

1 **HEARING MORE TO HEAR LESS: A SCOPING REVIEW OF HEARING AIDS FOR TINNITUS RELIEF**

2 *dr. Laure Jacquemin*^{1,2}, *Prof. dr. Annick Gilles*^{1,2,3}, *Prof. dr. Giriraj Singh Shekhawat*^{4,5,6}

3

4 1. *University Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Head & Neck surgery, Antwerp*

5 *University Hospital, Edegem, Belgium*

6 2. *Department of Translational Neurosciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences,*

7 *Antwerp University, Wilrijk, Belgium*

8 3. *Department of Education, Health & Social Work, University College Ghent, Ghent, Belgium*

9 4. *College of Nursing and Health Sciences, Flinders University, Bedford Park, SA, Australia*

10 5. *Tinnitus Research Initiative, Regensburg, Germany*

11 6. *Ear Institute, University College London, UK*

12

13 ***All correspondence should be addressed to:***

14

15 *Prof. dr. Giriraj Singh Shekhawat*

16 *Flinders Medical Centre GPO Box 2100, Adelaide 5001, South Australia*

17 giriraj.shekhawat@flinders.edu.au / +61 8 72218918

18 ***Financial disclosures/conflicts of interest:***

19 *There are no conflicts of interest, financial, or otherwise.*

20 Abstract

21 Background

22 Tinnitus, the perception of a sound in absence of an external auditory source, can significantly
23 impact ones' quality of life. As tinnitus is often associated with hearing loss, hearing aids have
24 been proposed for tinnitus relief in literature for more than 70 years. While there is a long history
25 of clinical work and research on this topic, there is a need for recent literature to be reviewed and
26 guide decision making in tinnitus management.

27 Objective

28 The aim of this scoping review is to provide an update of the available evidence on hearing aids
29 for tinnitus, focusing on the effect of sound amplification, to draw conclusions for clinical
30 practice and identify gaps in the field. A consultation exercise was included to discuss current
31 issues that practitioners and carers themselves face but remain under-researched.

32 Design

33 This scoping review was conducted based on the six-stage framework of Arksey et al. (2005).
34 Studies were included if they investigated hearing aids for tinnitus and were published after 2011.
35 Databases of PubMed and Scopus were explored on the 16th of November 2020. The search was
36 limited to English manuscripts. A total of 28 primary research studies were selected.

37 Results

38 Positive results of hearing aids for tinnitus relief were shown by 68 % of the studies, whereas 14
39 % demonstrated no change in tinnitus perception. As the quality of the evidence across studies
40 was variable, no consensus can be reached regarding the use of hearing aids as a treatment for
41 tinnitus. Nevertheless, recent studies were more likely to focus on optimizing the effect of
42 hearing aids and better predicting which tinnitus patients benefit from hearing aids. The experts

43 stated that the findings were in agreement with their view on the scientific evidence and they
44 emphasized the importance of reaching consensus.

45 Conclusions

46 The majority of the studies supported the use of hearing aids for tinnitus relief. Hence, there was
47 some scientific support for it, but the quality of evidence was questioned. Stronger methodology
48 in future studies is needed to reach consensus and support clinical guidelines development.

49

50 *Key words: hearing aids, sound amplification, tinnitus, scoping review, treatment*

51 **Introduction**

52 Tinnitus is the perception of a sound (e.g. sizzling, ringing or hissing) in the absence of a
53 corresponding acoustic source (Baguley et al. 2013; Jastreboff 1990). It is a common experience
54 (10-15% in adults) which, for some (2.4% in adults), may cause a considerable amount of distress
55 and decreased quality of life (Axelsson et al. 1989; Baguley et al. 2013). As tinnitus has no cure
56 and is generally associated with hearing loss, hearing aids have been proposed for tinnitus relief
57 for more than 70 years (Saltzman et al. 1947). In 2013, Shekhawat et al. conducted a scoping
58 review to explore the role of hearing aids in tinnitus management (Shekhawat, Searchfield and
59 Stinear 2013). The authors concluded that some evidence had been provided for the use of
60 hearing aids. However, the authors identified a need for stronger methodology and randomized
61 control trials.

62 The exact working mechanism behind tinnitus relief with hearing aids is not yet fully understood.
63 Proposed mediation factors include reduction in central gain, habituation, reduction in
64 communication stress, altered neuronal plasticity and masking (Beck 2011; Robert W. Sweetow et
65 al. 2010). The maladaptive neuronal gain in the central auditory system as a response to the
66 cochlear deafferentation (i.e. central gain) might be reduced as hearing aids provide additional
67 sensory input which was lost (Noreña 2011). This repaired input can also lead to increased
68 neuronal activity in the auditory pathway, possibly interfering with the central processing of
69 tinnitus (Jastreboff et al. 1993). As such, the contrast between tinnitus and background stimuli
70 can be reduced, facilitating habituation to tinnitus. Decreased communication stress, on the other
71 hand, as a result of hearing aids use, can support coping with tinnitus (Surr et al. 1985). A long
72 term effect of hearing aids that has been proposed through animal studies is reversing the
73 tinnitus-related cortical reorganization (Eggermont 2008). Finally, the model of masking suggests
74 that hearing aids can (partially) mask the tinnitus percept and, thus, divert attention away from it
75 to more meaningful sounds (Coles et al. 1987).

76 Masking can also be achieved by providing additional, more acceptable stimuli with a sound
77 generator. This concept was introduced in 1976 by Vernon et al. (J. Vernon et al. 1976). Beyond
78 masking, the potential positive effect of sound generators for tinnitus might be due to a sense of
79 relief, habituation, neuromodulation or relaxation (Jastreboff and Hazell 1993; Noreña et al. 2005;
80 R. W. Sweetow et al. 2010; Tass et al. 2012; J. A. Vernon et al. 2003). However, as sound therapy
81 is often part of a more extensive tinnitus management program, such as Tinnitus Retraining
82 Therapy (Jastreboff et al. 2000) or bimodal therapy (Luyten & Jacquemin et al. 2020), it has been
83 difficult to draw conclusions concerning its isolated effectiveness (Sereda et al. 2018). The two
84 approaches of amplification and sound generation can be incorporated in combination devices.

85 Previous reviews investigating the effect of sound-enriching devices (i.e. hearing aids, sound
86 generators, combination devices) reached similar conclusions: positive results have been
87 demonstrated in terms of tinnitus relief, however the quality of evidence is low (Hoare et al.
88 2014; Hobson et al. 2012; Sereda et al. 2018; Tutaj et al. 2018). Hence, it seems that from 2012
89 until 2018, no considerable improvement has been made in this field, and as such, conclusive
90 evidence is absent.

