cognitive functions and PLEs remain an important area for future research, should cognitive GWAS of suitable sample sizes become available.

Limitations

There are a number of limitations to this study. First, it is possible that the causal pathway could be in the other direction to that tested by our MR analysis; that is, that liability for cognitive impairment may increase the risk of PLEs. Due to lack of robust instruments for our measures of cognition, MR was only completed with schizophrenia as exposure to cognition as outcome. Second, ALSPAC suffers from attrition, with lower participation amongst socially disadvantaged and lesser educated participants⁴³. Polygenic risk scores for schizophrenia are

associated with drop-out, which can lead to underestimation of risk of schizophrenia and related psychiatric and behavioural phenotypes⁴⁴. However, potential bias arising from missing data was dealt with using multiple imputation, using a large amount of additional information to make the assumption of missing at random as plausible as possible. Findings from complete case and multiple imputed models were comparable for each of the three outcomes. Third, we could not complete bidirectional prospective analyses for all cognitive measures, since equivalent data for response inhibition and emotion recognition were not available at age 18. Furthermore, the sample at age 24 has not yet passed through the entire risk period for PLEs^{2,14}, indicating that further longitudinal research, with repetition of cognitive and PLE assessments is required to shed light on the developmental trajectories of cognition and PLEs and their association in later adulthood.

Implications and conclusions

Young people who experience PLEs are likely to also experience other mental health and functional impairments. Here we show that these impairments in young adults with PLEs extend to concurrent and future poorer function in the cognitive domains of working memory and response inhibition.

Author details

Caroline Skirrow: University of Bristol, School of Psychological Science, University of Bristol, UK; Cambridge Cognition, Cambridge, UK

Steph Suddell: University of Bristol, School of Psychological Science, University of Bristol, UK; MRC Integrative Epidemiological Unit, University of Bristol UK; National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at the University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Bristol, UK

Liam Mahedy: University of Bristol, School of Psychological Science, University of Bristol, UK; MRC Integrative Epidemiological Unit, University of Bristol UK; National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at the University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Bristol, UK

Ian Penton-Voak: University of Bristol, School of Psychological Science, University of Bristol, UK; National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at the University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Bristol, UK

Marcus Munafò: University of Bristol, School of Psychological Science, University of Bristol, UK; MRC Integrative Epidemiological Unit, University of Bristol UK; National Institute for

Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at the University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Bristol, UK

Robyn Wootton: MRC Integrative Epidemiological Unit, University of Bristol UK; Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK; Nic Waals Institute, Lovisenberg Diaconal Hospital, Oslo, Norway.

Corresponding Author

Steph Suddell, School of Psychological Science, University of Bristol, 12a Priory Road, Bristol, UK, BS8 1TU Email: steph.suddell@bristol.ac.uk

Author contributions

All authors have participated in the preparation of the manuscript and approve of its submission. Formulation of research questions and designing the study: all authors; analysing the data: SS, CS, LM, REW; writing of article – original draft: CS; review and editing: all authors.

Declaration of interest

MRM and IPV are co-directors of Jericoe Ltd, which produces software for the assessment and modification of emotion recognition. CS is employed by Cambridge Cognition. LM, REW and SS report no conflicts of interest.

Funding

The UK Medical Research Council and Wellcome (Grant ref: 217065/Z/19/Z) and the University of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. This publication is the work of the authors and LM, SS, and MM will serve as guarantors for the contents of this paper. A comprehensive list of grants funding is available on the ALSPAC website (<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/external/documents/grant-acknowledgements.pdf>). GWAS data was generated by Sample Logistics and Genotyping Facilities at Wellcome Sanger Institute and LabCorp (Laboratory Corporation of America) using support from 23andMe. Funding for access to ALSPAC data was provided by Cambridge Cognition. Additionally, this work was undertaken with the support of the UK Medical Research Council Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol (MM_UU_00011/7). LM, REW, SS, and MRM are supported by the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Bristol (BRC-1215-20011). The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department

of Health and Social Care. REW is supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from the South-Eastern Regional Health Authority (2020024). LM is supported by the Elizabeth Blackwell Institute for Health Research, University of Bristol and the Wellcome Trust Institutional Strategic Support Fund (Grant ref: 204813/Z/16/Z).

Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists and nurses.

Data availability

Any researcher can apply to use ALSPAC data, including the variables under investigation in this study. Access information is provided here:

<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/access/>

References

- 1 Healy C, Brannigan R, Dooley N, Coughlan H, Clarke M, Kelleher I, *et al.* Childhood and adolescent psychotic experiences and risk of mental disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med* 2019; **49**: 1589–99.
- 2 McGrath JJ, Saha S, Al-Hamzawi AO, Alonso J, Andrade L, Borges G, *et al.* Age of onset and lifetime projected risk of psychotic experiences: Cross-national data from the world mental health survey. *Schizophr Bull* 2016; **42**: 933–41.
- 3 Thapar A, Heron J, Jones RB, Owen MJ, Lewis G, Zammit S. Trajectories of change in self-reported psychotic-like experiences in childhood and adolescence. *Schizophr Res* 2012; **140**: 104–9.
- 4 Linscott RJ, Van Os J. An updated and conservative systematic review and meta-analysis of epidemiological evidence on psychotic experiences in children and adults: On the pathway from proneness to persistence to dimensional expression across mental disorders. *Psychol Med* 2013; **43**: 1133–49.
- 5 Carey E, Gillan D, Healy C, Dooley N, Campbell D, McGrane J, *et al.* Early adult mental health, functional and neuropsychological outcomes of young people who have reported psychotic experiences: A 10-year longitudinal study. *Psychol Med* 2020. doi:10.1017/S0033291720000616.
- 6 Dickson H, Laurens KR, Cullen AE, Hodgins S. Meta-analyses of cognitive and motor function in youth aged 16 years and younger who subsequently develop schizophrenia. *Psychol Med* 2012; **42**: 743–55.
- 7 Khandaker GM, Barnett JH, White IR, Jones PB. A quantitative meta-analysis of population-based studies of premorbid intelligence and schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 2011; **132**: 220–7.
- 8 Bora E, Lin A, Wood SJ, Yung AR, McGorry PD, Pantelis C. Cognitive deficits in youth

- with familial and clinical high risk to psychosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2014; **130**: 1–15.
- 9 Bora E, Murray RM. Meta-analysis of cognitive deficits in ultra-high risk to psychosis and first-episode psychosis: Do the cognitive deficits progress over, or after, the onset of psychosis? *Schizophr Bull* 2014; **40**: 744–55.
 - 10 Mollon J, David AS, Morgan C, Frissa S, Glahn D, Pilecka I, *et al*. Psychotic experiences and neuropsychological functioning in a population-based sample. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2016; **73**: 129–38.
 - 11 Mollon J, David AS, Zammit S, Lewis G, Reichenberg A. Course of cognitive development from infancy to early adulthood in the psychosis spectrum. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2018; **75**: 270–9.
 - 12 Horwood J, Salvi G, Thomas K, Duffy L, Gunnell D, Hollis C, *et al*. IQ and non-clinical psychotic symptoms in 12-year-olds: Results from the ALSPAC birth cohort. *Br J Psychiatry* 2008; **193**: 185–91.
 - 13 Hartshorne JK, Germine LT. When does cognitive functioning peak? The asynchronous Rise and Fall of Different Cognitive Abilities Across the Lifespan. *Psychol Sci* 2016; **26**: 433–43.
 - 14 Thorup A, Waltoft BL, Pedersen CB, Mortensen PB, Nordentoft M. Young males have a higher risk of developing schizophrenia: A Danish register study. *Psychol Med* 2007; **37**: 479–84.
 - 15 Fraser A, Macdonald-Wallis C, Tilling K, Boyd A, Golding J, Davey Smith G, *et al*. Cohort Profile: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC mothers cohort. *Int J Epidemiol* 2013; **42**: 97–110.
 - 16 Boyd A, Golding J, Macleod J, Lawlor DA, Fraser A, Henderson J, *et al*. Cohort Profile: the 'children of the 90s'--the index offspring of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. *Int J Epidemiol* 2013; **42**: 111–27.
 - 17 Northstone K, Lewcock M, Groom A, Boyd A, Macleod J, Timpson N, *et al*. The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC): an update on the enrolled sample of index children in 2019. *Wellcome Open Res* 2019; **4**: 51.
 - 18 Mahedy L, Suddell S, Skirrow C, Fernandes GS, Field M, Heron J, *et al*. Alcohol use and cognitive functioning in young adults: improving causal inference. *Addiction* 2020; : 292–302.
 - 19 Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)-A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform* 2009; **42**: 377–81.
 - 20 Kirchner WK. Age differences in short-term retention of rapidly changing information. *J Exp Psychol* 1958; **55**: 352–8.
 - 21 Owen AM, McMillan KM, Laird AR, Bullmore E. N-back working memory paradigm: A meta-analysis of normative functional neuroimaging studies. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2005; **25**: 46–59.
 - 22 Logan GD, Cowan WB, Davis KA. On the ability to inhibit simple and choice reaction time responses: a model and a method. *J Exp Psychol Hum Percept Perform* 1984; **10**: 276–91.
 - 23 Penton-Voak IS, Bate H, Lewis G, Munafò MR. Effects of emotion perception training

