Extending influenza surveillance to detect non-influenza respiratory viruses of public health relevance:

analysis of surveillance data, 2015-2019, Belgium

Lorenzo Subissi^{1,2}, Nathalie Bossuyt³, Marijke Reynders⁴, Michèle Gérard⁵, Nicolas Dauby^{5,6}, Patrick Lacor⁷,

Siel Daelemans⁸, Bénédicte Lissoir⁹, Xavier Holemans¹⁰, Koen Magerman^{11,12}, Door Jouck¹², Marc Bourgeois¹³,

1

Bénédicte Delaere¹³, Sophie Quoilin³, Steven Van Gucht¹, Isabelle Thomas¹, Cyril Barbezange¹

¹ National Influenza Centre, Sciensano, Brussels, Belgium

² European Public Health Microbiology Training Programme (EUPHEM), European Centre for Disease

Prevention and Control, Stockholm, Sweden

³ Epidemiology of Infectious Diseases, Sciensano, Brussels, Belgium

⁴ Department of Laboratory Medicine, Medical Microbiology, Algemeen Ziekenhuis Sint-Jan, Brugge-Oostende

AV, Belgium

⁵ Centre Hospitalier Universitaire St-Pierre, Brussels, Belgium

⁶ Centre for Environmental Health and Occupational Health, School of Public Health, Université Libre de

Bruxelles (ULB), Brussels, Belgium

⁷ Internal Medicine-Infectious Diseases, Universitair Ziekenhuis Brussel, Brussels, Belgium

⁸ Pediatric Pulmonary and Infectious Diseases, Universitair Ziekenhuis Brussel, Brussels, Belgium

⁹ Microbiology, Grand Hôpital de Charleroi, Charleroi, Belgium

¹⁰ Infectiology, Grand Hôpital de Charleroi, Charleroi, Belgium

¹¹ Clinical Laboratory, Jessa Ziekenhuis, Hasselt, Belgium

¹² Infection Control, Jessa Ziekenhuis, Hasselt, Belgium

¹³ Centre Hospitalier Universitaire UCL Namur, Ysoir, Belgium

Corresponding author: Cyril Barbezange

Corresponding author email: cyril.barbezange@sciensano.be

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Seasonal influenza-like illness (ILI) affects millions of people yearly. Severe acute respiratory

infections (SARI), mainly caused by influenza, are a leading cause of hospitalisation and mortality. Increasing

eNRISizbis indecines enough new confusion that has previous from the confusion of the confu

Belgium, SARI surveillance by a network of sentinel hospitals is ongoing since 2011. AIM: Here, we report the

results of using in-house multiplex PCRs for the detection of a flexible panel of viruses in respiratory ILI and

SARI samples and the estimated incidence rates of SARI associated to each virus. METHODS: ILI was defined

as an infection with onset of fever and cough or dyspnoea. SARI was defined as an infection requiring

hospitalization with onset of fever and cough or dyspnoea within the previous 10 days. Samples were collected

during four winter seasons and tested by multiplex RT-qPCRs for influenza virus and NIRVs. Using catchment

population estimates, incidence rates of SARI associated to each virus were calculated. RESULTS: One third of

the SARI cases were positive for NIRVs, reaching 49.4% among children under fifteen. In children under five,

incidence rates of NIRV-associated SARI were double that of influenza (103.4 versus 57.6 per 100000 person-

months), with NIRV co-infections, respiratory syncytial viruses, human metapneumoviruses and picornaviruses

contributing the most (33.1, 13.6, 15.8 and 18.2 per 100000 person-months, respectively). CONCLUSION:

Early testing for NIRVs could be beneficial to clinical management of SARI patients, especially in children

under five, for whom the burden of NIRV-associated disease exceeds that of influenza.

Keywords

severe acute respiratory infection; influenza-like illness; human metapneumovirus; coronavirus; respiratory

syncytial virus

INTRODUCTION

Acute viral infections of the respiratory tract are common in humans. According to the World Health

Organization (WHO), complications such as lower respiratory tract infections and pneumonia are among the

main causes of mortality in children and the elderly worldwide [1]. The burden attributed to seasonal influenza

virus has long received most of the attention [2], but the involvement of non-influenza respiratory viruses

(NIRVs), such as respiratory syncytial virus and human metapneumovirus, is increasingly documented [3,4].

However, the burden of NIRVs [5-7] compared to seasonal influenza [8] is rarely estimated. These data would

allow to better understand the need for enhanced surveillance of these viruses during winter seasons with the aim

of improving patient management. In December 2019, a new NIRV (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome

Coronavirus 2, or SARS-CoV2) emerged in Wuhan (China) causing a pandemic, and as of June 3rd 2020 has

infected over 6.1 million people and killed over 370000 people across the vast majority of countries and

2

territories of the world.

