It is made available under a	CC-BY-NC 4.0	International	license
------------------------------	--------------	---------------	---------

- 1 Variations in state-level SARS-COV-2 testing recommendations in the United States,
- 2 March-July 2020
- 3
- 4 Stephanie R. Perniciaro & Daniel M. Weinberger
- 5

6 Department of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases, Yale School of Public Health, New

- 7 Haven, CT, United States.
- 8

9 Abstract

10

Background: Testing recommendations for COVID-19 in the United States varied by
 state and over time in the spring and summer of 2020.

Methods: We compiled data about COVID-19 testing, cases, and deaths, and excess pneumonia + influenza + COVID-19 deaths to assess relationships between testing recommendations, *per capita* tests performed, epidemic intensity, and excess mortality during the early phase of the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States.

Results: As of July 2020, 16 states recommended testing asymptomatic members of the general public. The rate of COVID-19 tests reported in each state correlates with more inclusive testing recommendations and with higher epidemic intensity. Higher per capita testing was associated with more complete reporting of COVID-19 deaths, which is a fundamental requirement for analyzing the pandemic.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Conclusions: Reported deaths due to COVID-19 likely represent an undercount of the
true burden of the pandemic. Coordinated, consistent guidelines for COVID-19 testing
should be a high priority for state and national health systems.

25

26 Background

27

SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19, was declared a global pandemic by the 28 World Health Organization in March 2020.¹ Worldwide, responses to the pandemic have 29 varied and had varying success. In the United States, each state determined testing 30 guidelines, lockdown procedures, and reopening procedures individually.² The Centers 31 for Disease Control and Prevention also maintained testing recommendations and 32 guidelines, but states and localities were largely responsible for their own policies.³ The 33 COVID-19 pandemic in the United States is ongoing, costing around 150,000 lives by 34 the end of July 2020.4 35

36

Testing for COVID-19 has been a continual hurdle in the United States.⁵ Supply chain 37 38 issues,⁶ doubts about testing accuracy and methodology,⁷ and conflicting guidance from federal, state and local officials about testing have all interfered with testing efforts.⁸ 39 40 State governments and health departments established testing guidelines in March 2020, which were sometimes augmented by local governmental health departments.⁹ 41 The turnaround time for test results has been strained,¹⁰ and resources for individuals to 42 sustain their household resources while remaining isolated or guarantined while 43 awaiting the result of a test have not been widely available.¹¹ 44

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

45

Here we describe the changing recommendations for COVID-19 testing to the general
public in the United States in the spring and summer of 2020 and the consequences of
these changes for obtaining accurate statistics about the pandemic.

- 49
- 50 Methods
- 51

52 The objective of this analysis was to establish whether varying the state-level COVID-19 testing guidelines had any impact on the actual number of tests performed, and whether 53 the number of tests performed was related to the rate of recognizing COVID-19 deaths 54 as a cause of excess mortality (reported COVID-19 deaths/excess pneumonia + 55 influenza+ COVID-19 (PIC) deaths). COVID-19 testing guidelines were documented 56 from the websites of the departments of health in each of the 50 states and the District 57 of Columbia once monthly from March-July 2020 (Supplemental Table 1). We ranked 58 59 recommendations to tests by the general public (as opposed to members of specific named risk groups) by assigning 0= criterion not specified, 1= accessible to people with 60 a negative influenza test, 2= accessible to people with symptoms consistent with 61 COVID-19 illness, 3= accessible to anyone, including asymptomatic people. 62

63

Correlations between testing recommendation score, tests per capita, and percentage
 of positive tests were analyzed with Spearman correlation tests.

66

We used a generalized additive model (negative binomial link) to assess the 67 completeness of death reporting. The outcome variable was the number of reported 68 COVID-19 deaths in each state and month. The number of excess PIC deaths was 69 included as an offset term, so this effectively models the ratio of reported COVID-19 70 deaths/excess PIC deaths. The per capita testing rate in each state and month was 71 included as a penalized spline. Uncertainty in the estimates of excess PIC deaths were 72 ignored in these analyses, and excess PIC deaths were constrained to be greater than 73 or equal to the reported COVID-19 deaths. The model was fit using the package "mgcv" 74 75 in R.

