#### Systematic review reveals multiple sexually antagonistic 1

#### polymorphisms affecting human disease and complex 2

traits 3

4

- Jon Alexander Harper<sup>1\*</sup>, Tim Janicke<sup>2,3</sup> and Edward H. Morrow<sup>4</sup> 5
- 6 \* Corresponding author
- 7 <sup>1</sup>Evolution, Behaviour and Environment Group, School of Life Sciences, John Maynard Smith
- 8 Building, University of Sussex, Brighton, BN1 9QG, UK.
- 9 <sup>2</sup>Centre d'Écologie Fonctionnelle et Évolutive, UMR 5175, CNRS, Université de Montpellier,
- 10 École Pratique des Hautes Études, Montpellier, France.
- <sup>3</sup>Applied Zoology, Technical University Dresden, Zellescher Weg 20b, 01062 Dresden, 11
- 12 Germany.
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Environmental and Life Sciences, Karlstad University, 651 88, Karlstad, 13
- 14 Sweden.

15

19

- 16 Jon Alexander Harper ORCID: 0000-0002-1765-9990
- 17 Tim Janicke ORCID: 0000-0002-1453-6813
- 18 Edward H Morrow ORCID: 0000-0002-1853-7469
- 21 Short title: Systematic review of sexually antagonistic genes in human disease

# Abstract

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

Sex differences in human disease risk and incidence are widely documented but their origins are poorly understood. An evolutionary model for differential disease risk between the sexes posits that alleles that are a risk factor (deleterious) in one sex may actually be protective (beneficial) in the opposite sex, and as such these sexually antagonistic (SA) alleles may be maintained in the population at frequencies higher than expected under purifying selection against unconditionally deleterious alleles. Sexual antagonism at genetic loci is thought to arise due to fundamental differences in how Darwinian fitness is maximized in the two sexes. Evidence for the occurrence of SA alleles comes from a number of non-human vertebrate and invertebrate models, which indicate they are common, although only very few specific SA loci have been identified. While there are many examples of loci with sex-limited effects in humans, there are no known examples of specific genetic variants that experience opposing patterns of selection between the sexes in humans. We hypothesized that this may be due to a mismatch in terminology between scientific disciplines rather than a genuine lack of examples. We therefore undertook a two-stage review of the literature for evidence of genetic polymorphisms in human disease and complex traits that exert their effects in a SA pattern, extracting effect sizes and allele frequencies where possible. In the first stage, we used search terms from evolutionary biology that aimed to identify examples of genes specifically referred to as having SA effects or were implicated in intralocus sexual conflict. In the second, we used terminology that was designed to capture as many examples as possible from the biomedical literature (e.g. sex-dependent, sex-opposite, men/women, boys/girls), which may have been missed in the first stage due to differences in terminology between the disciplines. The two searches gave very different results. The first stage returned 34 papers in total, none of which included evidence from humans of genetic loci with opposite effects on the two sexes. The second stage returned 881 papers, which after screening resulted in 70 papers, 32 were deemed eligible, and described 51 different genetic loci or haplotypes with sex-opposite effects on complex traits or disease. Of these, 22 loci increase disease risk or severity in one sex but are protective in the other. These loci may therefore be considered SA loci if the effects on disease risk translate into marginal effects on fitness through fecundity selection or mortality, although in no case were they referred to in terms of sexual

antagonism. As predicted, alleles with net positive effects across the sexes occurred at a higher frequency than those with net negative effects. Our review reveals that significant long-term barriers to communication are occurring between scientific fields as a result of discipline-specific terminology. Less specific search terms revealed evidence that SA variants are maintained at relatively high frequencies in humans, apparently because while they contribute to the propensity for one sex to develop a range of diseases and disorders, they protect the other.

495 words

# Introduction

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

In species with separate sexes an evolutionary conflict at the level of individual genetic loci can occur, where alleles that are favoured by selection in one sex are selected against in the other (i.e intralocus sexual conflict, Parker, 1979). This sexually antagonistic (SA) form of selection is thought to be driven by differences in how the two sexes maximize their fitness and concomitant unequal variances in reproductive success. Research into SA selection has expanded recently with the recognition that it feeds into several important evolutionary processes. It is primarily thought to drive the evolution of sexual dimorphism and trait diversification (Lande, 1980; Pennell et al., 2016; Rice, 1984), including sex-biased gene expression (Ellegren and Parsch, 2007). As a potential driver of balancing selection, it has also been implicated in the maintenance of genetic variation (Connallon and Clark, 2013; Grieshop and Arnqvist, 2018) that would otherwise be eroded by directional selection. Most recently, it has been suggested that genetic variation at SA loci could contribute to the occurrence of a number of common human diseases (Morrow, 2015; Morrow and Connallon, 2013), which also show considerable variation between the sexes in terms of their prevalence, severity and age of onset (Ober et al., 2008; Rigby and Kulathinal, 2015).

Despite the interest in sexual antagonism as an evolutionary force, determining the identity of SA loci remains a major challenge (Ruzicka et al., 2020). An early empirical milestone in the field of sexual conflict was achieved by quantitative genetic studies demonstrating that genomes in a laboratory adapted population of *Drosophila melanogaster* harbour significant amounts of SA standing genetic variation (Chippindale et al., 2001; Rice, 1992). A number of other systems have shown similar results, albeit using different methods, including invertebrates and vertebrates from both lab and wild populations (Bonduriansky and Chenoweth, 2009; Foerster et al., 2007; Mills et al., 2011). With the advent of advanced genomic tools, two model systems report specific examples of SA genetic loci: in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) the VGLL3 locus (Barson et al., 2015), and in D. melanogaster the DDT-R locus (Rostant et al., 2015), the Ala-278-Thr polymorphism in the mitochondrial genome (Camus et al., 2015), and multiple candidate loci from a recent genome-wide association study (Ruzicka et al., 2019).

Although humans have also been shown to experience SA selection for some quantitative traits (Garver-Apgar et al., 2011; Stearns et al., 2012; Stulp et al., 2012), and there

are numerous reports of genetic loci with sex-specific effects (i.e. effects that differ in magnitude between the sexes) on multiple complex traits or disease phenotypes (Gilks et al., 2014; Winkler et al., 2015), there are apparently no clear examples of SA loci in humans, which is inconsistent with theoretical expectations (Connallon and Clark, 2014). One potential explanation is that sexual antagonism is a weak selective force in humans, with sexual dimorphism being rather limited (Dixson, 2009; Short, 1979). This may indicate that concordant selection pressures between the sexes have dominated our evolutionary history. But the recent quantitative genetic studies challenge this view (Stearns et al., 2012; Stulp et al., 2012), and indeed theory predicts there is an inevitability to SA loci occurring in organisms with separate sexes (Connallon and Clark, 2014; Parker et al., 1972). Thus, there is a clear disparity between, on the one hand, theoretical expectations and quantitative genetic evidence that SA selection does occur in humans, and on the other hand, a complete lack of specific examples of SA loci. All this in the context of decades of research into how individual genetic variants influence disease profiles.

An alternative explanation for the absence of documented SA loci in humans is that since biomedical science does not use the same terminology for SA effects that evolutionary biology uses, there may in fact be examples that have been misclassified and therefore remain hidden in the literature. For example, genetic loci with sex-specific effects are frequently referred to as sex-dependent effects in the biomedical literature. Other terms are also used for related concepts, including sex-different effects. Furthermore, sex-opposite effects (i.e. a positive effect in one sex and a negative in the other) could be SA effects if the phenotype in question is deleterious, as disease phenotypes often are, since they can influence an individual's survival or reproductive fitness. The biomedical literature also frequently uses the terms sex and gender interchangeably, with males and females more often than not referred to as men and women, or boys and girls (Khramtsova et al., 2019). There is therefore ample scope for discipline-specific terms to be used for describing the same concept, which may hinder communication between disciplines and obscure examples of SA loci. Moreover, although there has been some progress in introducing concepts from evolutionary biology into the field of medicine (Nesse and Williams, 1994), those inroads have been relatively recent. It is therefore likely that the concepts of (intralocus) sexual conflict and sexual antagonism are not generally well known within biomedical science, and variants that may have SA effects on disease risk may not be referred to as such. A secondary related hypothesis

is that SA effects, when discovered, are discounted as errors as they do not match expectations that sex-differences in phenotype or genetic architecture are not important (Clayton and Collins, 2014). As a result, that may also lead them to be misclassified or simply go unreported, leading to a general publication bias.

For these reasons, we propose that a systematic review of the biomedical literature to identify SA loci in humans requires a specific and targeted set of search terms that would not normally be used within the field of evolutionary biology. We test this assumption by dividing our systematic review into two stages. In the first stage, we search for papers identifying specific genetic loci using terms directly relating to the concept of sexual antagonism and others utilized in evolutionary biology. We supplement this search with a second stage, where we develop a set of terms that we hypothesize may capture the same concept as sexual antagonism within the biomedical literature, although not explicitly stated as such, as well as additional terminology relating to possible alternatives for describing the two sexes. From our searches we also extracted data on sex-specific effect sizes and allele frequencies. We were then able to explore how effect sizes varied for the same alleles between the sexes, and test the prediction that SA alleles experiencing net positive selection between the sexes may achieve higher equilibrium frequencies than alleles that experience more symmetric or net negative patterns of selection (Morrow and Connallon, 2013). Our systematic review aims to advance our understanding of SA genes in humans, which show considerable and largely unexplained diversity in sexual dimorphism for disease phenotypes (Ober et al., 2006; Rigby and Kulathinal, 2015), but we also highlight how and why interdisciplinary research (in this case between biology and medicine) can sometimes fail, impeding scientific advances over significant periods of time.

