# Anterior Knee Pain Risk Differs Between Male and Female Military Tactical Athletes

Neal R. Glaviano, PhD, ATC, <sup>1</sup> Michelle C. Boling, PhD, ATC, <sup>2</sup> John J. Fraser, PT, DPT, PhD<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Kinesiology, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT

<sup>2</sup>Brooks College of Health, University of North Florida, Jacksonville, FL

<sup>3</sup>Warfighter Performance Department, Naval Health Research Center, San Diego, CA

\*Address correspondence to John J. Fraser, Warfighter Performance Department, Naval Health Research Center, 140 Sylvester Road, San Diego, CA 92106, USA. Tel: 757-438-0390, email: john.j.fraser8.mil@mail.mil, ORCID: 0000-0001-9697-3795, Twitter: @NavyPT

**Disclaimer:** The authors are military service members or employees of the U.S. Government. This work was prepared as part of their official duties. Title 17, U.S.C. §105 provides that copyright protection under this title is not available for any work of the U.S. Government. Title 17, U.S.C. §101 defines a U.S. Government work as work prepared by a military service member or employee of the U.S. Government as part of that person's official duties. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, nor the U.S. Government. The study protocol was approved by the Naval Health Research Center Institutional Review Board in compliance with all applicable Federal regulations governing the protection of human subjects. Research data were derived from an approved Naval Health Research Center Institutional Review Board protocol, number NHRC.2020.0203-NHSR.

**Contributors:** Neal R. Galviano and Michelle C. Boling contributed to data interpretation and drafting this manuscript. John J. Fraser contributed to study design, data analysis, and interpretation, and in drafting this manuscript. All authors have reviewed and approved this manuscript.

# ABSTRACT

**Background:** Anterior knee pain (AKP) is commonly diagnosed in military members and is a threat to operational readiness. AKP includes a range of conditions, with localized pain around the patella being a frequent location of pain and disability. Mechanical overuse is one suggested etiology for many of these conditions, suggesting occupational demands in the military may influence AKP frequency amongst the service members. Previous research suggests females are at a greater risk for AKP, however, it is unknown how occupation affects AKP risk.

Study Design: Epidemiological cohort.

Level of Evidence: Level 2.

**Methods:** The Defense Medical Epidemiology Database was queried for the number of individuals with ICD-9 diagnosis codes on their initial encounter from 2006 to 2015. Diagnoses were categorized into anterior or retropatellar pain, patellar instability, knee tendinopathy, as well the sum of the three groups which was defined as AKP. Relative risk (RR) and chi-square statistics were calculated in the assessment of sex and occupational category. Regressions were calculated to determine association between service branch, sex, and AKP across time.

**Results:** From 2006-2018, a total of 151, 263 enlisted and 14,335 officer services members were diagnosed with AKP. The incidence rate was 13.2 in enlisted members and 6.2 in in officers. Females were significantly at greater risk of developing AKP compared to males within both the enlisted (relative risk=1.32) and officer (relative risk=2.01) service members. Differences in risk were also noted across military occupation for both enlisted and officer service members, p<.05.

**Conclusion:** Sex and military occupation were salient factors for AKP risk. Evaluation of training requirements and developing interventions programs across military occupation could serve as a focus for future research aiming to decrease the incidence of chronic knee pain.

Keywords: knee injuries, military personnel, occupational injuries, sports medicine, public health

# Introduction

Anterior knee pain (AKP) is a common lower extremity injury reported within various populations; adolescents,<sup>1</sup> physically active individuals,<sup>2</sup> the general population,<sup>3</sup> and the military.<sup>4</sup> AKP is often used as a catch-all term for various types of pathologies that relate to the patella and anterior aspect of the knee. These pathologies often include patellofemoral pain, patellar tendinopathy and patellar instability. While diagnostic criteria vary between the subgroups of AKP, commonalities exist in patient presentation. AKP patients often report long-term recurrent symptoms,<sup>5,6</sup> decreased subjective function<sup>7</sup>, and limitations related to strenuous activity and sport.<sup>2,8</sup> Over 91% of patients with AKP will report pain for up to 16 years following initial diagnosis,<sup>9,10</sup> demonstrating a significant burden on their health-related quality of life.

The military has an alarming incidence and prevalence rate for AKP. In a recent systematic review<sup>3</sup>, the incidence of AKP among military recruits ranged from 9.7-571.4 per 1000 person years.<sup>4,11-16</sup> Greater incidence rates do exist for females (33 per 1000 person-years) compared to their male counterparts (15 per 1000 person-years).<sup>1,4</sup> Additionally, the prevalence of AKP has been reported to be as high as 13.5% among naval midshipmen, with 25% of females enrolling at the United States Naval Academy reporting a previous diagnosis of AKP.<sup>4,9</sup> The high incidence and prevalence rates of AKP among military recruits is concerning when considering the clinical presentation and the chronicity of symptoms. AKP presents with knee pain during weight-bearing tasks, such as walking, running, jumping, squatting, lunging, and stair ambulation.<sup>17</sup> Individuals experiencing pain during these tasks limit their ability to perform activities of daily living, recreational exercise, and perform their occupation,<sup>18,19</sup> which could be very detrimental to individuals serving in the military. The functional limitations due to AKP account for a larger proportion of medical discharge from the military compared to other lower extremity injuries.<sup>11,15</sup>

Because AKP is a common condition among military recruits and is exacerbated during functional tasks required for members of the military to complete, it is important to understand if the

incidence of this condition differs across diverse military occupations. This is important since disparity in physical exposure to varying load carriage requirements, physical training, kneeling, and jumping may exist between military occupations. Furthermore, potential sex-related factors of AKP within military occupation (with the assumption of similar occupational exposure) is currently unknown. The identification of AKP risk across sex and military occupation would allow for greater precision in resource allocation and development of more ecologically valid treatment approaches, targeted interventions groups with the greatest need, and improvement of military readiness. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to assess the risk of AKP in military servicemen and women across multiple military occupations.

### **METHODS**

A population-based epidemiological retrospective cohort study of all service members in the US Armed Forces was performed assessing risk of sex and military occupation on the outcome of AKP incidence from 2006-2015. The Defense Medical Epidemiological Database [(DMED), Defense Health Agency, Falls Church, VA, https://bit.ly/DHADMED] was utilized to identify relevant healthcare encounters. This database provides aggregated data for International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) codes and de-identified patient characteristics, including sex, categories of military occupations, and branch of service for all active duty and reserve military service members. The database is HIPAA compliant, does not include any personal identifiable or personal health information, and has been used previously for epidemiological study of lower extremity injury in the military.<sup>20,21</sup> This study was approved as non–human-subjects research by the Institutional Review Board at the Naval Health Research Center (NHRC.2020.0203-NHSR).

Since AKP is characterized by a diverse set of potential diagnoses, the database was queried for the number of distinct patients with a primary diagnosis of antero- and retropatellar pain (717.7, Chondromalacia patella; 726.65 prepatellar bursitis), patella instability (ICD-9 codes 718.36, patella subluxation; 836.3, patellar dislocation), and knee tendinopathy [726.60 quadricep tendinopathy]

(enthesopathy of knee, unspecified); 726.64, patellar tendinopathy], on the initial medical encounter from 2006–2015. Patients with repeat visits for the same diagnosis were only counted once in all analyses.

