medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.06.21256523; this version posted May 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

The COVID-19 pandemic storm in India.

Igor Nesteruk

Institute of Hydromechanics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Zheliabova 8/4, UA-03680 Kyiv, Ukraine

National Technical University of Ukraine "Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute", Prosp.Peremohy 37, UA-03056, Kyiv, Ukraine.
inesteruk@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The sharp increase in the number of new COVID-19 patients in India in the second half of April 2021 has caused alarm around the world. A detailed analysis of this pandemic storm is still ahead. We present the results of anterior analysis using a generalized SIR-model (susceptible-infected-removed). The final size of this pandemic wave and its duration are predicted. Obtained results show that the COVID-19 pandemic will be a problem for mankind for a very long time.

Keywords: COVID-19 pandemic, epidemic dynamics in India, mathematical modeling of infection diseases, SIR model, parameter identification, statistical methods.

Introduction

The daily number of new laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 cases in India exceeded 400,000 in the end of April 2021. This huge figure is frightening, but if we take into account the population of India, the number of new cases per capita is not yet higher than the maximum for some other countries, including Ukraine. It is very important to assess the growing trends in the number of new cases and the ability of the Indian medical system to cope with the huge number of patients and deaths.

Any mathematical modeling of the epidemic dynamics will be of particular value if we make an accurate long-term forecast of its duration and number of diseases using statistics data sets obtained immediately after the outbreak. Many authors are trying to predict the Covid-19 pandemic dynamics in many countries and regions [1-72]. We will not analyze these studies in details and only note that the correct mathematical simulation of the Covid-19 pandemic is very difficult for at least two reasons.

First, data on the number of cases are clearly incomplete immediately after the epidemic outbreak, there are quite long hidden periods [67, 70, 73-77]. In particular, first COVID-19 cases probably have appeared already in August 2019 [67, 70]. The reason is the large number of asymptomatic patients and the lack of skills to detect a new disease. It must be noted that large discrepancy between registered and actual number of cases occurred even for later periods of Covid-19 pandemic [72, 78-80].

The second reason for the limited accuracy of long-term forecasts is the constant changes in the conditions of the pandemic (quarantine measures, social behavior, virulence of the pathogen, etc.).

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Therefore, a prediction made using statistics for a certain time period is not suitable for other periods of time. To solve this problem a generalized SIR-model and the methods of its parameter identification

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.06.21256523; this version posted May 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

was proposed in [70, 81, 82]. Since the new pandemic wave in India is not the first one we will use the generalized SIR-model and the method of direct parameter identification (without calculations of the previous epidemic waves) [83]. Corresponding results for the first Covid-19 epidemic waves in mainland China, USA, Germany, the UK, the Republic of Korea, Austria, Italy, Spain, France, the Republic of Moldova, Qatar, Ukraine, the city of Kyiv and for the world are already published in [65, 67, 69-72, 81, 82] and showed rather good accuracy. In this article we will apply the same approach to the case of India.

Data

Day in March 2021, t_j	Number of cases, V_j		Day in April 2021	Number of cases, V_j
1	11124527		1	12303131
2	11139516		2	12392260
3	11156923		3	12485509
4	11173761		4	12589067
5	11192045		5	12686049
6	11210799		6	12801785
7	11229398		7	12928574
8	11244786		8	13060542
9	11262707		9	13205926
10	11285561		10	13358805
11	11308846		11	13527717
12	11333728		12	13689453
13	11359048		13	13873825
14	11385339		14	14074564
15	11409831		15	14291917
16	11438734		16	14526609
17	11474605		17	14788003
18	11514331		18	15061805
19	11555284		19	15320972
20	11599130		20	15616130
21	11646081		21	15930774
22	11686796		22	16263695
23	11734058		23	16610481
24	11787534		24	16960172
25	11846652		25	17313163
26	11908910		26	17636186
27	11971624		27	17997113
28	12039644		28	18376421
29	12095855		29	18762976
30	12149335		30	19164969
31	12221665		-	-

Table 1. Cumulative numbers of laboratory confirmed Covid-19 cases in India V_j according to JHU, [84].

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.06.21256523; this version posted May 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

We will use the data set regarding the accumulated numbers of confirmed COVID-19 cases in India (V_j) from Data Repository by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University (JHU) [84]. The values V_j and corresponding time moments t_j (measured in days) are shown in Table 1.

