

Rapid COVID-19 Diagnosis Using Deep Learning of the Computerized Tomography Scans

Hamed Tabrizchi

Department of Computer Science,
Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman
Kerman, Iran
0000-0001-9250-2232

Amir Mosavi *

Kalman Kando Faculty of Electrical
Engineering, Obuda University
Budapest, Hungary
amir.mosavi@kvk.uni-obuda.hu

Akos Szabo-Gali

John von Neumann Faculty of
Informatics, Obuda University
Budapest, Hungary
szabogaliakos@stud.uni-obuda.hu

Laszlo Nadai

Kalman Kando Faculty of Electrical
Engineering, Obuda University
Budapest, Hungary
nadia@uni-obuda.hu

Abstract— Several studies suggest that COVID-19 may be accompanied by symptoms such as a dry cough, muscle aches, sore throat, and mild to moderate respiratory illness. The symptoms of this disease indicate the fact that COVID-19 causes noticeable negative effects on the lungs. Therefore, considering the health status of the lungs using X-rays and CT scans of the chest can significantly help diagnose COVID-19 infection. Due to the fact that most of the methods that have been proposed to COVID-19 diagnose deal with the lengthy testing time and also might give more false positive and false negative results, this paper aims to review and implement artificial intelligence (AI) image-based diagnosis methods in order to detect coronavirus infection with zero or near to zero false positives and false negatives rates. Besides the already existing AI image-based medical diagnosis method for the other well-known disease, this study aims on finding the most accurate COVID-19 detection method among AI methods such as machine learning (ML) and artificial neural network (ANN), ensemble learning (EL) methods.

Keywords— COVID-19, image-based diagnosis, artificial intelligence, machine learning, deep learning, computerized tomography, coronavirus disease,

I. INTRODUCTION

COVID-19 is a global pandemic that collapsed the healthcare systems in most countries. In the year 2020, people all over the world witnessed the news of the death of their fellow human beings from many world news agencies. Furthermore, this pandemic event has affected the operations of healthcare facilities. The medical centres witnessed increases in patients who are needing care for a respiratory illness that could be COVID-19 (+) or COVID-19 (-). The World Health Organization (WHO) advises that all countries to consider the importance of the test because the isolation of all confirmed cases and also mild cases in health centers is able to prevent transmission and provide acceptable care. One of the pivotal reasons for the need to use intelligent systems in the process of diagnosing this disease (taste) is the easy

transmission of this disease among people in a community or even health facilities [1,2]. Since most of the excited test needs a lot of time to generate the result compared to the time for spreading virus among people, chest X-Ray or Computer Tomography (CT) scan images of COVID19 is used to provide a rapid and efficient way to test the COVID-19 suspected individuals. It is an undeniable fact that artificial intelligence plays a central role in making human daily life more convenient than the past. The advantage of AI methods is their ability to interpret and understand the digital images in order to identify and classify objects. For this reason, many researchers in the world of artificial intelligence have drawn attention to research on the data obtained from patients who infected with COVID-19. Sachin Sharma [3] presents a study that aims to discuss the importance of machine learning methods to distinguish COVID-19 infected regarding their lung CT scan images. Nripendra Narayan Das et al. [4] use chest X-rays in order to find some radiological signatures of COVID-19 by using deep learning of the chest CT scans. Aayush Jaiswal et al. [5] use the pre-trained deep learning architectures (DenseNet201) along with deep transfer learning in order to provide an automated tool that aims to detect COVID-19 positive and negative infected patients based on chest CT images. Xueyan Mei et al. [6] combine chest CT records including the patients' essential symptoms. In this pioneer research the interaction between the chest CT and the clinical symptoms is conducted through basic machine learning methods, i.e., SVM, random forest, MLP, and deep learning to accurately predict COVID-19. In an alternative approach, Pinter et al. [7] present the hybrid machine learning method of ANFIS and MLP to predict mortality rate of COVID-19 patients. Sina F. Ardabili et al. [8] review a wide range of machine learning models to forecast the COVID-19 outbreak. Their study presents a number of suggestions to demonstrate the potential of machine learning for future research.