Calculations of cumulative incidence of patients diagnosed with AKP were conducted for male and female military members, enlisted and officers, in each service branch (Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force) and occupational category. Relative risk (RR) point estimates and 95% confidence intervals (CIs), risk difference point estimates, attributable risk (AR), number needed to harm (NNH), and chi-square statistics were calculated in the assessment of sex and occupation category, using the group with the lowest rate as the reference group. Linear regression was performed to evaluate branch, rank, sex, and year on the incidence of AKP using R Version 3.5.1 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). The level of significance was  $p \le 0.05$  for all analyses. RR point estimates were considered statistically significant if CIs did not cross the 1.00 threshold. All calculations were performed using Microsoft Excel for Mac 2016 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA) and a custom epidemiological calculator spreadsheet.<sup>22</sup>

### RESULTS

From 2006 to 2015, 151,263 enlisted service members were diagnosed with AKP; 60,670 diagnosed with anterior or retro-patellar pain, 11,956 diagnosed with patellar instability, and 78,637 diagnosed with patellar tendinopathy. A total of 14,335 military officers were diagnosed with AKP; 10,105 diagnosed with anterior or retro-patellar pain, 1,014 diagnosed with patellar instability, and 3,216 diagnosed with patellar tendinopathy. The total incidence rate of AKP in enlisted service members was 13.2 per 1,000 person-years, while military officers was 6.2 per 1,000 person-years. There was no statistically significant change in AKP risk between 2006-2015 (t=.650, p=.517).

<u>Sex</u>

Numbers of AKP cases and incidence between sex is reported for enlisted services members in Table 1 and officers in Table 2. Enlisted females had an incidence rate of 16.7 per 1000 person-years compared to the enlisted male service members with an incidence rate of 12.7 per 1000 person-years (RR:

1.32, 95%CI: 1.30-1.34, p<0.001) across all AKP diagnoses. Females enlisted in the Army had the greatest risk of AKP, 20.9 per 1000 person-years. Female enlisted service members also had significantly greater incidence risk for tendinopathy (RR: 1.28, 95%CI: 1.26-1.31, p<0.001), instability (RR: 1.56, 95%CI: 1.49-1.63, p<0.001), and anterior- and retro-patellar pain (RR: 1.32, 95%CI: 1.30-1.35, p<0.001). (Table 3) Female officers had an incidence rate of 10.7 per 1,000 person-years compared to male officers at an incidence rate of 5.3 per 1000 person-years (RR: 2.01, 95%CI: 1.94-2.09). Female officers had the greatest relative risk of experiencing tendinopathy (RR: 4.75, 95%CI:4.43-5.09) when compared to male officers.

#### Branch and Rank

The comparison of incidence rate across the individual military services between 2006-2015 are reported in Figure 1. Service members in the Army were at a greater risk of AKP, with enlisted service members having a rate of 16.1 per 1000 person-years and officers at a rate of 7.7 per 1000 person-years (t=5.272, p<.001). Those in the Navy were at a significantly lesser risk of AKP, enlisted at a rate of 8.6 per 1000 person-years and officers at a rate of 4.4 per 1000 person years (t=-7.893, p<.001). Military officers were at a lower risk of AKP compared to their enlisted counterparts (t=-20.12, p<.001).

# Occupation

Risk of AKP by occupation for both enlisted and officer specialty is reported in Table 4. Enlisted infantry and naval gunfire officers were used as the reference group for comparisons. Significant differences were identified in six enlisted specialty, Mechanized/Armor, Artillery/Gunnery, Engineers, Maintenance, Administration/Intelligence/Communication, and Logistics. The greatest risk of enlisted servicemembers were identified in Logistics (RR: 1.38, 95%CIs: 1.35-1.42) and Administrative/ Intelligence/Communication occupations (RR: 1.33, 95%CIs: 1.30-1.46) compared to enlisted infantry service members. When comparing occupation amongst officers, Administration, Engineering/Maintenance, Operations/Intelligence, and Logistics were statistically significant. The occupations with the greatest risk in officers were Logistics (RR: 1.42, 95%CIs: 1.34-1.50) and Administration (RR: 1.35, 95%CIs: 1.28-1.43) compared to the naval gunfire officers.

### DISCUSSION

The primary finding of this study were sex, rank, and military occupation were salient factors in the risk of AKP. Enlisted females were at 1.32-fold increased risk of AKP compared to enlisted males, while female officers were at a 2.01-fold increase for risk of AKP compared to male officers. Additionally, females were at greater risk of tendinopathy, instability, and anterior- or retro-patellar pain, with female officers having the greatest relative risk for patellar tendinopathy by 4.75 (95% CI: 4.43-5.09). Finally, military service members who work in logistics have an increased risk of AKP, as shown in both the enlisted (RR:1.38, 95%CI: 1.35-1.42) and officer (RR: 1.42, 95%CI: 1.34-1.50) ranks.

We found the total incidence for AKP in the active duty military to be 13.2 and 6.2 per 1000 person-years in enlisted and officer ranks, respectively. The incidence from the current study are greater than previously established incidence for chondromalacia patellae in the military (4.32 cases per 1000 person-years).<sup>23</sup> These findings are consistent with previous patellofemoral pain incidence data within the military setting, which ranges between 9.7-571.4 cases per 1000 person-years.<sup>12-16</sup> Patellofemoral pain and chondromalacia patellae are two specific conditions that can fall within the umbrella term of AKP, which does warrant some caution when comparing incidence rates across studies.

The definition of AKP utilized in this investigation included three subgroups, antero- or retropatellar pain, patellar instability, and tendinopathy, which provides a unique overview of various forms of knee pain that originate around the patella. Knee tendinopathy had the greatest incidence in enlisted servicemembers of the three subgroups, accounting for 6.9 cases per 1000 person-years. Owens et al.,<sup>24</sup> identified 584 cases of patellar tendinopathy from 80,106 active duty servicemembers over three separate single-year time periods (2001, 2004 and 2007), but did not report incidence rate in person-years. When comparing the incidence rate of patellar tendinopathy in our military cohort with the general population (1.6 cases per 1000 person-years)<sup>25</sup>, there is a much greater incidence of this condition in the military.<sup>24</sup> Prevention programs need to be prioritized, as reoccurrence rate ranged between 21-27%<sup>26,27</sup>, resulting in a recurrent decrease in military readiness.

Sex

Females in both the officer and enlisted ranks had an incidence rate of 10.7 and 16.7 (per 1000 person-years) with an increased relative risk of AKP risk by 2.01 and 1.32 as compared to their male counterparts, respectively. The increased risk of AKP in females is supported by previous patellofemoral pain evidence, with females being 2.23 times more likely to experience this chronic knee condition.<sup>4</sup> The average incidence rate of chondromalacia has also been previously reported as 4.32 per 1000 person-years across all branches of the military, with females having an adjusted incidence rate ratio of 1.50 (95% CI: 1.47-1.54).<sup>23</sup> When evaluating the AKP subcategory of antero- retro-patellar pain, which includes the chondromalacia patella ICD-9 code, we had similar incidence rates of 5.3 and 4.4 cases per 1000 person-years in enlisted and officer ranks, respectively. While our data does show similar incidence rates, it should be noted the pathological conditions included in our definition of AKP are broader than the specific diagnosis of patellofemoral pain<sup>4,12-14,16</sup> or chondromalacia patella.<sup>23</sup>

Females did present with a greater incidence rate for patellar tendinopathies, for both enlisted and officers, compared to their male counterparts. While there is limited evidence evaluating patellar tendinopathy incidence rate across sex, prevalence data suggests males are at a greater risk.<sup>28</sup> Our findings conflict with previous research, which have speculated that males are at greater risk for developing patellar tendinopathy due to athletic demands and weekly participation in sports.<sup>29,30</sup> It may be possible that patellar tendinopathy incidence risk may be impacted by intrinsic factors, such as occupation and lower extremity function such as strength. Increased demands in the military could explain why females had a greater incidence rate in the current study, as a linear relationship exists between patellar tendinopathy and training volume.<sup>31</sup> Future evidence should objectively assess the mechanical overload on the tendon during military training to better understand the higher incidence rate in females and provide direction for appropriate interventions.

