

## Investigating the relationship between serum ACE 2 level and COVID-19 patients' prognosis: a cross-sectional study

1 / Parsa Mohammadi, Medical student, Tehran University of Medical Sciences,

p.mohammadi@tums.student.ac.ir

2 / Hesam Aldin Varpaei

Bachelor of science in nursing, Islamic Azad university Tehran Medical sciences.

Akademik.lv@gmail.com

3/Arash Seifi

Assistant professor. Department of infectious diseases, Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

a-seifi@sina.tums.ac.ir

4/Sepideh Zahak Miandoab

Resident of infectious diseases. Department of infectious diseases, Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

Sepidee67@yahoo.com

5/Saba Beiranvand

Master of Nursing Department of Nursing. Imam Khomeini hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

Saba.b826@gmail.com

6 /Sahar Mobaraki

Bachelor of Nursing. Department of Nursing. Imam Khomeini hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

sahar.mobaraki@yahoo.com

7 /Mostafa Mohammadi\*, M.D. Anesthesiologist, Intensivist, Associate professor, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, general ICU of Imam Khomeini Hospital Complex

\*Corresponding Author

### Abstract

**Background:** The only known receptor for this virus in the human body is ACE2, the same known receptor for the SARS virus.

**Material and Method:** In this single-center cross-sectional study, 38 hospitalized adult ( $\geq 18$  years) patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 were identified in the infectious disease ward in Imam Khomeini hospital complex. The study also has been approved in ethics committee of Tehran University of medical sciences with ethic code: 99/11/101/16529. Data were analyzed using SPSS 25.  $p < 0.05$  was considered statistically significant when a two-tailed test was performed.

**Result:** Among the 38 patients, the mean age was 64.13 years, 52.6% were male, 42% were PCR test positive and 39.5% was expired. The most common presenting symptoms were cough (80%), fever

(75.5%), dyspnea (60.5%), myalgias (35.8%), diarrhea (20%), and nausea and vomiting (15%). There were not any significant differences between expired and discharged group in terms of serum ACE2 level. Results were similar between discharged and expired patients in the subgroup analysis of 38 patients.

**Conclusion:** It seems that serum ACE 2 level is not correlated with COVID-19 patients' prognosis. However, it seems that more researches are required to confirm supposed association between serum ACE2 level and inflammatory biomarkers, clinical outcome, and patient's survival.

**Keywords:** COVID 19 – ACE2 – Critical care – prognosis – Respiratory disease

## **Introduction**

The SARS-CoV-2 virus, the causative agent of the COVID-19 pandemic [1], is now a global problem and has infected more than 180 countries, including Iran. The only known receptor for this virus in the human body is ACE2, the same known receptor for the SARS virus [2]. The spike protein binds to the ACE2 receptor on the surface of human cells, then enters the cell and multiplies [3]. Because the ACE2 gene is most abundantly expressed in the heart, lung, and kidney tissues [4], many of the symptoms and problems of patients with COVID-19 are related to the virus interacting with the ACE2 protein, including cardiovascular and pulmonary problems [5, 6]. There are many suggestions for treating the new coronavirus, lots of these pieces of evidence are related to the interaction of ACE2 with the viral Spike protein; Such as hydroxychloroquine, which alters a portion of the ACE2 molecule and prevents it from binding to the virus [7], blocking the ACE2 receptor, or using a solution of ACE2 to bind to and neutralize the viral spike protein [6]. Also, changes in ACE2 in heart disease [8], kidney [9], diabetes [10] and in older ages [11] could be the reason for the greater vulnerability of this group of patients against SARS-CoV-2 virus.