The influenza surveillance network was implemented in 1952 by WHO to monitor the continuous antigenic changes of the virus and to guide vaccine composition. Following the 2009 pandemic, the scope of the surveillance was extended to better assess the severity and burden of influenza viruses. In Belgium, influenza virus surveillance is organised by the national public health institute, Sciensano, which also hosts the National Influenza Centre (NIC). Two sentinel networks are in place: i) surveillance of influenza-like illness (ILI) in the community, based on general practitioners providing information on mild cases (in place since the mid-1970s); ii) surveillance of severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) based on six sentinel hospitals providing information on hospitalised patients (set up after the 2009 influenza pandemic and operating every season since 2011). Since the 2015/2016 influenza season, the NIC introduced the systematic testing of NIRVs by RT-qPCRs in addition to testing and characterizing influenza viruses. We report here the results of systematic NIRV testing of ILI and SARI surveillance samples for four seasons (2015/2016 to 2018/2019) and we estimate the burden and severity of NIRVs, as compared to influenza.

METHODS

Settings, Participants and Variables

Sentinel-based ILI surveillance was performed through a network of general practitioners (GPs) widely distributed throughout Belgium and representing approximately 1.5% of all Belgian GPs. Patients were enrolled during each influenza season surveillance period (from week 40 to week 20). Recommendations were that nasopharyngeal swabs were obtained weekly from the first two ILI patients belonging to two different households. SARI patients were recruited through the sentinel network of six hospitals in Belgium, two in each administrative region of the country: Flanders, Wallonia and Brussels-Capital. Paediatric and adult units were recommended to systematically collect both detailed clinico-epidemiological data and a respiratory specimen from all patients meeting the SARI case definition during the epidemic period of seasonal influenza (starting and ending weeks between January and April, depending on influenza activity). Based on WHO's guidelines, ILI and SARI cases were defined as acute respiratory illness with onset within the last 10 days, with measured or reported fever of ≥38°C and with cough and/or dyspnoea. In addition, overnight hospitalisation was required for SARI cases. Patients for whom informed consent was not obtained (either directly or from a parent or legal guardian) were excluded from the study. Standardized questionnaires were used to collect data on age, sex, clinical signs included in the case definition, status of vaccination against influenza viruses, administration of a neuraminidase inhibitor antiviral and/or antibiotic treatment, and comorbidities known as risk factors of severity. Follow-up data during hospitalisation were also reported for the SARI cases to evaluate disease severity and

included the detection of pneumonia based on chest radiography, and/or the development of acute respiratory

distress syndrome (ARDS), and/or the requirement for respiratory assistance and/or for extracorporeal membrane

oxygenation (ECMO), and/or the admission in intensive care unit (ICU), and/or death (all-cause death).

Laboratory testing

Respiratory samples were analysed at Belgian National Influenza Centre. Viral nucleic acids were extracted

using BioMerieux's NucliSENS EasyMag. The following respiratory virus targets were detected using several

in-house multiplex RT-qPCRs: influenza viruses types A and B (and subsequent subtype/lineage), respiratory

syncytial viruses types A and B, human metapneumoviruses, parainfluenzaviruses types 1, 2, 3 and 4,

coronaviruses CoV-OC43, CoV-NL63 and CoV-229E, adenoviruses, picornaviruses (rhinovirus and enterovirus

genera), specific enterovirus D68, parechovirus, and bocavirus (adapted from original protocols by US CDC; LJ

van Elden, University Medical Centre Utrecht, The Netherlands; P Overduin, RIVM, The Netherlands; O

Hungnes and K Bragstad, Institute of Public Health, Norway). Primer/probe sequences and PCR conditions are

available upon request.

Burden of disease of virus-associated SARI

To estimate the burden of disease of virus-associated SARI, the catchment population estimates from 2017 (mid-

year of the study period) were used to calculate monthly incidence rate per 100,000 population during the winter

season, based on WHO recommendations [9]. Catchment populations of the SARI hospitals by age group were

estimated based on the hospital admission data (i.e. the proportion of the different municipalities) and the total

population of these municipalities; this rough estimation has the advantage to be easily extracted without extra

working load for the hospitals. The number of months covered by the study period (i.e. 14.9) used for the

denominator were calculated by adding up the number of weeks with active SARI surveillance for each season

(i.e. 64), multiplied by 7 and divided by the average number of days in a month during the winter period

(December-April, i.e. 30). Age group-specific catchment populations of the six hospitals were summed up to

calculate the monthly incidence rate by age group (<5, 5-14, 15-64 and ≥65 years old) for virus-associated SARI.

