

1 **Title: Comparison of surgical versus medical termination of pregnancy between**
2 **13-20 weeks of gestation in Ethiopia: A quasi-experimental study.**

3 **Tesfaye H. Tufa^{1,*}, Sarah Prager^{1,2}, Mekitie Wondafrash¹, Shikur Mohammed³**

4 **Nicole Byl⁴, Jason Bell⁵**

5

6 *Corresponding author,

7 1- Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Saint Paul's Hospital Millennium

8 Medical College, Addis Ababa Ethiopia

9 2- Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, University of Washington, Seattle,

10 Washington, USA

11 3- Department of Public Health, Saint Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College,

12 Addis Ababa Ethiopia

13 4- University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan USA

14 5- Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor,

15 Michigan USA

16

17 **Corresponding author information**

18 Tesfaye H. Tufa

19 Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, Swaziland Street, 1271

20 Email: tesfaye.hurisa@sphmmc.edu.et

21 Phone number: +251911926336

22 **Abstract**

23 **Background**

24 Dilation and evacuation is a method of second trimester pregnancy termination
25 introduced recently in Ethiopia. However, little is known about the safety and
26 effectiveness of this method in an Ethiopian setting. Therefore, the study is intended to
27 determine the safety and effectiveness of dilation and evacuation for surgical abortion
28 as compared to medical abortion between 13-20 weeks' gestational age.

29 **Methods**

30 This is a quasi-experimental study of women receiving second trimester termination of
31 pregnancy between 13-20 weeks. Patients were allocated to either medical or surgical
32 abortion based on their preference. A structured questionnaire was used to collect
33 demographic information and clinical data upon admission. Procedure related
34 information was collected after the procedure was completed and before the patient was
35 discharged. Additionally, women were contacted 2 weeks after the procedure to
36 evaluate for post-procedural complications. The primary outcome of the study was a
37 composite complication rate. Data were collected using Open Data Kit and then
38 analyzed using Stata version 14.2. Univariate analyses were performed using means
39 (standard deviation), or medians (interquartile range) when the distribution was not
40 normal. Multivariate logistic regression was also performed to control for confounders.

41 **Results**

42 Two hundred nineteen women chose medical abortion and 60 chose surgical abortion.
43 The composite complication rate is not significantly different among medical and

44 surgical abortion patients (15% versus 10%; $p=0.52$). Nine patients (4.1%) in the
45 medical arm required additional intervention to complete the abortion, while none of the
46 surgical abortion patients required additional intervention. Median (IQR) hospital stay
47 was significantly longer in the medical group at 24 (12-24) hours versus 6(4-6) hours in
48 the surgical group $p<0.001$.

49 **Conclusion**

50 From the current study findings, we concluded that there is no difference in safety
51 between surgical and medical methods of abortion. This study demonstrates that
52 surgical abortion can be used as a safe and effective alternative to medical abortion and
53 should be offered equivalently with medical abortion, per the patient's preference.

54 **Keywords: Dilation and evacuation, Second Trimester, Medical abortion, Ethiopia**

55 **Background**

56 Worldwide, termination of pregnancy is one of the most common procedures for
57 reproductive aged women [1,2]. Abortion is safe when provided by a trained person
58 using recommended techniques [3]. Despite limited evidence in developing countries,
59 the safety of both surgical and medical methods of abortion in the second trimester is
60 well demonstrated worldwide [4]. Both medical and surgical abortion have evolved to
61 improve in effectiveness, acceptability, and rate of complications [5].

62 In countries with broadly accessible abortion services, most abortions occur in the first
63 trimester [6]. Often, second trimester abortion is the only option available to women for
64 many reasons, including but not limited to poor access to services and fear of disclosure
65 of pregnancy [7–10].

66 Medical termination of pregnancy involves the use of medications (mifepristone and
67 misoprostol) to cause the uterus to expel the pregnancy while surgical abortion involves
68 preparing the cervix with mechanical or medical methods followed by dilation and
69 evacuation (D&E) using instruments within the uterus (3,10).

