

1 **LRH: Espinosa-Guerra, et al**
2 **RRH: Mental health outcomes during COVID-19**

3

4 **Prevalence and associated factors with mental health outcomes among interns and residents**
5 **physicians during COVID-19 epidemic in Panama: a cross-sectional study**

6

7

8 Edward A. Espinosa-Guerra^{1,2}, Edgar R. Rodríguez-Barría³, Christl A. Donnelly^{4,5}, Jean-Paul
9 Carrera^{6,7*}

10

11 1 Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Residency Program, Complejo Hospitalario Dr. Arnulfo
12 Arias Madrid, Panama City, Panama

13 2 School of Public Health, University of Panama, Panama City, Panama

14 3 Department of Psychiatry, Residency Program, Complejo Hospitalario Dr. Arnulfo Arias Madrid,
15 Panama City, Panama

16 4. Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, MRC, Centre for Global Infectious Disease
17 Analysis (MRC-GIDA), Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

18 5. Department of Statistics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

19 6 Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

20 7. Department of Virology and Biotechnology, Gorgas Memorial Institute of Health Studies,
21 Panama City, Panama

22

23 *Address correspondent to: jean.carrera@zoo.ox.ac.uk or jpcarrera@gorgas.gob.pa (J-PC)

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

1 Abstract

2 **Background.** A new coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 was associated with a newly identified respiratory
3 syndrome, COVID-19 in Wuhan, China, in early December 2019. SARS-CoV-2 rapidly spread across
4 the globe resulting in 117 million cases and 2.59 million deaths by March 2021. Rapidly increased
5 numbers of COVID-19 cases overwhelmed public health systems across the world, imposing
6 increased working hours and workloads for health care workers. Here, we have evaluated the
7 prevalence of health outcomes and associated factors of interns and resident physicians in
8 Panama.

9 **Methods.** A cross-sectional study was undertaken during July 23, 2020, to August 13, 2020, to
10 evaluate the prevalence of health outcomes and associated factors in interns and residents across
11 Panama. Snowball sampling was used to recruit participants. Then an electronic questionnaire
12 with scales to evaluate anxiety disorders (GAD-7), depression (PHQ-9) and post-traumatic stress
13 (IES-R) was evaluated. In addition, socio-demographic variables, clinical history of mental disorders
14 and COVID-19 exposure were evaluated. Independent analyses for each mental health outcome
15 were undertaken using a logistic regression analysis.

16 **Results.** A total of 517/1205 (42.9%) interns and residents were nationwide recruited. Of these
17 274 (53.0%) were interns and 243 (47.0%) residents. The overall prevalence of depression
18 symptoms was 25.3%, 13.7% anxiety and 12.2% post-traumatic stress. At least, 9.3% participants
19 reported having suicidal ideation.

20 The most parsimonious model showed females had a higher prevalence of mental health
21 disorders, in all results and the married participants were more likely to present depression (OR,
22 1.73; 95% CI, 1.03-2.91; P = 0.039) or at least one alteration to mental health (OR, 1.66; 95% CI,
23 1.03-2.68; P = 0.039). Resident physicians in surgical specialties were less likely to have post-
24 traumatic stress (OR, 0.20; 95% CI, 0.06-0.63; P = 0.006) or at least one mental health disturbance
25 (OR, 0.46; 95% CI, 0.26-0.83; P = 0.010). A history of psychological trauma and psychiatric
26 pathology were risk factors for most of the disorders investigated.

27 **Conclusions.** A high prevalence of mental health disorders was found, showing the need to
28 mitigate this emotional burden among healthcare workers in the current context of the COVID-19
29 pandemic.

30

31 Background

32 The global health emergency caused by the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) that emerged in the
33 city of Wuhan, capital of Hubei province in China, in late 2019 has posed one of the greatest
34 challenges to frontline health care workers¹. In Panama, COVID19 was detected on early March,
35 2020, although the country rapidly implemented control and mitigation strategies, Panama has
36 one of the highest cumulative case incidences in the Americas². By July 7th, 2020, COVID-19
37 seroprevalence in Panama was estimated around 11.7% in health care worker³.

38

39 As countries have adopted draconian social distancing measures to reduce infections, there has
40 been an increase in stressors that will likely increase the risk of psychiatric illness associated with

1 COVID-19 controls in the coming months ⁴. In health care workers, the workload, the scarcity of
2 personal protective equipment and the fear of contagion to family and friends are added to the
3 risk for those who are in the front line of patient care, potentially triggering anxiety, fatigue,
4 depression, irritability, fear, insomnia, etc. However, despite the efforts to comply with the
5 provisions of governments to control the epidemic; it has been seen in studies related to severe
6 acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in 2003, that although affected people and health workers
7 were motivated to comply with quarantine to reduce the risk of infecting others, emotional
8 distress tempted some to consider violating disease control measures ⁵.