#### Rank and Branch

Findings from this current study identified that enlisted service members were at a greater risk for AKP than officers. Our cohort of enlisted personnel included both junior and senior enlisted members, but previous research has identified that junior enlisted and senior personnel distinctly have the highest incidence rate of chondromalacia patellae, 3.75, 95% CI:3.57-3.93 and 2.29, 95% CI: 2.20-2.39, respectively compared to military officers.<sup>23</sup> Additionally, our data compliments previous evidence<sup>23,24,32</sup> that those in land-based service branches (Army and Marines) are at greater risk for knee injuries than non-land-based service branches (Air Force and Navy). The requirements of both enlisted and land-based service branches suggest that increased activity and training demands continue to increase the risk of developing knee pain. While modification of military requirements is not plausible for all branches, implementation of intervention programs that target those high-risk groups are warranted.

#### Occupation

Both enlisted and officer service members had the greatest risk of AKP when employed in logistics, with increased incidence also present in administration, intelligence and communication, engineers, maintainers, and artillery occupations as well. The lowest risk was identified in enlisted special operator and maritime/naval occupations and in the aviation community. Our data agrees with previous evidence evaluating chondromalacia patellae,<sup>23</sup> patellar tendinopathy,<sup>24</sup> and other forms of knee injuries among various occupations.<sup>32</sup> These findings are interesting, as overuse and increased demands are suggested to be the etiology of AKP, and those greater demand occupations reported lower incidence rates. It could be theorized that those in greater demanding occupations, such as infantry, are accustom to the occupational demands. However, it is also plausible that those in greater demanding occupations might not have the same availability to medical care or are less likely to actively seek care due to psychosocial determinants unique to the military.<sup>33</sup> Objective assessment of the required training load across military occupations would be needed to better understand its role on the incidence rate of AKP.

#### **Clinical and Research Implications**

This is one of the first studies to evaluate AKP in the active military across branches and occupations. Most of the established incidence rates have been reported in military recruits and cadets enrolling in a 6-week to 25-week training program, which may explain the wide range incidence rates in the previous studies.<sup>12-16</sup> Increased physical demands and over-use likely contribute to the development of AKP,<sup>34</sup> as incidence rate of AKP is greatest in the early phases of training and decreased after the first month of training.<sup>15</sup> AKP does account for the largest cause of medical discharge from military recruits.<sup>11</sup> however, there is limited evidence evaluating AKP in services members beyond their initial training. Almost 3% of all military service members seek rehabilitative care for knee pain,<sup>15</sup> supporting our findings that AKP still presents a large concern in the military. Identifying those at greater risk across branches and occupations provides future direction into developing training programs to reduce occupational attrition and improve military readiness. Colocation of uniformed and civilian rehabilitation specialists, which include both physical therapists and athletic trainers, in proximity to the operational forces is warranted in-garrison and while deployed.<sup>33</sup> When complemented with sports medicine physicians, the diverse skills of the cohesive interdisciplinary musculoskeletal team provide a capacity to perform preventative screening and interventions to address identified risk factors, as well as timely comprehensive treatment following AKP symptom onset.

AKP presents with a heterogeneous presentation of symptoms and impairments, stemming from increased demands or stress on the patellofemoral joint. Those in the Army have the greatest risk of experiencing AKP, which can be troublesome with the recent advancements in the Army's physical fitness test. The new Army Combat Fitness Test requires a minimum deadlift of 140 pounds, a sprint/drag/carry task which includes carrying an external load and a 2-mile run. These tasks replicate combat conditions but are also tasks that are often pain provoking for individuals experiencing AKP. Additionally, the minimum requirements are age- and sex-neutral, which presents with potential challenges for females who are already at a greater risk for AKP and the influence of age on incidence rates of specific forms of AKP, such as patellofemoral pain or patellar tendinopathy.<sup>23,24</sup> The inclusion of

these tasks will increase the demands of physical readiness of those in the Army to train and complete activities that require an increased external load. Injury surveillance and future epidemiological studies should evaluate incidence rates of AKP conditions after the implementation of the Army Combat Fitness Test.

In athletic populations patellar tendinopathy has been suggested to be related to decreased knee and hip range of motion during functional tasks.<sup>34</sup> While the physical requirements across military occupations are unknown, evaluating the daily demands might provide insight into the high rate of this condition. Nonetheless, implementation of load management and eccentric training may be warranted as a treatment program for those servicemembers presenting with patellar tendinopathies.<sup>35</sup> Additionally incorporating quadriceps strengthening programs should implemented across branches, with a focus on land-based groups, to minimize the risk of patellofemoral pain.<sup>36</sup> These implementation programs should be evaluated for their efficacy at decreasing AKP risk in the military, as they have been shown to be beneficial in both clinical and research settings.<sup>35,37,38</sup>

### **Strengths and Limitations**

Using the DMED allowed for a large, population-based analysis of all service members diagnosed with AKP in each branch of military service. The ability to exclude repeat encounters resulted in an estimate of incidence and gold standard epidemiological measures of risk. Further, the stratification by sex addressed a timely military issue of public health importance given that the full integration of women is currently under way. This is the first study to evaluate AKP risk amongst military occupation across all branches of service.

There are limitations to this study. There are a wide range of ICD-9 codes for AKP diagnosis, with a lack of agreement by healthcare professionals. The use of multiple ICD-9 codes for the three subdivisions of AKP minimize the potential bias by providing a range of common codes used in the diagnosis, however other codes may be applicable among healthcare providers. The variability in diagnosis would result in either overestimation or underestimation of AKP across the military branches.

Evaluation of ICD-9 selection for chronic knee pain conditions would provide insight into the included codes for this study and future epidemiological studies. Recent clinical practice guidelines for patellofemoral pain<sup>39</sup> have provided clinician recommendations of both ICD-10 codes and International Classifications of Functioning, Disability, and Health guidelines outlined by the World Health Organization. These recommendations should provide more structure when utilizing large database studies for challenging pathologies that often include a wide range of conditions. Additionally, the data presented in this study only corresponds to active military service members who sought care. Disparity in care-seeking behaviors may introduce a utilization bias in this analysis. Future assessment within occupational groups is warranted using direct survey. The incidence rate of National Guard or Reserve servicemembers is currently unknown. Military service members have demonstrated decreased care-seeking behaviors in other healthy conditions, related to self-reliance, emotional control, the stigma of weakness, or negative beliefs from co-workers.<sup>40,41</sup> Decreased care-seeking behaviors also exist in community populations experiencing chronic knee pain.<sup>42</sup> These barriers should be considered since the data included in this current study is from a military population experiencing a chronic knee pain condition.

#### CONCLUSION

The average incidence of AKP amongst active duty services members was 13.2 per 1000 personyears in enlisted and 6.2 per 1000 person-years in officers from 2006-2015. Sex and occupation were salient risk factors for AKP, with females and occupations in logistics, administration, intelligence and engineering being at greater risk. Further research is warranted to identify modifiable risk factors and effective treatment plans for these groups at greater risk for AKP.

