

1 **County-level exposures to greenness and associations with COVID-19 incidence and**
2 **mortality in the United States**

3
4 Jochem O Klomp maker ^a, Jaime E Hart ^{a,b}, Isabel Holland ^b, M Benjamin Sabath ^c, Xiao Wu ^c,
5 Francine Laden ^{a,b,d}, Francesca Dominici ^c, Peter James ^{a,e}

6
7 ^a *Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health, 655*
8 *Huntington Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02115*

9 ^b *Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's*
10 *Hospital, 181 Longwood Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02115*

11 ^c *Department of Biostatistics, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 677 Huntington*
12 *Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02115*

13 ^d *Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health, 677 Huntington*
14 *Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02115*

15 ^e *Department of Population Medicine, Harvard Medical School and Harvard Pilgrim Health*
16 *Care Institute, 401 Park Drive, Boston, Massachusetts 02215*

17
18 **Corresponding author:**

19 Jochem O Klomp maker, PhD

20 Postdoctoral fellow

21 Department of Environmental Health

22 Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health

23
24 Landmark Center, 401 Park Drive, Boston MA 02215

25 jklomp maker@hsph.harvard.edu

26
27 **RUNNING TITLE:** Greenness and COVID-19 incidence and mortality

28
29 **KEY WORDS:** green space, Coronavirus, epidemiology, environmental health

30
31 **Declaration of interest**

32 The authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.

33
34 **Acknowledgement**

35 This study was funded by R01HL150119, R01ES028033 and P30 ES000002.

36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 **Abstract**

48 Background: COVID-19 is an infectious disease that has killed more than 246,000 people in the
49 US. During a time of social distancing measures and increasing social isolation, green spaces
50 may be a crucial factor to maintain a physically and socially active lifestyle while not increasing
51 risk of infection.

52 Objectives: We evaluated whether greenness is related to COVID-19 incidence and mortality in
53 the United States.

54 Methods: We downloaded data on COVID-19 cases and deaths for each US county up through
55 June 7, 2020, from Johns Hopkins University, Center for Systems Science and Engineering
56 Coronavirus Resource Center. We used April-May 2020 Normalized Difference Vegetation
57 Index (NDVI) data, to represent the greenness exposure during the initial COVID-19 outbreak in
58 the US. We fitted negative binomial mixed models to evaluate associations of NDVI with
59 COVID-19 incidence and mortality, adjusting for potential confounders such as county-level
60 demographics, epidemic stage, and other environmental factors. We evaluated whether the
61 associations were modified by population density, proportion of Black residents, median home
62 value, and issuance of stay-at-home order.

63 Results: An increase of 0.1 in NDVI was associated with a 6% (95% Confidence Interval: 3%,
64 10%) decrease in COVID-19 incidence rate after adjustment for potential confounders.
65 Associations with COVID-19 incidence were stronger in counties with high population density
66 and in counties with stay-at-home orders. Greenness was not associated with COVID-19
67 mortality in all counties; however, it was protective in counties with higher population density.

68 Discussion: Exposures to NDVI had beneficial impacts on county-level incidence of COVID-19
69 in the US and may have reduced county-level COVID-19 mortality rates, especially in densely
70 populated counties.

71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92

93 **Introduction**

94 The global spread of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the
95 virus responsible for COVID-19, has caused a worldwide public health emergency. (Sohrabi et
96 al. 2020; WHO 2020a) The outbreak was declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization
97 (WHO) on March 11, 2020, (WHO 2020b) and as of November 16, 2020, 54.5 million cases of
98 COVID-19 had been documented worldwide, and more than 1.3 million deaths had been
99 recorded. (Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center 2020) To date, there are few effective
100 therapies and no vaccine, therefore public health measures at the population level (e.g. social
101 distancing measures, stay-at-home orders, public education initiatives (Prem et al. 2020; Tammes
102 2020)) have been the primary approach for reducing transmission.