In a nutshell, the main motivation of this paper is to find the most accurate intelligent approach for detecting COVID-19. In other words, we use state-of-the-art learning models in

order to classify positive and negative COVID-19 suspected individuals with regard to their captured chest X-Ray or CT scan images.

The rest of this paper is outlined as follows. Section 2 reviews Machine learning-based models. Section 3 compares the performances of the described and implemented machine learning models. Finally, Section 4 draws conclusions and offers some suggestions for the end-users of medical intelligent systems.

II. BRIEF REVIEW OF MACHINE LEARNING-BASED MODELS

AI has the potential to improve medical imaging capabilities and patient diagnosis. Using ML, ANN, and ensemble learning methods for medical image recognition is a core component of computer vision in this widespread study area. ML methodology works based on the cognitive learning methods to advance an intelligent code without use of conventional programming techniques. The performance of ML algorithms' is associated with other mathematical techniques and improved by experience [4]. Generally, ML uses historical data to make decisions and uncover hidden insights [5]. In image-based diagnosis problems, the ML models are advanced to be able to learn from medical records. This process is often done through developing insight into the patterns within complex imaging [6]. The following subsection describes the basic and ensemble AI-based image classifier methods in a brief way.

A. Single models

In the following, the basic classifiers employed for diagnosing COVID-19 are introduced.

- *Support Vector Machine (SVM)* is one of the commonly-used algorithms in research and industry, taking its power from machine learning algorithms. The main advantage of this algorithm is its ability to deal with non-linear problems. SVM can be used to solve nonlinear classification problems by transforming the problem using the kernel method which makes SVM calculation in the higher dimension. Vapnik was first introduced SVM in 1995[1]. He used the Statistic Learning Theory (SLT) and Structural Risk Minimization (SRM) to introduce this concept. SVM can be effectively employed in classification, regression, and nonlinear function approximation problems [9,10].
- *Naive Bayes (NB)* is a well-known probabilistic classification algorithm that applies Bayes' Theorem with an assumption of strong (naive) independence among predictors (a set of supervised learning algorithms). During the process of constructing classifiers (training), the NB model needs a small amount of training data to estimate the vital parameters [11]. In other words, the previous probability of each class is estimated by calculating the conditional probability density function and the posterior probability. Eventually, the final prediction is made for the class that has the largest posterior probability.
- *Artificial neural networks (ANN)* are computing systems that widely used for image-based medical diagnosis problems. In fact, ANN draws inspiration from

biological neural systems and creates an interconnected network of 'neurons that process information. These models consist of several processing elements that reproduce input data in a hierarchical structure. During the training process, a corresponding weight (for each input data) must be iteratively estimated and adjusted. Due to the variation of connections between layers in an ANN, the architecture of networks is able to design variously. Deciding the number of layers and nodes in each layer depends on the problem and the amount of training data. For this reason, ANN is a great (flexible) option to deal with different classification, regression, and clustering problems [12,13].

- *Multilayer perceptron (MLP)* is a well-known ANN in which neurons are distributed in thoroughly connected layers. These layers are divided into three groups: input layers, output layers, and hidden layers. The weighted inputs are linearly combined by their corresponding neuron; then, the results are transferred through a nonlinear activation function. Usually, a gradient-descent algorithm called back-propagation is used to train an MLP. In this algorithm, a maximum error is defined to be used as a criterion to stop the iterative weight update process [14].
- *CNN* is a deep neural network that is commonly applied to process large scale images. As same as the other ANN, CNN is a network that includes several layers. In CNN represents a sequential connection between the layers. As the output of the previous layer is interconnected with the input of other layer. However, unlike the other fully connected neural network, in this network, the neurons in one layer do not connect to all the neurons in the next layer. The main powerful part of CNN is the convolution layer [15,16].

B. Ensemble models

Ensemble models are able to scale up the performance of classification and regression processes. Boosting and Bagging are the most widely-used ensemble learning frameworks in science literature. Bagging ensembles create subsets and ensemble estimates using Bootstrap re-sampling and a mean combiner respectively. Boosting ensemble models train a number of individual models in a sequential way. A way that provides an opportunity for each model to learn from mistakes made by the previous model [17].