95% Confidence intervals were calculated using the Rothman-Greenland method [10].

Ethics approval

The SARI surveillance protocol was approved by a central Ethical Committee (reference AK/12-02-11/4111; in

2011: Centre Hospitalier Universitaire St-Pierre, Brussels, Belgium; from 2014 onwards: Universitair

Ziekenhuis Brussel, Brussels, Belgium) and the local ethical committees of each participating hospital. Informed

consent was obtained from all participants or parents/guardians.

RESULTS

Study population

Over the four seasons under study, 1791 ILI and 4774 SARI patients responding to the case definition were

retained in the analysis (Figure 1). Among the ILI patients with known age, 78.7% were adults (15-64 years old,

1356/1724), 14.1% were children (<15 years old, 244/1724) and 7.2% were older adults (65 years old and above,

124/1724). Half were females (833/1692), including 11 who were pregnant. Among the SARI patients, there

were slightly more males than females (52.2%; 2428/4650), with 28 females being pregnant. Children and older

adults represented 35.5 % and 44.1% of SARI cases with known age, respectively. Among children, 44.6% and

42.0% were less than 1 year old (753/1689) and between 1 and 4 years old (711/1689), respectively. The six

sentinel hospitals contributed heterogeneously to the distribution of SARI patients in terms of age, with one

hospital reporting more paediatric cases (Supplement Table S1).

Overall respiratory virus detection rates

Among the 1791 ILI patients, 408 were negative for all respiratory viruses tested, 1068 were positive for

influenza virus (of which 81 were co-detections with non-influenza respiratory viruses, NIRVs), and 293 were

negative for influenza virus but positive for a NIRV (Figure 2A). Among the 4774 SARI patients, 1309 were

negative for all respiratory viruses tested, 2049 were positive for influenza virus (of which 250 were co-

detections with NIRVs) and 1125 were negative for influenza virus but positive for at least one NIRV (Figure

2B).

The most common single NIRV detections among ILI patients were picornaviruses (5.1%, 91/1791) and

coronaviruses (4.3%, 77/1791), followed by hMPV (2.3%, 42/1791) and RSV (2.3%, 41/1791). Parainfluenza

virus, adenovirus and bocavirus single detections accounted for 1.4%, 0.8%, and 0.1%, respectively. Co-

detections of at least two viruses accounted for 5.7% of the ILI samples (102/1791), with 81 co-detections of

influenza virus with NIRVs and 22 co-detections of NIRVs. Among SARI patients, the main single NIRV

detections were hMPV (291/4774), RSV (238/4774), picornaviruses (237/4774) and coronaviruses (162/4774)

(Figure 2B). Parainfluenza virus, adenovirus and bocavirus single detections accounted for 1.4%, 1.7%, and

0.9%, respectively. Co-detections were more common among SARI patients as compared to ILI patients. They

accounted for 11.3% of the overall number of tested SARI samples (541/4,774), with influenza virus-positive

(n=250) and influenza-negative (n=291) co-detections representing 5.2 and 6.1%, respectively.

The proportion of NIRV detection (excluding when in association with influenza viruses) varied between 12.8%

in 2016-2017 and 24.4% in 2018-2019 in the ILI samples (Figure 2A). In SARI patients, the proportion was

higher and varied between 24.2% in 2016-2017 and 33.9% in 2018-2019 (Figure 2B). Within a season, the

proportions of picornaviruses and coronaviruses were relatively similar among ILI and SARI patients. On the

contrary, RSV and hMPV detection rates were systematically higher in SARI than in ILI samples. Except for

season 2015-2016, these two viruses represented the largest groups in SARI patients, whereas picornaviruses and

coronaviruses were the most frequent ones in the ILI patients. Season 2018/2019 was marked by higher detection

rates for parainfluenza viruses (both in ILI and SARI) and adenoviruses (in SARI), compared to previous

seasons (Figure 2).

Both ILI and SARI surveillances were able to capture the seasonal circulation of influenza virus, but such bell-

shape epidemic curves were not detected for other NIRVs, with perhaps two exceptions: coronaviruses in the ILI

surveillance during season 2016/2017 despite the limited number of positive samples (Supplement Figure S1);

and RSV in the SARI surveillance, with the detection of the end of the RSV epidemic, which usually occurs

before the influenza epidemic in Western Europe [11] (Figure 3).

