

1 **TITLE**

2 Effectiveness and Cost-Effectiveness of TeleStroke Consultations to Support the Care of Stroke
3 Patients Presenting to Regional Emergency Departments in Western Australia: An Economic
4 Evaluation Case Study Protocol

5

6 Christina Tsou*, Curtin University, Kent Street, Bentley, Perth, WA, 6102.
7 Christina.tsou@curtin.edu.au (corresponding author)

8 Suzanne Robinson, Curtin University, Kent Street, Bentley, Perth WA, 6102.
9 Suzanne.robinson@curtin.edu.au

10 James Boyd, La Trobe University, Plenty Rd & Kingsbury Dr, Bundoora VIC 3086.
11 James.Boyd@latrobe.edu.au

12 Shruthi Kamath, WA Country Health Service, 189 Wellington Street, Perth, WA, 6000.
13 shruthi.kamath@health.wa.gov.au

14 Justin Yeung, WA Country Health Service, 189 Wellington Street, Perth, WA, 6000.
15 Justin.Yeung@health.wa.gov.au

16 Stephanie Waters, WA Country Health Service, 189 Wellington Street, Perth, WA, 6000.
17 Stephanie.Waters@health.wa.gov.au

18 Karen Gifford, WA Country Health Service, 189 Wellington Street, Perth, WA, 6000.
19 Karen.Gifford@health.wa.gov.au

20 Andrew Jamieson, WA Country Health Service, 189 Wellington Street, Perth, WA, 6000.
21 Andrew.Jamieson@health.wa.gov.au

22 Delia Hendrie, Curtin University, Kent Street, Bentley, Perth WA, 6102.
23 D.V.Hendrie@curtin.edu.au

24

25 Word count: 5038

26 Abstract word count: 394

27

1 ABSTRACT

2 **Introduction:** The Western Australia Acute TeleStroke Programme commenced incrementally
3 across regional Western Australia (WA) during 2016-2017. Since the introduction of the
4 TeleStroke Programme, there has been monitoring of service outputs including regional patient
5 access to tertiary stroke specialist advice and reperfusion treatment, however, the impact of
6 consultation with a stroke specialist via telehealth (videoconferencing or telephone) on the
7 effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of stroke care, and the drivers of cost-effectiveness has not
8 been systematically evaluated.

9 **Methods and Analysis:** The aim of the case study is to examine the impact of consultation with
10 a stroke specialist via telehealth on the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of stroke and TIA
11 care using a mixed methods approach. A categorical decision tree model will be constructed in
12 collaboration with clinicians and programme managers. A before and after comparison using
13 State-wide administrative datasets will be used to run the base model. If sample size and
14 statistical power permits, the cases and comparators will be matched by stroke type and
15 presence of CT scan at the initial site of presentation, age category and presenting hospital. The
16 drivers of cost-effectiveness will be explored through stakeholder interviews. Data from the
17 qualitative analysis will be cross-referenced with trends emerging from the quantitative dataset
18 and used to guide the factors to be involved in sub-group and sensitivity analysis.

19 **Ethics and Dissemination:** Ethics approval for this case study has been granted from the WACHS
20 Human Research and Ethics Committee (RGS3076). Reciprocal approval has been granted from
21 Curtin University Human Research Ethics Office (HRE2019-0740). Findings will be disseminated
22 publicly through conference presentation and peer-review publications. Interim findings will be
23 released as internal reports to inform the service development.

24 **Strengths and Limitations of This Study:**

- 25 • Comparison of the impact of stroke specialist consultation via telehealth in regional
26 Australia in supporting the management of different stroke subtypes
- 27 • The decision tree model will be constructed in collaboration with clinicians and
28 programme administrators directly involved in the delivery of the TeleStroke
29 Programme
- 30 • Use of local administrative data as model inputs enables the base model to reflect the
31 reality of the regional WA Health service delivery
- 32 • Collaboration with WA Health stakeholders involved in TeleStroke Programme design
33 and implementation to optimise utility of the case study to inform service development
34 and expansion
- 35 • Conversion of the functional outcome modified Rankin Scale score (mRS) to quality
36 adjusted life years (QALY) relies on national or international averages

37 **Key Words:** TeleStroke, clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, rural and remote health

38

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Advances have been made in acute stroke management in recent years enabling ischaemic
3 stroke patients to experience significant improved function and recovery post stroke¹. The
4 Western Australia Acute TeleStroke Programme commenced incrementally across regional
5 Western Australia (WA) during 2016-2017. Since commencement, the number of WA rural
6 patients receiving stroke reperfusion treatment that is mechanical thrombectomy (MT) and/or
7 thrombolysis has increased across regional WA. Since the introduction of the TeleStroke
8 Programme, there has been monitoring of service outputs including regional patient access to
9 tertiary stroke specialist advice and reperfusion treatment, however, the impact of consultation
10 with a stroke specialist via telehealth on the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness, and the drivers
11 of cost-effectiveness has not been systematically studied.

