| Short-stay admissions and lower staffing associated with larger COVID-19 outbreaks in |
|--|
| Maryland nursing homes |
| |
| T. Joseph Mattingly II, PharmD, MBA, PhD, ^a Alison Trinkoff, RN, MPH, ScD, ^b Alison D |
| Lydecker, MPH, ^c Justin J. Kim, MD, ^c Jung Min Yoon, MSN, RN, ^d Mary-Claire Roghmann, |
| MD, MS ^{c,e} |
| |
| ^a University of Maryland School of Pharmacy, Baltimore, Maryland 21201, USA |
| ^b Department of Family and Community Health, University of Maryland School of Nursing, |
| Baltimore, Maryland 21201, USA |
| ^c Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Maryland School of Medicine, |
| Baltimore, Maryland 21201, USA |
| ^d University of Maryland School of Nursing, Baltimore, Maryland 21201, USA |
| ^e Geriatrics Research Education and Clinical Center, VA Maryland Health Care System, |
| Baltimore, Maryland 21201, USA |
| |
| Corresponding author: |
| Mary-Claire Roghmann, MD, MS |
| University of Maryland School of Medicine |
| 10 South Pine Street, MTSF Room 336 |
| Baltimore, MD 21201 |
| Telephone: 410-706-0062 |
| Email: mroghman@som.umaryland.edu |
| |

- 25 Running title: Characteristics of COVID-19 outbreaks in MD NHs
- 26 Key words: COVID-19, nursing homes, outbreaks
- 27
- 28 Funding sources: This work was supported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
- 29 (Epicenter Grant 1U01CK000556-02-02)
- 30
- 31 Word count: 1882
- 32 Reference count: 20

33

- 34 Brief summary: Maryland nursing homes in counties with a high COVID-19 incidence, more
- 35 licensed beds, a higher proportion of short-stay residents, or lower CNA staffing hours were

36 more likely to have a larger outbreak early in the pandemic.

- 37
- 38 Acknowledgements: The sponsor had no role in the design, methods, analysis, or preparation of
- the manuscript.
- 40

41 Abstract

- 42 **Objectives:** Identify facility factors associated with a larger COVID-19 outbreak among
- 43 residents in Maryland nursing homes (NHs).
- 44 **Design:** Observational
- 45 Setting and Participants: All Maryland NHs.
- 46 Methods: Resident COVID-19 cases were collected for each Maryland NH from January 1,
- 47 2020 through July 1, 2020. Cumulative COVID-19 incidence through July 1, 2020 was collected
- 48 for each county and Baltimore City. Facility characteristics for each Maryland NH were
- 49 collected from time periods prior to January 1, 2020. NH outbreaks were defined as larger when
- total resident COVID-19 cases exceeded 10% of licensed beds. Descriptive and multivariable
- analyses were conducted to assess the strongest predictors for the primary outcome of larger
- 52 COVID-19 outbreak.
- 53 **Results:** NHs located in counties with high cumulative incidence of COVID-19 were more likely
- to have larger outbreaks (OR 4.5, 95% CI 2.3-8.7, p<0.01). NHs with at least 100 beds were
- 55 more likely to have larger outbreaks, especially among facilities with >140 licensed beds (100-
- 56 140 beds vs <100 beds: OR 1.9, 95% CI 0.9-4.1, p=0.09; >140 beds vs <100 beds: OR 2.9, 95%
- 57 CI 1.3-6.1, p<0.01). NHs with more short-stay residents (OR 2.2, 95% CI 1.1-4.8, p=0.04) or
- fewer Certified Nursing Assistant hours daily (OR 2.6, 95% CI 1.3-5.3, p<0.01) also were more
- 59 likely to have larger outbreaks. Resident race and gender were not significant predictors of larger
- 60 outbreaks after adjustment for other factors.
- 61 **Conclusions:** Large NHs with lower staffing levels and many short-stay residents in counties
- 62 with high COVID-19 incidence were at increased risk for COVID-19 outbreaks. Understanding

the characteristics of nursing homes associated with larger outbreaks can help us prepare for thenext pandemic.

65

66 Introduction

Nursing home residents represent a disproportionately high percentage of deaths from coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) for two major reasons. First, they are at high risk for acquiring this infection because of the congregate setting, with proximity to other residents and the staff who care for them. Second, most residents are older adults with multiple comorbidities such as heart disease and diabetes, all associated with higher risks of hospitalization and death from COVID-19.