70 Historical and contemporary studies have compared modern medical and surgical
71 methods of termination of pregnancy resulting in a continued debate about the optimal
72 method of second trimester abortion. Many studies show increased safety and
73 effectiveness with surgical abortion, though others show a slight increase in the risk of
74 fever requiring antibiotics with surgical abortion [12–15].

75 Motivated by the high rate of maternal death associated with unsafe abortion, Ethiopia
76 adopted a legal reform in 2005 which expanded indications for safe abortion service
77 [16]. Some of the indications in the new law include pregnancy as a result of rape or
78 incest, fetal congenital abnormality, presence of physical and mental disabilities for the
79 patient, and others [16]. Currently, the available working document in the country
80 considers dilation and evacuation a second line of management in the second trimester,
81 to be used only when there is a failed medical abortion [17].

82 Following the recent creation of a family planning fellowship program in a tertiary
83 academic institution, St Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College (SPHMMC), started
84 offering both medical and surgical abortion options up to 20 weeks' gestational age. A
85 review of 46 cases of D&E in the same institution demonstrated clinical safety with an
86 overall complication rate of 2.3% [18]. This method of abortion newly introduced in the
87 Ethiopian setting has a very low uptake, partly due to fear of acute complications and
88 lack of robust evidence demonstrating effectiveness and safety in an Ethiopian context.

89 Currently, no published data compare medical and surgical abortion in Ethiopia. This
90 study aims to demonstrate the comparative safety and efficacy of medical and surgical
91 abortion between 13-20 gestational weeks.

92

93 **Materials and Methods**

94 **Study design and study participants**

95 A non-equivalent comparison group, quasi-experimental design was employed to
96 include patients who were undergoing elective termination of pregnancy from 13-20
97 gestational weeks between November 1, 2018 and October 31, 2019. The study was
98 conducted at Saint Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College (SPHMMC), a tertiary
99 referral and teaching hospital in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

100 **Second trimester safe abortion standard of care in the institution**

101 All patients with a gestational age between 13-20 weeks are fully counseled on the risks
102 and benefits and given the option of medical or surgical abortion. Those who choose
103 medical abortion receive mifepristone 200 mg on day 1 and are appointed to return to
104 SPHMMC 24-48 hours later for admission and misoprostol administration. Those who
105 chose surgical abortion are given mifepristone 200 mg with or without laminaria and
106 appointed to return the next day for surgical abortion. The decision to prepare the cervix
107 with laminaria is made based on the gestational age. Those with advanced gestational
108 age (>16 weeks) receive laminaria on the same day they receive mifepristone.
109 Gestational age is determined by ultrasound using a composite calculation including the
110 fetal parameters of head circumference, biparietal diameter and femoral length). The

111 ultrasound findings are documented in the clinical chart. During the procedure, both
112 medical and surgical abortion patients receive pain medication.

113 In the study, all women presenting for abortion care (medical or surgical) were
114 approached by the study team to ascertain willingness to participate in the study. The
115 inclusion criteria for enrollment were, I) gestational age between 13 – 20 weeks
116 confirmed with ultrasound, II) age ≥ 18 years, III) willingness to provide informed consent
117 for the study, IV) having a working phone and willingness to be contacted for a follow-up
118 questionnaire 2 weeks after the procedure.

119 The study team provided interested patients with an overview of the study and the
120 consent form, which they signed. Patients were interviewed by trained data collectors in
121 their preferred language. A standardized questionnaire was written in English and
122 translated into a local language (Amharic). Baseline sociodemographic and clinical
123 information were collected upon admission to the hospital. The remaining procedure-
124 related information was collected after they recovered from the abortion procedure.
125 Enrolled patients were followed throughout their time in the hospital for immediate
126 complications or side effects related to the abortion procedure. Procedure-related
127 information, including the decision for post-procedure observation, need and indication
128 for additional intervention, and family planning related information, was abstracted from
129 the provider's note in the clinical chart. After discharge, patients were contacted via
130 phone to answer follow-up questions.