12 The current body of knowledge on the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of the telestroke
13 services in rural and remote emergency departments (EDs) focuses on acute ischaemic stroke
14 and the impact of telestroke on timely administration of thrombolysis and associated hospital
15 resource use including inter-hospital transfers avoided. The studies have found telestroke to
16 improve accuracy in decision making compared to telephone² and to increase safe local
17 administration of thrombolysis³ with similar results to patients presenting directly to the tertiary
18 stroke centres⁴. However, moderate to severe ischaemic stroke patients treated with
19 thrombolysis may potentially benefit from care at a tertiary stroke centre⁵. Hence local
20 thrombolysis administration does not completely mitigate the need for tertiary centre care. In
21 addition, the increased accuracy in decision making also means that patients presenting to rural
22 hospitals with more severe stroke (large vessel occlusion) who later transfer to tertiary hospitals
23 can have equitable outcomes to patients who presented directly to the tertiary centre⁶.
24 Effectiveness studies typically focus on ischaemic stroke patients. The pattern and impact of
25 telestroke access to haemorrhagic stroke, transient ischaemic attacks (TIAs) and stroke mimics
26 from ED presentation to discharge is not well documented in the literature.⁷

27 Medeiros De Bustos et al have recently observed that majority of telestroke studies concerns
28 acute management⁸. There have been few socioeconomic studies to determine the true impact
29 of telestroke in medical and economic terms⁸. There is also much to add to the literature on the
30 association of patient outcome at discharge and in the longer term for each stroke subtypes to
31 health systems cost.

32 Despite Whetten et al and Demaerschalk et al estimating telestroke access to be the dominant
33 strategy compared to no access, the results are only valid when a long list of assumptions hold
34 true^{7,9}. Cost-effectiveness analysis on rural and remote telestroke programmes to date have
35 used effectiveness measures from previous clinical trials adjusted to a specific setting (e.g. ED),
36 based on resource utilisation data obtained from published literature or publicly available data⁷
37 ⁹⁻¹¹. These studies make assumptions or estimates from multiple data sources or rely on clinical
38 expert opinions on activity variables such as probability of transfer to tertiary centres, discharge
39 destination, and key resource utilisation variables such as cost of telestroke equipments^{10,11}.
40 These economic models have not taken into account haemorrhagic stroke or TIA patients who
41 may benefit from telestroke¹⁰, and did not consider secondary benefits of acute telestroke
42 access on resource utilisation required within subacute episode of care following stroke and TIA¹¹.

1 This study uses the TeleStroke Programme in Western Australia as a case study to examine the
2 effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of telehealth to support the care of patients presenting to
3 regional emergency departments in Western Australia. The primary interest in this research is
4 twofold. Firstly, to understand the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of the TeleStroke based
5 on its current operation. Secondly, to gain insight into the drivers of cost-effectiveness of the
6 service to inform future expansion of the TeleStroke Programme. The following research
7 questions will be addressed:

- 8 1. Is seeing a stroke specialist via telehealth more effective and cost-effective than no stroke
9 specialist involvement for patients presenting to rural and remote hospitals?
- 10 2. What are the drivers of cost-effectiveness in the above scenarios?
- 11 3. What factors other than access to stroke specialists via telehealth affect the clinical
12 outcomes for patients presenting to a WACHS site with and without access to computed
13 tomography (CT)?
- 14 4. What is the acceptability of stroke specialist consultation via telehealth to health
15 professionals and health consumers and how do the enablers and barriers to its
16 implementation help to explain the findings from the cost-effectiveness analysis?

17 **METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

18 A mixed methods methodology will be used to allow incorporation of health care complexities
19 into a systematic understanding of the factors that influence health service provision ¹². A mixed
20 methods approach is frequently used in health services research to allow quantitative data from
21 survey and administrative records to combine with contextual information from qualitative data
22 collections to support a better-informed decision about health service delivery. Guided by the
23 model for assessment of telehealth (MAST)¹³, this case study will use a sequential explanatory
24 design ¹⁴, with a combination of the methods described below . That is, the case study will
25 commence with an economic evaluation of the TeleStroke Programme (quantitative phase) ,
26 then conduct an analysis of its implementation which will be guided by a modified consolidated
27 framework for implementation research (mCFIR) (qualitative phase), followed by the combined
28 interpretation of the findings from the two phases of analysis to understand the drivers of cost-
29 effectiveness.

30 The WA acute TeleStroke Programme industry stakeholders have been engaged in the design of
31 the economic evaluation to incorporate current process of care into the case study to ensure the
32 outcomes measured in this study are meaningful to future development of the programme. A
33 quantitative assessment will be conducted on the effectiveness of stroke specialist consultation
34 via telehealth on alignment to clinical protocols to achieve desirable clinical outcome, improved
35 functional outcome for patients, and the impact of consultation with TeleStroke specialist on the
36 diagnosis of stroke. Descriptive output from this analysis will also provide model input into the
37 economic model.

38 A decision tree analytic model will be built to inform the calculation of the incremental cost-
39 effectiveness ratio (ICER) for the three types of stroke presentations: haemorrhagic stroke, acute
40 ischaemic infarction (AIS) and TIA when a TeleStroke specialist consultation has taken place.
41 Complementing this information will be a novel qualitative data collection from relevant
42 clinicians, health professionals, and policy makers to understand factors associated with
43 implementation of TeleStroke Programme across WACHS facilities. In the explanatory phase,

1 knowledge generated from the various parts of the research will be triangulated to help interpret
2 the results and the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness analysis. This process will also contribute
3 to improving the process of service delivery. Figure 1 presents a schematic diagram of the study
4 methodology for the WA Acute TeleStroke case study.