73

COVID-19 outbreaks typically occur in nursing homes (NHs) after introduction of the virus from asymptomatic and infectious NH staff, with subsequent spread to other staff, to residents and from resident-to-resident. Infection prevention has focused on a bundled approach for reducing introduction and spread through: visitor restrictions, pre-admission testing and quarantine for residents, routine staff testing, symptomatic resident testing, universal medical masks with eye protection for staff, and cloth face masks for residents when outside of their rooms.¹ Vaccination of staff and residents will reduce risks even further.²

81

Despite their vulnerabilities, some NHs have had larger outbreaks than others. Studies to date
have shown that various organizational and facility factors such as facility size and occupancy,
ratings and quality metrics, staffing, and resident ethnicity are related to the presence of COVID-

19 in NHs.³⁻¹² The purpose of this study was to assess NH factors that determine the trajectory
of COVID-19 outbreaks.

87

We seek to add to the existing literature by focusing on the state of Maryland during its initial 88 wave of infections in the first half of 2020. During this time, the state imposed health directives 89 90 to restrict visitation, implement infection prevention protocols, expedite testing of symptomatic residents, quarantine new admissions and test all residents and staff in all Maryland nursing 91 homes. Our analysis is unique in that we compare a set of nursing homes under the same health 92 93 directives by outbreak size and have unique facility data on family satisfaction with nursing home care. This allows us to assess factors associated with outbreak size while controlling for 94 introduction from the local community. 95

96

97 Methods

Design overview: This is a secondary data analysis relating facility factors to size of COVID-19 98 outbreak in Maryland NHs. To evaluate management of the COVID-19 outbreaks, NHs were 99 divided into two comparison groups: those with cumulative resident cases totaling up to 10% of 100 101 bed capacity, vs those with cumulative resident cases totaling more than 10% of beds from January 1 to July 1, 2020. This was chosen over a binary outbreak definition (yes/no), given that 102 103 introduction of COVID-19 was likely dependent on community incidence, whereas outbreak size 104 could also reflect facility factors. NHs that contained the outbreak ($\leq 10\%$ of beds) represented facilities that prevented or managed the outbreak effectively. 105

106

| 107 | The sample included all Maryland NHs with Medicare and/or Medicaid Certification that had |
|-----|--|
| 108 | complete data for all covariates (n=216). Weekly COVID-19 case counts for NH residents were |
| 109 | obtained from the Maryland Department of Health coronavirus website ¹³ for weeks ending April |
| 110 | 29, 2020 (the first week cumulative data from January forward were reported) through July 1, |
| 111 | 2020. County-level cumulative incidence of COVID-19 through July 1, 2020 was calculated by |
| 112 | subtracting the total number of COVID-19 cases in the county NHs from the total number of |
| 113 | COVID-19 cases in the county and then dividing by the total county population. Population and |
| 114 | COVID-19 cases by county were obtained from the Johns Hopkins University Coronavirus |
| 115 | Resource Center. ¹⁴ Counties were then divided into tertiles by cumulative incidence per thousand |
| 116 | population (low: 0-4.00 per 1000, moderate: 4.01-7.86, high: >7.86). Each NH was assigned to |
| 117 | the cumulative incidence tertile for its county of location. |
| 118 | |
| 119 | NH-level resident and facility characteristics were obtained as a flat file for 2019-20 from the |
| 120 | Center for Medicaid and Medicare Services (CMS) CASPER and from the Maryland Health |
| 121 | Care Commission (MHCC). These included facility size: small-<100 beds, medium: 101-140, |
| 122 | large: >140 beds, ownership: for-profit vs. not for profit (government, other), and CMS quality |
| 123 | star ratings, ranging from 1-5. The MHCC defines residents as short stay when facility length of |
| 124 | stay is <100 days. Family satisfaction was examined in relation to outbreak size, comparing NHs |
| 125 | on the question: "family would recommend facility to others" (<80% would recommend, vs. |
| 126 | \geq 80% recommend) using the most recent (2017) MHCC family survey data. |
| 127 | |
| | |