9 It is evident that the pandemic has led to an increase in the prevalence of mental health disorders
10 in the world's population ⁶. Health care workers do not escape from this reality, so it is necessary
11 to know the factors that influence these findings, evaluating the association or not of this outcome
12 to mental health in order to make a comprehensive approach to support those who are in the first
13 line of care.

14 Intern physicians carry out visits, prepare clinical histories, evaluate the daily evolutions of the
15 clinical condition of patients, attend teaching meetings, among other responsibilities, under the
16 supervision of a medical officer. While the responsibilities of resident physicians are established
17 according to each medical residency program in their training hospital, but in general, they admit
18 patients, undertake rotations in different medical specialties, conduct daily patient evaluations,
19 among other responsibilities.

20 In Panama, the internship and medical residency are carried out in public hospitals nationwide and
21 affiliated with the Federación Nacional de Médicos Residentes e Internos (FENAMERI). Being in the
22 front line of care, these physicians spend long working hours in hospitals and care centers,
23 performing shifts on both weekdays and weekends. This poses a greater risk of infection due to
24 the high workloads as the public health system reaches maximum capacity and added to the
25 shortage of personal protective equipment, potentially being a trigger for poor mental health.

26 27 **Ethical approval**

28 The National Research Bioethics Committee of Panama approved the study protocol by resolution
29 EC-CNBI-2020-06-74. Participants provided online informed consent prior to completing the
30 questionnaire.

31 32 **Methods**

33 This cross-sectional study was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic based on a survey of
34 resident physicians and interns working in public health facilities in Panama (potential respondents
35 were 408 resident physicians and 797 interns) in July 2020 and affiliated with the National
36 Federation of Resident Physicians and Interns (FENAMERI). The objective of the study was to
37 determine the prevalence of mental health disorders and identify their associated factors related
38 to sociodemographic characteristics, personal history, and exposure to SARS-CoV-2.

39 Between July 23 and August 13, a link containing an anonymous self-report questionnaire was
40 sent, disseminated through emails and WhatsApp using a snowball technique. Approval for this
41 study was obtained from the National Committee on Research Bioethics (CNBI) and participants'

1 informed consent was obtained online. The sampling period corresponded to the period of high
2 incidence of COVID-19 infection, in accordance with high hospital occupancy at the national level.
3 The questionnaire collected data on sociodemographic variables (sex, age, marital status,
4 occupation), personal history (smoking, harmful use of alcohol assessed by the AUDIT-C scale ⁷,
5 history of psychological trauma, history of psychiatric illness, family history of psychiatric illness)
6 and exposure information (history of COVID-19 diagnosis, family member with COVID-19
7 diagnosis, infected colleagues, and whether he/she attended patients with COVID-19 in the last 15
8 days).

9 Mental health outcomes were symptoms of depression, generalized anxiety, and post-traumatic
10 stress, assessed by self-report using the 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) scales ⁸, the
11 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder scale (GAD-7) ⁹, and the 22-item Impact of Events Scale (IES-
12 R) ¹⁰.

13 Study participants were classified according to the presence or absence of these symptoms with
14 the following cutoff points: at least 10 on the PHQ-9 questionnaire, at least 10 on the GAD-7, and
15 at least 26 on the IES-R. Those who scored higher were considered as having a clinically significant
16 range of mental health impairment. The cut-off points were extracted from the original articles
17 describing each measure ⁸⁻¹⁰.

18 In order to protect the confidentiality of the participants, the data on the type of specialty are
19 grouped into: surgical specialties (general surgery, cardiovascular surgery, ophthalmology,
20 otorhinolaryngology, maxillofacial surgery, neurosurgery, vascular surgery, orthopedics, etc.),
21 medical specialties (internal medicine and its subspecialties, psychiatry, physical medicine and
22 rehabilitation, pediatrics and its subspecialties, occupational medicine, anesthesiology, preventive
23 medicine, etc.), and diagnostic support specialties (pathology, radiology, etc.).

24 For the analysis of the results, frequencies and percentages were calculated for sociodemographic
25 data, personal and family history, exposure to COVID-19 and prevalence of each mental health
26 finding; then univariable and multivariable logistic regression models were performed to explore
27 the association of these results.

28

29 **Statistical analysis**

30 Independents analyses were undertaken for each mental health impairments: depression (PHQ-9
31 ≥ 10), generalized anxiety (GAD-7 ≥ 10), post-traumatic stress (IES-R ≥ 26), presence of at least
32 one impairment and presence of all three impairments. In each case, the outcome variable was
33 the presence/absence of mental health disturbances, as determined by the cutoff point.
34 Associations between each outcome and the study variables were tested using chi-square test and
35 Fisher's exact tests; $P < 0.05$ was considered significant. Associations between each outcome and
36 the independent variables were estimated using generalized estimating equations for logistic
37 regression models and expressed as odds ratios (OR). Interaction variables between all variables
38 identified as significant in the univariate analysis were examined, and marginal effects of
39 significant interaction terms were determined. Model diagnostics were performed to check for
40 model specification errors, Multicollinearity was also explored. The most parsimonious model was
41 obtained with variable selection of the log likelihood test ¹¹. Univariable and multivariable ORs

1 were calculated with 95% CIs. Analyses were performed in Stata/SE version 16.1 (StataCorp,
2 College Station, TX).