### Methods

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

For this systematic review we followed PRISMA guidance where possible (Moher et al., 2009). PubMed (<a href="https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/">https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</a>) was searched for articles on 2<sup>nd</sup> December 2020 with no time limit. The searches were carried out in two Stages, with the organism filter set to human in both stages. In Stage 1, eligible studies were required to report specific genetic variants or haplotypes that were referred to as sexually antagonistic or were an example of intralocus sexual conflict. To achieve this, we conducted a Boolean search for articles that used the terms "sexual antagonism" OR "sexually antagonistic" OR "intralocus sexual conflict"

AND "locus" OR "loci", "gene" OR "snp" OR "polymorphism" OR "variant" OR "allele" in their abstract or title. The Stage 1 search returned 34 articles in total (full search term in the supplementary material; is accessible at search output https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/collections/60255050/?sort=pubdate).

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

In Stage 2, studies were required to report specific genetic variants or haplotypes in humans with opposite effects in the two sexes on either complex traits, the outcome of a medical intervention, or disease risk/severity. We define complex traits as likely with a polygenic genetic architecture but are not directly related to a disease phenotype. In this second stage search terms were specifically designed to include papers from the biomedical literature that may have been missed in the first stage because they do not report their findings with terms normally found within the evolutionary biology literature. Again, we conducted a Boolean search for articles that used terms in their title or abstract to describe an opposite or different effect in the two sexes ("sex dependent", "sex different", "genderdependent", "sex AND opposite", or "gender AND opposite"), or that capture this concept with alternative words for sex (("male AND female AND opposite" OR "men AND women AND opposite" OR "boys AND girls AND opposite") AND ("locus" OR "loci" OR "gene" OR "snp" OR "polymorphism" OR "variant" OR "allele")). Full details of the search terms used and the numbers of articles returned are provided in the supplementary material. The Stage 2 search returned 881 papers (Figure 1) (full search term in the supplementary material; search output is accessible at https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/collections/60254985/?sort=pubdate).

The abstracts of the papers from Stage 1 were then examined by EM and from Stage 2 by JH, and any papers that had the possibility of reporting an opposite effect of a specific genetic locus on a complex trait, medical intervention or on disease risk/severity were considered for further screening. From Stage 1, no articles passed the screening. From Stage 70 2, this screening produced а shortlist of candidate papers (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/collections/57906298/?sort=pubdate) Both JH and EM then reviewed all the full texts of these candidate papers in detail. Papers were included in the final list if they described a sex-opposite or SA effect linked to a specific genetic locus or loci, and reported the effect to be statistically significant (at a P-value cut-off of <0.05). One additional paper was considered from an outside source.

We converted all reported sex-specific effects into a standard effect size (Cohen's d) quantifying the magnitude of how a given variant affects the studied trait expressed in the

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

given sex. Specifically, Cohen's d was computed based on the reported descriptive statistics (N, mean, standard error) or by conversion from other effect sizes (Odds ratio) and test statistics (F-values, t-values) using formulas reported elsewhere (Borenstein, 2009; Gurevitch et al., 2013, pp. 195–206; Lajeunesse, 2013). We sought information directly from the authors where these metrics were not possible to extract from the papers themselves (12 authors contacted, 5 responded, 3 responded with data, all later excluded as they did not fulfil the criteria for eligibility). We also recorded the gene name, locus (with accession/rs number where possible), trait affected and the frequency of the focal allele having the effect, hereafter referred to as effect allele frequency. Identification for the variants were taken from the studies where possible, but others necessitated searching the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) to find the Reference SNP cluster ID for the variants described. Where effect allele frequencies were not reported, we used genotype frequencies to calculate effect allele frequency. Not all studies reported allele or genotype frequencies and so we attempted to supplement these data with allele frequencies from the 1000 genomes database (Auton et al., 2015), since these show a strong correlation with effect allele frequencies reported in the studies reviewed (Pearson correlation: N = 25 r = 0.94, P > 0.001; Figure S1). However, using this approach we were only able to supplement our allele frequency data for one additional locus (rs7341475 in the RELN gene; Table 1).

If  $b_f$  and  $b_m$  represent the estimated effect of the focal allele in females and males respectively, then positive values of  $b_f$  or  $b_m$  imply that the allele is positively associated with disease expression, whereas negative values imply that the allele is negatively associated with disease expression. SA alleles are therefore defined as those with opposite effects between the sexes (i.e.  $b_f < 0 < b_m$  or  $b_m < 0 < b_f$ ). An evolutionary model of evolution at SA loci predicts that as the magnitude of the positive effect that an SA allele has outweighs the negative effect, the higher the frequency that allele can achieve (Morrow and Connallon, 2013). We therefore expect a negative relationship between the ratio of positive to negative effect sizes (hereafter referred to as the effect size ratio) and observed allele frequency. To investigate this, we calculated the effect size ratio by dividing the positive standardized effect size by the negative standardized effect size; when  $b_f < 0 < b_m$  effect size ratio was calculated as  $b_m / b_f$ , and when  $b_{\rm m}$  < 0 <  $b_{\rm f}$  effect size ratio was calculated as  $b_{\rm f}/b_{\rm m}$ . Thus, SA alleles with a greater beneficial effect will have a smaller, more negative effect size ratio (<-1), while SA alleles that have a greater deleterious effect will have a larger effect size ratio (>-1), up to a maximum

value of 0 (positive values occur when the effect is positive or negative in both sexes, but the allele would then no longer be defined as a SA allele).

We modelled how effect allele frequency changes with effect size ratio using a generalized linear model (GLM), weighted by the inverse of the variance of the effect sizes such that data points with smaller variance have a higher weight, since smaller sample sizes were associated with larger and more variable effect sizes (Figure S2). We initially also included trait class and its interaction with effect size ratio as a fixed factor with two levels (complex trait and disease trait/severity), since alleles that influence complex traits in opposite directions are not necessarily under SA selection and so may not behave in the way predicted, whereas alleles influencing disease traits, unless very late acting, are more likely to show a closer relationship with marginal effects on fitness. As a response variable, effect allele frequency is limited between 0 and 1, so we looked at allele counts to allow allele frequency to vary freely. GLMs with binomial error distribution showed substantial overdispersion, so we used a quasibinomial function to address this issue (Payne et al., 2018). We used a Chi-squared test to infer significance of the two predictor variables and their interaction. The full model indicated that the interaction term and trait class have no significant effect, so that we report the fit of the reduced model which only includes effect size ratio. We also subsequently modelled the data for the two trait classes (complex traits and disease risk/severity) separately, again using a quasibinomial distribution function, to see if the result was replicated in these smaller subsets of the data. The raw data and R script is available for replicating the analyses and figures we present (Supplementary Material).

# Results

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

The Stage 1 search found no articles that described genetic loci in humans with effects that were sexually antagonistic. In contrast, the Stage 2 search identified 32 articles that described significant sex-opposite variants with statistically or SA effects (Figure https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/collections/60278165/?sort=pubdate). From the studies examined, 51 SA variants were identified (Table 1), affecting 21 different complex traits (30 loci) and 19 disease risk/severity traits (21 loci), with a large range of effect sizes. There were no variants affecting medical intervention traits that passed the screening process. The majority of alleles had effect sizes of similar absolute values in the two sexes, with larger effects tending to show greater differences on absolute effect size (Figure S3).

We found 22 studies provided sufficient data to allow the relationship between effect size ratio and effect allele frequency to be explored by statistical modelling. Trait class and its interaction with effect size ratio were initially included in the model but neither were found to have a significant effect (GLM: trait class dfs = 1,35, deviance =  $15.5 \times 10^3$ , P = 0.965; interaction dfs = 1,34, deviance = 1476, P = 0.989) and were therefore excluded from the subsequent model in which effect size ratio was found to relate negatively to effect allele frequency, as predicted (GLM: estimate  $\pm$  SE = -1.10  $\pm$  0.50, dfs = 1,36, deviance = 38.7  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup>, P = 0.024; Figure 2). For three loci there were data on effect sizes for more than one trait (Table 1), which introduced a degree of non-independence between these values in the dataset. However, resampling the data with effect sizes for only one trait for these three loci in turn and modelling these datasets in the same way (18 individual GLMs, dfs = 1,31) did not change the results qualitatively (minimum, maximum: model estimate = -1.0963, -1.0964; deviance =  $38.72 \times 10^6$ ,  $38.73 \times 10^6$ ; P = 0.03618, 0.03620).

Although the interaction term between trait type and effect size ratio was not significant we wanted to investigate whether the negative relationship between effect size ratio and effect allele frequency across all loci was repeated when the data was divided according to trait class, since while opposite effects on disease risk/severity may show a direct relationship with SA fitness effects, complex traits may or may not be experiencing SA selection, even if effects are in opposite directions in the two sexes. However, although the trends were both again negative as predicted, the generalized linear models of these smaller datasets were only marginally significant (complex traits model estimate  $\pm$  SE = -1.10  $\pm$  0.61, dfs = 1,24, deviance =  $38.7 \times 106$ , P = 0.065; disease risk/severity model estimate  $\pm$  SE = -1.34  $\pm$  0.77, dfs = 1,10, deviance = 5.14  $\times$  104, P = 0.053; Figure S4). As for the full dataset, there was some lack of independence for the complex traits subset which contained loci with effect sizes for more than one trait. Again, resampling and modelling these datasets in the same way (18 individual glms, dfs 1, 22) did not change the results quantitatively (minimum, maximum: model estimate = -1.0960, -1.0961; deviance =  $38.669 \times 10^6$ ,  $38.675 \times 10^6$ ; P =0.07774, 0.07776).

