Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

- 1 Article Summary Line: Healthcare workers are hospitalized more frequently than non-
- 2 healthcare workers when adjusting for age, sex, and comorbidities.
- **Running Title:** Impact of COVID-19 on healthcare workers in the EU/EEA
- 4 **Keywords:** Health Personnel, COVID-19, Mortality, Public Health Surveillance, European
- 5 Union
- 6 Title: Risk of hospitalization and risk of death for healthcare workers with COVID-19 in nine
- 7 European Union/European Economic Area countries, January 2020–January 2021
- 8 Authors: Lisa Ferland, Joana Gomes Dias, Carlos Carvalho, Cornelia Adlhoch, Carl Suetens,
- 9 Julien Beauté, Pete Kinross, Diamantis Plachouras, Favelle Lamb, Tuula Hannila-Handelberg,
- 10 Massimo Fabiani, Flavia Riccardo, Joël Mossong, Anne Vergison, Rianne van Gageldonk-
- 11 Lafeber, Anne Teirlinck, Jackie Melillo, Tanya Melillo, Piers Mook, Richard Pebody, Ana Paula
- 12 Coutinho Rehse, Dominique L. Monnet

### 13 Affiliations:

- 14 European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, Solna, Sweden (L. Ferland, J. Gomes Dias,
- 15 C. Carvalho, C. Adlhoch, C. Suetens, J. Beauté, P. Kinross, D. Plachouras, F. Lamb, D.L.
- 16 Monnet)
- 17 Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland (T. Hannila-Handelberg)
- 18 Italian National Institute of Health, Rome, Italy (M. Fabiani, F. Riccardo)
- 19 Health Directorate, Luxembourg, Luxembourg (J. Mossong, A. Vergison)
- 20 National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, The Netherlands (R. van

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

- 21 Gageldonk-Lafeber, A. Terlinck)
- 22 Ministry for Health, Gwardamanġa, Malta (J. Melillo, T. Melillo)
- 23 World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen, Denmark (R. Pebody, P.
- 24 Mook, A. Coutinho Rehse)

### 25 Abstract

We assessed the impact of COVID-19 on healthcare workers (HCWs) from data on 2.9 million cases reported from nine countries in the EU/EEA. Compared to non-HCWs, HCWs had a higher adjusted risk of hospitalization (IRR 3.0 [95% CI 2.2-4.0]), but not death (IRR 0.9, 95% CI 0.4-2.0).

30 **Text** 

**Introduction**: The impact of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic on healthcare workers 31 32 (HCWs) has been unprecedented. HCWs are exposed to infected patients making them among the most affected professional groups [1-7], with nurses being the most infected [4]. In a meta-33 analysis of 97 studies that assessed infection among HCWs, 5% HCW COVID-19 cases had 34 severe complications, and 0.5% died [8]. Using surveillance data provided by European Union 35 and European Economic Area (EU/EEA) countries, we described the risk of disease, 36 hospitalization, intensive care unit (ICU) admission, and death and identified possible risk 37 38 factors for death in hospitalized HCW and non-HCW (general population) cases of COVID-19. Methods: COVID-19 surveillance in the World Health Organization (WHO) European 39 Region is jointly coordinated by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control 40 (ECDC) and the WHO Regional Office for Europe. Using case-based surveillance data reported 41

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

42	to The European	Surveillance System	(TESSy), we analyzed	the risk of disease,	hospitalization,
----	-----------------	---------------------	----------------------	----------------------	------------------

- 43 ICU admission, and death among HCWs and non-HCWs using case-to-case study design [8].
- 44 Cases with unknown HCW status were assumed to be non-HCWs. All cases with unknown
- 45 outcome status were recorded as 'alive' to avoid overestimating death.