91 The current scoping review provides an overview of all available evidence on hearing aids for
92 tinnitus published after the scoping review by Shekhawat et al. in 2013, focusing mainly on the
93 effect of sound amplification itself. Over the last years, considerable amount of research has been
94 conducted in this field. As such, this scoping review might lead to new insights. A last, but
95 essential, stage of a scoping review will be included (and was missing in Shekhawat's review),
96 namely a consultation exercise which discusses current issues that practitioners and carers
97 themselves face but remain under-researched. Finally, this type of review will make it possible to
98 draw conclusions for clinical practice and identify gaps in the field from the data of different
99 types of studies (e.g. randomized controlled trials (RCTs), retrospective studies, clinical gathered

100 data), thus without excluding studies based on their quality (Arksey and O'Malley 2005; Mays et
101 al. 2001).

102 **Methods**

103 A scoping review was conducted according to the framework of Arksey and O'Malley (2005) . In
104 order to collect all relevant primary research studies published on this topic since 2011, an
105 advanced search was carried out using the databases of PubMed and Scopus on the 16th of
106 November 2020, limited to English manuscripts. The title and/or abstract had to include the key
107 words 'tinnitus' and 'hearing aid'. In figure 1, the details of the search strategy are presented.

108 **Results**

109 The key elements of the 28 selected primary research studies are shown in chronological order in
110 Table 1. The studies are extensively discussed in the current section. First, the studies focusing on
111 the primary effect of sound amplification through a hearing aid are described, after which the
112 studies investigating the additional effect of sound masking are presented.

113 Hearing Aids

114 A total of 16 articles focused on fitting of hearing aids in a tinnitus population.

115 **Benefits of hearing aid use**

116 The additional benefit for hearing aid users with tinnitus compared to hearing aid users without
117 tinnitus was the topic of three studies included in this scoping review. Andersson et al. (2011)
118 described retrospectively the benefits of hearing aid use in 52 tinnitus patients and 33 non-
119 tinnitus patients. The tinnitus group reported more aversiveness and less benefit in difficult
120 situations with a hearing aid. As the results were mainly from patients with a low tinnitus distress,
121 extrapolation to the tinnitus population needs caution. In addition, the low response rate (53%)
122 might have biased the results. Furthermore, a comparison between tinnitus (n=12) and non-
123 tinnitus patients (n=12) after hearing aid use was made by Araujo et al. (2016) in an elderly

124 population. The psychoacoustic measures of tinnitus, as well as the self-report questionnaires
125 were assessed after 1 and 3 months of hearing aid use. The tinnitus loudness reduced
126 significantly over time, as well as the nuisance with tinnitus and hearing loss. Moreover, all
127 participants were satisfied with the use of hearing aids. However, tinnitus counselling was also
128 provided during this study. Remarkably, all tinnitus patients were female. This potential gender
129 bias might have influenced the results as it has been suggested that male and female patients
130 respond differently to tinnitus treatments (Van der Wal et al. 2020). The most recent study on
131 this topic was done by Zarenoc et al. (2017), who compared the effect of hearing aids on working
132 memory, sleep and hearing problems. Their sample consisted of 46 tinnitus patients and 46 non-
133 tinnitus patients who were followed-up until 3 months after completion of fitting in a prospective
134 study. The tinnitus group showed a significantly larger improvement in terms of working memory
135 (measured by the Reading Span) and self-reported sleep difficulties. Moreover, significant
136 improvements in tinnitus distress were shown. While Araujo and Iório (2016) and Zarenoc et al.
137 (2017) suggest a positive effect of hearing aid use on tinnitus, Andersson et al. (2011) call
138 attention to the fact that hearing aid fitting might be more difficult in a tinnitus population due to
139 aversiveness of sound. Moreover, the demonstrated positive effects on tinnitus can be mediated
140 by the decrease in nuisance with hearing loss, improved sleep quality and improved cognitive
141 function.

142 The secondary benefits in hearing-aid users who experience tinnitus were further investigated by
143 Cabral et al. (2016) and Yokota et al. (2020). Cabral et al. (2016) showed significant changes in
144 emotional and auditory complaints after three months of hearing aid use in 17 patients, however
145 different questionnaires were applied before and after hearing aid use, which impedes
146 interpretations of these results. The retrospective study by Yokota et al. (2020) evaluated changes
147 in tinnitus distress before and 12 months after hearing-aid use in 66 patients with a primary
148 complaint of hearing disturbance and co-existing tinnitus. Significant improvements were salient,
149 even in patients with bilateral tinnitus who were fitted with a unilateral hearing aid. But for

150 patients with unilateral tinnitus, there was no significant improvement in case the hearing aid was
151 worn on the contralateral side. These two studies show that tinnitus relief can be apparent, even
152 if that was not the primary motivation for the hearing aid use.

153 **Fitting adjustments for tinnitus relief**

154 The effect of notch filter amplification was evaluated in three studies, all comparing this
155 approach to conventional amplification. Two of the three studies did not report significant
156 effects of either treatment on tinnitus perception. Strauss et al. (2015) included 20 patients with
157 tonal tinnitus in a 3 weeks double-blind study. While more prominent improvement was shown
158 for the notch-induced lateral inhibition, the authors did not report whether this result was
159 significant. A more recent study by Marcrum et al. (2020) conducted a comparable study with 39
160 adults with a primary complaint of tonal tinnitus over a period of 12 weeks. The authors reported
161 a minimal effect of either treatment on tinnitus symptoms. However, there was no significant
162 difference between notch filter and conventional amplification (23.8% and 33.3% meaningful
163 benefit according to Tinnitus Handicap Inventory; THI). Yet, the group of Strauss recently
164 conducted a second study with notched hearing aids and were able to show significant
165 improvements (Haab et al. 2019). The goal was to evaluate this treatment on the long-term (i.e. 6
166 months) with a control group being fitted with standard hearing aids. The group with notched
167 hearing aids improved significantly in their Tinnitus Questionnaire (TQ) in comparison to the
168 patients in the control group. In sum, notched acoustic stimulation was successfully integrated
169 into patient's daily routine and might be promising. However, further studies are required to
170 replicate these recent results. It has to be noted that a precise and robust characterization of the
171 patients' tinnitus frequency and its' possible fluctuations over time is crucial for therapeutic
172 success.

173 As tinnitus is often associated with a descending-slope type of hearing loss (i.e. the most
174 common type of hearing loss), signal processing strategies improving the audibility of high-

175 frequency sounds have been evaluated in a tinnitus population. The retrospective study of Peltier
176 et al. (2012) described the effect of Linear Frequency Transposition (LFT) in terms of tinnitus
177 suppression for 74 patients. A total of 81% reported tinnitus suppression. However, it was not
178 reported whether this suppression was significant. There were also no fixed time points for data
179 collection, neither clear inclusion nor exclusion criteria. The effect of another frequency lowering
180 technique, namely Frequency Compression (FC), was evaluated and compared to the
181 conventional Wide Dynamic Range Compression (WDRC) in a crossover trial by Hodgson et al.
182 (2015). While both treatments resulted in tinnitus improvements, WDRC showed larger
183 improvements. It is important to note that every patient also received one counselling session.
184 More recently, Frequency Translation (FT), LFT and WDRC were compared in a randomized
185 double-blind controlled trial by Yakunina et al. (2019). A total of 94 tinnitus patients were
186 randomized into the three groups and wore the hearing aid for three months, followed by a
187 period of cessation for 3 months. The tinnitus perception improved for the groups post-
188 intervention and at 3 months follow-up. However, no difference between the groups was found.
189 Furthermore, the authors stated that the effect lasted for 3 months after stopping hearing aid use,
190 but no analyses were conducted to compare the tinnitus perception at post intervention and
191 follow up. While these three studies reported positive effects of frequency lowering techniques to
192 some extent, no superiority over conventional amplification could be demonstrated.