### Discussion

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

The Stage 1 literature search of our systematic review focussed on finding reports of specific genetic loci in humans with evidence for SA effects using terminology normally associated

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

with the evolutionary concepts of sexual conflict or sexual antagonism. The Stage 2 search sought equivalent evidence, but used search terms that we anticipated would be used by scientists outside the field of evolutionary biology, who may not use the same terminology. Although the Stage 1 search did not find any examples of SA loci occurring in humans, the Stage 2 search identified 51 genetic loci across 39 studies that had ostensibly SA effects, but were not described as such. Clearly then the Stage 1 review failed to identify multiple relevant reports going back some 20 years because the terminology used in those reports did not match the conceptual framework of the search. The Stage 2 search may also be a lower limit given searches of other larger databases may also harbour further examples (see below). Although not yet validated, these reports nonetheless represent a substantial body of evidence that humans, like other organisms with separate sexes (Bonduriansky and Chenoweth, 2009), inevitably experience SA selection for a wide range of complex traits (Connallon and Clark, 2014; Garver-Apgar et al., 2011; Stearns et al., 2012; Stulp et al., 2012), as well as for a range of diseases.

A key prediction from a population genetic model of SA genetic variation is that SA alleles are expected to achieve higher equilibrium frequencies, without necessarily going to fixation, as the relative magnitude of the positive effect in one sex outweighs the negative effect in the opposite sex i.e. an increasingly negative effect size ratio (Morrow and Connallon, 2013). We found clear support for this prediction, with a negative relationship between effect size ratio and allele frequency when examining all complex traits and diseases together (Fig. 2), although this relationship was only marginally significant when complex or disease trait classes were modelled separately (Fig S3). These results lend support to the view that the loci identified are genuinely experiencing SA selection, and although it is difficult to discern how selection acts on trait size in males and females for complex traits we would not expect the frequencies of disease-causing alleles to be so high under a mutation-selection balance. We were not able to look at the evolutionary history or age of these alleles due to limited information (n = 8) (Albers and McVean, 2018), it would nonetheless be valuable to examine the population dynamics of these alleles over a broad timescale.

The loci showed a very broad range of effect sizes, from small to very large, with a generally symmetrical inverse relationship between effect size in one sex and the other (Figure S2), which is generally expected, since our screening process necessarily excluded studies reporting effects in the same direction across the two sexes. Nonetheless, it is striking

just how large some of the effects were, with very large negative effects in one sex simultaneously occurring with similarly large positive effects. Larger and more variable effect sizes are expected when sample sizes are small, a pattern we also found, which motivates further investigation of the traits and loci included in this review to elucidate more accurate estimates of real effect sizes.

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

The loci themselves fall within genes that influence a broad range of phenotypes, including morphological, physiological and behavioural complex traits, and a similarly diverse range of disease types, including various cancers, neurological disorders and immune system processes (see Table 1). For the most part, the diseases appear to be early-acting rather than late-onset, with the exception of perhaps bone mineral density. As such, it seems reasonable that an allele that increases disease risk or severity in these cases will indeed have a concomitant reduction in marginal fitness. Although a single large study identified several loci related to BMI adjusted waist-to-hip ratio, there is generally no overall bias towards one particular trait or disease class. The total number of loci is relatively small however, which may limit power to identify such biases if they exist. We also did not find any examples of SA loci on the X-chromosome, which may or may not be a hotspot of sexual antagonism (Fry, 2010; Rice, 1984; Ruzicka and Connallon, 2020). This was expected given that it is commonly not included in genome-wide analyses (Wise et al., 2013), although most of the papers we reviewed were candidate gene studies. Nonetheless, we anticipate further examples may be identified should data from the X-chromosome be included systematically in association studies.

We also found evidence that some traits are influenced by more than one genetic variant in sex-opposite or SA ways (n=8), or that some specific variants have pleiotropic effects on more than one trait or disease in a sex-opposite or SA way (n=6; see Table 1). This complexity in the genetic architecture of traits or diseases may make conflict resolution particularly difficult, as a change in the allele at a single genetic locus experiencing SA selection may simultaneously influence multiple genes or phenotypes in both sexes in divergent ways (Fitzpatrick, 2004; Pennell and Morrow, 2013). Consequently, this may explain the persistence of intralocus sexual conflict at these loci. It may be that some of the remaining loci also have as yet unidentified pleiotropic effects with other traits or there are as yet unidentified SA loci influencing those same traits or diseases. Of the 51 variants identified, 4 were confirmed to be haplotypes. Such variants, consisting of two or more SNPs in linkage

disequilibrium having a joint effect, could also present a problem for conflict resolution, since the ability of selection to act on any individual locus independent of the others in the linkage block is reduced for linked loci. This issue may also extend to other single variants reported here if they also occur in linkage blocks.

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

Although we have identified multiple genetic loci with either sex-opposite or SA effects these are likely outnumbered by those with either sex-specific (same direction but different magnitude) or sex-limited effects. For instance, Winkler et al. (2015) report 44 loci with sex-specific or sex-limited effects but only 11 with sex-opposite effects. Several other genome-wide association studies report sex-specific effects in several human diseases (Khramtsova et al., 2019), and a recent (non-systematic) review identified 37 SNPs with sexdependent effects (Gilks et al., 2014). Detection of loci with SA versus sex-specific or sexlimited effects may differ systematically since we expect SA loci are more likely to persist at intermediate frequencies than loci with sex-specific effects (i.e. that differ between the sexes in magnitude but not sign). A larger systematic review that targeted variants with sex-specific or sex-dependent effects would therefore be a valuable contribution and enable us to more clearly understand how important SA alleles are relative to the broader context of genes with non-identical effects in the two sexes.

A key gap in our knowledge is whether the putative examples of sex-opposite or SA alleles presented here can be validated using independently derived datasets in the same or different sub-populations. For this, focussing on those variants associated with sexdifferential disease risk would naturally be the most fruitful for advancing our knowledge of sexual antagonism in human disease. We therefore encourage specialists for the particular disease groups presented in Table 1 to include in the future the potential for SA genetic effects to occur when designing studies and analysing data. It is also important for all studies to report results in sufficient detail so that effect sizes can be calculated (if not given) as well as allele frequency data. Unfortunately, 17 studies failed to do this, so could not be included in our review and subsequent test of the evolutionary model. More generally, our study reinforces the view that sex is an important factor in shaping genetic associations with human traits and disease, even in divergent and contradictory ways, and so should always be considered when investigators examine genetic associations with phenotypes (Lee, 2018; Ober et al., 2008).

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

There is a possibility that some bias exists in the PubMed database against papers from the field of evolutionary biology, and if we had used another database then both stages would have returned valid papers. We subsequently (14th Dec 2020) sought to investigate this possibility with additional searches of Scopus and Web of Science. Repeating the Stage 1 search initially returned 85 papers in Web of Science (Basic search of Topic, refined by "Human" within results) and 71 papers in Scopus (include keywords "Human", exclude "Non-Human"), but again no papers made it through the screening process. Repeating the Stage 2 search returned a much larger number of studies in both Web of Science (4,573; Basic search of Topic, refined by "Human" within results) and Scopus (6,616; Advanced search of Title-Abstract, filter: include human and humans, exclude nonhumans, Articles only), with 8,604 unique records. This large number of records is too many to currently screen and may harbour further examples of SA loci in humans, but it is noteworthy that the majority of the initial list of studies found during Stage 2 using PubMed were also found in the other two databases (712 out of 881) as well as 28 out of the screened list of 32 studies identified in the current systematic review.

The disparity between the two stages of the review process provides a remarkable example of how discipline-specific terminology and concepts can hinder scientific communication between fields for substantial periods of time. For many of the studies identified in this review, the focus of the research was not on quantifying or even identifying sex-specific genetic effects in traits or disease, although they were tested for. The responses to such findings varied. Some framed these results as a major finding, others merely made note of them, with one suggesting that since the genetic associations were in opposite directions in the two sexes then it should be regarded as a false positive (Wong et al., 2005). It is possible, or even likely then that there exists a publication bias in the biomedical sciences against studies with apparently incongruous sex-opposite or SA effects, perhaps in part because the evolutionary framework that may provide a context for understanding those results is not generally appreciated or known. Of course, for evolutionary biologists interested in the role of SA selection on human traits and disease, this is clearly a problem. For many years no specific examples of SA loci were known in humans, and yet the first putative examples in fact appeared in the literature two decades ago. Our systematic review therefore provides a concrete illustration of how advances to both fields have likely been hampered by

a limited understanding of the theoretical framework and terminology used by the neighbouring field.

How can communication between the fields of medicine and evolutionary biology be facilitated? We do not have a comprehensive answer but clearly training in, or an increased awareness of, evolutionary medicine for scientists in both camps would probably be beneficial. The topic of evolutionary biology now forms a key part of the education for some biomedical scientists and clinicians. Similarly, evolutionary medicine is now frequently included in fundamental textbooks on evolution, and is being taught to students of evolutionary biology as part of their degree courses. These changes have been relatively recent, are far from being universally employed, but they should be encouraged. Funders of primary research are also now actively encouraging or obliging researchers to include sex as a factor when designing their studies (Clayton and Collins, 2014), although this may simply result in studies that aim to control for rather than investigate these effects explicitly. Consequently even this remedy may still preclude a deeper understanding of the origins of some human diseases.