103
104 The coronavirus pandemic presents an unprecedented situation for the globe; however, this is not
105 the first time the world has confronted a large-scale infectious disease threat. Historical
106 approaches to combat infectious disease outbreaks provide crucial lessons that we can still apply
107 today. One of those approaches is the use of urban parks as a resilience measure. Frederick Law
108 Olmsted, who designed New York’s Central Park, Boston’s Emerald Necklace, and many other
109 major urban parks, championed the concept of “parks as lungs” and he espoused “two great
110 natural agents of disinfection: sunshine, and fall foliage”.(Beveridge and Hoffman 1997)

111
112 The spread of infectious diseases, like COVID-19, is dependent on the duration of
113 infectiousness, transmissibility, and the contact rate.(Heederik et al. 2020; Delamater et al. 2019)
114 These three factors generally summarize the basic reproduction number (R0). R0 is affected by
115 numerous biological, socio-behavioral and environmental factors that influence pathogen
116 transmission.(Delamater et al. 2019) Green spaces may influence the contact rate and in turn the
117 reproduction number, as they provide a setting to obtain much needed physical activity and a
118 place for social interactions while remaining the recommended safe distance (six feet). Because
119 these activities take place outdoors, wind dilutes the amount of virus in the air substantially
120 (Qian et al. 2020), which greatly decreases transmission risk. In addition, theory (Ulrich 1984;
121 Kaplan & Kaplan 1989) and empirical evidence (Banay et al. 2019; Bezold et al. 2018) suggests
122 that living near green spaces allows us to restore our attention and decrease stress, leading to
123 lower incidence of depression, anxiety, and other negative psychological factors. During a time
124 of social distancing measures and increasing social isolation, urban green spaces may be a
125 crucial factor to maintain a physically and socially active lifestyle while not increasing risk of
126 infection.

127
128 To quantify whether greenness is related to COVID-19 incidence and mortality, we compiled
129 county level data on both greenness and COVID-19 outcomes. Furthermore, based on evidence
130 that there are large disparities in incidence and mortality rates, we evaluated whether the
131 relationship between greenness and incidence/mortality differed according to county-level
132 population density, percentage of black residents, median home value and issuance of stay-at-
133 home orders.

134 135 **Methods**

136 Data used in this study are publicly available and links to each of the data sources can be found
137 in Table S1.

138

139 *COVID-19 incidence and mortality data*

140 The Johns Hopkins University Center for Systems Science and Engineering Coronavirus
141 Resource Center provides daily updates about COVID-19 death counts and cases for each
142 country.(Dong et al. 2020) For the US, county level data is provided by the US Centers for
143 Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and State governments. The number of COVID-19 cases
144 is the sum of the number of deaths and active cases. As of April 14, 2020, CDC case counts and
145 death counts included both confirmed and probable cases and deaths in accordance with CDC
146 guidelines.(CDC 2020)

147
148 We downloaded data on the cumulative number of COVID-19 cases and deaths for each county
149 through June 7, 2020. County-level COVID-19 mortality/incidence rates were defined as the
150 ratio of COVID-19 deaths/cases to county level population size.(Wu et al. 2020)

151
152 *Greenness exposure*

153 For each county, the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) was estimated using
154 satellite imagery. The NDVI is calculated as the ratio between the red and near infrared values,
155 and ranges from -1 to 1.(NASA 2020) Values close to 1 correspond to areas with complete
156 coverage by live green vegetation, values close to zero correspond to areas without much live
157 vegetation (e.g. rocks, sand) and negative values correspond to water. We used Landsat 8
158 (Collection 1 Tier 1 Operational Land Imager DN values, representing scaled, calibrated at
159 sensor radiance (USGS 2020)) images for the entire US from April 1, 2020 up to May 31, 2020,
160 to represent the exposure during the initial COVID-19 outbreak in the US. Landsat 8 images are
161 generated every 16 days at 30m resolution. Using Google Earth Engine
162 (<https://earthengine.google.com/>), cloud-free Landsat composites were created for the US. We
163 calculated the spatially weighted mean April-May NDVI for each county in the US, after setting
164 negative NDVI values to zero. For sensitivity analyses, we also used Landsat 8 images from June
165 1, 2019 up to August 31, 2019, to calculate the spatially weighted mean summer NDVI for each
166 county. County shapefiles were based on the US Census Bureau Tiger dataset of 2018.(US
167 Census Bureau 2020)

168
169 *Potential confounders*

170 To adjust for potential confounding bias, we obtained data on several variables that might be
171 linked to green space and COVID-19 incidence and mortality. We collected eleven county level
172 Census variables from the 2000 Census (<https://www.census.gov>) and the 2010 5-year American
173 Community Surveys (<https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/>): proportion of residents
174 older than 65, proportion of residents aged 15-44, proportion of residents aged 45-64, proportion
175 of Hispanic residents, proportion of Black residents, median household income, median home
176 value, proportion of residents in poverty, proportion of residents with a high school diploma,
177 population density, and proportion of residents that own their house. From the Behavioral Risk
178 Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) (<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/>) we obtained the
179 proportion of individuals that were obese and the proportion of current smokers in 2011, the
180 most recent year available.