3
4
5

6 **Results**

7 The demographics of the participants are shown in Table 1. A total of 517 physicians completed
8 the online questionnaire, of whom 243 (47%) were resident physicians and 274 (53%) were
9 interns, for a response rate of 42.9% (517/1205). Of the participants, 48.5% were concentrated in
10 the province of Panama, the capital of the country. Their mean age was 28 years (SD 3.1), with a
11 majority of respondents being female (61.5%). Seventy-eight-point nine percent were single or
12 separated. Of the respondents, 14.9% reported having been laboratory confirmed with COVID-19.

13

14 **Prevalence of mental health disturbances**

15 The prevalence rate of mental health disorders was: 25.3% (131/517) for depressive symptoms,
16 13.7% (71/517) for generalized anxiety, and 12.2% (63/517) for post-traumatic stress disorder. Of
17 the respondents, 32.7% (169/517) presented at least one disturbance and 5.8% (30/517)
18 presented all three mental health disturbances.

19 In response to item 9 of the PHQ-9 questionnaire "How often do you think you would be better off
20 dead or would hurt yourself in some way?" 9.3% (48/517) of respondents reported having suicidal
21 thoughts; 0.8% (4/517) reported having suicidal ideation "more than half the days" and 8.5%
22 (44/517) reported experiencing it "several days."

23

24 **Logistic regression models**

25 **Univariable analysis**

26 Female sex and personal history of psychological trauma and psychiatric pathology were
27 associated with all three mental health outcomes investigated (Table 3). For example, depression
28 among women: OR=1.75; 95% CI, 1.14-2.69; P = 0.010; generalized anxiety OR=3.19; 95% CI, 1.70-
29 5.99; P <0.001; post-traumatic stress OR=2.98; 95% CI, 1.54-5.74; P = 0.001; with at least one
30 mental health disturbance OR=1.93; 95% CI, 1.30-2.87; P = 0.001; and with all three mental health
31 disturbances OR=4.34; 95% CI, 1.49-12.63; P = 0.007.

32 Being a resident physician in surgical specialties was significantly associated with a reduced risk of
33 post-traumatic stress (OR, 0.23; 95% CI, 0.08-0.65; P = 0.006) and of developing at least 1 mental
34 health disorder (OR, 0.45; 95% CI, 0.26-0.78; P = 0.005).

35 Smoking was significantly associated with post-traumatic stress, having at least 1 disorder, and
36 having all three mental health disorders (Table 3). On the other hand, having a history of having
37 been diagnosed with COVID-19 increased the risk of presenting depression, post-traumatic stress
38 and all three mental health disorders; while having a history of having a family member diagnosed
39 with COVID-19 was significantly associated with post-traumatic stress (Table 3).

40 Respondents who presented depression and generalized anxiety found these symptoms made it
41 difficult to perform their work (Table 3 and 4).

42

1 **Multivariable analysis**

2 The most parsimonious multivariable model revealed that female sex was positively associated
3 with the prevalence of mental health disturbances in all the outcomes analyzed (Table 4). Married
4 participants were more likely to have depression (OR, 1.73; 95% CI, 1.03-2.91; P = 0.039) or at least
5 one mental health disturbance (OR, 1.66; 95% CI, 1.03-2.68; P = 0.039).
6 Surgical specialties were less likely to have PTSD or at least one mental health disturbance, while
7 smoking was significantly associated with self-report of these disturbances (Table 4).
8 Having a previous diagnosis of COVID-19 was associated with having all three mental health
9 disorders and having a family member with COVID-19 was significantly associated with post-
10 traumatic stress.

11

12 **Discussion**

13 Our findings document a high prevalence of symptoms associated with mental health disorders
14 during the first peak of COVID-19 cases in Panama. We found prevalence rates of 25.3% for
15 depression, 13.7% for anxiety, and 12.2% for post-traumatic stress disorder, comparatively similar
16 to those found in health personnel in Dutch¹² and Italian¹³ but below those found during this
17 outbreak in China¹⁴.

18 In high-incidence areas, an epidemic event of this magnitude is comparable to previous events
19 such as SARS, where a large percentage of health care workers in high-risk situations reported
20 symptoms related to mental health disturbances¹⁵.

21 Female respondents were 1.74 to 4.34 times more likely to report a mental health disturbance
22 compared to males, consistent with a systematic review by Ricci et al. where women were more
23 likely than men to report mental health disturbances, especially for schizotypal personality
24 disorder (STPD)¹⁶. The history of psychological trauma in females as a risk factor for the
25 development of mental health disorders may be attributed to gender differences in the rates and
26 types of trauma exposure (e.g., natural disasters, motor vehicle accidents, rape, physical attack,
27 combat, etc.)¹⁷.