76

We also evaluated relationships between the number of COVID-19 tests performed per capita, testing recommendations score, and epidemic intensity (defined as excess PIC deaths¹² per capita) using a negative binomial regression model. Total COVID-19 tests performed in each state was the outcome variable, offset by the log of the total population of each state, with the testing recommendations score (categorical) and the log of epidemic intensity as the independent variables.

83

The number of excess PIC deaths per state and the reported number of COVID-19 84 deaths were obtained from a repository describing excess deaths during the COVID-19 85 (https://github.com/weinbergerlab/excess pi covid).¹² 86 outbreak Final data were obtained August 15, 2020. Positive tests and the overall number of tests in each state 87 collected 88 were from the website of The COVID Tracking Project 89 (https://covidtracking.com/). Population data were taken from the United States Census

- 90 Bureau's 2019 estimates. R (R: A language and environment for statistical computing,
- version 3.6.1. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, https://www.R-
- 92 project.org/.) was used for statistical analyses.
- 93
- 94 Results
- 95
- 96 State Testing Guidelines

From March to July 2020, recommendations of COVID-19 testing for the general public
varied between states and over time (Figure 1), as did testing prioritization for identified
risk groups (Supplemental Figure 1).

100

The earliest-available state-level data ranged from March 1 (in Michigan, Rhode Island, and Washington) to March 12 (in Massachusetts), while the remaining 47 states began reporting COVID-19 data between March 4 and March 7. March data included all March dates – April 4; April data included April 5 – May 2; May data included May 3 – June 6; June data included June 7 – July 4; July data included records from July 5 – 31.

As of July, 16 states recommended that asymptomatic members of the general public be tested for COVID-19. 9 states actively recommended against the testing of asymptomatic people; 3 of which then reversed this recommendation in July. South Dakota also recommended against seeking a test if people were experiencing symptoms, citing the lack of effective COVID-19 therapy as a contraindication for testing. Most states (35/51) changed their testing recommendations twice between

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

113 March and July. Only Oklahoma did not change their testing criteria, though the state

114 health department website specifies that private testing facilities have their own criteria.

115

116 Factors associated with higher rates of testing

117 Reported COVID-19 tests per capita increased steadily, though unevenly, from March to

June, while the percentage of positive tests varied widely between states (Figure 2, 3).

119 States with more permissive testing recommendations had a lower percentage of

positive tests (rho -0.22, 95% CI -0.34, -0.11), reflecting the inclusion of lower risk

individuals in the testing pool. The minimum percentage of positive tests for a single

month was 0.8% in May in Alaska; the maximum was 47% in April in New Jersey. In a multivariate model, both more inclusive testing recommendations and higher epidemic

124 intensity were associated with higher rates of testing.

125

126 Testing intensity and completeness of death reporting

127 The completeness of recording deaths as due to COVID-19 was related to the rate of

testing. (Figure 4). This was particularly true in the first months of the

pandemic when testing levels were low relative to the intensity of the epidemic; in later

130 months, the level of testing was high and had little influence on the difference between

reported COVID-19 deaths and excess PIC deaths.

132

133 Discussion

In order to obtain accurate statistics on the COVID-19 pandemic, viral testing needs to 135 scale with epidemic intensity. Particularly in the early months of the pandemic, 136 restrictive state-level testing recommendations and difficulties in accessing tests 137 resulted in inadequate testing levels.⁵ This was manifest in higher viral positivity rates 138 and greater differences between reported COVID-19 deaths and excess PIC deaths. 139 From March-May, testing per capita and completeness of mortality data both increased, 140 though afterwards this apparent relationship became less clear. It is possible that other 141 characteristics of healthcare systems in each state influenced both the testing rates and 142 completeness of death reporting (i.e. supply chain or personnel issues). The variation in 143 data completeness seen in July may be due to reporting delays, and thus might 144 decrease as mortality data are updated. Expanded access to testing can play a critical 145 role in obtaining an accurate picture of the progression of the epidemic. 146

147

This study has certain limitations. Changes in completeness of death reporting could be influenced by other factors that might also vary over time, such as state-level guidelines for coding deaths as due to COVID-19. The viral testing criteria established by state health departments are sometimes superseded by local governments or private clinics.⁹ The testing data counts tests, not individuals, so people tested multiple times will be included multiple times.

154

155 Conclusions

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

The patchwork of state-level testing guidelines is associated with local variations in testing intensity, and these variations have consequences for the completeness of data on key indicators of the severity and progression of the pandemic. More coordinated national guidance could strengthen the pandemic tracking and response.