# Acknowledgements

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

430

- 425 We thank Jessica Abbott, Tim Connallon and Filip Ruzicka for comments on a previous version
- 426 of the MS. Funding was provided by the Swedish Research Council (Grant number: 2019-
- 427 03567) and by the Royal Society to EHM as a University Research Fellowship and
- 428 Enhancement Award. TJ was funded by the Centre national de la recherche scientifique
- 429 (CNRS) and the German Research Foundation (DFG grant number: JA 2653/2-1).

# References

- 431 Albers, P.K., McVean, G., 2018. Dating genomic variants and shared ancestry in population-
- 432 scale sequencing data (preprint). Genomics. https://doi.org/10.1101/416610
- 433 Auton, A., Abecasis, G.R., Altshuler, D.M., Durbin, R.M., Abecasis, G.R., Bentley, D.R.,
- 434 Chakravarti, A., Clark, A.G., Donnelly, P., Eichler, E.E., Flicek, P., Gabriel, S.B., Gibbs,
- 435 R.A., Green, E.D., Hurles, M.E., Knoppers, B.M., Korbel, J.O., Lander, E.S., Lee, C.,
- 436 Lehrach, H., Mardis, E.R., Marth, G.T., McVean, G.A., Nickerson, D.A., Schmidt, J.P.,
- 437 Sherry, S.T., Wang, J., Wilson, R.K., Gibbs, R.A., Boerwinkle, E., Doddapaneni, H., Han,
- 438 Y., Korchina, V., Kovar, C., Lee, S., Muzny, D., Reid, J.G., Zhu, Y., Wang, J., Chang, Y.,
- 439 Feng, Q., Fang, X., Guo, X., Jian, M., Jiang, H., Jin, X., Lan, T., Li, G., Li, J., Li, Y., Liu, S.,
- 440 Liu, X., Lu, Y., Ma, X., Tang, M., Wang, B., Wang, G., Wu, H., Wu, R., Xu, X., Yin, Y.,

441 Zhang, D., Zhang, W., Zhao, J., Zhao, M., Zheng, X., Lander, E.S., Altshuler, D.M., 442 Gabriel, S.B., Gupta, N., Gharani, N., Toji, L.H., Gerry, N.P., Resch, A.M., Flicek, P., 443 Barker, J., Clarke, L., Gil, L., Hunt, S.E., Kelman, G., Kulesha, E., Leinonen, R., McLaren, 444 W.M., Radhakrishnan, R., Roa, A., Smirnov, D., Smith, R.E., Streeter, I., Thormann, A., 445 Toneva, I., Vaughan, B., Zheng-Bradley, X., Bentley, D.R., Grocock, R., Humphray, S., 446 James, T., Kingsbury, Z., Lehrach, H., Sudbrak, R., Albrecht, M.W., Amstislavskiy, V.S., 447 Borodina, T.A., Lienhard, M., Mertes, F., Sultan, M., Timmermann, B., Yaspo, M.-L., 448 Mardis, E.R., Wilson, R.K., Fulton, L., Fulton, R., Sherry, S.T., Ananiev, V., Belaia, Z., 449 Beloslyudtsev, D., Bouk, N., Chen, C., Church, D., Cohen, R., Cook, C., Garner, J., 450 Hefferon, T., Kimelman, M., Liu, C., Lopez, J., Meric, P., O'Sullivan, C., Ostapchuk, Y., 451 Phan, L., Ponomarov, S., Schneider, V., Shekhtman, E., Sirotkin, K., Slotta, D., Zhang, 452 H., McVean, G.A., Durbin, R.M., Balasubramaniam, S., Burton, J., Danecek, P., Keane, 453 T.M., Kolb-Kokocinski, A., McCarthy, S., Stalker, J., Quail, M., Schmidt, J.P., Davies, 454 C.J., Gollub, J., Webster, T., Wong, B., Zhan, Y., Auton, A., Campbell, C.L., Kong, Y., 455 Marcketta, A., Gibbs, R.A., Yu, F., Antunes, L., Bainbridge, M., Muzny, D., Sabo, A., 456 Huang, Z., Wang, J., Coin, L.J.M., Fang, L., Guo, X., Jin, X., Li, G., Li, Q., Li, Y., Li, Z., Lin, 457 H., Liu, B., Luo, R., Shao, H., Xie, Y., Ye, C., Yu, C., Zhang, F., Zheng, H., Zhu, H., Alkan, 458 C., Dal, E., Kahveci, F., Marth, G.T., Garrison, E.P., Kural, D., Lee, W.-P., Fung Leong, 459 W., Stromberg, M., Ward, A.N., Wu, J., Zhang, M., Daly, M.J., DePristo, M.A., 460 Handsaker, R.E., Altshuler, D.M., Banks, E., Bhatia, G., del Angel, G., Gabriel, S.B., 461 Genovese, G., Gupta, N., Li, H., Kashin, S., Lander, E.S., McCarroll, S.A., Nemesh, J.C., 462 Poplin, R.E., Yoon, S.C., Lihm, J., Makarov, V., Clark, A.G., Gottipati, S., Keinan, A., 463 Rodriguez-Flores, J.L., Korbel, J.O., Rausch, T., Fritz, M.H., Stütz, A.M., Flicek, P., Beal, 464 K., Clarke, L., Datta, A., Herrero, J., McLaren, W.M., Ritchie, G.R.S., Smith, R.E., 465 Zerbino, D., Zheng-Bradley, X., Sabeti, P.C., Shlyakhter, I., Schaffner, S.F., Vitti, J., 466 Cooper, D.N., Ball, E.V., Stenson, P.D., Bentley, D.R., Barnes, B., Bauer, M., Keira 467 Cheetham, R., Cox, A., Eberle, M., Humphray, S., Kahn, S., Murray, L., Peden, J., 468 Shaw, R., Kenny, E.E., Batzer, M.A., Konkel, M.K., Walker, J.A., MacArthur, D.G., Lek, 469 M., Sudbrak, R., Amstislavskiy, V.S., Herwig, R., Mardis, E.R., Ding, L., Koboldt, D.C., Larson, D., Ye, K., Gravel, S., The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, Corresponding 470 471 authors, Steering committee, Production group, Baylor College of Medicine, BGI-472 Shenzhen, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Coriell Institute for Medical Research, 473 European Molecular Biology Laboratory, E.B.I., Illumina, Max Planck Institute for 474 Molecular Genetics, McDonnell Genome Institute at Washington University, US 475 National Institutes of Health, University of Oxford, Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, 476 Analysis group, Affymetrix, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bilkent University, 477 Boston College, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cornell University, European 478 Molecular Biology Laboratory, Harvard University, Human Gene Mutation Database, 479 Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, Louisiana State University, Massachusetts 480 General Hospital, McGill University, National Eye Institute, N., 2015. A global 481 reference for human genetic variation. Nature 526, 68–74. 482 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15393 483 Barson, N.J., Aykanat, T., Hindar, K., Baranski, M., Bolstad, G.H., Fiske, P., Jacq, C., Jensen, 484 A.J., Johnston, S.E., Karlsson, S., Kent, M., Moen, T., Niemelä, E., Nome, T., Næsje, 485 T.F., Orell, P., Romakkaniemi, A., Sægrov, H., Urdal, K., Erkinaro, J., Lien, S., Primmer, 486 C.R., 2015. Sex-dependent dominance at a single locus maintains variation in age at 487 maturity in salmon. Nature 528, 405-408. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16062

- 488 Bonduriansky, R., Chenoweth, S.F., 2009. Intralocus sexual conflict. Trends Ecol Evol 24, 489 280-288.
- 490 Borenstein, M., 2009. Converting Among Effect Sizes, in: Introduction to Meta-Analysis. John 491 Wiley & Sons, Ltd, pp. 45–49. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470743386.ch7
- 492 Camus, M.F., Wolf, J.B.W., Morrow, E.H., Dowling, D.K., 2015. Single Nucleotides in the 493 mtDNA Sequence Modify Mitochondrial Molecular Function and Are Associated with 494 Sex-Specific Effects on Fertility and Aging. Curr. Biol. 25, 2717–2722. 495 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.09.012
- 496 Carlson, J.C., Nidey, N.L., Butali, A., Buxo, C.J., Christensen, K., Deleyiannis, F.W.-D., Hecht, 497 J.T., Field, L.L., Moreno-Uribe, L.M., Orioli, I.M., Poletta, F.A., Padilla, C., Vieira, A.R., 498 Weinberg, S.M., Wehby, G.L., Feingold, E., Murray, J.C., Marazita, M.L., Leslie, E.J., 499 2018. Genome-wide interaction studies identify sex-specific risk alleles for 500 nonsyndromic orofacial clefts. Genet. Epidemiol. 42, 664–672. 501 https://doi.org/10.1002/gepi.22158

