1	Brain age prediction using fMRI network coupling in youths and associations with
2	psychiatric symptoms
3	
4	Martina J. Lund ^{$^{+}$} , Dag Alnæs ^{$^{+}$} , Ann-Marie de Lange ^{$^{+}$} , Ole A. Andreassen ^{$^{+}$} , Lars 1.
5	Westlye ^{ters} , Tobias Kaufmann ⁴⁴ .
6	
/	Norwegian Centre for Mental Disorders Research (NORMENT), Division of Mental Health
8	and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital, and Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of
9	USIO, NORWAY
10	³ LDEN. Context for Descent in Neurosciences. Description of Clinical Neurosciences
11	LREN, Centre for Research in Neurosciences - Department of Clinical Neurosciences,
12	⁴ CHUV and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
13	Department of Psychiatry, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
14	KG Jebsen Centre for neurodevelopmental disorders, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
15	⁷ Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
16	Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Tubingen, Germany
1/	* Common dine and one
18	Corresponding authors: Martine L. Land and Tables Karduran, DhD
19	Martina J. Lund and Tobias Kauimann, PhD
20	Email: <u>m.j.iund@medisin.uio.no</u> , <u>tobias.kauimani@medisin.uio.no</u>
21	Tolonhonou $\pm 47.22.02.72.50$. Foru $\pm 47.22.02.72.22$
22	Telephone. +47 25 02 75 50, Fax: +47 25 02 75 55
23	
24	Counter
25	Abstract: 256 words
20	Main text hody: 4383 words
27	Figures: 4
20	
30	Keywords:
31	Machine learning
32	Brain age
33	Development
34	Resting-state fMRI
35	The Healthy Brain Network
36	The Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort
37	
38	
39	
40 41	
42	
43	
44	

45 Abstract

Objective: Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has shown that estimated brain age is deviant from chronological age in various common brain disorders. Brain age estimation could be useful for investigating patterns of brain maturation and integrity, aiding to elucidate brain mechanisms underlying these heterogeneous conditions. Here, we examined functional brain age in two large samples of children and adolescents and its relation to mental health.

51 Methods: We used resting-state fMRI data from the Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort 52 (PNC; n=1126, age range 8-22 years) to estimate functional connectivity between brain 53 networks, and utilized these as features for brain age prediction. We applied the prediction 54 model to 1387 individuals (age range 8-22 years) in the Healthy Brain Network sample 55 (HBN). In addition, we estimated brain age in PNC using a cross-validation framework. Next, 56 we tested for associations between brain age gap and various aspects of psychopathology and 57 cognitive performance.

Results: Our model was able to predict age in the independent test samples, with a model performance of r=0.54 for the HBN test set, supporting consistency in functional connectivity patterns between samples and scanners. Linear models revealed a significant association between brain age gap and psychopathology in PNC, where individuals with a lower estimated brain age, had a higher overall symptom burden. These associations were not replicated in HBN.

Discussion: Our findings support the use of brain age prediction from fMRI-based connectivity. While requiring further extensions and validations, the approach may be instrumental for detecting brain phenotypes related to intrinsic connectivity and could assist in characterizing risk in non-typically developing populations.

68

69 Introduction

70 Psychiatric disorders are complex disorders with substantial heterogeneity in symptoms and prognosis, and comorbidities are usually the rule rather than the exception (Craddock & 71 72 Owen, 2010; Krueger & Bezdjian, 2009; Nemeroff, 2002). This heterogeneity is also apparent 73 in the brain, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies suggest greater structural 74 variability among patients compared to healthy controls (Alnaes et al., 2019). This poses 75 substantial challenges for elucidating the brain mechanisms underlying these disorders, and 76 observed effects for neuromarkers are commonly minor in mental health research (Jollans & 77 Whelan, 2018; Linden, 2012; Paulus & Thompson, 2019). This is further exacerbated by the 78 lack of strong mapping between the current symptom-based nosology and the underlying 79 biology (Owen, 2014). Given this multivariate complexity, studies have increasingly turned to machine-learning approaches that can utilize large amounts of data to provide individual-level 80 81 predictions by the use of dimensionality reduction and pattern recognition (Cao & Schwarz, 82 2020; Mansourvar M., Wiil U.K., & C., 2020). The aim is to provide a better mapping of brain imaging data to symptoms, cognition and behavior. 83

84 One avenue provided by machine learning is its utility to map the substantial anatomical and 85 functional changes that the brain undergoes throughout life. Training machine learning models to predict chronological age from brain imaging data allows us to derive the apparent 86 87 age of the brain - referred to as 'brain age' - and its deviation from chronological age, referred to as the 'brain age gap' (Franke, Ziegler, Kloppel, Gaser, & Alzheimer's Disease 88 89 Neuroimaging, 2010). Depending on the modality that is fed into the model, such estimates of 90 apparent brain age can reflect different neurophysiology such as anatomical brain age (Franke 91 et al., 2010) and functional brain age (Dosenbach et al., 2010). It is also possible to study this process for specific parts of the brain to gain insights into region specific alterations 92 93 (Kaufmann et al., 2019). Studies that have applied brain age prediction to clinical data have

shown that adults with psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia, have a brain that appears older and age faster compared to people without mental disorders (Schnack et al., 2016). Regional differences in brain age gaps between different disorders have also been observed. For instance, individuals with schizophrenia were found to have most pronounced age gap for the frontal lobe, while increased cerebellar-subcortical age gaps was found to be predominant in dementia and multiple sclerosis (Kaufmann et al., 2019).

100 As the mechanisms of psychiatric disorders are assumed to have a strong neurodevelopmental 101 component (Insel, 2010) and deviant developmental trajectories have been observed in imaging data of youths with early signs of psychiatric disorders (Besenek, 2020; Chung et al., 102 103 2018; Collin et al., 2020; Kaufmann et al., 2017; Lian et al., 2018; Saito et al., 2020), 104 estimating brain age gap as a proxy for maturation in young individuals may provide further 105 insights into the early phases of the disorders. Indeed, an increase in anatomical brain age 106 gaps has been observed in association with psychopathology in children and adolescents 107 (Chung et al., 2018; Cropley et al., 2020; Franke, Luders, May, Wilke, & Gaser, 2012). 108 Anatomical brain age during neurodevelopment has also been found to be dependent on sex 109 and to be partly heritable (Brouwer et al., 2020). Additionally, functional measures have been 110 used for brain age prediction in development (Dosenbach et al., 2010; Kassani, Gossmann, & 111 Wang, 2020; Li, Satterthwaite, & Fan, 2018; Rudolph et al., 2017; Truelove-Hill et al., 2020; 112 Zhai & Li, 2019), but the implications of functional brain age gaps have yet to be further 113 explored across neurodevelopmental disorders in large samples of children and adolescents.

Here, we used functional MRI data from 1126 individuals aged 8-22 years to train a machine learning model to estimate brain age. We applied the resulting model to independent functional imaging data of 1387 youths aged 8 to 22 to derive functional brain age gaps. Next, we used linear models to test for associations between brain age gap and psychopathology in

youths, using behavioral measures constructed from diagnostic criterion for selected DSM-5 disorders. Based on previous findings, we expected to observe an association between delayed functional brain development and psychopathology on top of differences related to sex and cognitive test performance.

