Saliva as a Reliable Sample for COVID-19 Diagnosis in Paediatric Patients

Running Title: Saliva and COVID-19 diagnostic in Paediatrics

Alvina C. Felix^a, Anderson V. de Paula^a, Andreia C. Ribeiro^b, Francini C. da Silva^b, Marta

Inemami^b, Angela A. Costa^b, Cibele O. D. Leal^a, Walter M. Figueiredo^b, Dmitry J. S.

Sarmento^c, Tatiana A. Sassaki^d, Claudio S. Pannuti^a, Paulo H. Braz-Silva^{a,d*}, Camila

Malta Romanoa,e

^aInstituto de Medicina Tropical de São Paulo, Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São

Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil; bServiço Especial de Saúde de Araraquara, Universidade de São

Paulo, Araquara, SP, Brazil; Departamento de Medicina Oral, Universidade Estadual da Paraíba,

Araruna, PB, Brazil; ^dDepartamento de Estomatologia, Faculdade de Odontologia da

Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil; and ^eLaboratório de Virologia (LIMHC52),

Hospital das Clinicas da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP,

Brazil

Author contributions: Alvina C. Felix, Walter M. Figueiredo and Dmitry J. S. Sarmento

conceptualized and designed the study, drafted the initial manuscript and reviewed and

revised the manuscript. Anderson V. de Paula, Andreia C. Ribeiro, Francini C. da Silva,

Marta Inemami, Angela A. Costa, Cibele O. D. Leal and Tatiana A. Sassaki designed the

data collection instruments, collected data, carried out the initial analyses and reviewed

and revised the manuscript. Claudio S. Pannuti, Paulo H. Braz-Silva and Camila M.

Romano conceptualized and designed the study, coordinated and supervised the data

collection, draft the manuscript and critically reviewed the manuscript for important

intellectual content. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agreed to

be accountable for all aspects of the work.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Corresponding author: Paulo Henrique Braz-Silva, Instituto de Medicina Tropical de São Paulo, Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo – IMTSP / FMUSP, Av. Dr. Enéas Carvalho de Aguiar, 470 - Jardim América, São Paulo – SP, Brasil, Zip code: 05403-000, [pbraz@usp.br]

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank José Tadeu Sales for the language correction of the manuscript. This study was supported by the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) according to grant # 2019/03859-9

Abstract

Saliva has been described a less invasive and easy to handle sample, compared to

nasopharyngeal swabs (NPS), in the diagnosis of COVID-19 in adults. Although the

advantages of using saliva is still more evident in paediatric patients, little is now about

its sensitivity in this group. The aim of this study was to compare the performance of

saliva to that of NPS in the detection of SARS-CoV-2 in paediatric patients with mild

symptoms. This study evaluated saliva samples from children with suspected COVID-19

who attended public healthcare services of Araraquara, São Paulo, Brazil. Children were

asked to spit into a sterile container for collection of about 1ml of saliva after the NPS

collection. SARS-COV-2 detection was performed by using the Altona RealStar® SARS-

CoV-2 RT-PCR Kit 1.0. The sample consisted of 50 patients, in which 27 were girls

(54%) and 23 were boys (46%). Ten were positive for SARS-CoV-2 in at least one sample

collected. The mean age was 10.24 ± 3.52 years old and saliva was collected after $4.76 \pm$

1.31 days from the symptoms. Saliva and NPS have showed the same performance in the

SARS-CoV-2 detection (k = 0.865, P < 0.001). In conclusion, saliva is a reliable

alternative sample for COVID-19 diagnosis in paediatric population.

Keywords: Saliva; COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; Paediatrics

Introduction

Massive testing is one of the most effective strategies for preventing COVID-19 transmission by allowing early identification of cases and decision-making based on the pandemic's behaviour¹. Nasopharyngeal swab (NPS) is the standard sample for molecular test, which causes much discomfort and possible cross-contamination during the collection^{1,2}. Therefore, a trained healthcare professional is required for performing this procedure^{1,3}. Saliva has been shown to be a reliable, safe diagnostic fluid for detection of SARS-CoV-2, with a sensitivity ranging from 80-100% compared to NPS in adult population^{1,3,4}. In paediatrics, the use of saliva has clear advantages because the collection of this fluid is less invasive, thus reducing the discomfort and allowing self-collection².

