An Application of Logistic Formula to Deaths by COVID-19 in Japan

Takesi Saito*

Department of Physics, Kwansei Gakuin University, Sanda 669-1337, Japan

Abstract

A logistic formula in biology is applied to analyze deaths by COVID-19 for both of the first and the second waves in Japan. We then discuss ends of both waves and their mortality ratios. The meaning of population N in an epidemic is discussed.

1 Introduction

The SIR model [1] in the theory of infection is powerful to analyze an epidemic about how it spreads and how it ends [2-8]. The SIR model is composed of three equations for S, I and R, where they are numbers for susceptibles, infectives and removed, respectively. Three equations can be solved numerically, if two parameters α and c are given, where α is the basic reproduction number and c the removed ratio.

In Sec. 2 we summarize approximate solutions of the SIR equations, based on the logistic formula in biology [9], which have been derived in a previous work [10]. These approximate solutions have simple forms, so that they are very useful to discuss an epidemic. In Sec. 3 these solutions are applied to fix the basic reproduction number α and the removed ratio c, especially from data of accumulated number of deaths by COVID-19 for the first wave in Japan. Here, our policy is to use only data of deaths, but not of cases. The mortality ratio for the first wave is also calculated. In addition we discuss the meaning of population N in an epidemic. In Sec. 4 same things are given for the second wave. The final section is devoted to concluding remarks. In Appendix data of deaths for the first and the second waves are summarized.

$\mathbf{2}$ A logistic curve from the SIR model

Equations of the SIR model [1] are given by

$$\frac{dS(t)}{dt} = -bI(t)S(t),\tag{2.1}$$

$$\frac{dS(t)}{dt} = -bI(t)S(t),$$

$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = (bS(t) - c)I(t),$$
(2.1)

$$\frac{dR(t)}{dt} = cI(t), \tag{2.3}$$

^{*}tsaito@k7.dion.ne.jp

where S, I and R are numbers for susceptibles, infectives and removed, respectively,b the infection ratio and c the removed ratio. From Eqs. (2.1) and (2.3) we get $dS/dR = -\alpha S$, $(\alpha = b/c)$, which is integrated to be

$$S = \exp(-\alpha R),\tag{2.4}$$

where α stands for the basic reproduction number. In the same way, from Eqs. (2.2) and (2.3), we have $dI/dR = \alpha S - 1 = \alpha \exp(-\alpha R) - 1$, which is integrated to be

$$I(R) = 1 - R - \exp(-\alpha R). \tag{2.5}$$

The solutions (2.4) and (2.5) satisfy boundary conditions S=1 and I=0 at R=0. We normalize the total number to be unity, i.e., S+I+R=1. Since I(t) is 0 when $t\to\infty$, we have $1-R(\infty)-\exp[-\alpha R(\infty)]=0$ from Eq.(2.5). Hence, it follows a useful formula

$$\alpha = -\frac{\ln[1 - R(\infty)]}{R(\infty)}. (2.6)$$

Some exact formulas at the infection peak t = T are summarized as follows:

$$R(T) = \frac{\ln \alpha}{\alpha},\tag{2.7}$$

$$I(T) = 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\ln \alpha}{\alpha}.$$
 (2.8)

The first one is derived as follows: Since the peak point is given by $dI/dt = c(\alpha S - 1)I = 0$ at t = T, we get $S(T) = \exp[-\alpha R(T)] = 1/\alpha$. This proves Eq.(2.7). The second equation (2.8) directly follows by $I(T) = 1 - R(T) - S(T) = 1 - \ln \alpha/\alpha - 1/\alpha$.

Let us summarize logistic formulas for SIRs functions [10]. The third equation (2. 3) can be written as

$$\frac{dR}{dt'} = I(t') = 1 - R - \exp(-\alpha R), \quad t' = ct,$$
(2.9)

with t the true time. Let us expand the exponential factor in the second order of $x = \alpha R$,

$$\exp(-x) \approx 1 - x + \frac{x^2}{2}.$$
 (2.10)

Then we have

$$\frac{dR}{dt'} = 1 - R - (1 - \alpha R + \frac{\alpha^2 R^2}{2}) = A(B - R)R$$
 (2.11)

with $A = \alpha^2/2$, $B = 2(\alpha - 1)/\alpha^2$. This equation is a type of the logistic growth curve in the biology [9], easily solved as

$$R(t) = \frac{R(\infty)}{1 + \exp(-z)}, \quad z = ac(t - T)$$
(2.12)

where $AB = \alpha - 1 = a$ and $B = R(\infty) = 2(\alpha - 1)/\alpha^2$. Inserting R(t) into I(t) = (1/c)dR/dt, we have

$$I(t) = \frac{aR(\infty)}{2(1 + \cosh(z))}, \quad a = \alpha - 1.$$

$$(2.13)$$

Note that peak values of I(t) and R(t) at t = T are given by

$$I(T) = \frac{aR(\infty)}{4}$$
 and $R(T) = \frac{R(\infty)}{2}$. (2.14)