Because SARS-CoV-2 enters host cells by binding to the angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE2), the role of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone (RAAS) system and immunosuppressants, or RAAS, in COVID-19 is controversial yet [12,13]. It seems that ACE2 is like a double-edged sword in COVID-19 infection. On the one hand, age, comorbidities, or treatment with renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors may alter ACE2 expression and increase patients' sensitivity to SARS-CoV-2 [14,15]. On the other hand, inhibition of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system or increased plasma ACE2 expression may degrade angiotensin II and reduce its destructive effects on ARDS in COVID-19 [16]. Thus, SARS-CoV-2 infection may alter ACE2 expression, thereby modulating the levels of angiotensin II and aldosterone [17].

All of this information indicates the importance of ACE2 levels monitoring in COVID-19 patients. Measurements in this study are performed using the sACE2 ELISA kit. By comparing serum ACE2 levels in newly admitted patients with sACE2 levels within 48 hours of the same patients, the value of sACE2 levels in determining the prognosis of COVID-19 patients can be determined. Therefore, the aim of this study was to use serum ACE2 levels to determine the prognosis of COVID-19 patients

## **Methods and Materials**

In this single-center cross-sectional study, 38 hospitalized adult ( $\geq 18$  years) patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 were identified in the infectious disease ward in Imam Khomeini hospital complex. The study also has been approved in ethics committee of Tehran University of medical

sciences with ethic code: 99/11/101/16529. A blood sample was taken at the time of hospitalization and second one was taken 48 hours later. Blood samples are frozen at -80 ° C. After complete collection of samples, the ACE2 level of the samples was measured using serum sACE2 detection ELISA kit.

### Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS 25 (IBM Corp). Descriptive statistical analysis was used to describe items included in the survey. Data were expressed as medians (interquartile ranges, IQRs) for continuous variables. For bivariate analysis, the Mann–Whitney U test or t-test was used for continuous variables, and the  $\chi^2$  or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. Survival curves were developed using the Kaplan–Meier method with log-rank test.  $p < 0.05$  was considered statistically significant when a two-tailed test was performed.

### Result

Among the 38 patients, the mean age was 64.13 years, 52.6% were male, 42% were PCR positive test and 39.5% was expired. The most common presenting symptoms were cough (80%), fever (75.5%), dyspnea (60.5%), myalgias (35.8%), diarrhea (20%), and nausea and vomiting (15%). To measure the strength of the association between patient's symptoms and outcome, Phi and Cramer's V test was performed. There is not any significant association or correlation between COVID-19 patient's symptoms and outcomes.

Table – 1 Demographic characteristics of patients			
	N = 38		
	Minimum	Maximum	Mean ± Std
<b>Age</b>	30.00	88.00	64.13 ± 16.49
<b>Mean Serum ACE Level</b>	0.32	11.84	2.55 ± 2.41
<b>Pulse Rate</b>	58.00	120.00	86.39 ± 14.33
<b>Respiratory Rate</b>	18.00	40.00	24.89 ± 5.94
<b>Systolic Blood Pressure</b>	75.00	160.00	119.81 ± 19.42
<b>Diastolic Blood Pressure</b>	44.00	99.00	74.47 ± 11.66
<b>White blood cells, 10<sup>3</sup>/L</b>	3.50	27.80	10.61 ± 5.67
<b>C–reactive protein, mg/dL</b>	6.00	173.00	66.23 ± 42.08
<b>Hemoglobin, g/dL</b>	5.90	16.60	11.47 ± 2.61
<b>Platelets, 10<sup>3</sup>/L</b>	41.00	599.00	250.15 ± 137.30
<b>Urea, mg/dl</b>	23.00	230.00	80.00 ± 42.62
<b>Creatinine, mg/dL</b>	0.50	5.90	1.63 ± 1.32
<b>ESR</b>	10.00	139.00	61.07 ± 33.61
<b>Blood Sugar, mg/dl</b>	53.00	370.00	160.60 ± 79.50
<b>Alanine aminotransferase, U/L</b>	10.00	748.00	67.36 ± 119.04
<b>Aspartate aminotransferase, U/L</b>	13.00	270.00	57.52 ± 52.96
<b>Alkaline Phosphatase</b>	69.00	1939.00	295.73 ± 303.67
<b>Total bilirubin, mg/dL</b>	0.20	3.20	0.83 ± 0.54
<b>Direct bilirubin, mg/dL</b>	0.20	6.70	0.60 ± 1.03