28

29 Most participants were medical interns, indicating that most of them had fewer years of work
30 experience and are probably exposed to a higher risk of infection. This study indicated that having
31 a history of psychological trauma and psychiatric pathology was associated with experiencing
32 depression, generalized anxiety and post-traumatic stress disorder outcomes, in all models
33 conducted. This is consistent with the findings of Young et al. where healthcare workers with a
34 history of mental illness were at increased risk for significant emotional symptoms¹⁸.

35 Being married was associated with depressive symptoms, which may be due to competing family
36 responsibilities and/or fear of partner contagion. This pattern was also observed during the SARS
37 epidemics in 2003 in China¹⁹ and Canada²⁰. On the other hand, the occupation of resident
38 physician in surgical specialties reduced the risk of post-traumatic stress and of developing at least
39 1 mental health disorders. Elective surgeries were suspended in all hospitals in the country, as a
40 preventive measure adopted by the Ministry of Health, to limit transmission risks in hospital
41 settings²¹.

1 As previous studies indicate, smoking is an important mental health issue, and in this study,
2 smokers were 2-5 times more at risk for post-traumatic stress disorder and 2 times more at risk for
3 at least 1 mental health disturbance than non-smokers²². These findings could be due to in part to
4 the pandemic generating factors that contribute to smoking initiation or the vulnerability of
5 people with a significant psychological history has triggered the habit of smoking⁶.

6 A troubling finding immersed in the depression data is the rate of individuals reporting positive
7 responses to item 9 of the PHQ-9 questionnaire ("How often do you think you would be better off
8 dead or hurt in some way?"). Of the respondents, 9.3% reported having suicidal thoughts, within
9 them; 0.8% reported having suicidal ideation half of the days and 8.5% reported experiencing it
10 almost every day. This result indicated elevated suicidal ideation above that found in U.S. health
11 care workers by Young et al.¹⁸ and close to that reported by the CDC, with 10.7% of persons
12 experiencing suicidal thoughts associated with the COVID-19 pandemic²³.

13 In a study to determine whether responses to the PHQ-9 questionnaire predict subsequent suicide
14 attempt or death by suicide, Simon et al. found that those individuals with positive responses to
15 item 9 of the PHQ-9 were six times more likely to attempt suicide and five times more likely to die
16 by suicide within one year than those who did not report such thoughts²⁴. Another study by
17 Rossom et al. demonstrated that patients with any level of suicidal ideation on item 9 of the PHQ-
18 9 were almost twice as likely to attempt suicide in the following year²⁵.

19 Additionally, our findings indicate that exposure to COVID-19 at work or at home through the
20 illness of a family member with COVID-19 independently contributed to presenting with all three
21 mental health disturbances together and post-traumatic stress, respectively. Similar results were
22 evident during the SARS epidemic in 2003 where having had a family member or friend with SARS
23 was a strong predictor of the level of post-traumatic stress symptoms, suggesting a special feature
24 of the psychosocial effects of infectious disease outbreaks, which can be differentiated from other
25 disasters¹⁹.

26 Previous studies have shown that young physicians are a vulnerable professional group requiring
27 professional support to prevent mental health disorders due to long working hours, and support
28 from senior physicians may have a positive effect on some of these disorders, especially in health
29 emergencies²⁶.

30 Despite a response rate of 42.9%, non-response bias may exist because the remaining resident
31 physicians and interns might have shown less interest in participating because they were not as
32 emotionally affected by the current pandemic. Therefore, it is difficult to estimate how
33 representative the responses are of the population of residents and interns or how biased the
34 estimates might be due to nonresponse. On the other hand, we assume that the reliability of the
35 responses is high because the data collected in the survey are familiar to residents and interns and
36 they are able to recognize the mental illnesses studied here. With the aim of assessing mental
37 disturbances at the time of response, the questions in the questionnaire focused on symptoms
38 during the last 15 days.

39

40 **Conclusions**

1 With a response rate of 42.9%, one third of our sample presented at least one clinically significant
2 mental health disturbance (including suicidal ideation), with women being the most affected in all
3 analyses.

4 Our findings raise concerns about the psychological well-being of resident physicians and interns
5 involved in care during the first wave of the COVID-19 epidemic in Panama.

6 It is essential for institutions to intervene with those most at risk of presenting psychiatric
7 symptoms and offer them sources of support to mitigate the emotional impact and increase
8 resilience in situations of occupational stress.

9

10

11 **List of abbreviations**

12 SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome type 2 coronavirus; COVID-19: coronavirus
13 disease 2019; PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire; GAD-7: General Anxiety Disorder-7; IES-R:
14 Impact of Event Scale- Revised; PTSD: Post-traumatic stress disorder; COVID-19: Coronavirus
15 Disease 2019; FENAMERI: Federación Nacional de Médicos Residentes e Internos; CDC: Center for
16 Disease Control and Prevention.