193 Shekhawat et al. (2013) and Shetty and Pottackal (2019) focused on the fitting parameters
194 preferred by patients for tinnitus relief. The study by Shekhawat et al. aimed to identify the
195 optimized high frequency amplification for a first-fit. A total of 25 chronic tinnitus patients were
196 asked to compare 13 speech files (i.e. simulating effects of a change in DSL i/o v5 prescription)
197 in terms of their tinnitus perception. Overall, a reduction in output was most preferred. More
198 specifically, A 6 dB reduction to the prescribed gain at 2 kHz was most preferred. There was a
199 trend observed in which lower tinnitus pitch was associated with a preference for reduction in
200 gain, but it failed to reach statistical significance. These findings may be limited as it was a lab

201 experiment, and as such no follow up data on the effect of these prescriptions in daily life were
202 available. More recently, the gain needed for tinnitus suppression was investigated in a more
203 extensive study design which was applied by Shetty & Pottackal (2019). The effect on tinnitus
204 perception and speech understanding in noise (SPIN) was evaluated up to 30 days of hearing aid
205 use. Their sample consisted of a very specific group, namely 20 patients who experience
206 catastrophic tinnitus (i.e. always heard, disturbed sleep pattern and difficulty with any activity)
207 even after being fitted with a hearing aid. A comparison between the fitting formula DSL i/o v5
208 and NAL-NL2 showed that higher gain at the tinnitus pitch was needed for the latter formula in
209 terms of tinnitus suppression. Interestingly, SPIN was not affected by tinnitus pitch or revised
210 fitting formula. Moreover, these authors also found that a lower tinnitus pitch was associated
211 with less gain needed, which is in agreement with the results by Shekhawat et al. (2013), and this
212 association was significant. Also, in this study the gain adjustments were performed in the lab.
213 Hence, authors of both studies recommended adjusting the gain at the tinnitus pitch using DSL
214 (I/o) v5 for tinnitus management.

215 **Predictive factors for hearing aid use resulting in tinnitus relief**

216 McNeill et al. (2012) evaluated retrospectively predictive factors for tinnitus relief in 70 patients
217 with bothersome chronic tinnitus. The reduction in tinnitus complaints was significantly larger
218 when participants achieved masking (i.e. hearing tinnitus softer or not at all), and masking was
219 more likely in patients with good low-frequency hearing and a tinnitus pitch in the frequency
220 range of the hearing aids. It is important to bear in mind that the ‘no masking’ group also had a
221 lower tinnitus severity prior to the study. Clinical trials focusing on predictive factors for
222 therapeutic success with hearing aids are warranted, as the tinnitus population is highly
223 heterogeneous (Cederroth et al. 2019).

224 **Enhancement of sound therapy from hearing aids**

225 Whether a combination of two existing treatments for tinnitus lead to a larger benefit was
226 investigated by Shekhawat et al. (2014) by applying multisession transcranial direct current
227 stimulation (tDCS) on five consecutive days before hearing-aid use. A total of 40 chronic tinnitus
228 patients were enrolled in this 7-month during double-blind randomized clinical trial. The patients
229 were randomized in two groups: sham vs. experimental tDCS. As the results showed a significant
230 similar reduction in tinnitus severity for both groups, the hearing aid effects appeared
231 independent of tDCS. Only one parameter changed after experimental tDCS, namely minimum
232 masking levels. However, as hearing aids were fitted immediately following the tDCS sequence
233 and the hearing aids had a strong effect, any tDCS effect might have been washed out by larger
234 effects of sound amplification. It was recommended more recently to have a washout period of a
235 few days between the stimulation sessions because the impact of multiple tDCS sessions on
236 tinnitus being non-linear in nature (Shekhawat et al. 2018). Zarenoe et al. (2016) looked also into
237 the optimization of hearing aids for tinnitus relief by adding motivational interviewing (MI) to
238 their protocol. This pilot RCT included 50 patients with tinnitus and sensorineural hearing loss,
239 but four patients dropped out due to dissatisfaction of hearing aid amplification. Hence, 23
240 patients received a brief MI program as an adjunct to hearing aid rehabilitation, whereas the other
241 patients underwent conventional hearing aid fitting. The authors succeeded in their aim as a
242 significant larger improvement was demonstrated for the first group 3 months after completing
243 hearing aid fitting. While these results were positive, they might have been biased by differences
244 in hearing thresholds and tinnitus distress at baseline between both groups. It was not clear if
245 these differences were significant. These preliminary, but promising results, should be replicated
246 in larger trials.

247 **Overview of results with amplification**

248 In summary, out of the 16 studies described above, nine studies showed significant
249 improvements in tinnitus perception (Araujo and Iório 2016; Cabral et al. 2016; Haab et al. 2019;

250 Hodgson et al. 2015; Shekhawat et al. 2014; Yakunina et al. 2019; Yokota et al. 2020; Zarenoe et
251 al. 2017; Zarenoe et al. 2016), while four studies did not report significant tinnitus relief
252 (Marcrum et al. 2020; McNeill et al. 2012; Peltier et al. 2012; Strauss et al. 2015). The other three
253 studies did not test whether hearing aids' use leads to tinnitus relief (Andersson et al. 2011;
254 Shekhawat, Searchfield, Kobayashi, et al. 2013; Shetty and Pottackal 2019).

255

256

257 Additional masking in hearing aids

258 A total of 12 articles focused on adding an additional masking function to the hearing aids in a
259 tinnitus population.