- on mood in undergraduate students: randomised controlled trial. *Br J Psychiatry* 2012; **201**: 71–2.
- 24 Dale A. The OPCS Longitudinal Study. In *The 1991 Census User's Guide* (eds A Dale, C Marsh), 1993.
- 25 Wechsler D. *Manual for the Wechsler abbreviated intelligence scale (WASI)*. The Psychological Corporation., 1999.
- 26 Lewis G, Pelosi AJ, Araya R, Dunn G. Measuring psychiatric disorder in the community: A standardized assessment for use by lay interviewers. *Psychol Med* 1992; **22**: 465–86.
- 27 StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 15. 2017.
- 28 Sterne JAC, White IR, Carlin JB, Spratt M, Royston P, Kenward MG, *et al*. Multiple imputation for missing data in epidemiological and clinical research: Potential and pitfalls. *BMJ* 2009; **339**: 157–60.
- 29 Pain O, Dudbridge F, Cardno AG, Freeman D, Lu Y, Lundstrom S, *et al*. Genome-wide analysis of adolescent psychotic-like experiences shows genetic overlap with psychiatric disorders. *Am J Med Genet Part B Neuropsychiatr Genet* 2018; **177**: 416–25.
- 30 Pardiñas AF, Holmans P, Pocklington AJ, Escott-Price V, Ripke S, Carrera N, *et al*. Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in mutation-intolerant genes and in regions under strong background selection. *Nat Genet* 2018; **50**: 381–9.
- 31 Lawlor DA, Harbord RM, Sterne JAC, Timpson N, Davey Smith G. Mendelian randomization: Using genes as instruments for making causal inferences in epidemiology. *Stat Med* 2008; **27**: 1133–63.
- 32 Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, Baird D, *et al*. The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *Elife* 2018; **7**. doi:10.7554/eLife.34408.
- 33 Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent estimation in Mendelian randomization with some invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator. *Genet Epidemiol* 2016; **40**: 304–14.
- 34 Hartwig FP, Davey Smith G, Bowden J. Robust inference in summary data Mendelian randomization via the zero modal pleiotropy assumption. *Int J Epidemiol* 2017; **46**: 1985–98.
- 35 Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. *Int J Epidemiol* 2015; **44**: 512–25.
- 36 Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, *et al*. PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet* 2007; **81**: 559–75.
- 37 Rock PL, Roiser JP, Riedel WJ, Blackwell AD. Cognitive impairment in depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med* 2014; **44**: 2029–40.
- 38 Moran TP. Anxiety and working memory capacity: A meta-analysis and narrative review. *Psychol Bull* 2016; **142**: 831–64.
- 39 Lencz T, Knowles E, Davies G, Guha S, Liewald DC, Starr JM, *et al*. Molecular Genetic Evidence for Genetic Overlap between General Cognitive Ability and Risk for Schizophrenia: A Report from the Cognitive Genomics Consortium (COGENT). *Mol*