17

18 **Statements**

19 **Ethical approval and consent to participate.**

20 The National Research Bioethics Committee of Panama approved the study protocol by resolution
21 EC-CNBI-2020-06-74. Participants provided online informed consent prior to completing the
22 questionnaire.

23

24 **Data and material availability**

25 The data set generated and analyzed in the current study is available from the corresponding
26 author upon reasonable request.

27

28 **Conflict of interests**

29 The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

30

31 **Funds**

32 This work was supported by SENACYT (grant of rapid response to COVID-19 pandemic: FID-03-
33 2021-COVID19-08) grant to J-PC. J-PC is funded by the Clarendon Scholarship from the University
34 of Oxford and Lincoln-Kingsgate Scholarship from Lincoln College-Oxford. “This work was jointly
35 funded by the UK Medical Research Council (MRC) and the UK Department for International
36 Development (DFID) under the MRC/DFID Concordat agreement and is also part of the EDCTP2
37 programme supported by the European Union (MR/R015600/1). CAD thanks the UK NIHR for
38 funding of the NIHR Health Protection Research Unit in Emerging and Zoonotic Infections.” EB is
39 member of the Sistema Nacional de Investigación (SNI-SENACYT), Panamá.

40

41

42 **Author's contributions**

1 EE conceived and designed the study. EE and EB constructed the questionnaire. EE and EB
2 collected the data. EE and J-PC performed the statistical analysis and CD advised on statistical
3 analysis. EE, EB and J-PC wrote the manuscript. EE, EB, CD, J-PC supervised the project and
4 contributed to the writing of the paper. All authors reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and
5 approved the final manuscript.
6

7 **Acknowledgements**

8 The authors would like to thank all the residents and interns physicians in Panama for their
9 contribution to patient care during this health crisis and their participation in this study.

10 **Authors' information**

11 1 Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Residency Program, Complejo Hospitalario Dr. Arnulfo
12 Arias Madrid, Panama City, Panama
13 2 School of Public Health, University of Panama, Panama City, Panama
14 3 Department of Psychiatry, Residency Program, Complejo Hospitalario Dr. Arnulfo Arias Madrid,
15 Panama City, Panama.
16 4. Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, MRC, Centre for Global Infectious Disease
17 Analysis (MRC-GIDA), Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom
18 5. Department of Statistics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom
19 6 Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom
20 7. Department of Virology and Biotechnology, Gorgas Memorial Institute of Health Studies,
21 Panama City, Panama
22
23

24 **References**

- 25 1. Li, Q. *et al.* Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus–Infected
26 Pneumonia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **382**, 1199–1207 (2020).
- 27 2. Franco, D. *et al.* Early transmission dynamics, spread, and genomic characterization of
28 SARS-CoV-2 in Panama. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **27**, (2021).
- 29 3. Villarreal, A. *et al.* Performance of a point of care test for detecting IgM and IgG antibodies
30 against SARS-CoV-2 and seroprevalence in blood donors and health care workers in
31 Panama. *medRxiv* (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.09.25.20201459.
- 32 4. Pfefferbaum, B. & North, C. S. Mental Health and the Covid-19 Pandemic. *N. Engl. J. Med.*
33 **383**, 510–512 (2020).
- 34 5. DiGiovanni, C., Conley, J., Chiu, D. & Zaborski, J. Factors influencing compliance with
35 quarantine in Toronto during the 2003 SARS outbreak. *Biosecur. Bioterror.* **2**, 265–272
36 (2004).
- 37 6. Ganhao, M., Trigo, M. & Paixao, A. P.619 Tobacco in times of COVID-19: reflecting on risks
38 for patients with mental health illness. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* **40**, S351–S352 (2020).
- 39 7. Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT-C) - Viral Hepatitis and Liver Disease.
- 40 8. Spitzer, R. L., Kroenke, K. & Williams, J. B. W. Validation and utility of a self-report version
41 of PRIME-MD. *Prim. Care Companion J. Clin. Psychiatry* **2**, 31 (2000).
- 42 9. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JW & Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized
43 anxiety disorder: The GAD-7. *Arch. Intern. Med.* **166**, 1092–1097 (2006).
- 44 10. Weiss, D. S. The Impact of Event Scale: Revised. *Cross-Cultural Assess. Psychol. Trauma*
45 *PTSD* 219–238 (2007) doi:10.1007/978-0-387-70990-1_10.
- 46 11. Vuong, Q. H. Likelihood Ratio Tests for Model Selection and Non-Nested Hypotheses.