122

- 123 Methods
- 124 <u>Study samples</u>

125 The Healthy Brain Network (HBN) study sample is an initiative coordinated by the Child 126 Mind Institute, where the aim is to provide a unique understanding of the time period when 127 psychiatric disorders emerge (Alexander et al., 2017). Age range for inclusion of participants 128 is 5-21 years. Individuals are included in the New York area through announcements 129 circulated to community members, educators, and local care providers. In addition, 130 information via email lists was sent out and spread on parent events, where children with 131 clinical concerns were encouraged to take part in this study (Alexander et al., 2017). The 132 participants go through an extensive assessment package where MRI, genetics, 133 electroencephalography (EEG), eye-tracking, biological testing, actigraphy, voice and video 134 interviews are incorporated. In addition, the assessments include a neuropsychological battery and rich information on cognitive, lifestyle, behavioral and psychiatric factors (Alexander et 135 136 al., 2017). Exclusion criteria comprise serious neurological disorders, neurodegenerative 137 disorders, acute encephalopathy, hearing or visual impairment, lifetime substance abuse that 138 required chemical replacement therapy/acute intoxication at time of study, recent diagnosis of 139 a severe mental disorder or manic/psychotic episode within the last 6 months that did not 140 receive continuing treatment. The onset of suicidality/homicidality where there is no current 141 treatment was also an exclusion criterion (Alexander et al., 2017). Participants over the age of 142 18 years gave signed informed consent, and legal guardians signed informed consent for

participants under the age of 18, in addition to participants giving a written assent (Alexander
et al., 2017). The Chesapeake Institutional Review Board approved the study (https://www.
chesapeakeirb.com/).

146

The Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort (PNC) is a research initiative funded by the 147 148 National Institutes of Mental Health (NIMH) that aims to describe the interaction between the 149 brain, behavior and genetics (Satterthwaite et al., 2016). The PNC participants were selected 150 after stratification by sex, age and ethnicity (Satterthwaite et al., 2014) from a larger sample 151 of children enrolled in a genetic study at the Center of Applied Genomics, at the Children's 152 Hospital of Philadelphia. They were included after they had been to a primary care facility 153 that was CHOP-affiliated in the Delaware Valley. The sample includes individuals with 154 different medical conditions, varying from a well-child visit and minor problems to 155 individuals with more complicated illnesses, however, individuals with medical problems that 156 could affect brain function were excluded (Satterthwaite et al., 2016). The inclusion criteria 157 comprised 1) ability to provide signed informed consent (parental consent was acquired for participants under age 18), 2) English language proficiency, and 3) physical and cognitive 158 159 ability to participate in computerized clinical assessment and neurocognitive testing 160 (Satterthwaite et al., 2014). Participants underwent a structured neuropsychiatric interview in 161 addition to completion of the Computerized Neurocognitive Battery (CNB) (Satterthwaite et 162 al., 2014). The University of Pennsylvania and CHOP Institutional Review Boards approved 163 the study (Satterthwaite et al., 2016).

164

165 MRI acquisition

HBN: MRI scans were acquired at 3 sites; Rutgers University Brain Imaging Center
 (RUBIC), Citigroup Biomedical Imaging Center (CBIC) and a mobile scanner placed in

168	Staten Island. Rutgers used a Siemens 3T Trim Tio scanner, while CBIC deployed a Siemens
169	3T Prisma. For RUBIC and CBIC, structural MRI data was acquired with a repeated 3D T1-
170	weighted sequence (TR: 2.5 s, TE: 3.15 ms, FA: 8°, FOV: 256 mm, slice thickness: 0.8 mm,
171	slices: 224). In addition, CBIC acquired a structural scan based on ABCD study protocol with
172	the following parameters; TR: 2.5 s, TE: 2.88 ms, FA: 8°, FOV: 256 mm, slice thickness: 1
173	mm, slices: 176. Resting- state blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) fMRI data was
174	acquired by means of a T2*-weighted BOLD echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence with a
175	repetition time (TR) of 800ms, echo time (TE) of 30ms, multiband acceleration factor = 6 ,
176	number of slices: 60, and 375 repetitions and voxel size= $2.4 \times 2.4 \times 2.4$ mm. Further, the
177	mobile scanner located in Staten Island employed a 1.5T Siemens Avanto system operational
178	with 45 mT/m gradients (Alexander et al., 2017), using these parameters for T1w data; TR:
179	2730 ms, TE1: 1.64ms, TE2: 3.5ms, TE3: 5.36 ms, TE4: 7.22 ms, multiband acceleration
180	factor = 3, FA: 7°, FOV: 256 mm, slice thickness: 1 mm, slices: 176. And for fMRI; TR:
181	1.45s, TE: 40 ms, number of volumes: 420, slices: 54, resolution: 2.5×2.5×2.5 mm
182	$(http://fcon_1000.projects.nitrc.org/indi/cmi_healthy_brain_network/MRI\% 20 Protocol.html).$
183	
184	PNC: A 3D T1-weighted magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo (MPRAGE)
185	sequence was obtained with a TR of 1.81 s, a TE of 3.5 ms, while FA: 9°, FOV: 240×180
186	mm, slice thickness: 1 mm, and number of slices: 160, and used for structural purposes. MRI

- 187 data was collected at the hospital of the University of Pennsylvania (Satterthwaite et al.,
- 188 2014). Resting-state BOLD fMRI data was collected by means of a T2*-weighted BOLD EPI
- sequence with a TR of 3000 ms, TE of 32 ms, 46 number of slices, 124 repetitions and voxel size= $3 \times 3 \times 3$ mm (Satterthwaite et al., 2014).
- 191

192 Cognitive and psychiatric measures

193 HBN: In order to test for associations between brain age and cognition in the test sample, we 194 included the full-scale intelligence quotient (FSIQ) from the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for 195 Children (WISC-V) as a measure of cognitive abilities for HBN participants. This score 196 incorporates visual spatial, verbal comprehension, fluid reasoning, working memory, and 197 processing speed domains (Wechsler, 2003). Furthermore, to test for associations between 198 brain age and mental health we carried out a principal component analysis (PCA) on mental 199 health data, in line with our earlier work (Lund et al., 2020). Specifically, we used the 200 Extended Strengths and Weaknesses Assessment of Normal Behavior (E-SWAN), where 201 domains include depression, social anxiety, disruptive mood dysregulation disorder (DMDD), 202 and panic disorder (Alexander, Salum, Swanson, & Milham, 2020). This allowed us to assess 203 mental health symptoms on a continuum from healthy to patients to overcome the 204 shortcomings of the typical case-control dichotomy. We excluded three of the items which 205 related to panic disorder, as these questions had a high degree of missing values (90%). We ended up with 62 items for the PCA. Further, excluding individuals with missing scores, we 206 207 had data for 2626 subjects for the PCA. We utilized the "prcomp" function in R to implement 208 the PCA. The resulting first component, referred to as the p-factor or pF (Caspi et al., 2013) 209 explained most variance across all the symptom domains of the ESWAN questionnaire 210 (43.6%) and was particularly associated with items linked to self-control and 211 depression/anxiety. We also included the second principal component (pF_2) , which explained 212 11.3% of the variance and was associated with items describing mood dysregulation. For 213 both, pF and pF₂, a high score reflects lower mental health.

214

PNC: We made use of an already existing delineation of mental health data using PCA
(Alnæs et al., 2018) to derive a general psychopathology factor from clinical scores (Calkins)

217	et al., 20	15: Calkin	ns et al., 20	014), and	to derive a	general cogniti	on component	(Alnæs et al.,
	00 ang 20	ic, cumi	10 00 ang -	\circ \cdot	10 401110 4	general cogniti		(1 million) or and

- 218 2018) from various cognitive performance measures (Gur et al., 2014).
- 219

220 MRI processing and functional connectivity

MR data was collected by the study team of HBN (Alexander et al., 2017) and PNC

(Satterthwaite et al., 2016) and we processed both samples with the same pipeline.

- 223 Preprocessing included FSL MCFLIRT (Jenkinson, Bannister, Brady, & Smith, 2002) with
- spatial smoothing (FWHM:6.0) and a high-pass filter cutoff of 100, non-aggressive ICA-
- AROMA (Pruim, Mennes, Buitelaar, & Beckmann, 2015; Pruim, Mennes, van Rooij, et al.,
- 226 2015), followed by ICA FIX with a threshold of 20 (Griffanti et al., 2014; Salimi-Khorshidi et

al., 2014), described in earlier work (Kaufmann et al., 2017; Lund et al., 2021). Preprocessing

228 was followed by group level ICA using MELODIC group Independent Component Analysis

- (Beckmann & Smith, 2004; Hyvärinen, 1999). For each sample and scanning site (1 for PNC;
- N=1252, 3 for HBN; N=1685), we performed one group level ICA, followed by a meta-ICA
- across all four sites, yielding ICs compliant across samples and sites. Due to the meta-ICA

framework, the number of components had to be pre-specified and we chose a model order of

100, as used in prior studies (de Lange et al., 2020; Miller et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2013).