Generalized SIR model and parameter identification procedure

The classical SIR model for an infectious disease [85-87] was generalized in [70, 72, 81] to simulate different epidemic waves. We suppose that the SIR model parameters are constant for every epidemic wave, i.e. for the time periods: $t_i^* \le t \le t_{i+1}^*, i = 1,2,3,\ldots$. Than for every wave we can use the equations, similar to [85-87]:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\alpha_i SI \ , \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \alpha_i SI - \rho_i I \ , \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \rho_i I \ . \tag{3}$$

Here S is the number of susceptible persons (who are sensitive to the pathogen and **not protected**); I is the number of infectious persons (who are sick and **spread the infection)**; and R is the number of removed persons (who **no longer spread the infection)**. It must be noted that *I(t)* is not the number of active cases. People can be ill (among active cases), but isolated. In means, that they don't spread the infection anymore. There are many people spreading the infection but not tested and registered as active cases. The use of number of active cases as *I(t)* in some papers a principal mistake which may lead to incorrect results. Parameters α_i and ρ_i are supposed to be constant for every epidemic wave.

To determine the initial conditions for the set of equations (1)–(3), let us suppose that at the beginning of every epidemic wave t_i^* :

In [70, 72, 81] the set of differential equations (1)-(3) was solved with the use of initial conditions

$$I(t_i^*) = I_i \,,\ R(t_i^*) = R_i \,,\ S(t_i^*) = N_i - I_i - R_i$$

$$N_i = S + I + R$$

and by introducing the function $V(t) = I(t) + R(t)$, corresponding to the number of victims or the cumulative confirmed number of cases. For many epidemics (including the COVID-19 pandemic) we cannot observe dependencies $S(t), I(t)$ and $R(t)$ but observations of the accumulated number of cases

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.06.21256523; this version posted May 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

V_j corresponding to the moments of time t_j provide information for direct assessments of the dependence $V(t)$. The corresponding analytical formulas for this exact solution; the saturation levels $S_{i\infty}$; $V_{i\infty} = N_i - S_{i\infty}$ (corresponding the infinite time moment) and the final day of the *i-th* epidemic wave (corresponding the moment of time when the number of persons spreading the infection will be less than 1) can be found in [70, 72, 81].

The exact solution depends on five parameters - $N_i, I_i, R_i, \nu_i, \alpha_i$. The values V_j, corresponding to the moments of time t_j can be used to find the optimal values of this parameters corresponding to the maximum value of the correlation coefficient r_i [88]. The details of this approach can be found in [89, 90]. It was successfully used in [65, 67, 69-72, 81-83, 89, 91, 92] to simulate the COVID-19 pandemic dynamics and other phenomena. The exact solution [70, 72, 81] allows avoiding numerical solutions of differential equations (1)-(3) and significantly reduces the time spent on calculations. The new algorithm proposed in [90] allows estimating the optimal values of SIR parameters for the *i-th* epidemic wave directly (without simulations of the previous waves) with the use of only two independent parameters N_i and ν_i.

Results

The optimal values of parameters and other characteristics of the severe COVID-19 pandemic wave in India are calculated and listed in Table 2. We have used the number $i=2$ and the time period: T_{c2} - April 10-23, 2021 for SIR simulations of this wave. Corresponding values of V_j and t_j are listed in Table 1. The value of the correlation coefficient r_i = 0.999959712033103 is very high (see Table 2), nevertheless we are not satisfied with the convergence procedure by isolation of the maximum of this parameter. Probably new simulation with the use of fresher datasets could fix this problem. The estimate of the average duration of the infection spread in India $\tau_i = 1/\rho_i \approx 35$ days is significantly higher than in Ukraine in the end of March 2021, [72].