We included all COVID-19 cases aged 20-69 years (working ages) reported to TESSy 46 between January 31, 2020 and January 13, 2021 from countries that had (a) consistent case-based 47 reporting throughout the COVID-19 pandemic (n=15) [9], (b) at least 50% of cases reported as 48 case-based data in TESSy, and (c) at least 40% internal completeness of HCW status [10]. We 49 estimated the crude and adjusted rates for disease, hospitalization, admission to ICU, and death 50 51 by HCW status using negative binomial regression and accounting for country reporting heterogeneity. We used the total number of HCWs in 2020 by country using the latest HCW 52 population statistics from Eurostat [11] for denominator values in Table 1. We subtracted the 53 54 HCW population<sup>1</sup> from the 2020 population statistics from Eurostat [12] to calculate the non-HCW population. 55

As HCWs are tested more frequently than non-HCWs (risk of detection bias), we 56 estimated incidence rate ratios (IRRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for death for only 57 hospitalized cases using negative binomial regression, adjusting for age group (20-29, 30-39, 40-58 49, 50-59, and 60-69 years), sex, comorbidities<sup>2</sup>, and three reporting periods (January 31 to May 59 31, 2020, June 1 to September 30, 2020, and October 1, 2020 to January 13, 2021). We included 60 the time variable in the model to control for differences in testing, reporting, and healthcare 61 capacity during the pandemic. To account for country reporting heterogeneity, we calculated 62 63 adjusted IRRs using robust clustered standard errors with reporting countries as a cluster effect in

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

64 the negative binomial regression model. The level of statistical significance was p<0.05 and the

analyses were performed using Stata 16 and R 3.6.2.

66	<b>Results</b> : Nine countries met our inclusion criteria: Austria, Finland, Ireland, Italy,
67	Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, and Slovakia. These countries (70 million total
68	population aged 20-69, 2.6 million HCWs) reported a total of 2.9 million cases from January 31,
69	2020 to January 13, 2021, corresponding to an overall attack rate of 4.1%. The proportion of
70	HCW cases in the first reporting period (28%) differed greatly from reporting periods later in the
71	pandemic (7.7%-7.5%) (Table 1). The attack rate in the population aged 20-69 was 10.1% for
72	HCWs and 3.9% for non-/unknown-HCWs (Table 1), corresponding to a crude IRR of 2.6 and
73	an adjusted IRR of 3.0 (95% CI 2.2-4.0) for HCWs. The adjusted risk of COVID-19 requiring
74	hospitalization or admission to ICU was respectively 1.8 and 1.9 times higher in HCWs than in
75	non-HCWs (95% CIs 1.2-2.7 and 1.1-3.2, respectively), but the adjusted risk of death was not
76	significantly different (adjusted IRR 0.9, 95% CI 0.4-2.0).
77	Of 127,712 hospitalized cases aged 20-69 years, 8,169 (6.4%) were HCWs and 119,543
78	(93.6%) non-HCWs (Table 1). Of those hospitalized, 147 (1.8%) HCWs died, compared to 9,773
79	(8.2%) non-HCWs (Table 2).
80	Hospitalized HCWs had a lower risk of in-hospital death (IRR 0.3 [95% CI 0.2-0.6]), but
81	this effect was halved for HCWs admitted to an ICU (IRR 0.6 [95% CI 0.4-0.9]) (Table 2).
82	ICU admission was an independent predictor of death after hospitalization (IRR 4.6 [95%
83	CI 2.7-7.7]), which likely reflects risk factors for severity of disease that were not included in the
84	model (Table 2). Being 60-69 years old compared to cases aged 20-29 (IRR 5.8 [95% CI 3.8-
85	8.8]), having at least one comorbidity (IRR 2.0 [95% CI 1.8-2.3]) or being male (IRR 1.3 [95%

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

CI 1.1-1.5]) was associated with increased risk of death among hospitalized cases (Table 2).

87 Being hospitalized between June-September 2020 was associated with a decreased risk of death

(IRR 0.5 [95% CI 0.4 to 0.7]) compared to the early phase of the pandemic. There was no

89 increased risk of death based on the number of comorbidities for either hospitalized HCWs or

90 hospitalized non-HCWs.

Discussion: In our analyses, HCWs in all countries were at increased risk of COVID-19related hospitalization, suggesting an increased risk of exposure to SARS-CoV-2. However,
HCWs may seek and receive care earlier than non-HCWs, thereby impacting hospitalization and
survival rates. By restricting analyses to cases requiring hospitalization, we limited the effect of
differential underdiagnosis of cases in non-HCWs vs. HCWs who are regularly tested in
healthcare settings.

97 HCWs were less likely than non-HCWs to die following hospitalization. Risk factors for 98 death such as sex, age, and comorbidity reflect what has been previously reported [13]. After 99 May 31, 2020, there was a lower risk of dying in the hospital for all COVID-19 cases than during 100 the initial phase of the pandemic. Testing practices changed over time and the availability of 101 better equipment, knowledge, and treatment of cases most likely influenced health outcomes for 102 all cases. During the second and third reporting periods, the decreased risk of death may be 103 linked to improved management of severe cases [14].

