1 bootComb - An R Package to Derive Confidence Intervals for

2 **Combinations of Independent Parameter Estimates**

Marc Y. R. Henrion^{1,2}

- 4 ¹ Malawi Liverpool Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Programme, Blantyre, Malawi
- 5 ² Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK

6 Word count

- 7 **Abstract**: 149 words
- 8 **Main text** (excluding abstract, key features, references): 1,992 words

9

3

10 Abstract

11	Motivation The epidemiologist sometimes needs to combine several independent
12	parameter estimates: e.g. (i) adjust an incidence rate for healthcare utilisation, (ii) derive a
13	disease prevalence from the conditional prevalence on another condition and the
14	prevalence of that condition, (iii) adjust a seroprevalence for test sensitivity and specificity.
15	While obtaining the combined parameter estimate is usually straightforward, deriving a
16	corresponding confidence interval often is not. bootComb is an R package using parametric
17	bootstrap sampling to derive such confidence intervals.
18	Implementation bootComb is a package for the statistical computation environment R.
18 19	Implementation bootComb is a package for the statistical computation environment R. General features As well as a function that returns confidence intervals for parameters
18 19 20	Implementation bootComb is a package for the statistical computation environment R. General features As well as a function that returns confidence intervals for parameters combined from several independent estimates, bootComb provides auxiliary functions for 6
18 19 20 21	Implementation bootComb is a package for the statistical computation environment R. General features As well as a function that returns confidence intervals for parameters combined from several independent estimates, bootComb provides auxiliary functions for 6 common distributions (beta, normal, exponential, gamma, Poisson and negative binomial)
18 19 20 21 22	Implementation bootComb is a package for the statistical computation environment R. General features As well as a function that returns confidence intervals for parameters combined from several independent estimates, bootComb provides auxiliary functions for 6 common distributions (beta, normal, exponential, gamma, Poisson and negative binomial) to derive best-fit distributions (and their sampling functions) for parameters given their
 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Implementation bootComb is a package for the statistical computation environment R. General features As well as a function that returns confidence intervals for parameters combined from several independent estimates, bootComb provides auxiliary functions for 6 common distributions (beta, normal, exponential, gamma, Poisson and negative binomial) to derive best-fit distributions (and their sampling functions) for parameters given their reported confidence intervals.

24 **Availability** bootComb is available from the Comprehensive R Archive Network

25 (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=bootComb).

26

27 Key Features

28 •	bootComb derives confidence intervals with the required coverage for parameters that
29	are computed from independent parameter estimates for which confidence intervals
30	are reported.
31 •	Includes auxilliary functions for 6 common distributions (beta, normal, exponential,
32	gamma, Poisson and negative binomial) to derive best-fit distributions (and their
33	sampling functions) for parameters given their reported confidence intervals.
34 •	R package: open-source, easy-to-use, platform independent.
35 •	Stable version hosted on CRAN: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=bootComb
36 •	Latest development version available from GitHub:
37	https://github.com/gitMarcH/bootComb

38

39 Introduction

40 Motivation

- 41 The development of bootComb was motivated by two very practical examples:
- 42 1. Obtaining a 95% confidence interval (CI) for hepatitis D virus (HDV) prevalence in a
- 43 general population from the reported estimates and 95% CIs for the conditional
- 44 prevalence of hepatitis D among hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) positive patients
- 45 and the prevalence of HBsAg.¹
- 46 2. Adjusting the seroprevalence estimate obtained from a novel antibody test for SARS-
- 47 CoV-2 for the estimated sensitivity and specificity of this antibody test.²
- 48 In each case, 2 or 3 parameters had been estimated from independent samples and a
- 49 functional form for how to combine the parameter estimates was available. However, it
- 50 was not evident how to derive a 95% CI.
- 51 In order to obtain CIs that correctly propagate uncertainty from all estimates, the author
- 52 implemented the algorithm detailed below. While in both examples above all parameters
- 53 are probability / proportion parameters, the algorithm is in fact quite general: it can be
- 54 used for arbitrarily complex functions to combine an arbitrary number of parameters, each
- 55 with an arbitrary distribution function (provided it can be sampled from).

56 Context relative to previously existing software

- 57 For some situations, e.g. the sum of two parameters each approximately normally
- 58 distributed, exact solutions exist.

