It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

1 A Neanderthal OAS1 isoform Protects Against COVID-19 Susceptibility and 2 Severity: Results from Mendelian Randomization and Case-Control Studies

3 4

5 Sirui Zhou^{1,2},* Guillaume Butler-Laporte^{1,2},* Tomoko Nakanishi^{1,3,4,5},* David Morrison¹, Jonathan Afilalo¹,

6 Marc Afilalo¹, Laetitia Laurent¹, Maik Pietzner⁶, Nicola Kerrison⁶, Kaiqiong Zhao^{1,2}, Elsa Brunet-

- 7 Ratnasingham⁷, Danielle Henry¹, Nofar Kimchi¹, Zaman Afrasiabi¹, Nardin Rezk¹, Meriem Bouab¹, Louis
- 8 Petitjean,¹ Charlotte Guzman¹, Xiaoqing Xue¹, Chris Tselios,¹ Branka Vulesevic¹, Olumide Adeleye¹, Tala
- 9 Abdullah¹, Noor Almamlouk¹, Yiheng Chen¹, Michaël Chassé⁷, Madeleine Durand⁷, Michael Pollak¹, Clare
- 10 Paterson⁸, Hugo Zeberg⁹, Johan Normark¹⁰, Robert Frithiof¹¹, Miklós Lipcsey^{12,13}, Michael Hultström^{11,13},
- 11 Celia M T Greenwood^{1,2}, Claudia Langenberg^{6,14}, Elin Thysell¹⁵, Vincent Mooser³, Vincenzo Forgetta¹,
- 12 Daniel E. Kaufmann^{7,16}, J Brent Richards^{1,2,3,17} 13

14 Affiliations:

15 16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

- 1) Lady Davis Institute, Jewish General Hospital, McGill University, Montréal, Québec, Canada
- 2) Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Occupational Health, McGill University, Montréal, Québec, Canada
- 3) Department of Human Genetics, McGill University Montréal, Québec, Canada
- 4) Kyoto-McGill International Collaborative School in Genomic Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
- 5) Research Fellow, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science
- 6) MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Cambridge, UK
- Division of Infectious Diseases and Research Centre of the Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada
 - 8) SomaLogic, Inc., Boulder, Colorado, USA
- 9) Department of Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
 - 10) Molecular Infection Medicine Sweden (MIMS), Umeå University
- 11) Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Department of Surgical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden.
- 12) Hedenstierna Laboratory, CIRRUS, Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Department of Surgical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
 - 13) Integrative Physiology, Department of Medical Cell Biology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
 - 14) Computational Medicine, Berlin Institute of Health, Charité University Medicine Berlin, Germany
 - 15) Department of Medical Biosciences, Umeå University
 - 16) Department of Medicine, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada
 - 17) Department of Twin Research, King's College London, London, United Kingdom

42 *These authors contributed equally to this study43

44 **Corresponding author:**

- 45 Brent Richards, Professor of Medicine, McGill University
- 46 Senior Lecturer, King's College London (Honorary)
- 47 Pavilion H-413, Jewish General Hospital
- 48 3755 Côte-Ste-Catherine Montréal, Québec, Canada, H3T 1E2
- 49 T: +1 514 340 8222 x24362 F: +1 514 340 7529
- 50 E: brent.richards@mcgill.ca <u>www.mcgill.ca/genepi</u> 51
- 52 **Funding:** The Richards research group is supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR:
- 53 365825; 409511), the Lady Davis Institute of the Jewish General Hospital, the Canadian Foundation for
- 54 Innovation (CFI), the NIH Foundation, Cancer Research UK, Genome Québec, the Public Health Agency
- 55 of Canada, the **McGill** Interdisciplinary Initiative in Infection and Immunity and the Fonds de Recherche

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

56 Québec Santé (FRQS). SZ is supported by a CIHR fellowship and a FRQS fellowship. GBL is supported 57 by the a CIHR scholarship, and a joint FRQS and Québec Ministry of Health and Social Services 58 scholarship. TN is supported by Research Fellowships of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science 59 (JSPS) for Young Scientists. JBR is supported by a FRQS Clinical Research Scholarship. Support from Calcul Québec and Compute Canada is acknowledged. TwinsUK is funded by the Welcome Trust. Medical 60 Research Council, European Union, the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)-funded 61 BioResource, Clinical Research Facility and Biomedical Research Centre based at Guy's and St Thomas' 62 63 NHS Foundation Trust in partnership with King's College London. BQC-19 is funded by FRQS. Genome 64 Québec and the Public Health Agency of Canada. These funding agencies had no role in the design, implementation or interpretation of this study. VM is supported by a Canada Excellence Research Chair. 65 66 The Kaufmann lab COVID-19 work is supported by the CIHR/CITF (VR2-173203), AmFAR (110068-68-67 RGCV) the CFI and FRQS. RF is supported by Swedish Research Council (2014-02569 and 2014-07606). MH is supported by a SciLifeLab/KAW national COVID-19 research program project grant (KAW 68 69 2020.0182).

70

- 71 **Disclosures:** JBR has served as an advisor to GlaxoSmithKline and Deerfield Capital.
- 73 **Key words:** Genome-wide association studies, COVID-19, Mendelian randomization, proteomics
- 74

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

75 Abstract

- 76 Proteins detectable in peripheral blood may influence COVID-19 susceptibility or severity. However,
- vunderstanding which circulating proteins are etiologically involved is difficult because their levels may be
- 78 influenced by COVID-19 itself and are also subject to confounding factors. To identify circulating proteins
- influencing COVID-19 susceptibility and severity we undertook a large-scale two-sample Mendelian
- 80 randomization (MR) study, since this study design can rapidly scan hundreds of circulating proteins and
- 81 reduces bias due to reverse causation and confounding. We identified genetic determinants of 931
- 82 circulating proteins in 28,461 SARS-CoV-2 uninfected individuals, retaining only single nucleotide
- 83 polymorphism near the gene encoding the circulating protein. We found that a standard deviation
- 84 increase in OAS1 levels was associated with reduced COVID-19 death or ventilation (N = 4,336 cases /
- 85 623,902 controls; OR = 0.54, P = $7x10^{-8}$), COVID-19 hospitalization (N = 6,406 / 902,088; OR = 0.61, P =
- 86 8×10^{-8}) and COVID-19 susceptibility (N = 14,134 / 1,284,876; OR = 0.78, P = 8×10^{-6}). Results were
- 87 consistent in multiple sensitivity analyses. We then measured OAS1 levels in 504 patients with repeated
- 88 plasma samples (N=1039) with different COVID-19 outcomes and found that increased OAS1 levels in a
- 89 non-infectious state were associated with protection against very severe COVID-19, hospitalization and
- 90 susceptibility. Further analyses suggested that a Neanderthal isoform of OAS1 affords this protection.
- 91 Thus, evidence from MR and a case-control study supported a protective role for OAS1 in COVID-19
- 92 outcomes. Available medicines, such as phosphodiesterase-12 inhibitors, increase OAS1 and could be
- 93 explored for their effect on COVID-19 susceptibility and severity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

95 Introduction

To date, the COVID-19 pandemic has caused more than 1.6 million deaths worldwide, and infected over
75 million individuals.¹ Despite the scale of the epidemic, there are at present few disease-specific
therapies². to reduce the morbidity and mortality of SARS-CoV-2 infection, and apart from
dexamethasone therapy in oxygen dependent patients³, most clinical trials have shown at most mild or
inconsistent benefits in disease outcome.^{4–6} Therefore, validated targets are needed for COVID-19
therapeutic development.

102

One source of such targets is circulating proteins. Recent advances in large-scale proteomics have enabled the measurement of thousands of circulating proteins at once and when combined with evidence from human genetics, such targets greatly improve the probability of drug development success.^{7–9} While *de novo* drug development will take time—even in the accelerated arena of COVID-19 therapies repurposing of currently available molecules targeting those proteins could also provide an accelerated opportunity to deliver new therapies to patients.

109

110 Nevertheless, since confounding and reverse causation often bias traditional circulating protein 111 epidemiological studies, disentangling the causal relationship between circulating proteins and COVID-19 112 susceptibility or severity is challenging. This is especially the case in COVID-19, where exposure to 113 SARS-CoV-2 unleashes profound changes in circulating protein levels¹⁰. One way to address these 114 limitations is by using Mendelian randomization (MR), a genetic epidemiology method that uses genetic 115 variants as instrumental variables to test the effect of an exposure (here protein levels) on an outcome 116 (here COVID-19 outcomes). Given that genotypes are determined by randomly segregated alleles during 117 meiosis of parental gametes, this greatly reduces bias due to confounding. Since genotypes are always 118 assigned prior to disease onset, MR studies are not influenced by reverse causation. However, MR rests 119 on several assumptions¹¹, the most problematic being the lack of horizontal pleiotropy of the genetic 120 instruments (wherein the genotype influences the outcome, independently of the exposure). One way to

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

121 help avoid this bias is to use genetic variants that influence circulating protein levels which are adjacent to 122 the gene which encodes the circulating protein through the use of cis-protein quantitative trait loci (cis-123 pQTLs).⁹ Given their close proximity to the target gene, *cis*-pQTLs are likely to influence the level of the 124 circulating protein, among others, by directly influencing its transcription or translation, and therefore less 125 likely to affect the outcome of interest (COVID-19) through pleiotropic pathways. Nevertheless, a causal 126 genetic association between the exposure and outcome may be confounded by linkage disequilibrium 127 (LD, the non-random association of genetic variants assigned at conception).¹² To probe this potential 128 problem, colocalization tests can assess for the presence of bias from LD.

