

The impact of increasing the minimum legal drinking age to 20 years in Lithuania on all-cause mortality – an interrupted time-series analysis

Alexander Tran PhD¹, Huan Jiang PhD^{1,2}, Shannon Lange, PhD^{1,3}, Michael Livingston PhD⁴⁻⁶, Jakob Manthey PhD⁷⁻⁹, Maria Neufeld MSc^{1, 7, 10}, Robin Room PhD^{4, 11}, Mindaugas Štelemėkas PhD¹²⁻¹³, Tadas Telksnys PhD¹², Janina Petkevičienė^{12,13}, Ričardas Radišauskas^{14,15}, Jürgen Rehm, PhD^{1-3,7,8,16-19}

1. Institute for Mental Health Policy Research, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, 33 Ursula Franklin Street, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5S 2S1
2. Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, 155 College Street, Toronto, ON, M5T 1P8, Canada
3. Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, 33 Ursula Franklin Street, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5T 2S1
4. Centre for Alcohol Policy Research, Building NR-1, La Trobe University, Plenty Rd. x Kingsbury Rd., Bundoora, Victoria 3086, Australia
5. National Drug Research Institute, Health Sciences, Curtin University, Perth, 6102, Australia
6. Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Solnavägen 1, 171 77 Solna, Sweden
7. Institute of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, Technische Universität Dresden, Chemnitzer Str. 46, 01187 Dresden, Germany

8. Center for Interdisciplinary Addiction Research (ZIS), Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf (UKE), Martinistraße 52, 20246 Hamburg, Germany
9. Department of Psychiatry, Medical Faculty, University of Leipzig, Semmelweisstraße 10, 04103 Leipzig, Germany
10. WHO European Office for Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases, Moscow, Leontyevsky Pereulok 9, 125009 Moscow, Russian Federation
11. Centre for Social Research on Alcohol and Drugs, Department of Public Health Sciences, Stockholm University, 3rd floor, Sveavägen 160, 113 46 Stockholm, Sweden.
12. Health Research Institute, Faculty of Public Health, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Tilžės str. 18, 47181 Kaunas, Lithuania
13. Department of Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Public Health, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Tilžės str. 18, 47181 Kaunas, Lithuania
14. Department of Environmental and Occupational Medicine, Faculty of Public Health, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Tilžės str. 18, 47181 Kaunas, Lithuania
15. Institute of Cardiology, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Sukilėlių str. 17, 50162 Kaunas, Lithuania.
16. World Health Organization / Pan American Health Organization Collaborating Centre, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, 33 Ursula Franklin Street, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5S 2S1

17. Faculty of Medicine, Institute of Medical Science, University of Toronto, Medical Sciences Building, 1 King's College Circle, Room 2374, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5S 1A8
18. Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, 250 College Street, 8th floor, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5T 1R8
19. Department of International Health Projects, Institute for Leadership and Health Management, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Trubetskaya str., 8, b. 2, 119992, Moscow, Russian Federation

Key words: alcohol - control policy – minimum legal drinking age – Lithuania – all-cause mortality

Number of Tables: 2

Number of Figures: 1

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

Abstract

Aims: To determine the effect of an alcohol policy change, which increased the minimum legal drinking age (MLDA) from 18 years of age to 20 years of age on all-cause mortality rates in young adults in Lithuania.

Methods: An interrupted time series analysis was conducted on a dataset from 2001 to 2019 ($n = 228$ months). The model tested the effects of the MLDA on all-cause mortality rates (deaths per 100,000 individuals) in 3 age categories (15-17 years old, 18-19 years old, 20-22 years old). Additional models that included GDP as a covariate and taxation policy were tested as well.

Results: There was a significant effect of the MLDA on all-cause mortality rates in those 18-19 years old, when modelled alone. Additional analyses controlling for the mortality rate of other age groups showed similar findings. Inclusion of confounding factors (policies on alcohol taxation, GDP) eliminated the effects of MLDA.

Conclusions: Although there was a notable decline in all-cause mortality rates among young adults in Lithuania, a direct causal impact of MLDA on all-cause mortality rates in young adults was not definitively found.