128 Staffing data were calculated as hours per resident day (HPRD) using Payroll Based Journal

129 (PBJ) data from CMS. PBJ data provides daily information on the total working hours of NH

| 130 | staff and the number of residents within a 24-hour period at each NH. ¹⁵ HPRD were calculated |
|-----|--|
| 131 | by dividing total nursing staff work hours for a 24-hour period by the number of NH residents |
| 132 | for the same period during quarter 4, 2019 (October-December). ¹⁶ Then a quarterly average |
| 133 | HPRD was calculated for certified nurse assistants (CNAs): nurse aides and certified medication |
| 134 | aides. CNA HPRD were dichotomized as <75 percentile vs. >=75 percentile. |
| 135 | |
| 136 | Analysis: Descriptive statistics were reported for all variables, beginning with the unadjusted |
| 137 | association between all independent variables and the primary outcome, outbreak size, using |
| 138 | student t-test for continuous variables and Chi Square or Fisher's Exact test for categorical |
| 139 | variables, as appropriate. In addition, NHs were compared by COVID-19 outbreak size in |
| 140 | relation to the facility factors using multivariable regression techniques. Independent variable |
| 141 | selection used forward forced entry, beginning with county-level cumulative incidence, to |
| 142 | control for the likelihood of introduction into the facility. ¹⁷ The Hosmer and Lemeshow |
| 143 | goodness of fit test ¹⁸ was used to assess model fit. All analyses were performed with Stata 15.1 |
| 144 | (Stata Corp., College Station, TX) and SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc. Cary, NC). |
| 145 | |
| 146 | Results |
| 147 | Of the 227 NHs in Maryland, 216 (95%) NHs were included in our analysis with 6 NHs |
| 148 | excluded for missing nursing staffing hours, 4 for missing family experience survey data, and 1 |

149 for missing data on age and gender of residents. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics for

residents and facilities as well as the proportion of NHs with total resident COVID-19 cases

151 > 10% of licensed beds.

| 153 | Descriptive analyses found associations between several resident and facility characteristics and |
|-----|---|
| 154 | a larger COVID-19 outbreak (Table 2). Facilities with a higher proportion of residents that were |
| 155 | male (p=0.03), black (p=0.0005), and short stay (p=0.004) were associated with having a larger |
| 156 | outbreak (>10% of licensed beds). Facility size was associated with COVID-19 outbreak size |
| 157 | (p=0.0002) with larger NHs (>140 beds) almost twice as likely to have a larger outbreak as small |
| 158 | NHs (<100 beds). Higher CMS quality star ratings were associated (p=0.04) with having a larger |
| 159 | outbreak. |

160

161 Table 3 shows the results of six different logistic regression models, beginning with our base model that included county incidence, facility size, proportion of short-stay residents, and 162 proportion of families surveyed who would recommend the facility. Across all models, higher 163 164 county incidence (p<0.0001), larger facilities (p=0.007), higher proportion of short stay residents (p=0.035), and CNA HPRD below 75th percentile (p=0.009) were consistently associated with 165 having a COVID-19 outbreak >10%; race and gender were not significant after adjustment for 166 other factors. A high CMS star quality rating remained associated with a larger outbreak though 167 not significantly so (OR 1.68, 95% CI 0.84-3.35). Family recommendation was somewhat 168 169 associated with larger outbreaks, though this was attenuated by CNA HPRD. All six models passed the Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness of fit test with p-values above 0.6. 170

171

172 Discussion

173 In summary, our analysis found that nursing homes 1) in counties with a high COVID-19

174 cumulative incidence, 2) with more licensed beds, 3) with a higher proportion of short-stay

residents, and 4) lower CNA staffing hours were more likely to have a larger outbreak early in

| 176 | the pandemic. After adjusting for these factors, neither race nor gender were associated with a |
|-----|--|
| 177 | larger outbreak. The highest CMS star quality rating remained associated with a larger outbreak. |
| 178 | |

The geographic location of the nursing home had the strongest association with larger outbreaks.
This is not surprising, as staff tend to live in the same county where they work and staff
introduce COVID-19 into the facility; therefore, adjusting for local prevalence is a proxy for the
number of introductions into the nursing home. Community spread is increasingly recognized as
a driver for COVID-19 introductions into nursing homes, as county infection rate, population
density, and the movement of staff between nursing homes have been described as risk factors
for outbreaks.^{3,19,20}