161

162 List of Abbreviations

- 163
- 164 PIC: pneumonia + influenza + COVID-19
- 165 CI: confidence interval
- 166
- 167 Declarations
- 168
- 169 **Ethics approval and consent to participate:** Not applicable
- 170 **Consent for publication:** Not applicable

171 Availability of data and materials: The datasets generated and/or analysed during the available Tracking current study are in the COVID Project repository 172 PIC 173 (https://covidtracking.com/), the excess mortality repository (https://github.com/weinbergerlab/excess pi covid), and on the websites of the state 174 health departments (all of which appear in Supplemental Table 1). 175

176 **Competing interests:** SRP has received travel fees from Pfizer unrelated to this 177 manuscript. DMW has received consulting fees from Pfizer, Merck, GSK, and Affinivax 178 for work unrelated to this manuscript and is Principal Investigator on a grant from Pfizer 179 to Yale University on a project unrelated to this manuscript

180 **Funding:** SP was supported by a NIH T32 training grant, award number 181 2T32AI007210-36A1 (MPI).

Authors' contributions: SP conceived of the study, extracted the data, ran the analyses, and wrote the first draft of the paper. DMW contributed to the design of the study and revisions of the manuscript and plotting.

- Acknowledgements: We would like to thank the state health departments in the United
- 186 States and the District of Columbia and the COVID Tracking Project for providing data
- used for these analyses.
- 188 **Authors' information:** stephanie.perniciaro@yale.edu
- 189
- 190 References
- 191 1. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 11
- 192 March 2020. https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-
- opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020.
- 194 2. Schuchat, A. Public Health Response to the Initiation and Spread of Pandemic
- 195 COVID-19 in the United States, February 24–April 21, 2020. MMWR Morb. Mortal.
- 196 Wkly. Rep. **69**, (2020).
- 197 3. Haffajee, R. L. & Mello, M. M. Thinking Globally, Acting Locally The U.S.
- 198 Response to Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **382**, e75 (2020).
- 199 4. US Historical Data. The COVID Tracking Project
- 200 https://covidtracking.com/data/national.
- 5. Shear, M. D. *et al.* The Lost Month: How a Failure to Test Blinded the U.S. to Covid-
- 202 **19**. *The New York Times* (2020).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

- 203 6. CNN, P. L. Hogan: Maryland protected coronavirus tests it secured from South
- Korea 'like Fort Knox'. CNN https://www.cnn.com/2020/04/30/politics/larry-hogan-
- 205 coronavirus-masks-national-guard/index.html.
- 206 7. Walsh, J. D. How Reliable Are COVID-19 Tests? Intelligencer
- https://nymag.com/intelligencer/2020/06/how-accurate-are-covid-19-tests.html
- 208 **(2020)**.
- 8. Remarks by President Trump After Tour of the Centers for Disease Control and
- 210 Prevention | Atlanta, GA. *The White House* https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefings-
- 211 statements/remarks-president-trump-tour-centers-disease-control-prevention-
- 212 atlanta-ga/.
- 9. Georgia Governor And The Mayor Of Atlanta In Turf War Over COVID-19
- 214 Restrictions. NPR.org https://www.npr.org/sections/coronavirus-live-
- 215 updates/2020/07/10/889930319/georgia-governor-and-the-mayor-of-atlanta-in-turf-
- 216 war-over-covid-19-restrictions.
- 10. 'It's Like Having No Testing': Coronavirus Test Results Are Still Delayed The New
- 218 York Times. https://www.nytimes.com/2020/08/04/us/virus-testing-delays.html.
- 11. HaddenKristie et al. Addressing Homelessness and Covid-19 Quarantine: A
- 220 Streamlined Assessment and Referral Process. *NEJM Catal. Innov. Care Deliv.*
- 221 **(2020)**.
- 12. Weinberger, D. M. et al. Estimation of Excess Deaths Associated With the COVID-
- 19 Pandemic in the United States, March to May 2020. JAMA Intern. Med. (2020)
- doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.3391.
- 225

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

226

227 Supplementary Materials

228

- 229 Supplemental Table 1. State health department websites, used as the source to
- 230 determine state-level COVID-19 testing guidelines.