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

Short Summary

We investigated the effect of an increase in minimum legal drinking age (MLDA) on all-cause mortality in young adults (aged 18-19). MLDA had a negative effect on all-cause mortality (even when controlling for mortality rates in other age groups), however when confounding factors were included, these effects were attenuated.

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

Background

On January 1, 2018, Lithuania increased its minimum legal drinking age (MLDA) from 18 years of age to 20 years of age. This change was accompanied by increased enforcement by obligating all retailers to request for age verification if a customer appears to be younger than 25 (Miščikienė et al., 2020). At the same time, there were other alcohol control measures enacted that were intended to reduce availability and ban marketing (Miščikienė et al., 2020). With the goal of reducing alcohol consumption and attributable harm in Lithuania, which was among the highest globally in 2010 (Manthey et al., 2019), the enactment of these measures was part of a WHO initiative. This initiative was to implement all three of the World Health Organization's (WHO) "best buys" for alcohol control policy, taxation increases, availability restrictions and ban of marketing (World Health Organization, 2017), within a relatively short period of time (Rehm et al., 2019). While the "best buys" were initially intended to specifically address non-communicable diseases only, these interventions have been shown to impact other disease outcomes as well (e.g., traffic injury; (Rehm et al., 2020)) and they reduce the overall burden of alcohol-attributable disease and mortality substantially (Chisholm et al., 2018, Štelemėkas et al., 2021 (in press)).

MLDA is the minimum age a person needs to be in order to be allowed to drink alcohol under law (World Health Organization, 2021). MLDA and its enforcement is an important part of the "best buys", and has been established in all 53 countries in the European Region of the WHO, albeit with different age thresholds (see Supplementary Table S1; based on (Neufeld et al., 2021, World Health Organization, 2018)), between 16 and 21 years of age, and in some countries varying by beverage categories (beer, wine, spirits), or based on whether consumption is on- and off-premises. Moreover, some countries have separate age thresholds for purchasing vs. consuming alcohol. For most alcoholic beverage categories, the MLDA is 18 years, with the following mean levels: 18.0 years for beer and wine,

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

and 18.2 years for spirits. After the recent change in 2018, Lithuania has one of the higher MLDA in this region, with only Kazakhstan and Turkmenistan surpassing it with MLDA of 21 years. The age restriction in Lithuania applies to the ability to purchase as well as to consume alcohol (Miščikienė et al., 2020).

MLDA has two main purposes: to reduce drinking and alcohol-attributable problems; alcohol-attributable disease and injury are major contributors to the latter. To date, two reviews have shown that MLDA generally achieves this goal, with most of the research based on the increase from 18 to 21 years in the United States of America (US), which became nationwide in 1984 (DeJong et al., 2014, Wagenaar et al., 2002). Enforcement was found to play a crucial role in the effectiveness of MLDA (Wagenaar et al., 2005).

In two of the most comprehensive recent studies on the effectiveness of MLDA, Carpenter and Dobkin (Carpenter et al., 2011, Carpenter et al., 2015) estimated the effects of increasing the US MLDA reduced night-time traffic fatalities among 18-20-year-olds by 17%, reduced suicide mortality and had substantial impacts on arrest rates across a wide range of crimes, including drink-driving, assault and robbery. These effects were likely mediated by reduced alcohol use, including but not limited to heavy episodic drinking. Studies from outside North America have corroborated the impact of MLDA in both directions; i.e., introductions and increases of MLDA were associated with reduced consumption and attributable harm, whereas lowering the MLDA (or minimum purchasing age) was associated with increased consumption, self-reported problems, traffic injuries and deaths, hospital admissions and rates of juvenile crime (Gruenewald et al., 2015, Huckle et al., 2014, Jiang et al., 2015).

