1				
2	The use of germicidal ultraviolet light, vaporised hydrogen peroxide and dry heat to decontaminate			
3 4	face masks and finering respirators containinated with an infectious horovirus			
5	RUNNING TITLE			
6	Norovirus mask and FFR decontamination			
7				
8	AUTHORS			
9	Constance Wielick ^{1,*} , Louisa F. Ludwig-Begall ^{1,*} , Lorène Dams ¹ , Ravo M. Razafimahefa ¹ , Pierre			
10	Francois Demeuldre ² , Aurore Napp ² , Jan Laperre ³ , Olivier Jolois ³ , Frédéric Farnir ⁵ , Eric Haubruge ⁴			
11	and Etienne Thiry ^{1,#}			
12				
13	AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS			
14	¹ Veterinary Virology and Animal Viral Diseases, Department of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases,			
15	FARAH Research Centre, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium			
16	² Department of Hospital Pharmacy, The University Hospital Center, University of Liège, Liège,			
17	Belgium			
18	³ Centexbel Textile Research Centre, Grace-Hollogne, Belgium			
19	⁴ TERRA Research Centre, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, University of Liège, Gembloux, Belgium			
20	⁵ Biostatistics and Bioinformatics Applied to Veterinary Science, FARAH Research Centre, Faculty of			
21	Veterinary Medicine, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium			
22				
23	*These authors contributed equally to this work.			
24	*Corresponding author: etienne.thiry@uliege.be			
25	Orcid ID: 0000-0002-9585-7933)			
26				
27	WORD COUNT:			
28	1645 (Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussion)			
	Non-standard abbreviations: FFR: filtering facepiece respirator SM: surgical mask MuNoV: murine norovirus SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2			

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

29 ABSTRACT

30

31 In the context of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, reuse of surgical masks and filtering facepiece 32 respirators has been recommended. Their reuse necessitates procedures to inactivate contaminating 33 human respiratory and oral pathogens. We previously demonstrated decontamination of masks and 34 respirators contaminated with an infectious SARS-CoV-2 surrogate via ultraviolet germicidal 35 irradiation, vaporised hydrogen peroxide, and use of dry heat. Here, we show that these same methods 36 efficiently inactivate a more resistant, non-enveloped oral virus; decontamination of infectious murine 37 norovirus-contaminated masks and respirators reduced viral titres by over four orders of magnitude on 38 mask or respirator coupons.

39

40

41 KEYWORDS

42 Decontamination (UV; H₂O₂; dry heat); respirator; surgical mask; norovirus

43

44 INTRODUCTION

45

In the context of the ongoing severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic, the supply of personal protective equipment remains under strain and re-use of surgical face masks (masks) and filtering facepiece respirators (FFRs) has been recommended [1]. Prior decontamination is paramount to safe re-use of these items and must ideally inactivate both SARS-CoV-2 and other contaminating respiratory or oral human pathogens [2].

51

52 Human respiratory pathogens include other enveloped corona-, pneumo-, metapneumo-, paramyxo-, 53 and orthomyxoviruses as well as non-enveloped coxsackie- and rhinoviruses; oral pathogens include 54 astro-, picorna-, polio-, rota- and noroviruses (all non-enveloped) [3]. Enveloped viruses, surrounded 55 by an outer lipid layer, are susceptible to harsh environmental conditions and inactivating treatments; 56 non-enveloped viruses are known to be significantly more resistant. The small, non-enveloped human 57 noroviruses (genus Norovirus, family Caliciviridae), recognised as the major global cause of viral 58 gastroenteritis [4], are notorious for their tenacity in the face of decontamination [5]. The genetically 59 and structurally similar murine norovirus (MuNoV), which replicates efficiently in vitro, has been 60 identified as an appropriate surrogate virus for modelling human norovirus inactivation [6].