# References

- 1. Rathleff MS. Patellofemoral pain during adolescence: much more prevalent than appreciated. *Br J Sports Med.* 2016;50(14):831-832.
- 2. Glaviano NR, Baellow A, Saliba S. Physical activity levels in individuals with and without patellofemoral pain. *Phys Ther Sport*. 2017;27:12-16.
- 3. Smith BE, Selfe J, Thacker D, et al. Incidence and prevalence of patellofemoral pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(1):e0190892.
- 4. Boling M, Padua D, Marshall S, Guskiewicz K, Pyne S, Beutler A. Gender differences in the incidence and prevalence of patellofemoral pain syndrome. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2010;20(5):725-730.
- 5. Lankhorst NE, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, van Middelkoop M. Factors associated with patellofemoral pain syndrome: a systematic review. *Br J Sports Med.* 2013;47(4):193-206.
- 6. Fithian DC, Paxton EW, Stone ML, et al. Epidemiology and natural history of acute patellar dislocation. *Am J Sports Med.* 2004;32(5):1114-1121.
- 7. Lankhorst NE, van Middelkoop M, Crossley KM, et al. Factors that predict a poor outcome 5-8 years after the diagnosis of patellofemoral pain: a multicentre observational analysis. *Br J Sports Med.* 2015;50(14):881-886.
- 8. Cook JL, Khan KM, Kiss ZS, Coleman BD, Griffiths L. Asymptomatic hypoechoic regions on patellar tendon ultrasound: A 4-year clinical and ultrasound followup of 46 tendons. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2001;11(6):321-327.
- 9. Price AJ, Jones J, Allum R. Chronic traumatic anterior knee pain. *Injury*. 2000;31(5):373-378.
- 10. Stathopulu E, Baildam E. Anterior knee pain: a long-term follow-up. *Rheumatology*. 2003;42(2):380-382.
- 11. Gemmell IM. Injuries among female army recruits: a conflict of legislation. *J R Soc Med.* 2002;95(1):23-27.
- 12. Kaufman KR, Brodine SK, Shaffer RA, Johnson CW, Cullison TR. The effect of foot structure and range of motion on musculoskeletal overuse injuries. *Am J Sports Med.* 1999;27(5):585-593.
- 13. Milgrom C, Finestone A, Eldad A, Shlamkovitch N. Patellofemoral pain caused by overactivity. A prospective study of risk factors in infantry recruits. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1991;73(7):1041-1043.
- 14. Thijs Y, Van Tiggelen D, Roosen P, De Clercq D, Witvrouw E. A prospective study on gaitrelated intrinsic risk factors for patellofemoral pain. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2007;17(6):437-445.
- 15. Wills AK, Ramasamy A, Ewins DJ, Etherington J. The incidence and occupational outcome of overuse anterior knee pain during army recruit training. *J R Army Med Corps.* 2004;150(4):264-269.
- 16. Coppack RJ, Etherington J, Wills AK. The effects of exercise for the prevention of overuse anterior knee pain: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Sports Med.* 2011;39(5):940-948.
- 17. Rothermich MA, Glaviano NR, Li J, Hart JM. Patellofemoral pain: epidemiology, pathophysiology, and treatment options. *Clin Sports Med.* 2015;34(2):313-327.
- 18. Smith BE, Moffatt F, Hendrick P, et al. The experience of living with patellofemoral pain-loss, confusion and fear-avoidance: a UK qualitative study. *BMJ Open.* 2018;8(1):e018624.
- 19. Smith BE, Moffatt F, Hendrick P, et al. Barriers and facilitators of loaded self-managed exercises and physical activity in people with patellofemoral pain: understanding the feasibility of delivering a multicentred randomised controlled trial, a UK qualitative study. *BMJ Open.* 2019;9(6):e023805.
- 20. Cameron KL, Owens BD, DeBerardino TM. Incidence of ankle sprains among active-duty members of the United States Armed Services from 1998 through 2006. *J Athl Train.* 2010;45(1):29-38.
- 21. Waterman BR, Gun B, Bader JO, Orr JD, Belmont PJ, Jr. Epidemiology of Lower Extremity Stress Fractures in the United States Military. *Mil Med.* 2016;181(10):1308-1313.

- 22. LaMorte W. Epidemiology/Biostatistics Tools [Excel Workbook]. <u>http://bit.ly/Lamort3</u>. Accessed August 3, 2019.
- 23. Kusnezov N, Watts N, Belmont PJ, Jr., Orr JD, Waterman B. Incidence and Risk Factors for Chronic Anterior Knee Pain. *J Knee Surg.* 2016;29(3):248-253.
- 24. Owens BD, Wolf JM, Seelig AD, et al. Risk Factors for Lower Extremity Tendinopathies in Military Personnel. *Orthop J Sports Med.* 2013;1(1):2325967113492707.
- 25. Albers IS, Zwerver J, Diercks RL, Dekker JH, Van den Akker-Scheek I. Incidence and prevalence of lower extremity tendinopathy in a Dutch general practice population: a cross sectional study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2016;17:16.
- 26. Fredberg U, Bolvig L, Andersen NT. Prophylactic training in asymptomatic soccer players with ultrasonographic abnormalities in Achilles and patellar tendons: the Danish Super League Study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2008;36(3):451-460.
- 27. Hagglund M, Zwerver J, Ekstrand J. Epidemiology of patellar tendinopathy in elite male soccer players. *Am J Sports Med.* 2011;39(9):1906-1911.
- 28. Zwerver J, Bredeweg SW, van den Akker-Scheek I. Prevalence of Jumper's knee among nonelite athletes from different sports: a cross-sectional survey. *Am J Sports Med.* 2011;39(9):1984-1988.
- 29. Gaida JE, Cook JL, Bass SL, Austen S, Kiss ZS. Are unilateral and bilateral patellar tendinopathy distinguished by differences in anthropometry, body composition, or muscle strength in elite female basketball players? *Br J Sports Med.* 2004;38(5):581-585.
- 30. Lian OB, Engebretsen L, Bahr R. Prevalence of jumper's knee among elite athletes from different sports: a cross-sectional study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2005;33(4):561-567.
- 31. Ferretti A. Epidemiology of jumper's knee. Sports Med. 1986;3(4):289-295.
- 32. Jones JC, Burks R, Owens BD, Sturdivant RX, Svoboda SJ, Cameron KL. Incidence and risk factors associated with meniscal injuries among active-duty US military service members. *J Athl Train.* 2012;47(1):67-73.
- 33. Fraser JJ, Schmied E, Rosenthal MD, Davenport TE. Physical Therapy as a Force Multiplier: Population Health Perspectives to Address Short-Term Readiness and Long-Term Health of Military Service Members. *Cardiopulm Phys Ther J.* 2020;31(1):22-28.
- 34. Trojan JD, Treloar JA, Smith CM, Kraeutler MJ, Mulcahey MK. Epidemiological Patterns of Patellofemoral Injuries in Collegiate Athletes in the United States From 2009 to 2014. *Orthop J Sports Med.* 2019;7(4):2325967119840712.
- 35. Malliaras P, Cook J, Purdam C, Rio E. Patellar Tendinopathy: Clinical Diagnosis, Load Management, and Advice for Challenging Case Presentations. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2015;45(11):887-898.
- 36. Neal BS, Lack SD, Lankhorst NE, Raye A, Morrissey D, van Middelkoop M. Risk factors for patellofemoral pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med.* 2018.
- 37. Ferber R, Bolgla L, Earl-Boehm JE, Emery C, Hamstra-Wright K. Strengthening of the hip and core versus knee muscles for the treatment of patellofemoral pain: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *J Athl Train.* 2015;50(4):366-377.
- 38. Crossley KM, van Middelkoop M, Callaghan MJ, Collins NJ, Rathleff MS, Barton CJ. 2016 Patellofemoral pain consensus statement from the 4th International Patellofemoral Pain Research Retreat, Manchester. Part 2: recommended physical interventions (exercise, taping, bracing, foot orthoses and combined interventions). *Br J Sports Med.* 2016;50(14):844-852.
- 39. Willy RW, Hoglund LT, Barton CJ, et al. Patellofemoral Pain. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2019;49(9):CPG1-CPG95.
- 40. Bass SB, Muniz J, Gordon TF, Maurer L, Patterson F. Understanding help-seeking intentions in male military cadets: An application of perceptual mapping. *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16:413.
- 41. Wade NG, Vogel DL, Armistead-Jehle P, Meit SS, Heath PJ, Strass HA. Modeling stigma, helpseeking attitudes, and intentions to seek behavioral healthcare in a clinical military sample. *Psychiatr Rehabil J.* 2015;38(2):135-141.