- 2014; **19**: 168–74.
- 40 Adams CD. A multivariable Mendelian randomization to appraise the pleiotropy between intelligence, education, and bipolar disorder in relation to schizophrenia. *Sci Rep* 2020; **10**: 1–10.
- 41 Jones HJ, Stergiakouli E, Tansey KE, Hubbard L, Heron J, Cannon M, *et al*. Phenotypic Manifestation of Genetic Risk for Schizophrenia During Adolescence in the General Population. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2016; **73**: 221–8.
- 42 Jones HJ, Heron J, Hammerton G, Stochl J, Jones PB, Cannon M, *et al*. Investigating the genetic architecture of general and specific psychopathology in adolescence. *Transl Psychiatry* 2018; **8**. doi:10.1038/s41398-018-0204-9.
- 43 Cornish RP, Macleod J, Boyd A, Tilling K. Factors associated with participation over time in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: a study using linked education and primary care data. *Int J Epidemiol* 2020; : 1–10.
- 44 Martin J, Tilling K, Hubbard L, Stergiakouli E, Thapar A, Davey Smith G, *et al*. Association of genetic risk for schizophrenia with nonparticipation over time in a population-based cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2016; **183**: 1149–58.

Table 1. Associations between psychosis-like symptoms and cognitive functioning: cross-sectional and prospective analyses.

Model	Study 1: Cross-sectional analyses PLEs and Cognition at age 24 <i>b</i> [95% CI], <i>p</i> -value			Study 2: Prospective analysis PLEs at age 18 → Cognition at age 24 <i>b</i> [95% CI], <i>p</i> -value		
	Working memory <i>d-prime</i>	Response inhibition SSRT	Emotion recognition ERT hits	Working memory <i>d-prime</i>	Response inhibition SSRT	Emotion recognition ERT hits
Unadjusted	-0.21 [-0.30, -0.11] P<0.001	9.09 [2.79, 15.41] P<0.01	0.17 [-1.08, 0.75] P=0.72	-0.26 [-0.38, -0.14] P<0.001	14.43 [6.25, 26.23] P=0.001	0.15 [-1.30, 1.00] P=0.80
Model I	-0.18 [-0.27, -0.09] P<0.001	7.46 [1.18, 13.74] P=0.02	0.23 [-0.67, 1.13] P=0.62	-0.20 [-0.32, -0.08] P=0.001	11.33 [3.12, 19.55] P=0.01	0.37 [-0.78, 1.52] P=0.53
Model II	-0.19 [-0.28, -0.10] P<0.001	7.84 [1.59, 14.09] P=0.01	0.11 [-0.77, 0.99] P=0.81	-0.19 [-0.31, -0.07] P<0.01	10.97 [2.78, 19.17] P=0.01	0.48 [-0.66, 1.61] P=0.41
Model III	-0.18 [-0.27, -0.08] P<0.001	7.29 [0.96, 13.62] P=0.02	0.08 [-0.81, 0.97] P=0.87	-0.18 [-0.31, -0.06] P<0.01	10.29 [1.78, 18.97] P=0.02	0.21 [-0.97, 1.38] P=0.73

N = 3,087 in 100 multiply imputed datasets. Unstandardised coefficients presented. **Model I:** adjusted for sex, tenure, parent SES, maternal age at birth, maternal smoking in pregnancy, ethnicity, and maternal education; **Model II:** further adjusted for head injury/ unconsciousness up to age 16 years, IQ at age 15 years; **Model III:** further adjusted for measures of depression and anxiety at age 24 years in Study 1 or adjusted for depression and anxiety at age 18 in Study 2.

Table 2. Associations between psychosis-like symptoms and emotion-specific hit rate on the Emotion Recognition Task: cross-sectional and prospective analyses.