129

Understanding the etiologic role of circulating proteins in infectious diseases is challenging because the infection itself often leads to large changes in circulating protein levels¹⁰. Thus, it may appear that an increase in a circulating protein, such as a cytokine, is associated with a worsened outcome, when in fact, the cytokine may be the host's response to this infection and help to mitigate this outcome. It is therefore important to identify genetic determinants of the protein levels in the non-infected state, which would reflect a person's baseline predisposition to the level of a protein.

136

137 MR studies can be complemented by traditional case-control studies, where the protein is longitudinally 138 measured in COVID-19 patients and controls, allowing for an estimation of the association between the 139 protein level and COVID-19 outcomes. However, MR studies would tend to predict the effect of the 140 protein in the non-infectious state when the genetic determinants of such proteins are measured in the 141 non-infected population. Thus, longitudinal measurements of proteins can allow for a better 142 understanding of the role of such proteins in COVID-19 outcomes and also describe how their levels 143 respond to the infection. Since MR and case-control studies rely on different assumptions, and may be 144 influenced by different biases, concordant results between the two study designs can strengthen the 145 cumulative evidence through the concept of the triangulation of evidence¹³.

146

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

147 In this study, we therefore undertook two-sample MR and colocalization analyses to combine results from 148 large-scale genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of circulating protein levels and COVID-19 149 outcomes¹⁴ in order to prioritize proteins likely influencing COVID-19 outcomes. We began by identifying 150 the genetic determinants of circulating protein levels in large-scale protein level GWASs, then used MR to 151 assess whether these cis-pQTLs were associated with COVID-19 outcomes in the ICDA Host Genetics 152 Initiative COVID-19 outcomes GWASs. Next, we investigated expression QTL (eQTL) and splice QTL 153 (sQTL) effects of our lead proteins. We then measured the most promising protein, OAS1, in 504 subjects 154 ascertained for SARS-CoV-2 infection and when PCR positive, followed for longitudinal sampling during 155 and after their infection.

156

157 Results

158 MR using cis-pQTLs, and pleiotropy assessment

159 Study design is illustrated in **Figure 1**. We began by obtaining the genetic determinants of circulating 160 protein levels from six large proteomic GWAS of European individuals (Sun et al¹⁵ N=3.301: Emilsson et al¹⁶ N=3,200; Pietzner et al¹⁷ N=10,708; Folkersen et al¹⁸ N=3,394; Yao et al¹⁹ N=6,861 and Suhre et al²⁰ 161 N=997). A total of 931 proteins from these six studies had *cis*-pQTLs associated at a genome-wide 162 163 significant level ($P < 5x10^{-8}$) with protein levels, or highly correlated proxies (LD R² > 0.8), in the meta-164 analyses of data the from COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative²¹ which included results from the 165 GenOMICC program²². We then undertook MR analyses using 1,425 directly matched *cis*-pQTLs and 39 166 proxies as genetic instruments across six studies for their associated circulating proteins on three 167 separate COVID-19 outcomes: 1) Very severe COVID-19 disease (defined as individuals experiencing 168 death, mechanical ventilation, non-invasive ventilation, high-flow oxygen, or use of extracorporeal 169 membrane oxygenation. 99.7% of these individuals were of European ancestry) using 4,336 cases and 170 623,902 controls; 2) COVID-19 disease requiring hospitalization using 6,406 cases and 902,088 controls 171 of European ancestry and 3) COVID-19 susceptibility using 14,134 cases and 1,284,876 controls of 172 European ancestry. These case-control phenotype definitions are referred to as A2, B2, and C2 by the 173 COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative, respectively. In all outcomes, cases required evidence of SARS-CoV-

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

174 2 infection. For the very severe COVID-19 and hospitalization outcomes, COVID-19 cases were defined

as laboratory confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection based on nucleic acid amplification or serology tests. For

the COVID-19 susceptibility outcome, cases were also identified by review of health records (using

177 International Classification of Disease codes or physician notes).

178

179 MR analyses revealed that the levels of three circulating proteins, 2'-5'-oligoadenylate synthetase 1 180 (OAS1), interleukin-10 receptor beta subunit (IL10RB) and ABO were associated with at least two 181 COVID-19 outcomes after Benjamini & Hochberg FDR correction for the number of proteins tested (Table 182 1, Tables S1-6). We note that FDR correction is overly conservative given the non-independence of the 183 circulating protein levels. Notably, increased OAS1 levels were strongly associated with protection from 184 all three COVID-19 outcomes. Further, these effect sizes were more pronounced in severe and 185 hospitalization outcomes, such that each standard deviation increase in OAS1 levels was associated with 186 decreased odds of very severe COVID-19 (OR=0.54: 95% CI: 0.44-0.68, P=7.0x10⁻⁸), hospitalization 187 (OR=0.61; 95% CI: 0.51-0.73, P=8.3x10⁻⁸) and susceptibility (OR=0.78; 95% CI: 0.69-0.87, P=7.6x10⁻⁶) 188 (Figure 2A). We also identified OAS1 *cis*-pQTLs in Emilsson *et al*¹⁶ and Pietzner *et al*¹⁷ which were not 189 included in the MR analyses due to lack of genome-wide significance in their association with OAS1 190 levels or missing from initial protein panel. Undertaking MR analyses of using these additional *cis*-pQTLs, 191 we found concordant results (Table S7).

192

193 We next assessed whether the cis-pQTL associated with OAS1 levels (rs4767027) was associated with 194 any other phenotypes across more than 5,000 outcomes, as catalogued in PhenoScanner,²³ which 195 collects associations of SNPs with outcomes from all available GWASs. We found that the only significant association for rs4767027 was with circulating OAS1 levels (P=6.2x10⁻²⁶) in plasma, whereas it was not 196 197 associated with any other traits or protein levels (P<5.0x10⁻⁵). These findings reduce the possibility that 198 the MR estimate of the effect of OAS1 on COVID-19 outcomes is due to horizontal pleiotropy. Finally, 199 except for the susceptibility outcome, the effect of rs4767027 did not demonstrate evidence of 200 heterogeneity across COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative GWAS meta-analyses (Table 1).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

2	0	1
_	-	_

202	We next identified an independent SNP associated with OAS1 circulating protein levels, which was not at
203	the OAS1 locus and is thus a <i>trans</i> -SNP (rs62143197, P value for association with OAS1 levels =7.10 x
204	10 ⁻²¹). However, this SNP is likely subject to pleiotropic effects, since it is strongly associated with many
205	other proteins, such as annexin A2 (P=5.6 x 10 ⁻²³⁷) and small ubiquitin-related modifier 3 (P=9.1 x 10 ⁻¹⁷⁸).
206	Consequently, including this trans-SNP could introduce bias from horizontal pleiotropic effects and was
207	thus not considered in further MR analyses. Further, this trans-association signal was unique to the
208	INTERVAL study ¹⁷ .

209

OAS proteins are part of the innate immune response against RNA viruses. They are induced by
 interferons and activate latent RNase L, resulting in direct viral and endogenous RNA destruction, as
 demonstrated in *in-vitro* studies.²⁴ Thus OAS1 has a plausible biological activity against SARS-CoV-2.