State	Source(s)
AL	https://www.alabamapublichealth.gov/covid19/patients.html
АК	http://dhss.alaska.gov/dph/Epi/id/SiteAssets/Pages/HumanCoV/AKCOVIDTestingGuidance.pdf
	https://www.azdhs.gov/documents/preparedness/epidemiology-disease-control/infectious-
AZ	diseases-services/coronavirus/arizona-state-public-health-laboratory-testing-matrix.pdf
	https://www.healthy.arkansas.gov/programs-services/topics/covid-19-guidance-for-getting-
AR	tested
	https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/Expanding-Access-to-Testing-
CA	Updated-Interim-Guidance-on-Prioritization-for-COVID-19-Laboratory-Testing-0501.aspx
СО	https://covid19.colorado.gov/covid-19-in-colorado/about-covid-19/testing-for-covid-19
СТ	https://portal.ct.gov/Coronavirus/Covid-19-Knowledge-Base/COVID-19-Testing
DE	https://coronavirus.delaware.gov/testing/testing-frequently-asked-questions/
DC	https://coronavirus.dc.gov/testing
FL	https://floridahealthcovid19.gov/health-care-providers/
GA	https://dph.georgia.gov/covid-19-testingdirect-patient-lines
HI	https://health.hawaii.gov/coronavirusdisease2019/for-clinicians/evaluating-puis/
ID	https://rebound.idaho.gov/wp-content/uploads/testing-recommendations.pdf

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

	https://www.dph.illinois.gov/topics-services/diseases-and-conditions/diseases-a-z-							
IL	list/coronavirus/health-care-providers							
	https://www.coronavirus.in.gov/files/IN- https://www.coronavirus.in.gov/files/IN-							
IN	COVID-19_FAQforPublic%207.3.20.pdf	19_FAQforPublic%206.13.20.pdf						
IA	https://covidtesting.shl.uiowa.edu/							
	https://www.coronavirus.kdheks.gov/DocumentCenter/View/393/COVID-19-Testing-Approval-							
KS	Form-fillable-PDF4-21-20							
	https://chfs.ky.gov/agencies/dph/covid19/guidanc							
	https://chfs.ky.gov/Pages/search.aspx?t	tohealth care providers regarding COVID 19 statel abora						
КҮ	erms=covid+test&affiliateId=CHFS	torytesting03102020.pdf						
LA	http://ldh.la.gov/assets/oph/Coronavirus/resources/TestinglsolationGuidelines.pdf							
	https://www.maine.gov/tools/whatsnew/index.php?topic=DHS+Press+Releases&id=2657874&							
ME	<u>v=dhhs_article_2020</u>							
MD	https://phpa.health.maryland.gov/Documents/coronavirus_testing_FAQ.pdf							
MA	https://www.mass.gov/info-details/about-covid-19-testing#should-i-be-tested?-							
MI	https://www.michigan.gov/coronavirus/0,9753,7-406-98163-530157,00.html							
MN	https://www.health.state.mn.us/diseases/coronavirus/symptoms.html#test							
MS	https://msdh.ms.gov/msdhsite/_static/14,21866,420.html							
MO	https://health.mo.gov/emergencies/ert/alertsadvisories/pdf/update42220.pdf							
MT	https://dphhs.mt.gov/publichealth/cdepi/	diseases/coronavirusmt						
	http://dhhs.ne.gov/Documents/COVID-							
	19%20Guidance%20to%20Public%20and	nups://covidiatestingne.col.qualtrics.com/jfe/form						
NE	<u>%20Testing.pdf</u>	/SV_cJa8ezYYRQfZRCI						

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

NV	https://nvhealthresponse.nv.gov/find-covid-19-testing-in-nevada/
NH	https://business.nh.gov/DOS_COVID19Testing/
NJ	https://covid19.nj.gov/pages/testing
NM	https://cv.nmhealth.org/public-health-screening-and-testing/location-details/
NY	https://coronavirus.health.ny.gov/covid-19-testing
NC	https://covid19.ncdhhs.gov/about-covid-19/testing
	https://www.health.nd.gov/sites/www/files/documents/Files/MSS/coronavirus/2020 6 16 CO
ND	VID_PCR_Guidance.pdf
ОН	https://coronavirus.ohio.gov/wps/portal/gov/covid-19/families-and-individuals/MHSF/
	https://coronavirus.health.ok.gov/articles/criteria-guide-evaluation-and-laboratory-testing-
ОК	<u>covid-19-clinicians-and-laboratories</u>
OR	https://sharedsystems.dhsoha.state.or.us/DHSForms/Served/le2267.pdf
РА	https://www.health.pa.gov/topics/disease/coronavirus/Pages/Guidance/Testing-Factsheet.aspx
RI	https://health.ri.gov/covid/testing/
	https://www.scdhec.gov/sites/default/files/media/document/10466-DHU-06-19-2020-COVID-
SC	<u>19.pdf</u>
SD	https://doh.sd.gov/documents/COVID19/COVID_TestingFacts.pdf
TN	https://www.tn.gov/governor/covid-19/get-tested.html
ТХ	https://dshs.texas.gov/coronavirus/public-health.aspx
	https://coronavirus-
	download.utah.gov/Health/UDOH%20HAN%20COVID_04142020_Statewide_Guidance%20(1).p
UT	df
VT	https://www.healthvermont.gov/response/coronavirus-covid-19/testing-covid-19