- *AdaBoost (Adaptive Boosting)* is an ensemble learning algorithm that can be used in conjunction with many other types of learning algorithms to improve performance. AdaBoost initially created to enhance the performance of binary classifiers. The main idea of AdaBoost is about using an iterative approach in order to learn from the mistakes of weak classifiers, and turn them into strong ones. In fact, AdaBoost learns from the mistakes by increasing the weight of misclassified data points [18].
- *Gradient boosting decision tree (GBDT)* is an ML algorithm, which produces a prediction model in the form of an ensemble of weak prediction models (decision trees). Gradient Boosting learns from the residual error (directly), rather than update the weights of data points [19].

In a nutshell, Table I indicates the advantages and disadvantages of all mentioned machine learning models.

TABLE I. ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF ML MODELS

Models		Advantages	Disadvantages
Single models	SVM	Effective performance in high dimensional spaces	Doesn't perform well with large data set (required high training time)
	NB	Easy and fast class prediction in test datasets	Need to calculate the prior probability
	MLP	Adaptive learning, coefficients can easily be adapted	It requires much more training data than traditional machine learning algorithms
	CNN	Automatically detects the important features (Feature Extraction), uses convolution of image and filters to generate invariant features	Unexplained functioning of the network (Black box), require processors with parallel processing power
Ensemble models	AdaBoost	Less susceptible to the overfitting problem than most learning algorithms	Using too weak classifiers can lead to low margins and overfitting
	GBDT	Lots of flexibility (can optimize on different loss functions)	Too much improvement to minimize all errors can overemphasize outliers and cause overfitting

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, all described models in the previous section were evaluated and examined based on the datasets that include image data on 980 patients suspected with COVID-19 infection.

The implementation is facilitated under Python using Scikit-Learn and Keras libraries. The experimental results are provided and analyzed in detail by using a standard CPU with the information of Intel Core i5-2.20 GHz with 16 GB RAM.

A. Data description

This paper evaluates all described models mentioned in the previous section based on two datasets. The first data set includes image data on 430 patients infected with COVID-19. Also, 550 healthy (normal) individuals were randomly selected from the second data set [20]. The following Figure illustrates sample images of both classes.




Fig. 1. X-ray images of normal and COVID-19 caused patient

B. Performance evaluation

In the presented study, we split the data images into a training and testing image set. We use 75% of the data as the training data for training the model, the next 25% remaining data were used as testing data. Moreover, all considered models were evaluated by taking advantage of the well-known performance criteria and Matthews correlation coefficient (MCC) [21].

According to the confusion matrix, the formulas for these measurements are described briefly as follows.

$$Accuracy = \frac{T_P + T_N}{T_P + F_N + F_P + T_N} \quad (1)$$

$$Precision = \frac{T_P}{T_P + F_P} \quad (2)$$

$$Recall = \frac{T_P}{T_P + F_N} \quad (3)$$

$$F1 - score = \frac{2 \times P \times R}{P + R} \quad (4)$$

$$MCC = \frac{T_P \times T_N - F_P \times F_N}{\sqrt{(T_P + F_P)(T_P + F_N)(T_N + F_P)(T_N + F_N)}} \quad (5)$$

where F_N and F_P present the quantity of the incorrect predictions respectively. T_P and T_N indicate the quantity of correct predictions.

The results of the implemented machine learning algorithms evaluated using the datasets described earlier.

Table II presents the performance of trained models with regard to the mentioned standard performance criteria.

