

1 **Title page**

2

3 **Risk of plague transmission from human cadavers: a systematic review**

4

5 **Short title:** Plague transmission from human cadavers

6

7 Sophie Jullien^{1,2*}, Nipun Lakshitha de Silva³, Paul Garner¹

8

9 ¹ Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine,
10 Liverpool, United Kingdom

11 ² Barcelona Institute for Global Health (ISGlobal), Hospital Clínic, University of
12 Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

13 ³ Department of Clinical Sciences, General Sir John Kotelawala Defence
14 University, Colombo, Sri Lanka

15 * Corresponding author

16 E-mail: sophjullien@gmail.com

17

18 **Keywords:** plague; *Yersinia pestis*; outbreak; cadaver; body fluids

19 **Abstract**

20 Plague can be fatal. Ebola has raised concern of the risk of infection from
21 bodies of people that have died from other dangerous infectious diseases. We
22 sought to estimate the risk of human remains from someone who was infected
23 with plague transmitting *Yersinia pestis*. With no clear direct evidence, we
24 developed a causal chain and carefully searched and assessed the literature at
25 each step: we assessed the infectiousness of body fluids of people ill with
26 plague; we sought for reported infection acquired from human and animal
27 cadavers; and examined evidence of body fluid infectiousness of cadavers,
28 seeking any information about the length of infectiousness. We concluded that
29 pneumonic plague can occur after intense manipulation of the cadaver,
30 presumably from inhalation of respiratory droplets; and that bubonic plague can
31 occur after contact with infected blood in people with skin cuts or abrasions.
32 Establishing a quantitative measure of risk was not possible from the evidence
33 available.

34 **Author summary**

35 Plague is an infectious disease that is of particular concern due to its high risk
36 for human outbreaks. Understanding the level and duration of infectiousness of
37 the body of someone who was infected with plague is essential to establish
38 adequate preventive measures while handling corpses. We found limited
39 evidence that human cadavers who have died from plague remain infectious,
40 both from inhalation of particles generated by handling and by direct skin
41 contact.


42 **Introduction**

43 Plague is an ancient disease that has killed millions in the past [1]. Plague
44 remains a current threat in many parts of the world [2], and was categorized by
45 the World Health Organization (WHO) as a re-emerging disease [3]. Caused by
46 *Yersinia pestis*, a non-motile gram-negative coccobacillus, the disease is a
47 zoonosis with rodents as the main reservoir [4,5], and is transmitted to human
48 by infected rodent fleas, although it can also be transmitted by bites from
49 infected animals and by handling, ingestion, and inhalation of aerosolized
50 droplets from infected tissues, animals or humans (Fig 1) [6–10].

51 Plague may present as bubonic plague, with lymph nodes inflammation
52 following a flea bite or scratch from an infected animal [11,12]; pneumonic
53 plague from inhalation of droplets from infected humans or animals; or
54 septicaemic plague, from the hematogenous spread of bubonic or septicaemic
55 plague [13]. The question as to whether dead bodies are infectious has become
56 current, with the Ebola outbreak heightening concerns. Very little is known
57 about how contagious human cadavers are and for how long, and by which way
58 transmission can occur - and often relatives may use no protection in traditional
59 funeral rituals. Plague transmission from an infected human cadaver can
60 possibly occur through three routes: contact with infectious body fluids directly
61 (through skin or inhalation) or indirectly (through contaminated clothing), or bites
62 from infected fleas from the cadavers (on the body or in the clothes). In this
63 review, we aimed to estimate the risk of plague-infected human cadavers
64 transmitting *Y. pestis* through infectious body fluids to help a WHO Guideline
65 Group make recommendations for protective personal equipment for health
66 workers. As we anticipated that direct evidence from human cadavers is


67 lacking, we developed the approach through a logic framework (Fig 2) and a full
68 protocol prepared in advance (registered in Prospero [14]), with three review
69 parts: infectiousness of different body fluids of people ill with plague (part 1);
70 reported infection acquired from human and animal cadavers (part 2); and
71 evidence of body fluid infectiousness of animal or human cadavers, including
72 length of cadaver infectiousness (part 3).

73



74

75 **Fig 1. Reservoirs of *Y. pestis* and routes of transmissions leading to the**
76 **different forms of plague**



77

78 **Fig 2. Potential transmission routes from human cadavers**

79 **Methods**

80 For each of the three parts, we developed inclusion criteria (Table 1). We
81 excluded cases where plague infection was clearly attributed to consumption of
82 infected meat, as we estimated that the risk of infection acquired from
83 consumption of human cadavers was unlikely. We also excluded cases of
84 vector transmission such as fleas.