260 **Evaluation of combination devices**

261 ***Additional benefit of sound generators in a hearing aid***

262 A total of 3 studies focused on the effect of combination devices (i.e. amplification and sound
263 generator) compared to conventional amplification. In 2014, dos Santos et al. investigated if
264 combination devices (HA + SG) were more effective than conventional amplification alone (HA)
265 (dos Santos et al. 2014). The study design was a blind randomized clinical trial that included 49
266 chronic tinnitus patients with a bilateral mild to moderate SNHL. The authors specified that the
267 white noise in the sound generator was set at the lowest intensity capable of providing tinnitus
268 relief and that the noise reduction strategies were switched off. Both groups showed significant
269 improvements after 3 months of hearing aid use. Yet, there was no statistically significant
270 difference between both groups. More specifically, 62.5 % of the first group (HA + SG) and 78
271 % of the second group (HA) showed a clinically significant reduction in tinnitus annoyance (i.e. a
272 minimal reduction of 20 points on the THI). Notably, all participants used the same hearing aids,
273 which were developed by the University of São Paulo. One year later, a study was published by

274 Henry et al. (2015), in which a commercially available combination hearing aid (with an
275 amplitude and frequency modulated noise stimulus) was evaluated in a study funded by Starkey
276 Hearing Technologies. The 30 participants with bothersome tinnitus were hearing aid candidates
277 who did not wear hearing aids in the previous 12 months. Similar to the previous study, both
278 groups demonstrated significant improvements in terms of tinnitus distress, without a significant
279 difference between groups. However, this study showed a trend that prioritized combination
280 devices. Interestingly, the participants also filled out the self-report questionnaires for the
281 situations in which they were not wearing the hearing aids. The results showed also significant
282 improvements for those moments. Moreover, the sound generators did not result in any negative
283 effect on hearing handicap. Similar results were found by Henry et al. (2017), who replicated this
284 study, although the devices used were from a different manufacturer (i.e. Phonak, with three SG
285 options: white noise, pink noise and spectrally shaped noise) and a third comparison option was
286 added (i.e. extended-wear, deep fit hearing aids; EWHA – worn 24h/day, 7d/week). The
287 inclusion of EWHAs was based on observations that many patients using this type of hearing aid
288 perceived their tinnitus as less bothersome. This RCT included 55 patients with a mild to
289 moderately-severe hearing loss and bothersome tinnitus. Moreover, hearing-specific
290 questionnaires and a speech-in-noise test showed similar improvements in the three groups.
291 Although not significant, the tinnitus relief was smaller for the HA only group and the hearing
292 improvement was smaller for the EWHA group. In sum, a superiority of combination devices
293 compared to conventional hearing aids has not been proven. On the other hand, there is also no
294 inferiority of combination devices in terms of speech understanding demonstrated in these
295 papers.

296 The effectiveness of a combination device (HA + SG) for 15 patients with a hearing loss was
297 compared to a sound generator only (SG) for 15 normal hearing patients (NH) in a study by
298 Rocha et al. (2017). All patients had bilateral chronic tinnitus, used the same hearing aid with a
299 constant white noise and participated in a counselling session. This approach was successful as

300 both groups revealed significant improvements at six months follow-up. The authors concluded
301 that the use of a sound generator was similarly effective for NH patients as a combination device
302 for patients with a hearing loss. However, the results might be yet again mediated by the effect of
303 counselling.

304 The satisfactory rates of SG, HA or SG + HA were investigated in a longitudinal study
305 specifically focusing on war veterans with blast-induced chronic tinnitus (Jalilvand et al. 2015).
306 More specifically, 974 patients were provided with a sound generator and a hearing aid at baseline
307 and were followed up for two years. The satisfaction scores for HA and HA + SG increased with
308 time, while the scores decreased for SG. This could be explained by patients tolerating the
309 amplification better over time, resulting in more amplification and higher satisfaction rates. On
310 the other hand, the sound generator gradually became unpleasant for the patients, according to
311 the authors. Most patients reported to prefer a hearing aid only (HA: 84 %, HA + SG: 13.3 %,
312 SG: 2.7 %). It is important to note that in the early years of this study (2004), hearing aids and
313 sound generators were fitted as separate devices. As such, the number of subjects who preferred
314 both in those times was very small. The authors did not find explaining variables such as
315 audiological parameters or tinnitus characteristics.

316 While most studies include a control group who receive conventional amplification, sound
317 generator, counselling or no therapy at all, Oz et al. (2013) administered betahistine to 21 patients
318 with a primary complaint of chronic tinnitus in an RCT. A total of 12 patients received in
319 addition a combination device or a sound generator (both with a wideband noise), depending on
320 the hearing loss. Both groups showed positive effects on tinnitus after 3 months. It has to be
321 noted that this study claims to be double blinded, but it is unclear how they blinded patients for
322 using a hearing aid. Moreover, a recent Cochrane review shows that there is no evidence to
323 suggest that betahistine has an effect on subjective idiopathic tinnitus (Wegner et al. 2018).

324 ***Benefit in terms of hearing and tinnitus***

325 Two studies included in this scoping review did not include a control group in the evaluation of
326 combination devices. Berberian et al. (2017) evaluated bilateral use of combination devices for 6
327 months in 25 patients with bilateral tinnitus and mild to moderately severe hearing loss. They
328 showed significant improvements in hearing thresholds and tinnitus perception. Similarly, Rocha
329 & Mondelli (2020) evaluated the benefit of combination devices (with white noise) over 6
330 months. A total of 40 chronic bilateral tinnitus patients with symmetrical bilateral mild to
331 moderate SNHL were included. Real ear measurements (REM) were performed to verify the
332 sound generator. Significant tinnitus improvements were shown. Hence, these two studies are in
333 agreement with previously described studies as they show positive effects of combination devices
334 but could not contribute to the discussion whether additional masking is beneficiary.

335 **Sound generator, masking, .. What's in a name?**

336 While many studies focus on the effect of sound generators and combination devices, some
337 studies focused more on the ideal sound stimulus to provide tinnitus relief. Searchfield et al.
338 (2016) investigated thoroughly masking at the perceived spatial location of the patient's tinnitus
339 (i.e. 3D masking). Their paper describes three studies: a proof-of-concept study, a prototype
340 evaluation, and a four-month crossover pilot study. A preference for the 3D masking stimulus
341 and less preference for unilateral masking was shown. Moreover, the 3D masking did not have to
342 be as loud as other maskers. Finally, the prototype evaluation demonstrated significant larger
343 tinnitus improvements with the 3D masking.

344 A sound therapy introduced by Widex is called Zen Tones, which uses music that is generated
345 based on a fractal algorithm. Adjustments can be made in terms of volume, pitch, and tempo.
346 Moreover, automatic adjustments are made based on the ambient noise in the environment. A
347 pilot study with the Widex Zen Tinnitus Therapy was performed in 2017 by Tyler et al.,
348 evaluating the progression of benefits in 20 chronic tinnitus patients receiving counselling,
349 hearing aids, tinnitus activities and Zen therapy. They demonstrated that all participants

350 significantly benefited from this approach. Large benefits were observed following the last two
351 elements of the treatment. It is important to bear in mind that seven patients were already
352 hearing aid users, and that not all patients needed the same amount and type of appointments.
353 An evaluation of the additional benefit of Zen tones was conducted by Shabana et al. (2018) with
354 40 chronic tinnitus patients with a SNHL. Also, in this study the patients followed first 2 months
355 of counselling before using hearing aids for 4 months. Zen tones were made available for 20
356 participants (i.e. study group). Significant improvements were only demonstrated after
357 counselling and amplification. Yet, a significantly greater benefit was apparent for the study
358 group. It has to be noted that all patients were fitted monaurally for financial reasons.