42. Thorstensson CA, Gooberman-Hill R, Adamson J, Williams S, Dieppe P. Help-seeking behaviour among people living with chronic hip or knee pain in the community. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2009;10:153.

	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total					
Ant	erior- and R	etropatellar pa	in (n=60670)		Incidence Ra						
Allt			III (II-00070)	(p	er 1000 person	-years)					
Army	23052	4986	28038	6.1	8.7	6.4					
Navy	6958	1814	8772	3.0	4.1	3.2					
Air Force	11165	3571	14736	5.3	7.0	5.6					
Marines	8480	644	9124	5.3	5.5	5.3					
Total	49655	11015	60670	5.1	6.7	5.3					
	Patellar I	nstability (n=1		Incidence Ra							
	i ateriar in		(p	er 1000 person	-years)						
Army	3515	812	4327	0.9	1.4	1.0					
Navy	1881	567	2448	0.8	1.3	0.9					
Air Force	2293	899	3192	1.1	1.8	1.2					
Marines	1791	198	1989	1.1	1.7	1.2					
Total	9480	2476	11956	1.0	1.5	1.0					
	Vnaa Tan	din on other (n=	79627)		Incidence Ra	ate					
	Knee Ten	dinopathy (n=	/803/)	(p	er 1000 person	-years)					
Army	31413	6206	37619	8.3	10.8	8.6					
Navy	9457	2690	12147	4.1	6.1	4.5					
Air Force	14608	3902	18510	6.9	7.7	7.1					
Marines	9251	1110	10361	5.8	9.4	6.0					
Total	64729	13908	78637	6.6	8.5	6.9					
7	Cotal Antonio	r Knee Pain (r	-151262)	Incidence Rate							
1	otal Anterio	i Kilee Falli (i	1-131203)	(per 1000 person-years)							
Army	57980	12004	69984	15.3	20.9	16.1					
Navy	18296	5071	23367	8.0	11.5	8.6					
Air Force	28066	8372	36438	13.3	16.5	13.9					
Marines	19522	1952	21474	12.2	16.6	12.5					
Total	123864	27399	151263	12.7	16.7	13.2					

**Table 1**. Numbers and incidence of anterior knee pain among enlisted members of the US

 Armed Forces

	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total
Ant	arian and R	etropatellar pai	n (n=10105)		Incidence Ra	
Allo				er 1000 person		
Army	4023	1052	5.2	7.1	5.5	
Navy	1300	312	1612	2.9	3.7	3.1
Air Force	2010	709	2719	3.8	5.8	4.2
Marines	626	73	699	3.2	5.7	3.3
Total	7959	2146	10105	4.1	5.9	4.4
	Patellar I	nstability (n=1	Inc	idence Rate (p person-year		
Army	293	102	395	0.4	0.7	0.4
Navy	141	50	191	0.3	0.6	0.4
Air Force	237	114	351	0.4	0.9	0.5
Marines	70	7	77	0.4	0.5	0.4
Total	741	273	1014	0.4	0.7	0.4
	Knee Ten	dinopathy (n=	Inc	idence Rate (p person-year		
Army	848	703	1551	1.1	4.8	1.7
Navy	272	259	531	0.6	3.1	1.0
Air Force	420	498	918	0.8	4.1	1.4
Marines	158	58	216	0.8	4.5	1.0
Total	1698	1518	3216	0.9	4.1	1.4
]	Total Anterio	or Knee Pain (1	n=14335)	Inc	idence Rate (p person-year	
Army	5164	1857	7021	6.6	12.6	7.6
Navy	1713	621	2334	3.9	7.4	4.4
Air Force	2667	1321	3988	5.0	10.8	6.1
Marines	854	138	992	4.4	10.8	4.8
Total	10398	3937	14335	5.3	10.7	6.2

Table 2. Numbers and in	ncidence of anterior kne	e pain among officers	s of the US Armed Forces
	neracinee of anterior kin	o pulli ulliong officer.	