- After manual quality control through visual inspection of each IC, 53 components were
- marked as artefactual while the rest of the components (47), were included for FSLNets
- 236 (https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FSLNets) to estimate correlations between each

functional network for each subject. In line with earlier work (Kaufmann et al., 2016), we

used a Ledoit & Wolf shrinkage estimator procedure for L2 Regularization (Ledoit & Wolf,

- 239 2003; Schäfer & Strimmer, 2005), which estimates regularization strength (lambda) at the
- individual level. Finally, we z-transformed the estimated correlations using Fisher's
- transformation and used the upper triangle of the correlation matrix as a feature set for

machine learning, giving 1081 unique edges denoting the connection strength between two

IC's at the subject level.

244

245 The Shrinkage Estimation of Regression Coefficients (slm) function from the care package in 246 R was utilized for brain age prediction where default parameters were applied (Schäfer & 247 Strimmer, 2005). Using PNC data, we trained a model to predict age based on the 1081 248 correlations reflecting connection strengths between the 47 IC's referred to here as nodes. We 249 excluded the 10% (N=126) that scored highest on general psychopathology (pF) in order to 250 obtain a model based on healthy individuals, and used the data from the remaining 1126 251 subjects (age: 8.17-22.9 years, 47.1% males, mean: 15.2 years, sd: 3.54 years, median: 15.4 252 years) for model training. To validate model performance within PNC data, we performed a 253 10-fold cross validation, estimating age for each individual in each of the left-out folds while 254 training the model on the rest.

255

Afterwards, we tested the PNC model on HBN data (N=1387, age: 8.01-22.4 years, mean: 12.3 years, sd: 3.24 years, median: 11.5 years, 61.8% males, where information about sex was missing for N= 30, and 298 subjects from the initial meta-ICA were excluded due to age outside the training set range (age<8) or missing information about age at MRI). For each individual in HBN, we estimated the brain age gap (BAG) by subtracting chronological age from the estimated brain age.

262

263 Statistical analysis

We used brain age gap as a response variable in linear models and tested for associations with psychopathology and cognitive abilities in the HBN sample (N=941, age: 8.01–17.5 years, 63.5% males, where 446 subjects from the test set was excluded due to missing clinical

267 (N=325), or cognitive (N=120) values or information on sex (N=1)). In addition to the HBN 268 test sample, we performed the same analysis for the 10% of individuals initially excluded from the PNC sample (N=126; age: 9.5–22.9 years, 39.7% males) and combined the brain age 269 270 estimates from the N=126 individuals with brain age estimates obtained through crossvalidation in the rest of the PNC sample. We performed analyses using BAG scores and 271 272 tested for associations between BAG and covariates using linear regression models. HBN 273 models were adjusted for age, age-orthogonalized age squared (age², using the poly function in R), sex, tSNR, motion and scanning site, while PNC was adjusted for age, age-274 275 orthogonalized age squared (age², using the poly function in R), sex, motion and tSNR.

276

277 <u>Results</u>

278 The correlation between the estimated brain age and the chronological age, computed through 279 10-fold cross-validation in the training set (PNC), was r=0.60 [95% CI: 0.56, 0.63] (fig.1), the 280 mean absolute error (MAE) was 2.43 years and root mean square error (RMSE) was 2.93 281 years, confirming the utility of the functional connectivity features for predicting age. The slm model explained 11.2% of the variance. Figure 2 depicts the feature ranking by use of 282 283 Correlation-Adjusted (marginal) correlation (CAR) scores from the model giving a measure 284 of variable importance. The top 10 most important edges were between a sensorimotor 285 (SM;IC1) and right SM node (IC2), right SM node (IC2) and left SM node (IC3), Visual 286 Medial node (VM;IC6) and VM (IC8), Default Mode Network (DMN;IC12) and precuneus 287 with frontal gyrus (IC13), thalamus (IC14) and putamen/left insular cortex (IC19), thalamus 288 (IC15) and cerebellar node (IC46), precuneus and posterior cingulate (IC17) with cerebellar 289 node (IC46), superior parietal lobe and SM regions (IC5) with Juxtapositional lobule and 290 cingulate gyrus (IC59), VM (IC6), with Juxtapositional lobule, precentral and middle frontal

291 gyrus (IC76), as well as lateral occipital cortex, and pre/postcentral gyrus (IC50) and VO

292 (IC86).

293



- 295 FIG1: A) Model performance for the training set (PNC) where the Pearson correlation
- between estimated and real age is r=0.60. B) Density plot showing the distributions of
- 297 chronological age and estimated brain age for the training set.



FIG2: A) The components from the meta-ICA that were included for the analysis. B) Distribution of CAR scores. As expected, the dependencies are low given that we used a partial correlation framework with regularization in FSLNets to estimate connectivity. C)

303 CAR score matrix showing the dependencies among predictors, where the 10 most important 304 features calculated from the correlation between the response and the Mahalanobis-305 decorrelated predictors are marked with a star.

306

Next, we applied the PNC model to independent test data from the HBN sample. The 307 308 correlation between chronological age and estimated brain age was r = 0.54 [95% CI: 0.50, 309 (0.57) (fig.3), MAE was 4.24 years and RMSE = 4.79 years. While these errors were higher 310 than the errors within the PNC sample, considering the test sample comes from different 311 scanners these results nonetheless confirmed the validity of the model, illustrating high 312 consistency between samples and scanners. For further investigation of scanning site effects, 313 we examined model performance for each site. We found that the correlation between the 314 estimated brain age and the chronological age for the scanner located in Staten Island 315 (N=272) was r=0.64 [95% CI: 0.56, 0.71], the MAE was 3.46 years and RMSE= 4.03 years. 316 For Rutgers (N=584), the correlation was r=0.58 [95% CI: 0.53, 0.64], the MAE was 4.87 317 years and RMSE= 5.36 years, while for CBIC (N=531) r=0.55 [95% CI: 0.49, 0.61], the MAE was 3.95 years and RMSE= 4.47 years. This shows that there is some variability between 318 319 sites, but that the model overall performs similarly across sites. We therefore dealt with site 320 effects by incorporating it as a fixed factor in the association analyses. The model 321 performance across sites also underlines the feasibility of the meta-ICA framework to derive 322 robust functional networks in data from different scanners, yielding compatible whole-brain 323 networks across sites.



325

FIG3: A) Model performance for the test set (HBN) where the Pearson correlation between estimated and real age is r=0.54. B) Density plot showing the distribution between chronological age and estimated brain age for the training sample.

Linear models revealed no significant associations for BAG and mental health (pF: t=-0.11, P=.91, pF₂: t=-0.55, P=.58) or cognitive abilities (t=0.95, P=.34) in the test set, when accounting for age, age-orthogonalized age squared, sex, tSNR, motion and scanning site. In line with earlier research, there were significant associations between BAG and age, motion and scanning site (see supplementary table 1). We tested for interaction effects of cognitive abilities and chronological age, but we did not find that cognitive abilities depend on age, or influences the association with the brain age gap (FSIQ*Chronological Age: t=0.1, P=.92).