- 1 *Econometrica* **57**, 307 (1989).
- 2 12. Ruitenburg, M. M., Frings-Dresen, M. H. & Sluiter, J. K. The prevalence of common mental
3 disorders among hospital physicians and their association with self-reported work ability: A
4 cross-sectional study. *BMC Health Serv. Res.* **12**, (2012).
- 5 13. Rossi, R. *et al.* Mental Health Outcomes Among Frontline and Second-Line Health Care
6 Workers During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic in Italy. *JAMA Netw.*
7 *open* **3**, e2010185 (2020).
- 8 14. Lai, J. *et al.* Factors Associated With Mental Health Outcomes Among Health Care Workers
9 Exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019. *JAMA Netw. open* **3**, e203976 (2020).
- 10 15. Chua, S. E. *et al.* Psychological effects of the SARS outbreak in Hong Kong on high-risk
11 health care workers. *Can. J. Psychiatry* **49**, 391–393 (2004).
- 12 16. Serrano-Ripoll, M. J. *et al.* Impact of viral epidemic outbreaks on mental health of
13 healthcare workers: a rapid systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective*
14 *Disorders* vol. 277 (2020).
- 15 17. Stein, M. B., Walker, J. R. & Forde, D. R. Gender differences in susceptibility to
16 posttraumatic stress disorder. *Behav. Res. Ther.* **38**, 619–628 (2000).
- 17 18. Young, K. P. *et al.* Health Care Workers' Mental Health and Quality of Life During COVID-19:
18 Results From a Mid-Pandemic, National Survey. *Psychiatr. Serv.* appips202000424 (2020)
19 doi:10.1176/appi.ps.202000424.
- 20 19. Jones, R. The Psychological Impact of the SARS Epidemic on Hospital Employees in China:
21 Exposure, Risk Perception, and Altruistic Acceptance of Risk. *Bone* **23**, 1–7 (2014).
- 22 20. Nickell, L. A. *et al.* Psychosocial effects of SARS on hospital staff: Survey of a large tertiary
23 care institution. *Cmaj* **170**, 793–798 (2004).
- 24 21. Ministerio de Salud. Suspensión de visitas, consulta externa y cirugías en todos los
25 hospitales de Panamá. https://www.pacificasalud.com/notice/es/cierre_consultas_covid19
26 (2020).
- 27 22. Fu, S. S. *et al.* Post-traumatic stress disorder and smoking: A systematic review. *Nicotine*
28 *and Tobacco Research* vol. 9 (2007).
- 29 23. Czeisler, M. É. *et al.* Mental Health, Substance Use, and Suicidal Ideation During the COVID-
30 19 Pandemic — United States, June 24–30, 2020. *MMWR. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* **69**,
31 1049–1057 (2020).
- 32 24. Simon, G. E. *et al.* Does response on the PHQ-9 depression questionnaire predict
33 subsequent suicide attempt or suicide death? *Psychiatr. Serv.* **64**, 1195–1202 (2013).
- 34 25. Rossom, R. C. *et al.* Suicidal ideation reported on the PHQ9 and risk of suicidal behavior
35 across age groups. *Journal of Affective Disorders* vol. 215 77–84 (2017).
- 36 26. Petrie, K. *et al.* Working hours, common mental disorder and suicidal ideation among junior
37 doctors in Australia: A cross-sectional survey. *BMJ Open* **10**, 1–7 (2020).

38

39

40

41

42

43

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study population.

Characteristics	No. (%)
Total	517 (100)
Gender	
Male	199 (38.5)
Female	318 (61.5)
Age (mean \pm SD)	27.9 (3.1)
Marital status	
Unmarried or divorced	408 (78.9)
Married	109 (21.1)
Provinces	
Bocas del Toro	11 (2.1)
Chiriquí	41 (7.9)
Coclé	13 (2.5)
Colón	12 (2.3)
Darién	3 (0.6)
Herrera	8 (1.5)
Los Santos	4 (0.8)
Panamá	251 (48.5)
Panamá Oeste	5 (1.0)
Veraguas	6 (1.2)
Unanswered	163 (31.5)
Main occupation	
Intern physician	274 (53)
Medical specialties (resident)	131 (25.3)
Surgical specialties (resident)	94 (18.2)
Diagnostic support specialties (resident)	18 (3.5)
Background information	
Smoking	
Yes	46 (8.9)
No	471 (91.1)
Alcohol misuse	
Yes	209 (40.4)
No	308 (59.6)
Psychological trauma	
Yes	67 (13)
No	450 (87)

Psychiatric pathology		
Yes		88 (17)
No		429 (83)
Psychiatric pathology in family members		
Yes		189 (36.6)
No		328 (63.4)
Exposure to COVID-19		
Been diagnosed with COVID-19		
Yes		77 (14.9)
No		440 (85.1)
Diagnosis of COVID-19 in family members		
Yes		138 (26.7)
No		379 (73.3)
Diagnosis of COVID-19 in colleague		
Yes		476 (92.1)
No		41 (7.9)
Attending COVID-19 patients		
Yes		371 (71.8)
No		146 (28.2)
Mental health disturbances		
Depression ¹		131 (25.3)
Generalized anxiety ²		71 (13.7)
Posttraumatic stress ³		63 (12.2)
Suicidal ideation ⁴		48 (9.3)