213

Using a cis-pQTL for IL10RB (rs2834167), we found that one standard deviation increase in circulating 214 215 IL10RB level was associated with decreased odds for very severe COVID-19 (OR=0.47; 95% CI: 0.32-216 0.68, P=7.1x10⁻⁵) and hospitalization (OR = 0.53; 95% CI: 0.39-0.73, P=8.8x10⁻⁵). However, circulating 217 IL10RB protein level was not associated with COVID-19 susceptibility. Using PhenoScanner, we could 218 not find evidence of pleiotropic effects of the cis-pQTL for IL10RB. The IL10RB cis-pQTL also showed a 219 homogeneous effect across the three COVID-19 outcomes except for susceptibility to COVID-19 (Table 220 1, Figure 2A). MR revealed that one standard deviation increase in circulating ABO level was associated 221 with increased odds of adverse COVID-19 outcomes (Table 1), however, we found that a cis-pQTL for 222 ABO (rs505922) was strongly associated with the levels of several other proteins, suggesting potential 223 horizontal pleiotropic effects (Table S8). Given ABO's known involvement in multiple physiological 224 processes, these results were expected, but highlight that MR analyses may suffer from significant bias 225 from horizontal pleiotropy.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

227 Colocalization Studies

228	To test whether confounding due to LD may have influenced the estimated effect of circulating OAS1 on
229	the three different COVID-19 outcomes, we tested the probability that the genetic determinants of OAS1
230	circulating protein level were shared with the three COVID-19 outcomes using colocalization analyses.
231	These were performed using <i>coloc</i> , a Bayesian statistical test implemented in the <i>coloc</i> R package. ¹² We
232	found that the posterior probability that OAS1 levels and COVID-19 outcomes shared a single causal
233	signal (the posterior probability for hypothesis 4 in <i>coloc</i> , PP4) in the 1Mb locus around the cis-pQTL
234	rs4767027 was 0.72 for very severe COVID-19, 0.82 for hospitalization due to COVID-19, and 0.89 for
235	COVID-19 susceptibility (Figure 3). This colocalization result was also replicated using <i>cis</i> -pQTLs for
236	OAS1 levels identified by Pietzner <i>et al</i> ¹⁷ (Table S7). This suggests that there is likely a single shared
237	causal signal for OAS1 circulating protein levels and COVID-19 outcomes.
220	

238

239 Colocalization of ABO levels and different COVID-19 outcomes also showed colocalization between ABO

240 level and different COVID-19 outcomes (posterior probability of single shared signal = 0.90, 0.98 and 1 for

ABO level and very severe COVID-19, hospitalization due to COVID-19 and susceptibility, respectively)

242 (Figure S1). We were unable to perform colocalization analyses for IL10RB due to a lack of genome-wide

summary level data from the original proteomic GWAS¹⁶.

244

245 Aptamer Binding Effects

Protein altering variants (PAVs)¹⁵ may influence binding of affinity agents, such as aptamers or antibodies, that are used to quantify protein levels. We thus assessed if the *cis*-pQTLs for the MRprioritized proteins were PAVs, or in LD (R²>0.8) with PAVs, and if so, whether conditioning the *cis*pQTLs on correlated PAVs influenced their association with COVID-19 outcomes. rs2834167 (IL10RB) is a nonsense variant and could therefore be subject to potential binding effects. rs505922 (ABO) is not in LD with known missense variants. rs4767027 (OAS1) is an intronic variant, which is in LD with a missense variant rs2660 (R²=1) in European ancestry. Unfortunately, this missense variant was not

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

included in the imputation data of Sun *et al*, and the effect by this missense variant could not be

evaluated. However, since RNA splicing and expression studies derived from RNA sequencing are not

subject to potential effects of missense variants that could influence aptamer binding, we next explored

whether rs4767027 also influences OAS1 splicing and/or expression.

257

258 sQTL and eQTL studies for OAS genes

259 Splicing QTLs (sQTLs) are genetic variants that influence the transcription of different isoforms of a 260 protein. The aptamer that targets OAS1 was developed against a synthetic protein comprising the amino 261 acid sequence 1-364 of NP002525.2²⁵, which is common to the two major OAS1 isoforms, p46 and p42, 262 and hence the aptamer may identify both, or either isoforms. rs10774671 is a known sQTL for OAS1 that 263 induces alternate splicing and create p46 and p42, a majority of present-day people carry this splice 264 variant (rs10774671-A), which increases expression of isoforms other than p46²⁶. The ancestral variant 265 (rs10774671-G) is the major allele in African populations and became fixed in Neanderthal and 266 Denisovan genomes^{27,28}. However, the ancestral variant, with its increased expression of the p46 isoform, 267 was reintroduced into the European population via gene flow from Neanderthals²⁹, and is also the 268 predominant isoform found in circulating blood²⁶. The p46 isoform has been demonstrated to have higher 269 anti-viral activity than other isoforms³⁰. Interestingly, the OAS1 pQTL, rs4767027, is in high LD (R²=0.97) 270 with rs10774671²⁹ in European populations. Functional studies support that the G allele at rs10774671 271 increases expression of the p46 isoform but decreases expression of the p42 isoform²⁶. This G allele at 272 the sQTL rs10774671 reflects the T allele at pQTL rs4767027, which itself is associated with higher 273 measured OAS1 levels and reduced odds of COVID-19 severity and susceptibility. These separate lines 274 of evidence suggest that the p46 isoform was predominantly measured by the SomaScan[®] platform and 275 may protect against COVID-19 outcomes.

276

Undertaking MR studies of OAS1 splicing, we found that increased expression of the p46 isoform (as
defined by normalized read counts of the intron cluster defined by LeafCutter^{31,32}) was associated with

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

reduced odds of COVID-19 outcomes (OR = 0.29; 95% CI: 0.17-0.49, P= $4.1x10^{-6}$ for susceptibility, OR = 0.09; 95% CI: 0.04-0.21, P= $2.0x10^{-8}$ for hospitalization and OR = 0.05; 95% CI: 0.02-0.13, P= $3.1x10^{-9}$ for very severe COVID-19) (**Figure 2B**). Colocalization analyses also supported a shared causal signal between the sQTL for *OAS1*, the pQTL and COVID-19 outcomes (**Figure S2A-B**). Interestingly, the colocalization analyses supported a stronger probability of a shared signal with the sQTL, than the pQTL, suggesting that the p46 isoform may be the driver of the association of OAS1 levels with COVID-19 outcomes.

286

287 Next, we tested whether increased expression of OAS1 levels, without respect to isoform, were

associated with COVID-19 outcomes using eQTL MR analyses. We identified an expression QTL (eQTL)

for total OAS1, rs10744785, from GTEx v8.³³ Total OAS1 expression levels were not associated with

290 COVID-19 susceptibility and hospitalization (Figure 2B). We also found that increased OAS3 expression

level in whole blood was positively associated with COVID-19 outcomes in MR analyses with a support

for colocalization of their genetic signal (**Table S9, Figure S3**).

293

Taken together, these pQTL, sQTL and eQTL studies suggest that increased levels of the p46 isoform of OAS1 protect against COVID-19 adverse outcomes. Further, the concordant evidence from sQTL and pQTL MR studies suggest that the effect of OAS1 levels on COVID-19 outcomes is unlikely to be biased by aptamer binding effects.

298

299 Association of measured OAS1 protein level with COVID-19 outcomes

Since MR studies were derived from protein levels measured in a non-infected state, we tested the hypothesis that increased OAS1 protein levels in a non-infected state would be associated with reduced odds of COVID-19 outcomes. To do so, we undertook a case-control study, measuring OAS1 protein levels using the SomaScan[®] platform in 1039 longitudinal samples from 399 SARS-CoV-2 PCR positive patients collected at multiple time points during their COVID-19 infection and 105 individuals who

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

305 presented with COVID-19 symptoms but had negative SARS-CoV-2 PCR nasal swabs from the

306 Biobanque Quebecoise de la COVID-19 cohort (www.BQC19.ca). Individuals were recruited

307 prospectively who had undergone nasal swabs for SARS-CoV-2 infection. The demographic

308 characteristics of the participants in the BQC19 cohort who underwent SomaScan[®] assays is detailed in

309 Table 2.

310

311 We defined non-infectious samples as those collected from convalescent SARS-CoV-2 patients at least 312 31 days after onset of their symptoms (N=115), or samples collected from SARS-CoV-2 PCR negative 313 patients (N=105). These SARS-CoV-2 PCR negative patients were recruited as controls into the study, as 314 their inclusion reduces the probability of the introduction of collider bias³⁴. As we also observed a change 315 in OAS1 level with the exposure to the SARS-CoV-2 virus (Figure S4), in order to understand how OAS1 316 protein levels during infection would be associated with COVID-19 outcomes, we also measured OAS1 317 levels in individuals with samples from SARS-CoV-2 positive patients <14 days after symptom onset 318 (N=313). Sample outliers were removed (Figure S5, S6), and we showed that OAS1 levels are not 319 associated with age and sex in samples without active infection (Figure S7). Additional sample QC and 320 characterization of the cohort is described in Supplementary data.

321

322 To test whether OAS1 levels in a non-infectious state were associated with COVID-19 outcomes we 323 undertook logistic regression using the three COVID-19 outcomes, while controlling for age, sex, age², 324 plate, recruitment center and sample processing time. OAS1 levels were log-transformed and 325 standardized to match the transformation procedure of the MR study. We found that in the non-infectious 326 samples, each standard deviation increase in OAS1 levels on the log-transformed scale was associated with reduced odds of COVID-19 outcomes (OR = 0.20 [95% CI: 0.08 - 0.53]; P = 0.001 for verv severe 327 COVID-19, OR = 0.46 [95% CI: 0.28 - 0.76], P = 0.002 for hospitalization and OR = 0.69 [95% CI: 0.49 -328 329 0.98], P = 0.04 for susceptibility) (Figure 4, Table S10, Figure S8). These results are consistent with our 330 findings from MR, where increased circulating OAS1 levels in a non-infectious state were associated with 331 protection against all of these adverse COVID-19 outcomes.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

332

336	CI: 2.87 – 6.73], P = 1.09 x 10 ⁻¹¹ for susceptibility) (Figure 4, Table S10, Figure S8).
335	severe COVID-19, OR = 1.92 [95% CI: $1.46 - 2.56$], P = 4.8×10^{-6} for hospitalization and OR = 4.39 [95%
334	increased odds of adverse COVID-19 outcomes (OR = 1.49 [95% CI: 1.19 – 1.90]; P = 0.0007 for very
333	In samples drawn during active infection we found that increased OAS1 levels were associated with

337

Taken together, these findings suggest that increased OAS1 levels in a non-infectious state are
associated with better COVID-19 outcomes, and that during infection, SARS-CoV-2 exposure likely
causes OAS1 levels to increase, as interferon pathways are stimulated, which are known to increase
OAS1 levels³⁵.