359 A pre-market version of Oticon Alta with a tinnitus sound generator was evaluated in a feasibility
360 study of Sereda et al. (2017). The eight participants, who were experienced and satisfied hearing
361 aid users with chronic tinnitus, could select different types of noise (i.e. white/pink/brown,
362 unmodulated or modulated, non-filtered or bandpassed) and three different ocean sounds.
363 Participants reported to be satisfied with the feeling of control and the variations possible. As can
364 be expected, preferences depended on the individual and the situation. Yet, participants reported
365 that the broadband noise was the most effective masker and the ocean noises were more
366 distracting and/or relaxing. In general, combination devices were equally preferred over the
367 conventional amplification.

368 **Overview of results with additional masking**

369 Tinnitus complaints significantly decreased in ten studies (Berberian et al. 2017; dos Santos et al.
370 2014; Henry et al. 2015; Henry et al. 2017; Oz et al. 2013; Rocha and Mondelli 2017, 2020;
371 Searchfield et al. 2016; Shabana et al. 2018; Tyler et al. 2017). Two studies did not conduct
372 analyses to investigate if hearing aid use and/or the masking function result into tinnitus relief
373 (Jalilvand et al. 2015; Sereda et al. 2017).

374 **Discussion**

375 In view of all that has been mentioned so far, one may suppose that hearing aid use improves
376 tinnitus perception. However, the quality of evidence could be questioned. Nevertheless, recent
377 studies were more likely to focus on (1) optimizing the effect of hearing aids, by investigating
378 different forms of amplification, and (2) better predicting which tinnitus patients benefit from
379 hearing aids.

380 When optimizing tinnitus relief through hearing aid use, it is important to bear in mind that
381 hearing aid fitting for chronic tinnitus patients can be challenging, as they require different fitting
382 strategies. These patients might show increased aversiveness and decreased benefit in difficult
383 listening situations with a hearing aid (Andersson et al. 2011). Decreased gain might be needed,
384 specifically with patients who experience a lower tinnitus pitch. More advanced strategies, such as
385 notch filter amplification and frequency lowering, demonstrate promising results, though the
386 added benefits are still debated. Similarly, the addition of sound generators in a combination
387 device has resulted in tinnitus improvement without a clear added benefit compared to
388 conventional amplification. Jalilvand et al. in 2015 stated that amplification might be better
389 tolerated over time, while sound generators might gradually become unpleasant (Jalilvand et al.
390 2015). The ideal noise settings probably depend on the individual patient and the situation.
391 Furthermore, while there is a general preference for the use of binaural hearing aids in tinnitus
392 patients, monaural hearing aids have also provided positive results, calling attention to cost-
393 efficiency (Shabana et al. 2018; Yokota et al. 2020).

394 Predicting those who can most benefit from hearing aids in terms of tinnitus experience remains
395 a challenge. Mc Neill et al. in 2012 found that patients with good low-frequency hearing and a
396 tinnitus pitch in the frequency range of the hearing aids might perceive a larger tinnitus
397 improvement (McNeill et al. 2012). It is clear that, as most studies apply different amplification
398 protocols and reach significant improvements, more research is needed to uncover what works
399 for whom.

400 The use of digital noise reduction and adaptive directional microphone systems has also been
401 discussed in some studies, as they might reduce the potential impact of hearing aid use on
402 tinnitus severity. The idea behind switching these digital features off is that continuous exposure
403 to ambient noise levels may lead to reduction of gain in the auditory pathway. However, these
404 features might be needed for beneficial effects in terms of hearing (dos Santos et al. 2014;
405 Marcum et al. 2020; McNeill et al. 2012; Shekhawat, Searchfield, Kobayashi, et al. 2013; Shetty
406 and Pottackal 2019). Unfortunately, this topic was not further investigated since 2011 to our
407 knowledge.

408 Another ongoing debate concerns the degree of tinnitus complaints when the hearing aid is
409 switched off (for a few hours or even longer). While this topic was also not specifically
410 investigated in the retrieved studies, some authors have discussed the matter. Most of them
411 reported smaller positive results without the hearing aid device (Araujo and Iório 2016; Henry et
412 al. 2015). Nevertheless, Yakunina et al. (2019) reported that the tinnitus improvements lasted for
413 at least 3 months after 3 months of hearing aid use. Hence, these authors suggest that the
414 mechanism of tinnitus suppression is beyond temporary masking and distraction. Another
415 possible explanation is the effect of counseling, which was often part of the treatment in the
416 reported studies, and this effect is not dependent on wearing the hearing aid or not. While for
417 research purposes including counseling influences the results, in clinical practice it is often part of
418 the multidisciplinary approach.

419 The findings of the current scoping review may be somewhat limited by the quality of the
420 presented studies. First, some studies did not investigate the standalone effect of hearing aids, as
421 they provided other treatments during the study (e.g. counselling) and/or did not include a
422 control group. Moreover, some studies analyzed clinical gathered data, without a prospective
423 fixed protocol, which impedes the formation of strong conclusions.

424 **Consultation exercise**

425 Practitioners and patients' view on the current topic are a final, but valuable, stage of the current
426 scoping review. In a Delphi review of 2015, including 19 UK hearing professionals, Sereda and
427 colleagues found that the presence of bothersome tinnitus supported the choice for hearing aids
428 in patients with hearing difficulties and realistic expectations of this technology. Moreover, 'open-
429 fit technology' and 'bilateral fitting' were part of the usual care for bothersome tinnitus. However,
430 the authors stated that a clear link between diagnostic information and recommended treatment
431 was lacking, as well as specific guidelines and recommended questionnaires (Sereda et al. 2015).
432 For the current study, seven experts in the field (i.e. 3 audiology researchers, 1 clinical audiologist
433 with expertise in hearing aid fitting for tinnitus, 1 tinnitus consultant and 2 representatives of a
434 patient association) were consulted about the study findings. In general, the findings were in
435 agreement with their view on the scientific evidence of hearing aids for tinnitus and they also
436 emphasized the importance for RCTs in order to reach consensus. The input of the experts is
437 summarized in the following points:

438 1) The high variability in effects on tinnitus perception is similar to the experiences in
439 clinical practice, especially at first. Fitting and adjusting seems to take longer in this
440 population. It is important to further explore which patients can benefit from hearing aid
441 fitting (e.g. role of age, tinnitus pitch, personality, distress, sleeping problems, coping,
442 motivation, expectations) and what 'motivational interviewing' can contribute to this
443 issue. Moreover, several questions remain on the fitting method itself (e.g. importance of
444 comfort, sound quality, REM). Consequently, this could support development of
445 guidelines for clinicians, as well as for patient associations.