The objective of the present study was to compare the performance of saliva to that of NPS in the detection of SARS-CoV-2 in paediatric patients with mild symptoms.

Material and Methods

This study evaluated saliva samples from children with suspected COVID-19 who attended public healthcare services of Araraquara, which is a medium-sized city located in the State of São Paulo, with a population of 238,339 in 2020.

As part of the COVID-19 contingency plan, the city of Araraquara offers molecular tests for detection of SARS-COV-2 by using NPS in all symptomatic patients seeking healthcare service.

Parents and their children were invited to participate in this study at the time of NPS collection, in which the children were asked to spit into a sterile container for collection of about 1ml of saliva. The saliva samples were immediately stored at 4°C

until being taken to the laboratory (< 48 hours). Symptoms and delay between their onset and sample collection (days) were also recorded. Total RNA was extracted by using the viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen, GE) and SARS-COV-2 detection was made by using the Altona RealStar® SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR Kit 1.0 (Altona Diagnostics GmbH, Hamburg, Germany). The positivity in saliva was later compared to the results of NPS obtained by the Araraquara health surveillance. Unfortunately, no information on viral load or threshold cycle (CT) in the NPS test was available for further comparisons.

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of São Paulo School of Medicine under protocol number 4235245.

Results

The sample consisted of 50 patients, in which 27 were girls (54%) and 23 were boys (46%). Ten were positive for SARS-CoV-2 in at least one sample collected (saliva or NPS). The mean age was 10.24 ± 3.52 years old and saliva was collected after 4.76 ± 1.31 days from the symptoms. Of the 50 patients evaluated, symptoms were reported by 46 during the saliva collection and the main ones were the following: coryza (60.9%), cough (56.5%), sore throat (45.7%), headache (39.1%) and fever (30.4%). None of these symptoms was statistically associated with the diagnosis of COVID-19 (Table 1).

ROC curve analysis was performed in order to assess sensitivity and specificity of RT-PCR between saliva and NPS, with the latter being considered a gold standard test. The results showed a statistically significant curve (AUC = 0.851, SE = 0.094; P = 0.002; 95% CI = 0.667 - 1.00). With these results, we can state that saliva can be safely used for diagnosis of COVID-19 in paediatric patients. We also tested the concordance between saliva and NPS by using Kappa concordance test (k = 0.702; P < 0.001), with 92% of the

samples being concordant (Table 2). Additionally, the concordance between these fluids was assessed individually, in which positive cases (10/50 patients) were considered as true infection. It was observed that the saliva and NPS showed the same values for Kappa concordance test (k = 0.865, P < 0.001).

Discussion

Consistent scientific evidence has pointed to the effectiveness of the use of saliva as a diagnostic fluid for COVID-19 in adult population^{1, 3, 4}. The advantages of a less invasive and painless sample collection have been shown to be more evident in paediatric population, which may include self-collection and multiple collection possibilities². However, there are a few studies of paediatric patients and different ways to sampling for SARS-CoV-2 detection and their results are conflicting⁵⁻⁷. Our results showed that saliva had the same diagnostic performance than that of NPS for SARS-CoV-2.

The use of less invasive strategies for COVID-19 surveillance has a crucial importance for children not only in the understanding of SARS-CoV-2 behavior in this population, but also in the re-opening of schools based on constant tracking of asymptomatic cases^{2,6}. Additionally, with the emergence of the variants of concern and vaccination campaigns were initially not aimed at this age group, saliva could help on the detection of them in paediatric patients. The use of saliva makes COVID-19 surveillance viable, since this strategy is more largely accepted by individuals for being painless and for requiring no professional sample collection^{2,3}. Depending on the age group, the individuals themselves can be remotely instructed (e.g. videos) to perform the sample collection³.