186

Larger nursing homes had a higher risk of larger outbreaks (i.e., cumulative resident cases >10% 187 of licensed beds) perhaps because they employ more staff, thereby increasing the chance of 188 COVID-19 introduction. This is consistent with existing literature suggesting that larger and 189 more fully occupied facilities were more likely to have COVID-19 cases³⁻⁶. We also found that 190 facilities with a greater proportion of residents who were short-stay had larger outbreaks. 191 192 Facilities with more short-stay residents have more admissions and, at least early in the pandemic, short-stay admissions from hospitals also may have introduced COVID-19 into 193 194 nursing homes.

195

Nursing home metrics reflecting poorer quality, including infection control deficiencies and
complaints, have been associated with higher COVID-19 case rates,^{3,7,8} while higher star ratings
have been associated with lower rates.^{9,10} Surprisingly, higher CMS Quality ratings were

associated with larger outbreaks in our study (see Table 2), perhaps because larger facilities
tended to have higher CMS ratings. The highest CMS star quality rating was associated although
not significantly with a larger outbreak in our final models.

202

We did find that facilities with lower family satisfaction scores and fewer CNA staffing hours, 203 two other measures of quality, had larger outbreaks, consistent with existing work.^{4,9,11,12} Higher 204 staffing ratios may lead to better adherence to state-mandated infection guidelines, thus 205 preventing spread, for example, allowing for more rapid adoption of infection prevention 206 207 practices. Finally, after adjusting for other NH characteristics, gender and race of NH residents was no longer associated with larger COVID-19 outbreaks. This is in contrast to multiple studies 208 suggesting an association between race and COVID-19 cases in NHs, supporting the idea that the 209 210 NH characteristics (e.g. county location, staffing) are more important than the NHs' resident demographic characteristics.^{3,4,9,10} 211 212

Our study is unique as our outcome variable measures the ability to prevent spread of COVID-19 and we account for community prevalence by county in a single state with a coordinated response to COVID-19 in NHs. Most previous studies have used a binary outcome with any case defined as positive,^{3–5,7–9,12} while only a minority have distinguished between small and large outbreaks.^{10,11} We also had a unique measure of quality in the Maryland Health Care Commission's family satisfaction survey.

219

In conclusion, our results highlight the importance of local epidemiology in the risk of outbreaksand suggest infection control precautions can be adjusted based on local incidence. Large

| 222 | facilities with a higher proportion of short stay residents are at increased risk for COVID-19 |
|-----|--|
| 223 | outbreaks despite community infection rates. This highlights the potential importance of |
| 224 | separating long- and short-stay residents and the potential advantages of smaller nursing homes |
| 225 | for infection prevention through fewer staff members and visitors to introduce infections. |
| 226 | Finally, we demonstrate that a high CMS Quality star rating may not be a good measure of a |
| 227 | nursing home's ability to prevent spread in Maryland unlike another quality measure, staffing |
| 228 | hours per resident, that was associated with outbreak size. Understanding the characteristics of |
| 229 | nursing homes associated with larger outbreaks can help us to better prepare for the next |
| 230 | pandemic. |
| 231 | |
| 232 | |
| 233 | Conflicts of Interest: None to report. |
| 234 | |
| 235 | Funding sources: This work was supported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention |
| 236 | (Epicenter Grant 1U01CK000556-02-02) |
| 237 | |
| 238 | |