337

338 Additionally, using the existing PNC model, we estimated brain age for the 10% of the PNC 339 participants (N=126) with the highest mental health burden that were excluded from the 340 training set. The correlation between chronological age and estimated brain age in this small 341 sample was r = 0.42 [95% CI: 0.27, 0.56], MAE was 2.26 years and RMSE = 2.81 years. 342 Next, we merged the brain age estimates from the N=126 individuals with the brain age 343 estimates computed in a cross-validation framework run within the training set, yielding 344 estimates for all individuals in the PNC sample (N=1252). Using the full sample, we tested 345 for associations with pF and a g factor (gF for PNC was estimated based on the instruments 346 given here; Alnæs et al. (2018)), accounting for age, age-orthogonalized age squared, sex, 347 motion and tSNR, using a linear model. We observed a significant association between 348 mental health and BAG (t=-2.8, P<.01). Specifically, higher symptom burden was associated 349 with lower BAG, indicating that individuals with a younger (estimated) brain age compared 350 to chronological age had a higher level of psychiatric symptoms (fig.4). We found no 351 significant associations for BAG and cognitive abilities (t=1.59, P>.1).

352



FIG4: Plot showing the significant negative association of psychopathology (pF) and Brain Age Gap (BAG) for the full PNC sample (N=1252).

357

354

358 Discussion

359 Here, we performed age prediction using machine learning on functional connectivity derived 360 from resting state data (rsfMRI), allowing us to reduce a sizeable amount of data to a single measure of estimated functional brain age per individual. As rsfMRI does not include a 361 cognitively demanding task or require a long scan duration, this is an appealing method for 362 363 collecting data across individuals with different disorders. The absence of a specific task 364 protocol also makes it ideally suited to combine data from different samples, thereby meeting 365 the requirements for large samples to obtain robust machine learning models. Indeed, we here 366 show that brain age models trained on functional connectivity of one sample can be 367 successfully applied to other samples, even though predictions regress towards the mean of the training set (Fig. 3b), including those with data obtained from different scanners and 368 protocols. A correlation of around 0.6 between chronological age and estimated brain age for 369

370 different sites was consistent with other studies estimating brain age using functional 371 connectivity as feature input (Li et al., 2018; Truelove-Hill et al., 2020). The moderate 372 correlation is expected as the functional connectome is highly dynamic over the lifespan and 373 across contexts. However, a developmental pattern encompassing a core functional connectome backbone in rsfMRI data has shown high test-retest reliability (Thomason et al., 374 375 2011; Zuo & Xing, 2014), and reproducible inter- and intra-subject rsfMRI measures 376 (Damoiseaux et al., 2006; Shehzad et al., 2009). As such, despite moderate correlations the 377 models are likely to capture biologically relevant information, and may be used to indicate 378 trajectories for typical and non-typical brain development and neural restructuring important 379 for susceptibility to brain disorders.

380

The brain age approach allowed us to assess the relationship between an estimated brain age gap and cognition and mental health in independent data, while our dimensional approach to mental health data enabled us to characterize both healthy subjects and individuals with a psychiatric disorder along a symptom dimension, rather than applying a binary distinction as cases and controls. Such dimensional approaches may more aptly identify phenotypes which map to brain biology and the neuronal mechanisms underlying the symptoms than diagnostic categories (Hengartner & Lehmann, 2017; Krueger & Bezdjian, 2009).

388

Our hypothesis of a link between functional brain age gap, a proxy for brain maturation, and psychopathology was supported in PNC but not in the HBN sample. The significant association between general psychopathology and BAG in the PNC sample, where a higher symptom burden was associated with a lower BAG, is in accordance with studies showing a delay in brain maturation being linked to poorer mental health in the same sample (Kaufmann et al., 2017) and also in young patients with schizophrenia (Douaud et al., 2009). Whether

395 mental health is associated with a higher or lower BAG may largely depend on its timing. 396 While work in youths' points to a lower BAG with increased symptoms (interpreted as a 397 delayed development), work in adults has shown a higher BAG with disorders (interpreted as 398 apparent aging). For example, studies estimating structural brain age in 16-22 years old individuals has shown higher brain age for schizophrenia patients compared to controls 399 400 (Truelove-Hill et al., 2020), consistent with studies in adults (Kaufmann et al., 2019; 401 Koutsouleris et al., 2013), illustrating that both structural and functional brain age models 402 capture important patterns. As such, the lack of significant associations in HBN could be 403 related to a number of factors, including that the pF captures different aspects of mental health 404 in the two samples, that HBN samples from the point of transition between decreased BAG 405 (childhood) and increased BAG (adulthood), or that there is no difference due to mental health. Alternatively, it is possible that the linear machine learning model did not have 406 407 enough flexibility to detect non-linear effects in development. It will be interesting in future 408 research to see if models that do better at capturing non-linear maturation trajectories may 409 reveal associations with psychopathology.

410

411 Contrary to our expectations, our analysis revealed no significant associations between BAG 412 and cognitive test performance. In contrast, previous work utilizing the PNC sample have 413 observed that individual differences in working memory performance is linked with the 414 centrality of the cingulo-opercular network (Kolskar et al., 2018). Moreover, for 415 developmental trajectories in youths, it has been illustrated that networks associated with 416 cognition and emotion have locally increased functional connectivity compared to adults, 417 indicating fine-tuning and specialization occurring during the first years of adolescence, 418 principally in networks characterized for higher-order cognitive functioning (Hoff, Van den Heuvel, Benders, Kersbergen, & De Vries, 2013). Also, for adults, permutation tests showed 419

420 above chance-level prediction accuracy for trait-level educational attainment and fluid 421 intelligence in rsfMRI data from UK Biobank. Both variables were negatively linked with 422 functional connectivity in frontal and default mode networks (Maglanoc et al., 2020). In 423 relation to disorders, differences have been found in functional connectivity for the putamen, 424 dorsal and default-mode regions in Alzheimer's disease in comparison with mild cognitive 425 and subjective cognitive impairment (Cordova-Palomera et al., 2017). Likewise, anatomical 426 BAG has been associated with sex, with females developing earlier than males (Brouwer et 427 al., 2020), yet there was no significant association with sex for the functional BAGs in our 428 current study. This could be due to not training the model separately for females and males, 429 owing to limitations in number of features versus participants. Still, the model performance 430 showed that shared variability for both sexes was captured.

431

432 Apart from the investigations into BAG, we identified a set of specific connections important 433 for modeling brain age. Central features for the brain age prediction included sensorimotor, 434 visual, insular, DMN, cerebellar and language processing regions, which is coherent with 435 changes in sensory, motor and cognitive abilities observed across this age span (Casey, 436 Tottenham, Liston, & Durston, 2005; de Bie et al., 2012). Our findings are in line with 437 reviews (Power, Fair, Schlaggar, & Petersen, 2010; Uddin, Supekar, & Menon, 2010) showing alterations in these functional connectivity patterns in development. Specifically, 438 439 studies have shown that brain maturation in children and adolescence may involve a decrease 440 in connectivity of short-range connections and an increase of long-range connections in 441 functional networks. This has been observed for instance in a reduction in short-length 442 connections for the SM and anterior cingulate cortex in young children (Kelly et al., 2008; 443 Supekar, Musen, & Menon, 2009), and for segregation of frontal regions and the DMN in late 444 childhood (Fair et al., 2009).

445

446 <u>Conclusions</u>

In the present study, we estimated functional brain connectivity from rsfMRI data from 447 448 children and adolescents, and used connectivity strengths as features for brain age prediction. Our model showed reasonable performance, and consistency across samples and scanning 449 450 sites. The most important connections for age prediction were related to sensorimotor, visual, 451 insular, DMN, cerebellar and language areas, indicating that these neural circuits are central 452 in adolescent development. While we found mixed results for behavioral and clinical 453 associations of the brain age gap, the applicability of models to data from different sites 454 supports the utility of the brain age prediction framework for multisite investigations.

455

456 Funding

457 The authors were funded by the Research Council of Norway #276082 (LifespanHealth),

458 #223273 (NORMENT), #249795, #298646, #300767, #283798; H2020 European Research

459 Council #802998 (BRAINMINT); The South-East Norway Regional Health Authority

460 #2019101, #2019107, #2020086; the Swiss National Science Foundation #PZ00P3_193658.