342

343 Discussion:

344 Disease-specific therapies are needed to reduce the morbidity and mortality associated with COVID-19 outcomes. In this large-scale two-sample MR study of 931 proteins assessed for three COVID-19 345 346 outcomes in up to 14,134 cases and 1.2 million controls with European ancestry, we provide evidence 347 that increased OAS1 levels in the non-infectious state are strongly associated with reduced risks of very 348 severe COVID-19, hospitalization and susceptibility. The protective effect size was particularly large, such 349 that a 50% decrease in the odds of very severe COVID-19 was observed per standard deviation increase 350 in OAS1 circulating levels. Since therapies exist that activate OAS1, repositioning them as potential 351 COVID-19 treatments should be prioritized.

352

In non-Sub-Saharan African populations, the protective alleles at both rs4767027-T (the OAS1 pQTL) and
rs10774671-G (the OAS1 sQTL) are found on a Neandertal haplotype which was passed on to modern
humans ~50-60,000 years ago³⁶. Even though these two SNPs share a haplotype, their evolutionary
histories differ. The rs4767027-T allele is derived from the Neandertal lineage, whereas for the
rs10774671-G allele, Neanderthals preserved the ancestral state. OAS1 alternative splicing regulated by

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

358 the rs10774671-G allele increases the isoform p46, which is known to have a higher enzymatic activity 359 against viruses than the p42 isoform³⁷. p46 is also known to be the only OAS1 isoform which is robustly 360 upregulated during infection²⁹. Although further studies are needed to fully elucidate the functional 361 relevance of the pQTL and sQTL for OAS1, the antiviral activity of the gene products is higher for the 362 Neandertal haplotype than the common haplotype in Europeans³⁰. In Europeans the Neandertal 363 haplotype has undergone positive selection²⁹ and the rs4767027-T allele reaches an allele frequency of 364 0.32, whereas it is absent in sub-Saharan African populations. The association between the Neanderthal 365 haplotype and protection against severe COVID-19 was recently described³⁸. Using MR and 366 measurements of circulating proteins, we demonstrated here that increased OAS1 levels of the 367 Neandertal haplotype confers this protective effect.

368

Our MR evidence indicated that higher p46 isoform levels of OAS1 and higher OAS1 total protein levels, as measured by the SomaScan[®] assay had protective effects on COVID-19 outcomes. These results were strongly supported by colocalization analysis. Given the consistent colocalization between the sQTL and pQTL for OAS1, the lack of colocalization between the eQTL and pQTL for OAS1, and the evidence that the SomaScan[®] assay likely measures p46 isoforms, rather than total protein levels, it seems probable that the protective effect of OAS1 is derived from the p46 isoform. However, further investigations are required to specifically measure each isoform in circulation.

376

In light of the protective effect of the ancestral OAS1 splice variant (rs10774671-G) on COVID-19 and the
positive selection of the Neandertal haplotype in Europeans, the loss-of-function variant (rs10774671-A)
found in non-African population is surprising. Several scenarios might explain this loss-of-function, e.g.,
loss of purifying selection during the out-Africa exodus due to changes in environmental pathogens.
Moreover, immune responses can be harmful and loss-of-function in OAS1-antiviral activity has been
observed in several primates³⁹, suggesting a cost of OAS1 activity. Nevertheless, our results indicate that
interbreeding between Neanderthals and modern humans confers some protection against COVID-19.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

384 The OAS1 Neanderthal variant is another risk-modulating locus reported to be inherited from

385 Neanderthals, the other being the chromosome 3 risk locus⁴⁰.

386

387 OAS1, OAS2 and OAS3 share significant homology and differ only in their number of OAS units. They 388 also increase expression of both IRF3 and IRF7, both genes involved in interferon-induced gene 389 expression. As an interferon stimulated gene⁴¹, OAS1 polymorphisms have been associated with the host 390 immune response to several classes of viral infection including influenza⁴², herpes simplex⁴³, hepatitis C, 391 West Nile⁴⁴ Dengue⁴⁵, and SARS-CoV⁴⁶ viruses. Given that OAS1 is an intracellular enzyme leading to 392 viral RNA degradation, it is probable that the circulating levels of this enzyme reflect intracellular levels of 393 this protein. However, there exists considerable evidence that circulating OAS1 is also important in the 394 viral immune response⁴⁷.

395

396 Molecules currently exist which can increase OAS1 activity. Interferon beta-1b, which activates a cytokine 397 cascade leading to increased OAS1 expression.⁴⁸ is currently used to treat multiple sclerosis and has been shown to induce OAS1 expression in blood.⁴⁹ Interferon-based therapy has also been used in other 398 399 viral infections⁵⁰. However, recent randomized trials have shown inconsistent results. While intravenous 400 interferon beta-1b combined with lopinavir-ritonavir reduced mortality due to MERS-CoV infections,⁵¹ in 401 the unblinded SOLIDARITY trial,⁵² there was no demonstrated benefit of intravenous interferon-beta-1b. 402 On the other hand, a recent phase II trial testing the effect of inhaled nebulized interferon beta-1b showed 403 improved symptoms in the treatment arm.⁵³ While this study was not powered to show a difference in 404 mortality, all deaths occurred in the placebo group. Inhaled nebulized interferon-1-beta results in a much 405 higher tissue availability in the lung and may result in improved anti-viral activity. Moreover, timing of 406 administration is likely to play a role, as the administration of a pro-inflammatory cytokine may not provide 407 benefit during the inflammation driven phase of the disease. However, data on timing of administration is 408 currently unavailable in the SOLIDARITY trial, and conclusions cannot yet be drawn. Lastly the effect of 409 interferon supplement may vary across ancestral population, as different ancestries have different 410 amounts of the more active p46 isoform of OAS1. Our study was limited to individuals of European

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

ancestry, a population with higher expression of the p46 isoform. Interestingly, the SOLIDARITY trial
enrolled 61% of its patients in Africa or Asia, and 17% in Latin America, populations with higher
expression of the p42 isoform OAS1, while the study on inhaled interferon beta-1b was comprised of 80%
White patients from the United Kingdom. This suggests that interferon beta-1b may have different effects
in populations of different ancestry, due to presence of different genetic variants.

416

In-vitro evidence also exists demonstrating that pharmacological inhibition of phosphodiesterase-12,
which normally degrades the OAS enzymes, potentiates this OAS-mediated antiviral activity.⁵⁴ PDE-12

419 inhibitors potentiate the action of OAS1, 2 and 3.55 Interestingly other coronaviruses in the same

420 betacoronavirus family as SARS-CoV-2 have been shown to produce viral proteins that degrade the OAS

421 family of proteins, and antagonize RNase-L activity, leading to evasion of the host immune response.^{56,57}

422 Thus classes of medications currently exist that lead to increased OAS1 levels and could be explored for

their effect upon COVID-19 outcomes.

424

425 Our MR analyses found that higher level of OAS3 expression is associated with worse COVID-19 426 outcomes, which is an opposite direction of effect compared to OAS1. The discordant effects of the 427 Neanderthal haplotype for OAS1 and OAS3 were also reported by a previous study²⁹, which might reflect 428 complex biology of OAS genes for innate immune response. In a recent transcription-wide association 429 study from the GenOMICC program²², genetically-predicted high expression of OAS3 in lungs and whole 430 blood were associated with higher risk of becoming critically ill COVID-19 patients. Although further 431 studies to assess the roles of OAS genes specific to SARS-CoV-2 are needed, it is likely that OAS1 is the 432 main driver of the protective effect of Neanderthal haplotype for COVID-19 outcomes given prior 433 functional studies demonstrating the antiviral effect of OAS genes²⁹.