85

86 **Table 1.** Inclusion criteria for the three parts of the review

| | Part 1. Infectiousness of body fluids of people ill with plague | Part 2. Infection acquired from human and animal cadavers | Part 3. Body fluid infectiousness of animal or human cadavers, including length of cadaver infectiousness |
|---------------------------|---|--|---|
| Types of study | Descriptive studies including surveillance data, case series and case reports | Descriptive studies including case series and case reports | Descriptive studies including case series and case reports |
| Participants | People with confirmed plague | People or animal that have died from confirmed plague | People or animal that have died from confirmed plague |
| Outcomes | New case of human with confirmed plague attributed to direct transmission from infected human (human-to human transmission) | New case of human with confirmed plague attributed to direct transmission from remains of a person or animal that was infected with plague | - New case of human with confirmed plague attributed to direct transmission from remains of a person or animal that was infected with plague, where time period between the time of death of the plague victim and time of contact through which transmission occurred is specified. - Isolation of <i>Y. pestis</i> by culture from body fluids from remains of a person or animal that was infected with plague, where time period between the time of death of the plague victim and the time of identification of <i>Y. pestis</i> in the body fluid is specified. |
| Exclusion criteria | None | Studies reporting only cases of plague attributed to consumption of infected meat, or cases of vector transmission such as fleas | Studies looking at persistence of <i>Y. pestis</i> DNA in old cadavers that were already buried as well as persistence of <i>Y. pestis</i> in the soil or environmental surfaces |

87

88 **Search methods for identification of studies**

89 We conducted the search up to the 20 May 2019 and identified all relevant
90 studies regardless of language, publication status, or publication date limit.

91 **Electronic searches.** We searched the following databases using the search
92 terms and strategy described in S1 Appendix: MEDLINE (PubMed), Embase
93 (accessed via Ovid), Science Citation Index (Web of Science), and Scopus.

94 **Searching other resources.** We also hand searched the reference lists of all
95 eligible papers and contacted relevant researchers working in the field.

96 **Data collection and analysis**

97 **Selection of studies.** Two review authors (SJ and NS) independently screened
98 all the abstracts retrieved by the search strategy using predefined eligibility

99 criteria and allocated studies to the inclusion criteria they meet, which might
100 include more than one of the three review parts. We retrieved full-text copies for
101 studies remaining after the first screening and applied the predefined inclusion
102 criteria. Manuscripts in French, Russian, German and Chinese were assessed
103 by one of the review authors or plague experts for whom mother tongue was
104 one of these languages, or with the help of online translation. We resolved any
105 disagreements in assessment through discussion. We listed all studies
106 excluded after full-text assessment in the “Characteristics of excluded studies”
107 table (S2 Appendix). We illustrated the study selection process in a PRISMA
108 diagram (Figure 3).

109 **Data extraction and management.** Two review authors (SJ and NS) extracted
110 data with data extraction forms. For each included study, we gathered
111 information into “Characteristics of included studies” tables, comprising different
112 data depending on the review part being addressed.

113 For studies in part one, we extracted data on setting, date, description of the
114 index case, route of transmission mentioned by the study authors, methods
115 used for contact tracing, contact definition, number of contacts identified and
116 number of those infected, and any other relevant notes. For studies in part two,
117 we extracted data on setting, date, source of infection, duration of exposure,
118 route of transmission mentioned by the study authors, time from death of the
119 infective human or animal cadaver to moment of exposure, basic characteristics
120 of cases infected, and any other relevant notes. For studies in part three, we
121 extracted data on setting, date, type of body fluid contaminated, duration of
122 persistence of *Y. pestis* in body fluids between death and contact or *Y. pestis*
123 identification, method of diagnosis of plague in the cadaver or of identification of

124 *Y. pestis* from body fluids, route of transmission mentioned by the study

125 authors, and any other relevant notes.

126 **Assessment of risk of bias.** Two review authors (SJ and NS) assessed
127 aspects of risk of bias of each included study, to consider the study limitations
128 when making conclusions about the results. We used a simple appraisal tool
129 comprising of six questions, modified from the quality appraisal tool developed
130 by Cho et al that has been used for assessing risk of bias of descriptive studies
131 [15] (S3 Appendix).


132 **Data synthesis.** No statistical analysis was possible, and data were
133 summarized narratively in text and tables for each of the three parts.

134 **Results**

135 **Result of the search**

136 We identified 644 studies (616 records from the literature search after removing
137 duplicates and 28 additional studies by hand search) and included 25 in the
138 review (Fig 3). Ten of them addressed the part one, 16 of them addressed the
139 part two and two of them addressed the part three, with three studies
140 addressing more than one part.