ssment of risk	t of anterior k	<u>knee pain (AKP</u>	) by sex in mem	bers of US Armed	Forces, 2006-	-2018				
				A dministration		Maritime		Enlisted	Fotal	
Artillery/ Gunnery	Aviation	Engineers	Maintenance	Intelligence, & Communication	Logistics	Naval Specialties	Tendinopathy	Instability	Antero- & Retro- patellar	All AKP Diagnoses
1.33 (1.16- 1.52)	1.61 (1.33-1.95)	0.42 (0.32-0.56)	1.27 (1.23-1.31)	1.25 (1.23-1.28)	1.24 (1.20-1.29)	1.45 (1.27-1.66)	1.28 (1.26-1.31)	1.56 (1.49- 1.63)	1.32 (1.30- 1.35)	1.32 (1.30- 1.34)
4.5	5.7	-21.9	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.8	1.9	0.5	1.6	4.0
24.8	37.9	-136.4	21.2	20.2	19.5	31.2	22	35.8	24.4	24.2
<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
224	175	-46	323	299	295	264	536	1849	609	247
Ground /		Engineering						Officer 7	Total	
Naval Gunfire	Aviation		Administration	Operations & Intelligence	Logistics	Services	Tendinopathy	Instability	Antero- & Retro- patellar	All AKP Diagnoses
0.96 (0.79-1.17)	1.31 (1.11- 1.55)	1.28 (1.16-1.41)	1.23 (1.10-1.36)	1.01 (0.85-1.19)	1.31 (1.19- 1.45)	1.24 (1.16- 1.32)	4.75 (4.43-5.09)	1.96 (1.70- 2.25)	1.43 (1.37- 1.50)	2.01 (1.94- 2.09)
-0.3	1.7	2.8	2.1	0.0	3.5	1.8	3.3	0.4	1.8	5.4
-3.8	23.6	21.8	18.4	0.9	23.9	19.1	78.9	48.9	30.2	50.3
		0.0.1		2.1	. 0.0.4	1 0 0 1	<.001	< 0.01	< 0.0.1	< 0.01
.71	.001	<.001	<.001	.91	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
	Artillery/ Gunnery 1.33 (1.16- 1.52) 4.5 24.8 <.001 224 Ground / Naval Gunfire 0.96 (0.79-1.17) -0.3 -3.8	Artillery/ GunneryAviation $1.33$ $(1.16-1)$ $1.52)1.61(1.33-1.95)4.55.74.55.724.837.9<.001<.001224175Ground /NavalGunfireAviation0.96(0.79-1.17)1.311.55)-0.31.7-3.823.6$	Artillery/ GunneryAviationEngineers1.33 (1.16- 1.52)1.61 (1.33-1.95)0.42 (0.32-0.56)4.55.7-21.924.837.9-136.4<.001	Artillery/ GunneryAviationEngineersMaintenance $1.33$ $(1.16-$ $1.52)$ $1.61$ $(1.33-1.95)$ $0.42$ $(0.32-0.56)$ $1.27$ $(1.23-1.31)$ $4.5$ $5.7$ $-21.9$ $3.1$ $4.5$ $5.7$ $-21.9$ $3.1$ $24.8$ $37.9$ $-136.4$ $21.2$ $<001$ $24.8$ $37.9$ $<101$ $-136.4$ $<001$ $21.2$ $<001$ $<001$ $<001$ $<001$ $<001$ $224$ $175$ $-46$ $323$ Ground / Naval GunfireAviation $\begin{pmatrix} 1.31 \\ (1.11- \\ 1.55) \\ (1.16-1.41) \\ 1.55) \end{pmatrix}$ $1.28 \\ (1.10-1.36)$ $0.96 \\ (0.79-1.17)$ $1.7$ $1.55)$ $2.8$ $2.1$ $-0.3$ $1.7$ $2.8$ $2.1$ $-3.8$ $23.6$ $21.8$ $18.4$	Artillery/ GunneryAviationEngineersMaintenanceAdministration, Intelligence, & Communication $1.33$ (1.16- 1.52) $1.61$ (1.33-1.95) $0.42$ (0.32-0.56) $1.27$ (1.23-1.31) $1.25$ (1.23-1.28) $4.5$ $5.7$ $-21.9$ $3.1$ $3.3$ $24.8$ $< .001$ $37.9$ $< 001$ $-136.4$ $< 001$ $21.2$ $< 001$ $20.2$ $< 001$ $224$ $175$ $-46$ $323$ $299$ Ground / Naval GunfireAviation $\frac{1.31}{(1.11-1)}$ Engineering & MaintenanceAdministration $(1.10-1.36)$ Operations & (0.85-1.19) $-0.3$ $1.7$ $2.8$ $2.1$ $0.0$ $-3.8$ $23.6$ $21.8$ $18.4$ $0.9$	Artillery/ GunneryAviationEngineersMaintenanceAdministration, Intelligence, & CommunicationLogistics $1.33$ (1.16- 1.52) $1.61$ (1.33-1.95) $0.42$ (0.32-0.56) $1.27$ (1.23-1.31) $1.25$ (1.23-1.28) $1.24$ (1.20-1.29) $4.5$ $5.7$ $-21.9$ $3.1$ $3.3$ $-3.4$ $3.4$ $24.8$ $-001$ $37.9$ $-136.4$ $21.2$ 	Artiliety GunneryAviationEngineersMaintenanceIntelligence, & CommunicationLogisticsNaval Specialties $1.33$ (1.16- 1.52) $1.61$ (1.33-1.95) $0.42$ (0.32-0.56) $1.27$ (1.23-1.31) $1.25$ (1.23-1.28) $1.24$ (1.20-1.29) $1.45$ (1.20-1.29) $4.5$ $5.7$ $-21.9$ $-21.9$ $-3.1$ $3.1$ $3.3$ $3.4$ $3.8$ $24.8$ $< 001$ $37.9$ $< -011$ $-136.4$ $< 21.2$ $20.2$ $-001$ $19.5$ $< 001$ $31.2$ $< 001$ $224$ $175$ $-46$ Maintenance $209$ $299$ $295$ $264$ Ground / Naval GunfireAviation $\frac{K}{Maintenance}$ $Operations \&$ $(1.10-1.36)$ $Logistics$ $(0.85-1.19)Services0.96(0.79-1.17)1.311.551.28(1.16-1.41)1.23(1.10-1.36)1.01(0.85-1.19)1.31(1.45)1.24(1.16-1.45)-0.31.72.82.10.03.51.8-3.823.621.818.40.923.919.1$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

Female service members referenced to male members. RR, Relative Risk; CI, confidence interval; AR, Attributable Risk; NNH, Number Needed to Harm

Enlisted Specialty*	Special Operation Forces	Mechanized/ Armor	Artillery/ Gunnery	Aviation	Engineers	Maintenance	Administration, Intelligence, & Communication	Logistics	Maritime/ Naval Specialties
RR (95% CI)	0.69 (0.64-0.76)	1.08 (1.02-1.15)	1.31 (1.26-1.36)	0.93 (0.87-0.99)	1.21 (1.15-1.27)	1.12 (1.10-1.15)	1.33 (1.30-1.36)	1.38 (1.35-1.42)	0.86 (0.81-0.92)
Risk difference (per 1000 person years)	-3.2	0.8	3.2	-0.8	2.2	1.3	3.5	4.0	-1.4
AR %	-43.9	7.5	23.6	-7.9	17.5	11.0	25.0	27.8	-15.8
p-value	<.001	.01	<.001	.02	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
NNH	-312	1180	308	-1308	450	768	286	248	-698
Officer Specialty †	Aviation	Engineering & Maintenance	Administration	Operations & Intelligence	Logistics	Services			
RR (95% CI)	0.68 (0.65-0.72)	1.23 (1.17-1.30)	1.35 (1.28-1.43)	1.17 (1.11-1.23)	1.42 (1.34-1.50)	1.00 (0.96-1.05)			
Risk difference (per 1000 person years)	-2.6	1.9	2.9	1.4	3.5	0.0			
AR %	-46.5	18.8	26.1	14.4	29.6	0.2			
p-value	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	.95			
NNH	-381	520	343	718	287	72979			

Table 4. Assessment of risk of anterior knee pain (AKP) by occupation of US Armed Forces, 2006-2015

\* Contrasted to Enlisted Infantry. + Referenced to Ground and Naval Gunfire Officers. RR, Relative Risk; CI, confidence interval; AR, Attributable Risk; NNH, Number Needed to Harm