181
182 We used days since first COVID-19 case reported in a county as a proxy for stage of the
183 COVID-19 outbreak. Further, we linked days since issuance of stay-at-home order (state-level),
184 days since closure of non-essential businesses (state-level), and days since nursing home visitor

185 ban (state-level) from the COVID-19 US State Policy Database (Raifman et al. 2020) to our
186 data. Since the availability of adequate hospital resources might influence COVID-19 outcomes,
187 we collected county-level information on the number of hospital beds available in 2019 from the
188 Homeland Infrastructure Foundation-Level Data (HIFLD). In addition, we used state level
189 information on number of COVID-19 tests performed up to June 7, 2020 from the COVID
190 tracking project (<https://covidtracking.com/>).

191
192 Based on previous studies implicating relations between exposure to particulate matter less than
193 2.5 microns (PM_{2.5}), temperature and/or relative humidity and COVID-19 incidence and
194 mortality (Wu et al. 2020; Raines et al. 2020), we also adjusted our analyses for these factors.
195 Temperature and relative humidity data were available from Gridmet, and we created long-term
196 (2000-2016) summer (June-August) and winter (December-February) averages for each
197 county.(Abatzoglou 2013) PM_{2.5} concentration estimates for 2000-2016 were derived from an
198 established exposure prediction model.(Van Donkelaar et al. 2019)

199
200 *Statistical methods*

201 We used negative binomial mixed models to evaluate associations of NDVI with COVID-19
202 incidence and mortality. We report mortality rate ratios (MRR) and incidence rate ratios (IRR),
203 i.e., exponentiated effect estimates from the negative binomial mixed model, and 95% CI per 0.1
204 unit NDVI increase. To evaluate effects of potential confounders, we specified a series of models
205 with increasing covariate adjustment. In model 1 we only included a population size offset and a
206 random intercept by state. In model 2 we additionally adjusted for degree of urbanization. In
207 model 3 we added all county-level SES covariates and BRFSS covariates. In model 4 we added
208 date since first COVID-19 case reported, date since issuance of stay-at-home order for each state,
209 number of hospital beds per unit population. In model 5 we additionally included temperature,
210 relative humidity and PM_{2.5} (main model for COVID-19 mortality). The number of tests per unit
211 population was added to model 6 (main model for COVID-19 incidence). We used a general
212 additive mixed model with penalized cubic regression splines (with 2 degrees of freedom as the
213 upper limit) to evaluate whether the association of NDVI with COVID-19 mortality and
214 incidence was linear in the full cohort, in rural counties, and in urban counties. We carried out all
215 analyses in R statistical software and performed model fitting using the lme4 package or the
216 gamm4 package (for spline analyses).(Package “lme4”; Package “gamm4”)

217
218 We evaluated whether associations of NDVI with COVID-19 deaths and cases were modified by
219 population density, proportion of black residents, median home value, and issuance of stay-at-
220 home order by adding an interaction term to the model. Significance of interaction terms were
221 tested by Chi-square tests between the models with and without the interaction terms. We
222 hypothesized that associations of NDVI with COVID-19 incidence and mortality were stronger
223 in densely populated counties, in counties with issuance of stay-at-home orders, in counties with
224 higher proportions of black residents, and in counties with lower median home values.

225
226 We conducted several sensitivity analyses to assess the robustness of the associations. We
227 evaluated associations of summer NDVI in the full population, and in urban and rural counties.
228 We excluded 27 counties comprising the New York metropolitan area (n = 3,062), as this area
229 experienced the most severe COVID-19 outbreak. We also conducted analysis excluding
230 counties with 10 or fewer confirmed COVID-19 cases. We additionally added days since closure

231 of non-essential businesses and days since nursing home visitor ban to our models. To evaluate
232 the impact of potential spatial residual confounding, we additionally added longitude and latitude
233 of the centroid of each county to the models. In addition, we used county averages of NDVI with
234 negative values excluded (instead of set to zero).

235

236 **Results**

237 Our study cohort consisted of 3,089 counties of which 2,297 counties reported more than 10
238 cases. The highest COVID-19 death rates were in New York, Illinois, Michigan, Florida,
239 Louisiana, and California (Figure 1). COVID-19 incidence rates were more equally spread over
240 the US. NDVI values were high along the West coast and in the South. The median COVID-19
241 death rate per 100,000 individuals was 2.8 and the median COVID-19 incidence rate per 100,000
242 individuals was 163.5 (Table 2). NDVI was moderately positively correlated with % Black, %
243 smoke, and PM_{2.5}, and weakly negatively correlated with median household income (Figure S1).