Unfortunately the estimations of the pandemic duration in India are very pessimistic (4,434 days or 12.1 years after April 30, 2021). If we suppose that the end of the epidemic corresponds the moment when the number of persons spreading the infection is less than 20, the calculations yield the middle of January 2023. Probably a strict quarantine and vaccination could change this sad trend, but it looks that some new cases of COVID-19 will appear if not always, then for a very long time. The very long duration of the epidemic in India and the large number of cases increase the likelihood of new mutations in the coronavirus, which can make existing vaccines ineffective and pose a threat to all mankind.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.06.21256523; this version posted May 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Characteristics	*i=2*
Time period taken for calculations T_{ci}	April 10-23, 2021
I_i	1,388,033.10466357
R_i	11,836,652.8953364
N_i	43,000,000
ν_i	7,965,355.17869963
α_i	3.58639081028928e-09
ρ_i	0.0285668766135785
$1/\rho_i$	35.0055770368917
r_i	0.999959712033103
$S_{i\infty}$	645,561
$V_{i\infty}$	42,354,439
Final day of the epidemic wave	June 23, 2033

Table 2. The COVID-19 pandemic storm in India. Optimal values of SIR parameters and other characteristics.

Knowing the optimal values of parameters, the corresponding SIR curves can be easily calculated with the use of exact solution [70, 72, 81] and compared with the pandemic observations before and after T_{c2}. The results are shown in Figure by blue lines: $V(t)=I(t)+R(T)$ – solid; dashed one represents the number of infectious persons multiplied by 5, i.e. $I(t)\times 5$; dotted line shows the derivative $(dV/dt)\times 100$ calculated with the use of formula:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \alpha_i SI \qquad (4)$$

Equation (4) follows from (2) and (3) and yields an estimation of the real daily number of new cases. Red “Circles” and “stars” correspond to the accumulated numbers of cases registered during the period of time taken for SIR simulations T_{i2} and beyond this time period, respectively (all taken from Table 1). It can be seen that these values are in good agreement with the theoretical blue solid line.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.06.21256523; this version posted May 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure. The COVID-19 epidemic dynamics in India.
The results of SIR simulations are shown by blue lines. Numbers of victims *V(t)=I(t)+R(t)* – solid; numbers of infected and spreading *I(t)* multiplied by 5 – dashed; derivatives *dV/dt* (eq. (4)) multiplied by 100 – dotted. Red markers show the real number of cases and its derivatives: "circles" correspond to the accumulated numbers of cases taken for calculations (during period of time T_{c2}); "stars" – number of cases beyond T_{c2} (all the values from Table1); "crosses" – the first derivative (6) multiplied by 100; "dots"- the second derivative (7) multiplied by 1000.

The blue dotted line shows that the daily number of the new cases will start to diminish after May 10, 2021, but the number of people spreading the infection *I(t)* will have its maximum only in the end of May, 2021. We can also compare the theoretical curve (4) with the average daily number of new cases which can be calculated with the use of smoothing registered V_j values [70, 81, 82]:

$$\bar{V}_i = \frac{1}{7}\sum_{j=i-3}^{j=i+3} V_j \,, \tag{5}$$

and its first derivative:

$$\left.\frac{d\,\bar{V}}{dt}\right|_{t=t_i} \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{V}_{i+1} - \bar{V}_{i-1}\right) \tag{6}$$

(see, e.g., [70, 81, 82]). The red "crosses" represent the results of calculations of the first derivatives (15) and are in a good agreement with the theoretical dotted line for moments of time before April 22, 2021.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.06.21256523; this version posted May 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Discussion

Rather large differences between the real (15) and theoretical (13) values of the first derivative after April 22, 2021 can be explained by two factors. The first is related to changes in the dynamics of the epidemic. This is evidenced by the sharp changes in the second derivative of the average number of reported cases, which can be estimated using formulas:

$$\left.\frac{d^2\overline{V}}{dt^2}\right|_{t=t_i} \approx \overline{V}_{i+1} - 2\overline{V}_i + \overline{V}_{i-1} \qquad (7)$$

and (5) (see, e.g., [70, 81, 82]).

So we can talk about a new wave of pandemic in India, which may be weaker than the one we see in April 2021 due to the sharp decrease in the second derivative (7) after April 20, 2021 (see red dots in the figure). The course of the epidemic and new simulations will help to clarify these findings.

The second reason for discrepancies between formulae (4) and (6) may be the large number of unregistered cases observed in other countries [72, 78-80]. Estimates for Ukraine made in [72] showed that the real number of cases is about four times higher than registered and reflected in official statistics. Similar estimates can be made for the case of India. But the results already obtained show that the COVID-19 pandemic will be a problem for mankind for a very long time.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Dr. Vinod Varghese and Oleksii Rodionov for their help in collecting and processing data.