<b>O2 Saturation</b>	75.00	95.00	87.81 ± 6.00
<b>PH</b>	7.24	7.63	7.39 ± 0.09
<b>PCO2</b>	16.10	67.70	40.77 ± 11.61
<b>PT</b>	12.00	47.30	16.67 ± 6.01
<b>PTT</b>	25.00	55.00	33.78 ± 6.72
<b>INR</b>	0.18	3.61	1.32 ± 0.49
<b>Weight</b>	49.00	116.00	74.42 ± 15.70
<b>Hight</b>	158.00	1162.00	193.13 ± 161.47

<b>Table – 2</b>	<b>Laboratory Indices, Severity of Illness, and Clinical Course</b>		
	<b>Expired (n=15)</b>	<b>Discharged (n=23)</b>	<b>p Value</b>
ACE serum	2.05 (1.60 – 2.64)	1.60 (0.61 – 2.50)	P > 0.05
Pulse Rate	87 (78 – 100)	84 (77 – 94)	P > 0.05
Respiratory Rate	25 (20 – 30)	25 (19 – 32)	P > 0.05
Systolic Blood Pressure, mm Hg	120 (118 – 140)	118 (110 – 130)	P > 0.05
Diastolic Blood Pressure, mm Hg	80 (65 – 86)	75 (65 – 80)	P > 0.05
White blood cells, 10 <sup>3</sup> /L	10.10 (8.30 – 13.90)	7.20 (6.10 – 14.50)	P > 0.05
C-reactive protein, mg/dL	52 (42 – 132)	50 (40 – 73)	P > 0.05
Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate, mm/hr	60 (32 – 80)	65 (30 – 90)	P > 0.05
Platelets, 10 <sup>3</sup> /L	239 (153 – 280)	263 (136 – 392)	P > 0.05
Hemoglobin, g/dL	10.40 (8.30 – 13.30)	12 (10.20 – 13.30)	P > 0.05
Alanine aminotransferase, U/L	35 (25 – 68)	45 (27 – 80)	P > 0.05
Aspartate aminotransferase, U/L	36 (22 – 52)	53 (28 – 78)	P > 0.05
Alkaline Phosphatase, U/ml	194 (170 – 300)	237 (178 – 341)	P > 0.05
Bilirubin Direct	0.3 (0.3 – 0.5)	0.5 (0.4 – 0.6)	P > 0.05
Bilirubin Total	0.8 (0.5 – 1)	0.7 (0.5 – 1)	P > 0.05
Urea, mg/dl	107 (70 – 127)	58 (38 – 84)	P > 0.05
Creatinine, mg/dl	1.8 (0.9 – 3.9)	0.9 (0.8 – 1.5)	P > 0.05
O2 Saturation	88 (78 – 90)	91 (88 – 93)	P > 0.05
PH	7.43 (7.30 – 7.50)	7.38 (7.37 – 7.41)	P > 0.05
PCO2	35.40 (33 – 50)	43 (32 – 46.70)	P > 0.05
PT	15 (13.9 – 17.3)	15 (13.6 – 18.4)	P > 0.05
PTT	33 (28 – 39)	32 (28 – 38)	P > 0.05
INR	1.3 (1 – 1.48)	1.24 (1.04 – 1.54)	P > 0.05

Data are presented as median (interquartile range).

Laboratory findings on hospital admission are shown in Table 2. Of all patients, there were not any significant differences between expired and discharged group. ACE serum level in common with White blood cells, C-reactive protein, Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate, Urea and Creatinine were higher in expired group (not significantly, P-value > 0.05). In contrast, Platelets, Hemoglobin, liver function tests (ALT, AST, Alp), and direct bilirubin were higher in discharged group (not significantly, P-value > 0.05).