461

462 Financial disclosures

463 OAA is a consultant for HealthLytix.

464

465 Data and code availability

466 The data incorporated in this work were gathered from the open access Healthy Brain

467 Network and The Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort resource.

468

469 Acknowledgements

470	This manuscript was prepared using a limited access dataset obtained from the Child Mind
471	Institute Biobank, the HBN resource (http://www.healthybrainnetwork.org, and the publicly
472	available Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort
473	(PNC,http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/gap/cgi-
474	bin/study.cgi?study_id=phs000607.v1.p1) with permission no.8642. Support for the
475	collection of the PNC data set was provided by grant #RC2MH089983 awarded to Raquel
476	Gur, MD, PhD, and #RC2MH089924 awarded to Hakon Hakonarson, MD, PhD. All PNC
477	participants were recruited through the Center for Applied Genomics at The Children's
478	Hospital in Philadelphia.
479	This manuscript reflects the views of the authors and does not necessarily reflect the opinions
480	or views of any other agency, organization, employer or company. This work was performed
481	on the TSD (Tjeneste for Sensitive Data) facilities, owned by the University of Oslo, operated
482	and developed by the ISD service group at the University of Osio, II-Department (USII)
483	(<u>tsd-drift@usit.uio.no</u>).
484	
485	
486	References
48/	Alexander I. M. Esselers, I. A. I. Andrestti, C. Eshre, K. Mengens, A. Milhem M.
488	Alexander, L. M., Escalera, J., Al, L., Andreotti, C., Febre, K., Mangolie, A., Millian, M. P. (2017) An open resource for transdiagnostic research in pediatric mental health and
409	learning disorders. Scientific Data $A(1)$ doi:10.1038/sdata 2017.181
490	Alexander I M Salum G A Swanson I M & Milham M P (2020) Measuring
102	strengths and weaknesses in dimensional psychiatry I Child Psychol Psychiatry
492	61(1) A0 50 doi:10.1111/icpp.1310A
495	Alnaes D Kaufmann T van der Meer D Cordova-Palomera A Rokicki I Moherget
105	T Karolinska Schizonbrenia Project C (2010) Brain Heterogeneity in
495	Schizophrenia and Its Association With Polygenic Risk IAMA Psychiatry 76(7) 739-
490	748 doi:10.1001/jamansychiatry 2019.0257
т/1 /08	Alnæs D Kaufmann T Doan N T Córdova-Palomera A Wang V Rettella F
499	Westlye I. T (2018) Association of Heritable Cognitive Ability and
500	Psychonathology With White Matter Properties in Children and Adolescents IAMA
501	psychiatry, 75(3), 287-295. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.4277

502	Beckmann, C. F., & Smith, S. M. (2004). Probabilistic Independent Component Analysis for
503	Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging,
504	23(2), 137-152. doi:10.1109/tmi.2003.822821
505	Besenek, M. (2020). Anterior cingulate cortex disconnectivity in high-risk offspring of
506	bipolar patients: a preliminary DTI study. Dusunen Adam: The Journal of Psychiatry
507	and Neurological Sciences. doi:10.14744/dajpns.2019.00030
508	Brouwer, R. M., Schutte, J., Janssen, R., Boomsma, D. I., Hulshoff Pol, H. E., & Schnack, H.
509	G. (2020). The Speed of Development of Adolescent Brain Age Depends on Sex and
510	Is Genetically Determined. Cereb Cortex. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhaa296
511	Calkins, M. E., Merikangas, K. R., Moore, T. M., Burstein, M., Behr, M. A., Satterthwaite, T.
512	D., Gur, R. E. (2015). The Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort: constructing
513	a deep phenotyping collaborative. J Child Psychol Psychiatry, 56(12), 1356-1369.
514	doi:10.1111/jcpp.12416
515	Calkins, M. E., Moore, T. M., Merikangas, K. R., Burstein, M., , Satterthwaite, T. D., Bilker,
516	W. B., & Gur, R. E. (2014). The psychosis spectrum in a young US community
517	sample: findings from the Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort. World
518	Psychiatry, 13(3), 296-305.
519	Cao, H., & Schwarz, E. (2020). Opportunities and challenges of machine learning approaches
520	for biomarker signature identification in psychiatry Personalized Psychiatry (pp. 117-
521	126).
522	Casey, B. J., Tottenham, N., Liston, C., & Durston, S. (2005). Imaging the developing brain:
523	what have we learned about cognitive development? Trends Cogn Sci, 9(3), 104-110.
524	doi:10.1016/j.tics.2005.01.011
525	Caspi, A., Houts, R. M., Belsky, D. W., Goldman-Mellor, S. J., Harrington, H., Israel, S.,
526	Moffitt, T. E. (2013). The p Factor. <i>Clinical Psychological Science</i> , 2(2), 119-137.
527	doi:10.1177/2167702613497473
528	Chung, Y., Addington, J., Bearden, C. E., Cadenhead, K., Cornblatt, B., Mathalon, D. H.,
529	Genetics Study, C. (2018). Use of Machine Learning to Determine Deviance in
530	Neuroanatomical Maturity Associated With Future Psychosis in Youths at Clinically
531	High Risk. JAMA Psychiatry, 75(9), 960-968. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.1543
532	Collin, G., Seidman, L. J., Keshavan, M. S., Stone, W. S., Qi, Z., Zhang, T., Whitfield-
533	Gabrieli, S. (2020). Functional connectome organization predicts conversion to
534	psychosis in clinical high-risk youth from the SHARP program. Mol Psychiatry,
535	25(10), 2431-2440. doi:10.1038/s41380-018-0288-x
536	Cordova-Palomera, A., Kaufmann, T., Persson, K., Alnaes, D., Doan, N. T., Moberget, T.,
537	Westlye, L. T. (2017). Disrupted global metastability and static and dynamic brain
538	connectivity across individuals in the Alzheimer's disease continuum. Sci Rep, 7,
539	40268. doi:10.1038/srep40268
540	Craddock, N., & Owen, M. J. (2010). The Kraepelinian dichotomy - going, going but still
541	not gone. Br J Psychiatry, 196(2), 92-95. doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.109.073429
542	Cropley, V. L., Tian, Y., Fernando, K., Mansour, L. S., Pantelis, C., Cocchi, L., & Zalesky,
543	A. (2020). Brain-Predicted Age Associates With Psychopathology Dimensions in
544	Youths. Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging.
545	doi:10.1016/j.bpsc.2020.07.014
546	Damoiseaux, J. S., Rombouts, S. A., Barkhof, F., Scheltens, P., Stam, C. J., Smith, S. M., &
547	Beckmann, C. F. (2006). Consistent resting-state networks across healthy subjects.
548	Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 103(37), 13848-13853. doi:10.1073/pnas.0601417103
549	de Bie, H. M., Boersma, M., Adriaanse, S., Veltman, D. J., Wink, A. M., Roosendaal, S. D., .
550	Sanz-Arigita, E. J. (2012). Resting-state networks in awake five- to eight-year old
551	children. <i>Hum Brain Mapp</i> , 33(5), 1189-1201. doi:10.1002/hbm.21280
	21