131 The primary outcome of the study was a composite complication rate, defined as the
132 presence of one of the following complications: bleeding requiring observation, genital
133 tract lacerations requiring repair, need for additional intervention to complete the


134 abortion procedure, and one or more symptoms of pelvic infection at the time of follow
135 up. We also assessed for the presence of other serious maternal complications
136 including continued bleeding for more than two weeks, additional major surgery
137 (laparotomy), and death. Also assessed were duration of hospital stay, degree of pain
138 related to abortion procedure, acceptability of the procedure (as measured by patient
139 satisfaction) and recommendation of the procedure to others. We based our sample
140 size calculation on the composite complication rate of a previous cross-sectional study
141 conducted in the same institution [18]. We assumed a clinically meaningful difference in
142 composite complication rate of 15% between the groups with 80% power and a two-
143 sided alpha of 0.05. Considering the low uptake of surgical abortion in the institution, we
144 planned for a 3:1 ratio of medical versus surgical abortions. Given these assumptions,
145 we needed at least 54 surgical and 162 medical abortion cases. Based on prior
146 numbers of abortions performed in the hospital, we anticipated a one-year data
147 collection would be sufficient to meet our sample size requirements.

148 The data were collected with open data kit then imported into Stata version 14.2 for data
149 processing and analysis. Univariate analyses were performed using proportions and
150 means (standard deviation), or medians (interquartile range) when the distribution was
151 not normal. The different outcomes were compared between medical and surgical
152 abortion procedure groups using Fisher's exact test, independent t-test, or the non-
153 parametric test of difference of means (Mann Whitney U test). A multiple logistic
154 regression model was fitted to determine independent predictors of post-procedure
155 complications while adjusting for measured confounding variables.

156

157 **Results**

158 During the study period, a total of 279 women were included in the study, with 219 in
159 the medical group and 60 in the surgical group (Figure.1).



166 **Figure 1. Flow chart of patient enrollment.**

167 The baseline demographic characteristics for the two groups are similar except for age,
168 place of residence, and prior delivery (Table 1). Significantly more patients in the
169 surgical arm are older, live outside of Addis Ababa, and have had a prior vaginal
170 delivery ($p < 0.05$). Other clinical characteristics, including gestational age, were similar
171 between the groups.

172 All patients in the medical arm received mifepristone 24-48 hours prior to misoprostol.
173 Two hundred fifteen patients (98.2%) received misoprostol 400 micrograms every 3
174 hours, while four patients (1.8%) received a loading dose of 800 microgram misoprostol,
175 then 400 micrograms every three hours. Sublingual was the most common route of
176 administration for misoprostol (88.6%).

177 Ninety-eight percent (59/60) of patients in the surgical arm received mifepristone on day
178 one. In addition to the mifepristone, surgical patients either received laminaria only
179 (13.3%), misoprostol only (56.7%), or both laminaria and misoprostol (30.0%) for
180 cervical priming prior to dilation and evacuation.

181 One hundred forty-four women in the medical arm (65.8%) received analgesia.
182 Diclofenac and Ibuprofen were the most common analgesics used for medical
183 abortions, accounting for 50% and 36.8% respectively. Other analgesics used were
184 tramadol and paracetamol. All patients in the surgical arm received a paracervical block,
185 and 53 (91.4%) additionally received diclofenac. Other pain medications used for
186 surgical abortion were Diazepam and Pethidine (Table 2).

187 The composite complication rate among medical abortion patients is not significantly
188 different than that of surgical abortion patients (15% versus 10%; $p=0.52$). Nine patients
189 (4.1%) in the medical arm required additional intervention (manual vacuum aspiration)
190 to complete the abortion, while all patients in the surgical arm completed the procedure
191 without a need for additional intervention. More patients in the surgical arm were
192 observed for bleeding (8.3% versus 1%; $p< 0.002$). Despite this, no patients in either
193 arm required transfusion or any other medical or surgical intervention to control
194 bleeding. There were no genital tract lacerations requiring repair in either arm and no
195 maternal deaths reported during the study period.