141



142

143 **Fig 3. Study flow diagram**

144 **1) Infectiousness of body fluids of people ill with plague**

145 **Study description.** Ten studies report cases of direct human to human
146 transmission of plague demonstrating infectiousness of body fluids of people ill
147 with plague (details in S4 and S5 Appendices). There are four studies
148 describing plague cases from outbreaks or surveillance registries from the 20th
149 century in Brazil [16], South Africa [17] and the Unites States [18,19] and six
150 reporting more recent outbreaks between 1997 and 2017 in Madagascar [20–
151 23], Uganda [24], and the Democratic Republic of the Congo [25]. Overall, the
152 ten studies described a total of 2388 humans who became infected by plague
153 attributed to direct contact with humans, including an outbreak in Madagascar
154 with 1861 cases [20]. All the infected cases had primary pneumonic plague,
155 except for four cases with septicaemic plague [17,25]; and six cases with mixed
156 forms described with probable pneumonic affectation secondary to buboes [17].

157 **Risk of bias.** Six studies had adequate description of patient characteristics
 158 such as age, gender and forms of plague, three had incomplete description,
 159 and one study did not provide such information (Table 2, details in S5
 160 Appendix). In four studies, there were efforts to trace contacts from the index
 161 case, suggesting a certain level of contagiousness of the disease by assessing
 162 infected and non-infected people from the same index cases. Laboratory
 163 methods for confirming infected cases of plague were part of our inclusion
 164 criteria, although only partially detailed in two studies. We judged that the route
 165 of transmission and that the causality of it for plague infection was plausible in
 166 eight studies. In two studies (including 50 cases), this was difficult to judge
 167 based on the limited information provided.

168
 169 **Table 2.** Risk of bias summary for studies on human to human transmission of
 170 plague (part 1)

| Study ID | Were patient characteristics adequately reported? | Was there some effort to trace all contacts from the index case? | Were the methods used for tracing contacts adequate? | Were the laboratory methods used for defining a confirmed case of plague reliable? | Was the route of transmission plausible? | Was the cause-effect of transmission plausible? |
|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| Almeida 1981 | Partial | Unknown | Not applicable | Yes | No | Unknown |
| Begier 2006 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Partial | Yes | Yes |
| Bertherat 2011 | No | Partial | Unknown | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Evans 2018 | Yes | Unknown | Not applicable | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Kellogg 1920 | Yes | Unknown | Not applicable | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Kugeler 2015 | Partial | Unknown | Not applicable | Yes | Unknown | Unknown |
| Rabaan 2019 | Partial | Partial | Unknown | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Ramasindrazana 2017 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Ratsitorahina 2000 | Yes | Yes | Unknown | Partial | Yes | Yes |
| Richard 2015 | Yes | Yes | Unknown | Yes | Yes | Yes |

171

172 **Findings.** Bloody sputum was described from the index case [22,24], from
173 infected contacts [17,21], and from both [23]. The study authors attributed the
174 route of transmission from the index cases to the infected contacts to
175 ‘respiratory droplets’ or ‘direct transmission through infective cough droplets’ in
176 four studies [19,20,22,24] or to ‘aerosolized bacteria spread through coughing’
177 or ‘aerosol by droplets or by contaminated dust’ in two studies [23,25]. Two
178 studies concluded that the infected cases were consistent with ‘human to
179 human transmission’ without further details [18,21], and one study did not
180 provide any suggestion for the route of transmission of plague [16]. There were
181 not enough details provided by the study authors to understand whether the six
182 mixed cases and the four cases of septicaemic plague were attributed to human
183 to human transmission [17,25].

184 To assess how contagious are people sick with plague, we extracted data about
185 people in contact with plague cases but who did not get infected. Across four
186 studies that provided such information, 51 contacts got infected from five index
187 cases (although some infected contacts acted as index cases for additional
188 infected cases), and 341 contacts who were exposed to the five index cases did
189 not develop plague [21–24]. The attack rate estimated by the study authors
190 were 8%, 8.4% and 55% [22–24]. Transmission rate was assessed in a single
191 study and reported to be 0.41 susceptible people per day [21]. While contacts
192 did not receive chemoprophylaxis in one study [24], post-exposure prophylaxis
193 was given to all contacts in one outbreak [22], to 39/41 contacts in another
194 outbreak [23], and to 35 contacts with positive serology in a third outbreak [21].
195 When described, infected contacts had been in close and prolonged exposure

196 to index cases, such as close family members, main care takers including
197 nurses and physicians, or other family members or villagers staying at the same
198 house as the plague cases [17,19,22–24]. Four studies from South Africa and
199 Madagascar attributed plague transmission to activities related to funerals, such
200 as ‘preparing bodies for funerals’ or ‘active participation in the funerals’ [17,20–
201 22]. Evans et al added that ‘the disease is transmitted to relatives, friends, or
202 caregivers but not to more loosely associated contacts’ [17].