## Discussion

Our study was conducted to examine the relationship between serum ACE 2 level and COVID-19 patients' prognosis. Coronavirus cell receptor is ACE2 [22] and several supposed treatments affect ACE2 receptor such as Chloroquine Hydroxychloroquine which block ACE2 receptors therefore limit virus availability to ACE2 receptors. It was also proposed that angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) and angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs) might increase levels of ACE2. However, recent study reported these medications does not raise ACE2 levels in serum [23]. Accordingly, we proposed that, soluble ACE2 levels might correlated with severity of disease and patient's prognosis. We found not any association between serum ACE2 level and patient outcome and severity. It could be because there was no correlation or due to limitation of our sample size.

In the present study, we did not find a significant difference in the serum ACE2 levels of the two groups of patients, which is aligned with similar studies [17,18]. However, we find that serum ACE 2 level was rise in patients with severe disease, who finally expired. Some studies hypothesized that, A significant increase of serum ACE2 activity may act as an endogenous nonspecific protective mechanism against SARS-CoV-2 infection that preceded the recovery of patients [19]. A study by Emilsson et al [20], suggested that upregulation of ACE2 levels may reflects severity of outcome in COVID-19. Besides, it seems that serum ACE activity on admission did not reflect disease severity [18]. Further studies are required for confirmation of association between serum ACE 2 and COVID-19 severity.

It was suggested that, Measurement of serum ACE2 antibody titers would help to identify who is likely to progress into acute respiratory distress, particularly in patients with cardiovascular disease and hypertension [21], Therefore, it might be possible to use serum ACE2 as indicator of ARDS.

## **Conclusion**

In summary, we did not find evidence for increased serum ACE2 (soluble) in discharged or expired patients with COVID-19. According to the results, no significant relationship was found between serum ACE2 level and patients' prognosis, however, it seems that more research is needed. Due to study limitations, we suggest that serum ACE2 level determine in big sample size particularly in subgroup patients with diabetes, hypertension and asthma.

## **Funding**

This research received no external funding.

## **Acknowledgments**

We would like to thank all medical staff for their effort in COVID-19 patient care.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## **References**

1 –Varpaei HA. Investigating the relationship between clinical characteristics of 2019 novel Coronavirus pneumonia cases (COVID-19) before intubation and treatment outcome after 14 days in imam Khomeini hospital complex, Tehran, Iran: Descriptive-analytic study. American Journal of

Biomedical Science & Research. 2020;10(6):478–89. AJBSR.MS.ID.001556.

DOI:10.34297/AJBSR.2020.10.001556.

2 – Letko, M., A. Marzi, and V. Munster, Functional assessment of cell entry and receptor usage for SARS-CoV-2 and other lineage B betacoronaviruses. *Nature microbiology*, 2020: p. 1-8.

3 – Hoffmann, M., et al., SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. *Cell*, 2020.

4 – Xu, H., et al., High expression of ACE2 receptor of 2019-nCoV on the epithelial cells of oral mucosa. *International Journal of Oral Science*, 2020. 12(1): p. 1-5.

5 – Zheng, Y.-Y., et al., COVID-19 and the cardiovascular system. *Nature Reviews Cardiology*, 2020: p. 1-2.

6 – Zhang, H., et al., Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) as a SARS-CoV-2 receptor: molecular mechanisms and potential therapeutic target. *Intensive Care Medicine*, 2020: p. 1-5.

7 – Vincent, M.J., et al., Chloroquine is a potent inhibitor of SARS coronavirus infection and spread. *Virology Journal*, 2005. 2(1): p. 69

8 – Burrell, L.M., et al., Myocardial infarction increases ACE2 expression in rat and humans. *European heart journal*, 2005. 26(4): p. 369-375.