In addition, it is plausible that HCWs, because they are close to the healthcare system,
 receive early treatment and are better able to identify clinical symptoms that can lead to severe
 outcomes that affected their survival rates. HCWs in our analyses may also have benefited from

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

the healthy worker effect [15] or HCWs with high-risk comorbidities may have left the practice

108 during the pandemic.

While we tried to control for detection bias by restricting our multivariable analysis to 109 hospitalized cases, it is possible that HCWs were hospitalized with less severe illness than non-110 HCWs. Country-specific definitions of HCWs vary and we did not have data on the professions 111 of HCW cases, so their distribution and data completeness in our surveillance dataset is 112 113 unknown. While misclassification of cases (either as non-HCWs or as alive) was possible, the adjusted IRRs did not change when we restricted the analysis to only cases with known HCW 114 and outcome statuses. 115 In conclusion, HCWs were at higher risk for COVID-19-related hospitalization than non-116 117 HCWs, which could possibly be explained by their proximity to healthcare services and prompt recognition of illness. Among hospitalized cases, the risk of death was lower for HCWs than for 118 non-HCWs, likely due to the healthy worker effect, better or earlier access to treatment, and 119 120 under ascertainment. Further research is needed on exposure levels by HCW profession to fully explore the risk factors for COVID-19-related hospitalization and death among HCWs. 121

122

## 123 Acknowledgments

We would like to gratefully acknowledge all the contributing public health practitioners who provided data used in this manuscript. We would also like to acknowledge the contributions of Gianfranco Spiteri, Nick Bundle, Pasi Penttinen, Andrew J Amato-Gauci, Karl Ekdahl, Antonino Bella, and all ECDC employees and staff who helped collect, analyze, and report these data during the public health emergency response. We would like to acknowledge the

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

- 129 contributions of all public health practitioners in EU/EEA countries conducting surveillance and
- reporting data related to COVID-19. ECDC continues to collect surveillance data and collaborate
- 131 with the WHO to monitor risk factors and advise EU/EEA countries on the severity of disease as
- the pandemic progresses.

### 133 Disclaimers

- 134 The authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this publication and they do
- 135 not necessarily represent the decisions or policies of the institutions with which they are
- affiliated. Data are publicly available through https://www.ecdc.europa.eu.

## 137 Author Bio

138 Lisa Ferland, MPH, is an interim surveillance expert at the European Centre for Disease

139 Prevention and Control with a primary interest in surveillance of infectious diseases and public

140 health workforce capacity and competency.

### 141 Footnotes

- <sup>1</sup>HCW professional categories included: (i) medical doctors, (ii) nursing and midwifery, (iii)
- 143 dentistry, (iv) pharmaceutical, (v) environmental and occupational health and hygiene personnel,
- 144 (vi) medical and pathology laboratory personnel, (vii) physiotherapy personnel, (viii) traditional
- and complementary medicine personnel, and (ix) community HCWs.
- <sup>2</sup> Comorbidities included asthma, cancer, cardiac disease, diabetes, HIV, hypertension, kidney
- 147 disease, liver disease, lung disease, neuromuscular disease, obesity, pregnancy, smoking status,
- 148 tuberculosis, and other/unspecified.