Age-specific NIRV detection rates and NIRV-associated SARI incidence rates

In children (less than 15 years old), the proportion of samples positive for NIRVs (single or co-detection) was

higher in SARI than ILI patients. The proportion of the SARI children positive for NIRVs only (excluding co-

detection with influenza viruses) was 49.4% (829/1678), and only 15.6% (38/244) of ILI children (Fisher's exact

test, p<0.001). On the contrary, the proportions of positive NIRV samples among adults (15-64 years old) and

older adults (≥65 years old) taken together were similar for ILI and SARI surveillance (17.9%, 267/1489, and

18.9%, 582/3084, respectively; Fisher's exact test, p=0.465).

Half (82/162) of the coronavirus-only positive SARI samples were from older adults (Table 1). On the contrary,

all bocavirus-only (n=44) and 75.9% (63/83) of the adenovirus-only positive SARI samples were from children.

RSV-only and hMPV-only positive SARI samples were from both children (44.1%, 105/238, and 44.7%,

130/291, respectively), mainly less than 1 year old, and from older adults (42.0%, 100/238, and 38.5%, 112/291,

respectively). Picornavirus-only and parainfluenzavirus-only SARI samples were mainly from children less than

5 years old (58.2%, 138/237, and 50.7%, 35/69, respectively), but also from older adults (21.9%, 52/237, and

 $29.0\%,\ 20/69,\ respectively).\ Finally,\ SARI\ samples\ with\ co-detection\ of\ NIRVs\ or\ of\ NIRVs\ with\ influenza$

viruses were largely from children less than 5 years old (86.3%, 251/291, and 51.6%, 129/250, respectively).

The burden of NIRVs captured by influenza surveillance was further evaluated by calculating the incidence rates

of SARI associated to each virus within specific age groups and overall (Figure 4). NIRV incidence rates were

noticeably higher in the youngest age group (<5 years old) than in the other age groups or the overall population,

with RSV, hMPV, and picornaviruses contributing the most as single infection (13.6, 15.8 and 18.2 per 100000

person-months, respectively), followed by adenoviruses, bocavirus, coronaviruses and parainfluenzaviruses (7.4,

5.8, 5.0 and 4.6 per 100000 person-months, respectively). In this age group, the incidence rate of SARI

associated to all kind of influenza-negative NIRV infections was almost double that associated to influenza

(103.5 versus 57.6 per 100000 person-months). Incidence rates of SARI associated to NIRVs were low in the

other age groups, as compared to those associated to influenza (Figure 4). Despite that, the incidence rates of

SARI associated to RSV, hMPV and coronaviruses in older adults were nonetheless more than twice that in the

overall population (3.9, 4.4, 3.2 versus 1.8, 2.1, 1.2 per 100000 person-months, respectively).

DISCUSSION

Here, we report on the use of several in-house multiplex RT-qPCRs on respiratory specimens collected through

two networks of influenza surveillance (ILI and SARI) to detect a broad range of respiratory viruses of public

health relevance during the winter season. We found that NIRV testing may explain up to one third of infections

and becomes extremely useful for diagnostic purposes of paediatric SARI patients. By estimating incidence

rates, we showed that NIRVs even represent a higher burden than influenza viruses in the SARI patients under 5

years old.

In Belgium, systematic testing of NIRVs on samples collected through the ILI and SARI surveillance was

introduced from the winter season 2015-2016 onwards. The use of in-house multiplex PCRs offers the flexibility

to change the panel of viruses/virus groups depending on the situation. With the emergence of SARS-CoV-2, it

could be possible to substitute one of the current target with primers and probes for this new coronavirus, using

for example the protocols already designed [12], since ILI and SARI surveillance networks will be important

tools to follow the SARS-CoV2 epidemics in the coming years.

The first limitation of our study is that these surveillance networks collect data only during the winter season in

Belgium. This is particularly true for the SARI network which operates only during the period of influenza virus

activity. Therefore, the detection rates observed here for the different NIRVs might not totally represent their

whole circulation patterns. For example, it was shown that the intensive circulation period for RSV usually

precedes that of influenza viruses in Western Europe [11]. Though RSV, hMPV, coronaviruses and other NIRVs do not strictly follow the same pattern as influenza viruses [13], many tend to also circulate during the winter season in temperate regions, thus making influenza surveillance a relevant system for their study [14,15].