- 59 There are software implementations for the example of adjusting a prevalence estimate for
- 60 the sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic test (e.g. *Reiczigel et al*,³ or
- 61 https://larremorelab.github.io/covid-calculator2⁴). The former of these assumes that
- 62 sensitivity and specificity are known exactly.
- 63 For specific individual applications, a fully Bayesian model⁵ or non-parametric
- 64 bootstrapping⁶ will propagate uncertainty from all input parameters but implementation of
- 65 such approaches requires substantial statistical programming expertise by the user.
- 66 Crucially, all of the above are tailored to specific applications and the author is not aware of
- 67 a software implementation for the general problem of propagating uncertainty to derive
- 68 Cls for arbitrary functions of an arbitrary number of parameter estimates each with an
- 69 arbitrary probability distribution.

70 Implementation

- 51 bootComb is a package for the statistical computation environment R⁷ and its source code
- 72 has been written entirely in R. bootComb is available from the Comprehensive R Archive
- 73 Network (CRAN; https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=bootComb) and can be easily
- 74 installed from within R by simply typing the following at the R consolde:

75 install.packages("bootComb")

- 76 Source code as well as the latest development version are available from GitHub
- 77 (https://github.com/gitMarcH/bootComb), from where bootComb can also be installed, but
- 78 this requires the devtools package.⁸

- 79 install.packages("devtools")
- 80 devtools::install_github("gitMarcH/bootComb")
- 81 To compute highest density intervals bootComb makes use of the R package HDInterval.⁹ If
- 82 this package is not installed, bootComb falls back on the percentile method.

83 The algorithm

- 84 To state the problem in all generality, assume that a parameter of interest ϕ is computed
- from k = 2, 3, ... parameters $\theta = (\theta_1, ..., \theta_k)$ using a function g:

$$\phi = g(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$$

86 Now assume that for each parameter θ_j , j = 1, ..., k, an estimate $\hat{\theta}_j$ with an $(1 - \alpha) \cdot 100\%$ 87 CI $[\hat{\theta}_{l,j}, \hat{\theta}_{u,j}]$ is reported.

- An estimate for ϕ can be obtained by computing $\hat{\phi} = g(\hat{\theta}_1, ..., \hat{\theta}_k)$, but it is less obvious
- how to derive a CI for $\hat{\phi}$ with correct coverage $(1 \alpha) \cdot 100\%$. For example, for
- 90 independent parameter estimates, the naively computed interval
- 91 $\left[g(\hat{\theta}_{l,1}, \dots, \hat{\theta}_{l,k}), g(\hat{\theta}_{u,1}, \dots, \hat{\theta}_{u,k})\right]$ will often be far too wide.
- 92 However, writing Θ_i for the estimator for parameter θ_i , and assuming $\Theta_i \sim F_i$, where F_i is
- some parametric distribution, for each parameter estimate $\hat{\theta}_j$, j = 1, ..., k, we can estimate a
- 94 probability distribution \hat{F}_i from the reported CI $[\hat{\theta}_{l,i}, \hat{\theta}_{u,i}]$. We can then use parametric
- 95 bootstrap sampling to obtain an approximate CI for $\hat{\phi}$ with the required coverage.
- 96 The general algorithm is given below:
- 97 1. For j = 1, ..., k, estimate a distribution function \hat{F}_{i} for the estimate Θ_{i} from $[\hat{\theta}_{l,i}, \hat{\theta}_{u,i}]$.

98 2. Assuming that the parameters
$$\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$$
 (and their estimates $\hat{\theta}_1, \dots, \hat{\theta}_k$) are

99 independent, obtain B bootstrap samples
$$\hat{\theta}^{(b)}$$
, $b = 1, ..., B$, for $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\theta}_1, ..., \hat{\theta}_k)$ by

100 sampling
$$\hat{\theta}_{j}^{(b)} \sim \hat{F}_{j}$$
, $j = 1, ..., k$ independently.

101 3. For each bootstrap sample *b*, compute
$$\hat{\phi}^{(b)} = g(\hat{\theta}_1^{(b)}, ..., \hat{\theta}_k^{(b)}), b = 1, ..., B$$
.

102 4. Obtain a $(1 - \alpha) \cdot 100\%$ CI $[\hat{\phi}_l, \hat{\phi}_u]$, using either the percentile¹⁰ or the highest density 103 interval^11 methods on the empirical distribution for $\hat{\phi}$ given by the sample

104 $\left\{\hat{\phi}^{(b)}\right\}_{b=1,\dots,B}$.

105 Method for deriving CIs from a sample

106 Borrowing from Bayesian statistics, an alternative to the common percentile method,¹⁰ and 107 which would be particularly appropriate for skewed distributions, the highest density 108 interval¹¹ can be used to derive the required CI. The advantage is that this would be the 109 narrowest possible interval with the desired coverage and that the probability density 110 estimated from the bootstrap sample is always higher or equal inside the interval 111 compared to outside it. There is one caveat though: the highest density interval may not be 112 a single interval but a set of intervals if the density is severely multimodal. In this case the 113 single interval returned by bootComb may be too wide.