TABLE II. PERFORMANCE COMPARISON BETWEEN COVID-19 DETECTION MODELS

Model	Artificial Neural Network		Machine learning		Ensemble learning	
	MLP	CNN	SVM	NB	AdaBoost	GBDT
Accuracy	0.9400	0.9760	0.9920	0.9400	0.9600	0.9520
Precision	0.9895	0.9724	0.9819	0.9122	0.9459	0.9145
Recall	0.8715	0.9724	1.000	0.9541	0.9633	0.9816
F1-score	0.9268	0.9724	0.990	0.9327	0.9545	0.9469
MCC	0.8814	0.9512	0.9838	0.8793	0.9189	0.9050

In our experiment, each test set is executed with six different machine learning algorithms. By using the values in the confusion matrix, 5 different statistics (in Equations. (1–5)) are calculated to measure the efficiency of the algorithms. In this study, the architecture of MLP designed as five fully connected layers with 350, 250, 150, 50 and 2 number of neurons in each layer, respectively. Except for the last layer, the rectified linear unit (Relu) used in considered MLP network and the last layer use "softmax" activation, which means it will return an array of 2 probability scores (Positive or Negative). In addition, the architecture of CNN designed as four convolution layers with a convolution kernel size of 7x7 to extract the features and each them uses 3x3 average pooling layer or max pooling to prevent the features. The number of convolution in each convolution layer is at least 64. After the max pooling layer, two 512-dimensional fully connected layer are added, along with dropout layer in order to prevent overfitting problem. In Table II, the results show that SVM outperforms the other models. The Table includes the performance of CNN which has provided a 97% accuracy rate. In addition, it is reported an 99% accuracy for the SVM model. Since image-based diagnosis SVM model has to deal with nonlinear pattern of data, we considered RBF kernel for SVM. Furthermore, the confusion matrix for the considered learning models is constructed in Table III.

Table III. CONFUSION MATRIX

Model	Confusion matrix		Predicted	
			P	N
MLP	Actual	P	140	1
		N	14	95
CNN	Actual	P	138	3
		N	3	106
SVM	Actual	P	139	2
		N	0	109
NB	Actual	P	131	10
		N	5	104
AdaBoost	Actual	P	131	10
		N	2	107
GBDT	Actual	P	135	6
		N	4	105

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Rapid diagnosis of COVID-19 symptoms is of utmost importance. This paper implemented COVID-19 detection models by using six different machine learning algorithms, as SVM, NB, GBDT, AdaBoost, CNN and MLP based on the datasets that include image data on 980 patients suspected with COVID-19 infection. The main purpose of this study was to introducing image-based ML methods for developers and end-users of intelligent medical systems in a comprehensive way. We compared the performance of the state-of-the-art models to show that how important is the ML image-based models reliability to diagnose diseases. The experimental results and discussions proved that the SVM with RBF kernel outperforms other existing methods.

TABLE IV. GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS

Artificial intelligence	AI
Artificial neural networks	ANN
Computer Tomography	CT
Convolutional neural networks	CNN
Ensemble learning	EL
Gradient boosting decision tree	GBDT
Machine learning	ML
Matthews correlation coefficient	MCC
Multilayer perceptron	MLP
Naive Bayes	NB
Statistic Learning Theory	SLT
Structural Risk Minimization	SRM
Support Vector Machine	SVM
World Health Organization	WHO

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We acknowledge the financial support of this work by the Hungarian State and the European Union under the EFOP-3.6.1-16-2016-00010 project and the 2017-1.3.1-VKE-2017-00025 project. The research presented in this paper was carried out as part of the EFOP-3.6.2-16-2017-00016 project in the framework of the New Szechenyi Plan. The completion of this project is funded by the European Union and co-financed by the European Social Fund. We acknowledge the financial support of this work by the Hungarian State and the European Union under the EFOP-3.6.1-16-2016-00010 project. We acknowledge the financial support of this work by the Hungarian-Mexican bilateral Scientific and Technological (2019-2.1.11-TÉT-2019-00007) project. The support of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation is acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Ramanathan et al., "Planning and provision of ECMO services for severe ARDS during the COVID-19 pandemic and other outbreaks of emerging infectious diseases," *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 518–526, May 2020.
- [2] J. Wong et al., "Preparing for a COVID-19 pandemic: a review of operating room outbreak response measures in a large tertiary hospital in Singapore," *Can J Anesth/J Can Anesth*, vol. 67, no. 6, pp. 732–745, Mar. 2020.