### 149

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

# 150 **References**

151	1.	Nguyen, LH, Drew DA, Graham MS, Joshi AD, Guo CG, Ma W, et al. Risk of COVID-
152		19 among front-line health-care workers and the general community: a prospective cohort
153		study. The Lancet. 2020;5:e475-e483.
154	2.	Mutambudzi M, Niedzwiedz CL, Macdonald EB, Leyland AH, Mair FS, Anderson JJ, et
155		al. Occupation and risk of severe COVID-19: prospective study of 120,075 UK Biobank
156		participants. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2020;0:1-8.
157	3.	European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. COVID-19 clusters and outbreaks
158		in occupational settings in the EU/EEA and the UK. ECDC. 2020.
159	4.	Bandyopadhyay S, Baticulon RE, Kadhum M, et al. Infection and mortality of healthcare
160		workers worldwide from COVID-19: a systematic review. BMJ Global
161		Health. 2020;5:e003097.
162	5.	Barrett ES, Horton DB, Roy J, Gennaro ML, Brooks A, Tischfield J, et al. Prevalence of
163		SARS-CoV-2 infection in previously undiagnosed health care workers in New Jersey, at
164		the onset of the U.S. COVID-19 pandemic. BMC Infect Dis. 2020; 20, 853.
165	6.	Gómez-Ochoa SJ, Franco OH, Rojas LZ, Raguindin PF, Roa-Diaz ZM, Wyssmann BM,
166		et al. COVID-19 in Health-Care Workers: A Living Systematic Review and Meta-
167		Analysis of Prevalence, Risk Factors, Clinical Characteristics, and Outcomes, American
168		Journal of Epidemiology. 2021;190:161-175.
169	7.	Shah SV, Wood R, Gribben C, Caldwell D, Bishop J, Weir A, et al. Risk of hospital
170		admission with coronavirus disease 2019 in healthcare workers and their households:
171		nationwide linkage cohort study, BMJ. 2020;371:m3582.

- 172 8. Pogreba-Brown K, Austhof E, Ellingson K. Methodology minute: An overview of the
- 173 case-case study design and its applications in infection prevention. American Journal of
- 174 Infection Control. 2020. 48;342-344.
- 9. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. COVID-19 surveillance report
- introduction. 2020-2021 [cited 2021 Jan 20]. Available from: https://covid19-
- 177 surveillance-report.ecdc.europa.eu/#1\_Introduction
- 178 10. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. COVID-19 surveillance report
- introduction. 2020-2021 [cited 2021 Jan 20]. Available from:
- 180 https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/covid-19/surveillance/weekly-surveillance-report
- 181 11. Eurostat. Health care staff including health personnel, nursing and caring professionals,
- 182 physicians, and health personnel (excluding nursing and caring professionals). 2018.
- 183 [data extracted 18 January 2021]. Available from:
- 184 <u>https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/health/data/database</u>
- 185 12. Eurostat. EUROPOP2019 Population projection at national level (2019-2100) (proj\_19n).
- 186 2019 [cited 2021 Jan 20]. Available from:
- 187 <u>https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/main/data/database</u>.
- 188 13. Flavia R, Marco A, Xanthi AD, Antonino B, Martina DM, Massimo F, et al.
- 189 Epidemiological characteristics of COVID-19 cases and estimates of the reproductive
- numbers 1 month into the epidemic, Italy, 28 January to 31 March 2020,
- 191 Eurosurveillance. 2020. 25(49).
- 192 14. Heidi Ledford. Why do COVID death rates seem to be falling? Nature. 2020. [cited 18]
- 193 February 2021]. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-03132-4

- 194 15. C.-Y. Li, F.-C. Sung, A review of the healthy worker effect in occupational
- epidemiology, Occupational Medicine, Volume 49, Issue 4, May 1999, Pages 225–229.
- 196 Available from: C.-Y. Li, F.-C. Sung, A review of the healthy worker effect in
- 197 occupational epidemiology, Occupational Medicine, Volume 49, Issue 4, May 1999,
- 198 Pages 225–229, <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/49.4.225</u>
- 199 Address for correspondence: Lisa Ferland, European Centre for Disease Prevention and
- 200 Control, Gustav III:s boulevard 40, 169 73 Solna, Sweden; email:
- 201 <u>lisa.ferland@ecdc.europa.eu;</u>

- Table 1. Number of COVID-19 cases reported from case-based surveillance and COVID-19 attack rate by period, healthcare worker
- status and outcome, EU/EEA countries†, January 2020 January 2021\*

Reporting period		Reported cases		Hospitalized cases‡		Cases admitted to ICU‡		Deceased		
		Total	HCWs	Non- HCWs§	HCWs	Non- HCWs§	HCWs	Non- HCWs§	HCWs	Non- HCWs§
Overall	No.	2,918,100	261,080	2,657,020	8,169	119,543	716	16,946	220	13,857
Jan 13, 2021)	Attack rate (%)	4.1	10.1	3.9	0.40	0.21	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.02
Jan 31, 2020 –	No.	209,993	58,734	151,259	4,557	40,139	387	6,371	102	6,120
May 31, 2020	Attack rate (%)	0.3	2.3	0.2	0.22	0.07	0.019	0.011	0.004	0.009
Jun 1, 2020 –	No.	174,072	13,435	160,637	265	7,714	18	673	7	340
Sep 30, 2020	Attack rate (%)	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.01	0.01	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001
Oct 1, 2020 – Jan 13,	No.	2,534,035	188,911	2,345,124	3,347	71,690	311	9,902	111	7,397
2021	Attack rate (%)	3.6	7.3	3.5	0.16	0.13	0.015	0.017	0.004	0.011