114 The user needs to inspect the histogram of the sample of combined parameter values to

115 check that the distribution of values is not severely multimodal when using bootComb with

116 the option method="hdi". The default is method="quantile" which implements the

117 percentile method.

118 **Use**

119	This section contains worked examples for the two applications presented in the
120	introduction section. The main computational routine, bootComb() is quite general and not
121	limited to probability parameters as is the case in these two examples, where the beta
122	distribution, natural candidate to use for probability parameters, was used.
123	1. HDV prevalence in the general population
124	A pre-condition for becoming infected with HDV is to be infected with hepatitis B virus
125	(HBV). To assess HBV prevalence, one can test participants for the presence of surface
126	antigen of the hepatitis B virus (HBsAg). To assess HDV prevalence, one can test for the
127	presence HDV specific immunoglobulin G antibodies (anti-HDV).
128	HDV is rare and since it is conditional on HBV, most studies report the prevalence of anti-
129	HDV among HBsAg positive patients. To derive a estimate of the global anti-HDV
130	prevalence \hat{p}_{aHDV} , Stockdale et al ¹ conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis, to
131	estimate the global conditional prevalence $\hat{p}_{aHDV HBsAg}$ and, using estimates of HBsAg
132	prevalence \hat{p}_{HBsAg} reported by the World Health Organisation (WHO), to derive \hat{p}_{aHDV} :

$\hat{p}_{aHDV} = \hat{p}_{aHDV|HBsAg} \cdot \hat{p}_{HBsAg}$

133 To obtain a 95% CI for \hat{p}_{aHDV} , the author implemented the algorithm described in this 134 paper and which has now been generalised for the R package bootComb. The CI for \hat{p}_{aHDV} 135 for the global population, reported in Table 2 in *Stockdale et al*¹ can be derived using 136 bootComb:

- 137 $\hat{p}_{HBSAg} = 3.5\%$ with 95% CI (2.7%, 5.0%).
- 138 $\hat{p}_{aHDV|HBSAg} = 4.5\%$ with 95% CI (3.6%, 5.7%).

139 **library**(bootComb) # if not previously loaded

- 140
- 141 *# find best-fit beta distribution for the reported confidence intervals*
- 142 dist1<-getBetaFromCI(qLow=0.027,qUpp=0.050,alpha=0.05) # p_HBsAg
- 143 dist2<-getBetaFromCI(qLow=0.036,qUpp=0.057,alpha=0.05) # p_aHDV/HBsAg
- 144 distList<-list(dist1\$r,dist2\$r)
- 145
- 146 *# the combination function*
- 147 combFun<-function(pars){pars[[1]]*pars[[2]]} # combination function; just a
- 148 product
- 149
- 150 *#* compute the point estimate of the combined parameter
- 151 p_aHDV<-combFun(c(0.035,0.045))
- 152 print(p_aHDV)
- 153 ## [1] 0.001575
- 154 *# derive the 95% CI*
- 155 ci<-bootComb(distList=distList,combFun=combFun)\$conf.int
- 156 print(ci)
- 157 ## 2.5% 97.5%
- 158 ## 0.001144280 0.002468875

- 159 We obtain the estimate $\hat{p}_{aHDV} = 0.16\%$ with 95% CI (0.11%, 0.25%).¹
- 160 The estimated beta distributions for the two input prevalences in this example have
- 161 parameters $\alpha = 39.62$, $\beta = 1012.19$ and $\alpha = 69.60$, $\beta = 1445.16$. This means that these
- 162 prevalences can be interpreted as having been estimated from samples of sizes
- 163 approximately 40 + 1012 = 1052 and 70 + 1445 = 1515, respectively. This can be used to
- 164 check the coverage of the CI obtained via simulation using the bootComb function
- 165 simScenProductTwoPrevs. Running
- 166 simScenProductTwoPrevs(B=1000,p1=0.035,p2=0.045,nExp1=1052,nExp2=1515,alpha=0
- 167 .05) shows that the 95% CI obtained for the product of to prevelances has 95.1% coverage,
- 168 with a 95% CI of (93.6%,96.4%) from N=1000 simulations.
- 169 2. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence adjusted for test sensitivity and specificity
- 170 *Chibwana et al*² report the surprisingly high SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence and associated
- 171 low morbidity in health workers in Blantyre, Malawi. Writing π for the seroprevalence of
- 172 SARS-CoV-2, out of 500 study participants, 84 tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 antibodies,
- 173 $\hat{\pi}_{raw} = 16.8\%$ with exact binomial 95% CI (13.6%, 20.4%).
- 174 However the immunological assay used in the study was novel and had been assessed in a
- 175 limited number of samples with the following laboratory validation data:¹²
- 176 sensitivity: 238 out of 270 known positive samples tested positive $\hat{p}_{sens} = 88.1\%, 95\%$ 177 CI (83.7%, 91.8%).
- 178
 specificity: 82 out of 88 known negative samples tested negative $\hat{p}_{spec} = 93.2\%, 95\%$