203 Contacts exposed to plague but who were not infected included family
204 members who slept in the same bed as the plague patient until the night before
205 their death [23,24] including persons who slept with their heads at a distance of
206 less than two meters from the coughing plague patient [24].

207 **Summary:** The evidence shows that direct transmission through infective
208 cough droplets from people ill with plague may occur, but sometimes this is only
209 after close and prolonged exposure. We found no publication describing
210 interhuman transmission of plague through other body fluids such as blood
211 (although respiratory droplets from pneumonic cases come from grossly bloody
212 sputum), urine, faeces, sweat or bubo pus.

213 **2) Plague infection acquired from human and animal cadavers**

214 **Description of studies.** We included 16 studies (details in S6 and S7
215 Appendices), all retrospective case reports or series published between 1930
216 and 2019. In total, 250 cases of plague were described from seven countries:
217 China (n=114) [26–28], the United States (n=96) [8,29–35], Libya (n=17) [36],
218 Kazakhstan (n=12) [37], Madagascar (n=9) [22], South Africa (n=1) [38], and
219 Saudi Arabia (n=1) [39]. More males were infected, with a wide age range from
220 1 to 69 years. There were 125 cases diagnosed with primary bubonic plague

221 (mostly axillary buboes), 70 with primary pneumonic plague, eight with primary
 222 septicaemic plague and two with primary intestinal plague.

223 **Risk of bias.** Most of the included studies adequately described the main
 224 characteristics of participants (Table 3, details in S7 Appendix). Efforts to trace
 225 all contacts from the index case was unknown for 12 studies. This means that
 226 there was no information on whether other persons were exposed to the source
 227 of infection without getting infected, which makes judgment on assessing
 228 contagiousness of cadavers difficult. In eight studies, laboratory methods used
 229 for defining confirmed cases of plague were unknown or partial for most of the
 230 cases described by each study. In these cases, plague diagnosis was however
 231 highly suspected due to clinical and epidemiological data. In 11 studies, the
 232 route of transmission and the cause-effect from the source of infection to the
 233 infected person were highly plausible. In the remaining studies, while the source
 234 and route of transmission can eventually be plausible, there was a lack of
 235 information to make this judgment, and in some case series, transmission via
 236 fleas might not have been fully excluded for all cases.

237

238 **Table 3.** Risk of bias summary for studies on plague acquired from human or
 239 animal cadavers (part 2)

| Study ID | Were patient characteristics adequately reported? | Was there some effort to trace all contacts from the index case? | Were the methods used for tracing contacts adequate? | Were the laboratory methods used for defining a confirmed case of plague reliable? | Was the route of transmission plausible? | Was the cause-effect of transmission plausible? |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| CDC 1992 | Yes | Unknown | Not applicable | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Christie 1980 - case series 1 | Partial | Unknown | Not applicable | Partial | Yes | Yes |
| Christie 1980 - case series 2 | Partial | Unknown | Not applicable | Partial | Partial | Partial |

| | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Gage 2000 | Yes | Unknown | Not applicable | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Ge 2015 - case report | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Ge 2015 - case series | Partial | Unknown | Not applicable | Unknown | Partial | Partial |
| Kartman 1960 | Partial | Unknown | Not applicable | No | Yes | Yes |
| Kartman 1970 | Partial | Unknown | Not applicable | Unknown | Yes | Yes |
| Kugeler 2015 | No | Unknown | Not applicable | Unknown | Partial | Partial |
| Mitchell 1930 | Yes | Unknown | Not applicable | Unknown | Yes | Yes |
| Poland 1973 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Ratsitorahina 2000 | Yes | Yes | Unknown | Yes | Yes | Partial |
| Saeed 2005 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Sagiev 2019 | No | Unknown | Not applicable | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| Von Reyn 1976 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Wong 2009 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Wu 2009 | Yes | Unknown | Not applicable | Yes | Yes | Partial |
| Zhang 2007 | Partial | Unknown | Not applicable | Partial | Unknown | Partial |

240

241 **Findings.** Human cadavers were the source of exposure in two studies
242 including 10 cases [22,38] and in a third study of 32 cases with no
243 disaggregated data between contact with alive humans and human cadavers
244 [28]. In the remaining studies, the exposure was animal cadavers including
245 camels, goats, cats, bobcat, fox, coyote, mountain lion, Tibetan sheep,
246 marmots, dogs, rabbits, squirrels, and other rodents. In most cases, the type of
247 exposure consisted of activities related with the animal cadaver such as killing
248 the animal, skinning the cadaver, or performing autopsy of the infected animal,
249 which require a relatively long and close exposure with the source of infection.
250 It was not possible to disaggregate data on the cause of transmission between
251 handling or consuming the infected animal in three studies (56 cases)
252 [27,28,36], to affirm whether plague transmission was due to the contact with a