The objective of the current study was to estimate the impact of the increase in MLDA on all-cause mortality in Lithuania among individuals 15-22 years of age, with the hypothesis that the increase

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

in MLDA was associated with a significant decrease in mortality rates, particularly among those 18-19 years of age. We first tested this hypothesis using an interrupted time-series methodology (ITS; (Beard et al., 2019)), and then we ran additional models that included a control series of younger (15-17 years) and older age groups (20-22 years), as well as an economic indicator. In addition, we conducted sensitivity analyses that tested the general trends in mortality through different time periods, as well as analyses focusing on men only.

Methods

Data

Monthly all-cause mortality data (monthly number of deaths) from 2001 to 2019 were obtained from Statistics Lithuania (Statistics Lithuania, 2021) by the Lithuanian University of Health Sciences ($n = 228$). The mortality data were separated into broad categories that represented disease and injury causes of death attributable to alcohol (Rehm et al., 2017): cancer, stroke, heart disease, other cardiovascular disease, diabetes and kidney diseases, gastrointestinal diseases, traffic injuries, unintentional injuries, intentional injuries and all other causes. The data were comprised of deaths for individuals between the ages of 15-22 years, which were separated into three age categories: 15-17 years of age, 18-19 years of age, and 20-22 years of age, as well as by sex. Injuries are the main cause of deaths in these age-groups (see Figure 1).

Yearly population data were also obtained from Statistics Lithuania for the individuals 15 to 22 years of age and were combined into the three age categories described above (aged 15-17, aged 18-19, and aged 20-22). These yearly data were linearly imputed to obtain monthly population data used to calculate the rates (see below). To control for potential economic confounds, quarterly data on gross domestic product (GDP) *per capita* (in USD) were obtained

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

from Statistics Lithuania, and converted into monthly gross domestic product also using linear imputation (Lithuanian Department of Statistics, 2021).

Dependent Variable

Crude mortality rates (monthly deaths per 100,000 individuals) were calculated for each of the three age groups, by sex and for both sexes combined. As the age-categories were small, no age-adjustment was applied. For the direct control we used the difference between the mortality rates of the group of interest (aged 18-19) and of control groups (aged 15-17 or aged 20-22). We also performed an analysis for men (aged 18-19), controlling for women (aged 18-19) by creating a difference score between the mortality rates.

Predictor variable and covariate

The primary variable to be tested was the above-described increase in MLDA on January 1, 2018. This policy change was part of an implementation of three alcohol control measures at the same time (ban on advertising, reduced off-premises sales hours and MLDA; (Miščikienė et al., 2020)) which, as mentioned above, was part of an overall strategy of implementing all WHO “best buys” for alcohol control policy within a short period of time (Rehm et al., 2019). Thus, different models were estimated:

- An ITS analysis of mortality rates among the total population, aged 18-19 with only the 2018 policy implementations;
- ITS analyses estimating the effect of the policy on mortality rates of the total population, aged 18-19 by directly controlling for the effects of those aged 15-17, and those aged 20-22, by creating new dependent variables of the difference between the respective time series of mortality rates; similarly, we used the time series of mortality rates of women (aged 18-19) as a control for mortality rates of men (aged 18-19);

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

- An ITS analysis additionally controlling for the immediate effects of the most powerful policy intervention on all-cause mortality rates: a substantial increase in excise taxation of 111-112% for wines and beer and 23% for ethyl alcohol, mainly applying to spirits implemented in March 2017 (Rehm et al., 2021, Štelemėkas et al., 2021 (in press)) and for economic confounds (GDP per capita)
- An ITS analysis of four different time periods with and without major alcohol policy measures, defined as follows: (Period 1) January 2001 until December 2007 (no major alcohol control policies introduced; several small policies associated with increased availability); (Period 2) January 2008 until December 2009 (year of sobriety; five alcohol control policies introduced); (Period 3) January 2010 until March 2014 (no major alcohol control policies introduced); and (Period 4) April 2014 until December, 2019 (total of nine alcohol control policies introduced; see (Miščikienė et al., 2020, Rehm et al., 2021).