5 **Case Study Settings**

6 Medical emergencies in rural and remote Western Australia typically present to one of the
7 WACHS facilities covering 2.5 million square kilometres. There are 12 sites across WACHS with
8 computed tomography capabilities (CT sites) and 108 non-CT sites including 15 District Health
9 Services, 49 small hospitals, 24 nursing posts / health centres, and 20 Aboriginal Community
10 Clinics. Three geographically defined regional-metropolitan stroke pathways have been
11 endorsed in WA linking WACHS facilities with partnered metropolitan acute stroke units. All
12 WACHS emergency medical services are evaluated against the Emergency Care Capability
13 Framework (ECCF) as the minimum requirements for role delineation capability and the
14 minimum requirements to support the service.

15 **Administrative Data Collections**

16 Six of the 12 CT sites across WACHS currently upload data to the WA Acute Stroke Data Collection
17 (WAASDC). In this exploratory economic analysis, only sites contributing data to the WAASDC
18 will be included. Learnings from this analysis will inform future economic evaluation designs
19 across all WACHS sites. The WAASDC is a local file audit of stroke related indicators including
20 whether a TeleStroke specialist was consulted. Other process-related indicators are available
21 from the WACHS emergency department data collection (EDDC) and for admitted patients from
22 the hospital morbidity data collection (HMDC), which are both routinely collected administrative
23 datasets. By linking the three data sets, patients' journey between WACHS hospitals will be
24 studied.

25 To understand the patients journey to tertiary/quaternary care at other large or metropolitan
26 sites, linkage to tertiary centre ED and admitted datasets will be done using patient identifier
27 and date and time of discharge from WACHS hospital. The patient unique identifier will also be
28 used to extract time and date of death from the State's mortality data collection.

29 **Stroke case identification in the clinical process – an ICD-10 analysis**

30 All stroke cases will be followed from the time of presentation to ED to the point of discharge
31 from hospital. In-hospital stroke of admitted patients or admitted patients by-passing the ED will
32 also be included in this analysis.

33 Stroke or TIA cases from the HMDC will be traced back to the EDDC to identify the principal
34 diagnosis at ED. A separate stroke care audit was conducted on patients with established stroke
35 or TIA diagnosis in the WAASDC. Patients identified as stroke or TIA cases in the EDDC but who
36 were either discharged home or transferred to a tertiary centre for further treatment will be
37 included as stroke cases. Those receiving consultation by a TeleStroke specialist after 1 January
38 2017 will be identified as cases and those presenting before 1st of January 2016 are comparators.
39 A 12 months window periods is to allow for data stabilisation. Descriptive analysis will then be

1 performed on the cases and comparator subsets to provide model inputs to the cost-
2 effectiveness analysis.

3 A subset of patients who have a stroke or TIA diagnosis recorded in the HMDC with a non-
4 stroke/TIA diagnosis in EDDC will be extracted for analysis with presenting symptoms and
5 whether consultations with TeleStroke specialists took place. This will give an understanding of
6 the impact of TeleStroke on the diagnosis of Stroke in stroke patients' hospital journey. The
7 proportion of each type of change in diagnosis from EDDC to HMDC will be calculated and
8 compared between the cases and comparator groups. This subset of patients will also be
9 included as stroke cases for this analysis.

10 **Cost-effectiveness Analysis**

11 Figure 2 summarises the components of the WA Acute TeleStroke Case Study. This study will use
12 a decision tree analytic model to examine the incremental cost-effectiveness comparing the
13 acute stroke care supported by TeleStroke consultations to acute stroke care prior to the
14 implementation of the Acute TeleStroke Programme. The incremental cost-effectiveness ratio
15 (ICER) will be calculated to determine the cost of increasing mRS by one unit, and another set of
16 ICERs for cost per quality adjusted life year (QALY). Sub-group and sensitivity analysis will be
17 performed to understand the drivers of cost-effectiveness and interpreted together with the
18 qualitative data collection in the triangulation phase.

19 **Time horizon**

20 There are three critical point in time for outcome determination in this study. Firstly, pre-
21 intervention mRS to capture the functional status before thrombolysis or mechanical
22 thrombectomy (MT). Secondly, at discharge, and finally at 90 to 180 days post discharge. The
23 overall effectiveness of the stroke specialist telehealth consultations is determined by examining
24 the change in functional status between pre-intervention and 90 to 180 days post discharge. The
25 functional status at discharge is significant in examining whether the patient returned to the
26 same functional state prior to the index stroke by comparing with pre-morbid mRS.

27 The WA Acute stroke pathways aligning the seven WACHS regions to tertiary hospitals
28 commenced on the 1st of January 2016. Patients presenting to WACHS ED from this point
29 onwards can receive consultation from TeleStroke specialists. To allow a 12-month time window
30 for data stabilisation, TeleStroke case selection will commence 12 months after the relevant site
31 commence uploading to the WAASDC. The comparator selection will be from December 2015 to
32 the earliest available records for the ED and HMDC data collections. This time horizon will be
33 related to both the cost and effectiveness data collection.

34 **Study perspectives**

35 The analysis in this study will take the WACHS and WA public health systems perspectives by
36 combining Country WA and metropolitan public hospital service utilisations, including
37 TeleStroke Services, stroke unit care and neurological interventions.

38 **The intervention and comparator**

1 The study intervention in this study is stroke specialist consultation via telehealth. This is one
2 component of the overall TeleStroke Programme. Given that TeleStroke consultations have been
3 embedded within clinical workflows and processes, a contemporaneous non-Telestroke
4 comparator group within hospital sites in this study would be subjected to selection bias with
5 more TeleStroke use in higher acuity presentations. This, in addition to the vast geographical and
6 community variations across WACHS regions, makes a contemporaneous comparator for the
7 case study sites not feasible. Therefore, a pre and post study design is appropriate.