196 We considered possible confounders and performed multivariate logistic regression to
197 compare the composite complication rate between the medical and surgical arms and
198 found no significant difference between the groups after controlling for measured
199 confounders (Table 4).

200 Medical abortion was associated with a significantly longer median hospital stay (24
201 hrs., IQR 12-24 hrs.) as compared to surgical abortion (6 hrs., IQR 4-6 hrs.; $p < .001$).
202 The surgical patients were more likely than the medical patients to recommend the
203 same procedure to others (98.3% vs 84.0%; $p = 0.003$).

204 All patients in both the medical and surgical arms were counseled on family planning
205 and 92% (257/279) received some form of family planning. The majority of the patients
206 chose and received long acting reversible methods, with Nexplanon, IUD and Jadelle
207 contributing nearly 86% of the total contraception methods adopted (Figure 2).

208 **Discussion**

209 The low complication rate in our study demonstrates that both medical and surgical
210 abortion between 13-20 weeks can be safely practiced in an Ethiopian setting. Similar to
211 other studies, the need for additional interventions to complete the abortion, occurred
212 more frequently in the medical group [8,14,15,19–21]. At the time of the 2-week follow
213 up enquiry, both ongoing bleeding and symptoms of pelvic infection were similar
214 between the two groups.

215 All surgical abortion patients received prophylactic antibiotics and some form of pain
216 medication during the abortion procedure. Conversely, only 65.8% of medical abortion
217 patients received pain medication, which may explain the higher reports of moderate to
218 severe pain in the medical as compared to the surgical arm. This reveals the need for
219 standardization of pain management, especially for medical abortion. Additionally,
220 further investigation is needed to identify the reasons for lower pain medication
221 administration among women undergoing a medical abortion.

222 The median duration of stay is significantly shorter in the surgical abortion group. This
223 finding is consistent with other studies [11,14]. Interestingly, patients who came from
224 outside Addis Ababa were more likely to choose the surgical procedure, possibly to
225 avoid the prolonged hospital stay associated with medical abortion. Similar to other
226 studies, the mean satisfaction rate (scored from 0-8) between the groups is equivalent
227 (7.56 vs 7.90; $p=0.995$) (3, 19–21). Importantly, this study found that 42.3% of all
228 patients were referred from nearby health institutions. As our hospital is a center of
229 excellence for abortion care, it is good that nearby institutions know where/how to refer
230 patients for abortion. Alternatively, this also indicates a need for capacity building at
231 nearby health centers to reduce/avoid unnecessary delays in receiving care. Integrating
232 D&E training into medical schools and residency programs is one way to build capacity
233 and potentially address these delays. Finally, the high rate of family planning counseling
234 and provision demonstrates the successful integration of family planning into abortion
235 care at the institution.

236 **Strengths and limitations**

237 This study is the first in Ethiopia to compare and report the safety and efficacy of
238 surgical versus medical abortion. The study enrolled adequate numbers of patients in
239 the medical and surgical arms during the study period. Saint Paul's Hospital Millennium
240 Medical College is the only public institution so far in the country providing D&E for
241 abortion patients, and only recently started this practice, together with the initiation of a
242 family planning fellowship for graduates of an OBGYN residency program. This study
243 demonstrates that D&E can be safely and effectively initiated and practiced in other
244 similar Ethiopian settings.

245 The study has several limitations. Study subjects were not randomized to abortion
246 methods, which introduces selection bias, as revealed by the proportion of patients from
247 outside Addis Ababa who chose surgical abortion. Our study limited the comparison to
248 cases between the gestational age of 13-20 weeks and cannot be generalized to
249 abortion before 13 or beyond 20 weeks' gestation. The study is performed in an
250 established academic institution where there is a family planning fellowship program
251 and should not be generalized to other hospitals that lack sufficient training and
252 oversight in surgical abortion. Significantly fewer patients chose surgical abortion during
253 the study period. Further investigation into reasons for this is needed to identify
254 knowledge gaps and make recommendations.