434

IL10RB encodes for the beta subunit of the IL10 receptor (a type III interferon receptor), and is part of a
cluster of immunologically important genes including *IFNAR1* and *IFNAR2*, both recently implicated in

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

437 severe COVID-19 pathophysiology.⁵⁸ IFNAR1 and 2 encode the interferon alpha/beta receptor subunits 1 438 and 2, respectively. Interestingly, while there exists a *cis*-pQTL strongly associated with IFNAR1 levels, it was not associated with any of the COVID-19 outcomes ($P \sim 0.5$), Further, *IFNAR1* had no *trans*-pQTLs 439 440 identified, which means that the IL10RB cis-pQTL does not likely reflect IFNAR1 levels. However, since 441 IFNAR2 was not measured in any proteomic studies, we could not test the effect of its circulating levels 442 on COVID-19 outcomes. IL10RB mediates IL10 anti-inflammatory activity through its downstream 443 inhibitory effect on many well-known pro-inflammatory cytokines such as janus kinases and STAT1.59 444 While overexpression of IL10 has been involved in the persistence of multiple chronic bacterial infections 445 such as tuberculosis,⁶⁰ its role remains poorly understood in acute infections. In sepsis, a disease state 446 characterized by high levels of cytokine activity and a rise in multiple biomarkers associated with 447 inflammation, there is also a well-established increase in anti-inflammatory IL10 production by leukocytes, especially in the early stage of the disease.⁶¹ Most importantly, while in a normal physiological state, IL10 448 449 is usually only produced at a low level by neutrophils, monocytes and macrophages, its production is 450 strongly upregulated by IL4, itself upregulated by lipopolysaccharides (LPS) when they bind LBPs.^{62,63} 451 Interestingly, while the LBP gene did not pass FDR correction, it was still one of the most significant 452 protein in our MR cis-pQTL analysis (Table S1, S3). While LPS's are well-known for their role in triggering 453 gram-negative bacterial sepsis, their role in other acute infections and respiratory diseases is likely 454 broader, and involves complex sequences of cvtokine signaling.^{64–67} Nevertheless, as our MR studies 455 showed that IL10RB protein level affected COVID-19 outcome with a concordant effect direction, and 456 given the known role of overt inflammation in COVID-19 morbidity, this pathway likely deserves more 457 investigation.

458

This study has limitations. First, we used MR to test the effect of circulating protein levels measured in a non-infected state. This is because the effect of the *cis*-pQTLs upon circulating proteins was estimated in individuals who had not been exposed to SARS-CoV-2. Once a person contracts SARS-CoV-2 infection, levels of circulating proteins could be altered and this may be especially relevant for cytokines such as IL10 (which binds to IL10RB), whose levels may reflect host response to the viral infection and OAS1,

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

464 whose levels are increased by activation of interferon pathway, as we observed in our case-control study 465 (Figures S4, S6, S9). Thus, the MR results presented in this paper should be interpreted as an 466 estimation of the effect of circulating protein levels, when measured in the non-infected state. On-going 467 studies will help to clarify if the same *cis*-pQTLs influence circulating protein levels during infection. 468 Second, this type of study suffers a high false-negative rate. Our goal was not to identify every circulating 469 protein influencing COVID-19 outcomes, but rather to provide evidence for few proteins with strong cis-470 pQTLs since these proteins are more likely to be robust to the assumptions of MR studies. Future large-471 scale proteomic studies with more circulating proteins properly assayed should help to overcome these 472 limitations. Third, most MR studies assume a linear relationship between the exposure and the outcome. 473 Thus, our findings would not identify proteins whose effect upon COVID-19 outcomes has a clear 474 threshold effect. Finally, we could not completely exclude the possibility that measurement of OAS1 levels 475 may be influenced by protein altering variants, however, such variants do not affect sQTL RNA-476 sequencing studies and the association between OAS1 levels and COVID-19 outcomes remained robust 477 in such analyses.

478

In conclusion, we have used genetic determinants of circulating protein levels and COVID-19 outcomes obtained from large-scale studies and found compelling evidence that OAS1 has a protective effect on COVID-19 susceptibility and severity. Measuring OAS1 levels in a case-control study demonstrated that higher OAS1 levels in a non-infectious state were associated with reduced risk of COVID-19 outcomes. Interestingly, the available evidence suggests that the protective effect from OAS1 is likely due to the Neanderthal introgressed p46 OAS1 isoform. Known pharmacological agents that increase OAS1 levels could be explored for their effect on COVID-19 outcomes.

486

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

488 Methods:

489

490 pQTL GWAS

491 We systematically identified pQTL associations from six large proteomic GWASs.^{15–20} Each of these

studies undertook proteomic profiling using either SomaLogic[®] technology, or O-link proximal extension
 assays.

494

495 COVID GWAS and COVID-19 Outcomes

To assess the association of *cis*-pQTLs with COVID-19 outcomes, we used the largest COVID-19 metaanalytic GWAS to date from the COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative²¹. For our study, we used three of these GWAS meta-analyses which included 25 cohorts of European ancestry and 1 cohort of admixed American ancestry, based on sample size and clinical relevance. These outcomes were very severe COVID-19, hospitalization due to COVID-19, and susceptibility to COVID-19 (named A2, B2, and C2, respectively in the COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative).

502

Very severe COVID-19 cases were defined as hospitalized individuals with COVID-19 as the primary reason for hospital admission with laboratory confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection (nucleic acid amplification tests or serology based), and death or respiratory support (invasive ventilation, continuous positive airway pressure, Bilevel Positive Airway Pressure, or continuous external negative pressure, high-flow nasal or face-mask oxygen). Simple supplementary oxygen (e.g. 2 liters/minute via nasal cannula) did not qualify for case status. Controls were all individuals in the participating cohorts who did not meet this case definition.

510

511 Hospitalized COVID-19 cases were defined as individuals hospitalized with laboratory confirmed SARS-

512 CoV-2 infection (using the same microbiology methods as for the very severe phenotype), where

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20212092; this version posted December 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

hospitalization was due to COVID-19 related symptoms. Controls were all individuals in the participating
cohorts who did not meet this case definition.

515

516 Susceptibility to COVID-19 cases were defined as individuals with laboratory confirmed SARS-CoV-2

517 infection, health record evidence of COVID-10 (international classification of disease coding or physician

518 confirmation), or with self-reported infections (e.g. by questionnaire). Controls were all individuals in the

519 participating cohorts who did not meet this case definition.

520

521 Two-sample Mendelian randomization

522 We used two-sample MR analyses to screen and test potential circulating proteins for their role

523 influencing COVID-19 outcomes. In two-sample MR, the effect of SNPs on the exposure and outcome are

taken from separate GWASs. This method often improves statistical power, because it allows for larger

525 sample sizes for the exposure and outcome GWAS.⁶⁸

526

Exposure definitions: We conducted MR using six large proteomic GWAS studies.^{15–20} Circulating 527 528 proteins from Sun et al, Emilsson et al and Pietzner et al were measured on the Somalogic platform, 529 Suhre et al. Yao et al and Folkersen et al used protein measurements on the O-link platform. We selected 530 proteins with only *cis-pQTLs* to test their effects on COVID-19 outcomes, because they are less likely to be affected by potential horizontal pleiotropy. The *cis-pQTL*s were defined as the genome-wide significant 531 532 SNPs ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) with the lowest P value within 1 Mb of the transcription start site (TSS) of the gene encoding the measured protein.⁹ For proteins from Emilsson et al, Pietzner et al, Suhre et al, Yao et al 533 and Folkersen et al, we used the sentinel cis-pQTL per protein per study as this was the data available. 534 535 For proteins from Sun et al, we used PLINK and 1000 genome European population references (1KG 536 EUR) to clump and select LD-independent cis-pQTL (R2<0.001, distance 1000 kb) with the lowest P-537 value from reported summary statistics for each SOMAmer® bound proteins. We included the same 538 proteins represented by different *cis*-pQTLs from different studies in order to cross examine the findings.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

539 For cis-pQTLs that were not present in the COVID-19 GWAS, SNPs with LD R²>0.8 and with minor allele 540 frequency (MAF) < 0.42 were selected as proxies, MAF > 0.3 was used for allelic alignment for proxy 541 SNPs. *cis*-pQTLs with palindromic effects and with minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.42 were removed 542 prior to MR to prevent allele-mismatches. Benjamini & Hochberg correction was used to control for the 543 total number of proteins tested using MR. We recognize that this is an overly conservative correction, 544 given the non-independence of the circulating proteins, but such stringency should reduce false positive associations. MR analyses were performed using the TwoSampleMR package in R.⁶⁹ For proteins with a 545 546 single (sentinel) *cis*-pQTL, we used the Wald ratio to estimate the effect of each circulating protein on 547 each of the three COVID-19 outcomes. For any proteins/SOMAmer® reagents with multiple independent 548 *cis*-pQTL, an inverse variance weighted (IVW) method was used to meta-analyze their combined effects. 549 After harmonizing the cis-pQTLs of proteins with COVID-19 GWAS, a total of 566 SOMAmer® reagents 550 (529 proteins, 565 directly matched IVs and 26 proxies) from Sun et al, 760 proteins (747 directly 551 matched IVs and 11 proxies) from Emilsson et al. 91 proteins (90 directly matched IVs and 2 proxies) 552 from Pietzner et al, 74 proteins (72 directly matched IVs) from Suhre et al, 24 proteins (24 directly 553 matched IVs) from Yao et al and 13 proteins (13 directly matched IVs) from Folkersen et al were used as 554 instruments for the MR analyses across the three COVID-19 outcomes (Table S11-12).15-20

555

556 Pleiotropy assessments

557 A common pitfall of MR is horizontal pleiotropy, which occurs when the genetic variant affects the 558 outcome via pathways independent of circulating proteins. The use of circulating protein *cis-pQTLs* 559 greatly reduces the possibility of pleiotropy, for reasons described above. We also searched in the 560 PhenoScanner database, a large catalogue of observed SNP-outcome relationships involving > 5,000 561 GWAS done to date to assess potentially pleiotropic effects of the *cis*-pQTLs of MR prioritized proteins, 562 by testing the association of *cis*-pQTLs with other circulating proteins (i.e. if they were *trans*-pQTLs to other proteins or traits). For cis-pQTLs of MR prioritized proteins, if they were measured on SomaLogic® 563 564 platform, we assessed the possibility of potential aptamer-binding effects (where the presence of protein 565 altering variants may affect protein measurements). We also checked if *cis*-pQTLs of MR prioritized

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

proteins had significantly heterogeneous associations across COVID-19 populations in each COVID-19
 outcome GWAS.