		Emotion Specific Hit Rate (<i>b</i> [95% CI])					Wald test	
		Anger	Disgust	Fear	Happy	Sad	Surprise	Statistic, p-value
Study 1: Cross-sectional	Unadjusted	0.02 [-0.27, 0.32]	0.07 [-0.20, 0.34]	-0.01 [-0.41, 0.40]	-0.15 [-0.40, 0.11]	-0.05 [-0.30, 0.21]	-0.06 [-0.23, 0.12]	0.43, P=0.86
	Model I	0.11 [-0.18, 0.40]	0.14 [-0.13, 0.41]	0.12 [-0.29, 0.52]	-0.15 [-0.40, 0.11]	0.03 [-0.22, 0.28]	-0.01 [-0.20, 0.17]	0.53, P=0.79
	Model II	0.08 [-0.21, 0.36]	0.12 [-0.15, 0.39]	0.08 [-0.32, 0.48]	-0.15 [-0.40, 0.11]	-0.00 [-0.25, 0.25]	-0.02 [-0.20, 0.16]	0.46, P=0.84
	Model III	0.08 [-0.21, 0.37]	0.10 [-0.17, 0.37]	0.05 [-0.36, 0.45]	-0.09 [-0.34, 0.17]	-0.06 [-0.31, 0.19]	-0.00 [-0.18, 0.19]	0.32, P=0.93
Study 2: Prospective PLEs → Cognition	Unadjusted	0.19 [-0.18, 0.55]	0.25 [-0.08, 0.58]	-0.18 [-0.69, 0.32]	-0.06 [-0.38, 0.27]	-0.19 [-0.50, 0.14]	-0.17 [-0.40, 0.07]	1.58, P=0.15
	Model I	0.30 [-0.07, 0.67]	0.33 [-0.01, 0.66]	0.05 [-0.46, 0.55]	-0.13 [-0.45, 0.20]	-0.06 [-0.39, 0.26]	-0.13 [-0.36, 0.11]	1.62, P=0.14
	Model II	0.34 [-0.03, 0.70]	0.35 [0.01, 0.68]	0.09 [-0.43, 0.59]	-0.12 [-0.45, 0.20]	-0.04 [-0.36, 0.28]	-0.12 [-0.35, 0.11]	1.69, P=0.12
	Model III	0.32 [-0.06, 0.70]	0.24 [0.11, 0.59]	0.07 [-0.57, 0.46]	-0.07 [-0.41, 0.27]	-0.07 [-0.40, 0.27]	-0.15 [-0.39, 0.10]	1.30, P=0.25

N = 3,087 in 100 multiply imputed datasets. Unstandardised coefficients presented. **Model I:** adjusted for sex, tenure, parent SES, maternal age at birth, maternal smoking in pregnancy, ethnicity, and maternal education; **Model II:** further adjusted for head injury/ unconsciousness up to age 16 years, IQ at age 15 years; **Model III:** further adjusted for measures of depression and anxiety at age 24 years in Study 1 or adjusted for depression and anxiety at age 18 in Study 2.

Table 3. Two sample Mendelian randomisation analysis of the effect of genetic liability to schizophrenia on cognitive function.

Outcome	Method	n SNPs	Beta [95%CI]	p-value
Working memory	Inverse-variance weighted	129	-0.05 [-0.14, 0.03]	0.21
	MR Egger	129	0.25 [-0.17, 0.68]	0.24
	Weighted median	129	-0.01 [-0.12, 0.10]	0.87
	Weighted mode	129	0.07 [-0.24, 0.38]	0.66
Response Inhibition	Inverse-variance weighted	129	0.04 [-0.04, 0.13]	0.29
	MR Egger	129	-0.01 [-0.43, 0.40]	0.95
	Weighted median	129	0.05 [-0.06, 0.17]	0.36
	Weighted mode	129	0.14 [-0.18, 0.46]	0.41
Emotion recognition	Inverse-variance weighted	129	-0.04 [-0.12, 0.05]	0.42
	MR Egger	129	-0.15 [-0.58, 0.28]	0.49
	Weighted median	129	-0.07 [-0.18, 0.04]	0.20
	Weighted mode	129	-0.13 [-0.42, 0.17]	0.41