Alternatively, we did a sensitivity analyses using the following periods which included only major policies as breakpoints, and thus did not consider the policies of 2014 to 2016 as periods of high intensity of alcohol control policy: (Period 1) January 2001 until December 2007; (Period 2) January 2008 until December 2009; (Period 3) January 2010 until February 2017; and (Period 4) March 2017 until December 2019

In addition, we calculated models controlled by GDP *per capita* and for men only (women only models were not possible due to small numbers). Men made up 79.27% of deaths in the age group of 18- to 19-year-olds, averaged over the time period.

All alcohol control measures used in our models were dummy coded variables with a value of 0 before the measure, and 1 thereafter, thus testing for an abrupt permanent effect.

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

Statistical analyses

An interrupted time series analysis was then employed using a general additive mixed model (GAMM; (Beard et al., 2019) to test the effect of increased MLDA (January 2018). In this model, seasonality was controlled for using a single smoothing term that was a 12-knot cubic spline (monthly seasonal trend). The model residuals were checked for normality and stationarity using QQ plots and the augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test. To achieve stationarity, and to remove autocorrelation in the time series, an autoregressive and integrated moving average (ARIMA) model for errors was used when necessary (details on all the models can be found in the supplementary materials in Supplementary Table S2 to S5).

All analyses were performed using R version 4.0.4 (R Project, 2021).

Results

Once the data were fit with AR and MA terms, they approximated normality and stationarity (see Supplemental Figure S4). Overall, there appeared to be a general decline in all-cause mortality rate across all three age groups since January 2001. Figure 1 gives an overview of the time trend in all-cause mortality rate among those aged 18-19, separated and grouped by major causes of death. Given that various causes of death had very small contributions to all-cause mortality, only 4 categories were selected: unintentional injuries, intentional injuries, transport injuries, and all other causes of death (Supplemental Figure S1 in the Supplementary Materials shows the mortality rates, separated by all 9 categories, as well as Supplemental Figure S2 and S3 in the Supplementary Materials, which shows the 4-category breakdown for the two other age groups). As demonstrated in Figure 1, injury was responsible for the majority (70.01%) of deaths of deaths in those aged 18-19. It should be noted that

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

deaths by suicide constitute to a large portion of the intentional injury deaths in Lithuania. For instance, Lithuania's age-standardize suicide rate is 25.7 per 100 000 and therefore twice as high as the rate of the WHO European Region, which is 12.9 per 100 000 (World Health Organization, 2019).

- Insert Figure 1 about here -

Interrupted ITS models with mortality rates as dependent variable

In the most parsimonious model (testing the effect of the increased MLDA in 2018 on mortality rates alone) there was a marginal, non-significant effect in the 15-17 age group ($t(226) = -1.76$, $p = .079$), a significant effect in the 18-19 age group ($t(226) = -3.05$, $p = .0025$), and a significant effect in the 20-22 age group ($t(226) = -3.65$, $p = .00035$; details on models in the Supplementary Materials). However, when GDP *per capita* was introduced, this variable became significant and the effect for the MDLA policy enactment of January 2018 disappeared (see Supplementary Table A2). As men make up the majority of deaths in this age group, their ITS models showed very similar results (see Supplementary Table A2).

When adding the taxation policy in 2017 to the model, there was no effect of the MLDA policy in 2018 on mortality rate, either in those aged 18-19 or in the other two age groups (see Appendix Table A3). But there was a marginal effect of the taxation policy in 2017 for those aged 15-17 ($t(224) = -1.90$, $p = .059$), and a significant effect for those aged 20-22 ($t(224) = -2.24$, $p = .026$).

For all ITS models, GDP *per capita* had a significant negative effect on mortality rates, such that as GDP increased, mortality rates decreased. The sign of this effect was different from the model for all age groups (Štelemėkas et al., 2021 (in press)). Overall, even for the models that included all variables considered, there was a relatively poor fit for the 15-17 age group (R^2 -adjusted = 0.22) as well as the 18-19 age group (R^2 -adjusted = 0.27), while the 20-22 age group had a better fit (R^2 -adjusted = 0.41).

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

Interrupted time series models with direct control by other time series

Table 1 gives an overview of all models, which used the time series of other age groups and genders as direct controls via differencing.