Hence we have a useful formula

$$\frac{I(T)}{R(T)} = \frac{a}{2}. (2.15)$$

3 Application to COVID-19 for the first wave

We make use of data [11] of deaths rather than cases. It is our policy not to use data of cases. Define the mortality ratio λ by

 $\lambda = \frac{D(t)}{R(t)},\tag{3.1}$

where D(t) is the accumulated number of deaths. For the population N, the total number of deaths at t is given by

$$ND(t) = \lambda NR(t) = \frac{d}{1 + \exp(-z)}, \quad z = ac(t - T), \tag{3.2}$$

where $d = ND(\infty) = \lambda NR(\infty)$ stands for the final total number of deaths. Note that from Eq.(3.2) we have a theorem, ND(T) = d/2, which means the total number of deaths at the peak is just a half of the final ones.

Let us rewrite Eq.(3.2) into the form as

$$-z = ac(T - t) = \ln F(t), \quad F = \frac{d}{ND(t)} - 1.$$
 (3.3)

Accordingly, for different times t_1 , t_2 and t_3 we have

$$ac(t_2 - t_1) = \ln\left(\frac{F(t_1)}{F(t_2)}\right),$$
 (3.4)

$$ac(t_3 - t_2) = \ln\left(\frac{F(t_2)}{F(t_3)}\right).$$
 (3.5)

Table 1: Date and the total number of deaths in the first wave

t	N D(t)
$t_1 = 95 (\text{May } 20)$	783
$t_2 = 109(\text{June } 3)$	904.8
$t_3 = 123 (\text{June } 17)$	936

(ND(t)) is the total number of deaths in the first wave at t in Japan, which is an average of 5-day deaths of t-2, t-1, t, t+1, t+2. The t is the number of date starting from Feb. 16) A use is made of data of deaths [11]. Substituting data on the Table 1 into Eqs.(3.4) and (3.5), and eliminating ac, we get

$$F(t_1)F(t_3) = F(t_2)^2. (3.6)$$

This is nothing but the equation for d, that is,

$$\left(\frac{d}{783} - 1\right)\left(\frac{d}{936} - 1\right) = \left(\frac{d}{904.8} - 1\right)^2. \tag{3.7}$$

We find a solution of this equation to be d=984. According to the theorem ND(T) = d/2, the number of deaths at t = T is d/2 = 492. This means the peak day is May 2nd, as seen on data. The result of d = 984 is substituted into Eq.(3.4), then it follows

$$ac = \frac{1}{14} \ln \left(\frac{F(t_1)}{F(t_2)} \right) = \frac{1}{14} \ln \left(\frac{0.26}{0.09} \right) = 0.08$$
 (3.8)

In the following we take c = 0.04, which is frequently quoted value. Then we have a = 0.08/0.04 = 2.00, that is, $\alpha = 1 + a = 3$. Also, from the formula (2.6) it follows $R(\infty) = 0.94$.




Figure 1: Graph of S, I and R for $\alpha = 3$ and c = 0.04

The original SIR equations are also solved by means of Excel. Their curves are drawn in Figure 1.

From the curve of I(t') we find that t' = 3.00 gives a peak, which is compared with the formula $t' = ct = 0.04 \times 77 = 3.08$. This slightly differs from t' = 3.00. However, such a small difference may be allowed for a margin of error arising from our approximate method. After approximately 77 days later, the first epidemic will end around a middle of July(7/18) with the total number 984 of deaths.

Finally let us calculate the mortality ratio $\lambda = D(t)/R(t)$. The removed number R(t) is given by R(t) = r(t) + D(t), where r(t) is the accumulated number of people discharged from hospital and D(t) is the accumulated number of deaths. We find its mean value is $\langle \lambda \rangle = 0.1$ with $\sigma = 0.002$.

Here we have a comment about the population N. From Eq.(2.7) it follows $R(T) = \ln \alpha/\alpha = 0.37$ for $\alpha = 3$, whereas NR(T) = 4877 from the data. Hence we get $N = 4877/R(T) = 4877/0.37 \approx 13000$. What is such a small population as N = 13000? In order to discuss this question, we divide the Japan population into two groups A and B, A is immune to the present epidemic and B is not immune the epidemic. We can add also people who completely quarantine themselves to A. We then conclude that such a small population as N = 13000 corresponds to B, because A is irrelevant to infection.

4 The second wave

The second wave of COVID-19 in Japan seems to start from around June 22. The virus is now called the Tokyo type.