9 – Lely, A., et al., Renal ACE2 expression in human kidney disease. *The Journal of Pathology: A Journal of the Pathological Society of Great Britain and Ireland*, 2004. 204(5): p. 587-593.

10 – Mizuiri, S., et al., Expression of ACE and ACE2 in individuals with diabetic kidney disease and healthy controls. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*, 2008. 51(4): p. 613-623.

11 – Schulman, I.H., et al., Altered renal expression of angiotensin II receptors, renin receptor, and ACE-2 precede the development of renal fibrosis in aging rats. *American journal of nephrology*, 2010. 32(3): p. 249-261.

12 – Hajra A, Bandyopadhyay D. COVID-19 and ACEI/ARB: Not Associated? *American journal of hypertension*2020; 33(8):788.

13 – Schiffrin EL, Flack JM, Ito S, Muntner P, Webb RC. Hypertension and COVID-19. *American journal of hypertension*2020; 33(5):373-374.

14 – Sama IE, Ravera A, Santema BT, van Goor H, Ter Maaten JM, Cleland JGF, Rienstra M, Friedrich AW, Samani NJ, Ng LL, Dickstein K, Lang CC, Filippatos G, Anker SD, Ponikowski P, Metra M, van Veldhuisen DJ, Voors AA. Circulating plasma concentrations of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 in men and women with heart failure and effects of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone inhibitors. *European heart journal*2020; 41(19):1810-1817.

15 – Verdecchia P, Cavallini C, Spanevello A, Angeli F. COVID-19: ACE2centric Infective Disease? *Hypertension*2020; 76(2):294-299.

16 – Felice C, Nardin C, Di Tanna GL, Grossi U, Bernardi E, Scaldaferrì L, Romagnoli M, Tonon L, Cavasin P, Novello S, Scarpa R, Farnia A, De Menis E, Rigoli R, Cinetto F, Pauletto P, Agostini C, Rattazzi M. Use of RAAS inhibitors and risk of clinical deterioration in COVID-19: results from an Italian cohort of 133 hypertensives. *American journal of hypertension* 2020.

17 – Rieder M, Wirth L, Pollmeier L, Jeserich M, Goller I, Baldus N, et al. Serum ACE-2, angiotensin II, and aldosterone levels are unchanged in patients with COVID-19. *Am J Hypertens* [Internet]. 2020; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ajh/hpaa169>

18 – Avanoğlu Guler A, Tombul N, Aysert Yıldız P, Özger HS, Hızal K, Gulbahar O, et al. The assessment of serum ACE activity in COVID-19 and its association with clinical features and severity of the disease. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest*. 2021;1–6.

19 – Nagy B Jr, Fejes Z, Szentkereszty Z, Sütő R, Várkonyi I, Ajzner É, et al. A dramatic rise in serum ACE2 activity in a critically ill COVID-19 patient. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2021;103:412–4.

20 – Emilsson V, Gudmundsson EF, Aspelund T, Jonsson BG, Gudjonsson A, Launer LJ, et al. ACE2 levels are altered in comorbidities linked to severe outcome in COVID-19. *medRxiv* [Internet]. 2020; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122044>

21 – McMillan P, Uhal BD. COVID-19-A theory of autoimmunity to ACE-2. *MOJ Immunol*. 2020;7(1):17–9.

22 – Li W, Zhang C, Sui J, Kuhn JH, Moore MJ, Luo S, et al. Receptor and viral determinants of SARS-coronavirus adaptation to human ACE2. *EMBO J*. 2005;24(8):1634–43.

23 – Emilsson V, Gudmundsson EF, Aspelund T, Jonsson BG, Gudjonsson A, Launer LJ, et al. Antihypertensive medication uses and serum ACE2 levels: ACEIs/ARBs treatment does not raise serum levels of ACE2. *medRxiv* [Internet]. 2020; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.21.20108738>