----INSERT TABLE 1 AROUND HERE----

The effect of the 2018 MLDA policy persisted after controlling for the mortality rate of those aged 15-17, and when taking the mortality rate in women as a control for the mortality rate in men. However, when controlling for those aged 20-22, the effect was no longer significant.

Comparing periods with different intensity of alcohol policy enactments

Periods during which alcohol control policies were implemented (i.e., Period 2: January 2008 – December 2009, and Period 4: April 2014 – December 2019) were associated with trend changes in mortality rates among 18- to 19-year-olds. In the first such period, Period 2: January 2008 – December 2009, the trend changed from a slightly positive slope in mortality rates into a negative slope (i.e., from slightly increasing to decreasing). Furthermore, in the most recent period, Period 4: April 2014 - December 2019, there was a highly significant decrease in all-cause mortality rate.

----INSERT TABLE 2 AROUND HERE----

The sensitivity analysis corroborated these results, again, the wider defined periods with alcohol policy implementation were associated with change of trends and the most pronounced declining mortality trend, respectively (details see Appendix Table A2). And not surprisingly, the same pattern of results was found to be even more pronounced in men (for details see Appendix Table A3).

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

Discussion

Overall, there was a decreasing trend in the all-cause mortality rates among individuals aged 18-19 in Lithuania, the level of which was associated with implementation of alcohol control policies. The exact role that the increase in MLDA played in this trend remains unclear, as a number of other alcohol control policies were implemented in close temporal proximity, as well there was a possible confounding effect of GDP. While an ITS without additional confounders, and the controlled ITS (age-differenced time series) showed a significant effect of MLDA, models with additional confounders were no longer significant.

The following potential limitations should be considered: First, Lithuania is a small country, and the mortality numbers for the age groups likely to be impacted by increasing the MLDA, such as 18- to 19-year-olds, are relatively small. Furthermore, there was a change in population registration procedures resulting in a decline in the recorded population in Lithuania over the observed period. Consequently, fluctuations of only a few deaths per month made a large difference in mortality rates (deaths per 100,000) and may explain the relatively poor model fit. The small number of deaths per month, with several months of zero deaths, were also the reason we could not separate models for women. Second, alcohol policy implementation activity happened in clusters of periods (see methods for detailed explanation), so within the 20-year window we could distinguish four different periods, two with low or fewer alcohol control policies and two with stricter alcohol policy implementation. To separate out the effects of single policies such as MLDA is hard, especially, when the increase in MLDA was coupled with a ban on advertising and an availability restriction. While the ban on advertising may not have impacted the mortality rate abruptly in the short term (Babor et al., 2010), it still may have contributed to the results. Furthermore, as the alcohol policy implementation happened in close temporal proximity to the 2017 policy change, the overlap was large (Pearson $r = 0.81$), creating

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

potential multicollinearity. Finally, although we attempted to remove confounds by controlling for those aged 15-17 and those aged 20-22 there may have been some issues with these models. On the one hand, young people intermingle, and it can very well be that they go out in groups together and would collectively be impacted by certain behaviors such as drink-driving (Thombs et al., 1997, Brown, 1998, González-Iglesias et al., 2015). Furthermore, it has been shown in other countries that simply the announcement of shifting MLDA may sensitize teenagers/young adults and their families to the issue of alcohol and attributable harm, thus widening the impact of the law to neighboring age groups or shifting the effects to some months prior to actual implementation. For instance, Møller showed that the introduction of legal restrictions, i.e., the implementation of a MLDA in Denmark, resulted in a reduction of alcohol use not only in the age group targeted but also among older kids (Møller, 2002).