61

We previously demonstrated efficient decontamination of masks and FFRs contaminated with an infectious SARS-CoV-2 surrogate virus via ultraviolet germicidal irradiation, vaporised hydrogen peroxide, and use of dry heat [7]. In the present investigation into decontamination of MuNoV-

inoculated masks and FFRs, we show that these same methods efficiently inactivate a more resistant,

66 non-enveloped oral virus. All three methods permit demonstration of a loss of viral infectivity by more

67 than three orders of magnitude in line with the FDA policy regarding face masks and respirators [2].

68 Inactivation of a norovirus, the most resistant of the respiratory and oral human viruses, can predict the

- 69 inactivation of any less resistant viral mask or FFR contaminant.
- 70

71 METHODS

Efficacy of three different decontamination methods in inactivating an infectious norovirus was assessed using masks and FFRs experimentally inoculated with MuNoV. Per decontamination method and mask type, one negative control mask or FFR (uncontaminated but treated), three treated masks or FFRs (MuNoV-contaminated and treated), and three positive controls (MuNoV-contaminated but untreated) were utilised. The workflow followed previously described protocols for mask and FFR inoculation, decontamination and virus elution [7].

78

79 Virus and cells

80 The murine macrophage cell line RAW264.7 (ATCC TIB-71) was maintained in Dulbecco's modified

81 Eagle's medium (Invitrogen) containing 10% heat inactivated foetal calf serum (FCS) (BioWhittaker),

82 2% of an association of penicillin (5000 SI units/ml) and streptomycin (5 mg/ml) (PS, Invitrogen) and

83 1% 1 M HEPES buffer (pH 7.6) (Invitrogen) at 37 °C with 5% CO₂.

84

Stocks of MuNoV isolate MNV-1.CW1 were produced by infection of RAW264.7 cells at a
multiplicity of infection of 0.05. Two days post-infection, cells and supernatant were harvested and
clarified by centrifugation for 20 minutes at 1000 x g after three freeze/thaw cycles (- 80°C/37°C).

88

Titres were determined via the tissue culture infective dose (TCID₅₀) method; RAW 264.7 cells were seeded in 96-well plates, infected with 10-fold serial dilutions of MuNoV, incubated for three days at 37 °C with 5% CO₂, and finally stained with 0.2% crystal violet for 30 minutes. Titres, expressed as TCID₅₀/ml, were calculated according to the Reed and Muench transformation [8]. A virus stock with a titre of $10^{7.06}$ TCID₅₀/ml was used in subsequent steps.

94

95 Surgical masks and filtering facepiece respirators

All masks and FFRs, verified to be from the same respective manufacturing lot, were supplied by theDepartment of the Hospital Pharmacy, University Hospital Centre of Liege (Sart-Tilman).

98 Manufacturers (and models): KN95 FFR - Guangzhou Sunjoy Auto Supplies CO. LTD, Guangdong,

99 China (2020 N°26202002240270); surgical mask (Type II) - Hangzhou Sunten Textile Co., LTD,

100 Hangzhou, China (SuninCareTM, Protect Plus).

101

Murine norovirus inoculation of surgical masks and filtering facepiece respirators, decontamination, elution and quantification

Per treated or control mask or FFR, 100 μ l of undiluted viral suspension were injected under the first outer layer at the centre of each of three square coupons (34 mm x 34 mm). In addition to inoculation of the *de facto* masks or FFRs, 100 μ l of viral suspension was pipetted onto one elastic strap per contaminated mask or FFR. Masks and FFRs were allowed to dry for 20 minutes at room temperature before decontamination via UV irradiation, vaporised H₂0₂, and dry heat.