Figure 1: Risk of AKP in Enlisted and Officer Service Members

	9 0	Specia perati Force	al on	d incide I	nfantr		Me	echaniz /Armo	zed	A	Artiller	y/		Aviatio			Engine			aintena	nce	Int	ninistr elligen nmunio	ce &	]	Logistic	es		time/ N pecialti	
	М	F	Т	М	F	Т	М	F	Т	М	F	T		F	T	M	F	T	M	F	Т	М	F	Т	М	F	Т	М	F	Т
								**		10/1			**	**	-			n=606			(210					0.52	1005			
Army	250	**	250	3308	**	3308	443		443	1264	75	1339			0	651	14	**	5560	758	6318	5527	2204	7731	3423	873	4296	28	3	31
Navy Air	**	**	55 **	36	**	36	6 **	**	6 **	57 **	16	73	123 290	24	147 304	**	**	**	3273 4974	535 467	3808 5441	1318 2775	495 1694	1813 4469	491 1331	159 389	650 1720	266	83	349
Force	**	**	**	782	**	782	63	**	63	89	**	89	48	2	50	81	6	87	1568	117	1685	1238	307	1545	641	86	727	**	**	**
Marines Total	305	**	305	4126	**	4126	512	**	512	1410	91	1501	461	40	501	732	20	752	15375	1877	17252	1258	4700	15558	5886	1507	7393	294	86	380
Total	505		505	1120			0.12												)00 per			10000	1,00	10000	2000	1007	,0,0			
Army	4.1	**	4.1	5.1	**	5.1	5.4	**	5.4	6.1	9.2	6.2	**	**	**	5.8	9.8	5.8	6.4	9.3	6.7	6.2	8.8	6.8	7.1	8.4	7.3	6.5	5.8	6.4
Navy	4.2	**	4.2	2.7	**	2.7	3.8	**	3.8	2.3	4.1	2.5	2.8	5.3	3.0	**	**	**	2.9	3.5	2.9	3.3	4.1	3.5	3.2	4.3	3.4	2.7	3.7	2.9
Air Force	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	6.7	5.7	6.6	**	**	**	5.5	7.3	5.6	5.7	7.7	6.3	5.3	7.3	5.7	**	**	**
Marines	**	**	**	2.6	**	2.6	2.5	**	2.5	2.6	**	2.6	2.7	3.7	2.7	2.2	3.8	2.2	3.7	5.4	3.8	3.6	5.7	3.9	3.5	4.6	3.6	**	**	**
Total	4.1	**	4.1	4.3	**	4.3	4.7	**	4.7	5.3	7.6	5.4	4.4	5.3	4.4	4.9	6.7	4.9	4.6	5.8	4.7	5.1	7.3	5.6	5.5	7.1	5.8	2.9	3.7	3.0
													Pat	ellar I	nstabil	lity (n	=1195	6)												
Army	35	**	35	609	**	609	77	**	77	204	17	221	**	**	0	124	3	127	755	132	887	785	276	1061	525	169	694	8	0	8
Navy	7	**	7	16	**	16	0	**	0	19	6	25	37	3	40	**	**	**	838	191	1029	328	150	478	133	51	184	109	30	139
Air Force	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	0	0	**	50	4	54	**	**	**	1014	139	1153	487	379	866	272	113	385	**	**	**
Marines	**	**	**	328	**	328	18	**	18	56	0	56	17	3	20	29	1	30	435	32	467	288	79	367	176	23	199	**	**	**
Total	42	**	42	953	**	953	95	**	95	279	23	302	104	10	114	153	4	157	3042	494	3536	1888	884	2772	1106	356	1462	117	30	147
											Patella	ar Inst	ability	y Incid	lence I	Rate (j	per 10	00 per	son-ye	ars)										
Army	0.6	**	0.6	0.9	**	0.9	0.9	**	0.9	1.0	2.1	1.0	**	**	**	1.1	2.1	1.1	0.9	1.6	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.9	1.1	1.6	1.2	1.9	0.0	1.7
Navy	0.5	**	0.5	1.2	**	1.2	0.0	**	0.0	0.8	1.6	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.8	**	**	**	0.7	1.2	0.8	0.8	1.2	0.9	0.9	1.4	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.1
Air Force	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	1.2	1.6	1.2	**	**	**	1.1	2.2	1.2	1.0	1.7	1.2	1.1	2.1	1.3	**	**	**
Marines	**	**	**	1.1	**	1.1	0.7	**	0.7	1.6	**	1.6	1.0	5.6	1.1	0.8	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	1.1	0.8	1.5	0.9	1.0	1.2	1.0	**	**	**
Total	0.6	**	0.6	1.0	**	1.0	0.9	**	0.9	1.1	1.9	1.1	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.0	0.9	1.5	1.0	0.9	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.2
															dinopa															
Army	169	**	169	4050	**	4050	534	**	534	1726	90	1816	**	**	0	881	17	898	8186	1002	9188	7645	2539	10184	4466	1164	5630	54	11	65
Navy	30	**	30	50	**	50	5	**	5	84	13	97	139	35	174	**	**	**	3763	729	4492	1785	550	2335	706	179	885	394	155	549

Appendix A: Numbers and incidence of anterior knee pain among enlisted members in the US Armed Forces across occupation

Air Force	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	0	**	234	27	261	**	**	**	5860	468	6328	3705	1605	5310	1749	364	2113	**	**	**
Marines	**	**	**	966	**	966	85	**	85	99	0	99	45	1	46	129	7	136	2235	126	2361	2030	383	2413	1022	120	1142	**	**	**
Total	199	**	199	5066	**	5066	624	**	624	1909	103	2012	418	63	481	1010	24	1034	20044	2325	22369	15165	5077	20242	7943	1827	9770	448	166	614
										ŀ	Knee 7	ſendin	opath	y Inci	dence	Rate (	per 10	000 pe	rson-y	ears)										
Army	2.7	**	2.7	6.2	**	6.2	6.5	**	6.5	8.4	11.0	8.5	**	**	**	7.8	11.9	7.9	9.5	12.4	9.7	8.6	10.2	8.9	9.2	11.2	9.6	12.5	21.3	13.4
Navy	2.3	**	2.3	3.7	**	3.7	3.2	**	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.2	7.7	3.6	**	**	**	3.3	4.7	3.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.0	6.8	4.5
Air Force	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	5.4	11.0	5.7	**	**	**	6.4	7.3	6.5	7.6	7.3	7.5	7.0	6.9	6.9	**	**	**
Marines	**	**	**	3.2	**	3.2	3.4	**	3.4	2.9	**	2.9	2.5	1.9	2.5	3.5	4.5	3.5	5.3	5.8	5.4	6.0	7.1	6.1	5.7	6.4	5.7	**	**	**
Total	2.7	**	2.7	5.2	**	5.2	5.7	**	5.7	7.2	8.5	7.2	4.0	8.4	4.3	6.7	8.0	6.8	6.0	7.2	6.1	7.2	7.9	7.3	7.4	8.6	7.6	4.4	7.2	4.9
	Total Anterior Knee Pain (n=151263)																													
Army	454	**	454	7967	**	7967	1054	**	1054	3194	182	3376	**	**	0	1656	34	1690	14501	1892	16393	13957	5019	18976	8414	2206	10620	90	14	104
Navy	92	**	92	102	**	102	11	**	11	160	35	195	299	62	361	**	**	**	7874	1455	9329	3431	1195	4626	1330	389	1719	769	268	1037
Air Force	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	574	45	619	**	**	**	11848	1074	12922	6967	3678	10645	3352	866	4218	**	**	**
Marines	**	**	**	2076	**	2076	166	**	166	244	**	244	110	6	116	239	14	253	4238	275	4513	3556	769	4325	1839	229	2068	**	**	**
Total	546	**	546	10145	**	10145	1231	**	1231	3598	217	3815	983	113	1096	1895	48	1943	38461	4696	43157	27911	10661	38572	14935	3690	18625	859	282	1141
										Tot	al Ant	erior I	Knee	Pain Iı	nciden	ce Rat	te (per	r 1000	persor	n-years	5)									
Army	7.4	**	7.4	12.3	**	12.3	12.8	**	12.8	15.5	22.2	15.8	**	**	**	14.7	23.8	14.8	16.8	23.3	17.3	15.7	20.1	16.7	17.4	21.3	18.1	20.8	27.0	21.5
Navy	6.9	**	6.9	7.6	**	7.6	7.0	**	7.0	6.4	9.1	6.8	6.8	13.7	7.5	**	**	**	6.9	9.5	7.2	8.6	9.9	8.9	8.6	10.5	9.0	7.8	11.8	8.6
Air Force	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	13.2	18.3	13.5	**	**	**	13.0	16.7	13.3	14.3	16.7	15.0	13.3	16.3	13.9	**	**	**
Marines	**	**	**	6.8	**	6.8	6.7	**	6.7	7.0	**	7.0	6.2	11.2	6.3	6.4	9.0	6.5	10.1	12.6	10.3	10.5	14.3	11.0	10.2	12.3	10.4	**	**	**
Total	7.3	**	7.3	10.5	**	10.5	11.3	**	11.3	13.5	18.0	13.7	9.3	15.0	9.7	12.6	16.1	12.7	11.5	14.6	11.8	13.2	16.5	14.0	14.0	17.3	14.5	8.4	12.1	9.1