These limitations make it hard to unequivocally attribute a decrease on mortality among 18- to 19-year-olds to the increase of a MLDA. However, it is possible to indicate that the various alcohol control policies introduced in a period since March 2014 to December 2019 were strongly associated with this reduction of total mortality in this age group. Given the leading causes of death in this age-group (unintentional injuries), these national policies were aimed at reducing drink driving, such as the ban on alcohol sales at petrol stations in 2016, zero tolerance for alcohol use among newly-licensed drivers, or making a blood alcohol concentration above 1.5 ‰ a criminal offense (for a detailed description of all policies see (Miščikienė et al., 2020). In addition, it has been shown that universal policies such as taxation increases or reductions of availability also reduce traffic injury and fatalities (Rehm et al., 2020). These types of universal policies, and policies targeting drink-drinking behaviour would have impacted mortality rates in those 18- to 19 years old by reducing consumption and binge drinking, and high-risk behaviours respectively, both of which are strongly related to all kinds of injury (Cherpitel et al., 2019, Rehm et al., 2003).

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

In conclusion, while we could not specifically establish a causal impact of the increase of MLDA in Lithuania on total mortality, overall the recent implementation of various alcohol control policies was associated with a decrease in mortality over and above secular trends.

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

Acknowledgments

Research reported in this publication was also supported by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism of the National Institutes of Health (NIAAA) [Award Number 1R01AA028224-01].

JR acknowledges funding from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Institute of Neurosciences, and Mental Health and Addiction (CRISM Ontario Node grant no. SMN-13950).

Conflict of Interest Statement

The Authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this publication and they do not necessarily represent the decisions or the stated policy of the World Health Organization, of which Maria Neufeld is a consultant

Data Availability Statement

The R Code used to analyze and compute variables for the current study are available from the corresponding author upon request. The data may be obtained on request through the Lithuanian Governmental institutions (Lithuanian Institute of Hygiene, Statistics Lithuania).

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

References

- BABOR, T. F., CAETANO, R., CASSWELL, S., EDWARDS, G., GIESBRECHT, N., GRAHAM, K., GRUBE, J., GRUENEWALD, P., HILL, L., HOLDER, H., HOMEL, R., LIVINGSTON, M., ÖSTERBERG, E., REHM, J., ROOM, R. & ROSSOW, I. 2010. *Alcohol: No ordinary commodity. Research and public policy. 2nd edition*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- BEARD, E., MARSDEN, J., BROWN, J., TOMBOR, I., STAPLETON, J., MICHIE, S. & WEST, R. 2019. Understanding and using time series analyses in addiction research. *Addiction*, 114, 1866-1884.
- BROWN, S. L. 1998. Associations Between Peer Drink Driving, Peer Attitudes Toward Drink Driving, and Personal Drink Driving 1, 2. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 28, 423-436.
- CARPENTER, C. & DOBKIN, C. 2011. The minimum legal drinking age and public health. *J Econ Perspect*, 25, 133-56.
- CARPENTER, C. & DOBKIN, C. 2015. The Minimum Legal Drinking Age and Crime. *The review of economics and statistics*, 97, 521-524.
- CHERPITEL, C. J., WITBRODT, J., KORCHA, R. A., YE, Y., MONTEIRO, M. G. & CHOU, P. 2019. Dose–Response Relationship of Alcohol and Injury Cause: Effects of Country-Level Drinking Pattern and Alcohol Policy. *Alcoholism: clinical and experimental research*, 43, 850-856.
- CHISHOLM, D., MORO, D., BERTRAM, M., PRETORIUS, C., GMEL, G., SHIELD, K. & REHM, J. 2018. Are the "Best Buys" for Alcohol Control Still Valid? An Update on the Comparative Cost-Effectiveness of Alcohol Control Strategies at the Global Level. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs*, 79, 514-522.
- DEJONG, W. & BLANCHETTE, J. 2014. Case closed: research evidence on the positive public health impact of the age 21 minimum legal drinking age in the United States. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs, Supplement*, 108-115.
- GONZÁLEZ-IGLESIAS, B., GÓMEZ-FRAGUELA, J. A. & SOBRAL, J. 2015. Potential determinants of drink driving in young adults. *Traffic injury prevention*, 16, 345-352.
- GRUENEWALD, P. J., TRENO, A. J., PONICKI, W. R., HUCKLE, T., YEH, L. C. & CASSWELL, S. 2015. Impacts of New Zealand's lowered minimum purchase age on context-specific drinking and related risks. *Addiction*, 110, 1757-66.
- HUCKLE, T. & PARKER, K. 2014. Long-term impact on alcohol-involved crashes of lowering the minimum purchase age in New Zealand. *American journal of public health*, 104, 1087-1091.
- JIANG, H., LIVINGSTON, M. & MANTON, E. 2015. The effects of random breath testing and lowering the minimum legal drinking age on traffic fatalities in Australian states. *Inj Prev*, 21, 77-83.
- LITHUANIAN DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS. 2021. *Official Statistics Portal. GDP per capita, at current prices* [Online]. Vilnius. Available: <https://osp.stat.gov.lt/statistiniu-rodikliu-analize#/> [Accessed 28/03/2021].
- MANTHEY, J., SHIELD, K. D., RYLETT, M., HASAN, O. S. M., PROBST, C. & REHM, J. 2019. Global alcohol exposure between 1990 and 2017 and forecasts until 2030: a modelling study. *The Lancet*, 393, 2493-2502.
- MIŠČIKIENĖ, L., MIDTTUN, N. G., GALKUS, L., BELIAN, G., PETKEVIČIENĖ, J., VAITKEVIČIŪTĖ, J. & ŠTELEMĖKAS, M. 2020. Review of the Lithuanian Alcohol Control Legislation in 1990-2020. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 17, 3454.
- MØLLER, L. 2002. Legal restrictions resulted in a reduction of alcohol consumption among young people in Denmark. In: ROOM, R. (ed.) *The Effects of Nordic Alcohol Policies: What Happens to Drinking when Alcohol Controls Change?* NAD Publication 42 ed. Helsinki: Nordic Council for Alcohol and Drug Research.
- NEUFELD, M., BOBROVA, A., DAVLETOV, K., STELEMEKAS, M., STOPPEL, R., FERREIRA-BORGES, C., BREDÁ, J. & REHM, J. 2021. Alcohol control policies in Former Soviet Union countries: A


RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

- narrative review of three decades of policy changes and their apparent effects. *Drug Alcohol Rev*, 40, 350-367.
- R PROJECT. 2021. *The R Project for Statistical Computing* [Online]. Available: <https://www.r-project.org/> [Accessed 28/03/2021].
- REHM, J., GMEL, G. E., SR., GMEL, G., HASAN, O. S. M., IMTIAZ, S., POPOVA, S., PROBST, C., ROERECKE, M., ROOM, R., SAMOKHVALOV, A. V., SHIELD, K. D. & SHUPER, P. A. 2017. The relationship between different dimensions of alcohol use and the burden of disease-an update. *Addiction*, 112, 968-1001.
- REHM, J., MANTHEY, J., LANGE, S., BADARAS, R., ZURLYTE, I., PASSMORE, J., BREDÁ, J., FERREIRA-BORGES, C. & STELEMÉKAS, M. 2020. Alcohol control policy and changes in alcohol-related traffic harm. *Addiction*, 115, 655-665.
- REHM, J., ROOM, R., MONTEIRO, M., GMEL, G., GRAHAM, K., REHN, N., SEMPOS, C. T. & JERNIGAN, D. 2003. Alcohol as a risk factor for global burden of disease. *European addiction research*, 9, 157-164.
- REHM, J., ŠTELEMÉKAS, M. & BADARAS, R. 2019. Research protocol to evaluate the effects of alcohol policy changes in Lithuania. *Alcohol and Alcoholism*, 54, 112–118.
- REHM, J., ŠTELEMÉKAS, M., FERREIRA-BORGES, C., JIANG, H., LANGE, S., NEUFELD, M., ROOM, R., CASSWELL, S., TRAN, A. & MANTHEY, J. 2021. Classifying Alcohol Control Policies with Respect to Expected Changes in Consumption and Alcohol-Attributable Harm: The Example of Lithuania, 2000–2019. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18, 2419.
- STATISTICS LITHUANIA. 2021. *Statistics Portal*, [Online]. [Accessed 28/03/2021].
- ŠTELEMÉKAS, M., MANTHEY, J., BADARAS, R., CASSWELL, S., FERREIRA-BORGES, C., KALĖDIENĖ, R., LANGE, S., NEUFELD, M., PETKEVIČIENĖ, J., RADIŠAUSKAS, R., ROOM, R., TELKSNYS, T., ZURLYTE, I. & REHM, J. 2021 (in press). Alcohol control policy measures and all-cause mortality in Lithuania: an interrupted time-series analysis. *Addiction*.
- THOMBS, D. L., WOLCOTT, B. J. & FARKASH, L. G. 1997. Social context, perceived norms and drinking behavior in young people. *Journal of Substance Abuse*, 9, 257-267.
- WAGENAAR, A. C. & TOOMEY, T. L. 2002. Effects of minimum drinking age laws: review and analyses of the literature from 1960 to 2000. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol, supplement*, 206-225.
- WAGENAAR, A. C., TOOMEY, T. L. & ERICKSON, D. J. 2005. Complying with the minimum drinking age: Effects of enforcement and training interventions. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, 29, 255-262.
- WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION 2017. 'Best Buys' and Other Recommended Interventions for the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
- WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION. 2018. Global status report on alcohol and health 2018. Available: https://www.who.int/substance_abuse/publications/global_alcohol_report/en/ [Accessed 05/20/2019].
- WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION 2019. *Suicide in the world: Global Health Estimates*, Geneva, Switzerland, World Health Organization.
- WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION. 2021. *Minimum legal drinking age* [Online]. World Health Organization. [Accessed].