| | Maryland (N = 216) | % or SD |
|---|-----------------------|---------|
| Resident Characteristics at the Facility-level | | |
| Average resident age at facility (Mean/SD) | 76.2 | 7.8 |
| Proportion of male residents (Mean/SD) | 40.1 | 11 |
| Proportion of black residents (Mean/SD) | 35.6 | 28.2 |
| Proportion of residents on Medicaid (Mean/SD) | 27.8 | 17.5 |
| Proportion of short stay residents (Mean/SD) | 61.5 | 18.0 |
| Proportion of dementia patients (Mean/SD) | 33.8 | 13.7 |
| Proportion of patients who are ambulatory (Mean/SD) | 30.8 | 10.7 |
| Facility Characteristics | | |
| Total licensed beds | | |
| Small (<100) | 67 | 31.0 |
| Medium (100-140) | 73 | 33.8 |
| Large (≥140) | 76 | 35.2 |
| Ownership | | |
| Non-profit & government | 53 | 24.5 |
| For-profit | 163 | 75.5 |
| Chain | | |
| Yes | 116 | 53.7 |
| No | 100 | 46.3 |
| Alzheimer's unit | | |
| Yes | 71 | 32.9 |
| No | 145 | 67.1 |
| CMS star ratings – quality | | |
| 1-Star | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2-Star | 17 | 7.9 |
| 3-Star | 39 | 18.2 |
| 4-Star | 77 | 36.0 |
| 5-Star | 81 | 37.9 |
| Proportion of families who would recommend facility (Mean/SD) | 79.5 | 15.9 |
| Certified nursing assistant hours per resident day (HPRD) (Mean/SD) | 2.1 | 0.4 |
| Nursing home county-level cumulative incidence of COVID-19 | | |
| Low | 28 | 13.0 |
| Moderate | 38 | 17.6 |
| High | 150 | 69.4 |
| Outcome Variable: Outbreak size | | |
| Total resident COVID-19 cases greater than 10% of licensed beds | | |
| Yes | 127 | 58.8 |
| No | 89 | 41.2 |

Table 1 – Nursing home characteristics in Maryland as a baseline in December 2019.

Table 2 – Nursing homes with larger outbreaks: total resident COVID-19 cases greater than 10%

of licensed beds through July 1, 2020 as compared to those without.

| | Total resident COVID-19 cases greater than 10% o licensed Beds | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------|------------------|------------|----------|--|--|
| | No (N = 89) | % or SD | Yes (N = 127) | % or SD | p-value* | | |
| Resident Characteristics at Facility level | | | | | | | |
| Age in Years (Mean/SD) | 77.4 | 8.1 | 75.4 | 7.5 | 0.07 | | |
| Proportion of residents with dementia (Mean/SD) | 35.5 | 13.4 | 32.5 | 13.9 | 0.12 | | |
| Proportion of residents who are ambulatory (Mean/SD) | 31.2 | 11.2 | 30.5 | 10.5 | 0.61 | | |
| Proportion of male residents | | | | | | | |
| Less than 40% | 52 | 58.4 | 55 | 44.3 | 0.03* | | |
| Greater than or equal to 40% | 37 | 41.6 | 72 | 56.7 | | | |
| Proportion of Black residents | | | | | | | |
| Less than 28% | 60 | 67.4 | 55 | 43.3 | 0.0005* | | |
| Greater than or equal to 28% | 29 | 32.6 | 72 | 56.7 | | | |
| Proportion of short-stay residents | | | | | | | |
| Less than 50% | 27 | 30.3 | 18 | 14.2 | 0.004* | | |
| Greater than or equal to 50% | 62 | 69.7 | 109 | 85.8 | | | |
| Facility Characteristics | | | | | | | |
| Total licensed beds | | | | | | | |
| Small (<100) | 41 | 46.1 | 26 | 20.5 | 0.0002* | | |
| Medium (100-140) | 27 | 30.3 | 46 | 36.2 | 000002 | | |
| Large (>140) | 21 | 23.6 | 55 | 43.3 | | | |
| Ownership | | 23.0 | | 1515 | | | |
| Non-profit & government | 26 | 29.2 | 27 | 21.3 | 0.18 | | |
| For-profit | 63 | 70.8 | 100 | 78.7 | 0.10 | | |
| Chain | | 70.0 | 100 | /0./ | | | |
| Yes | 41 | 46.1 | 75 | 59.1 | 0.06 | | |
| No | 48 | 53.9 | 52 | 40.9 | 0.00 | | |
| Alzheimer's unit | | 000 | | | | | |
| Yes | 28 | 31.5 | 43 | 33.9 | 0.71 | | |
| No | 61 | 68.5 | 84 | 66.1 | 0.71 | | |
| CMS star ratings – quality | 01 | 00.0 | | 00.1 | | | |
| 1-Star | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.04* | | |
| 2-Star | 11 | 12.5 | 6 | 4.8 | 0.07 | | |
| 3-Star | 16 | 12.3 | 23 | 18.3 | | | |
| 4-Star | 36 | 40.9 | 41 | 32.5 | | | |
| 5-Star | 25 | 28.4 | 56 | 44.4 | | | |
| Certified nursing assistants HPRD | 23 | 20.4 | 50 | 77.7 | | | |
| HPRD above 75 th percentile | 32 | 36.0 | 23 | 18.1 | 0.003* | | |
| HPRD below 75 th percentile | 57 | 64.0 | 104 | 81.9 | 0.005 | | |
| More than 80% of families would recommend NH | 51 | 0.1.0 | 104 | 01.9 | | | |
| Yes | 58 | 65.2 | 59 | 46.5 | 0.007* | | |
| No | 31 | 34.8 | 68 | 53.5 | 0.007** | | |
| Nursing home county-level cumulative incidence of COVID-19 | 51 | 34.0 | 00 | 55.5 | | | |
| Low | 22 | 24.7 | 6 | 4.7 | <0.0001* | | |
| Moderate | 21 | 23.6 | 17 | 13.4 | | | |
| High | 46 | 51.7 | 104 | 81.9 | | | |