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

	https://www.vdh.virginia.gov/coronavirus/health-professionals/vdh-updated-guidance-on-						
VA	testing-for-covid-19/						
	https://www.doh.wa.gov/Emergencies/	https://www.doh.wa.gov/Portals/1/Documents/160					
	NovelCoronavirusOutbreak2020COVID19	<u>0/coronavirus/Interim-</u>					
WA	/TestingforCOVID19	2019NovelCoronavirusQuicksheetProviders.pdf					
WV	https://dhhr.wv.gov/COVID-19/pages/testing.aspx						
WI	https://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/covid-19/testing.htm						
WY	https://health.wyo.gov/department-offers	-testing-update-advice-for-wyoming/					

232

Figure 1. COVID-19 testing guidelines by state. Testing recommendations for the general public was scaled as follows: 0 = criterion not included, 1 = negative influenza test required, 2 = all symptomatic people, 3 = all people, including asymptomatic people. Testing guidelines for special risk groups are shown in Supplemental Figure 1.

	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul		Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul
AL	2	0	0	0	0	MO	0	0	0	0	3
AK	2	2	2	2	2	MT	2	0	2	2	2
AZ	0	0	2	0	0	NE	0	0	2	2	3
AR	2	2	2	2	2	NV	0	0	3	3	3
CA	2	2	3	2	2	NH	0	0	3	3	3
CO	2	2	2	2	2	NJ	2	0	2	3	3
CT	0	2	2	2	2	NM	2	2	2	3	3
DE	0	2	2	3	3	NY	1	1	2	3	3
DC	0	2	2	2	2	NC	1	1	2	2	2
FL	0	2	2	2	2	ND	0	2	2	2	2
GA	0	2	3	3	3	OH	0	0	0	0	3
HI	0	0	0	2	2	OK	0	0	0	0	0
ID	2	0	2	2	2	OR	0	2	2	2	2
L	0	0	0	0	0	PA	0	2	2	2	3
IN	0	0	0	0	3	RI	0	2	2	2	2
А	0	0	0	0	0	SC	0	1	2	2	2
KS	1	0	0	0	0	SD	0	0	0	0	0
KY	2	0	0	0	0	TN	0	2	3	3	3
LA	1	2	2	2	2	TX	0	2	2	2	2
ME	2	0	0	2	2	UT	0	2	2	2	2
MD	2	0	2	2	3	VT	2	2	3	3	3
MA	0	0	0	2	2	VA	1	2	2	2	2
MI	0	0	2	2	2	WA	0	2	2	2	2
MN	0	0	2	2	2	WV	1	2	3	3	3
MS	2	0	2	2	2	WI	0	0	2	2	2
						WY	1	0	2	2	2

Figure 2. COVID-19 tests per 1000 population in the United States (A), and the percentage of positive COVID-19 tests (B), March-July 2020. Each state has a line; colors of lines are determined by geographic region per the inserted map.



Figure 3. COVID-19 testing recommendation scores (A) and COVID-19 tests per 1000 people (B) from March-July, 2020 in the United States



Month

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 4. Association between the rate of testing for SARS-CoV-2 (*per capita*) and the completeness of death reporting, as measured by the ratio between reported COVID-19 deaths and excess deaths due to pneumonia/influenza/coronavirus (PIC). The line +/- 95% confidence intervals represents the trend as estimated from a generalized additive model. The size of the circles is proportional to the number of excess deaths due to PIC in each state. The color depicts the month (March-July)—earlier months are darker colors, later months are lighter.



Tests per capita