References

1. Wu JT , Leung K , Leung GM. Nowcasting and Forecasting the Potential Domestic and International Spread of the 2019-nCoV Outbreak Originating in Wuhan, China: A Modelling Study. Lancet 2020 Jan 31. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30260-9

2. Shi Zhao et al. Preliminary estimation of the basic reproduction number of novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in China, from 2019 to 2020: A data-driven analysis in the early phase of the outbreak. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. January 30, 2020. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.01.050

3. Byass P. Eco-epidemiological assessment of the COVID-19 epidemic in China, January-Februry 2020. medRxiv [Preprint.] 2020. doi:10.1101/2020.03.29.20046565.

4. Tang B, Bragazzi NL, Li Q. et al. An updated estimation of the risk of transmission of the novel coronavirus (2019-nCov). Infectious disease modeling. 2020;5:248–255. DOI:10.1016/j.idm.2020.02.001.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.06.21256523; this version posted May 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

5. Ying Liu, Gayle AA, Wilder-Smith A, Rocklöv J. The reproductive number of COVID-19 is higher compared to SARS coronavirus. Jurnal of Travel Medicine. 2020;27(2): taaa021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/jtm/taaa021

6. Kucharski AJ. et al. Early dynamics of transmission and control of COVID-19: a mathematical modelling study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2020. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30144-4

7. Batista M. Estimation of the final size of the COVID-19 epidemic. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. doi:10.1101/2020.02.16.20023606.

8. Dehning J. et al. Inferring COVID-19 spreading rates and potential change points for case number forecasts. arXiv [Preprint] 2020. arXiv:2004.01105

9. Chen Y, Cheng J, Jiang Y, Liu K. A time delay dynamical model for outbreak of 2019-nCoV and the parameter identification. arXiv [Preprint] 2020. arXiv:2002.00418

10. Peng L, Yang W, Zhang D, Zhuge C, Hong L. Epidemic analysis of COVID-19 in China by dynamical modeling. arXiv [Preprint] 2020. arXiv :2002.06563

11. Chang SL, Harding N, Zachreson C, Cliff OM, Prokopenko M. Modelling transmission and control of the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia. arXiv [Preprint] 2020. arXiv:2003.10218

12. Maier BF, Brockmann D. Effective containment explains sub-exponential growth in confirmed cases of recent COVID-19 out break in mainland China. arXiv [Preprint] 2020. arXiv:2002.07572.

13. Song PX, Wang L, Zhou Y, He J, Zhu B, Wang F, et al. An epidemiological forecast model and software assessing interventions on COVID-19 epidemic in China. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.29.20029421

14. Chinazzi M, Davis JT, Ajelli M, Gioannini C, Litvinova M, Merler S, et al. The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak. Science 2020 368(6489): 395–400. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba9757PMID:32144116

15. Zhang Y, Jiang B,Yuan J, Tao Y. The impact of social distancing and epicenter lockdown on the COVID-19 epidemic in mainland China: A data-driven SEIQR model study. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.04.20031187

16. Ghanam R, Boone EL, Abdel-Salam ASG. SEIRD MODEL FOR QATAR COVID-19 OUTBREAK: A CASE STUDY. arXiv [Preprint 2020. arXiv:2005.12777v1

17. Pongkaew Udomsamuthirun et al. The reproductive index from SEIR model of Covid-19 epidemic in Asean. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.24.20078287

18. Pereira IG et al. Forecasting Covid-19 Dynamics in Brazil: A Data Driven Approach. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health. 2020;17(14):5115; https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17145115

19. Linka K, Peirlinck M,_Kuhl E. The reproduction number of COVID-19 and its correlation with public health interventions. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI:10.1101/2020.05.01.20088047

20. Distante C, Gadelha Pereira I, Garcia Goncalves LM, Piscitelli P, Miani A. Forecasting Covid-19 Outbreak Progression in Italian Regions: A model based on neural network training from Chinese data. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.09.20059055

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.06.21256523; this version posted May 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

21. Hamzah F, Binti A, Lau C, Nazri H, Ligot DV, Lee G, Tan CL. CoronaTracker: Worldwide COVID-19 Outbreak Data Analysis and Prediction. Bull. World Health Organ. 2020, 1, 32.

22. Fanelli D, Piazza F. Analysis and forecast of COVID-19 spreading in China, Italy and France. Chaos Solitons Fractals. 2020;134:109761.