244

245 In main models (model 5 for mortality and model 6 for incidence) we found an IRR of 0.94
246 (95% CI: 0.90, 0.97) and a MRR of 0.99 (95% CI: 0.94, 1.05) per 0.1 unit increase in NDVI.
247 There was little impact of population density on estimates; however, effects were attenuated in
248 models that included county-level SES, BMI and smoking (Figure S2). The additional inclusion
249 of epidemic stage, timing of stay-at-home-orders, hospital beds per capita, long-term exposures
250 to PM_{2.5}, weather and COVID test rate did not confound the association. Estimated IRR and
251 MRR for all covariates included in the fully adjusted models can be found in Table S2. The
252 overall exposure-response curve for COVID-19 incidence showed some small evidence of
253 deviations from linearity, with a potential threshold effect around an NDVI of 0.5 (Figure 2). For
254 urban counties, the curve for COVID-19 incidence was inverse and linear, while for rural
255 counties increasing NDVI appeared beneficial at the lower end of the distribution only. Similar
256 patterns, although slightly less pronounced, were observed for COVID-19 mortality.

257

258 Associations of NDVI with COVID-19 incidence and mortality were positive in the lowest
259 densely populated counties and negative in the highest densely populated counties (Figure 3).
260 For COVID-19 incidence, but not for mortality, we found stronger associations for counties with
261 higher median home values and issuance of stay-at-home orders. Associations of NDVI with
262 COVID-19 incidence were similar across quintiles of the proportion Black residents, while we
263 found a positive association with COVID-19 mortality in the lowest quintile.

264

265 In sensitivity analyses, associations were robust to additional adjustment for potential spatial
266 clustering, days since closures of non-essential businesses or days since a nursing home visitor
267 ban, exclusions of the NYC metro area, restriction to counties with at least 10 cases, or
268 alternative procedure for calculating NDVI. (Figure S3). In the full cohort, associations of
269 summer NDVI with COVID-19 incidence and mortality were weakly negative, but not-
270 significant (Table S3). For urban counties, we found an IRR of 0.96 (95% CI: 0.91, 1.01) and a
271 MRR of 0.94 (95% CI: 0.88, 1.00) per 0.1 unit increase in summer NDVI.

272

273 **Discussion**

274 We observed that greenness in April-May of 2020 was inversely associated with COVID-19
275 incidence, especially in urban counties. An increase of 0.1 in NDVI was associated with a 6%
276 decrease in COVID-19 incidence rate after adjustment for potential confounders at the county

277 level. Associations with COVID-19 incidence were stronger in more densely populated counties,
278 and in counties with stay-at-home orders. NDVI was not associated with COVID-19 mortality in
279 all counties; however, NDVI was protective in counties with higher population density.

280
281 Several studies indicated that environmental exposures, such as air pollution, temperature, and
282 humidity, could affect the spread and impact of infectious diseases because of their impact on
283 host susceptibility and virus stability/survival. (Moriyama et al. 2020; Ciencewicki and Jaspers
284 2007; Martelletti and Martelletti 2020; Dowell and Shang Ho 2004) To the best of our
285 knowledge, there are no studies that evaluated the effect of greenness on the spread and impact
286 of infectious diseases. Since the COVID-19 outbreak, social distancing policies and guidelines
287 have led to more time spent at home and therefore people may be more dependent on their
288 immediate surroundings. Because gyms were closed in large parts of the US during this time
289 period, people may have relied on parks to be physically active. Parks also provide places for
290 social gatherings outdoors while remaining the recommended safe distance (six feet). Being
291 outside might substantially reduce the chance of SARS-CoV-2 transmission. According to a
292 study performed among 7,324 identified cases in China, only a single small outdoor outbreak
293 was identified.(Qian et al. 2020).