8 Index presentation and stroke case identification

9 Index presentations will be identified using the principal discharge diagnosis (ICD-10) from the
10 WACHS ED and all diagnosis fields from the admitted data collections. Table 1 shortlists the ICD
11 codes to be used to identify stroke presentations. Activity level model input to reflect care
12 pathway will be collected from multiple data sources including the EDDC and HMDC and the
13 WAASDC. Model inputs from the local data collections will be supplemented by the probability
14 from the Australian Stroke Clinical Registry (AuSCR) or international averages published in peer
15 reviewed literature to complete model inputs.

16 **Table 1 Stroke and Transient Ischaemic Attack ICD-10-AM Sub-Classifications**

Disease	Sub-category (ICD-10-AM sub-classifications)	ICD-10-AM
Stroke	Intracerebral haemorrhage	I61.0 – I61.6, I61.8 – I61.9
	Other non-traumatic intracranial haemorrhage	I62.9
	Cerebral infarction	I63.0 – I63.6, I63.8 – I63.9
	Stroke, not specified as haemorrhage or infarction	I64
TIA	Transient cerebral ischaemic attacks, unspecified	G45.9

17 Decision model development

18 A categorical decision tree model will be developed in collaboration with WACHS clinicians and
19 programme administrators capturing probabilities and decisions from WACHS ED presentation
20 to the point of discharge from hospital. The modified Rankin Scale (mRS) at discharge will be the
21 final outcome in the decision tree model. Time critical clinical outcomes (see outcome
22 determination section below) will be used in a sensitivity analysis in the assessment of drivers of
23 cost-effectiveness. Transition probabilities from presentation to a WACHS ED to discharge will
24 come from the WACHS administrative datasets. The decision tree will be constructed by asking
25 the following questions:

- 26
- 27 • Did a TeleStroke consult take place?
 - 28 • Was there a bleed into the brain? (haemorrhagic vs non-haemorrhagic stroke)
 - 29 • Was a major cerebral blood vessel blocked i.e. large vessel occlusion?
 - 30 • Was the blood clot removed? (mechanical thrombectomy (MT) v no MT)
 - Was treatment given to break up the blood clots? (thrombolysis v no thrombolysis)

- 1 • Did transfer to a tertiary centre take place? (transferred v admitted locally or managed
- 2 locally)
- 3 • Were there complications associated with the treatment? (Intracerebral Haemorrhage
- 4 (ICH) v no ICH)
- 5 • What was the functional status of the patient upon discharge and 90-180 days post
- 6 discharge? (Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) cores 0-2 v 3-5 v 6)

7 Identification, measurement and valuation of resource use

8 Cost model calculations will be based on WA Health service and resource utilisation relating to
 9 the initial hospital presentation for stroke or TIA from presentation to hospital discharge, and
 10 from hospital discharge to 90-180-day post hospital discharge. Table 2 summarises the cost
 11 items.

12 **Table 2 Cost Items for the WACHS Acute TeleStroke Case Study**

Perspectives	Health Systems Perspective	
	WACHS	WA Health
Capital costs	IT equipment	IT equipment
Programme set up cost	WACHS acute stroke programme costs	WA Stroke Services Project (WASSP) costs
Direct health care costs	ED service delivery cost Hospital admission and rehabilitation cost (include all direct patient care plus overheads comprising hospital, region and central office)	ED service delivery cost Hospitalisation admission and rehabilitation cost (include all direct patient care plus overheads)
Direct non-health care costs (overhead costs)	Overheads comprising hospital, region and central office.	Hospital overheads.

13 *Measuring Resource Use*

14 Service cost will be collected from the WA Health costing system. The resource use will be built
 15 around individual patient encounters for the WACHS activity-based sites, these are the regional
 16 resource centres (RRC) and the district health services (DHS). All CT sites are either situated
 17 within a RRC or DHS therefore it is possible to build cost around individual patient encounters.
 18 Using the encounter identifier will allow integration of component direct health care costs
 19 supporting the episode of service delivery, and can potentially identify the breakdown of costs
 20 associated with the encounter including inpatient admissions, theatre and diagnostics services.

21 *Valuing Resource Use*

22 Unit cost data is available up to the 2018-19 financial year and all monetary values will be
 23 expressed in Australian dollars (AU\$). All costs will be adjusted to mid-2018 value.

24 TeleStroke Programme costs will be evenly spread over the years since commencement of the
 25 WA Acute TeleStroke Programme. These include funding for tertiary specialists, project support
 26 and data collection through the Western Australia Stroke Services Project (WASSP). It will not be

1 meaningful to apply an annual discount for programme costs as only two years of programme
2 cost will be collected. ICT equipment costs will be depreciated on a straight line over their
3 estimated useful lives.

4 Outcome Determination

5 *Time Sensitive Measures*

6 Stroke care is time sensitive, hence several time sensitive measures will be used to reflect the
7 effectiveness of stroke protocol implementation with potential impact on patient outcome. Time
8 sensitive measures showing strong association to functional outcomes for stroke patients will be
9 identified as time-critical clinical effectiveness measures and used as a surrogate clinical outcome
10 measure.