552	de Lange, A. G., Anaturk, M., Suri, S., Kaufmann, T., Cole, J. H., Griffanti, L., Ebmeier,
553	K. P. (2020). Multimodal brain-age prediction and cardiovascular risk: The Whitehall
554	II MRI sub-study. <i>NeuroImage</i> , 222, 117292, doi:10.1016/i.neuroimage.2020.117292
555	Dosenbach, N. U. F., Nardos, B., Cohen, A. L., Fair, D. A., Power, J. D., Church, J. A.,
556	Schlaggar, B. L. (2010). Prediction of Individual Brain Maturity Using fMRL Science.
557	329(5997), 1358-1361, doi:10.1126/science.1194144
558	Douaud, G., Mackay, C., Andersson, J., James, S., Quested, D., Ray, M. K., James, A.
559	(2009). Schizophrenia delays and alters maturation of the brain in adolescence. <i>Brain</i>
560	<i>132</i> (Pt 9), 2437-2448, doi:10.1093/brain/awp126
561	Fair, D. A., Cohen, A. L., Power, J. D., Dosenbach, N. U., Church, J. A., Miezin, F. M.,
562	Petersen, S. E. (2009). Functional brain networks develop from a "local to distributed"
563	organization. PLoS Comput Biol. 5(5), e1000381, doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000381
564	Franke, K., Luders, E., May, A., Wilke, M., & Gaser, C. (2012). Brain maturation: predicting
565	individual BrainAGE in children and adolescents using structural MRI. <i>NeuroImage</i> .
566	63(3), 1305-1312. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.08.001
567	Franke, K., Ziegler, G., Kloppel, S., Gaser, C., & Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I.
568	(2010). Estimating the age of healthy subjects from T1-weighted MRI scans using
569	kernel methods: exploring the influence of various parameters. <i>NeuroImage</i> , 50(3),
570	883-892. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.01.005
571	Griffanti, L., Salimi-Khorshidi, G., Beckmann, C. F., Auerbach, E. J., Douaud, G., Sexton, C.
572	E., Smith, S. M. (2014). ICA-based artefact removal and accelerated fMRI
573	acquisition for improved resting state network imaging. NeuroImage, 95, 232-247.
574	doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.03.034
575	Gur, R. C., Calkins, M. E., Satterthwaite, T. D., Ruparel, K., Bilker, W. B., Moore, T. M.,
576	Gur, R. E. (2014). Neurocognitive growth charting in psychosis spectrum youths.
577	JAMA Psychiatry, 71(4), 366-374. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.4190
578	Hengartner, M. P., & Lehmann, S. N. (2017). Why Psychiatric Research Must Abandon
579	Traditional Diagnostic Classification and Adopt a Fully Dimensional Scope: Two
580	Solutions to a Persistent Problem. Front Psychiatry, 8, 101.
581	doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2017.00101
582	Hoff, G. E., Van den Heuvel, M. P., Benders, M. J., Kersbergen, K. J., & De Vries, L. S.
583	(2013). On development of functional brain connectivity in the young brain. Front
584	Hum Neurosci, 7, 650. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2013.00650
585	Hyvärinen, A. (1999). Fast and robust fixed-point algorithms for independent component
586	analysis. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 10(3), 626–634.
587	Insel, T. R. (2010). Rethinking schizophrenia. Nature, 468(7321), 187-193.
588	doi:10.1038/nature09552
589	Jenkinson, M., Bannister, P., Brady, M., & Smith, S. (2002). Improved Optimization for the
590	Robust and Accurate Linear Registration and Motion Correction of Brain Images.
591	<i>NeuroImage</i> , 17(2), 825-841. doi:10.1006/nimg.2002.1132
592	Jollans, L., & Whelan, R. (2018). Neuromarkers for Mental Disorders: Harnessing Population
593	Neuroscience. Front Psychiatry, 9, 242. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00242
594	Kassani, P. H., Gossmann, A., & Wang, Y. P. (2020). Multimodal Sparse Classifier for
595	Adolescent Brain Age Prediction. IEEE J Biomed Health Inform, 24(2), 336-344.
596	doi:10.1109/JBHI.2019.2925710
597	Kaufmann, T., Alnæs, D., Doan, N. T., Brandt, C. L., Andreassen, O. A., & Westlye, L. T.
598	(2017). Delayed stabilization and individualization in connectome development are
599	related to psychiatric disorders. <i>Nat Neurosci</i> , 20(4), 513-515. doi:10.1038/nn.4511

600	Kaufmann, T., Elvsashagen, T., Alnaes, D., Zak, N., Pedersen, P. O., Norbom, L. B.,
601	Westlye, L. T. (2016). The brain functional connectome is robustly altered by lack of
602	sleen. NeuroImage, 127, 324-332, doi:10.1016/i.neuroimage.2015.12.028
603	Kaufmann, T., van der Meer, D., Doan, N. T., Schwarz, E., Lund, M. J., Agartz, I.,
604	Westlye L T (2019) Common brain disorders are associated with heritable patterns
605	of apparent aging of the brain <i>Nature Neuroscience</i> 22(10) 1617-1623
606	doi:10.1038/s41593-019-0471-7
607	Kelly A M C Di Martino A Uddin L O Shehzad Z Gee D G Reiss P T
608	Milham M P (2008) Development of Anterior Cingulate Functional Connectivity
609	from Late Childhood to Early Adulthood. <i>Cerebral Cortex</i> , 19(3), 640-657.
610	doi:10.1093/cercor/bhn117
611	Kolskar K K Alnaes D Kaufmann T Richard G Sanders A M Ulrichsen K M
612	Westlve L. T. (2018) Key Brain Network Nodes Show Differential Cognitive
613	Relevance and Developmental Trajectories during Childhood and Adolescence
614	eNeuro. 5(4). doi:10.1523/ENEURO.0092-18.2018
615	Koutsouleris, N., Davatzikos, C., Borgwardt, S., Gaser, C., Bottlender, R., Frodl. T.,
616	Meisenzahl, E. (2013). Accelerated Brain Aging in Schizophrenia and Bevond: A
617	Neuroanatomical Marker of Psychiatric Disorders <i>Schizophrenia Bulletin</i> 40(5)
618	1140-1153 doi:10.1093/schbul/sbt142
619	Knueger, R. F., & Bezdijan, S. (2009). Enhancing research and treatment of mental disorders
620	with dimensional concepts: toward DSM-V and ICD-11. World Psychiatry, 8(1)(3).
621	Ledoit, O., & Wolf, M. (2003). Improved estimation of the covariance matrix of stock returns
622	with an application to portfolio selection. <i>Journal of Empirical Finance</i> , 10(5), 603-
623	621. doi:10.1016/s0927-5398(03)00007-0
624	Li, H., Satterthwaite, T. D., & Fan, Y. (2018). Brain age prediction based on resting-state
625	functional connectivity patterns using convolutional neural networks. In 2018 IEEE
626	15th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging, 101-104.
627	doi:10.1109/ISBI.2018.8363532
628	Lian, N., Lv, H., Guo, W., Shen, Y., Wu, R., Liu, Y., Zhao, J. (2018). A comparative
629	study of magnetic resonance imaging on the gray matter and resting-state function in
630	prodromal and first-episode schizophrenia. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet,
631	177(6), 537-545. doi:10.1002/ajmg.b.32644
632	Linden, D. E. (2012). The challenges and promise of neuroimaging in psychiatry. <i>Neuron</i> ,
633	73(1), 8-22. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.12.014
634	Lund, M. J., Alnaes, D., Schwab, S., van der Meer, D., Andreassen, O. A., Westlye, L. T., &
635	Kaufmann, T. (2020). Differences in directed functional brain connectivity related to
636	age, sex and mental health. Hum Brain Mapp. doi:10.1002/hbm.25116
637	Lund, M. J., Alnæs, D., Rokicki, J., Schwab, S., Andreassen, O. A., Westlye, L. T., &
638	Kaufmann, T. (2021). Functional connectivity directionality between large-scale
639	resting-state networks in children and adolescence from the Healthy Brain Network
640	sample. medRxiv, 2020-10.
641	Maglanoc, L. A., Kaufmann, T., van der Meer, D., Marquand, A. F., Wolfers, T., Jonassen,
642	R., Westlye, L. T. (2020). Brain Connectome Mapping of Complex Human Traits
643	and Their Polygenic Architecture Using Machine Learning. Biological Psychiatry,
644	87(8), 717-726. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.10.011
645	Mansourvar M., Wiil U.K., & C., N. (2020). Big Data Analytics in Healthcare: A Review of
646	Opportunities and Challenges. Paper presented at the In International Conference for
647	Emerging Technologies in Computing.
648	Miller, K. L., Alfaro-Almagro, F., Bangerter, N. K., Thomas, D. L., Yacoub, E., Xu, J.,
649	Smith, S. M. (2016). Multimodal population brain imaging in the UK Biobank