568

569 Colocalization analysis

- 570 Finally, we tested colocalization of the genetic signal for the circulating protein and each of the three
- 571 COVID-19 outcomes using colocalization analyses, which assess potential confounding by LD.

572 Specifically, for each of these MR significant proteins with genome-wide summary data available, for the

- 573 proteomic GWASs, a stringent Bayesian analysis was implemented in *coloc* R package to analyze all
- variants in 1MB genomic locus centered on the *cis*-pQTL. Colocalizations with posterior probability for

575 hypothesis 4 (PP4, that there is an association for both protein level and COVID-19 outcomes and they

are driven by the same causal variant) > 0.5 were considered likely to colocalize (which means the

577 highest posterior probability for all 5 *coloc* hypotheses), and PP4 > 0.8 was considered to be highly likely

to colocalize.

579

578

580 sQTL and eQTL MR and colocalization studies for OAS genes

We performed MR and colocalization analysis using GTEx project v833 GWAS summary data to 581 582 understand the effects of expression and alternative splicing of OAS genes in whole blood. The genetic 583 instruments were conditionally independent ($R^2 < 0.001$) sQTL and eQTL SNPs for OAS1, eQTL for 584 OAS2 and OAS3 identified by using stepwise regression in GTEx³³. The sQTL SNP for OAS1 585 (rs10774671), was originally identified for the normalized read counts of LeafCutter³¹ cluster of the last intron of p46 isoform (chr12:112,917,700-112,919,389 GRCh38) in GTEx³², and was used to estimate the 586 587 effect of p46 isoform. Colocalization analysis was performed using GWAS summary from GTEx by 588 restricting the regions within 1 Mb of rs4767027.

589

590 Measurement of plasma OAS1 protein levels associated with COVID-19 outcomes in BQC19

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

BQC19 is a Québec-wide initiative to enable research into the causes and consequences of COVID-19
disease. For this analysis, we used results from patients with available proteomic data from SomaLogic[®]
assay (Supplementary Data). The patients were recruited at the Jewish General Hospital (JGH) and
Centre hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal (CHUM) in Montréal, Québec, Canada.

595

596 COVID-19 case - control status was defined to be consistent with the GWAS study from COVID-19 HGI, 597 from which the MR results were derived. Namely, we tested the association of OAS1 protein levels with 598 the three different COVID-19 outcome definitions both in samples procured from non-infected samples 599 and from samples during the acute phase of the infection. The three outcomes were: 1) Very severe 600 COVID-19—defined as hospitalized individuals with laboratory confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection (nucleic 601 acid amplification tests or serology based), and death or respiratory support (invasive ventilation, 602 continuous positive airway pressure, Bilevel Positive Airway Pressure, or continuous external negative 603 pressure, high flow nasal or face-mask oxygen). Controls were all individuals who did not meet this case 604 definition: 2) Hospitalized COVID-19 cases—defined as individuals hospitalized with laboratory confirmed 605 SARS-CoV-2 infection. Controls were all who did not meet this case definition; 3) Susceptibility to COVID-606 19-cases were defined as individuals with laboratory confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, and controls 607 were all individuals who underwent PCR testing for SARS-CoV-2, but were negative. The date of 608 symptom onset for COVID-19 patients was collected from patients' charts or estimated from their first 609 positive COVID-19 tests if missing. Case inclusion criteria was not exclusive, which means that some 610 individuals who were cases in the susceptibility analyses were also included in the hospitalization and 611 very severe COVID-19 if they met case definitions.

612

Among SARS-CoV-2 positive participants, we defined samples procured from participants during the
infectious state as those sampled within 14 days (including the 14th day) from the first date of symptoms⁷⁰.
For individuals with more than one sample within 14 days of symptom onset, the earliest sample was
used. We defined samples procured from patients who were non-infectious as samples from SARS-CoV2 positive patients taken at least 31 days after symptom onset or from SARS-CoV-2 negative individuals.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

We selected 31 days, as this is the upper limit of the intra-quartile range of the duration of SARS-CoV-2 positivity in a recent systematic review and coincided with the first scheduled outpatient follow-up blood test in the BQC19⁷¹. For individuals with more than one sample at least 31 days of symptom onset, the latest sample was used. Protein levels in citrated (ACD) plasma samples were measured using the SomaScan® assay [SomaLogic Inc.]. Details regarding SOMAmer QC are included in **Supplementary Data.**

624

625 1039 samples from 399 SARS-CoV-2 positive patients and 105 SARS-CoV-2 negative patients of mainly 626 European descent underwent SomaScan[®] assays, which included 5,284 SOMAmer reagents, targeting 627 4,742 proteins. A total of 125 individuals were recruited from CHUM and 279 individuals were recruited 628 from the JGH. Individuals had blood sampling done at up to five different time points (200 individuals had 629 one measurement, 113 individuals had two measurements, 152 individuals had three measurements, 38 630 individuals had four measurements and 1 individual had five measurements). Days from symptom onset 631 were calculated for each sample based on the date of symptom and blood draw date. Sample processing 632 time (in hours) for each sample was also calculated measure the duration of time from sample collection 633 to processing to account for the changes in the amount of protein released from cell lysis due to sample 634 handling time.

635

Sample QC was performed to remove outliers with long sample processing time and high OAS1 levels.
OAS1 level was measured by one SOMAmer reagent (OAS1.10361.25). Within each group, normalized
OAS1 levels were natural log transformed, adjusted for sample processing time and the residuals were
further standardized. Logistic regression was performed to test the association standardized OAS1 level
with the three COVID-19 outcomes including age, sex, age², center of recruitment and plates as
covariates.

642

643

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

644 Acknowledgements:

- 645 We thank Dr. Luis Barriero for his comments on the introgressed Neanderthal p46 isoform, and Dr.
- 646 Nicolas Chomont for the discussion on the clinical phenotype of BQC19. We would like to also thank the
- 647 MI4 and the MUHC Foundation that contributed for the SomaLogic[®] panel.

648 Ethics declarations:

- 649 All cohorts contributing cohorts to COVID-19 HGI received ethics approval from their respective research
- ethics review boards. The Biobanque Quebecoise de la COVID-19 (BQC19) received ethical approval
- from the IRB of JGH and the CHUM.

652 Data availability:

- 53 Data from proteomics studies and GTEx consortium are available from the referenced peer-reviewed
- 554 studies or their corresponding authors, as applicable. Summary statistics for the COVID-19 outcomes are
- 655 publicly available for download on the COVID-19 HGI website (www.covid19hg.org). Applicants are
- 656 invited to apply for access to BQC19 data from the JGH hospital (https://www.mcgill.ca/genepi/mcg-covid-
- 19-biobank) and/or the BQC19 (bqc19.ca).

658 Author contributions:

659 Conception and design: SZ, GBL and JBR. Data analyses: SZ and TN. Data acquisition: TN, GBL, DM, 660 DEK, JA, MA, LL, EBR, DH, NK, ZA, NR, MB, LP, CG, XX, CT, BV, OA, TA, NA, MC, MD, VF, DEK and 661 JBR. Interpretation of data: SZ, GBL, TN, MP, YC, DEK, VF and JBR. Funding acquisition: DM, VM, VF, 662 JBR. Methodology: SZ, KZ, CMTG and JBR. Project administration: DM, VF and JBR. Validation: SZ, TN, 663 MP, NK, MP, JN, ET, CL, DEK and JBR. Visualization: SZ, TN and VF. Writing-original draft: SZ, GBL, 664 TN and JBR. Writing-review & editing: SZ, GBL, TN, MP, HZ, VM, MP, RF, ML, MH, CP, DEK and JBR. 665 All authors were involved in preparation of the further draft of the manuscript and revising it critically for 666 content. All authors gave final approval of the version to be published. The corresponding author attests 667 that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted.