11 Door to imaging time indicates adherence to stroke care protocol, and door to first medical or
12 nursing review, and door to TeleStroke consultation can impact patient outcome; while the
13 length of hospital stay can reflect patient outcome and also goes directly to resource use. Onset
14 to imaging time and onset to first medical or nursing review are the more clinically significant
15 indicators; however, time of onset may not be accurately determined and it is expected that the
16 time from onset analysis will be performed on a smaller subset of samples. Timely administration
17 of thrombolysis to patients with ischaemic stroke is another significant factor impacting on
18 patient outcome. This will be incorporated into the analysis if there is sufficient sample size for
19 effectiveness analysis.

20 Clinical Interventions in haemorrhagic stroke are control of blood pressure and hemicraniectomy.
21 Hemicraniectomy in WA will require transfer to a tertiary centre. Shorter door to tertiary centre
22 transfer will be a meaningful time-critical clinical effectiveness measure. This will need to be
23 interpreted in tandem with [regional ED] door to [tertiary centre] hemicraniectomy time to
24 incorporate variations in transfer time (time transfer out of regional hospitals to arrival time at
25 tertiary centre), and tertiary centre care.

26 *Functional Outcome Measure*

27 This study will use the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score as the main functional outcome
28 measure. A mRS score of 1-2 is considered a good functional outcome from stroke ^{5,9}, mRS score
29 of 6 is death, while mRS score of 3-5 indicates mild to severe disability / dependency requiring
30 provision of carer support. This indicates costs occurring outside of hospital system, including
31 inability to be employed and loss of productivity to society. Table 3 provides descriptions for the
32 mRS scores.

33 **Table 3 Modified Ranking Score (mRS) Descriptions**

Score	Description
0	No symptoms at all
1	No significant disability despite symptoms; able to carry out all usual duties and activities
2	Slight disability; unable to carry out all previous activities, but able to look after own affairs without assistance
3	Moderate disability; require some help, but able to walk without assistance

4	Moderate severe disability; unable to walk without assistance and unable to attend to own bodily needs without assistance
5	Severe disability; bedridden, incontinent and require constant nursing care and attention
6	Dead

1 The mRS score at discharge for patients presenting to WACHS ED prior to January 2020 will be
2 retrospectively determined from discharge summary audits independently conducted by the
3 WACHS Rural TeleStroke Neurology Fellow and WACHS TeleStroke Programme Manager and
4 WACHS TeleStroke Programme Research Support Officer, who will discuss any discrepancies in
5 scores to reach a consensus score. Similarly, the 90-180 day mRS for patients presenting to
6 WACHS ED prior to January 2020 will be determined from subsequent public hospital utilisation
7 audits.

8 The discharge destination rule in Nelson¹⁰ will not be followed in this case study because
9 anecdotal observation of WACHS patients indicate that patients who are discharged to
10 residential aged care facility (RACF) are more likely to stay the same or deteriorate, while patient
11 discharge home may improve with further rehabilitation. Nelson¹⁰ applies the following rules to
12 determine the 90-180 day mRS score for patients who have not been readmitted to the public
13 hospital system post discharge from index episode. The initial mRS score was assumed to
14 improve by 1 point at 90 days for patients who were discharged from hospital to rehabilitation
15 in the community. The initial mRS score was assumed to not change in patients who were
16 discharged either home or to RACF¹⁰. Across WACHS, if a patient is discharged to rehabilitation,
17 majority in outpatient services, they may have improvement in mRS at 90-180 days. If the
18 rehabilitation is provided by WA Health, mRS may be extracted from the patient's medical record.
19 For patients who have no further health system intervention post discharge, this study assumes
20 that their mRS remains unchanged; however, there is also the possibility that they access non-
21 WA Health rehabilitation and mRS improves. Deterioration may be marked by subsequent WA
22 Health hospital admission.

23 *Quality Adjusted Life Years (QALY) Estimation*

24 This study will use the 90-180 day mRS score to estimate quality adjusted life years (QALY) gained
25 for the above TeleStroke scenarios^{7 9 10}. QALY will be calculated using years of life remaining for
26 each mRS at 6 months multiplied by utility weight sourced from CEA registry and their resulting
27 sources^{7 15-17}. The years of life remaining will be sourced from WACHS administrative data
28 collections supplemented by national averages from AuSCR or previous studies¹⁸. Utility weights
29 will be measured on a 0 to 1 scale where 1 represents a state of perfect health and 0 represents
30 death.

31 *Estimated Sample Size*

32 The Glick sample size formula¹⁹ has been used to estimate the sample size required for each of
33 the TeleStroke and non-TeleStroke groups for this study to have 95% confidence with 80% study
34 power. It is assumed that both groups will have equivalent standard deviation for cost and QALY,
35 and equivalent sample sizes. The expected variations between the TeleStroke and non-
36 TeleStroke groups have been sourced from previous cost-effectiveness analysis in the rural and
37 remote context by Nelson et al¹⁰ and Whetten et al⁷.

1 Edney et al in 2017 calculated a reference willingness to pay of AU\$28,033 per QALY gained and
2 suggested that new technologies with ICER above AU\$40,000 per QALY gained was
3 recommenced for public funding²⁰. Assuming the WA sample has equivalent variations in
4 average resource use and QALY gained between the TeleStroke and non-TeleStroke groups, 607
5 individuals presenting with acute stroke episodes is required for each of the TeleStroke and non-
6 TeleStroke groups if the public sector's willingness to pay is AU\$28,033 per QALY gain. This
7 estimate of sample size would be 482 individuals if this willingness to pay is AU\$40,000.