294
295 We found stronger associations between NDVI and COVID-19 incidence. This seems plausible
296 as neighborhood green space might affect contact rates and therefore COVID-19 incidence,
297 while COVID-19 mortality also depends on available treatments and on host susceptibility, such
298 as age and presence of chronic diseases. Several reviews showed inverse associations of
299 greenness with a variety of diseases.(Fong et al. 2018; James et al. 2015) A couple of studies also
300 reported inverse associations with cardiovascular and respiratory disease mortality, even after
301 adjustment for air pollution.(Crouse et al. 2017; Vienneau et al. 2017) This suggests that
302 increased amounts of greenness could influence host susceptibility. For COVID-19 incidence,
303 associations were stronger (and linear) in urban versus rural counties. This is in line with the
304 literature on the health effects of green spaces, which suggest benefits of green space are stronger
305 in urban areas.(Fong et al. 2018) In urban areas, vegetation likely represents urban parks and
306 street greenery, which are generally accessible and suitable spaces for recreational activities.
307 This may not be true for vegetation in rural areas.

308
309 Associations of April-May NDVI differed a bit from associations of summer NDVI. Summer
310 NDVI was weakly, but not significantly, associated with COVID-19 incidence and mortality.
311 April-May NDVI was stronger associated with COVID-19 incidence, while summer NDVI was
312 slightly stronger associated with mortality. We speculate that summer NDVI might better capture
313 the long-term impact of greenness on health and therefore the impact of greenness on host
314 susceptibility, while April-May NDVI might better capture the impact of greenness on contact
315 rates as it largely overlaps with the beginning of the COVID-19 outbreak.

316
317 For COVID-19 incidence, we found stronger associations in densely populated counties and
318 counties with high median home values. Median home value is likely related to health insurance
319 and the ability to work from home, which affects COVID-19 incidence. The positive associations
320 of NDVI with COVID-19 mortality in the lowest population density quintiles could be because
321 an increase in greenness in these areas is related to limited access to health care. Associations of
322 NDVI with COVID-19 incidence were modified by state-level issuance of stay-at-home orders.

323 Individuals living in states with stay-at-home orders might spend more time at home and are thus
324 more dependent on their immediate surroundings, like greenness. Individuals living in states
325 without stay-at-home orders might not practice social distancing and may differ in COVID-19
326 health risk perceptions. However, differences in associations could also be due to differences in
327 epidemic stage (number of COVID-19 cases) in counties with and without stay-at-home orders.
328 Associations of NDVI with COVID-19 mortality, but not COVID-19 incidence, were modified
329 by percentage Black. NDVI was harmful in the counties with the lowest proportion of Black
330 residents, but not in other quintiles. This finding may be related to higher observed rates of
331 mortality among Black individuals, or may reflect the moderate correlation between percentage
332 of Black residents and population density.

333
334 This study has several strengths. We used NDVI for April-May 2020, largely overlapping with
335 the beginning of the COVID-19 outbreak in the US, allowing us to assess the impact of
336 temporally relevant exposures on incidence and mortality. Associations of NDVI with COVID-
337 19 incidence remained in analyses stratified by urban-rural status or population density,
338 indicating that our associations are not a result of differences in urban-rural COVID-19 incidence
339 or testing rates. We adjusted for several potentially important confounders, such as proportion
340 Black residents, population density, and days since first COVID-19 case. We note that NDVI
341 was moderately positively (Spearman $\rho > 0.40$) correlated with % less than high school
342 education, % Black residents, % current smokers, and $PM_{2.5}$, while these variables were all
343 positively associated with COVID-19 incidence and mortality. Further, sensitivity analyses
344 showed that associations were robust to exclusion of counties with 10 or fewer COVID-19 cases,
345 excluding all counties comprising the New York metropolitan area and additional adjustment for
346 physical distance closures and potential spatial clustering.

347
348 We acknowledge that this study has several limitations. This is an ecological study with
349 aggregated data on county level. Ecological designs should not be used to make inferences about
350 individual risks even though they are valid for hypothesis-generating purposes. Publicly
351 available COVID-19 outcome data was only available at county level, while COVID-19
352 incidence and mortality, and sociodemographic characteristics likely vary at a smaller spatial
353 scale. (Villeneuve and Goldberg 2020) COVID-19 events are not independent and likely cluster
354 over time and space which may have resulted in biased effect estimates. (Villeneuve and
355 Goldberg 2020) Although we adjusted for several important confounders, such as days since first
356 COVID-19 case reported and days since stay-at-home order, it is possible that there is residual
357 confounding by these factors. Days since stay-at-home order is based on the start date of the
358 issuance of the order. However, in several states the stay-at-home order was ended/relaxed in
359 (the end of) April or May (earlier than June 7). Further, there are other state-level physical
360 distance closures (e.g. day cares, K-12 schools, gyms) that we did not take into account. As
361 additional adjustment for days since non-essential business closure and days since nursing home
362 visitor ban did not affect our associations, we do not think that adjustments for additional
363 closures would greatly impact our findings. We also note that physical distance closures and face
364 coverings requirements could differ between counties within a state. We used a county-level
365 vegetation index as a proxy for green space access, which does not distinguish whether
366 vegetation represents urban parks, forests, agricultural land, or overgrown vacant lots. Detail on
367 park amenities, vegetation species or typology, and park usage during the COVID-19 pandemic
368 were unavailable at the time of data collection, but would add to future analyses. Another major