- 204 \*EU/EEA, European Union and Economic Area; HCWs, healthcare workers; ICU, intensive care unit; TESSy, The European
- 205 Surveillance System.
- <sup>206</sup> †Nine EU/EEA countries with consistent reporting case-based surveillance data reported to TESSy for cases aged 20-69 years:
- 207 Austria, Finland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, and Slovakia. Consistent reporting was defined as
- reporting case-based surveillance data throughout the entire study period of January 2020-January 2021.

- 209 ‡One country (the Netherlands) was excluded as data on hospitalizations and ICU admissions were incomplete or not reported.
- 210 §Non-HCWs include cases with unknown HCW status.

### Table 2. Number of hospitalized COVID-19 cases and risk of death (IRR) by characteristics

of cases, EU/EEA countries<sup>†</sup>, January 31, 2020-January 13, 2021\*

Characteristic	No. hospit (% withi	alized cases n stratum)	Number o	of deaths (%)	IRR	95% CI	
	HCWs	Non-HCWs	HCWs	Non-HCWs		3378 01	
HCW and ICU admission							
Non-HCW, not admitted to ICU		102,597 (80.3)		4,823 (4.7)	Ref.		
Non-HCW, admitted to ICU		7,453 (5.8)		4,950 (29.2)	4.6	2.7-7.7	
HCW, not admitted to ICU	16,946 (13.3)		48 (0.6)		0.30	0.2-0.6	
HCW, admitted to ICU	716 (0.6)		99 (13.8)		0.62	0.4-0.9	
Sex							
Female	5,180 (59.5)	45,747 (36.5)	34 (0.7)	2 537 (5.8)	Ref.		
Male	3,529 (40.5)	79,561 (63.5)	113 (3.3)	7 236 (9.5)	1.3	1.1-1.5	
Age group, y							
20-29	545 (6.3)	6,834 (5.5)	(0.0)	44 (0.7)	Ref.		
30-39	1,154 (13.2)	11,059 (8.8)	2 (0.2)	149 (1.4)	1.6	1.0-2.6	
40-49	2,070 (23.8)	19,731 (15.7)	12 (0.6)	561 (3.0)	2.1	1.3-3.2	
50-59	3,245 (37.3)	38,259 (30.5)	42 (1.4)	2,274 (6.2)	3.0	2.0-4.6	
60-69	1,697 (19.5)	49,435 (39.4)	91 (5.8)	6,745 (14.4)	5.8	3.8-8.8	
Any comorbidity							
No	7,196 (82.6)	101,260 (80.8)	89 (1.3)	6,347 (6.6)	Ref.		
Yes‡	1,515 (17.4)	24,058 (19.2)	58 (3.8)	3,426 (14.2)	2.0	1.8-2.3	
Reporting period							
Jan 31, 2020 – May 31, 2020	5,086 (58.4)	45,646 (36.4)	83 (1.8)	4,520 (11.3)	Ref.		
Jun 1, 2020 – Sep 30, 2020	278 (3.2)	7,980 (6.4)	4 (1.5)	212 (2.7)	0.53	0.42-0.67	
Oct 1, 2020 – Jan 13, 2021	3,347 (38.4)	71,692 (57.2)	60 (1.8)	5,041 (7.0)	0.83	0.71-0.96	

\*EU/EEA, European Union and European Economic Area; HCWs, healthcare workers;

IRR, incidence rate ratio; CI, confidence interval.

<sup>†</sup>Eight EU/EEA countries with consistent reporting case-based surveillance data reported to TESSy for hospitalized cases aged 20-69 years, including ICU admission: Austria, Finland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, Norway, and Slovakia. Consistent reporting was defined as reporting case-based surveillance data throughout the entire study period of January 2020-January 2021.

<sup>‡</sup>Presence of at least one of the following: asthma, cancer, cardiac disease, diabetes, HIV, hypertension, kidney disease, liver disease, lung disease, neuromuscular disease, obesity, pregnancy, smoking status, tuberculosis, and other/unspecified.