109

110 Masks and FFRs were individually UV-irradiated for 2 minutes (2.6J/cm² fluence per mask), using a 111 LS-AT-M1 (LASEA Company, Sart Tilman, Belgium). Vaporous hydrogen peroxide (VHP) treatment 112 was performed with the V-PRO Max Sterilizer (Steris, Mentor, OH) which uses 59% liquid H₂O₂ to 113 generate hydrogen peroxide vapor. A 28-minute non lumen cycle was used, consisting of 2 min 40 sec 114 conditioning (5 g/min), 19 min 47 sec decontamination (2.2 g/min) and 7 min 46 sec aeration (750 115 ppm peak VHP concentration). Dry heat decontamination was performed at temperatures of $102^{\circ}C$ (± 116 4°C) for 60 min (± 15 min) in an electrically heated vessel (M-Steryl, AMB Ecosteryl Company, 117 Mons, Belgium).

118

119 Upon completion of the decontamination protocols, MuNoV was eluted from three excised coupons 120 and one severed elastic strap per mask or FFR into 4 mL elution medium (Eagle's DMEM (Sigma)) 121 supplemented with 2 % of an association of penicillin (5000 SI units/mL) and streptomycin (5 mg/mL) 122 (PS, Sigma) and, for elution from VHP-treated materials, 20% FCS and 0.1% β -mercaptoethanol) via 1 minute (coupons) or 20 minute vortex (straps) at maximum speed (2500 rounds per minute; VWR 124 VX-2500 Multi-Tube Vortexer).

125

126 Titres of infectious MuNoV recovered from individual coupons and straps were determined via 127 TCID₅₀ assay. Back titrations of inoculum stocks were performed in parallel to each series of 128 decontamination experiments.

129

130 Data analysis and statistics

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS® software 9.3 (SAS/ETS 12.1 - SAS STAT 12.1).

132 Linear mixed models were studied using the MIXED procedure; in addition, TOBIT models were

133 implemented using the qualitative and limited dependent variable model (QLIM) procedure. All p-

134 values reported using the QLIM procedure were obtained using Wald tests.

135

136 RESULTS

137 Back titrations of virus inoculums performed in parallel to each series of experiments confirmed

138 MuNoV inoculum titres to be within a range of 3.55×10^7 to 6.31×10^7 TCID₅₀/mL for all experiments.

139

140 The cell culture limit of detection (LOD) was 0.8 log10 TCID₅₀/ml for all analyses except those 141 concerning H_2O_2 -treated mask- or FFR straps (2.8 log10 TCID₅₀/ml) and UV-treated FFR straps (1.8 142 log10 TCID₅₀/ml).

143

High levels of infectious virus were recovered from MuNoV-inoculated, untreated coupons of all masks and FFRs, with mean overall recovery values of 4.94 (± 0.55 standard deviation (SD)) log10 TCID₅₀/mL. Mean strap recovery values were similar between experiments, however they were lower than coupon recovery values (notable exception: elution from untreated masks), with mean values of 4.11 (± 0.77 SD) log10 TCID₅₀/mL (Figure 1).

149

150 Following mask UV irradiation and dry heat treatment, titres for virus recovered from coupons 151 remained below the assay LOD, showing total loss of infectivity of around four orders of magnitude 152 $(3.64 (\pm 0.28 \text{ SD}) \log 10 \text{ TCID}_{50}/\text{mL}$ and $4.06 (\pm 0.30 \text{ SD}) \log 10 \text{ TCID}_{50}/\text{mL}$, respectively), while titres 153 of virus recovered from H₂O₂- vaporised coupons indicated a loss of infectivity of four orders of 154 magnitude (4.06 (± 0.30 SD) log10 TCID₅₀/mL). Titres of virus recovered from treated mask straps 155 were reduced by two orders of magnitude post UV irradiation and H_2O_2 -treatment (2.06 (±0.29 SD) 156 log10 TCID₅₀/mL, and 2.08 (±0.38 SD) log10 TCID₅₀/mL, respectively) and by four orders of 157 magnitude post heat-treatment (4.25 (±0.25 SD) log10 TCID₅₀/mL (below LOD)).