369 limitation is the underreporting of COVID-19 cases and deaths. Widespread testing has been
370 limited in most areas of the US and differences in testing availability might differ between
371 counties and could have changed over time due to additional resources and increased recognition
372 of the disease.

373
374 Despite these limitations, our findings suggest that exposures to greenness had beneficial impacts
375 on county-level incidence of COVID-19 in the US and may have reduced county-level COVID-
376 19 mortality rates, especially in areas of higher population density. Although casual
377 relationships cannot be drawn from ecological studies, our findings imply that keeping parks
378 open, maintaining funding for parks in light of coming surges of COVID-19 and future
379 pandemics may have important public health benefits.

380
381

382 **References**

- 383 Abatzoglou JT. 2013. Development of gridded surface meteorological data for ecological
384 applications and modelling. *Int J Climatol* 33:121–131; doi:10.1002/joc.3413.
- 385 Banay RF, James P, Hart JE, Kubzansky LD, Spiegelman D, Okereke OI, et al. 2019. Greenness
386 and Depression Incidence among Older Women. *ehp.niehs.nih.gov* 127;
387 doi:10.1289/EHP1229.
- 388 Beveridge C, Hoffman C. 1997. *The Papers of Frederick Law Olmsted: Writings on Public Parks,*
389 *Parkways, and Park Systems. Supplementary Series. Vol. 1.*
- 390 Bezold CP, Banay RF, Coull BA, Hart JE, James P, Kubzansky LD, et al. 2018. The Association
391 Between Natural Environments and Depressive Symptoms in Adolescents Living in the
392 United States. *J Adolesc Heal* 62:488–495; doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2017.10.008.
- 393 CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention). Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) | 2020
394 Interim Case Definition, Approved April 5, 2020. Available:
395 [https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nndss/conditions/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/case-](https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nndss/conditions/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/case-definition/2020/)
396 [definition/2020/](https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nndss/conditions/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/case-definition/2020/) [accessed 16 June 2020].
- 397 Ciencewicky J, Jaspers I. 2007. Air pollution and respiratory viral infection. *Inhal Toxicol*
398 19:1135–1146; doi:10.1080/08958370701665434.
- 399 Crouse DL, Pinault L, Balram A, Hystad P, Peters PA, Chen H, et al. 2017. Urban greenness and
400 mortality in Canada’s largest cities: a national cohort study. *Lancet Planet Heal* 1:e289–
401 e297; doi:10.1016/S2542-5196(17)30118-3.
- 402 Delamater PL, Street EJ, Leslie TF, Yang YT, Jacobsen KH. 2019. Complexity of the basic
403 reproduction number (R0). *Emerg Infect Dis* 25:1–4; doi:10.3201/eid2501.171901.
- 404 Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. 2020. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real
405 time. *thelancet.com*; doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30120-1.
- 406 Dowell SF, Shang Ho M. 2004. Seasonality of infectious diseases and severe acute respiratory
407 syndrome - What we don’t know can hurt us. *Lancet Infect Dis* 4:704–708;
408 doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(04)01177-6.
- 409 Fong KC, Hart JE, James P. 2018. A Review of Epidemiologic Studies on Greenness and Health:
410 Updated Literature Through 2017. *Curr Environ Heal reports* 5:77–87; doi:10.1007/s40572-
411 018-0179-y.
- 412 Heederik DJJ, Smit LAM, Vermeulen RCH. 2020. Go slow to go fast: A plea for sustained
413 scientific rigor in air pollution research during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Eur Respir J*