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

- Chippindale, A.K., Gibson, J.R., Rice, W.R., 2001. Negative genetic correlation for adult fitness between sexes reveals ontogenetic conflict in Drosophila. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98, 1671-1675.
- Cicchetti, D., Rogosch, F.A., Hecht, K.F., Crick, N.R., Hetzel, S., 2014. Moderation of maltreatment effects on childhood borderline personality symptoms by gender and oxytocin receptor and FK506 binding protein 5 genes. Dev. Psychopathol. 26, 831-849. https://doi.org/10.1017/S095457941400042X
- Clayton, J.A., Collins, F.S., 2014. Policy: NIH to balance sex in cell and animal studies. Nature 509, 282-283. https://doi.org/10.1038/509282a
- Connallon, T., Clark, A., G., 2014. Evolutionary inevitability of sexual antagonism. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 281, 20132123. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.2123
- 513 Connallon, T., Clark, A.G., 2013. Antagonistic Versus Nonantagonistic Models of Balancing 514 Selection: Characterizing the Relative Timescales and Hitchhiking Effects of Partial 515 Selective Sweeps. Evolution 67, 908-917. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-516 5646.2012.01800.x
- 517 Dauvilliers, Y., Neidhart, E., Lecendreux, M., Billiard, M., Tafti, M., 2001. MAO-A and COMT 518 polymorphisms and gene effects in narcolepsy. Mol. Psychiatry 6, 367–372. 519 https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.mp.4000911
  - Dixson, A., 2009. Dixson, A. F. (2009) Sexual selection and the Origins of Human Mating Systems. Oxford University Press, UK.
  - Ellegren, H., Parsch, J., 2007. The evolution of sex-biased genes and sex-biased gene expression. Nat Rev Genet 8, 689-698. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2167
  - Fillingim, R.B., Kaplan, L., Staud, R., Ness, T.J., Glover, T.L., Campbell, C.M., Mogil, J.S., Wallace, M.R., 2005. The A118G single nucleotide polymorphism of the mu-opioid receptor gene (OPRM1) is associated with pressure pain sensitivity in humans. J. Pain Off. J. Am. Pain Soc. 6, 159–167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2004.11.008
- 528 Fitzpatrick, M.J., 2004. Pleiotropy and the genomic location of sexually selected genes. Am. 529 Nat. 163, 800–808. https://doi.org/10.1086/386297
- Foerster, K., Coulson, T., Sheldon, B.C., Pemberton, J.M., Clutton-Brock, T., Kruuk, L.E.B., 530 531 2007. Sexually antagonistic genetic variation for fitness in red deer. Nature 447, 532 1107-1110.
- 533 Franck, N., Lanne, T., Astrand, O., Engvall, J., Lindstrom, T., Ostgren, C.J., Nystrom, F.H., 534 2012. Cardiovascular risk factors related to the PPARgamma Pro12Ala polymorphism

- 535 in patients with type 2 diabetes are gender dependent. Blood Press. 21, 122–127. 536 https://doi.org/10.3109/08037051.2011.623349
- 537 Fry, J.D., 2010. The Genomic Location of Sexually Antagonistic Variation: Some Cautionary 538 Comments. Evolution 64, 1510-1516. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-539 5646.2009.00898.x
- 540 Garver-Apgar, C.E., Eaton, M.A., Tybur, J.M., Emery Thompson, M., 2011. Evidence of 541 intralocus sexual conflict: physically and hormonally masculine individuals have more 542 attractive brothers relative to sisters. Evol. Hum. Behav. 32, 423-432. 543 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2011.03.005
- Gastaldi, M., Diziere, S., Defoort, C., Portugal, H., Lairon, D., Darmon, M., Planells, R., 2007. 544 545 Sex-specific association of fatty acid binding protein 2 and microsomal triacylglycerol 546 transfer protein variants with response to dietary lipid changes in the 3-mo Medi-547 RIVAGE primary intervention study. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 86, 1633–1641. 548 https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/86.5.1633
  - Gilks, W.P., Abbott, J.K., Morrow, E.H., 2014. Sex differences in disease genetics: evidence, evolution, and detection. Trends Genet. 30, 453-463. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2014.08.006
    - Gloria-Bottini, F., Bottini, N., Renzetti, G., Bottini, E., 2007. ACP1 and Th class of immunological disease: evidence of interaction with gender. Int. Arch. Allergy Immunol. 143, 170-176. https://doi.org/10.1159/000099308

550

551

552

553 554

555

556

557 558

559

577

- Grieshop, K., Arnqvist, G., 2018. Sex-specific dominance reversal of genetic variation for fitness. PLOS Biol. 16, e2006810. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2006810
- Gurevitch, J., Koricheva, J., Mengersen, K., 2013. Handbook of Meta-Analysis In Ecology and Evolution, Handbook of Meta-analysis in Ecology and Evolution. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400846184
- 560 Herman, A.I., Conner, T.S., Anton, R.F., Gelernter, J., Kranzler, H.R., Covault, J., 2011. 561 Variation in the gene encoding the serotonin transporter is associated with a 562 measure of sociopathy in alcoholics. Addict. Biol. 16, 124–132. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1369-1600.2009.00197.x 563
- Khramtsova, E.A., Davis, L.K., Stranger, B.E., 2019. The role of sex in the genomics of human 564 complex traits. Nat. Rev. Genet. 20, 173-190. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-018-565 566 0083-1
- 567 Kim, B., Kim, H., Joo, Y.H., Lim, J., Kim, C.-Y., Song, K., 2010. Sex-different association of DAO 568 with schizophrenia in Koreans. Psychiatry Res. 179, 121–125. 569 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2008.08.009
- 570 Kiy, A., Wilhelm, O., Hildebrandt, A., Reuter, M., Sommer, W., 2013. On the genetic basis of 571 face cognition and its relation to fluid cognitive abilities. Genes Brain Behav. 12, 438-572 445. https://doi.org/10.1111/gbb.12034
- 573 Korner, A., Ma, L., Franks, P.W., Kiess, W., Baier, L.J., Stumvoll, M., Kovacs, P., 2007. Sex-574 specific effect of the Val1483Ile polymorphism in the fatty acid synthase gene (FAS) 575 on body mass index and lipid profile in Caucasian children. Int. J. Obes. 2005 31, 576 353–358. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0803428
  - Lajeunesse, M., 2013. Recovering missing or partial data from studies: A survey of conversions and imputations for meta-analysis. pp. 195–206.
- 579 Lande, R., 1980. Sexual dimorphism, sexual selection, and adaptation in polygenic 580 characters. Evolution 34, 292-305.

581 Lang, U.E., Hellweg, R., Bajbouj, M., Gaus, V., Sander, T., Gallinat, J., 2008. Gender-582 dependent association of a functional NGF polymorphism with anxiety-related 583 personality traits. Pharmacopsychiatry 41, 196-199. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0028-584 1082070

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613 614

615

616

617

- Lauritzen, L., Sorensen, L.B., Harslof, L.B., Ritz, C., Stark, K.D., Astrup, A., Dyssegaard, C.B., Egelund, N., Michaelsen, K.F., Damsgaard, C.T., 2017. Mendelian randomization shows sex-specific associations between long-chain. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 106, 88-95. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.117.152595
- Lee, S.K., 2018. Sex as an important biological variable in biomedical research. BMB Rep. 51, 167-173. https://doi.org/10.5483/BMBRep.2018.51.4.034
  - Liu, Y.-H., Chen, Y.-J., Wu, H.-H., Wang, T.-Y., Tsai, F.-J., 2014. Single nucleotide polymorphisms at the PRR3, ABCF1, and GNL1 genes in the HLA class I region are associated with Graves' ophthalmopathy in a gender-dependent manner. Ophthalmology 121, 2033–2039. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2014.04.027
  - Ljungberg, L.U., Ostgren, C.J., Nystrom, F.H., Lanne, T., 2014. Associations of genetic polymorphisms in the renin-angiotensin system with central aortic and ambulatory blood pressure in type 2 diabetic patients. J. Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone Syst. JRAAS 15, 61–68. https://doi.org/10.1177/1470320312474052
  - McCarthy, J.J., Somji, A., Weiss, L.A., Steffy, B., Vega, R., Barrett-Connor, E., Talavera, G., Glynne, R., 2009. Polymorphisms of the scavenger receptor class B member 1 are associated with insulin resistance with evidence of gene by sex interaction. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 94, 1789–1796. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2008-2800
- Mills, S.C., Koskela, E., Mappes, T., 2011. Intralocus sexual conflict for fitness: sexually antagonistic alleles for testosterone. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. rspb20112340. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2011.2340
  - Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D.G., Group, T.P., 2009. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLOS Med. 6, e1000097. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097
- Morea, A., Cameran, M., Rebuffi, A.G., Marzenta, D., Marangon, O., Picci, L., Zacchello, F., Scarpa, M., 2005. Gender-sensitive association of CFTR gene mutations and 5T allele emerging from a large survey on infertility. Mol. Hum. Reprod. 11, 607–614. https://doi.org/10.1093/molehr/gah214
- Morrow, E.H., 2015. The evolution of sex differences in disease. Biol. Sex Differ. 6, 5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13293-015-0023-0
- Morrow, E.H., Connallon, T., 2013. Implications of sex-specific selection for the genetic basis of disease. Evol. Appl. 6, 1208–1217. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12097
- Nesse, R.M., Williams, G.C., 1994. Why we get sick: The new science of Darwinian medicine. Vintage, New York.
- Ober, C., Loisel, D.A., Gilad, Y., 2008. Sex-specific genetic architecture of human disease. Nat 619 620 Rev Genet 9, 911–922. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2415
- 621 Ober, C., Pan, L., Phillips, N., Parry, R., Kurina, L.M., 2006. Sex-specific genetic architecture of 622 asthma-associated quantitative trait loci in a founder population. Curr. Allergy Asthma Rep. 6, 241-246. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11882-006-0041-4 623
- 624 Ovadia, G., Shifman, S., 2011. The genetic variation of RELN expression in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. PloS One 6, e19955. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019955 625
- 626 Padmanabhan, S., Menni, C., Lee, W.K., Laing, S., Brambilla, P., Sega, R., Perego, R., Grassi, 627 G., Cesana, G., Delles, C., Mancia, G., Dominiczak, A.F., 2010. The effects of sex and