253 human or animal cadaver or to any alternative routes in four studies (45 cases)
254 [22,28,29,36] and to ascertain whether plague was transmitted from a cadaver
255 or from interhuman contact in three studies (44 cases) [22,28,36].
256 Only one study directly specified the duration of time between the death of the
257 infected animal and the start of exposure, being around 35 hours [8]. Three
258 studies gave enough details to affirm that the time of exposure was within 24
259 hours following the death of the infected animal [22,34,39]. In 26 additional
260 cases from three studies, we can deduce an immediate exposure from infected
261 animals that were just killed by the cases who developed plague [31,32,36].
262 Among cases with bubonic plague, five cases from four studies had open skin
263 lesions while handling the cadaver with bare hands [32,34,35,39]. Other
264 persons who had no skin lesions were exposed to the same source of infection
265 but were not infected [34,35]. While another study associated the route of
266 transmission to “direct contact from infectious body fluids of the cat cadaver”
267 [30], there is no further details of the entry point of the plague bacilli in the
268 remaining cases, other than “direct handling” of the cadavers. Most cases of
269 bubonic plague were axillary, which is consistent with the inoculation of *Y.*
270 *pestis* through cuts in the hands or arms. Among cases who developed primary
271 pneumonic plague, one study attributed transmission via inhalation of aerosols
272 generated while handling the cadaver during the necropsy, and another study
273 mentioned “exposure to aerosols” [8,26]. A third study attributed the pneumonic
274 infection as a result of “active participation in the funeral ceremonies” without
275 providing further details [22].
276 **Summary.** Direct skin contact with blood could cause bubonic and septicaemic
277 plague. The risk is increased in people with cuts or skin abrasions. We do not

278 know about infectiousness of other body fluids. Potentially pneumonic plague
279 could be transmitted by actions that provoke aerosolization of infected body
280 fluids, but these will require considerable manipulation of the cadaver.

281 **3) Infectiousness of body fluids of animal or human cadavers, including**
282 **length of cadaver infectiousness**

283 We identified two studies that detailed the length of infectiousness of plague-
284 infected animals and none in human cadavers. One experimental study
285 conducted in Madagascar five decades ago aimed to isolate *Y. pestis* from
286 rodent cadavers that died from septicaemic plague and were buried in laterite
287 alone or in laterite enriched with manure to simulate local conditions [40]. *Y.*
288 *pestis* was successfully isolated after five and ten days but failed to be isolated
289 at 15 days after death of the rodents. The second study, already described
290 above for objective 2, reported the case of a wildlife biologist who has been in
291 contact with a mountain lion around 35 hours after the death of the animal [8].
292 The time of death was identified from a 'mortality signal' transmitted from the
293 animal's radio-collar after six hours of no movement. *Y. pestis* was isolated by
294 culture and subtyped by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis from the animal's
295 tissues. The same strain was isolated from the biologist, supporting the
296 mountain lion as the source of the biologist's infection. Both studies were
297 judged to be at low risk of introducing bias.

298 In summary, we do not know for how long *Y. pestis* can survive in body fluids of
299 people that die from plague, and we thus do not know for how long the cadaver
300 is contagious. One case of infection from an animal 35 hours after death means
301 whatever the size of the risk, it may well extend beyond 24 hours.

302 **Discussion**

303 Pandemics of plague reported historically suggest that the risk of human-to-
304 human transmission in this context is high for pneumonic plague but this has
305 been contested.

306 Indeed, a systematic review summarized data from historical records and
307 contemporary experience, and based on qualitative analysis concluded that
308 “pneumonic plague is not easily transmitted from one person to another” [41].
309 Quantitative assessment of transmissibility of plague has also been performed,
310 using mathematical models based on historical data [42,43]. Our data, which
311 include most recent outbreaks (older reports usually do not provide enough
312 information to allow inclusion in the review) support that pneumonic plague is
313 transmissible from human to human, sometimes only after close and prolonged
314 exposure. Historical records that were not eligible for this review constitute a
315 useful additional source of information related to transmissibility of pneumonic
316 plague. Some of them reported experiments that demonstrated the isolation of
317 *Y. pestis* from sputum of people sick with pneumonic plague [44,45].


318 Looking at the evidence gathered in this review, respiratory secretions
319 consisting of blood-stained sputum were clearly reported as the source of
320 plague transmission. From studies describing plague acquired from cadavers,
321 the type of contaminated body fluids causing plague transmission was not
322 clearly described, although it could mostly be assumed to be blood. Indeed, the
323 activities described to be the cause of infection included skinning of the animal,
324 cutting carcasses, flaying, post-mortem examinations, all of them involving
325 contact with blood. However, it could potentially be linked with other body fluids
326 infected such as urine, faeces, gastric content or bubo pus. We did not find

327 evidence that the disease can be transmitted by body fluids other than sputum
328 and blood. In addition, we do not know for how long *Y. pestis* survive in the
329 body fluids, and for how long the cadaver is contagious. From real life
330 situations, we found only one study describing plague transmission from an
331 animal that was dead for around 35 hours before the first contact with the
332 infected human.