Table 2: Date and the number of deaths in the second wave

${ m t}$	N D(t)
$t_1 = 32 (\text{Aug. } 20)$	171
$t_2 = 38 (\text{Aug. } 26)$	239.3
$t_3 = 38 (\text{Sep. 1})$	309

(ND(t)) is the total number of deaths with 3-day average in the second wave at t. The t is

the number of date starting from July 20. We make use of data in the appendix, where the number of deaths in the second wave is completely separated from that of the first wave. It is shown that the first death of the second wave appears on July 20.)

The corresponding equation to Eq.(3.7) is, from Table 2,

$$\left(\frac{d}{171} - 1\right)\left(\frac{d}{309} - 1\right) = \left(\frac{d}{239.3} - 1\right)^2. \tag{4.1}$$

We have a solution d = 482, so that d/2 = 241 is the death number at the peak. Hence the peak day is Aug. 26 and T = 38, as seen from Appendix.

The result of d = 482 is substituted into Eq. (3.4), then it follows

$$ac = \frac{1}{6} \ln \left(\frac{F(t_1)}{F(t_2)} \right) = 0.1.$$
 (4.2)

In the following we take c = 0.028. Then we get a = 3.6, that is, $\alpha = 4.6$. Once having the basic reproduction number $\alpha = 4.6$ with the removed ratio c = 0.028, we can draw curves of S, I and R by means of Excel in Figure 2.

From the curve of I(t') we find that the peak day is t' = 1.51, which is compared with




Figure 2: Graph of S, I and R for $\alpha = 4.6$ and c = 0.028

the formula $t'=ct=0.028\times 38=1.06$. This slightly differs from t'=1.51. However, such a small difference may be allowed for a margin of error arising from our approximate method. Since the second infection begins from July 20, the t'=1.51 corresponds with the true time t=38 days, so that the peak day is Aug. 26, with the total number 241 of deaths. After approximately 38 days later, the epidemic will end around Oct. 3 with the total number $241\times 2=482$ of deaths. One can see that a ratio of both infectives on peak days is $I_2/I_1=3/2$, where I_1 and I_2 stand for infectives of $1^{\rm st}$ and $2^{\rm nd}$ waves.

Finally let us calculate the mortality ratio $\lambda = D(t)/R(t)$. We find that its mean value between July 20 and Aug. 22 is $<\lambda>=0.005$ with $\sigma=0.0006$.

5 Concluding remarks

Our logistic formulas have been applied to analyze deaths by COVID-19 in Japan. Our policy is to use only data of deaths, but not of cases.

For the first wave, the basic reproduction number α is determined to be $\alpha = 3$ and the removed

ratio c=0.04. The corresponding curves of S, I and R are given in Figure 1. From the curve of I(t') we find that the infection peak day is May $2^{\rm nd}$, then after approximately 77 days later, the first epidemic will end around a middle of July(7/18) with the total number 984 of deaths. The mortality ratio $\lambda = D(t)/R(t)$ is also calculated to be its mean value $<\lambda>=0.1$ with $\sigma=0.002$.

Here we have a comment about the population N. We find N being calculable from the formula $R(T) = \ln \alpha/\alpha = 0.37$ for $\alpha = 3$. Namely, from data we see NR(T) = 4877 to give $N \approx 13000$. What is such a small population as N = 13000? In order to discuss this question, we divide the Japan population into two groups A and B, A is immune to the present epidemic and B is not immune the epidemic. We can add also people who completely quarantine themselves to A. We then conclude that such a small population as N = 13000 corresponds to B, because A is irrelevant to infection.

For the second wave, the basic reproduction number α is determined to be $\alpha=4.6$ and removed ratio c=0.028. The corresponding curves of S, I and R are given in Figure 2. From the curve of I(t') we find that the infection peak day is Aug. 26, then after approximately 38 days later, the second epidemic will end around Oct. 3, with the total number 482 of deaths. The mortality ratio λ is also calculated to be its mean value $<\lambda>=0.005$ with $\sigma=0.0006$. A ratio of both infectives of 1st and 2nd waves on peak days can be seen to be 2/3.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to express his deep gratitude to K. Shigemoto for many valuable discussions and big supports.