255 **Conclusion**

256 Our study demonstrates that surgical abortion is a safe and effective alternative to
257 medical abortion in our institution. We hope these results will inform the current national
258 technical guidelines, which currently consider second trimester surgical abortion a
259 backup method only. Instead, surgical abortion should be a first line option for patients,
260 along with medical abortion.

261 Attempts to expand the family planning fellowship program and train more providers in
262 Ethiopia to perform surgical abortion should be part of any strategy to improve care.

263 **Acknowledgment**

264 Our deepest appreciation goes to SPHMMC nurses and midwives working in the
265 abortion procedure room who devoted their time and energy to a continued quality of
266 care for abortion patients. We also want to thank SPHMMC's Center of Excellence in

267 Reproductive Health for supporting the research. We deeply appreciate Dr. Soe Soe
268 Thwin for her revisions and technical input.

269 **Funding statement**

270 The research received grant from Saint Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College,
271 Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

272 **Competing Interest**

273 The authors declare no competing interests.

274 **Consent for publication**

275 Not applicable

276 **Availability of data and materials**

277 The primary dataset used in the study is not publicly available. In case it is needed, the
278 data can be obtained from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

279 **Contribution of the authors**

280 TT: Conceived and conducted the study, managed the data, performed the statistical
281 analysis and write up the manuscript, JB: Overall supervision for the entire process of
282 study planning, implementing, manuscript writing, MW: Statistical analysis, data
283 interpretation, Critical revision of the manuscript. SP: Overall supervision for the entire
284 process of study planning, implementing, manuscript writing, SM: Overall supervision
285 for the entire process of study planning, implementing, manuscript writing, NB: Critical
286 revision and Manuscript editing

287 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

288 Ethical approval was received from the ethical review board at SPHMMC and
289 permission to perform the research was obtained from the head of the department of
290 obstetrics and gynecology. The study was conducted after receiving an official letter of
291 clearance from SPHMMC ethical review committee.

292 Informed consent was obtained from all the surveyed women prior to the interview.

293

294

295 **References**

- 296 [1] Jones RK, Zolna MR, Henshaw SK, Finer LB. Abortion in the United States:
297 incidence and access to services, 2005. *Perspect Sex Reprod Health* 2008;40:6–
298 16.
- 299 [2] Moore AM, Gebrehiwot Y, Fetters T, Wado YD, Bankole A, Singh S, et al. The
300 Estimated Incidence of Induced Abortion in Ethiopia, 2014: Changes in the
301 Provision of Services Since 2008. *Int Perspect Sex Reprod Health* 2016;42:111–
302 20. <https://doi.org/10.1363/42e1816>.
- 303 [3] Safe abortion: technical and policy guidance for health systems. *Reprod Health*
304 *Matters* 2012;20:205–7. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-8080\(12\)39623-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-8080(12)39623-7).
- 305 [4] Grimes DA, Schulz KF. Morbidity and mortality from second-trimester abortions. *J*
306 *Reprod Med* 1985;30:505–514.
- 307 [5] Sedgh G, Henshaw S, Singh S, VAhman E, Shah IH. Induced abortion: estimated
308 rates and trends worldwide. *The Lancet* 2007;370:1338–1345.
- 309 [6] Abortion Worldwide 2017: Uneven Progress and Unequal Access
310 *Abortion Worldwide 2017: Uneven Progress and Unequal Access* n.d.
311 <http://clacaidigital.info/handle/123456789/1114> (accessed January 26, 2020).
- 312 [7] Ashok PW, Templeton A, Wagaarachchi PT, Flett GMM. Midtrimester medical
313 termination of pregnancy: a review of 1002 consecutive cases. *Contraception*
314 2004;69:51–58.
- 315 [8] Lohr PA, Hayes, JL, Gemzell-Danielsson K. Surgical versus medical methods for
316 second trimester induced abortion. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008.
317 <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006714.pub2>.