- [3] S. Sharma, "Drawing insights from COVID-19-infected patients using CT scan images and machine learning techniques: a study on 200 patients," *Environ Sci Pollut Res*, vol. 27, no. 29, pp. 37155–37163, Jul. 2020.
- [4] N. Narayan Das, N. Kumar, M. Kaur, V. Kumar, and D. Singh, "Automated Deep Transfer Learning-Based Approach for Detection of COVID-19 Infection in Chest X-rays," *IRBM*, Jul. 2020.
- [5] A. Jaiswal, N. Gianchandani, D. Singh, V. Kumar, and M. Kaur, "Classification of the COVID-19 infected patients using DenseNet201 based deep transfer learning," *Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics*, pp. 1–8, Jul. 2020.
- [6] X. Mei et al., "Artificial intelligence-enabled rapid diagnosis of patients with COVID-19," *Nat Med*, vol. 26, no. 8, pp. 1224–1228, May 2020.
- [7] G. Pinter, I. Felde, A. Mosavi, P. Ghamisi, and R. Gloaguen, "COVID-19 Pandemic Prediction for Hungary; A Hybrid Machine Learning Approach," *Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 6, p. 890, Jun. 2020.
- [8] S. F. Ardabili et al., "COVID-19 Outbreak Prediction with Machine Learning," *SSRN Journal*, 2020.
- [9] S. Suthaharan, "Support Vector Machine," in *Machine Learning Models and Algorithms for Big Data Classification*, Springer US, 2016, pp. 207–235.
- [10] H. Tabrizchi, M. M. Javidi, and V. Amirzadeh, "Estimates of residential building energy consumption using a multi-verse optimizer-based support vector machine with k-fold cross-validation," *Evolving Systems*, Apr. 2019.
- [11] M. Amrane, S. Oukid, I. Gagaoua, and T. Ensari, "Breast cancer classification using machine learning," presented at the 2018 Electric Electronics, Computer Science, Biomedical Engineerings' Meeting (EBBT), Apr. 2018.
- [12] D. C. Park, M. A. El-Sharkawi, R. J. Marks, L. E. Atlas, and M. J. Damborg, "Electric load forecasting using an artificial neural network," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 442–449, May 1991.
- [13] O. I. Abiodun, A. Jantan, A. E. Omolara, K. V. Dada, N. A. Mohamed, and H. Arshad, "State-of-the-art in artificial neural network applications: A survey," *Heliyon*, vol. 4, no. 11, p. e00938, Nov. 2018.
- [14] V.-E. Neaogoe, A.-D. Ciotec, and G.-S. Cucu, "Deep Convolutional Neural Networks Versus Multilayer Perceptron for Financial Prediction," presented at the 2018 12th International Conference on Communications (COMM), Jun. 2018.
- [15] S. Lawrence, C. L. Giles, Ah Chung Tsoi, and A. D. Back, "Face recognition: a convolutional neural-network approach," *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 98–113, 1997.
- [16] A. Khan, A. Sohail, U. Zahoor, and A. S. Qureshi, "A survey of the recent architectures of deep convolutional neural networks," *Artif Intell Rev*, Apr. 2020.
- [17] O. Sagi and L. Rokach, "Ensemble learning: A survey," *WIREs Data Mining Knowl Discov*, vol. 8, no. 4, Feb. 2018.
- [18] P. Bahad and P. Saxena, "Study of AdaBoost and Gradient Boosting Algorithms for Predictive Analytics," in *International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Smart Communication 2019*, Springer Singapore, 2019, pp. 235–244.
- [19] R. Blagus and L. Lusa, "Gradient boosting for high-dimensional prediction of rare events," *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, vol. 113, pp. 19–37, Sep. 2017.
- [20] G. Maguolo, L. Nanni, "A Critic Evaluation of Methods for COVID-19 Automatic Detection from X-Ray Images," *arXiv:2004.12823v3*, Apr. 2020.
- [21] Zhu, Q., "On the Performance of Matthews Correlation Coefficient (MCC) for Imbalanced Dataset. *Pattern Recognition Letters*. 2020. 12. Pp. 122-144.