	Ground and Naval Gunfire M F T				Aviation			gineerin aintenar	0	Ad	ministra	tion		perations ntelligen			Logistic	s		Services	3
	М	F	Т	М	F	Т	М	F	Т	М	F	Т	М	F	Т	М	F	Т	М	F	Т
								Anter	rior- and	Retrop	atellar p	ain (n=1	0105)								
Army	909	11	920	354	24	378	735	144	879	346	189	535	385	94	479	478	192	670	696	384	1080
Navy	280	38	318	214	16	230	204	21	225	94	29	123	104	23	127	93	23	116	241	150	391
Air Force	27	1	28	494	48	542	296	64	360	150	88	238	339	98	437	227	68	295	386	328	714
Marines	111	5	116	78	3	81	93	6	99	107	21	128	56	6	62	87	14	101	18	5	23
Total	1327	55	1382	1140	91	1231	1328	235	1563	697	327	1024	884	221	1105	885	297	1182	1341	867	2208
						Ante	erior- and	d Retro	patellar	pain Inc	idence	Rate (pe	r 1000 j	person-	years)						
Army	4.7	6.3	4.7	4.1	5.0	4.1	5.9	7.1	6.1	6.5	9.5	7.3	5.4	7.0	5.7	6.8	9.6	7.4	4.5	5.9	4.9
Navy	3.2	3.8	3.3	2.2	2.7	2.2	3.6	6.5	3.8	4.6	5.3	4.8	3.6	5.0	3.8	4.2	6.6	4.5	3.0	3.6	3.2
Air Force	4.9	1.4	4.5	3.1	5.8	3.2	3.9	5.4	4.1	4.5	6.6	5.1	4.5	5.7	4.7	5.8	6.7	6.0	3.9	5.8	4.6
Marines	2.8	11.7	2.9	1.8	2.4	1.9	4.2	5.4	4.3	5.4	7.2	5.6	3.0	4.8	3.1	3.5	4.6	3.7	3.6	7.2	4.0
Total	4.1	4.3	4.1	3.0	4.5	3.0	4.8	6.4	5.0	5.5	7.9	6.1	4.6	6.0	4.8	5.7	8.1	6.1	3.9	5.3	4.4
									Patella	ar Instał	oility (n	=1014)									
Army	76	1	77	27	1	28	53	17	70	20	16	36	27	13	40	15	14	29	61	40	101
Navy	27	7	34	26	1	27	22	3	25	2	2	4	11	1	12	13	3	16	19	26	45
Air Force	6	1	7	63	4	67	33	15	48	15	10	25	35	22	57	23	12	35	50	44	94
Marines	10	0	10	15	0	15	8	2	10	5	3	8	5	0	5	13	1	14	0	0	0
Total	119	9	128	131	6	137	116	37	153	42	31	73	78	36	114	64	30	94	130	110	240
							Patell	ar Insta	bility In	cidence	Rate (p		person-	years)							
Army	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.4	1.0	0.5	0.2	0.7	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.5
Navy	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.9	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.9	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.4
Air Force	1.1	1.4	1.1	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	1.3	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.5	1.3	0.6	0.6	1.2	0.7	0.5	0.8	0.6
Marines	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.4	1.8	0.4	0.3	1.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	1.0	0.5	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.4	1.0	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.5
												=3216)									
Army	864	8	872	208	10	218	647	126	773	277	109	386	368	70	438	404	125	529	573	247	820
Navy	246	28	274	187	11	198	184	8	192	77	25	102	100	21	121	80	17	97	183	128	311

Appendix B: Numbers and incidence of anterior knee pain among officers in the US Armed Forces across occupation.

Air Force	25	2	27	393	27	420	373	53	426	144	71	215	310	65	375	205	58	263	370	209	579
Marines	110	1	111	85	2	87	97	3	100	73	17	90	67	5	72	89	9	98	26	1	27
Total	1245	39	1284	873	50	923	1301	190	1491	571	222	793	845	161	1006	778	209	987	1152	585	1737
							Knee	Tending	opathy In	ncidence	e Rate (j	per 1000	) person	-years)							
Army	4.5	4.6	4.5	2.4	2.1	2.4	5.2	6.2	5.4	5.2	5.5	5.3	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.8	6.2	5.9	3.7	3.8	3.7
Navy	2.8	2.8	2.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	3.3	2.5	3.2	3.8	4.5	3.9	3.5	4.6	3.7	3.6	4.9	3.8	2.2	3.0	2.5
Air Force	4.6	2.8	4.4	2.5	3.3	2.5	4.9	4.5	4.8	4.3	5.4	4.6	4.1	3.8	4.0	5.3	5.7	5.4	3.8	3.7	3.8
Marines	2.8	2.3	2.8	2.0	1.6	2.0	4.4	2.7	4.3	3.7	5.8	3.9	3.6	4.0	3.6	3.6	3.0	3.5	5.2	1.4	4.7
Total	3.8	3.0	3.8	2.3	2.5	2.3	4.7	5.2	4.7	4.5	5.3	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.4	5.0	5.7	5.1	3.4	3.6	3.4
	Total Anterior Knee Pain (n=14335)																				
Army	1849	20	1869	589	35	624	1435	287	1722	643	314	957	780	177	957	897	331	1228	1330	671	2001
Navy	553	73	626	427	28	455	410	32	442	173	56	229	215	45	260	186	43	229	443	304	747
Air Force	58	4	62	950	79	1029	702	132	834	309	169	478	684	185	869	455	138	593	806	581	1387
Marines	231	6	237	178	5	183	198	11	209	185	41	226	128	11	139	189	24	213	44	6	50
Total	2691	103	2794	2144	147	2291	2745	462	3207	1310	580	1890	1807	418	2225	1727	536	2263	2623	1562	4185
						Т	otal An	terior K	Inee Pair	n Incide	nce Rat	e (per 1	000 pers	on-year	rs)						
Army	9.6	11.4	9.6	6.8	7.3	6.8	11.6	14.1	11.9	12.0	15.8	13.0	11.0	13.1	11.4	12.8	16.5	13.6	8.5	10.3	9.1
Navy	6.3	7.3	6.4	4.4	4.7	4.4	7.3	10.0	7.5	8.5	10.1	8.9	7.5	9.8	7.9	8.4	12.3	8.9	5.4	7.2	6.0
Air Force	10.6	5.7	10.0	6.0	9.6	6.2	9.2	11.1	9.5	9.3	12.8	10.3	9.1	10.8	9.4	11.7	13.6	12.1	8.2	10.3	9.0
Marines	5.9	14.1	5.9	4.2	4.0	4.2	9.0	9.9	9.1	9.3	14.0	9.9	6.8	8.8	6.9	7.7	7.9	7.7	8.8	8.7	8.8
Total	8.3	8.0	8.3	5.6	7.3	5.7	9.9	12.6	10.2	10.3	13.9	11.2	9.3	11.4	9.7	11.1	14.6	11.8	7.7	9.5	8.3