Another limitation is that paediatric and geriatric samples are underrepresented in ILI patients, probably due to sampling bias (GPs in Belgium being less likely to have children or older people as patients or to sample them). The systematic testing for NIRVs allowed the detection of a pathogen in only one eighth of the ILI patients, suggesting that the additional effort of testing NIRVs in this study population might be of limited interest. On the contrary, NIRV positive samples were almost a third of the SARI patients, where the morbidity and mortality associated to respiratory infection is the highest, and almost half in paediatric SARI patients. The burden associated to NIRVs in SARI patients was age-dependent, and particularly high for young children (<5 years old). While NIRV co-infections were rarely detected among ILI patients, they were almost as common as influenza virus infection among paediatric SARI patients under five years old. This clearly shows the benefit that

RSV and hMPV were common among paediatric SARI patients, especially those younger than five years old. Interestingly, older adults represented another large proportion of RSV infections. The non-negligible burden associated with RSV is important to take into consideration in the coming years, when RSV vaccines will become available and vaccination is implemented. It may be of interest to consider the possibility to add older adults among the high risk groups for which vaccination could be recommended [16]. Preliminary research even suggested that a RSV vaccine could also provide protection against hMPV through the induction of cross neutralizing antibodies [17,18]. This highlights the relevance of the surveillance of both RSV and hMPV in the years following RSV vaccine introduction.

testing SARI patients for NIRV infections can have on the surveillance and clinical management.

The objective of our SARI surveillance was to evaluate the severity of influenza viruses, and the definition used might not be the most adapted one for NIRVs: the criteria 'fever' and 'cough' were indeed chosen in an attempt to specifically target influenza viruses [19], however they are also characteristic symptoms of most NIRVs. Interestingly, cough and dyspnoea are clinical signs that were previously found to be positively associated with RSV and hMPV [20], the two main NIRVs identified in our study. Despite the differences in the case definition and the period of surveillance, our results remain comparable to those obtained in other countries regarding the NIRVs identified and their proportions [21,22]. Interactions between respiratory viruses have been reported. For example, a negative association between rhinovirus and RSV infection was reported in children [23]. Similarly, an increased risk of non-influenza virus infections was reported in children who received inactivated influenza

vaccine [24]. Through SARI surveillance, it would also be possible to estimate the potential impact of newly

circulating viruses such SARS-CoV-2 on the incidence of NIRVs.

Despite an important decrease in the number of specimens that were reported as negative in SARI patients

following the introduction of NIRV testing, over one fourth of SARI patients remained negative for all tested

viruses. Although viruses are considered as the main cause of acute respiratory tract infections [25-27] and our

methodology covers the main respiratory viruses that are involved [28], it is possible that some samples were

positive for viruses that were not targeted by our multiplex PCRs (e.g. coronavirus HKU, influenza C virus,

cytomegalovirus, herpes simplex virus), or for bacterial or fungal respiratory pathogens, known to substantially

contribute to complications in lower respiratory tract infections [29–31]. In a prospective study in 11 European

countries that looked at the aetiology of lower respiratory tract infections among adults, a bacterial pathogen was

detected in one fifth of the participants [32]. The difference in the proportion of 'negative' samples that we

observed between age groups (one third among adults and one fifth among children) translates into a different

range of pathogens causing SARI in children (more broadly covered by our multiplex PCRs) as compared to

adults. An explanation may be that the clinical signs of the SARI case definition might be less specific for adults,

especially older adults [33,34].

In conclusion, our study demonstrated the added value of testing NIRVs with a flexible method and confirmed

that the burden of disease associated to NIRVs in SARI patients should not be underestimated, especially in

children under the age of five, for whom it is even higher than that of influenza viruses.

Funding

This work was supported by the Belgian Federal Public Service 'Health, Food Chain Safety, and Environment',

the Belgian National Insurance Health Care (INAMI/RIZIV), and the Regional Health Authorities of Flanders

(Agentschap Zorg en Gezondheid, AZG), of Brussels (Commission communautaire commune de Bruxelles-

Capitale, COCOM), and of Wallonia (Agence pour une Vie de Qualité, AVIQ).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Jeannine Weyckmans, Ilham Fdillate, Assia Hamouda and Reinout Van Eycken

from the NIC for their excellent technical assistance throughout the surveillance seasons; Evelyn Petit from AZ

Sint-Jan, Françoise Antoine, Tiphaine Mouquet and Christelle Nguepi from CHU St-Pierre, Lore-Lien Roels and Prof. Elke De Wachter from UZ Brussels, Marlies Blommen, Annemie Forier and Dr. Luc Waumans from Jessa Ziekenhuis, Catherine Sion and Mohamed El Kaissi from Grand Hôpital de Charleroi for their dedication in the follow-up of the SARI cases; and all the doctors and nurses of the six hospitals who took part in the recruitment of the SARI cases, without whom the SARI surveillance would not be possible. N.D. is a Post-doctorate Clinical Master Specialist of the FRS-FNRS (Fond National de la Recherche Scientifique).