 doi:10.1038/nn.4393 Nemeroff, C. B. (2002). Comorbidity of mood and anxiety disorders: the rule, not the exception? <i>American Journal of Psychiatry</i>, <i>159</i>(1), 3-4. Owen, M. J. (2014). New approaches to psychiatric diagnostic classification. <i>Neuron</i>, <i>84</i> 564-571. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.10.028 Paulus, M. P., & Thompson, W. K. (2019). The Challenges and Opportunities of Small Effects: The New Normal in Academic Psychiatry. <i>JAMA Psychiatry</i>, <i>76</i>(4), 353- doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.4540 Power, J. D., Fair, D. A., Schlaggar, B. L., & Petersen, S. E. (2010). The Development of Human Functional Brain Networks. <i>Neuron</i>, <i>67</i>(5), 735-748. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.08.017 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. F. (2015). Evaluation of J AROMA and alternative strategies for motion artifact removal in resting state fM <i>NeuroImage</i>, <i>112</i>, 278-287. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., van Rooij, D., Llera, A., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. T. (2015). ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-based strategy for removing motion artifact from fMRI data. <i>NeuroImage</i>, <i>112</i>, 267-277. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.06 Rudolph M. D. Miranda-Dominguez, O. Cohen, A. O. Breiner, K. Steinberg, J. Born 	
 Nemeroff, C. B. (2002). Comorbidity of mood and anxiety disorders: the rule, not the exception? <i>American Journal of Psychiatry</i>, <i>159</i>(1), 3-4. Owen, M. J. (2014). New approaches to psychiatric diagnostic classification. <i>Neuron</i>, <i>84</i> 564-571. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.10.028 Paulus, M. P., & Thompson, W. K. (2019). The Challenges and Opportunities of Small Effects: The New Normal in Academic Psychiatry. <i>JAMA Psychiatry</i>, <i>76</i>(4), 353- doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.4540 Power, J. D., Fair, D. A., Schlaggar, B. L., & Petersen, S. E. (2010). The Development of Human Functional Brain Networks. <i>Neuron</i>, <i>67</i>(5), 735-748. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.08.017 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. F. (2015). Evaluation of J AROMA and alternative strategies for motion artifact removal in resting state fM <i>NeuroImage</i>, <i>112</i>, 278-287. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., van Rooij, D., Llera, A., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. T. (2015). ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-based strategy for removing motion artifact from fMRI data. <i>NeuroImage</i>, <i>112</i>, 267-277. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.06 Rudolph M. D. Miranda-Dominguez O. Cohen A. O. Breiner, K. Steinberg, J. Born 	
 Owen, M. J. (2014). New approaches to psychiatric diagnostic classification. <i>Neuron, 84</i> 564-571. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.10.028 Paulus, M. P., & Thompson, W. K. (2019). The Challenges and Opportunities of Small Effects: The New Normal in Academic Psychiatry. <i>JAMA Psychiatry, 76</i>(4), 353-doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.4540 Power, J. D., Fair, D. A., Schlaggar, B. L., & Petersen, S. E. (2010). The Development of Human Functional Brain Networks. <i>Neuron, 67</i>(5), 735-748. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.08.017 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. F. (2015). Evaluation of J AROMA and alternative strategies for motion artifact removal in resting state fM <i>NeuroImage, 112</i>, 278-287. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., van Rooij, D., Llera, A., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. T. (2015). ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-based strategy for removing motion artifact from fMRI data. <i>NeuroImage, 112</i>, 267-277. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.06 Budolph M. D. Miranda-Dominguez O. Cohen A. O. Brainer K. Steinberg, J. Borr 	
 634 Owen, M. J. (2014). New approaches to psychiatric diagnostic classification. <i>Neuron</i>, 64 655 564-571. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.10.028 656 Paulus, M. P., & Thompson, W. K. (2019). The Challenges and Opportunities of Small 657 Effects: The New Normal in Academic Psychiatry. <i>JAMA Psychiatry</i>, 76(4), 353- 658 doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.4540 659 Power, J. D., Fair, D. A., Schlaggar, B. L., & Petersen, S. E. (2010). The Development of 660 Human Functional Brain Networks. <i>Neuron</i>, 67(5), 735-748. 661 doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.08.017 662 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. F. (2015). Evaluation of J 663 AROMA and alternative strategies for motion artifact removal in resting state fM 664 <i>NeuroImage</i>, 112, 278-287. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 665 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., van Rooij, D., Llera, A., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. F. 666 (2015). ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-based strategy for removing motion artifact 667 form fMRI data. <i>NeuroImage</i>, 112, 267-277. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.00 668 Rudolph M. D. Miranda-Dominguez, O. Cohen, A. O. Breiner, K. Steinberg, L. Borr 	2)
 Paulus, M. P., & Thompson, W. K. (2019). The Challenges and Opportunities of Small Effects: The New Normal in Academic Psychiatry. <i>JAMA Psychiatry</i>, <i>76</i>(4), 353- doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.4540 Power, J. D., Fair, D. A., Schlaggar, B. L., & Petersen, S. E. (2010). The Development of Human Functional Brain Networks. <i>Neuron</i>, <i>67</i>(5), 735-748. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.08.017 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. F. (2015). Evaluation of J AROMA and alternative strategies for motion artifact removal in resting state fM <i>NeuroImage</i>, <i>112</i>, 278-287. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., van Rooij, D., Llera, A., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. T. (2015). ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-based strategy for removing motion artifact from fMRI data. <i>NeuroImage</i>, <i>112</i>, 267-277. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Rudolph M. D. Miranda-Dominguez, O. Cohen, A. O. Breiner, K. Steinberg, L. Borr 	5),
 Effects: The New Normal in Academic Psychiatry. <i>JAMA Psychiatry</i>, <i>76</i>(4), 353- doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.4540 Power, J. D., Fair, D. A., Schlaggar, B. L., & Petersen, S. E. (2010). The Development of Human Functional Brain Networks. <i>Neuron</i>, <i>67</i>(5), 735-748. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.08.017 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. F. (2015). Evaluation of J AROMA and alternative strategies for motion artifact removal in resting state fM <i>NeuroImage</i>, <i>112</i>, 278-287. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., van Rooij, D., Llera, A., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. F. (2015). ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-based strategy for removing motion artifact from fMRI data. <i>NeuroImage</i>, <i>112</i>, 267-277. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Rudolph M. D. Miranda-Dominguez, O. Cohen, A. O. Breiner, K. Steinberg, L. Borr 	
 doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.4540 Power, J. D., Fair, D. A., Schlaggar, B. L., & Petersen, S. E. (2010). The Development of Human Functional Brain Networks. <i>Neuron</i>, 67(5), 735-748. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.08.017 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. F. (2015). Evaluation of J AROMA and alternative strategies for motion artifact removal in resting state fM <i>NeuroImage</i>, 112, 278-287. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., van Rooij, D., Llera, A., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. F. (2015). ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-based strategy for removing motion artifact from fMRI data. <i>NeuroImage</i>, 112, 267-277. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Rudolph M. D. Miranda-Dominguez, O. Cohen, A. O. Breiner, K. Steinberg, L. Borr 	354
 Power, J. D., Fair, D. A., Schlaggar, B. L., & Petersen, S. E. (2010). The Development of Human Functional Brain Networks. <i>Neuron</i>, 67(5), 735-748. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.08.017 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. F. (2015). Evaluation of J AROMA and alternative strategies for motion artifact removal in resting state fM <i>NeuroImage</i>, 112, 278-287. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., van Rooij, D., Llera, A., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. J (2015). ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-based strategy for removing motion artifact from fMRI data. <i>NeuroImage</i>, 112, 267-277. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Rudolph M. D. Miranda-Dominguez, O. Cohen, A. O. Breiner, K. Steinberg, L. Bonr 	
 Human Functional Brain Networks. <i>Neuron</i>, 67(5), 735-748. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.08.017 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. F. (2015). Evaluation of J AROMA and alternative strategies for motion artifact removal in resting state fM <i>NeuroImage</i>, 112, 278-287. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., van Rooij, D., Llera, A., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. J (2015). ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-based strategy for removing motion artifact from fMRI data. <i>NeuroImage</i>, 112, 267-277. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.06 Rudolph M D, Miranda-Dominguez O, Cohen A O, Breiner K, Steinberg L, Borr 	•
 doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.08.017 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. F. (2015). Evaluation of J AROMA and alternative strategies for motion artifact removal in resting state fM <i>NeuroImage</i>, 112, 278-287. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., van Rooij, D., Llera, A., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. J (2015). ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-based strategy for removing motion artifact from fMRI data. <i>NeuroImage</i>, 112, 267-277. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.06 Rudolph M. D. Miranda-Dominguez, O. Cohen, A. O. Breiner, K. Steinberg, L. Borr 	
 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. F. (2015). Evaluation of AROMA and alternative strategies for motion artifact removal in resting state fM <i>NeuroImage</i>, <i>112</i>, 278-287. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., van Rooij, D., Llera, A., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. (2015). ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-based strategy for removing motion artifact from fMRI data. <i>NeuroImage</i>, <i>112</i>, 267-277. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Rudolph M. D. Miranda-Dominguez, O. Cohen, A. O. Breiner, K. Steinberg, L. Bonr, K. Steinberg, J. Bonr, K. Steinberg, J. Bonr, K. Steinberg, J. Bonr, Steinbe	
 AROMA and alternative strategies for motion artifact removal in resting state fM <i>NeuroImage</i>, 112, 278-287. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., van Rooij, D., Llera, A., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. (2015). ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-based strategy for removing motion artifact from fMRI data. <i>NeuroImage</i>, 112, 267-277. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Rudolph M D, Miranda-Dominguez O, Cohen A O, Breiner K, Steinberg L, Bonr 	CA-
 <i>NeuroImage, 112</i>, 278-287. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.063 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., van Rooij, D., Llera, A., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. (2015). ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-based strategy for removing motion artifact from fMRI data. <i>NeuroImage, 112</i>, 267-277. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.0 Rudolph M D, Miranda-Dominguez, O, Cohen A, O, Breiner, K, Steinberg, L, Bonr 	RI.
 Pruim, R. H. R., Mennes, M., van Rooij, D., Llera, A., Buitelaar, J. K., & Beckmann, C. (2015). ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-based strategy for removing motion artifact from fMRI data. <i>NeuroImage</i>, <i>112</i>, 267-277. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.0 Rudolph M D, Miranda-Dominguez O, Cohen A O, Breiner K, Steinberg L, Bonr 	
 (2015). ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-based strategy for removing motion artifact from fMRI data. <i>NeuroImage</i>, 112, 267-277. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.0 Rudolph M D Miranda-Dominguez O Cohen A O Breiner K Steinberg L Bonr 	7.
667 from fMRI data. <i>NeuroImage</i> , 112, 267-277. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.0	3
668 Rudolph M D Miranda-Dominguez O Cohen A O Breiner K Steinberg I Bonr	64
Tradoph, M. D., Minanaa-Dominiguez, O., Conen, A. O., Diemer, K., Stemberg, L., Dom	ie,
R. J., Fair, D. A. (2017). At risk of being risky: The relationship between "bra	in
age" under emotional states and risk preference. <i>Dev Cogn Neurosci, 24</i> , 93-106.	
671 doi:10.1016/j.dcn.2017.01.010	
672 Saito, J., Nemoto, T., Katagiri, N., Hori, M., Tagata, H., Funatogawa, T., Mizuno, M.	
673 (2020). Can reduced leftward asymmetry of white matter integrity be a marker of	
674 transition to psychosis in at-risk mental state? <i>Asian J Psychiatr</i> , <i>54</i> , 102450.	
675 doi:10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102450	
676 Salimi-Khorshidi, G., Douaud, G., Beckmann, C. F., Glasser, M. F., Griffanti, L., & Smit	h, S.
M. (2014). Automatic denoising of functional MRI data: combining independent	
678 component analysis and hierarchical fusion of classifiers. <i>NeuroImage</i> , <i>90</i> , 449-49	58.
doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.11.046	
680 Satterthwaite, T. D., Connolly, J. J., Ruparel, K., Calkins, M. E., Jackson, C., Elliott, M.	A .,
681 . Gur, R. E. (2016). The Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort: A publicly	
available resource for the study of normal and abnormal brain development in you	th.
683 <i>NeuroImage</i> , 124(Pt B), 1115-1119. doi:10.1016/j.neuroImage.2015.03.056	. r
584 Satterthwaite, I. D., Elliott, M. A., Kuparel, K., Lougnead, J., Prabhakaran, K., Calkins, J.	VI.
E., Gur, K. E. (2014). Neuroimaging of the Philadelphia neurodevelopmental	
Conort. Neuroimage, 80, 544-555. doi:10.1010/j.neuroimage.2015.0/.004	
⁶⁰ Schnack, H. O., van Halen, N. E. M., Nieuweinnuis, M., Huishon Fol, H. E., Cann, W., 6 ⁶⁰ Kahn P. S. (2016) Accelerated Brain Aging in Schizophrania: A Longitudinal	/
Obset Kalli, K. S. (2010). Accelerated Brain Aging in Schizophienia. A Longitudinai 680 Pattern Recognition Study. American Journal of Psychiatry, 173(6), 607-616	
$\begin{array}{cccc} & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ 600 & & & & & \\ 601 & $	
601 Schäfer I & Strimmer K (2005) A shrinkage approach to large-scale covariance estim	ation
and implications for functional genomics. Statistical applications in genetics and	auon
693 molecular biology, 4(1), doi:DOI:10.2202/1544-6115.1175	
694 Shehzad, Z., Kelly, A. M. C., Reiss, P. T., Gee, D. G., Gotimer, K., Uddin, L. O.,, Mi ¹	ham.
695 M. P. (2009). The Resting Brain: Unconstrained vet Reliable. <i>Cerebral Cortex</i>	,
696 <i>19</i> (10), 2209-2229. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhn256	
697 Smith, S. M., Beckmann, C. F., Andersson, J., Auerbach, E. J., Bijsterbosch, J., Douaud.	G., .
698 Consortium, W. UM. H. (2013). Resting-state fMRI in the Human Connector	ie
699 Project. <i>NeuroImage</i> , 80, 144-168. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.039	