23. Webb GF, Magal P, Liu Z, Seydi O. A model to predict COVID-19 epidemics with applications to South Korea, Italy, and Spain. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.07.2005694

24. Bastos SB, Cajueiro DO. Modeling and forecasting the early evolution of the Covid-19 pandemic in Brazil. arXiv [Preprint] 2020, arXiv:2003.14288.

25. Grant A. Dynamics of COVID-19 epidemics: SEIR models underestimate peak infection rates and overestimate epidemic duration. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.02.20050674

26. Loli Piccolomiini E, Zama F. Monitoring Italian COVID-19 spread by an adaptive SEIRD model. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.03.20049734

27. Baerwolff G. A Contribution to the Mathematical Modeling of the Corona/COVID-19 Pandemic. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.01.20050229

28. Distante C, Piscitelli P, Miani A. Covid-19 Outbreak Progression in Italian Regions: Approaching the Peak by the End of March in Northern Italy and First Week of April in Southern Italy. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2020, 17, 3025.

29. te Vrugt M, Bickmann J, Wittkowski R. Effects of social distancing and isolation on epidemic spreading: A dynamical density functional theory model. arXiv [Preprint] 2020. arXiv:2003.13967.

30. Yang, Z et al. Modified SEIR and AI prediction of the epidemics trend of COVID-19 in China under public health interventions. J. Thorac. Dis. 2020;12:165.

31. Roda WC, Varughese MB, Han D, Li MY. Why is it difficult to accurately predict the COVID-19 epidemic? Infect. Dis. Model. 2020;5:271–281.

32. Otunuga OM, Ogunsolu MO. Qualitative analysis of a stochastic SEITR epidemic model with multiple stages of infection and treatment. Infect. Dis. Model. 2020;5:61–90.

33. Chatterjee K et al. Healthcare impact of COVID-19 epidemic in India: A stochastic mathematical model. Medical Journal Armed Forces India. 2020;76(2):147-155.

34. Ciufolini I, Paolozzi A. Mathematical prediction of the time evolution of the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy by a Gauss error function and Monte Carlo simulations. Eur. Phys. J. Plus. 2020;135: 355 https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-020-00383-y

35. Annas S et al. Stability analysis and numerical simulation of SEIR model for pandemic COVID-19 spread in Indonesia. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals. 2020;139:110072 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110072

36. RP Yadav, R Verma A numerical simulation of fractional order mathematical modeling of COVID-19 disease in case of Wuhan China. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals. 2020;140:110124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110124

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.06.21256523; this version posted May 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

37. Ng KY, Gui MM. COVID-19: Development of a robust mathematical model and simulation package with consideration for ageing population and time delay for control action and resusceptibility. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena. 2020;411: 132599. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2020.132599

38. Ivorra B, Ferrández MR, Vela-Pérez M, Ramos AM. Mathematical modeling of the spread of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) taking into account the undetected infections. The case of China. Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation. 2020; 88:105303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2020.105303

39. Tuan NH, Mohammadi H, Rezapour S. A mathematical model for COVID-19 transmission by using the Caputo fractional derivative. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals. 2020; 110107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110107

40. Sinkala M et. al. The COVID-19 Pandemic in Africa: Predictions using the SIR Model. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.01.2011889

41. Agbokou K, Gneyou K, Tcharie K. Investigation on the temporal evolution of the covid'19pandemic: prediction for Togo. Open Jornal of mathematical sciencies. 2020;4:273-279. DOI:10.30538/oms2020.0118https://pisrt.org/psr-press/journals/oms

42. Pintér G, Felde I, Mosavi A, Gloaguen R. COVID-19 Pandemic Prediction for Hungary; A Hybrid Machine Learning Approach. Mathematics. 2020;8:890. DOI:10.3390/math8060890www.mdpi.com/journal/mathematics

43. Rossman H et al. Patterns of COVID-19 pandemic dynamics following deployment of a broad national immunization program. medRxiv [Preprint] 2021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.08.21251325

44. Furati KM, Sarumi IO, Khaliq AQM. Memory-Dependent Model for the Dynamics of COVID-19 Pandemic. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.26.20141242

45. Bosch J, Wilson A, O'Neil K, Zimmerman PA. COVID-19 Predict - Predicting Pandemic Trends. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.09.20191593