- 414 2001361; doi:10.1183/13993003.01361-2020.
- 415 James P, Banay RF, Hart JE, Laden F. 2015. A Review of the Health Benefits of Greenness.
416 *Current epidemiology reports*, 2(2), pp.131-142. ; doi:10.1007/s40471-015-0043-7.
- 417 Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. 2020. COVID-19 United States Cases by County.
418 Available: <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/us-map> [accessed 17 July 2020].
- 419 Kaplan R & Kaplan S. 1989. *The Experience of Nature: A Psychological Perspective* - Rachel
420 Kaplan, Stephen Kaplan - Google Books. Available:
421 [https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=7l80AAAAIAAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR7&dq=The+](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=7l80AAAAIAAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR7&dq=The+Experience+of+Nature:+A+psychological+perspective.+&ots=TpQ-NDt34l&sig=4s4Rdvae2yJ5xlXfpe1nRscE_Wk#v=onepage&q=The+Experience+of+Nature%3A+A+psychological+perspective.&f=false)
422 [Experience+of+Nature:+A+psychological+perspective.+&ots=TpQ-](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=7l80AAAAIAAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR7&dq=The+Experience+of+Nature:+A+psychological+perspective.+&ots=TpQ-NDt34l&sig=4s4Rdvae2yJ5xlXfpe1nRscE_Wk#v=onepage&q=The+Experience+of+Nature%3A+A+psychological+perspective.&f=false)
423 [NDt34l&sig=4s4Rdvae2yJ5xlXfpe1nRscE_Wk#v=onepage&q=The Experience of Nature%3A](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=7l80AAAAIAAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR7&dq=The+Experience+of+Nature:+A+psychological+perspective.+&ots=TpQ-NDt34l&sig=4s4Rdvae2yJ5xlXfpe1nRscE_Wk#v=onepage&q=The+Experience+of+Nature%3A+A+psychological+perspective.&f=false)
424 [A psychological perspective.&f=false](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=7l80AAAAIAAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR7&dq=The+Experience+of+Nature:+A+psychological+perspective.+&ots=TpQ-NDt34l&sig=4s4Rdvae2yJ5xlXfpe1nRscE_Wk#v=onepage&q=The+Experience+of+Nature%3A+A+psychological+perspective.&f=false) [accessed 17 July 2020].
- 425 Martelletti L, Martelletti P. Air Pollution and the Novel Covid-19 Disease: a Putative Disease Risk
426 Factor. Springer; doi:10.1007/s42399-020-00274-4.
- 427 Moriyama M, Hugentobler WJ, Iwasaki A. 2020. Seasonality of Respiratory Viral Infections.
428 *Annu Rev Virol* 7:83–101; doi:10.1146/annurev-virology-012420-022445.
- 429 NASA. 2020. Measuring Vegetation (NDVI & EVI). Available:
430 [https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/features/MeasuringVegetation/measuring_vegetation_](https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/features/MeasuringVegetation/measuring_vegetation_2.php)
431 [2.php](https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/features/MeasuringVegetation/measuring_vegetation_2.php) [accessed 16 June 2020].
- 432 Package “gamm4”. 2020. <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/gamm4/gamm4.pdf>
- 433 Package “lme4.” 2020. <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/lme4/lme4.pdf>
- 434 Prem K, Liu Y, Russell TW, Kucharski AJ, Eggo RM, Davies N, et al. 2020. The effect of control
435 strategies to reduce social mixing on outcomes of the COVID-19 epidemic in Wuhan,
436 China: a modelling study. *Lancet Public Heal* 5:e261–e270; doi:10.1016/S2468-
437 2667(20)30073-6.
- 438 Qian H, Miao T, Liu L, Zheng X, Luo D, Li Y. 2020. Indoor transmission of SARS-Cov-2.
439 medrxiv.org; doi:10.1101/2020.04.04.20053058.
- 440 Raifman J, Nocka K, Jones D, Bor J, Lipson S, Jay J. 2020. COVID-19 US state policy database.
- 441 Raines KS, Doniach S, Bhanot G. 2020. The transmission of SARS-CoV-2 is likely comodulated by
442 temperature and by relative humidity.; doi:10.1101/2020.05.23.20111278.
- 443 Sohrabi C, Alsafi Z, O’Neill N, Khan M, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, et al. 2020. World Health
444 Organization declares global emergency: A review of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-
445 19). *Int J Surg* 76:71–76; doi:10.1016/j.ijssu.2020.02.034.
- 446 Tammes P. 2020. Social distancing, population density, and spread of COVID-19 in England: a
447 longitudinal study. *BJGP open*; doi:10.3399/bjgpopen20X101116.
- 448 US census bureau. 2020. Geography Program. Available: [https://www.census.gov/programs-](https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/geography.html)
449 [surveys/geography.html](https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/geography.html) [accessed 16 June 2020].
- 450 USGS (United States Geological Survey). 2020. Landsat 8 Data Users Handbook. Available:
451 <https://www.usgs.gov/media/files/landsat-8-data-users-handbook> [accessed 16 June
452 2020].
- 453 Ulrich RS. 1984. View through a window may influence recovery from surgery. *Science* (80-)
454 224:420–421; doi:10.1126/science.6143402.
- 455 Van Donkelaar A, Martin R V., Li C, Burnett RT. 2019. Regional Estimates of Chemical
456 Composition of Fine Particulate Matter Using a Combined Geoscience-Statistical Method
457 with Information from Satellites, Models, and Monitors. *Environ Sci Technol* 53:2595–