628 method of blood pressure measurement on genetic associations with blood pressure 629 in the PAMELA study. J. Hypertens. 28, 465–477. 630

https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0b013e32833594d7

- 631 Parker, G.A., 1979. SEXUAL SELECTION AND SEXUAL CONFLICT, in: Sexual Selection and 632 Reproductive Competition in Insects. Elsevier, pp. 123–166. 633 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-108750-0.50010-0
- 634 Parker, G.A., Baker, R.R., Smith, V.G.F., 1972. The origin and evolution of gamete 635 dimorphism and the male-female phenomenon. J. Theor. Biol. 36, 529-553.
- 636 Payne, E.H., Gebregziabher, M., Hardin, J.W., Ramakrishnan, V., Egede, L.E., 2018. An 637 empirical approach to determine a threshold for assessing overdispersion in Poisson 638 and negative binomial models for count data. Commun. Stat. Simul. Comput. 47, 639 1722-1738. https://doi.org/10.1080/03610918.2017.1323223
- Peng, Y.-M., Lei, S.-F., Guo, Y., Xiong, D.-H., Yan, H., Wang, L., Guo, Y.-F., Deng, H.-W., 2008. 640 641 Sex-specific association of the glucocorticoid receptor gene with extreme BMD. J. 642 Bone Miner. Res. Off. J. Am. Soc. Bone Miner. Res. 23, 247–252. 643 https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.071017
- 644 Pennell, T.M., Haas, F.J.H. de, Morrow, E.H., Doorn, G.S. van, 2016. Contrasting effects of 645 intralocus sexual conflict on sexually antagonistic coevolution. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 113, E978–E986. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1514328113 646
- 647 Pennell, T.M., Morrow, E.H., 2013. Two sexes, one genome: the evolutionary dynamics of 648 intralocus sexual conflict. Ecol. Evol. 3, 1819–1834. 649 https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.540
- 650 Press, O.A., Zhang, W., Gordon, M.A., Yang, D., Haiman, C.A., Azuma, M., Iqbal, S., Lenz, H.-651 J., 2011. Gender-related survival differences associated with polymorphic variants of 652 estrogen receptor-beta (ERbeta) in patients with metastatic colon cancer. 653 Pharmacogenomics J. 11, 375–382. https://doi.org/10.1038/tpj.2010.45
- 654 Price, J.S., Strong, J., Eliassen, J., McQueeny, T., Miller, M., Padula, C.B., Shear, P., Lisdahl, K., 655 2013. Serotonin transporter gene moderates associations between mood, memory 656 and hippocampal volume. Behav. Brain Res. 242, 158–165. 657 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2012.11.013
  - Rice, W.R., 1992. Sexually antagonistic genes: experimental evidence. Science 256, 1436-1439. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1604317
- 660 Rice, W.R., 1984. Sex chromosomes and the evolution of sexual dimorphism. Evolution 38, 661 735-742.
- 662 Rigby, N., Kulathinal, R.J., 2015. Genetic Architecture of Sexual Dimorphism in Humans. J. 663 Cell. Physiol. 230, 2304–2310. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.24979
- 664 Rostant, W.G., Kay, C., Wedell, N., Hosken, D.J., 2015. Sexual conflict maintains variation at an insecticide resistance locus. BMC Biol. 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-015-665 0143-3 666
- 667 Ruzicka, F., Connallon, T., 2020. Is the X chromosome a hot spot for sexually antagonistic 668 polymorphisms? Biases in current empirical tests of classical theory. Proc. R. Soc. B. 669 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.1869
- 670 Ruzicka, F., Dutoit, L., Czuppon, P., Jordan, C.Y., Li, X.-Y., Olito, C., Runemark, A., Svensson, 671 E.I., Yazdi, H.P., Connallon, T., 2020. The search for sexually antagonistic genes: 672 Practical insights from studies of local adaptation and statistical genomics. Evol. Lett.

673 4-5, 398-415. https://doi.org/10.1002/evl3.192

```
674
       Ruzicka, F., Hill, M.S., Pennell, T.M., Flis, I., Ingleby, F.C., Mott, R., Fowler, K., Morrow, E.H.,
675
               Reuter, M., 2019. Genome-wide sexually antagonistic variants reveal long-standing
676
               constraints on sexual dimorphism in fruit flies. PLoS Biol. 17, e3000244.
```

678

679

680

681

682

683 684

685

686 687

688

689

690

691

692

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

- Sainz, J., Rudolph, A., Hoffmeister, M., Frank, B., Brenner, H., Chang-Claude, J., Hemminki, K., Forsti, A., 2012. Effect of type 2 diabetes predisposing genetic variants on colorectal cancer risk. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 97, E845-851. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2011-2565
- Sangalli, A., Orlandi, E., Poli, A., Maurichi, A., Santinami, M., Nicolis, M., Ferronato, S., Malerba, G., Rodolfo, M., Gomez Lira, M., 2017. Sex-specific effect of RNASEL rs486907 and miR-146a rs2910164 polymorphisms' interaction as a susceptibility factor for melanoma skin cancer. Melanoma Res. 27, 309-314. https://doi.org/10.1097/CMR.000000000000360
- Schneider, K.K., Hule, L., Schote, A.B., Meyer, J., Frings, C., 2015. Sex matters! Interactions of sex and polymorphisms of a cholinergic receptor gene (CHRNA5) modulate response speed. Neuroreport 26, 186-191. https://doi.org/10.1097/WNR.000000000000313
- Schuurhof, A., Bont, L., Siezen, C.L.E., Hodemaekers, H., van Houwelingen, H.C., Kimman, T.G., Hoebee, B., Kimpen, J.L.L., Janssen, R., 2010. Interleukin-9 polymorphism in infants with respiratory syncytial virus infection: an opposite effect in boys and girls. Pediatr. Pulmonol. 45, 608–613. https://doi.org/10.1002/ppul.21229
- 693 Shalev, I., Lerer, E., Israel, S., Uzefovsky, F., Gritsenko, I., Mankuta, D., Ebstein, R.P., Kaitz, 694 M., 2009. BDNF Val66Met polymorphism is associated with HPA axis reactivity to 695 psychological stress characterized by genotype and gender interactions. 696 Psychoneuroendocrinology 34, 382-388. 697 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2008.09.017
  - Short, R.V., 1979. Sexual selection and its component parts, somatic and genital selection, as illustrated by man and the great apes. Adv. Study Behav. 9, 131–158.
  - Sjoberg, R.L., Nilsson, K.W., Nordquist, N., Ohrvik, J., Leppert, J., Lindstrom, L., Oreland, L., 2006. Development of depression: sex and the interaction between environment and a promoter polymorphism of the serotonin transporter gene. Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol. 9, 443-449. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1461145705005936
  - Stearns, S.C., Govindaraju, D.R., Ewbank, D., Byars, S.G., 2012. Constraints on the coevolution of contemporary human males and females. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 279, 4836-4844. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.2024
  - Stulp, G., Kuijper, B., Buunk, A.P., Pollet, T.V., Verhulst, S., 2012. Intralocus sexual conflict over human height. Biol. Lett. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2012.0590
- 709 Winkler, T.W., Justice, A.E., Graff, M., Barata, L., Feitosa, M.F., Chu, S., Czajkowski, J., Esko, 710 T., Fall, T., Kilpeläinen, T.O., Lu, Y., Mägi, R., Mihailov, E., Pers, T.H., Rüeger, S., 711 Teumer, A., Ehret, G.B., Ferreira, T., Heard-Costa, N.L., Karjalainen, J., Lagou, V., 712 Mahajan, A., Neinast, M.D., Prokopenko, I., Simino, J., Teslovich, T.M., Jansen, R., 713 Westra, H.-J., White, C.C., Absher, D., Ahluwalia, T.S., Ahmad, S., Albrecht, E., Alves, 714 A.C., Bragg-Gresham, J.L., Craen, A.J.M. de, Bis, J.C., Bonnefond, A., Boucher, G., 715 Cadby, G., Cheng, Y.-C., Chiang, C.W.K., Delgado, G., Demirkan, A., Dueker, N., 716 Eklund, N., Eiriksdottir, G., Eriksson, J., Feenstra, B., Fischer, K., Frau, F., Galesloot, 717 T.E., Geller, F., Goel, A., Gorski, M., Grammer, T.B., Gustafsson, S., Haitjema, S., Hottenga, J.-J., Huffman, J.E., Jackson, A.U., Jacobs, K.B., Johansson, Å., Kaakinen, M.,
- 718 719 Kleber, M.E., Lahti, J., Leach, I.M., Lehne, B., Liu, Y., Lo, K.S., Lorentzon, M., Luan, J.,
- 720 Madden, P.A.F., Mangino, M., McKnight, B., Medina-Gomez, C., Monda, K.L.,