- 318 [9] Harries J, Orner P, Gabriel M, Mitchell E. Delays in seeking an abortion until the
319 second trimester: a qualitative study in South Africa. *Reprod Health* 2007;4:7.
- 320 [10] Mulat A, Bayu H, Mellie H, Alemu A. Induced second trimester abortion and
321 associated factors in Amhara region referral hospitals. *BioMed Res Int* 2015;2015.
- 322 [11] Poon LCY, Parsons J. Audit of the effectiveness of cervical preparation with
323 Dilapan prior to late second-trimester (20–24 weeks) surgical termination of
324 pregnancy. *BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol* 2007;114:485–488.
- 325 [12] Whitley KA, Trinchere K, Prutsman W, Quiñones JN, Rochon ML. Midtrimester
326 dilation and evacuation versus prostaglandin induction: a comparison of composite
327 outcomes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2011;205:386–e1.
- 328 [13] Mauelshagen A, Sadler LC, Roberts H, Harilall M, Farquhar CM. Audit of short
329 term outcomes of surgical and medical second trimester termination of pregnancy.
330 *Reprod Health* 2009;6:16.
- 331 [14] Bryant AG, Grimes DA, Garrett JM, Stuart GS. Second-trimester abortion for fetal
332 anomalies or fetal death: labor induction compared with dilation and evacuation.
333 *Obstet Gynecol* 2011;117:788–792.
- 334 [15] Grossman D, Constant D, Lince N, Alblas M, Blanchard K, Harries J. Surgical and
335 medical second trimester abortion in South Africa: a cross-sectional study. *BMC*
336 *Health Serv Res* 2011;11:224.
- 337 [16] The Criminal Code of the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia 2004 n.d.:282.
- 338 [17] Health FDR of EM of. Technical and Procedural Guidelines for Safe Abortion
339 Services in Ethiopia. FDRE-FHD Addis Ababa; 2006.

- 340 [18] Hurissa T, Bell J, Wondafrash M, Surur F, MacAfee L, Dalton V, et al. OUTCOMES
341 OF SECOND TRIMESTER SURGICAL ABORTION: THE EXPERIENCE OF A
342 TERTIARY HEALTH FACILITY IN ETHIOPIA. *Ethiop J Reprod Health* 2019;11:8–
343 8.
- 344 [19] Robins J, Surrago EJ. Early midtrimester pregnancy termination. A comparison of
345 dilatation and evacuation and intravaginal prostaglandin E2. *J Reprod Med*
346 1982;27:415–419.
- 347 [20] Autry AM, Hayes EC, Jacobson GF, Kirby RS. A comparison of medical induction
348 and dilation and evacuation for second-trimester abortion. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*
349 2002;187:393–397.
- 350 [21] Edlow AG, Hou MY, Maurer R, Benson C, Delli-Bovi L, Goldberg AB. Uterine
351 evacuation for second-trimester fetal death and maternal morbidity. *Obstet Gynecol*
352 2011;117:307–316.
- 353 [22] Kelly T, Suddes J, Howel D, Hewison J, Robson S. Comparing medical versus
354 surgical termination of pregnancy at 13–20 weeks of gestation: a randomised
355 controlled trial. *BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol* 2010;117:1512–20.
356 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2010.02712.x>.
- 357 [23] Kerns JL, Light A, Dalton V, McNamara B, Steinauer J, Kuppermann M. Decision
358 satisfaction among women choosing a method of pregnancy termination in the
359 setting of fetal anomalies and other pregnancy complications: A qualitative study.
360 *Patient Educ Couns* 2018;101:1859–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2018.06.012>.