333 The included studies described two main routes of transmission. The first one is
334 the inhalation of particles, which can result in pneumonic plague. From alive
335 sick persons, contaminated droplets are generated by cough associated with
336 bloody sputum. From cadavers, contaminated droplets will no longer be
337 produced by cough but can be generated from body fluids – mainly blood – by
338 handling the cadaver, for example when performing a necropsy or when
339 preparing body for funerals. In any case, a close and prolonged exposure is
340 probably needed for transmission of the disease. The second route of
341 transmission is through handling cadavers, described for prolonged exposure
342 involving invasive procedures. Skin cuts or abrasion in the hands are described
343 in some of the persons who got infected. In other studies, this was not
344 commented on. Thus, it is difficult to know whether transmission through intact
345 skin can occur, although from first principles this seems unlikely. We did not find
346 any study describing plague acquired through contact with mucosa.

347 In some cases, it is difficult to discriminate between the different routes of
348 transmission from plague-infected human cadavers (body fluids, clothing
349 contaminated with body fluids, or fleas). In part 1, we showed that human-to-
350 human transmission was possible. All infected cases presented primary
351 pneumonic plague, which suggests inhalation of particles to be the source of

352 infection, while fleas were very unlikely to be involved. In part 2, we reported
353 plague transmission from human and animal cadavers. Most cases were
354 described from animals, with no involvement of potential contaminated clothing
355 in the transmission of the disease. It is difficult to totally exclude the possibility
356 that some of the infected cases presenting with bubonic plague were
357 contaminated by fleas. While most cases were contaminated from animals with
358 no involvement of clothes that could contain fleas, there could have been fleas
359 on the corpses. However, our inclusion criteria limited the likelihood of plague
360 transmission by fleas and we appraised the plausibility of the route of
361 transmission (human to human or from corpses) for each included study. We
362 excluded cases related with flea or unknown transmission [29] and highlighted
363 when there was evidence of absence of flea bite [32], or at contrary, when
364 transmission via flea might not have been fully excluded [33].
365 In conclusion, there is risk of plague transmission from human cadavers (Fig 4).
366 Inhalation of respiratory droplets produced by intense manipulation of the
367 cadaver could result in pneumonic plague, probably following close and
368 prolonged exposure. Direct skin contact of infected body fluids (blood but
369 unclear for other body fluids) could cause bubonic plague and eventually
370 septicaemic plague when the persons present cuts in their hands.
371



372

373 **Fig 4. Summary of the transmission routes evidenced by the literature**

374 **gathered in this review**

375 **Acknowledgments**

376 We acknowledge Xin Wang for retrieving the full text manuscripts in Chinese,
377 for summarizing the Chinese manuscripts so that SJ and NS could assess
378 eligibility of the study, and for conducting data extraction of the two included
379 Chinese studies. We also acknowledge Vladimir Dubyanskiy for retrieving some
380 of the full text manuscripts in Russian and for providing a brief summary of the
381 Russian papers in order to assess eligibility. We are grateful to Vittoria Lutje,
382 the Information Retrieval Specialist of the Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group
383 for her help with the literature search strategy and for conducting the search.

384 **References**

- 385 1. Rasmussen S, Allentoft ME, Nielsen K, Nielsen R, Kristiansen K,
386 Willerslev E. Early Divergent Strains of *Yersinia pestis* in Eurasia Article
387 Early Divergent Strains of *Yersinia pestis* in Eurasia 5,000 Years Ago.
388 *Cell*. 2015;163:571–82.
- 389 2. World Health Organization. Interregional meeting on prevention and
390 control of plague Interregional meeting on prevention and control. 2008.
- 391 3. World Health Organization SEARO. Operational Guidelines on Plague
392 Surveillance, Diagnosis, Prevention and Control. 2009.
- 393 4. Eisen RJ, Gage KL. Review article Adaptive strategies of *Yersinia pestis*
394 to persist during inter-epizootic and epizootic periods. *Vet Res*.
395 2009;40:1–14.
- 396 5. Pollitzer R. Plague, World Health Organization Monographic Series. 1954.
- 397 6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Morbidity and Mortality
398 Weekly Report. Fatal Laboratory-Acquired Infection with an Attenuated