Contributions

Project Administration: C.B., N.B., I.T.; Data Curation: L.S., C.B., N.B., I.T.; Formal Analysis: L.S., C.B.; Investigation: M.R., M.G., N.D., P.L., S.D., B.L., X.H., K.M., D.J., M.B., B.D., C.B., I.T.; Resources: M.R., M.G., N.D., P.L., S.D., B.L., X.H., K.M., D.J., M.B., B.D.; Visualization: L.S., C.B.; Writing – Original Draft Preparation: L.S., C.B.; Writing – Review & Editing: all authors; Funding Acquisition: S.Q., S.V.G.

References

- Forum of International Respiratory Societies. The Global Impact of Respiratory Disease second edition. Sheffield: European Respiratory Society; 2017. Available from: https://www.who.int/gard/publications/The_Global_Impact_of_Respiratory_Disease.pdf.
- 2. Malosh RE, Martin ET, Ortiz JR, Monto AS. The risk of lower respiratory tract infection following influenza virus infection: A systematic and narrative review. Vaccine. **2018**;36:141–7.
- 3. Fendrick AM, Monto AS, Nightengale B, Sarnes M. The Economic Burden of Non–Influenza-Related Viral Respiratory Tract Infection in the United States. Arch Intern Med. **2003**;163:487–94.
- 4. Gilca R, Amini R, Douville-Fradet M, Charest H, Dubuque J, Boulianne N, et al. Other respiratory viruses are important contributors to adult respiratory hospitalizations and mortality even during peak weeks of the influenza season. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2014;1:ofu086.
- Furuta T, Hasegawa S, Mizutani M, Iwai T, Ohbuchi N, Kawano S, et al. Burden of Human Metapneumovirus and Respiratory Syncytial Virus Infections in Asthmatic Children. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2018;37:1107–11.
- 6. Taylor S, Lopez P, Weckx L, Borja-Tabora C, Ulloa-Gutierrez R, Lazcano-Ponce E, et al. Respiratory viruses and influenza-like illness: Epidemiology and outcomes in children aged 6 months to 10 years in a multi-country population sample. J Infect. **2017**;74:29–41.
- 7. Uddin SMI, Englund JA, Kuypers JY, Chu HY, Steinhoff MC, Khatry SK, et al. Burden and risk factors

- for coronavirus infections in infants in rural Nepal. Clin Infect Dis. 2018;67:1507–14.
- 8. Bénézit F, Loubet P, Galtier F, Pronier C, Lenzi N, Lesieur Z, et al. Non-influenza respiratory viruses in adult patients admitted with influenza-like illness: a 3-year prospective multicenter study. Infection. **2020**. https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-019-01388-1.
- 9. WHO. A Manual for Estimating Disease Burden Associated With Seasonal Influenza. 2015. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/178801/9789241549301_eng.pdf;jsessionid=AECA015 9A38911F4578CACA12131DD04?sequence=1.
- 10. Rothman KJ, Greenland S. Modern epidemiology. 2nd edition. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven, 1998.
- 11. Broberg EK, Waris M, Johansen K, Snacken R, Penttinen P, Network EIS. Seasonality and geographical spread of respiratory syncytial virus epidemics in 15 European countries, 2010 to 2016. Eurosurveillance. **2018**;23:17–00284.
- 12. Corman VM, Landt O, Kaiser M, Molenkamp R, Meijer A, Chu DK, et al. Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. Eurosurveillance. **2020**;25:2000045.
- 13. Li Y, Reeves RM, Wang X, Bassat Q, Brooks WA, Cohen C, et al. Global patterns in monthly activity of influenza virus, respiratory syncytial virus, parainfluenza virus, and metapneumovirus: a systematic analysis. Lancet Glob Heal. **2019**;7:e1031–45.
- 14. Nickbakhsh S, Ho A, Marques DFP, McMenamin J, Gunson RR, Murcia P. Epidemiology of seasonal coronaviruses: Establishing the context for COVID-19 emergence. medRxiv. 2020 Mar 20; 2020.03.18.20037101.
- 15. Hirve S, Crawford N, Palekar R, Zhang W, Bancej C, Barr I, et al. Clinical characteristics, predictors, and performance of case definition—Interim results from the WHO global respiratory syncytial virus surveillance pilot. Influenza Other Respi Viruses. 2019;irv.12688.
- Williams TC, Kim S, Spiro DJ, Campbell H. Preparing for the future implementation of respiratory syncytial virus vaccines. Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8:233–5.
- 17. Schuster JE, Cox RG, Hastings AK, Boyd KL, Wadia J, Chen Z, et al. A Broadly Neutralizing Human Monoclonal Antibody Exhibits In Vivo Efficacy Against Both Human Metapneumovirus and Respiratory Syncytial Virus. J Infect Dis. 2014;211:216-225.
- 18. Wen X, Mousa JJ, Bates JT, Lamb RA, Crowe JE, Jardetzky TS. Structural basis for antibody cross-neutralization of respiratory syncytial virus and human metapneumovirus. Nat Microbiol. **2017**;2:1–7.
- 19. Monto AS, Gravenstein S, Elliott M, Colopy M, Schweinle J. Clinical Signs and Symptoms Predicting