 179
 CI (85.7%, 97.5%).

180 Writing $p_{sens} = P(T|D)$ and $p_{spec} = P(T|D)$ where T is the event of testing positive, D is

181 the event of being seropositive, and *T*, *D* are the complement events of *T*, *D*, the measured

182 seroprevalence $\hat{\pi}_{raw}$ is related to the estimate of the actual seroprevalence $\hat{\pi}$ as follows:

$$\hat{\pi}_{raw} = \hat{\pi} \cdot P(T|D) + (1 - \hat{\pi}) \cdot P(T|D)$$

From this we can drive an equation to adjust the measured seroprevalence for the assay'ssensitivity and specificity:

$$\hat{\pi} = \frac{\hat{\pi}_{raw} - P(T|D)}{P(T|D) - P(T|D)} = \frac{\hat{\pi}_{raw} + \hat{p}_{spec} - 1}{\hat{p}_{sens} + \hat{p}_{spec} - 1}$$

185 where we have substituted the estimated sensitivity and specificity in the expression on186 the far right-hand side.

187 To summarise, we have 3 parameter estimates $(\hat{\pi}_{raw}, \hat{p}_{sens}, \hat{p}_{spec})$, their 95% CIs and a

functional form to derive the actual parameter of interest ($\hat{\pi}$). With this we can use

189 bootComb, which includes a dedicated function, adjPrevSensSpecCI, for this problem:

```
190 library(bootComb) # if not previously loaded
```

191

192 adjPrevSensSpecCI(

193 prevCI=binom.test(x=84,n=500)\$conf.int, # 95% CI for the observed

194 prevalence

```
195 sensCI=binom.test(x=238,n=270)$conf.int, # 95% CI for the observed
```

196 *sensitivity*

```
197 specCI=binom.test(x=82,n=88)$conf.int, # 95% CI for the observed
```

198 specificity

199 method="hdi",

- 200 prev=84/500,# observed prevalence point estimate
- 201 sens=238/270, # observed sensitivity point estimate
- 202 spec=82/88) # observed specificity point estimate
- 203 ## \$estimate
- 204 ## [1] 0.1227324
- 205 ##
- 206 ## \$conf.int
- 207 ## lower upper
- 208 ## 0.03926495 0.19013038
- 209 ## attr(,"credMass")
- 210 ## [1] 0.95

This yields the estimate $\hat{\pi} = 12.3\%$ with 95% CI (3.9%, 19.0%). Had the uncertainty in the

sensitivity and specificity been ignored, the 95% CI would have been (8.4%, 16.7%)

instead. Figure @ref(fig:Fig1) illustrates this example. In fact, the bootComb package

214 provides a function, simScenPrevSensSpec, for running simulations for this particular

- 215 application. This allows estimating the actual coverage of the CIs by running
- 216 simScenPrevSensSpec(p=0.1227, sens=0.881, spec=0.932, nExp=500, nExpSens=270,

nExpSpec=88, B=1000). The bootComb 95% CI has estimated 95.3% coverage, with 95% CI

218 (93.8%,96.5%), whereas ignoring the uncertainty in sensitivity and specificity yields only

219 75.7% coverage, 95% CI (72.9%, 78.3%) (both CIs obtained from N=1000 simulations;

bootComb also computes coverage for the latter interval if the argument

assumeSensSpecExact = TRUE is passed to the function simScenPrevSensSpec).



Figure 1: (a) Best-fit beta distributions for the unadjusted seroprevalence, sensitivity and
specificity from their 95% CIs. (b) Histogram of the adjusted prevalence values obtained from
the bootstrapped values for prevalence, sensitivity and specificity.

226 **Discussion**

227 This paper presents bootComb, an R package to derive CIs for arbitrary functions of an

228 arbitrary number of estimated parameters, where each parameter estimate is distributed

- 229 according to an arbitrary distribution function. bootComb samples from the empirical
- distributions of the input parameter estimates and uses either the percentile method or the
- highest density interval to obtain a CI for the parameter of interest.
- 232 The applicability of this R package is wide, but there is one important limitation, notably
- that in its current version, bootComb assumes that all parameter estimates are independent.