46. Asad A, Srivastava S, Verma MK. Evolution of COVID-19 Pandemic in India. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.01.20143925

47. Nawel Aries, Houdayfa Ounis. Mathematical Modeling of COVID-19 Pandemic in the African Continent. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.10.20210427

48. Guenther F, Bender A, Katz K, Kuechenhoff H, Hoehle M. Nowcasting the COVID-19 Pandemic in Bavaria. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.26.20140210

49. Yang W, Shaff J, Shaman J. COVID-19 Transmission Dynamics and Effectiveness of Public Health Interventions in New York City during the 2020 Spring Pandemic Wave. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.08.20190710

50. Dickman R. A SEIR-like model with a time-dependent contagion factor describes the dynamics of the Covid-19 pandemic. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.06.20169557

51. Kundu LR, Ferdous MZ, Islam US, Sultana M. Forecasting the spread of COVID-19 pandemic in Bangladesh using ARIMA model. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.22.20217414

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.06.21256523; this version posted May 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

52. Carvalho D, Barbastefano R, Pastore D, Lippi MC. A novel predictive mathematical model for COVID-19 pandemic with quarantine, contagion dynamics, and environmentally mediated transmission. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20163063

53. Biswas MHA et al. Modeling the Effective Control Strategy for Transmission Dynamics of Global Pandemic COVID-19. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.22.20076158

54. Aviv-Sharon E, Aharoni A. Forecasting COVID-19 pandemic Severity in Asia. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.15.20102640

55. Bannur N et al. Adaptive COVID-19 Forecasting via Bayesian Optimization. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.19.2021529

56. Honfo SH, Taboe BH, Kakai RG. Modeling COVID-19 dynamics in the sixteen West African countries. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.04.20188532

57. Chruściel PT, Szybka SJ. Universal properties of the dynamics of the Covid-19 pandemics. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.24.20181214

58. Reddy BRM, Singh A, Srivastava P. COVID-19 TRANSMISSION DYNAMICS IN INDIA WITH EXTENDED SEIR MODEL. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.15.20175703

59. Huang J et al. The amplified second outbreaks of global COVID-19 pandemic. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.15.2015416

60. Bhanot G, DeLisi C. Analysis of Covid-19 Data for Eight European Countries and the United Kingdom Using a Simplified SIR Model. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.26.20114058

61. Ibrahim MA, Al-Najafi A. Modeling, Control, and Prediction of the Spread of COVID-19 Using Compartmental, Logistic, and Gauss Models: A Case Study in Iraq and Egypt . Processes 2020,8, 1400; DOI:10.3390/pr8111400

62. Perone G. Comparison of ARIMA, ETS, NNAR and hybrid models to forecast the second wave of COVID-19 hospitalizations in Italy (HEDG-WP 20/18, University of York). [Preprint] 2020. http://www.york.ac.uk/economics/postgrad/herc/hedg/wps/

63. Bueno AM, Batistela CM, Correa DPF, Piqueira JRC. SIRSi compartmental model for COVID-19 pandemic with immunity loss. Chaos Solitons & Fractals, Oct 2020. DOI: 10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110388

64. Fahmya AE, El-desoukya MM, Mohamed ASA. Epidemic Analysis of COVID-19 in Egypt, Qatar and Saudi Arabia using the Generalized SEIR Model. medRxiv [Preprint] 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.19.20178129

65. Nesteruk I. Statistics-based predictions of coronavirus epidemic spreading in mainland China. Innov Biosyst Bioeng. 2020; 4(1):13–18. DOI: 10.20535/ibb.2020.4.1.195074.

66. Nesteruk I. Coronavirus epidemic outbreak in Europe. Comparison with the dynamics in mainland China. KPI Science News. No. 1 (2020), pp. 15-17. https://doi.org/10.20535/kpi-sn.2020.1.198043

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.06.21256523; this version posted May 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

67. Nesteruk I. Simulations and predictions of COVID-19 pandemic with the use of SIR model. Innov Biosyst Bioeng, 2020, vol. 4, no. 2, 110–121, doi: 10.20535/ibb.2020.4.2.204274. http://ibb.kpi.ua/article/view/204274

68. Nesteruk, I., Kydybyn, I., Demelmair, G. GLOBAL STABILIZATION TRENDS OF COVID-19 PANDEMIC. KPI Science News, 2020, No. 2, pp. 55-62. DOI: 10.20535/kpi-sn.2020.2.205124