361 [24] Robson D, Glen D, Vickery N, Watkins J, Faundez M. Thomson Orogen -
362 development of an integrated geological/geophysical interpretation. ASEG Ext
363 Abstr 2009;2009:1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1071/aseg2009ab093>.
364

Figure. 2 Post abortion family planning




Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study participants

Characteristic	Level	Medical (n=219)	Surgical (n=60)	p-value
Age	18-25	176 (80.4%)	38 (63.3%)	0.008
	26-35	41 (18.7%)	19 (31.7%)	
	>35	2 (0.9%)	3 (5.0%)	
Religion	Muslim	34 (15.5%)	8 (13.3%)	0.92
	Orthodox	146 (66.7%)	41 (68.3%)	
	Protestant	39 (17.8%)	11 (18.3%)	
Income, median (IQR)		1000 (700, 2500)	1500 (1000, 2750)	0.14
Current residence	Addis Ababa	176 (80.4%)	38 (63.3%)	0.006
	Outside Addis Ababa	43 (19.6%)	22 (36.7%)	
Accompanying partner	No	180 (82.2%)	43 (71.7%)	0.071
	Yes	39 (17.8%)	17 (28.3%)	
Level of education	No formal education	18 (8.2%)	6 (10.0%)	0.42
	Grade 1-8 complete	91 (41.6%)	19 (31.7%)	
	Grade 8-12 complete	78 (35.6%)	22 (36.7%)	
	College graduate	32 (14.6%)	13 (21.7%)	
Gestational age (weeks)	13-15.6	68 (31.1%)	26 (43.3%)	0.20
	16-17.6	47 (21.5%)	11 (18.3%)	
	18-20.6	104 (47.5%)	23 (38.3%)	
Previous abortion	No	205 (93.6%)	52 (86.7%)	0.077
	Yes	14 (6.4%)	8 (13.3%)	
Prior delivery	No	160 (73%)	33 (55%)	0.008
	Yes	59 (27%)	27 (45%)	

Table 2 Pain medication used during surgical abortions

Type of pain medication	Number	Percentage (%)
Paracervical block (PCB) only	7	11.7%
PCB + Diclofenac	37	61.7%
PCB + Diclofenac + Diazepam + Pethidine	10	16.7%
PCB + Diclofenac +Diazepam	5	8.3%
PCB + Diclofenac + Pethidine	1	1.7%

Table 3. Procedure details and complications of study participants

Factor	Medical (n=219)	Surgical (n=60)	p-value
Composite complication	33 (15%)	6 (10%)	0.52
Observed for bleeding	1 (0.5%)	5 (8.3%)	0.002
Symptoms of pelvic infection	21 (9.6%)	1 (1.7%)	0.055
Continued bleeding for two weeks	84 (38.3%)	21 (35%)	0.65
Duration of hospital stay (hours), median (IQR)	24 (12,24)	6 (4,6)	<0.001
Pain scale-medical-surgical			
No pain	0	5 (8.3%)	<0.001
Mild pain	48 (21.9%)	42 (70.0%)	
Moderate pain	117 (53.4%)	11 (18.3%)	
Severe pain	54 (24.7%)	2 (3.3%)	
Would recommend to others	184 (84.0%)	59 (98.3%)	0.003

Table 4. Multiple Logistic regression analysis of composite complication

Variables	Composite Complication* N (%)	Adjusted OR	95% CI
Method of abortion			
Medical	30 (83.3%)	1.00	
Surgical	6 (16.7%)	0.46	0.16-1.3
Age			
18-25	27 (75.0%)	1.00	
26-35	8 (22.2%)	1.44	0.49-4.2
>=36	1 (2.8%)	2.64	0.22-32.2
Gestational age			
13-15.6	20 (55.6%)	1.00	
16-17.6	4 (11.1%)	0.22	0.07-0.72
18-20.6	12 (33.3%)	0.37	0.17-0.82
Previous abortion			
No	32 (88.9%)	1.00	
Yes	4 (11.1%)	2.39	0.6-9.1
Parity			
0	26 (72.2%)	1.00	
1	3 (8.3%)	0.26	0.06-1.1
>=2	7 (19.4%)	1.01	0.32-3.2

*composite complication (observation for bleeding, one or more symptoms of infection, additional procedures required to complete the abortion). Those having one or more symptoms are considered as having complication (coded as; Yes=1, No=0).