- 399 *Yersinia pestis* Strain - Chicago, Illinois, 2009. Vol. 60. 2011.
- 400 7. Weniger BG, Warren AJ, Forseth V, Creelman T, Gorton J, Barnes AM.
401 Human Bubonic Plague Transmitted by a Domestic Cat Scratch. JAMA.
402 1984;251(7):927–8.
- 403 8. Wong D, Wild MA, Walburger MA, Higgins CL, Callahan M, Czarnecki LA,
404 et al. Primary Pneumonic Plague Contracted from a Mountain Lion
405 Carcass. Clin Infect Dis. 2009;49:e33-8.
- 406 9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Plague [Internet]. 2019 [cited
407 2019 Apr 15]. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/plague/index.html>
- 408 10. Bramanti B, Stenseth NC, Walloe L, Lei X. Plague: a disease which
409 changed the path of human civilization. In: Yang R, Anisimov A, editors.
410 *Yersinia pestis* : Retrospective and Perspective. Springer Nature; 2016. p.
411 11–5.
- 412 11. Arifuzzaman M, Ang W XG, Choi HW, Nilles ML, John ALS, Abraham SN.
413 Necroptosis of infiltrated macrophages drives *Yersinia pestis* dispersal
414 within buboes. JCI Insight. 2018;3(18):e122188.
- 415 12. Prentice MB, Rahalison L. Plague. Lancet. 2007;369:1196–207.
- 416 13. Center for Infectious Disease Research and Policy. Plague. Agent and
417 pathogenesis [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2019 Apr 15]. Available from:
418 <http://www.cidrap.umn.edu/infectious-disease-topics/plague>
- 419 14. Jullien S, Silva NL da, Garner P. Risk of plague transmission from human
420 cadavers [Internet]. National Institute for Health Research. 2019 [cited
421 2019 Jan 13]. Available from:
422 [https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display_record.php?RecordID=1](https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display_record.php?RecordID=133786)
423 33786

- 424 15. Cho MK, Bero LA. Instruments for Assessing the Quality of Drug Studies
425 Published in the Medical Literature. *JAMA*. 1994;272(2):101–4.
- 426 16. Almeida C, Almeida A, Vieira JB, Guida U, Butler T. Plague in Brazil
427 during two years of bacteriological and serological surveillance. *Bull*
428 *World Health Organ*. 1981;59(4):591–7.
- 429 17. Evans CM, Egan JR, Hall I. Pneumonic Plague in Johannesburg, South
430 Africa, 1904. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2018;24(1):95–102.
- 431 18. Kugeler KJ, Staples JE, Hinckley AF, Gage KL, Mead PS. Epidemiology
432 of Human Plague in the United States, 1900-2012. *Emerg Infect Dis*.
433 2015;21(1):16–22.
- 434 19. Kellogg W. An epidemic of pneumonic plague. *Am J Public Health*.
435 1920;10:599–605.
- 436 20. Rabaan AA, Al-ahmed SH, Alsuliman SA, Aldrazi FA, Alfouzan WA,
437 Haque S. The rise of pneumonic plague in Madagascar: current plague
438 outbreak breaks usual seasonal mould. *J Med Microbiol*. 2019;68:292–
439 302.
- 440 21. Ramasindrazana B, Andrianaivoarimanana V, Rakotondramanga JM,
441 Birdsell DN, Ratsitorahina M, Rajerison M. Pneumonic Plague
442 Transmission, Moramanga, Madagascar, 2015. *Emerg Infect Dis*.
443 2017;23(3):521–4.
- 444 22. Ratsitorahina M, Chanteau S, Rahalison L, Ratsifasoamanana L, Boisier
445 P. Early report Epidemiological and diagnostic aspects of the outbreak of
446 pneumonic plague in Madagascar. *Lancet*. 2000;355:111–3.
- 447 23. Richard V, Riehm JM, Herindrainy P, Soanandrasana R, Ratsitorahina M,
448 Rakotomanana F, et al. Pneumonic Plague Outbreak, Northern