69. Nesteruk I. Dynamics of the coronavirus pandemic in Italy and some global predictions. J Allergy Infect Dis 2020; 1(1):5-8. https://probiologists.com/Article/Dynamics-of-the-coronavirus-pandemic-in-Italy-and-some-global-predictions

70. Nesteruk I. *COVID19 pandemic dynamics.* Springer Nature, 2021, DOI: 10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5

71. Nesteruk I., Benlagha N. PREDICTIONS OF COVID-19 PANDEMIC DYNAMICS IN UKRAINE AND QATAR BASED ON GENERALIZED SIR MODEL. Innov Biosyst Bioeng, 2021, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 37–46. https://doi.org/10.20535/ibb.2021.5.1.228605

72. Nesteruk I. Visible and real sizes of new COVID-19 pandemic waves in Ukraine Innov Biosyst Bioeng, 2021, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 85–96. DOI: 10.20535/ibb.2021.5.2.230487 http://ibb.kpi.ua/article/view/230487

73. Li, Q., Guan, X., Wu, P., et al. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus–infected pneumonia. The New England journal of medicine, 2020 Jan. 29. DOI:10.1056/NEJMoa2001316.

74. https://www.scmp.com/news/china/society/article/3076334/coronavirus-strange-pneumonia-seen-lombardy-november-leading

75. F.-X. Lescure et al. Clinical and virological data of the first cases of COVID-19 in Europe: a case series. www.thelancet.com/infection Published online March 27, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30200-0

76. Frankfurter Allgemeine. https://m.faz.net/aktuell/sport/mehr-sport/militaerweltspiele-2019-in-wuhan-damals-schon-corona-faelle-16758894.html

77. Weinberger DM et al. Estimating the early death toll of COVID-19 in the United States. [Preprint.] MEDRXIV, 2020 Apr. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.15.2006643

78. https://podillyanews.com/2020/12/17/u-shkolah-hmelnytskogo-provely-eksperyment-z-testuvannyam-na-covid-19/

79. https://edition.cnn.com/2020/11/02/europe/slovakia-mass-coronavirus-test-intl/index.html

80. https://www.voanews.com/covid-19-pandemic/slovakias-second-round-coronavirus-tests-draws-large-crowds

81. Nesteruk I. Waves of COVID-19 pandemic. Detection and SIR simulations. MedRxiv. 2020 Aug. doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.03.20167098 https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.08.03.20167098v1

82. Nesteruk I. COVID-19 pandemic dynamics in Ukraine after September 1, 2020. MedRxiv. Posted December 22, 2020. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.21.20248627

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.06.21256523; this version posted May 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

83. Nesteruk I. Procedures of Parameter Identification for the Waves of Epidemics. In book: COVID-19 Pandemic Dynamics, Springer Nature, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5_10.

84. COVID-19 Data Repository by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University (JHU). https://github.com/owid/covid-19-data/tree/master/public/data

85. Kermack WO, McKendrick AG. A Contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics. J Royal Stat Soc Ser A. 1927;115:700-21.

86. Murray JD. Mathematical Biology I/II. New York: Springer; 2002.

87. Langemann D, Nesteruk I, Prestin J. Comparison of mathematical models for the dynamics of the Chernivtsi children disease. Mathematics in Computers and Simulation. 2016;123:68-79. DOI: 10.1016/j.matcom.2016.01.003

88. Draper NR, Smith H. Applied regression analysis. 3rd ed. John Wiley; 1998.

89. Gazzola M., Argentina M. & L. Mahadevan. Scaling macroscopic aquatic locomotion. Nature Physics, Volume 10, pages 758–761 (2014).

90**.** Nesteruk I. Statistics based models for the dynamics of Chernivtsi children disease. Naukovi Visti NTUU KPI. 2017;5:26-34. DOI: 10.20535/1810-0546.2017.5.108577

91. Nesteruk I. Maximal speed of underwater locomotion. Innov Biosyst Bioeng, 2019, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 152–167. Doi: https://doi.org/10.20535/ibb.2019.3.3.177976

92. Nesteruk I. Procedures of Parameter Identification for the Waves of Epidemics. In book: COVID-19 Pandemic Dynamics, Springer Nature, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5_10.