- Influenza Infection. Arch Intern Med. 2000;160:3243-7.
- 20. Ma X, Conrad T, Alchikh M, Reiche J, Schweiger B, Rath B. Can we distinguish respiratory viral infections based on clinical features? A prospective pediatric cohort compared to systematic literature review. Rev Med Virol. **2018**;28:e1997.
- Kurskaya O, Ryabichenko T, Leonova N, Shi W, Bi H, Sharshov K, et al. Viral etiology of acute respiratory infections in hospitalized children in Novosibirsk City, Russia (2013 2017). PLoS One.
 2018;13:e0200117.
- 22. Chakhunashvili G, Wagner AL, Power LE, Janusz CB, Machablishvili A, Karseladze I, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Infection (SARI) sentinel surveillance in the country of Georgia, 2015-2017. PLoS One. 2018;13:e0201497.
- 23. Achten NB, Wu P, Bont L, Blanken MO, Gebretsadik T, Chappell JD, et al. Interference Between Respiratory Syncytial Virus and Human Rhinovirus Infection in Infancy. J Infect Dis. **2017**;215:1102–8.
- 24. Cowling BJ, Fang VJ, Nishiura H, Chan K-H, Ng S, Ip DKM, et al. Increased Risk of Noninfluenza Respiratory Virus Infections Associated With Receipt of Inactivated Influenza Vaccine. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;54:1778-1783.
- 25. Jain S, Self WH, Wunderink RG, Fakhran S, Balk R, Bramley AM, et al. Community-Acquired Pneumonia Requiring Hospitalization among U.S. Adults. N Engl J Med. **2015**;373:415–27.
- 26. Jain S, Williams DJ, Arnold SR, Ampofo K, Bramley AM, Reed C, et al. Community-Acquired Pneumonia Requiring Hospitalization among U.S. Children. N Engl J Med. **2015**;372:835–45.
- Kodama F, Nace DA, Jump RLP. Respiratory Syncytial Virus and Other Non-influenza Respiratory
 Viruses in Older Adults. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 2017;31:767.
- 28. Pavia AT. Viral Infections of the Lower Respiratory Tract: Old Viruses, New Viruses, and the Role of Diagnosis. Clin Infect Dis. **2011**;52:S284–9.
- 29. Schauwvlieghe AFAD, Rijnders BJA, Philips N, Verwijs R, Vanderbeke L, Van Tienen C, et al. Invasive aspergillosis in patients admitted to the intensive care unit with severe influenza: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet Respir Med. **2018**;6:782–92.
- 30. Blyth CC, Webb SAR, Kok J, Dwyer DE, van Hal SJ, Foo H, et al. The impact of bacterial and viral co-infection in severe influenza. Influenza Other Respi Viruses. **2013**;7:168–76.
- 31. Teng F, Liu X, Guo S-B, Li Z, Ji W-Q, Zhang F, et al. Community-acquired bacterial co-infection predicts severity and mortality in influenza-associated pneumonia admitted patients. J Infect Chemother. **2019**;25:129–36.

- 32. Ieven M, Coenen S, Loens K, Lammens C, Coenjaerts F, Vanderstraeten A, et al. Aetiology of lower respiratory tract infection in adults in primary care: a prospective study in 11 European countries. Clin Microbiol Infect. **2018**;24:1158–63.
- 33. Talbot HK, Falsey AR. The diagnosis of viral respiratory disease in older adults. Clin Infect Dis. **2010**;50:747–51.
- 34. Matsuno O, Kataoka H, Takenaka R, Okubo F, Okamoto K, Masutomo K, et al. Influence of age on symptoms and laboratory findings at presentation in patients with influenza-associated pneumonia. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. **2009**;49:322–5.