- 449 Madagascar, 2011. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2015;21(1):8–15.
- 450 24. Begier EM, Asiki G, Anywaine Z, Yockey B, Schriefer ME, Aleti P, et al.
451 Pneumonic Plague Cluster, Uganda, 2004. *Emerg Infect Dis.*
452 2006;12(3):460–7.
- 453 25. Bertherat E, Thullier P, Shako JC, England K, Koné M, Arntzen L, et al.
454 Lessons Learned about Pneumonic Plague Diagnosis from 2 Outbreaks,
455 Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2011;17(5):778–84.
- 456 26. Ge P, Xi J, Ding J, Jin F, Zhang H, Guo L, et al. Primary case of human
457 pneumonic plague occurring in a Himalayan marmot natural focus area
458 Gansu Province, China. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2015;33:67–70.
- 459 27. Wu K, Wang Y, Wang M. Epidemiologic analysis on Tibetan sheep
460 plague from 1975 to 2005 in Qinghai Province. *Chinese J Endem.*
461 2009;28(6):665–7.
- 462 28. Zhang H, Wang S, Wu D, Liang X. Dynamic analysis of human plague
463 epidemic situation in Gansu. *Chinese J Endem.* 2007;26(1):82–4.
- 464 29. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Plague - United States,
465 1992. Vol. 41. 1992.
- 466 30. Gage KL, Dennis DT, Orloski KA, Ettestad P, Brown TL, Reynolds PJ, et
467 al. Cases of Cat-Associated Human Plague in the Western US, 1977 –
468 1998. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2000;30:893–900.
- 469 31. Kartman L. Historical and oecological observations on plague in the
470 United States. *Trop Geogr Med.* 1970;22:257–75.
- 471 32. Kartman L. The role of rabbits in sylvatic plague epidemiology, with
472 special attention to human cases in New Mexico and use of the
473 fluorescent antibody technique for detection of *Pasteurella pestis* in field

- 474 specimens. *Zoonoses Res.* 1960;1(1):1–27.
- 475 33. Kugeler KJ, Staples JE, Hinckley AF, Gage KL, Mead PS. Epidemiology
476 og Human Plague in the United States, 1900-2012. *Emerg Infect Dis.*
477 2015;21(1):16–22.
- 478 34. Poland JD, Barnes AM, Herman JJ. Human bubonic plague from
479 exposure to a naturally infected wild carnivore. *Am J Epidemiol.*
480 1973;97(5):332–7.
- 481 35. Von Reyn CF, Barnes AM, Weber NS, Quan T, Dean AJ. Bubonic plague
482 from direct exposure to a naturally infected wild coyote. *Am J Trop Med*
483 *Hyg.* 1976;25(4):626–9.
- 484 36. Christie A, Chen T, Elberg SS. Plague in Camels and Goats: Their Role in
485 Human Epidemics. *J Infect Dis.* 1980;141(6):22–4.
- 486 37. Sagiev Z, Meka-Mechenko T, Kunitsa T, Musagalieva R, Ismailova A,
487 Kulbaeva M, et al. Diseases of Human Plague in 1974 - 2003 in
488 Kazakhstan. *Ekoloji.* 28(107):39–48.
- 489 38. Mitchell J. Epizootic among veld rodents in De Aar and neighbouring
490 districts of the Northern Cape province. *J Hyg (Lond).* 1930;29(4):394–
491 414.
- 492 39. Saeed AA Bin, Al-hamdan NA, Fontaine RE. Plague from Eating Raw
493 Camel Liver. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2005;11(9):1456–7.
- 494 40. Brygoo E, Dodin A. Telluric and burrow plague. Malagasy data. [A propos
495 de la peste tellurique et de la peste de fouissement. Données
496 Malgaches]. *Bull la Société Pathol Exot.* 1965;1:14–7.
- 497 41. Kool JL. Risk of Person-to-Person Transmission of Pneumonic Plague.
498 *Healthc Epidemiol.* 2005;40:1166–72.

- 499 42. Didelot X, Whittles LK, Hall I. Model-based analysis of an outbreak of
500 bubonic plague in Cairo in 1801. *J R Soc Interface*. 2017;14:20170160.
- 501 43. Whittles LK, Didelot X. Epidemiological analysis of the eyam plague
502 outbreak of 1665–1666. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci*. 2016;283:20160618.
- 503 44. Teh W, Chun W, Pollitzer R. Plague in Manchuria: I Observations made
504 during and after the second Manchurian plague epidemic of 1920-21. *J*
505 *Hyg (Lond)*. 1923;21:307–58.
- 506 45. Strong R, Teague O. Studies on pneumonic plague and plague
507 immunisation. II The method of transmission of the infection in pneumonic
508 plague and manner of spread of the disease during the epidemic. *Philipp*
509 *J Trop Med*. 1912;7:137–56.
- 510

- 511 **Supporting information**
- 512 **S1 Appendix. Search strategy**
- 513 **S2 Appendix. Characteristics of excluded studies**
- 514 **S3 Appendix. Adapted quality appraisal tool**
- 515 **S4 Appendix. Summary of studies describing infectiousness of different**
- 516 **body fluids of people ill with plague (review part 1)**
- 517 **S5 Appendix. Characteristics of included studies for infectiousness of**
- 518 **different body fluids of people ill with plague (review part 1)**
- 519 **S6 Appendix. Summary of studies describing plague acquired from**
- 520 **human and animal cadavers (review part 2)**
- 521 **S7 Appendix. Characteristics of included studies for plague acquired from**
- 522 **human and animal cadavers (review part 2)**