234	Where this is not the case, the CIs computed by bootComb could have incorrect coverage. In
235	the adjusted seroprevalence example, the three parameters that are combined are, in fact,
236	not independent, even though the parameters were estimated from independent samples.
237	This is apparent in a small number of adjusted prevalences $\hat{\pi} < 0$ that were obtained. In
238	most applications, especially where large sample sizes are involved, this error is likely to be
239	negligible; in the example in this paper this is confirmed by the correct coverage of the CI.
240	Nevertheless, future versions of the package will aim to support a limited number of joint
241	distributions and/or copula functions.
242	bootComb provides an easy-to-use tool to the applied epidemiologist faced with the need to
243	combine several independent parameter estimates.
244	At the time of publication, the most recent version of bootComb was 1.0.0. R version 4.0.2
245	and HDInterval version 0.2.2 were used for computations in this paper.
246	Acknowledgements
247	The author was supported by a Wellcome Trust strategic award to Malawi - Liverpool -
248	Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Programme (grant: 206545/Z/17/Z).
249	The author wishes to thank his co-authors from <i>Stockdale et al</i> ¹ and <i>Chibwana et al</i> ² to
250	permit the use of examples from these works as use cases of the bootComb software.
251	References

- 252 1. Stockdale AJ, Kreuels B, Henrion MYR, et al. The global prevalence of hepatitis D virus
- 253 infection: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Hepatology* [Internet]. 2020 Apr

- 254 [cited 2020 Jul 10];S0168827820302208. Available from:
- 255 https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0168827820302208
- 256 2. Chibwana MG, Jere KC, Kamng'ona R, et al. High SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in health
- 257 care workers but relatively low numbers of deaths in urban Malawi. *Wellcome Open Res*
- 258 [Internet]. 2020 Aug 25 [cited 2020 Aug 30];**5**:199. Available from:
- 259 https://wellcomeopenresearch.org/articles/5-199/v1
- 260 3. Reiczigel J, Földi J, Ózsvári L. Exact confidence limits for prevalence of a disease with an
- 261 imperfect diagnostic test. *Epidemiol Infect* [Internet]. 2010 Nov [cited 2020 Sep
- 262 11];**138**(11):1674–1678. Available from:
- 263 https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S0950268810000385/type/journal
- 264 _article
- 265 4. Larremore DB, Fosdick BK, Zhang S, Grad YH. Jointly modeling prevalence, sensitivity
- and specificity for optimal sample allocation [Internet]. Immunology; 2020 May. Available
- 267 from: http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2020.05.23.112649
- 268 5. Stringhini S, Wisniak A, Piumatti G, et al. Seroprevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG
- antibodies in Geneva, Switzerland (SEROCoV-POP): a population-based study. *The Lancet*
- 270 [Internet]. 2020 Aug [cited 2020 Sep 11];**396**(10247):313–319. Available from:
- 271 https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0140673620313040
- 272 6. Havers FP, Reed C, Lim T, et al. Seroprevalence of Antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 in 10 Sites in
- the United States, March 23-May 12, 2020. *JAMA Intern Med* [Internet]. 2020 Jul 21 [cited

- 274 2020 Sep 11]; Available from:
- 275 https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamainternalmedicine/fullarticle/2768834
- 276 7. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing [Internet]. Vienna,
- 277 Asutria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2020. Available from: https://www.R-
- 278 project.org/
- 279 8. Wickham H, Hester J, Chang W. devtools: Tools to Make Developing R Packages Easier
- 280 [Internet]. 2020. Available from: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=devtools
- 281 9. Meredith M, Kruschke J. HDInterval: Highest (Posterior) Density Intervals [Internet].
- 282 2020. Available from: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=HDInterval
- 283 10. Davison AC, Hinkley DV. Bootstrap Methods and their Application [Internet]. 1st ed.
- 284 Cambridge University Press; 1997 [cited 2020 Aug 30]. Available from:
- 285 https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/9780511802843/type/book
- 286 11. Gelman A, Carlin JB, Stern HS, Dunson DB, Vehtari A, Rubin DB. Bayesian data analysis.
- 287 Third edition. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2014.
- 288 12. Adams ER, Augustin Y, Byrne RL, et al. Rapid development of COVID-19 rapid
- 289 diagnostics for low resource settings: accelerating delivery through transparency,
- 290 responsiveness, and open collaboration [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS);
- 291 2020 May. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2020.04.29.20082099