Table

Table 1: Respiratory virus single and co-detection in SARI patients by age group

	Neg	Flu	Flu+	RSV	hMPV	Corona	Pico	Adeno	Boca	PIV	NIRV	total
			NIRV									
N	1309	1799	250	238	291	162	237	83	44	69	291	4774
<1ª												
n	129	107	45	84	68	31	82	23	17	21	141	748
% ^b	9.9	6.0	18.0	35.3	23.4	19.1	34.6	27.7	38.6	30.4	48.5	15.7
1-4												
n	103	201	84	19	52	7	56	33	27	14	110	706
%	7.9	11.2	33.6	8.0	17.9	4.3	23.6	39.8	61.4	20.3	37.8	14.8
5-14												
n	70	99	11	2	10	2	13	7	0	5	4	223
%	5.4	5.5	4.4	0.8	3.4	1.2	5.5	8.4	0.0	7.2	1.4	4.7
15-64												
n	373	390	32	33	48	39	33	10	0	8	11	978
%	28.5	21.7	12.8	13.9	16.5	24.1	13.9	12.0	0.0	11.6	3.8	20.5
65-84												
n	478	679	52	70	81	67	41	8	0	18	18	1513

%	36.5	37.8	20.8	29.4	27.8	41.4	17.3	9.6	0.0	26.1	6.2	31.7
≥85												
n	153	317	26	30	31	15	11	2	0	2	6	593
%	11.7	17.6	10.4	12.6	10.7	9.3	4.6	2.4	0.0	2.9	2.1	12.4
unk												
n	2	5	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	12

Abbreviations: Neg, negative; Flu, influenza virus type A or B; Flu+NIRV, co-detection of influenza virus with at least one non-influenza respiratory virus; RSV, Respiratory Syncytial virus type A or B; hMPV, human metapneumovirus; Corona, coronavirus CoV-OC43, CoV-NL63 or CoV-229E; Pico, picornavirus of the *rhinovirus* and *enterovirus* genera or parechovirus; Adeno, adenoviruses; Boca, bocavirus; PIV, parainfluenzavirus type 1, 2, 3 or 4; NIRV, co-detection of several non-influenza respiratory viruses.

^a Age groups: <1, under 1 year old; 1-4, from 1 to below 5 years old; 5-14, from 5 to below 15 years old; 15-64, from 15 to below 65 years old; 65-84, from 65 to below 85 years old; ≥85, above 85 years old; unk, unknown age.

Figure legends

Figure 1: Flow diagram for participant inclusion in the study. (A) for influenza-like illness (ILI) surveillance; (B) for severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) surveillance.

Figure 2: Comparison of the proportions of the different respiratory virus detected by multiplex RT-qPCRs per season and overall. Colour codes: white, negative; light grey, influenza virus type A or B; dark grey, codetection of influenza virus with at least one non-influenza respiratory virus; red, positive for non-influenza respiratory virus(es); light pink, Respiratory Syncytial virus type A or B; fuchsia, human metapneumovirus; green, parainfluenzavirus type 1, 2, 3 or 4; blue, coronavirus CoV-OC43, CoV-NL63 or CoV-229E; orange, picornavirus of the *rhinovirus* and *enterovirus* genera or parechovirus; light brown, adenoviruses; purple, bocavirus; dark green, co-detection of several non-influenza respiratory viruses. (A) for influenza-like illness (ILI) surveillance; (B) for severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) surveillance.

^b Percentage per column, calculated based on N. Not calculated for the unknown age group.

Figure 3: Distribution of the positive samples for each respiratory virus by season and sampling week for SARI

patients. Colour codes: black, tested by multiplex RT-qPCRs (Tested); light grey, influenza virus type A or B

(Influenza); light pink, Respiratory Syncytial virus type A or B (RSV); fuchsia, human metapneumovirus

(hMPV); green, parainfluenzavirus type 1, 2, 3 or 4 (PIV); orange, picornavirus of the rhinovirus and enterovirus

genera or parechovirus (Pico); blue, coronavirus CoV-OC43, CoV-NL63 or CoV-229E (Corona); light brown,

adenovirus (Adeno); purple, bocavirus (Boca). Plain bar, single detection; chequered pattern, in co-detection

with at least one other virus. X-axis, weeks of active SARI surveillance: 2015-2016, week 1 to week 17 of 2016;

2016-2017, week 1 to week 13 of 2017; 2017-2018, week 51 of 2017 to week 17 of 2018; 2018-2019, week 1 to

week 15 of 2019.

Figure 4: Incidence rates of virus-associated SARI per 100000 person-months by age group and overall. Colour

codes: light grey, influenza virus type A or B; dark grey, co-detection of influenza virus with at least one non-

influenza respiratory virus; light pink, Respiratory Syncytial virus type A or B; fuchsia, human

metapneumovirus; blue, coronavirus CoV-OC43, CoV-NL63 or CoV-229E; orange, picornavirus of the

rhinovirus and enterovirus genera or parechovirus; green, parainfluenzavirus type 1, 2, 3 or 4; purple, bocavirus;

light brown, adenoviruses; dark green, co-detection of several non-influenza respiratory viruses. X-axis, age

groups: <5, below 5 years old; 5-14, from 5 to below 15 years old; 15-64, from 15 to below 65 years old; ≥65,

above 65 years old; Total, whole population.