*SD Standard Deviation

¹At least 10 points on the PHQ-9 questionnaire

²At least 10 points on the GAD-7 questionnaire

³At least 33 points on the IES-R questionnaire

⁴In response to item 9 of the PHQ-9 questionnaire

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

1

2

3

4

Table 2. Independent factors associated with mental health outcomes in univariate generalized estimating equations for logistic regression models (n = 517)

Factor	Depression ¹			Generalized anxiety ²			Post-traumatic stress ³			At least one outcome			Presence of all three outcomes		
	OR	CI 95%	p	OR	CI 95%	p	OR	CI 95%	p	OR	CI 95%	p	OR	CI 95%	p
Gender															
Male	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-
Female	1.75	(1.14-2.69)	0.10	3.19	(1.70-5.99)	<0.001	2.98	(1.54-5.74)	0.001	1.93	(1.30-2.87)	0.001	4.34	(1.49-12.63)	0.007
Age	0.99	(0.92-1.05)	0.703	1.00	(0.93-1.09)	0.909	0.91	(0.82-1.00)	0.059	0.95	(0.89-1.01)	0.126	0.99	(0.89-1.12)	0.988
Marital status															
Unmarried or divorce	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-
Married	1.37	(0.86-2.19)	0.183	1.00	(0.54-1.85)	0.992	0.77	(0.39-1.53)	0.453	1.32	(0.85-2.05)	0.218	0.93	(0.37-2.34)	0.881
Main occupation															
Intern physician	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-
Medical specialties (resident)	0.73	(0.45-1.19)	0.209	0.59	(0.31-1.12)	0.109	0.56	(0.29-1.08)	0.084	0.65	(0.41-1.01)	0.058	0.76	(0.31-1.85)	0.542
Surgical specialties (resident)	0.62	(0.35-1.10)	0.105	0.52	(0.25-1.12)	0.095	0.23	(0.08-0.65)	0.006	0.45	(0.26-0.78)	0.005	0.44	(0.13-1.53)	0.198
Diagnostic support specialties (resident)	0.49	(0.13-1.75)	0.275	0.62	(0.14-2.79)	0.533	0.30	(0.04-2.31)	0.247	0.45	(0.14-1.41)	0.172	0.79	(0.10-6.26)	0.823
Background information															
Smoking	1.65	(0.87-3.14)	0.126	1.87	(0.88-3.95)	0.103	3.77	(1.88-7.54)	<0.001	2.02	(1.10-3.72)	0.024	2.79	(1.08-7.23)	0.034
Alcohol misuse	1.46	(0.98-2.18)	0.063	0.95	(0.57-1.59)	0.855	1.21	(0.71-2.05)	0.488	1.10	(0.76-1.60)	0.609	1.51	(0.72-3.16)	0.274
Psychological trauma	2.42	(1.42-4.12)	0.001	4.00	(2.21-7.22)	<0.001	2.69	(1.42-5.09)	0.002	2.40	(1.43-4.04)	0.001	5.23	(2.39-11.45)	<0.001
Psychiatric pathology	2.43	(1.50-3.94)	<0.001	5.29	(3.07-9.12)	<0.001	2.64	(1.46-4.76)	0.001	2.88	(1.80-4.61)	<0.001	4.20	(1.96-9.01)	<0.001
Psychiatric pathology in family members	1.30	(0.87-1.96)	0.200	1.72	(1.04-2.86)	0.034	1.95	(1.15-3.32)	0.013	1.31	(0.90-1.91)	0.160	2.77	(1.30-5.89)	0.008

ble 3. Independent factors associated with mental health outcomes in multivariable generalized estimating equations for logistic regression models (n = 517)

Exposure to COVID-19															
Been diagnosed with COVID-19	1.7 4	(1.04- 2.93)	0.0 35	1.6 5	(0.88- 3.11)	0.1 15	1.97	(1.04- 3.74)	0.0 37	1.21	(0.73- 2.01)	0.45 7	3.13	(1.40- 6.99)	0.00 5
Diagnosis of COVID-19 in family members	1.2 3	(0.79- 1.90)	0.3 57	1.0 0	(0.57- 1.77)	0.9 89	1.83	(1.06- 3.18)	0.0 31	1.35	(0.90- 2.03)	0.14 5	1.19	(0.53- 2.66)	0.67 3
Diagnosis of COVID-19 in colleague	2.0 8	(0.85- 5.06)	0.1 07	3.3 0	(0.78- 14.00)	0.1 05	1.83	(0.55- 6.10)	0.3 27	1.80	(0.84- 3.86)	0.13 1	*	*	*
Attending COVID-19 patients	1.3 7	(0.87- 2.16)	0.1 79	1.1 8	(0.67- 2.10)	0.5 61	0.70	(0.40- 1.22)	0.2 10	1.23	(0.81- 1.87)	0.32 5	1.08	(0.47- 2.50)	0.84 4
How difficult have these problems made it for you to do your work?															
Not difficult at all	Ref .	-	-	Ref .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat difficult	3.8 5	(2.44- 6.08)	<0.00 1	6.6 0	(3.14- 13.89)	<0.00 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very / extremely difficult	22. 28	(8.85- 56.08)	<0.00 1	53. 41	(19.37 - 147.24)	<0.00 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*No one has answered the question with this outcome