8 Analysis

9 *Base case analysis and regression*

10 Baseline characteristics and clinical and functional outcomes of the patients in the before
11 TeleStroke and after TeleStroke groups will be summarised by stroke subtypes. Differences in
12 resource use and costs between the two groups will be tested using two-sample t-test (or non-
13 parametric equivalents) and Chi square tests for continuous and categorical variables,
14 respectively. The mean costs of resource use in each care pathways in the decision tree and the
15 difference in costs between the two groups will be calculated with 95% confidence interval.

16 *Incremental cost-effectiveness*

17 The primary comparison is between the TeleStroke and non-Telestroke groups and an overall
18 incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) will be calculated using the formulae below at
19 hospital discharge and at 90-180 day.

20 The first set of ICER will be calculated using the mRS as the effectiveness measure using the
21 following:

$$\frac{\text{Cost TeleStroke} - \text{Cost no TeleStroke}}{\text{mRS at discharge in TeleStroke} - \text{mRS at discharge in no TeleStroke}}$$

22 The second set of ICER will be calculated using QALY as the main outcome measure using the
23 following:

24

25

26

$$\frac{\text{Cost TeleStroke} - \text{Cost no TeleStroke}}{\text{QALY TeleStroke} - \text{QALY no TeleStroke}}$$

27

28 Subject to sufficient statistical power, a series of sub-group analyses will be performed to assess
29 whether the cost and effectiveness association is dependent on a third variable. The initial list of
30 sub-analyses is as follows and the final list will be guided by findings from the qualitative phase
31 of the study:

- 32 1. Gender
- 33 2. Establishment
 - 34 a. Busselton Health Campus
 - 35 b. Bunbury Health Campus

- 1 c. Albany Health Campus
- 2 d. Geraldton Hospital
- 3 e. Kalgoorlie Health Campus
- 4 f. Northam Hospital
- 5 3. Recurrence: stroke recurrence categories
- 6 a. First ever stroke
- 7 b. Recurrent stroke <255 days (Western Australian median time to first stroke
- 8 recurrence from first-ever stroke) ²¹
- 9 c. Recurrent stroke >255 days
- 10 4. Rehabilitation post index presentation
- 11 5. Haemorrhagic stroke patients who underwent hemispherectomy
- 12 6. Changes in Emergency Care Capability Framework (ECCF) domain achievement

13 The model results will provide estimates for the ICER considering health outcome and costs at
14 discharge and at 90-180 days post discharge. The results of the economic evaluation including
15 the sub-group and sensitivity analyses will be presented in terms of point estimates, cost-
16 effectiveness planes and cost-effectiveness acceptability curves.

17 The stroke sub-types will be compared on the basis of incremental net monetary benefits. The
18 net monetary benefit of the stroke specialist telehealth consultations with or without CT scans
19 will be calculated as: the mean QALY multiplied by the acceptable threshold values for the QALY
20 (add reference), minus the mean cost of the TeleStroke Programme and CT scans. The threshold
21 value should be interpreted as the monetary value of a QALY.

22 *Sensitivity Analysis*

23 Sensitivity analysis will be performed to identify changes to ICER under a range of conditions.
24 One-way analysis will be performed initially on varying the following variables: changes in
25 TeleStroke consultation rates, changes in door to image time, changes in thrombolysis and MT
26 numbers, changes in rate of transfer to CT sites, and changes in other time-sensitive outcomes
27 listed above. The variables for inclusion in the multi-variate sensitivity analysis will be decided
28 based on findings from the semi-structured interviews and preliminary quantitative analysis of
29 the administrative datasets.

30 **Implementation Factors to Inform Future Expansion of Acute TeleStroke Programme Delivery** 31 **in Western Australia**

32 A qualitative data collection is incorporated into the study design to provide a robust evidence
33 base to support the interpretation of findings from the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness
34 studies described above. Guided by a modified consolidated framework of implementation
35 research (mCFIR), this data collection is expected to provide a subsidiary evidence base informing
36 the future development and implementation of the WA Acute TeleStroke Programme across
37 WACHS.

38 The Modified Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (mCFIR)

39 The Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR) ^{22 23} has been chosen as the
40 underpinning interpretative framework to guide the qualitative study (including design of

1 interview protocol) and the final interpretation of the drivers of cost-effectiveness to adequately
2 capture the complex nature of rural and remote health service delivery. As the focus of this
3 research is on factors enabling and barring the successful integration of telehealth into clinical
4 service implementation, the Finch, Mair et al theoretical constructs of normalization process
5 theory (NPT)²⁴ will add the system integration dimension and theoretical content. Key concepts
6 in the MAST domains of preceding consideration, safety, patient perspectives, organisational,
7 socio-cultural, ethical and legal aspects¹³ will also be mapped to the above ensuring coherence
8 with the previous experience in telehealth evaluation. The resultant modified Consolidated
9 Framework for Implementation Research (mCFIR) constructs will provide the initial categories in
10 the qualitative analysis, and will be iteratively applied throughout the interpretive phase of the
11 research.

12 Construction of interview guide

13 An interview guide has been developed based on the initial evaluation of the WA Acute
14 TeleStroke programme implementation and impact within WACHS. Different sets of questions
15 have been developed for the staff in the WACHS central office involved in the WACHS wide
16 planning and implementation of the programme, programme sites with and without established
17 pathways for stroke care. The draft interview protocol was reviewed by three stroke
18 coordinators and senior managers within the WACHS Innovation and Development directorate.