Appendix:Data of accumulated number of deaths in the second wave

No.	month/day	D_{12}	D_2	r_{12}	r_2	R_2	λ_2
0	7/19	984	0	19523	0	-	-
1	7/20	987	3	19921	398	401	75
2	7/21	988	4	20403	880	884	45
3	7/22	989	5	20787	1264	1269	40
4	7/23	991	7	21080	1557	1564	44
5	7/24	992	8	21319	1796	1804	44
6	7/25	995	11	21514	1991	2002	55
7	7/26	995	11	21868	2345	2356	47
8	7/27	997	13	22560	3037	3050	42
9	7/28	1000	16	23256	3733	3749	43
10	7/29	1003	19	23928	4405	4424	43
11	7/30	1005	21	24678	5155	5176	41
12	7/31	1010	26	25253	5730	5756	45
13	8/1	1010	26	25653	6130	6156	42
14	8/2	1011	27	26234	6711	6738	40
15	8/3	1015	31	26944	7421	7452	42
16	8/4	1021	37	27775	8252	8289	45
17	8/5	1025	41	28624	9101	9142	45
18	8/6	1032	48	29900	10377	10425	46
19	8/7	1038	54	31054	11531	11585	47
20	8/8	1039	55	32059	12591	12591	44
21	8/9	1046	62	32805	13282	13344	46
22	8/10	1051	67	33722	14199	14266	46
23	8/11	1058	74	34635	15112	15186	49
24	8/12	1062	78	35858	16335	16413	48
25	8/13	1072	88	37165	17642	17730	50
26	8/14	1084	100	38600	19077	19177	52
27	8/15	1087	103	39715	20192	20295	51
28	8/16	1098	114	40794	21271	21385	53
29	8/17	1114	130	41853	22330	22460	58
30	8/18	1127	143	43379	23856	23999	60

31	8/19	1143	159	44771	25248	25407	63
32	8/20	1154	170	45938	26415	26585	64
33	8/21	1168	184	47045	27522	27706	66
34	8/22	1175	191	47946	28423	28614	68
35	8/23	1180	196	48716	29193	29389	67
36	8/24	1195	211	49794	30271	30482	69
37	8/25	1208	224	51034	31511	31735	70
38	8/26	1225	241	52169	32646	32887	73
39	8/27	1237	253	53318	33795	34048	74
40	8/28	1254	270	54650	35127	35397	76
41	8/29	1263	279	55471	35948	36277	77
42	8/30	1278	294	56109	36586	36880	80
43	8/31	1295	311	57130	37607	37918	82
44	9/1	1306	322	57735	38212	38534	84
45	9/2	1318	334	58827	39304	39638	84

 D_{12} : Accumulated number of deaths for $1^{\text{st}}_{\cdot} + 2^{\text{nd}}$ waves

 D_2 : Accumulated number of deaths for 2^{nd} wave

 r_{12} : Accumulated number of discharged for $1^{st}+2^{nd}$ waves

 r_2 : Accumulated number of discharged for $2^{\rm nd}$ waves $R_2 = D_2 + r_2$: Removed number for $2^{\rm nd}$ wave $\lambda_2 = D_2/R_2$: Mortality rate for $2^{\rm nd}$ wave $(\times 10^{-4})$

References

- [1] W. O. Kermack and A.G. McKendrick, "A Contribution to the Mathematical Theory of Epidemics", Proceedings of the Royal Society **A115**, 700-721 (1927)..
- [2] W. D. Murray, "Epidemic models and the dynamics of infectious diseases", Mathematical Biology **42**, 610-650 (1993).
- [3] H. Hethcote, "The Mathematics of Infectious Diseases", SIAM Review **42**, 599-653 (2000).
- [4] M.J. Keeling and L. Danon, "Mathematical modelling of infectious diseases", British Medical Bulletin 92, 33-42 (2009).
- [5] S. Pathak, A. Maiti and G.P. Samanta, "Rich dynamics of an SIR epidemic model", Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control 15, 71-81 (2010).
- [6] T. Harko, F.S.N. Lobo, M.K. Mak, "Exact analytical solutions of the Susceptible-Infected-Recovered (SIR) epidemic model and of the SIR model with equal death and birth rates", Applied Mathematics and Computation 236, 184194 (2014).
- [7] J.C. Miller, "Mathematical models of SIR disease spread with combined non-sexual and sexual transmission routes", Infectious Disease Modelling 2, 3555 (2017).
- [8] R. Sameni, "Mathematical Modeling of Epidemic Diseases; A Case Study of the COVID-19 Coronavirus", [arXiv:2003.11371 [q-bio.PE]](2017).
- [9] P-F. Verhulst, "Notice sur la loi que la population poursuit dans son accroissement", Correspondance Mathmatique et Physique **10**, 113-121 (1838).
- [10] T. Saito and K. Shigemoto, "A Logistic Curve in the SIR Model and Its Application to Deaths by COVID-19 in Japan", [medRxiv 10.1101/2020.06.25.20139865] (2020).
- [11] Data published from the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare of Japan. See for example, "Summary of the New Coronavirus Infection "(in Japanese), https://hazard.yahoo.co.jp/article/20200207; "Status of the Domestic New Coronavirus Infection" (in Japanese), https://toyokeizai.net/sp/visual/tko/covid19/.