Table 1. MR-Identifi	ed Circulating Protei	n Levels Effecting	COVID-19 Outcomes
----------------------	-----------------------	--------------------	--------------------------

Protein	cis-pQTL	Source	Very Severe COVID-19		Hospitalization			Susceptibility						
			(99.7% European Ancestry)			(European Ancestry Only)			(European Ancestry Only)					
			OR	95%CI	P value	P het	OR	95%CI	P value	P het	OR	95%CI	P value	P het
OAS1	rs4767027	Sun	0.54	0.44- 0.68	7.0 x 10 ⁻⁸	0.37	0.61	0.51- 0.73	8.3 x 10 ⁻⁸	0.16	0.78	0.69-0.87	7.6 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.005
ABO	rs505922	Sun, Emilsson	1.09	1.05- 1.14	6.4 x 10 ⁻⁵	0.10	1.11	1.07-1.15	6.8 x 10 ⁻⁹	0.06	1.07	1.05-1.10	1.1 x 10 ⁻⁹	0.10
IL10RB	rs2834167	Emilsson	0.47	0.32- 0.68	7.1 x 10 ⁻⁵	0.02	0.53	0.39-0.73	8.8 x 10 ⁻⁵	0.11	0.87	0.72-1.07	0.18	0.006

OR: represents the estimated effect of a standard deviation on the natural log scale (for Sun et al) or one unit (for Emilsson et al) increase in protein levels on the odds of the three COVID-19 outcomes. P het: P value of heterogeneity for each *cis*-pQTLs across the cohorts in the GWAS summary-level meta-analysis from COVID-19 Host Genomic Initiative.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

	Table 2. Participan	t demographics for	or the BQC19 cohort
--	---------------------	--------------------	---------------------

Sample Demographics	Total			
	(N=504)			
Sex				
Female	250 (49.6%)			
Male	254 (50.4%)			
Age (years) *	65.4 (18.0)			
BMI*	28.6 (6.18)			
Missing	225 (44.6%)			
SARS-CoV-2 PCR test				
Positive	399 (79.2%)			
Negative	105 (20.8%)			
Hospitalization				
Hospitalized	406 (80.6%)			
Outpatient treatment only	98 (19.4%)			
Hospitalization duration (days) [†]	14.0 [6.00, 27.0]			
Death				
Deceased	43 (8.5%)			
Survived	461 (91.5%)			
Respiratory Support				
No oxygen	233 (46.2%)			
Oxygen supplement	143 (28.4%)			
Mechanical Ventilation	128 (25.4%)			
Days on ventilator [†]	14.0 [6.75, 23.5]			

* Mean (SD) and † Median (25%QR, 75%QR), which was calculated amongst those who were hospitalized and those on ventilator, respectively.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 1. Flow Diagram of Study Design



Figure 2. Association of Circulating Protein Levels of OAS1, ABO and IL10RB and mRNA levels of OAS1 with COVID-19 Outcomes from MR



A: MR estimates of proteins influencing COVID-19 outcomes, unit: standard deviation of log normalized value;

B. MR estimates of OAS1 mRNA influencing COVID-19 outcomes, unit: standard deviation of normalized read counts.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 3. Colocalization of the Genetic Determinants of OAS1 Plasma Protein Levels and COVID-19 Outcomes



Colocalization of genetic signal of 1MB region around OAS1 pQTL rs4767027 of OAS1 level (top plot) and COVID-19 outcomes (three bottom plot), color shows SNPs in the region in LD (r²) to rs4767027 (purple). Posterial probability (PP) of shared signal between OAS1 level and three COVID-19 outcomes are estimated by *coloc*.

Figure 4. Association of OAS1 levels with COVID-19 Outcomes from the Case-Control Study in BQC19



During Infection: Patient samples that were collected within 14 days from the date of symptom onset. For individuals with two or more samples collected within 14 days of symptom onset, the earliest time point was used.

Non-Infectious State: Patient samples that were collected at least 31 days from the date of symptom onset. For individuals with two or more samples collected at different time points at least 31 days from symptom onset, the latest time point was used. Additional information is also described in table S10.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

- 1. Johns Hopkins. Corona Virus Resource Center. (2020).
- David M. Weinreich, M.D., Sumathi Sivapalasingam, M.D., Thomas Norton, M.D., Shazia Ali, Pharm.D., Haitao Gao, Ph.D., Rafia Bhore, Ph.D., Bret J. Musser, Ph.D., Yuhwen Soo, Ph.D., Diana Rofail, Ph.D., Joseph Im, B.S., Christina Perry, M.B.A., Cynthia Pan, B. P. REGN-COV2, a Neutralizing Antibody Cocktail, in Outpatients with Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* (2020) doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2035002.
- 3. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19 Preliminary Report. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1–11 (2020) doi:10.1056/nejmoa2021436.
- 4. Sterne, J. A. C. *et al.* Association between administration of systemic corticosteroids and mortality among critically ill patients with COVID-19: A meta-analysis. *JAMA J. Am. Med. Assoc.* (2020) doi:10.1001/jama.2020.17023.
- 5. Beigel, J. H. *et al.* Remdesivir for the Treatment of Covid-19 Preliminary Report. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2019–2020 (2020) doi:10.1056/nejmoa2007764.
- 6. Cavalcanti, A. B. *et al.* Hydroxychloroquine with or without Azithromycin in Mild-to-Moderate Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* (2020) doi:10.1056/nejmoa2019014.
- 7. Nelson, M. R. *et al.* The support of human genetic evidence for approved drug indications. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 856–860 (2015).
- 8. Cook, D. *et al.* Lessons learned from the fate of AstraZeneca's drug pipeline: a fivedimensional framework. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **13**, 419–31 (2014).
- 9. Zheng, J. *et al.* Phenome-wide Mendelian randomization mapping the influence of the plasma proteome on complex diseases. *Nat. Genet.* (2020) doi:10.1038/s41588-020-0682-6.
- 10. Filbin, M. R. *et al.* Plasma proteomics reveals tissue-specific cell death and mediators of cell-cell interactions in severe COVID-19 patients. *bioRxiv* (2020).
- 11. Davey Smith, G., Ebrahim, S., Smith, G. D. & Ebrahim, S. 'Mendelian randomization': Can genetic epidemiology contribute to understanding environmental determinants of disease? International Journal of Epidemiology vol. 32 1–22 (2003).
- 12. Giambartolomei, C. *et al.* Bayesian Test for Colocalisation between Pairs of Genetic Association Studies Using Summary Statistics. *PLoS Genet.* **10**, (2014).
- 13. Lawlor, D. A., Tilling, K. & Smith, G. D. Triangulation in aetiological epidemiology. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* (2016) doi:10.1093/ije/dyw314.
- 14. The COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative, a global initiative to elucidate the role of host genetic factors in susceptibility and severity of the SARS-CoV-2 virus pandemic. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **28**, 715–718 (2020).
- 15. Sun, B. B. *et al.* Genomic atlas of the human plasma proteome. *Nature* **558**, 73–79 (2018).
- 16. Emilsson, V. *et al.* Co-regulatory networks of human serum proteins link genetics to disease. *Science (80-.).* **1327**, 1–12 (2018).

- 17. Pietzner, M. *et al.* Genetic architecture of host proteins interacting with SARS-CoV-2. *bioRxiv Prepr. Serv. Biol.* (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.07.01.182709.
- 18. Folkersen, L. *et al.* Mapping of 79 loci for 83 plasma protein biomarkers in cardiovascular disease. *PLoS Genet.* **13**, e1006706 (2017).
- 19. Yao, C. *et al.* Genome-wide mapping of plasma protein QTLs identifies putatively causal genes and pathways for cardiovascular disease. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 3268 (2018).
- 20. Suhre, K. *et al.* Connecting genetic risk to disease end points through the human blood plasma proteome. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14357 (2017).
- 21. COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative. https://www.covid19hg.org/results/.
- 22. Erola Pairo-Castineira, Sara Clohisey, Lucija Klaric, Andrew Bretherick, Konrad Rawlik, Nicholas Parkinson, Dorota Pasko, Susan Walker, Anne Richmond, Max Head Fourman, Andy Law, James Furniss, Elvina Gountouna, Nicola Wrobel, Clark D Russell, Loukas Mout, J. K. B. Genetic mechanisms of critical illness in Covid-19. *medrxiv* (2020) doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.24.20200048.
- 23. Staley, J. R. *et al.* PhenoScanner: a database of human genotype–phenotype associations. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 3207–3209 (2016).
- 24. Kristiansen, H. *et al.* Extracellular 2'-5' Oligoadenylate Synthetase Stimulates RNase L-Independent Antiviral Activity: a Novel Mechanism of Virus-Induced Innate Immunity. *J. Virol.* **84**, 11898–11904 (2010).
- 25. ATGen. https://nkmaxbio.com/sub/shop.php?ptype=view&prdcode=2004011435.
- 26. Li, H. *et al.* Identification of a Sjögren's syndrome susceptibility locus at OAS1 that influences isoform switching, protein expression, and responsiveness to type I interferons. *PLoS Genet.* (2017) doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006820.
- 27. Prüfer, K. *et al.* A high-coverage Neandertal genome from Vindija Cave in Croatia. *Science (80-.).* (2017) doi:10.1126/science.aao1887.
- 28. Meyer, M. *et al.* A high-coverage genome sequence from an archaic Denisovan individual. *Science (80-.).* (2012) doi:10.1126/science.1224344.
- 29. Sams, A. J. *et al.* Adaptively introgressed Neandertal haplotype at the OAS locus functionally impacts innate immune responses in humans. *Genome Biology* (2016) doi:10.1186/s13059-016-1098-6.
- 30. Liu, X. *et al.* A functional variant in the OAS1 gene is associated with Sjögren's syndrome complicated with HBV infection. *Sci. Rep.* (2017) doi:10.1038/s41598-017-17931-9.
- 31. Li, Y. I. *et al.* Annotation-free quantification of RNA splicing using LeafCutter. *Nat. Genet.* (2018) doi:10.1038/s41588-017-0004-9.
- 32. Aguet, F. *et al.* The GTEx Consortium atlas of genetic regulatory effects across human tissues. *Science (80-.).* (2020) doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.AAZ1776.
- 33. Aguet, F. *et al.* Genetic effects on gene expression across human tissues. *Nature* **550**, 204–213 (2017).
- 34. Griffith, G. J. *et al.* Collider bias undermines our understanding of COVID-19 disease risk and severity. *Nat. Commun.* (2020) doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19478-2.