446 2) The use of sound generators is often temporarily and in combination with
447 psychoeducation. Patients often report the relaxation effect of these additional sounds.
448 Hence, the question arises which sound stimuli should be used (e.g. music, nature sounds,
449 pure stimuli, etc). With regards to combination devices, datalogging is needed to uncover

450 how long patients use different programs and in which situations. As patients often
451 report an aggravation of tinnitus after switching the masking off, the risk of sound
452 generators interfering with the habituation process came up in this consultation phase
453 and should be the topic of future research.

454

455 3) As counseling is often an essential part of a multidisciplinary approach, the experts
456 recommended future studies to focus on the content and the extent of the counseling
457 needed in a hearing aid fitting. Excluding counseling might lead to counterproductive
458 effects in their opinion.

459 4) More attention should be given to the different hearing losses (e.g. type and degree of
460 hearing loss) and the effects of different hearing solutions needed, as well as the
461 relationship between the change in audibility/listening effort and the change in tinnitus
462 perception.

463 **Conclusions**

464 As there is a long history of clinical work and research on hearing aids for tinnitus relief, there
465 was a need for recent literature to be reviewed and guide decision making in tinnitus
466 management. Moreover, a consultation exercise, which is an essential stage of a scoping review,
467 was included. The majority of the studies supported the use of hearing aids for tinnitus relief,
468 however, the quality of evidence is low, limiting the confidence in the scientific support. The
469 experts stated that the findings were in agreement with their view on the scientific evidence and
470 they emphasized the importance of reaching consensus. While a stronger methodology is
471 recommended, future studies should determine the optimal hearing solution for sound
472 amplification and sound generation in tinnitus patients. More attention needs to be brought on
473 how it should be fitted and the ideal parameters, as well as on how this can be part of a larger
474 multidisciplinary approach. Finally, the underlying working mechanisms must be further

475 explored, more specifically in order to understand the effect of switching off the hearing aid at
476 night and the predictive factors for therapy success.

477

478 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

479 We gratefully acknowledge the valuable input of the experts of the consultation stage,
480 Prof. dr. David Baguley, drs. Rene van der Wilk, Nancy Van Looveren, Prof. dr. Vinaya
481 Manchaiah, Prof. dr. Annick Gilles, Bert Lecomte and Wout De Coster.

482 **References**

- 483 Andersson, G., Keshishi, A., Baguley, D. M. (2011). Benefit from hearing aids in users with and
484 without tinnitus. *Audiological Medicine*, *9*, 73-78.
- 485 Araujo, T. d. M., Lório, M. C. M. (2016). Effects of sound amplification in self-perception of tinnitus
486 and hearing loss in the elderly. *Brazilian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology*, *82*, 289-296.
- 487 Arksey, H., O'Malley, L. (2005). Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International*
488 *Journal of Social Research Methodology*, *8*, 19-32.
- 489 Axelsson, A., Ringdahl, A. (1989). Tinnitus—a study of its prevalence and characteristics. *British*
490 *Journal of Audiology*, *23*, 53-62.
- 491 Baguley, D., McFerran, D., Hall, D. (2013). Tinnitus. *Lancet*, *382*, 1600-1607.
- 492 Beck, D. L. (2011). Hearing aid amplification and tinnitus: 2011 overview. *64*, 12-14.
- 493 Berberian, A. P., Ribas, A., Imlau, D., et al. (2017). Benefit of Using the Prosthesis with Sound
494 Generators in Individuals with Tinnitus Associated With Mild to Moderately Severe Hearing
495 Loss. *Int Tinnitus J*, *20*, 64-68.
- 496 Cabral, J., Tonocchi, R., Ribas, Â., et al. (2016). The efficacy of hearing aids for emotional and auditory
497 tinnitus issues. *Int Tinnitus J*, *20*, 54-58.
- 498 Cederroth, C. R., Gallus, S., Hall, D. A., et al. (2019). Editorial: Towards an Understanding of Tinnitus
499 Heterogeneity. *Front Aging Neurosci*, *11*, 53.
- 500 Coles, R. R. A., Hallam, R. S. (1987). Tinnitus and its management. *British Medical Bulletin*, *43*, 983-
501 998.
- 502 dos Santos, G. M., Bento, R. F., de Medeiros, I. R., et al. (2014). The influence of sound generator
503 associated with conventional amplification for tinnitus control: randomized blind clinical trial.
504 *Trends Hear*, *18*.
- 505 Eggermont, J. J. (2008). The role of sound in adult and developmental auditory cortical plasticity. *Ear*
506 *Hear*, *29*, 819-829.
- 507 Haab, L., Lehser, C., Corona-Strauss, F. I., et al. (2019). Implementation and Long-Term Evaluation of
508 a Hearing Aid Supported Tinnitus Treatment Using Notched Environmental Sounds. *IEEE J*
509 *Transl Eng Health Med*, *7*, 1600109.
- 510 Henry, J. A., Frederick, M., Sell, S., et al. (2015). Validation of a Novel Combination Hearing Aid and
511 Tinnitus Therapy Device. *Ear and Hearing*, *36*.
- 512 Henry, J. A., McMillan, G., Dann, S., et al. (2017). Tinnitus Management: Randomized Controlled Trial
513 Comparing Extended-Wear Hearing Aids, Conventional Hearing Aids, and Combination
514 Instruments. *J Am Acad Audiol*, *28*, 546-561.
- 515 Hoare, D. J., Edmondson-Jones, M., Sereda, M., et al. (2014). Amplification with hearing aids for
516 patients with tinnitus and co-existing hearing loss. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, Cd010151.