158

Decontamination followed a similar pattern of viral inactivation for UV-treated FFR coupons, reducing viral titres by around four orders of magnitude (3.97 (±0.40 SD) log10 TCID₅₀/mL). Following vaporised H₂O₂- and dry heat-treatment, titres for virus recovered from coupons showed a loss of infectivity of three orders of magnitude (3.72 (±0.29 SD) log10 TCID₅₀/mL and 3.64 (±0.66 SD) log10 TCID₅₀/mL, respectively). UV-, H₂O₂- and heat-treatment of FFR straps reduced infectivity by to a lesser degree (1.58 (±0.14 SD) log10 TCID₅₀/mL, from 3.38 (±0.14 SD) log10 TCID₅₀/mL to below the LOD, 0.75 (±0.90 SD) log10 TCID₅₀/mL and 2.75 (±0.50 SD) TCID₅₀/mL, respectively).

166

167 CONCLUSIONS

168 This is, to our knowledge, the first description of stable disinfection of surgical masks and FFRs 169 contaminated with an infectious norovirus using UV irradiation, vaporised H_2O_2 , and dry heat 170 treatment.

171

172 Here we demonstrate successful recovery of high quantities of infectious MuNoV from inoculated, 173 otherwise untreated masks and FFR coupons. Three decontamination methods, chemical vaporised 174 H₂O₂ and physical inactivation via UV irradiation and dry heat treatment, successfully reduced 175 infectious loads of MuNoV inoculated under the outer surface layer of mask and FFR coupons by 176 more than three orders of magnitude. Since carrier surfaces likely influence decontamination efficacy, 177 we examined viral inactivation not only on the *de facto* FFRs or masks, but also on their elastic straps 178 that may become equally contaminated. We compared titres of infectious virus recovered from 179 inoculated, untreated mask or FFR straps and those inoculated and subsequently decontaminated. 180 While all three decontamination methods were successfully validated as they reduced viral loads by at 181 least more than three orders of magnitude, the elevated LOD of UV-treated FFR straps and H₂O₂-182 vaporised mask- and FFR straps prevented detection of higher infectivity losses. Further studies are 183 planned to elucidate these effects, which may potentially be associated either to inherent virucidal 184 properties of or poor elution from the elastic materials.

185

186 In conclusion, we describe successful validation of three decontamination methods, UV irradiation, 187 vaporised H_2O_2 , and dry heat treatment, in inactivating an infectious non-enveloped virus in line with 188 the FDA policy regarding face masks and FFRs. The MuNoV surrogate supplements existing data 189 regarding decontamination of surgical masks and FFRs, and both it and the different decontamination 190 methods tested, are easily adaptable to other FFR and mask types, presenting a useful conservative 191 model for stable validation of non-enveloped virus decontamination.

192

193 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express their sincere gratitude to Amélie Matton and Frédéric de Meulemeester (AMB
Ecosteryl, Mons, Belgium), Axel Kupisiewicz (LASEA, Sart-Tilman, Belgium), Pierre Leonard
(Solwalfin, Belgium) for suggestions and technical and administrative support.

197

198 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST STATEMENT

199 The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

200

201 FUNDING SOURCE

- 202 This work was supported by grants from the Walloon Region, Belgium (Project 2010053 -2020-
- 203 "MASK Decontamination and reuse of surgical masks and filtering facepiece respirators") and the
- 204 ULiège Fonds Spéciaux pour la Recherche 2020.