- 35. Hornung, V., Hartmann, R., Ablasser, A. & Hopfner, K. P. OAS proteins and cGAS: Unifying concepts in sensing and responding to cytosolic nucleic acids. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* (2014) doi:10.1038/nri3719.
- 36. Mendez, F. L., Watkins, J. C. & Hammer, M. F. Neandertal origin of genetic variation at the cluster of OAS immunity genes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* (2013) doi:10.1093/molbev/mst004.
- Bonnevie-Nielsen, V. *et al.* Variation in antiviral 2',5'-oligoadenylate synthetase (2'5'AS) enzyme activity is controlled by a single-nucleotide polymorphism at a splice-acceptor site in the OAS1 gene. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* (2005) doi:10.1086/429391.
- 38. Hugo Zeberg, A. & Pääbo, S. A genetic variant protective for COVID-19 is inherited from Neanderthals. *bioRxiv* (2020).
- 39. Carey, C. M. *et al.* Recurrent Loss-of-Function Mutations Reveal Costs to OAS1 Antiviral Activity in Primates. *Cell Host Microbe* (2019) doi:10.1016/j.chom.2019.01.001.
- 40. Zeberg, H. & Pääbo, S. The major genetic risk factor for severe COVID-19 is inherited from Neanderthals. *Nature* (2020) doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2818-3.
- 41. Schneider, W. M., Chevillotte, M. D. & Rice, C. M. Interferon-Stimulated Genes: A Complex Web of Host Defenses. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **32**, 513–545 (2014).
- 42. Min, J.-Y. & Krug, R. M. The primary function of RNA binding by the influenza A virus NS1 protein in infected cells: Inhibiting the 2'-5' oligo (A) synthetase/RNase L pathway. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **103**, 7100–7105 (2006).
- 43. Hu, B. *et al.* Cellular responses to HSV-1 infection are linked to specific types of alterations in the host transcriptome. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 28075 (2016).
- 44. Lim, J. K. *et al.* Genetic Variation in OAS1 Is a Risk Factor for Initial Infection with West Nile Virus in Man. *PLoS Pathog.* **5**, e1000321 (2009).
- 45. Simon-Loriere, E. *et al.* High Anti–Dengue Virus Activity of the OAS Gene Family Is Associated With Increased Severity of Dengue. *J. Infect. Dis.* **212**, 2011–2020 (2015).
- Hamano, E. *et al.* Polymorphisms of interferon-inducible genes OAS-1 and MxA associated with SARS in the Vietnamese population. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 329, 1234–1239 (2005).
- 47. Di, H., Elbahesh, H. & Brinton, M. A. Characteristics of human OAS1 isoform proteins. *Viruses* (2020) doi:10.3390/v12020152.
- 48. Cheng, G. *et al.* Pharmacologic Activation of the Innate Immune System to Prevent Respiratory Viral Infections. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* **45**, 480–488 (2011).
- 49. Harari, D., Orr, I., Rotkopf, R., Baranzini, S. E. & Schreiber, G. A robust type I interferon gene signature from blood RNA defines quantitative but not qualitative differences between three major IFNβ drugs in the treatment of multiple sclerosis. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 24, 3192–3205 (2014).
- 50. Lin, F. ching & Young, H. A. Interferons: Success in anti-viral immunotherapy. *Cytokine* and Growth Factor Reviews (2014) doi:10.1016/j.cytogfr.2014.07.015.
- 51. Arabi, Y. M. *et al.* Interferon Beta-1b and Lopinavir–Ritonavir for Middle East Respiratory Syndrome. *N. Engl. J. Med.* NEJMoa2015294 (2020) doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2015294.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 52. Pan, H. *et al.* Repurposed antiviral drugs for COVID-19 –interim WHO SOLIDARITY trial results. *medRxiv* 2020.10.15.20209817 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.10.15.20209817.
- 53. Monk, P. D. *et al.* Safety and efficacy of inhaled nebulised interferon beta-1a (SNG001) for treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infection: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Respir. Med.* (2020) doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30511-7.
- 54. Wood, E. R. *et al.* The role of phosphodiesterase 12 (PDE12) as a negative regulator of the innate immune response and the discovery of antiviral inhibitors. *J. Biol. Chem.* **290**, 19681–19696 (2015).
- 55. Poulsen, J. B., Kjær, K. H., Justesen, J. & Martensen, P. M. Enzyme assays for synthesis and degradation of 2-5As and other 2'-5' oligonucleotides. *BMC Biochem.* **16**, 15 (2015).
- 56. Zhao, L. *et al.* Antagonism of the interferon-induced OAS-RNase L pathway by murine coronavirus ns2 protein is required for virus replication and liver pathology. *Cell Host Microbe* (2012) doi:10.1016/j.chom.2012.04.011.
- 57. Zhang, R. *et al.* Homologous 2',5'-phosphodiesterases from disparate RNA viruses antagonize antiviral innate immunity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* (2013) doi:10.1073/pnas.1306917110.
- 58. Zhang, Q. *et al.* Inborn errors of type I IFN immunity in patients with life-threatening COVID-19. *Science (80-.).* **5**, eabd4570 (2020).
- 59. Verma, R. *et al.* A network map of Interleukin-10 signaling pathway. *J. Cell Commun. Signal.* (2016) doi:10.1007/s12079-015-0302-x.
- 60. Moreira-Teixeira, L. *et al.* T Cell–Derived IL-10 Impairs Host Resistance to Mycobacterium tuberculosis Infection . *J. Immunol.* (2017) doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1601340.
- 61. Kasten, K. R., Muenzer, J. T. & Caldwell, C. C. Neutrophils are significant producers of IL-10 during sepsis. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* (2010) doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2010.01.066.
- 62. Lewkowicz, N. *et al.* Induction of human IL-10-producing neutrophils by LPS-stimulated Treg cells and IL-10. *Mucosal Immunol.* (2016) doi:10.1038/mi.2015.66.
- 63. Crepaldi, L. *et al.* Up-Regulation of IL-10R1 Expression Is Required to Render Human Neutrophils Fully Responsive to IL-10. *J. Immunol.* (2001) doi:10.4049/jimmunol.167.4.2312.
- 64. Iyer, S. S., Ghaffari, A. A. & Cheng, G. Lipopolysaccharide-Mediated IL-10 Transcriptional Regulation Requires Sequential Induction of Type I IFNs and IL-27 in Macrophages. *J. Immunol.* (2010) doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1002041.
- 65. Ray, A., Chakraborty, K. & Ray, P. Immunosuppressive MDSCS induced by TLR signaling during infection and role in resolution of inflammation. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology* (2013) doi:10.3389/fcimb.2013.00052.
- 66. Petruk, G. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein binds to bacterial lipopolysaccharide and boosts proinflammatory activity. *bioRxiv* (2020).
- 67. The crucial role of IL-10 in the anti-inflammatory effects of aerobic exercise in a model LPS-induced ARDS. *Eur. Respir. J.* (2013).

- 68. Burgess, S., Davies, N. M. & Thompson, S. G. Bias due to participant overlap in twosample Mendelian randomization. *Genet. Epidemiol.* 1–12 (2016) doi:10.1002/gepi.21998.
- 69. Hemani, G. *et al.* The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *Elife* **7**, e34408 (2018).
- 70. Duration of Isolation and Precautions for Adults with COVID-19. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/duration-isolation.html.
- 71. Cevik, M. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV viral load dynamics, duration of viral shedding, and infectiousness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Microbe* (2020) doi:10.1016/s2666-5247(20)30172-5.