- 517 Hobson, J., Chisholm, E., El Refaie, A. (2012). Sound therapy (masking) in the management of tinnitus
518 in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, *11*, Cd006371.
- 519 Hodgson, S. A., Herdering, R., Singh Shekhawat, G., et al. (2015). A crossover trial comparing wide
520 dynamic range compression and frequency compression in hearing aids for tinnitus therapy.
521 *Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol*, *12*, 97-103.
- 522 Jacquemin, L., Luyten, T. R., Van Looveren, N., et al. (2020). Bimodal Therapy for Chronic Subjective
523 Tinnitus: A Randomized Controlled Trial of EMDR and TRT Versus CBT and TRT. *11*.
- 524 Jalilvand, H., Pourbakht, A., Haghani, H. (2015). Hearing aid or tinnitus masker: which one is the best
525 treatment for blast-induced tinnitus? The results of a long-term study on 974 patients. *Audiol
526 Neurootol*, *20*, 195-201.
- 527 Jastreboff, P. J. (1990). Phantom auditory perception (tinnitus): mechanisms of generation and
528 perception. *Neurosci Res*, *8*, 221-254.
- 529 Jastreboff, P. J., Hazell, J. W. (1993). A neurophysiological approach to tinnitus: clinical implications.
530 *Br J Audiol*, *27*, 7-17.
- 531 Jastreboff, P. J., Jastreboff, M. M. (2000). Tinnitus Retraining Therapy (TRT) as a method for
532 treatment of tinnitus and hyperacusis patients. *J Am Acad Audiol*, *11*, 162-177.
- 533 Marcum, S. C., Picou, E. M., Steffens, T., et al. (2020). Conventional versus notch filter amplification
534 for the treatment of tinnitus in adults with mild-to-moderate hearing loss. In *Progress in
535 Brain Research: Elsevier*.
- 536 Mays, N., Roberts, E., Popay, J. (2001). Synthesising research evidence. In *Studying the Organisation
537 and Delivery of Health Services: Research Methods*. London: Routledge.
- 538 McNeill, C., Távora-Vieira, D., Alnafjan, F., et al. (2012). Tinnitus pitch, masking, and the effectiveness
539 of hearing aids for tinnitus therapy. *International Journal of Audiology*, *51*, 914-919.
- 540 Noreña, A. J. (2011). An integrative model of tinnitus based on a central gain controlling neural
541 sensitivity. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*, *35*, 1089-1109.
- 542 Noreña, A. J., Eggermont, J. J. (2005). Enriched acoustic environment after noise trauma reduces
543 hearing loss and prevents cortical map reorganization. *J Neurosci*, *25*, 699-705.
- 544 Oz, I., Arslan, F., Hizal, E., et al. (2013). Effectiveness of the combined hearing and masking devices on
545 the severity and perception of tinnitus: a randomized, controlled, double-blind study. *ORL J
546 Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec*, *75*, 211-220.
- 547 Peltier, E., Peltier, C., Tahar, S., et al. (2012). Long-Term Tinnitus Suppression with Linear Octave
548 Frequency Transposition Hearing Aids. *PLOS ONE*, *7*, e51915.
- 549 Rocha, A. V., Mondelli, M. F. C. G. (2017). Sound generator associated with the counseling in the
550 treatment of tinnitus: evaluation of the effectiveness. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol*, *83*, 249-255.
- 551 Rocha, A. V., Mondelli, M. F. C. G. (2020). Applicability of the real ear measurement for audiological
552 intervention of tinnitus. *Brazilian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology*, *86*, 14-22.
- 553 Saltzman, M., Ersner, M. S. (1947). A hearing aid for the relief of tinnitus aurium. *Laryngoscope*, *57*,
554 358-366.
- 555 Searchfield, G. D., Kobayashi, K., Hodgson, S.-A., et al. (2016). Spatial masking: Development and
556 testing of a new tinnitus assistive technology. *Assistive Technology*, *28*, 115-125.
- 557 Sereda, M., Davies, J., Hall, D. A. (2017). Pre-market version of a commercially available hearing
558 instrument with a tinnitus sound generator: feasibility of evaluation in a clinical trial. *Int J
559 Audiol*, *56*, 286-294.
- 560 Sereda, M., Hoare, D. J., Nicholson, R., et al. (2015). Consensus on Hearing Aid Candidature and
561 Fitting for Mild Hearing Loss, With and Without Tinnitus: Delphi Review. *Ear Hear*, *36*, 417-
562 429.
- 563 Sereda, M., Xia, J., El Refaie, A., et al. (2018). Sound therapy (using amplification devices and/or
564 sound generators) for tinnitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, *12*, Cd013094.
- 565 Shabana, M. I., Dabbous, A. O., Abdelmajeed, M. A., et al. (2018). 'Counselling and amplification with
566 and without fractal music (Zen tones) for management of patients suffering from hearing loss
567 and tinnitus'. *Hearing, Balance and Communication*, *16*, 41-55.

- 568 Shekhawat, G. S., Searchfield, G. D., Kobayashi, K., et al. (2013). Prescription of hearing-aid output for
569 tinnitus relief. *Int J Audiol*, *52*, 617-625.
- 570 Shekhawat, G. S., Searchfield, G. D., Stinear, C. M. (2013). Role of hearing AIDS in tinnitus
571 intervention: a scoping review. *J Am Acad Audiol*, *24*, 747-762.
- 572 Shekhawat, G. S., Searchfield, G. D., Stinear, C. M. (2014). Randomized Trial of Transcranial Direct
573 Current Stimulation and Hearing Aids for Tinnitus Management. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*,
574 *28*, 410-419.
- 575 Shekhawat, G. S., Vanneste, S. (2018). Optimization of Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation of
576 Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex for Tinnitus: A Non-Linear Dose-Response Effect. *Scientific*
577 *Reports*, *8*, 8311.
- 578 Shetty, H. N., Pottackal, J. M. (2019). Gain adjustment at tinnitus pitch to manage both tinnitus and
579 speech perception in noise. *J Otol*, *14*, 141-148.
- 580 Strauss, D. J., Corona-Strauss, F. I., Seidler, H., et al. (2015). Notched environmental sounds: a new
581 hearing aid-supported tinnitus treatment evaluated in 20 patients. *42*, 172-175.
- 582 Surr, R. K., Montgomery, A. A., Mueller, H. G. (1985). Effect of amplification on tinnitus among new
583 hearing aid users. *Ear Hear*, *6*, 71-75.
- 584 Sweetow, R. W., Sabes, J. H. (2010). Effects of acoustical stimuli delivered through hearing aids on
585 tinnitus. *J Am Acad Audiol*, *21*, 461-473.
- 586 Sweetow, R. W., Sabes, J. H. (2010). An overview of common procedures for the management of
587 tinnitus patients. *63*, 11-12,14-15.
- 588 Tass, P. A., Adamchic, I., Freund, H. J., et al. (2012). Counteracting tinnitus by acoustic coordinated
589 reset neuromodulation. *Restor Neurol Neurosci*, *30*, 137-159.
- 590 Tutaj, L., Hoare, D. J., Sereda, M. (2018). Combined Amplification and Sound Generation for Tinnitus:
591 A Scoping Review. *Ear Hear*, *39*, 412-422.
- 592 Tyler, R., S., Deshpande Aniruddha, K., Lau Chi, C., et al. (2017). The Effectiveness of the Progression
593 of Widex Zen Tinnitus Therapy: A Pilot Study. *American Journal of Audiology*, *26*, 283-292.
- 594 Van der Wal, A., Luyten, T., Cardon, E., et al. (2020). Sex Differences in the Response to Different
595 Tinnitus Treatment. *14*.
- 596 Vernon, J., Silverstein, H., Norrell, H. (1976). The use of masking for relief of tinnitus. *Neurological*
597 *Surgery of the Ear*, *2*, 104-118.
- 598 Vernon, J. A., Meikle, M. B. (2003). Masking devices and alprazolam treatment for tinnitus.
599 *Otolaryngol Clin North Am*, *36*, 307-320, vii.
- 600 Wegner, I., Hall, D. A., Smit, A. L., et al. (2018). Betahistine for tinnitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*,
601 *12*, Cd013093.
- 602 Yakunina, N., Lee, W. H., Ryu, Y. J., et al. (2019). Tinnitus Suppression Effect of Hearing Aids in
603 Patients With High-frequency Hearing Loss: A Randomized Double-blind Controlled Trial. *Otol*
604 *Neurotol*, *40*, 865-871.
- 605 Yokota, Y., Yamashita, A., Koyama, S., et al. (2020). Retrospective evaluation of secondary effects of
606 hearing aids for tinnitus therapy in patients with hearing loss. *Auris Nasus Larynx*, *47*, 763-
607 768.
- 608 Zarenoe, R., Hällgren, M., Andersson, G., et al. (2017). Working Memory, Sleep, and Hearing
609 Problems in Patients with Tinnitus and Hearing Loss Fitted with Hearing Aids. *J Am Acad*
610 *Audiol*, *28*, 141-151.
- 611 Zarenoe, R., Söderlund, L. L., Andersson, G., et al. (2016). Motivational Interviewing as an Adjunct to
612 Hearing Rehabilitation for Patients with Tinnitus: A Randomized Controlled Pilot Trial. *J Am*
613 *Acad Audiol*, *27*, 669-676.