The limitations of this preliminary work are in the fact that larger samples and inclusion of asymptomatic children should be considered in further studies.

Conclusion

Our data allow us to conclude that saliva is a viable alternative fluid for molecular diagnosis of COVID-19 in children.

References

- 1. Sapkota D, Søland TM, Galtung HK, Sand LP, Giannecchini S, To KKW, et al. COVID-19 salivary signature: diagnostic and research opportunities. J Clin Pathol 2020;Aug7:jclinpath 2020-206834. doi: 10.1136/jclinpath-2020-206834.
- Santos CN, Rezende KM, Oliveira Neto NF, Okay TS, Braz-Silva PH, Bönecker M. Saliva: an important alternative for screening and monitoring of COVID-19 in children. Braz Oral Res 2020;34:e0125. doi: 10.1590/1807-3107bor-2020.vol34.0125.
- 3. Braz-Silva PH, Mamana AC, Romano CM, Felix AC, de Paula AV, Fereira NE, et al. Performance of at-home self-collected saliva and nasal-oropharyngeal swabs in the surveillance of COVID-19. J Oral Microbiol 2020;13(1):1858002. doi:10.1080/20002297.2020.1858002.
- 4. To KK, Tsang OT, Yip CC, Chan KH, Wu TC, Chan JM, et al. Consistent Detection of 2019 Novel Coronavirus in Saliva. Clin Infect Dis 2020;71(15):841-843. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa149.
- Kam KQ, Yung CF, Maiwald M, Chong CY, Soong HY, Loo LH, et al. Clinical Utility of Buccal Swabs for Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Detection in Coronavirus Disease 2019-Infected Children. J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc 2020;9(3):370-372. doi:10.1093/jpids/piaa068.
- 6. Chua GT, Wong JSC, To KKW, Lam ICS, Yau FYS, Chan WH, et al. Saliva viral load better correlates with clinical and immunological profiles in children with

- coronavirus disease 2019. Emerg Microbes Infect 2021;10(1):235-241. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2021.1878937.
- 7. Chan RWY, Chan KC, Chan KYY, Lui GCY, Tsun JGS, Wong RYK, et al. SARS-CoV-2 detection by nasal strips: A superior tool for surveillance of population. J Infect 2020;\$0163-4453(20)30704-0. paediatric doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2020.11.009. Epub ahead of print.

Table 1. List of Symptoms

, 1					
Symptoms		n	%	<i>p</i> (1)	
Fever	Yes	14	30.4	0.242	
	No	32	69.6		
Cough	Yes	26	56.5	0.150	
	No	20	43.5		
Shortness of air	Yes	9	19.6	0.384	
	No	37	80.4		
Coryza	Yes	28	60.9	0.717	
	No	18	39.1		
Headache	Yes	18	39.1	0.999	
	No	28	60.9		
Myalgia	Yes	7	15.2	0.163	
	No	39	84.8		
Fatigue	Yes	8	17.4	0.664	
	No	38	82.6		
Nausea	Yes	4	8.7	0.201	
	No	42	91.3		
Vomit	Yes	4	8.7	0.999	
	No	42	91.3		
Diarrhea	Yes	6	13.0	0.315	
	No	40	87.0		

Abdominal pain	Yes	8	17.4	0.055
	No	36	82.6	
Loss of the sense of smell	Yes	0	0	-
	No	46	100	
Loss of the sense of taste	Yes	2	4.3	0.391
	No	44	95.7	
		21	45.7	0.306
Sore throat	Yes			
	No	25	54.3	

⁽¹⁾ Association of the symptom with diagnosis of COVID-19. Fisher's exact test.

Table 2. Description of positive and negative cases of COVID-19 for saliva and NPS by using RT-PCR.

		NPS		 Total
RT-PCR		Positive	Negative	
		N(%)	N(%)	
a P	Positive	6 (12)	2 (4)	8 (12)
Saliva	Negative	2 (4)	40 (80)	42 (84)
	Total	8 (12)	42 (84)	50 (100)