700	Supekar, K., Musen, M., & Menon, V. (2009). Development of large-scale functional brain
701	networks in children. <i>PLoS Biol</i> , 7(7), e1000157. doi:10.1371/
702	Thomason, M. E., Dennis, E. L., Joshi, A. A., Joshi, S. H., Dinov, I. D., Chang, C., Gotlib,
703	I. H. (2011). Resting-state fMRI can reliably map neural networks in children.
704	NeuroImage, 55(1), 165-175. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.11.080
705	Truelove-Hill, M., Erus, G., Bashyam, V., Varol, E., Sako, C., Gur, R. C., Davatzikos, C.
706	(2020). A Multidimensional Neural Maturation Index Reveals Reproducible
707	Developmental Patterns in Children and Adolescents. J Neurosci, 40(6), 1265-1275.
708	doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2092-19.2019
709	Uddin, L. Q., Supekar, K., & Menon, V. (2010). Typical and atypical development of
710	functional human brain networks: insights from resting-state FMRI. Front Syst
711	Neurosci, 4, 21. doi:10.3389/fnsys.2010.00021
712	Wechsler, D. (2003). Wechsler intelligence scale for childrenFourth Edition (WISC-IV)
713	Zhai, J., & Li, K. (2019). Predicting Brain Age Based on Spatial and Temporal Features of
714	Human Brain Functional Networks. Front Hum Neurosci, 13, 62.
715	doi:10.3389/fnhum.2019.00062
716	Zuo, X. N., & Xing, X. X. (2014). Test-retest reliabilities of resting-state FMRI
717	measurements in human brain functional connectomics: a systems neuroscience
718	perspective. Neurosci Biobehav Rev, 45, 100-118.
719	doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.05.009