205 REFERENCES

206		
207	[1]	World Health Organization (WHO). Rational use of personal protective equipment for
208		coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Who 2020;2019:1-7.
209	[2]	Center for Devices and Radiological Health. Enforcement Policy for Face Masks and
210		Respirators During the Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Public Health Emergency (Revised)
211		Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff 2020.
212	[3]	Kramer A, Schwebke I, Kampf G. How long do nosocomial pathogens persist on inanimate
213		surfaces? A systematic review. BMC Infect Dis 2006;6:1-8. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-
214		2334-6-130.
215	[4]	Robilotti E, Deresinski S, Pinsky BA. Norovirus. Clin Microbiol Rev 2015;28:134-64.
216		https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00075-14.
217	[5]	Zonta W, Mauroy A, Farnir F, Thiry E. Comparative Virucidal Efficacy of Seven Disinfectants
218		Against Murine Norovirus and Feline Calicivirus, Surrogates of Human Norovirus. Food
219		Environ Virol 2015. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12560-015-9216-2.
220	[6]	Nims RW, Zhou SS. Intra-family differences in efficacy of inactivation of small, non-
221		enveloped viruses. Biologicals 2016;44:456-62.
222		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biologicals.2016.05.005.
223	[7]	Ludwig-Begall LF, Wielick C, Dams L, Nauwynck H, Demeuldre P-F, Napp A, et al. The use
224		of germicidal ultraviolet light, vaporised hydrogen peroxide and dry heat todecontaminate face
225		masks and filtering respirators contaminated with a SARS-CoV-2 surrogate virus. J Hosp
226		Infect 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.08.025.
227	[8]	Reed, L.J.; Muench H. A simple method of estimating fifty percent endpoints. Am J Hyg
228		1938;27.

FIGURES

Figure 1





Figure 2





FFR coupons



SM straps



FFR straps



229 LEGENDS

231 Figure 1. Recovery of MuNoV after elution from inoculated, untreated surgical masks and filtering 232 facepiece respirators. Recovery of infectious murine norovirus (MuNoV) from inoculated untreated 233 surgical masks (SM) and filtering facepiece respirators (FFR) was analysed in RAW 264.7 cells. The 234 cell culture limit of detection (LOD) was $0.8 \log_{10} \text{TCID}_{50}/\text{mL}$. Similar levels of virus recovery were 235 detected for left, right and middle (L, R, M) coupons of masks and respirators; recovery efficacy of 236 infectious virus from straps (S) deviated significantly in all analyses from the mean of all coupons 237 (with the exception of extraction from SM straps in the H_2O_2 assay). Statistical analyses were 238 performed using SAS® software 9.3 (SAS/ETS 12.1 - SAS STAT 12.1). Linear mixed models were 239 studied using the MIXED procedure; in addition, TOBIT models were implemented using the 240 qualitative and limited dependent variable model (QLIM) procedure. All p-values reported using the 241 OLIM procedure were obtained using Wald tests. P-values were computed to calculate differences 242 between individual coupon values and differences between mean values of all coupons and straps: 243 ***P<0.001, **P<0.01, *P<0.05, and ns is P≥0.05.

244

245

246 Figure 2. Effect of three decontaminating treatments on MuNoV-inoculated surgical mask- and 247 filtering facepiece respirator coupons and straps. The infectivity of murine norovirus (MuNoV) 248 recovered from surgical masks (SM) and filtering facepiece respirators (FFR) decontaminated via 249 exposure to ultraviolet light (UV), vaporised hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), or dry heat treatment was 250 analysed in RAW 264.7 cells. The cell culture limit of detection (LOD) was 0.8 log₁₀ TCID₅₀/ml for 251 all analyses except those concerning H_2O_2 -treated SM or FFR straps (1.8 and 2.8 \log_{10} TCID₅₀/ml, 252 respectively) and UV-treated FFR straps (1.8 log₁₀ TCID₅₀/ml). Per decontamination method, nine 253 MuNoV-inoculated, decontaminated coupons (n=9) and three inoculated, decontaminated straps (n=3)254 were analysed in parallel to inoculated, untreated, positive control coupons (n=9) and straps (n=3). 255 Statistical analyses were performed using SAS® software 9.3 (SAS/ETS 12.1 - SAS STAT 12.1). Linear 256 mixed models were studied using the MIXED procedure; in addition, TOBIT models were 257 implemented using the qualitative and limited dependent variable model (QLIM) procedure. All p-258 values reported using the QLIM procedure were obtained using Wald tests; ****P<0.0001; 259 ***P<0.001, **P<0.01, *P<0.05, and ns is P≥0.05.

260

²³⁰