¹At least 10 points on the PHQ-9 questionnaire

²At least 10 points on the GAD-7 questionnaire

³At least 26 points on the IES-R questionnaire

Factor	Depression ¹			Generalized anxiety ²			Posttraumatic stress ³			At least one outcome			Presence of all three outcomes		
	OR	CI 95%	P	OR	CI 95%	P	OR	CI 95%	p	OR	CI 95%	p	OR	CI 95%	p
Gender															
Male	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-
Female	1.64	(1.03-2.61)	0.035	2.62	(1.30-5.28)	0.007	3.70	(1.77-7.71)	<0.001	1.89	(1.24-2.88)	0.003	3.84	(1.29-11.50)	0.016
Marital status															
Unmarried or divorce	Ref.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ref.	-	-	-	-	-
Married	1.73	(1.03-2.91)	0.039	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.66	(1.03-2.68)	0.039	-	-	-
Work in occupation															
Intern physician	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-	-	-	-
Medical specialties (resident)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.61	(0.30-1.22)	0.164	0.62	(0.38-1.01)	0.055	-	-	-
Surgical specialties (resident)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.20	(0.06-0.63)	0.006	0.46	(0.26-0.83)	0.010	-	-	-
Diagnostic support specialties (resident)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.58	(0.07-4.71)	0.614	0.60	(0.18-1.95)	0.395	-	-	-
Workground information															
Smoking	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	(2.71-13.81)	<0.001	2.19	(1.13-4.23)	0.020	-	-	-
Psychological trauma	1.98	(1.10-3.55)	0.022	2.61	(1.29-5.27)	0.007	2.12	(1.06-4.26)	0.034	-	-	-	4.01	(1.75-9.16)	0.001
Psychiatric pathology	-	-	-	3.21	(1.71-6.03)	<0.001	2.03	(1.07-3.84)	0.030	2.46	(1.51-4.02)	<0.001	2.98	(1.33-6.80)	0.008
Exposure to COVID-19															
Been diagnosed with COVID-19													2.48	(1.05-5.83)	0.037

Diagnosis of COVID-19 in family members	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.92	(1.06-3.48)	0.031	-	-	-	-	-	-
How difficult have these problems made it for you to do your work?															
Not difficult at all	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat difficult	3.86	(2.42-6.16)	<0.001	5.48	(2.56-11.73)	<0.001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very / extremely difficult	21.86	(8.54-55.96)	<0.001	30.53	(10.52-88.55)	<0.001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

least 10 points on the PHQ-9 questionnaire
 least 10 points on the GAD-7 questionnaire
 least 26 points on the IES-R questionnaire

Supplementary Table 1. Symptom severity of depression, generalized anxiety and posttraumatic stress (n = 517)

Severity category	No. (%)
PHQ-9: depression symptoms	
Normal (0-4)	187 (36.2)
Mild (5-9)	199 (38.5)
Moderate (10-14)	87 (16.8)
Severe (15-21)	44 (8.5)
GAD-7: generalized anxiety symptoms	
Normal (0-4)	260 (50.3)
Mild (5-9)	186 (35.9)
Moderate (10-14)	52 (10.1)
Severe (15-21)	19 (3.7)
IES-R: posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms	
Normal (0-8)	394 (76.2)
Mild (9-25)	60 (11.6)
Moderate (26-43)	22 (4.3)
Severe (44-88)	41 (7.9)

Abbreviations: PHQ-9, 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire; GAD-7, 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder; IES-R, 22-item Impact of Event Scale–Revised.

Supplementary Table 2. Scores of depression, generalized anxiety and posttraumatic stress in participants (n = 517)

Scale	Total scores, mean (IC 95%)	Occupation			
		Mean (IC 95%)			
		Intern physician	Medical specialties (resident)	Surgical specialties (resident)	Diagnostic support specialties (resident)
PHQ-9: depression symptoms	7.0 (6.5-7.4)	7.7 (7.2-8.1)	6.3 (5.5-7.0)	5.9 (4.9-6.8)	6.1 (3.6-8.7)
GAD-7: generalized anxiety symptoms	5.2 (4.8-5.5)	5.6 (5.0-6.1)	4.8 (4.2-5.5)	4.7 (3.8-5.6)	4.6 (2.6-6.6)
IES-R: posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms	15.0 (13.8-16.2)	17.5 (13.8-17.2)	13.5 (11.3-15.7)	10.1 (7.9-12.3)	14.0 (7.8-20.1)

Abbreviations: PHQ-9, 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire; GAD-7, 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder; IES-R, 22-item Impact of Event Scale–Revised.