614

615 **Figure legends**

616 Figure 1: Flowchart of the study selection


617 Figure 2: Chart of the percentage of studies presenting a significant, positive effect for tinnitus,

618 no significant effect, or not applicable due to study aims.

Table 1: Overview of selected studies for this scoping review (HA, hearing aid; SG: sound generator)

| First author | Year | Control group | Fitting | SG? | Combined therapies | Sample size | Participants | Tinnitus effect? | Study design | Methodology |
|--------------|------|------------------|--------------------------|-----|---------------------|-------------|---|----------------------------|---------------|---|
| Andersson | 2011 | No tinnitus | NA | No | No | 85 | HA users with and without tinnitus | NA | Retrospective | Cross-sectional, response rate 53%, low tinnitus distress |
| Peltier | 2012 | None | LOFT | No | No | 74 | Tinnitus, HF HL | No | Retrospective | No fixed time points, no clear inclusion and exclusion criteria |
| MCNeill | 2012 | None | NA | No | No | 70 | Bothersome chronic tinnitus | No | Retrospective | |
| Oz | 2013 | Only betahistine | NAL-NL1, WB noise | Yes | Counseling | 21 | Primary complaint chronic tinnitus | Yes, no group differences | Prospective | RCT, all received betahistine, combination device or sound generator |
| Shekhawat | 2013 | None | DSL5 | No | No | 25 | HA candidates, chronic tinnitus | NA | Experimental | One time point, no follow up |
| Shekhawat | 2014 | Sham tDCS | DSL5 | No | tDCS | 40 | Chronic tinnitus | Yes | Prospective | Same HA, no washout period |
| dos Santos | 2014 | Conventional | NAL-NL1, White noise | Yes | Counseling | 49 | Chronic tinnitus and mild to moderate bilateral SNHL | Yes, no group differences | Prospective | Blind RCT, Same HA |
| Hodgson | 2015 | WDRC | DSL5, FC | No | Counseling | 16 | Chronic tinnitus and HF SNHL | Yes, no group differences | Prospective | Single-blind crossover trial |
| Henry | 2015 | Conventional | NAL-NL2, AM and FM noise | Yes | Counseling | 30 | Bothersome tinnitus, hearing candidate | Yes, no group differences | Prospective | |
| Strauss | 2015 | Conventional | Notch | No | TRT | 20 | Tonal tinnitus | No | Prospective | Double-blind study, objective outcome measure |
| Jalilvand | 2015 | Conventional | NAL-NL1 | Yes | No | 974 | Blast-induced chronic tinnitus | NA | Longitudinal | All started with combination device |
| Araujo | 2016 | No tinnitus | NA | No | Tinnitus counseling | 24 | Elderly, moderate SNHL, with and without tinnitus | Yes | Prospective | Same HA, only women with tinnitus |
| Zarenoe | 2016 | No MI | NA | No | No | 46 | Tinnitus, SNHL | Yes, larger effect for MI* | Prospective | RCT, no stratification |
| Cabral | 2016 | None | NA | No | No | 17 | HA users, tinnitus | Yes | Observational | Not all persistent tinnitus (only 58%), different questionnaires pre vs. post |
| Sereda | 2016 | None | NA | Yes | No | 8 | Experienced, satisfied combination device users, chronic tinnitus | NA | Prospective | Same HA |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----|------------------------|----|--|-----------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Searchfield | 2016 | TRT-based sound therapy | DSL5, 3D masking | Yes | Counseling | 9 | Chronic unilateral tinnitus, mild to moderate HF SNHL | Yes, no group differences | Prospective | Same HA, cross over trial |
| Berberian | 2016 | None | NA | Yes | No | 25 | Bilateral HA users + SG with bilateral tinnitus, no severe to profound HL. | Yes | Retrospective | |
| Rocha | 2017 | Sound generator | NAL-NL1, White noise | Yes | Counseling | 30 | Bilateral chronic tinnitus | Yes, no group differences | Prospective | Same HA brand and model |
| Zarenoe | 2017 | No tinnitus | NA | No | No | 92 | Mild to moderate SNHL, with and without tinnitus | Yes | Prospective | Motivational interviewing was delivered to some patients (Zarenoe et al, 2016) |
| Tyler | 2017 | None | Zen tones | Yes | Zen Tinnitus Therapy | 20 | Chronic tinnitus | Yes | Prospective | Same brand, not everybody same trajectory, n = 7 already HA before study |
| Henry | 2017 | Conventional | NAL-NL2, EHWA | Yes | Counseling | 55 | Mild to moderately-severe hearing loss, bothersome tinnitus | Yes, no group differences | Prospective | RCT, same brand |
| Shabana | 2018 | Conventional | Zen tones | Yes | Counseling, Relaxation | 40 | SNHL, chronic bothersome tinnitus | Yes, larger effect for Zen tones* | Prospective | Monoaurally fitted, same brand |
| Yakunina | 2019 | WDRC | FT vs. LFT | No | No | 94 | Chronic tinnitus, SNHL | Yes, no group differences | Prospective | Double-blind RCT, same brand |
| Shetty | 2019 | None | NAL-NL2 or DSL5 | No | No | 20 | Catastrophic tinnitus (even with HA), bilateral SNHL | NA | Prospective | Test of tinnitus suppression during fitting, SPIN material validated? |
| Haab | 2019 | Conventional | Notch | No | No | 34 | Subjective chronic tonal tinnitus, mild to moderate HL | Group differences at 6m* | Prospective | |
| Yokota | 2020 | None | NA | No | No | 66 | Primary complaint hearing disturbance, tinnitus | Yes | Retrospective | |
| Marcum | 2020 | Conventional | Notch | No | No | 39 | Primary complaint of stable, tonal tinnitus, bilateral mild-to-moderate SNHL | No | Prospective | Double blind |
| Rocha | 2020 | None | NAL-NL1, White noise | Yes | Counseling | 40 | Chronic bilateral tinnitus and symmetrical bilateral mild to moderate SNHL | Yes | Prospective | Same HA |



■ Positive effect

■ No effect

■ NA