19 Participant recruitment and the interview process

20 The aim of the qualitative component of this study is to explore and explain the factors impacting
21 implementation of clinical telehealth strategies in rural and remote WA and the extent of their
22 integration into routine clinical service delivery. The initial WACHS staff participants (seed
23 participants) will be clinicians, programme administrators who have been involved in the
24 commencement and implementation of the WA Acute TeleStroke Programme. The seed
25 participants include senior leaders and managers, regional stroke coordinators, the neurology
26 registrar. A snowball sampling technique will be used to identify and recruit further clinicians and
27 health consumers to participate the study.

28 The semi-structured interviews will be conversational and interview protocol is designed for use
29 as a guide to ensure consistent coverage of topic areas. Instead of asking the exact questions to
30 every participant, the prompts under the main questions will be used as check lists to ensure
31 relevant themes are covered in the dialogues. Small focus groups of less than 3 staff may be used
32 instead of one on one interview when required to meet the constraints of participants' schedules.

33 The interviews will be audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim and managed in NVivo. While
34 the open coding is performed, notes will be taken under the modified CFIR domain and construct
35 headings. Axial coding will then be performed to make interconnections between the emerging
36 themes within each CFIR construct. These relationship will be pulled into a relational model of
37 causal conditions, central phenomenon, context, intervening conditions, action/interaction
38 strategies and consequences²⁵. Selective coding will then be performed to connect the emerging
39 themes to produce a discursive set of theoretical propositions on telehealth integration in clinical
40 service delivery²⁵. The simultaneous data collection and analysis process will continue until data
41 saturation is reached for all relevant constructs in the modified CFIR framework.

1 Drivers of Cost-effectiveness and Generation of Deliberative Dialogue

2 This case study will use two methods to gain insights into the drivers of cost-effectiveness. It will
3 be an iterative process between the sub-group and sensitivity analyses part of the economic
4 analysis, and the qualitative exploration. These two processes will commence as separate and
5 concurrent parts in the case study. A constant comparison of early findings will take place where
6 key themes emerging from the dialogues and interviews with key industry stakeholders, and
7 health consumers is expected to offer explanation to the findings from the sub-group and
8 sensitivity analyses. Any new categories or factors emerging from this constant comparison of
9 findings will feed into the sub-group and sensitivity analyses as new sub-categories or factors for
10 consideration in assessing the level of confidence of the ICERs calculated (whether the cost-
11 effectiveness finding is robust when certain factors vary individually or in combination).

12 The understanding of the drivers of cost-effectiveness will include tacit knowledge of clinician,
13 practitioners and consumers through deliberative dialogues generated during the final
14 explanatory phase i.e. interpretive phase of the research. The deliberative dialogues generated
15 in the interview and dissemination of early findings is likely to create an evaluative practice
16 supporting the sharing of best practice and informing future rural and remote telehealth
17 planning and policy in WA Health.

18 Patient and Public Involvement

19 A consumer representative was involved in the conceptualisation of this protocol.

20 ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

21 Ethics approval for this case study has been granted from the Western Australian Country Health
22 Service Human Research and Ethics Committee (WACHS HREC Project Reference Number
23 RGS3076). Reciprocal approval granted from Curtin University Human Research Ethics Office
24 (approval number HRE2019-0740). Findings will be disseminated publicly through conference
25 presentation and peer-review publications. Interim findings will be released as internal reports
26 to inform the TeleStroke Programme development.

27 REFERENCES

- 28 1. Stroke Foundation. Clinical Guidelines for Stroke Management. Melbourne Australia.2019.
- 29 2. Meyer BC, Raman R, Hemmen T, et al. Efficacy of site-independent telemedicine in the STRoKE DOC
30 trial: a randomised, blinded, prospective study. *Lancet neurol* 2008;7(9):787-95. doi:
31 [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422\(08\)70171-6](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(08)70171-6)
- 32 3. Wang DZ, Rose JA, Honings DS, et al. Treating acute stroke patients with intravenous tPA: The OSF
33 Stroke Network experience. *Stroke* 2000;31(1):77-81. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.31.1.77
- 34 4. Sairanen T, Soinila S, Nikkanen M, et al. Two years of Finnish Telestroke: thrombolysis at spokes equal
35 to that at the hub. *Neurology* 2011;76(13):1145-52. doi:
36 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e318212a8d4>
- 37 5. Yaghi S, Harik SI, Hinduja A, et al. Post t-PA transfer to hub improves outcome of moderate to severe
38 ischemic stroke patients. *Journal of telemedicine and telecare* 2015;21(7):396-99. doi:
39 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1357633X15577531>
- 40 6. Barlinn J, Gerber J, Barlinn K, et al. Acute endovascular treatment delivery to ischemic stroke patients
41 transferred within a telestroke network: a retrospective observational study. *International*