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

Figures

Figure 1: Mortality rate in Lithuania in the age group 18- and 19-year-olds, 2000-2019 separated by 4 major death categories



RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

Tables

Table 1: Overview of ITS of MLDA (January 2018) on mortality rates controlling for time series of other populations

Control time series	Estimated effect	Standard Error	t-value	p-value	Adjusted-R ²
Total, aged 18 – 19 minus Total, aged 15 - 17					0.015
Intercept	3.82	0.28	13.73	$p < .0001$	
Policy 2018	-1.70	0.85	-1.98	$p = .049$	
Seasonality (smooth term) AR(0) MA(1)				$p > .95$	
Men, aged 18 – 19 minus Men, aged 15 - 17					0.024
Intercept	6.56	0.49	13.35	$p < .0001$	
Policy 2018	-3.62	1.51	-2.39	$p = .018$	
Seasonality (smooth term) AR(0) MA(1)				$p > .36$	
Men, aged 18 – 19 minus Women, aged 18 - 19					0.12
Intercept	3.82	0.28	13.73	$p < .0001$	
Policy 2018	-5.73	2.10	-2.73	$p = .0069$	
Seasonality (smooth term) AR(0) MA(1)				$p < .0008$	
Total, aged 18 – 19 minus Total, aged 20 - 22					0.004
Intercept	9.48	0.68	13.85	$p < .0001$	
Policy 2018	-0.73	0.88	-0.84	$p = .40$	
Seasonality (smooth term) AR(0) MA(1)				$p > .19$	

RUNNING HEAD: Impact of minimum legal drinking age

Table 2: Estimated trends in mortality rates during four different periods of alcohol control policy in Lithuania

	Estimated effect	Standard Error	t-value	p-value	Adjusted-R ²
Model (Total, 18-19 year-olds)					0.20
Intercept	8.95	0.52	17.08	$p < .0001$	
Period 1: Jan 2001-Dec 2007	0.013	0.012	0.60	$p = .30$	
Period 2: Jan 2008-Dec 2009	- 0.041	0.069	- 0.60	$p = 0.55$	
Period 3: Jan 2010-Mar 2014	- 0.062	0.024	- 2.61	$p = 0.0098$	
Period 4: Apr 2014 – Dec 2019	- 0.080	0.017	- 4.80	$p < .0001$	
Seasonality (smooth term) AR(1) MA (0)				$p < .033$	

Grey highlighted areas indicate periods with numerous alcohol control policies implemented