Table 3: Logistic regression models to predict Maryland nursing homes with cumulative resident COVID-19 cases totaling >10% of

245 licensed beds, (n=216 facilities).

| | Mo | Model 1 Model 2 M | | Mod | Model 3 Model 4 | | | Мос | lel 5 | Model 6 | | |
|--|------|-------------------|------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|------|---------------|-------|---------------|------|---------------|
| Variable | OR | 95% CI | OR | 95% CI | OR | 95% CI | OR | 95% CI | OR | 95% CI | OR | 95% CI |
| County-level Incidence (High vs Moderate/Low) | 3.9 | 2.04- 7.48 | 4.38 | 2.24- 8.56 | 4.47 | 2.30- 8.71 | 4.42 | 2.24- 8.70 | 3.67 | 1.76- 7.66 | 3.93 | 1.98- 7.79 |
| NH size: Medium NH 100-140 bed vs small <100 beds | 2.01 | 0.95- 4.26 | 1.84 | 0.86- 3.93 | 1.93 | 0.91- 4.09 | 1.91 | 0.89- 4.08 | 1.8 | 0.84- 3.85 | 2.01 | 0.95- 4.27 |
| Large NH >140 vs small <100 beds | | 1.33- 6.08 | 2.72 | 1.26- 5.89 | 2.86 | 1.33- 6.13 | 2.82 | 1.30- 6.12 | 2.63 | 1.21- 5.68 | 3.08 | 1.42- 6.69 |
| Proportion of short-stay residents (50% or greater vs. <50%) | 2.4 | 1.14- 5.04 | 2.27 | 1.07- 4.84 | 2.24 | 1.06- 4.75 | 2.27 | 1.06- 4.86 | 2.50 | 1.14- 5.45 | 2.00 | 0.93- 4.32 |
| Less than 80% of families would recommend facility | 1.67 | 0.9- 3.11 | 1.3 | 0.67- 2.54 | | | | | | | | |
| Certified nursing assistants HPRD below 75 th percentile | | | 2.33 | 1.10- 4.95 | 2.58 | 1.27- 5.26 | 2.52 | 1.20- 5.32 | 2.49 | 1.22- 5.09 | 2.77 | 1.35- 5.70 |
| Proportion of male residents below median (40%) | | | | | | | 1.07 | 0.55- 2.08 | | | | |
| Proportion of Black residents below median (28%) | | | | | | | | | 1.55 | 0.76- 3.15 | | |
| CMS star rating: quality = 5 Stars | | | | | | | | | | | 1.68 | 0.84- 3.35 |
| Bold if significant at p < 0.05; Abbreviations : OR= odds ratio, CI=confidence interval, NH=nursing home, HPRD=hours per resident day, CMS=Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services | | | | | | | | | | | | |