458 2611; doi:10.1021/acs.est.8b06392.
459 Vienneau D, Hoogh K de, Faeh D, Kaufmann M, Wunderli JM, Rösli M. et al. 2017. More than
460 clean air and tranquillity: residential green is independently associated with decreasing
461 mortality. Elsevier.
462 Villeneuve PJ, Goldberg MS. 2020. Methodological Considerations for Epidemiological Studies
463 of Air Pollution and the SARS and COVID-19 Coronavirus Outbreaks. Environ Health
464 Perspect 128:095001; doi:10.1289/EHP7411.
465 WHO 2020a. Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Situation Reports. Available:
466 <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports/>
467 [accessed 20 July 2020].
468 WHO 2020b. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 11
469 March 2020. Available: [https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-](https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020)
470 [opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020](https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020) [accessed 17 July
471 2020].
472 Wu X, Nethery RC, Sabath MB, Braun D, Dominici F. 2020. Air pollution and COVID-19 mortality
473 in the United States: Strengths and limitations of an ecological regression analysis. Sci Adv
474 6:eabd4049; doi:10.1126/sciadv.abd4049.
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502

503 **Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the full cohort (n = 3,089 U.S. counties) ^a.**
504

Variable	Median (IQR)
COVID-19 death rate (per 100,000)	2.8 (13.4)
COVID-19 incidence rate (per 100,000)	163.5 (330.2)
NDVI (April-May 2020)	0.44 (0.27)
NDVI (June-August 2019)	0.63 (0.21)
Population density (person/sq. mi.)	60.6 (208.7)
% in poverty	9.2 (6.1)
% owner occupied housing	76.7 (9.3)
% less than high school education	19.1 (13.2)
% Black	1.4 (7.8)
% Hispanic	3.1 (5.8)
% 65 years of age	15.6 (5.0)
% 45-64 years of age	26.5 (2.9)
% 15-44 years of age	37.9 (5.4)
Median home value (\$1,000)	110.3 (67.7)
Median household income (\$1,000)	47.7 (15.2)
% Obese	33.1 (7.2)
% Smoke	17.0 (4.8)
Days since stay-at-home order	68 (74)
Days since non-essential businesses closure	69 (74)
Days since nursing homes visitor ban	62 (83)
Days since first case	74 (13)
Rate of hospital beds (per 100,000)	50 (173)
Rate of tests (per 100,000)	5670.7 (2394.6)
Average summer temperature (K)	303.3 (5.0)
Average winter temperature (K)	280.2 (10.5)
Average summer relative humidity (%)	91.3 (6.7)
Average winter relative humidity (%)	88 (5.6)
PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³)	8.8 (4.1)
Urban counties [NCHS classification ≤4 (n)]	1149
Counties with issuance of stay-at-home order (n)	2196
Counties with 10< cases (n)	2297

505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512

^a The number of COVID-19 cases and deaths are based on data from March 22, 2020 through June 7, 2020.

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).




Figure 1. Maps of the US that show (A) the county-level number of COVID-19 cases per 1 million population in the United States up to and including June 7, 2020, (B) the county-level number of COVID-19 deaths per 1 million population in the United States up to and including June 7, 2020, and (C) county-level average NDVI (April-May 2020).




Figure 2. Exposure-response curves of the association of NDVI with COVID-19 incidence and COVID-19 mortality in the full cohort, in urban counties and in rural counties.




Figure 3. Associations of NDVI with COVID-19 incidence and COVID-19 mortality by strata. Main = main model, quintile 1 = lowest quintile, quintile 5 = highest quintile. Home value = median home value, Pop. density = population density, no stay-at-home = counties with no issuance of stay-at-home order, stay-at-home = counties with issuance of stay-at-home order

^a Associations are expressed per 0.1 unit increase in NDVI.