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

Montasser, M.E., Müller, G., Müller-Nurasyid, M., Nolte, I.M., Panoutsopoulou, K., Pascoe, L., Paternoster, L., Rayner, N.W., Renström, F., Rizzi, F., Rose, L.M., Ryan, K.A., Salo, P., Sanna, S., Scharnagl, H., Shi, J., Smith, A.V., Southam, L., Stančáková, A., Steinthorsdottir, V., Strawbridge, R.J., Sung, Y.J., Tachmazidou, I., Tanaka, T., Thorleifsson, G., Trompet, S., Pervjakova, N., Tyrer, J.P., Vandenput, L., Laan, S.W. van der, Velde, N. van der, Setten, J. van, Vliet-Ostaptchouk, J.V. van, Verweij, N., Vlachopoulou, E., Waite, L.L., Wang, S.R., Wang, Z., Wild, S.H., Willenborg, C., Wilson, J.F., Wong, A., Yang, J., Yengo, L., Yerges-Armstrong, L.M., Yu, L., Zhang, W., Zhao, J.H., Andersson, E.A., Bakker, S.J.L., Baldassarre, D., Banasik, K., Barcella, M., Barlassina, C., Bellis, C., Benaglio, P., Blangero, J., Blüher, M., Bonnet, F., Bonnycastle, L.L., Boyd, H.A., Bruinenberg, M., Buchman, A.S., Campbell, H., Chen, Y.-D.I., Chines, P.S., Claudi-Boehm, S., Cole, J., Collins, F.S., Geus, E.J.C. de, Groot, L.C.P.G.M. de, Dimitriou, M., Duan, J., Enroth, S., Eury, E., Farmaki, A.-E., Forouhi, N.G., Friedrich, N., Gejman, P.V., Gigante, B., Glorioso, N., Go, A.S., Gottesman, O., Gräßler, J., Grallert, H., Grarup, N., Gu, Y.-M., Broer, L., Ham, A.C., Hansen, T., Harris, T.B., Hartman, C.A., Hassinen, M., Hastie, N., Hattersley, A.T., Heath, A.C., Henders, A.K., Hernandez, D., Hillege, H., Holmen, O., Hovingh, K.G., Hui, J., Husemoen, L.L., Hutri-Kähönen, N., Hysi, P.G., Illig, T., Jager, P.L.D., Jalilzadeh, S., Jørgensen, T., Jukema, J.W., Juonala, M., Kanoni, S., Karaleftheri, M., Khaw, K.T., Kinnunen, L., Kittner, S.J., Koenig, W., Kolcic, I., Kovacs, P., Krarup, N.T., Kratzer, W., Krüger, J., Kuh, D., Kumari, M., Kyriakou, T., Langenberg, C., Lannfelt, L., Lanzani, C., Lotay, V., Launer, L.J., Leander, K., Lindström, J., Linneberg, A., Liu, Y.-P., Lobbens, S., Luben, R., Lyssenko, V., Männistö, S., Magnusson, P.K., McArdle, W.L., Menni, C., Merger, S., Milani, L., Montgomery, G.W., Morris, A.P., Narisu, N., Nelis, M., Ong, K.K., Palotie, A., Pérusse, L., Pichler, I., Pilia, M.G., Pouta, A., Rheinberger, M., Ribel-Madsen, R., Richards, M., Rice, K.M., Rice, T.K., Rivolta, C., Salomaa, V., Sanders, A.R., Sarzynski, M.A., Scholtens, S., Scott, R.A., Scott, W.R., Sebert, S., Sengupta, S., Sennblad, B., Seufferlein, T., Silveira, A., Slagboom, P.E., Smit, J.H., Sparsø, T.H., Stirrups, K., Stolk, R.P., Stringham, H.M., Swertz, M.A., Swift, A.J., Syvänen, A.-C., Tan, S.-T., Thorand, B., Tönjes, A., Tremblay, A., Tsafantakis, E., Most, P.J. van der, Völker, U., Vohl, M.-C., Vonk, J.M., Waldenberger, M., Walker, R.W., Wennauer, R., Widén, E., Willemsen, G., Wilsgaard, T., Wright, A.F., Zillikens, M.C., Dijk, S.C. van, Schoor, N.M. van, Asselbergs, F.W., Bakker, P.I.W. de, Beckmann, J.S., Beilby, J., Bennett, D.A., Bergman, R.N., Bergmann, S., Böger, C.A., Boehm, B.O., Boerwinkle, E., Boomsma, D.I., Bornstein, S.R., Bottinger, E.P., Bouchard, C., Chambers, J.C., Chanock, S.J., Chasman, D.I., Cucca, F., Cusi, D., Dedoussis, G., Erdmann, J., Eriksson, J.G., Evans, D.A., Faire, U. de, Farrall, M., Ferrucci, L., Ford, I., Franke, L., Franks, P.W., Froguel, P., Gansevoort, R.T., Gieger, C., Grönberg, H., Gudnason, V., Gyllensten, U., Hall, P., Hamsten, A., Harst, P. van der, Hayward, C., Heliövaara, M., Hengstenberg, C., Hicks, A.A., Hingorani, A., Hofman, A., Hu, F., Huikuri, H.V., Hveem, K., James, A.L., Jordan, J.M., Jula, A., Kähönen, M., Kajantie, E., Kathiresan, S., Kiemeney, L.A.L.M., Kivimaki, M., Knekt, P.B., Koistinen, H.A., Kooner, J.S., Koskinen, S., Kuusisto, J., Maerz, W., Martin, N.G., Laakso, M., Lakka, T.A., Lehtimäki, T., Lettre, G., Levinson, D.F., Lind, L., Lokki, M.-L., Mäntyselkä, P., Melbye, M., Metspalu, A., Mitchell, B.D., Moll, F.L., Murray, J.C., Musk, A.W., Nieminen, M.S., Njølstad, I., Ohlsson, C., Oldehinkel, A.J., Oostra, B.A., Palmer, L.J., Pankow, J.S., Pasterkamp, G., Pedersen, N.L., Pedersen, O., Penninx, B.W., Perola, M., Peters, A., Polašek, O., Pramstaller, P.P., Psaty, B.M., Qi,

perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

768 L., Quertermous, T., Raitakari, O.T., Rankinen, T., Rauramaa, R., Ridker, P.M., Rioux, 769 J.D., Rivadeneira, F., Rotter, J.I., Rudan, I., Ruijter, H.M. den, Saltevo, J., Sattar, N., 770 Schunkert, H., Schwarz, P.E.H., Shuldiner, A.R., Sinisalo, J., Snieder, H., Sørensen, 771 T.I.A., Spector, T.D., Staessen, J.A., Stefania, B., Thorsteinsdottir, U., Stumvoll, M., 772 Tardif, J.-C., Tremoli, E., Tuomilehto, J., Uitterlinden, A.G., Uusitupa, M., Verbeek, 773 A.L.M., Vermeulen, S.H., Viikari, J.S., Vitart, V., Völzke, H., Vollenweider, P., Waeber, G., Walker, M., Wallaschofski, H., Wareham, N.J., Watkins, H., Zeggini, E., 774 775 Consortium, C., Consortium, D., Consortium, G., Consortium, G.-Bpg., Consortium, I., 776 Consortium, M., Chakravarti, A., Clegg, D.J., Cupples, L.A., Gordon-Larsen, P., Jaquish, 777 C.E., Rao, D.C., Abecasis, G.R., Assimes, T.L., Barroso, I., Berndt, S.I., Boehnke, M., 778 Deloukas, P., Fox, C.S., Groop, L.C., Hunter, D.J., Ingelsson, E., Kaplan, R.C., McCarthy, 779 M.I., Mohlke, K.L., O'Connell, J.R., Schlessinger, D., Strachan, D.P., Stefansson, K., 780 Duijn, C.M. van, Hirschhorn, J.N., Lindgren, C.M., Heid, I.M., North, K.E., Borecki, I.B., 781 Kutalik, Z., Loos, R.J.F., 2015. The Influence of Age and Sex on Genetic Associations 782 with Adult Body Size and Shape: A Large-Scale Genome-Wide Interaction Study. 783 PLOS Genet. 11, e1005378. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005378 784 Wise, A.L., Gyi, L., Manolio, T.A., 2013. eXclusion: Toward Integrating the X Chromosome in 785 Genome-wide Association Analyses. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 92, 643–647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.03.017 786 787 Wong, S.Y.S., Lau, E.M.C., Li, M., Chung, T., Sham, A., Woo, J., 2005. The prevalence of Apo 788 E4 genotype and its relationship to bone mineral density in Hong Kong Chinese. J. 789 Bone Miner. Metab. 23, 261–265. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00774-004-0593-0 790 Wu, K., Chen, C., Moyzis, R.K., Greenberger, E., Yu, Z., 2016. Gender Interacts with Opioid 791 Receptor Polymorphism A118G and Serotonin Receptor Polymorphism -1438 A/G on 792 Speed-Dating Success. Hum. Nat. Hawthorne N 27, 244-260. 793 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12110-016-9257-8 794 Youssef, S.S., Abd El Aal, A.M., Nasr, A.S., el Zanaty, T., Seif, S.M., 2013. Interleukin-12B gene 795 polymorphism frequencies in Egyptians and sex-related susceptibility to hepatitis C 796 infection. J. Interferon Cytokine Res. Off. J. Int. Soc. Interferon Cytokine Res. 33, 415-797 419. https://doi.org/10.1089/jir.2012.0161 798

**Table 1. Genetic loci in humans showing sexually antagonistic effects on trait expression.** EAF, effect allele frequency (\* indicates allele frequency derived from 100 genomes database); M, male; F, female. Background colours denote instances where genes and/or variants appear more than once in the list.