247 **References**

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Interim Infection Prevention and Control
- 249 Recommendations for Healthcare Personnel During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-
- 19) Pandemic. Published February 23, 2021. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-
- 251 ncov/hcp/infection-control-recommendations.html
- 252 2. Chidambaram P, Garfield R, Neuman T, Levitt L. Is the End of the Long-Term Care Crisis
- 253 Within Sight? New COVID-19 Cases and Deaths in Long-Term Care Facilities Are
- 254 Dropping. KFF. Published February 24, 2021. https://www.kff.org/policy-watch/is-the-end-of-
- the-long-term-care-crisis-within-sight-new-covid-19-cases-and-deaths-in-long-term-care-
- 256 facilities-are-dropping/
- 3. Sun CLF, Zuccarelli E, Zerhouni EGA, et al. Predicting Coronavirus Disease 2019 Infection
- Risk and Related Risk Drivers in Nursing Homes: A Machine Learning Approach. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2020;21(11):1533-1538.e6.
- 4. Unruh MA, Yun H, Zhang Y, Braun RT, Jung H-Y. Nursing Home Characteristics Associated
- With COVID-19 Deaths in Connecticut, New Jersey, and New York. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*.
 2020;21(7):1001-1003.
- 263 5. Abrams HR, Loomer L, Gandhi A, Grabowski DC. Characteristics of U.S. Nursing Homes
 264 with COVID-19 Cases. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2020;68(8):1653-1656.
- Brown KA, Jones A, Daneman N, et al. Association Between Nursing Home Crowding and
 COVID-19 Infection and Mortality in Ontario, Canada. *JAMA Intern Med*. Published online
 November 9, 2020.
- Chatterjee P, Kelly S, Qi M, Werner RM. Characteristics and Quality of US Nursing Homes
 Reporting Cases of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *JAMA Netw Open*.
- 270 2020;3(7):e2016930.

| 271 | 8 | Bui DP | See I | Hesse FM | et al | Association | Between | CMS | Quality | Ratings | and (| COVID. | -19 |
|-----|----|------------|-------|----------|-------|-------------|----------------|-----|---------|---------|-------|--------|------|
| Z/I | υ. | , וסמו שנו | | | Cιaι. | Association | DCLWCCII | | Quanty | raungs | | | - 10 |

272 Outbreaks in Nursing Homes - West Virginia, March 17-June 11, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal

273 *Wkly Rep.* 2020;69(37):1300-1304.

- 9. Li Y, Temkin-Greener H, Shan G, Cai X. COVID-19 Infections and Deaths among
- 275 Connecticut Nursing Home Residents: Facility Correlates. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. Published
- online June 18, 2020.
- 277 10. He M, Li Y, Fang F. Is There a Link between Nursing Home Reported Quality and COVID-
- 19 Cases? Evidence from California Skilled Nursing Facilities. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*.
- 279 2020;21(7):905-908.
- 11. Figueroa JF, Wadhera RK, Papanicolas I, et al. Association of Nursing Home Ratings on

Health Inspections, Quality of Care, and Nurse Staffing With COVID-19 Cases. *JAMA*.

- 282 2020;324(11):1103-1105.
- 12. Harrington C, Ross L, Chapman S, Halifax E, Spurlock B, Bakerjian D. Nurse Staffing and
- 284 Coronavirus Infections in California Nursing Homes. *Policy Polit Nurs Pract*. 2020;21(3):174-

285 186.

- 13. Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. Maryland COVID-19 in Congregate
- 287 Facility Settings. https://coronavirus.maryland.gov/pages/hcf-resources
- 14. Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. COVID-19 United States Cases by County.
 https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/

15. Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Staffing Data Submission Payroll Based Journal

- 291 (PBJ). Published 2020. https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Quality-Initiatives-Patient-
- 292 Assessment-Instruments/NursingHomeQualityInits/Staffing-Data-Submission-PBJ
- 16. National Quality Forum. *Patient Safety: Final Technical Report.*; 2016.

https://www.qualityforum.org/Publications/2016/02/Patient_Safety_2015_Final_Report.aspx

17. Zhang Z. Model building strategy for logistic regression: purposeful selection. *Ann Transl*

296 *Med*. 2016;4(6):111.

- 18. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S, Sturdivant RX. *Applied Logistic Regression*. Vol 398. John Wiley
 & Sons; 2013.
- 19. Chen MK, Chevalier JA, Long EF. Nursing home staff networks and COVID-19. *Proc Natl*
- 300 *Acad Sci.* 2021;118(1).
- 20. Bagchi S, Mak J, Li Q, et al. Rates of COVID-19 Among Residents and Staff Members in
- 302 Nursing Homes United States, May 25-November 22, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly*
- 303 *Rep.* 2021;70(2):52-55.