- 1 *journal of stroke : official journal of the International Stroke Society* 2017;12(5):502-09. doi:
2 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1747493016681018>
- 3 7. Whetten J, van der Goes DN, Tran H, et al. Cost-effectiveness of Access to Critical Cerebral Emergency
4 Support Services (ACCESS): a neuro-emergent telemedicine consultation program. *Journal of*
5 *Medical Economics* 2018;21(4):398-405. doi:
6 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13696998.2018.1426591>
- 7 8. Medeiros De Bustos E, Ohannessian R, Bouamra B, et al. Télé-médecine et accident vasculaire
8 cérébral : « Rôle de la télé-médecine dans les accidents vasculaires cérébraux ». *Bulletin de*
9 *l'Académie Nationale de Médecine* 2020 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.banm.2020.07.038>
- 10 9. Demaerschalk B, Switzer J, Xie J, et al. Cost-utility analysis of hub-and-spoke telestroke networks for
11 the management of acute ischemic stroke from a societal perspective. *Neurology Conference:*
12 *64th American Academy of Neurology Annual Meeting New Orleans, LA United States*
13 *Conference Publication:* 2012;78(1 Meeting Abstract) doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.78.1>
- 14 10. Nelson RE, Saltzman GM, Skalabrin EJ, et al. The cost-effectiveness of telestroke in the treatment of
15 acute ischemic stroke. *Neurology* 2011;77(17):1590-98. doi:
16 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e318234332d>
- 17 11. Switzer J, Demaerschalk B, Xie J, et al. Cost-effectiveness of hub-and-spoke telestroke networks for
18 the management of acute ischemic stroke from the Hospitals' perspective. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual*
19 *Outcomes* 2013;78(1 Meeting Abstract) doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.78.1>
- 20 12. Adams R, Jones A, Lefmann S, et al. Utilising a collective case study system theory mixed methods
21 approach: a rural health example. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 2014;14(1):94. doi:
22 10.1186/1471-2288-14-94
- 23 13. Kidholm K, Ekeland AG, Jensen LK, et al. A model for assessment of telemedicine applications: mast.
24 *International journal of technology assessment in health care* 2012;28(1):44-51.
- 25 14. Castro FG, Kellison JG, Boyd SJ, et al. A methodology for conducting integrative mixed methods
26 research and data analyses. *Journal of mixed methods research* 2010;4(4):342-60.
- 27 15. Andersen KK, Olsen TS, Dehlendorff C, et al. Hemorrhagic and ischemic strokes compared: stroke
28 severity, mortality, and risk factors. *Stroke* 2009;40(6):2068-72.
- 29 16. Nelson RE, Okon N, Lesko AC, et al. The cost-effectiveness of telestroke in the Pacific Northwest
30 region of the USA. *Journal of telemedicine and telecare* 2016;22(7):413-21.
- 31 17. Kazley AS, Simpson KN, Simpson A, et al. Optimizing the economic impact of rtPA use in a stroke belt
32 state: the case of south Carolina. *American health & drug benefits* 2013;6(4):155.
- 33 18. Samsa GP, Reutter RA, Parmigiani G, et al. Performing Cost-Effectiveness Analysis by Integrating
34 Randomized Trial Data with a Comprehensive Decision Model: Application to Treatment of
35 Acute Ischemic Stroke. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 1999;52(3):259-71. doi:
36 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0895-4356\(98\)00151-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0895-4356(98)00151-6)
- 37 19. Glick HA. Sample size and power for cost-effectiveness analysis (part 1). *Pharmacoeconomics*
38 2011;29(3):189-98.
- 39 20. Edney LC, Afzali HHA, Cheng TC, et al. Estimating the Reference Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratio
40 for the Australian Health System. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2018;36(2):239-52. doi:
41 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40273-017-0585-2>
- 42 21. Lee AH, Somerford PJ, Yau KK. Risk factors for ischaemic stroke recurrence after hospitalisation. *Med*
43 *J Aust* 2004;181(5):244-46.
- 44 22. Damschroder LJ, Aron DC, Keith RE, et al. Fostering implementation of health services research
45 findings into practice: a consolidated framework for advancing implementation science.
46 *Implement Sci* 2009;4(1):50.
- 47 23. Lu Y. Using Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR) to Improve Information
48 Governance (IG) Implementation in Healthcare. 2018
- 49 24. Finch TL, Mair FS, O'Donnell C, et al. From theory to 'measurement' in complex interventions:
50 methodological lessons from the development of an e-health normalisation instrument. *BMC*
51 *medical research methodology* 2012;12(1):69.
- 52 25. Strauss A, Corbin J. Basics of qualitative research: Sage publications 1990.

1 **AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS**

2 Christina Tsou drafted and provided the initial design of study. She has also coordinated input
3 from the authorship to bring the work to publication. Delia Hendrie and Suzanne Robinson
4 provided input on health systems and health economic methodology. James Boyd provided
5 input on statistics and data linkage. Andrew Jamieson provided high level clinical practice
6 perspective in the rural and remote Australia. Justin Yeung brings regional emergency medicine
7 and telehealth application perspective to this project. Shruthi Kamath contributed specialist
8 neurology knowledge and provided significant input in the methodology related to clinical
9 effectiveness measures. Stephanie Waters and Karen Gifford provided programme
10 implementation perspective and made significant contribution to the design of the interview
11 protocol. All authors have reviewed the final manuscript and agreed to submit for publication.

12 **FUNDING STATEMENT**

13 This work has been supported by the Curtin University Health Research and Data Analytics Hubs
14 through a Ph.D. scholarship, and in-kind contribution from the WA Country Health Services. It
15 received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit
16 sectors.

17 **COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT**

18 All authors declare that they have no competing interest.

19

20 **FIGURES**

21 *Figure 1 WA Country Health Service Acute TeleStroke Case Study Methodology*

22 *Figure 2 Components of the WA Acute TeleStroke Case Study*




Figure 1 WA Country Health Service Acute TeleStroke Case Study Methodology




Figure 2 Components of the WA Acute TeleStroke Case Study