Trait	Gene	Locus	EAF	M Effect	M Effect SE	F Effect	F Effect SE	Reference
Complex traits								
Concentration performance score	FADS1/2	rs1535	0.672	0.2275	0.1065	-0.2394	0.1116	(Lauritzen et al., 2017)
Reading speed, number of sentences	FADS1/2	rs174448	0.642	0.5511	0.1069	-0.6074	0.1129	(Lauritzen et al., 2017)
Reading speed, number of sentences	ELOVL5	rs2397142	0.695	-0.1963	0.1042	0.2364	0.1095	(Lauritzen et al., 2017)
Reading speed, correct sentences	FADS1/2	rs174448	0.642	0.6599	0.1077	-0.3451	0.1112	(Lauritzen et al., 2017)
Speed-dating success	OPRM1	rs1799971	0.922	0.4697	0.2275	-0.53	0.2856	(Wu et al., 2016)
Speed-dating success	HTR2A	rs6311	0.418	-0.4826	0.1982	0.4702	0.1964	(Wu et al., 2016)
Waist-hip-ratio (BMI adjusted)	SIM1	rs17185536	0.76	-0.0166	0.008	0.0264	0.0067	(Winkler et al., 2015)
Waist-hip-ratio (BMI adjusted)	NMU	rs3805389	0.28	-0.0139	0.0067	0.0261	0.006	(Winkler et al., 2015)
Waist-hip-ratio (BMI adjusted)	GNPNAT1	rs4898764	0.53	0.0208	0.0066	-0.0165	0.0059	(Winkler et al., 2015)
Waist-hip-ratio (BMI adjusted)	SGCZ	rs17470444	0.71	0.0345	0.0081	-0.0149	0.0068	(Winkler et al., 2015)
Waist-hip-ratio (BMI adjusted)	IQGAP2	rs2069664	0.53	0.0244	0.0078	-0.0183	0.0067	(Winkler et al., 2015)
Waist-hip-ratio (BMI adjusted)	SLC2A3	rs741361	0.6	0.0272	0.0079	-0.0196	0.0067	(Winkler et al., 2015)
Waist-hip-ratio (BMI adjusted)	IRS1	rs2673140	0.38	0.022	0.0066	-0.0209	0.0059	(Winkler et al., 2015)
Waist-hip-ratio (BMI adjusted)	TTN	rs2042995	0.23	0.0198	0.0066	-0.022	0.0078	(Winkler et al., 2015)
Waist-hip-ratio (BMI adjusted)	RXRA	rs10881574	0.07	0.0268	0.008	-0.0191	0.0067	(Winkler et al., 2015)
Waist-hip-ratio (BMI adjusted)	PTPRD	rs7042428	0.98	0.0244	0.0071	-0.0166	0.0063	(Winkler et al., 2015)
Waist-hip-ratio (BMI adjusted)	CECR2	rs17809093	0.04	0.0294	0.0091	-0.024	0.0077	(Winkler et al., 2015)
Reaction time (RT) in the Stroop task, congruent condition	CHRNA5	rs3841324	0.406	-1.5421	0.3857	0.9945	0.2517	(Schneider et al., 2015)
Reaction time (RT) in the Stroop task, incongruent condition	CHRNA5	rs3841324	0.406	-1.6215	0.389	1.0215	0.2521	(Schneider et al., 2015)
Reaction time (RT) in the Negative priming task	CHRNA5	rs3841324	0.406	-1.675	0.3912	0.6299	0.247	(Schneider et al., 2015)

Borderline personality traits after maltreatment	OXTR	rs53576	0.738	-0.3429	0.0881	0.2066	0.0857	(Cicchetti et al., 2014)
Borderline personality traits after maltreatment	FKBP5	CATT haplotype	-	0.2041	0.0877	-0.195	0.0857	(Cicchetti et al., 2014)
fluid intelligence	C16orf62 (OXTR)	rs226849	0.46	-0.3949	0.1869	0.494	0.1814	(Kiy et al., 2013)
face perception	C16orf62 (OXTR)	rs226849	0.46	-0.5819	0.1909	0.3498	0.1788	(Kiy et al., 2013)
face memory	C16orf62 (OXTR)	rs226849	0.46	-0.3679	0.1864	0.3562	0.1789	(Kiy et al., 2013)
Hippocampal volume	SLC6A4	rs25531	0.39	-		-		(Price et al., 2013)
Sociopathy (in alcoholics)	SLC6A4	rs25531	0.51	-		-		(Herman et al., 2011)
systolic blood pressure S_NIGHT	PON1	rs3917542	-	-0.1047	0.0637	0.1129	0.0645	(Padmanabhan et al., 2010)
systolic blood pressure S_NIGHT	PON3	rs17884563	-	-0.1482	0.0637	0.1061	0.0645	(Padmanabhan et al., 2010)
systolic blood pressure S_CLIN	EDNRB	rs1335803	-	-0.1308	0.0637	0.1129	0.0645	(Padmanabhan et al., 2010)
diastolic blood pressure D_NIGHT	DRD1	rs265974	-	-0.1482	0.0637	0.1502	0.0646	(Padmanabhan et al., 2010)
diastolic blood pressure D_HOME	SLC4A2	rs2303933	-	-0.1482	0.0637	0.1325	0.0646	(Padmanabhan et al., 2010)
diastolic blood pressure D_CLIN	LPL	rs17410577	-	-0.1047	0.0637	0.1775	0.0647	(Padmanabhan et al., 2010)
Psychological Stress	BDNF	rs6265	0.149	-		-		(Shalev et al., 2009)
BMI standard deviation score (children)	FAS	rs2228305	0.0312	-0.552	0.2259	0.4427	0.2075	(Korner et al., 2007)
Pain sensitivity	OPRM1	rs1799971	0.8817	-		-		(Fillingim et al., 2005)
Central DBP	REN	rs6693954	0.22	-0.4444	0.2132	1.1052	0.3923	(Ljungberg et al., 2014)
Disease risk/severity								
Graves opthalmopathy in GD	PRR3	rs2074503	0.3586	0.4397	0.1682	-0.2185	0.0851	(Liu et al., 2014)
Colorectal cancer	LTA	rs1041981	0.32	-0.0722	0.0368	0.1027	0.0453	(Sainz et al., 2012)
Arterial blood pressure	PPAR	rs1801282	0.1213	-		-		(Franck et al., 2012)
Schizophrenia risk	RELN	rs7341475	0.851*	1.2396	0.2819	-0.762	0.3662	(Ovadia and Shifman, 2011)
Colon cancer survival	ESR2	(CA)n repeats	-	0.2272	0.0962	-0.2046	0.1205	(Press et al., 2011)
Schizophrenia risk	DAO	rs2070586	0.2729	0.1982	0.0888	-0.2537	0.0908	(Kim et al., 2010)

T2DM, fasting glucose	SCARB1	rs9919713	0.04	0.1865	0.0853	-0.2515	0.0697	(McCarthy et al., 2009)
Depression	SLC6A4	rs25531	0.4586	0.5404	0.2277	-0.3996	0.1859	(Sjoberg et al., 2006)
Infertility in cystic fibrosis	CFTR	rs213950	0.417931	-		-		(Morea et al., 2005)
Bone mineral density	APOE	rs429358	0.0798	-		-		(Wong et al., 2005)
Narcolepsy severity	COMT	Long allele	0.4588	1.3596	0.3878	-2.2152	0.4871	(Dauvilliers et al., 2001)
Nonsyndromic cleft lip	IMPK	rs11142081	0.863	1.2156	0.0937	-1.1161	0.0783	(Carlson et al., 2018)
Nonsyndromic cleft lip	IMPK	rs72804706	0.898	-0.816	0.0906	2.0665	0.0908	(Carlson et al., 2018)
Nonsyndromic cleft lip	IMPK	rs77590619	0.744	-0.8577	0.0904	1.2291	0.0804	(Carlson et al., 2018)
Allergy	ACP1	A* allele (CG haplotype)	-	-		-		(Gloria-Bottini et al., 2007)
Hepatitis C susceptability	IL-12B	rs3212227	0.3463	-		-		(Youssef et al., 2013)
RSV severity	IL-9	rs2069885	0.15	0.1888	0.0933	-0.2794	0.0957	(Schuurhof et al., 2010)
CV risk marker (plasma fatty acid composition)	FABP2	rs1799883	0.287	-		-		(Gastaldi et al., 2007)
Bone mineral density	NR3C1	GCAG haplotype	-	0.6854	0.3676	-0.9029	0.4311	(Peng et al., 2008)
Anxiety	NGF	rs6330	0.451	0.4562	0.18	-0.4674	0.1817	(Lang et al., 2008)
Melanoma risk	RNASEL, miR-146a	AG/AA and C haplotype	-	0.4525	0.1564	-0.3553	0.174	(Sangalli et al., 2017)

### **Figure legends**

Figure 1. Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram for systematic review of sexually antagonistic loci in humans.

Figure 2. The relationship between effect allele frequency and effect size ratio. Point size varies according to the variance of effect size ratio - larger points have smaller variance and therefore a larger weighting in the model. The vertical dotted line represents the switch point between a net-negative effect of a particular locus (effect size ratio >-1) and a net positive effect (effect size ratio <-1). The blue line represents the predicted values derived from the generalized linear model fitted to the data (see Results).



