1	How early into the outbreak can surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater tell us?
2	Pei-Ying Hong ¹ *, Andri Taruna Rachmadi ¹ , David Mantilla-Calderon ¹ , Mohsen Alkahtani ² , Yasir
3	M. Bashwari ³ , Hamed Al Qarni ³ , Jianqiang Zhou ¹
4	¹ Division of Biological and Environmental Science and Engineering, Water Desalination and Reuse
5	Center, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia
6	² Environmental Health Laboratory, Jeddah, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia
7	^{3.} General Directorate of Environment Health, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	* Corresponding author
16	Email: <u>peiying.hong@kaust.edu.sa</u>
17	Phone: +966 12 8082218
18	

19 Abstract

20	There is increasing interest to use wastewater-based surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 as an early warning of
21	the outbreak within a community. Despite successful detection of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewaters sampled
22	from multiple locations, there is still no clear idea on the minimal number of cases needed in a community
23	to result in a positive detection of the virus in wastewaters. To address this knowledge gap, we sampled
24	wastewaters from a septic tank and biological activated sludge tank located on-site of a hospital. The
25	hospital is providing treatment for SARS-CoV-2 infected patients, with the number of hospitalized patients
26	per day known. It was observed that > 253 positive cases out of 10,000 persons are required prior to
27	detecting SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater. There was a weak correlation between N1 and N2 gene
28	abundances in wastewater with the number of hospitalized cases. This correlation was however not
29	observed for N3 gene. The occurrence frequency of SARS-CoV-2 is at least 5 times lower in the partially
30	treated wastewater than in the septic tank. Furthermore, abundance of N1 and N3 genes in the activated
31	sludge tank were 50 and 70% of the levels detected in septic tank, suggesting poor persistence of the
32	SARS-CoV-2 gene fragments in wastewater.
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	Keywords:
42	Wastewater-based epidemiology, Covid-19, reverse transcription quantitative PCR, detection sensitivity,

43 log reduction



44 Introduction

45 Data from the World Health Organization suggests that approximately 80% of Covid-19 infected 46 individuals exhibit only mild symptoms or are asymptomatic ¹. In particular, asymptomatic cases are 47 concerning as these individuals cannot be detected easily by the current swab testing protocols and can 48 unknowingly transmit the virus to others. Although lockdown and curfew are very effective in slowing the 49 spread of SARS-CoV-2, such intervention measures in the long term is unsustainable, and remains as a 50 key but not the only solution to mitigating Covid-19. The other solution lies in increasing our testing 51 capacity. However, to swab everyone in a country for clinical surveillance over a long term period is 52 impractical considering the amount of resources and labor hours needed. In contrast, monitoring for 53 SARS-CoV-2 directly in wastewaters over a longer duration would be an alternate method to complement 54 clinical surveillance since about 39 to 65% of infected hosts, including asymptomatic carriers, shed the 55 virus through their feces, while about 6% of patients shed it through urine ^{2, 3}.

56 By sampling for the waste stream generated from a community, we therefore have a composite 57 sample that would be suitable for wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE). WBE is an approach that 58 includes the qualitative and quantitative determination of biomarkers in raw wastewater to provide 59 information on inhabitants within that wastewater catchment area. Monitoring for SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater has been demonstrated in many countries, including the Netherlands, Australia, Italy, Spain 60 61 and US⁴⁻⁹. In these studies, SARS-CoV-2 was sporadically detected from the sampled wastewaters, and 62 the reported abundance of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater ranged from 19 to 2.2 x 10⁸ copies/L. In some 63 instances, the WBE approach was able to detect SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater before clinically diagnosed 64 cases were made known ¹⁰. WBE hence demonstrates potential to serve as an early warning of 65 re(emergence) of Covid-19 in communities ⁷. However, without knowing the minimal number of positive 66 cases needed in each community to achieve consistent detection of SARS-CoV-2 from the sewage 67 networks, the definition of how early into the outbreak WBE can inform us remains rather unclear. 68 Ideally, the detection sensitivity of WBE can be defined by knowing the total number of 69 symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals present in the community served by the wastewater treatment 70 system. The volume of untreated sewage entering to the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) should also 71 be defined to estimate the total number of inhabitants served by the WWTP. While the latter requirement

72 can be easily determined, the number of infected individuals is difficult to obtain unless an active 73 surveillance is conducted to swab test all individuals, including asymptomatic ones, in the community. To 74 circumvent this limitation, a controlled community, for example, hospitals providing treatment to Covid-19 75 patients can be used as a model. In this manner, the number of patients contributing SARS-CoV-2 to the 76 hospital wastewaters are known through daily hospitalization and discharge records. Besides determining 77 the detection sensitivity of WBE using hospital wastewaters as a study model, we further evaluate the 78 correlation between detected abundance of SARS-CoV-2 gene fragments in wastewater with the number 79 of patients. The abundance of nucleocapsid gene fragments as it moves from the underground septic 80 tank to the first stage of the wastewater treatment plant situated on-site was also evaluated. 81 Findings from this study will provide inference to the minimal number of SARS-CoV-2 infected cases 82 needed to generate quantitative PCR (qPCR) signals associated with the virus shed into a highly diluted 83 wastewater stream. The correlation analysis provide insights to which of the nucleocapsid gene 84 abundance would vary according to the number of infected individuals. Lastly, the persistence of the 85 nucleocapsid genes in wastewater can be inferred by determining the changes in their abundance along 86 different stages of the wastewater treatment plant.

87

88 Materials and methods

89

90 Quality control of sample processing and RNA extraction protocols

91 To determine recovery and viral nucleic acid extraction efficiency, a known concentration of murine 92 norovirus (MNV) was spiked into untreated wastewater. MNV was spiked as surrogate because it is a 93 positive-sense single-stranded RNA virus like SARS-CoV-2, and will likely be similar in terms of RNA extraction efficiency. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) for MNV was performed using forward primer (5'-94 95 CCGCAGGAACGCTCAGCAG-3'), reverse primer (5'- GGYTGAATGGGGACGGCCTG-3') and Tagman probe (5'- FAM-ATGAGTGATGGCGCA-ZEN/IBFQ-3') (Integrated DNA Technologies, Leuven, Belgium 96 97 ¹¹. A six-points standard curve was generated using a synthetic oligonucleotide (gblocks[@] gene fragment, 98 Integrated DNA technologies, IA, USA) containing 300-350 bp DNA sequence that encompasses a

99 complementary region for which the primers and probes would anneal to. An amplification efficiency of

100 102.7% was achieved. LOD for MNV qPCR reaction was 3.89-log copies/L and 10 copy/well,

101 respectively. MNV is not anticipated to be present in municipal wastewaters and hence abundances

102 detected by means of qPCR would be due to the spiking event.

103

104 Sampling of hospital wastewaters from Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

105 Wastewater discharged from the hospital was collected at two sampling points of the wastewater 106 treatment plant (WWTP) located on-site. The first sampling point was the underground septic tank and 107 the second sampling point was from the aerobic biological tank located on the roof of the hospital. The 108 daily wastewater intake for the on-site WWTP is 750 m³. The volume of wastewater generated by this 109 hospital approximates the size of a community with ca. 2884 persons based on an average capita water 110 usage of 260 L/person/d¹². The hospital wastewater is treated first by biological activated sludge system, 111 operated with hydraulic retention time of 9 h. The secondary-treated wastewater is then disinfected with 112 100 mg/L chlorine at a contact time of 2 h. Approximately 1 L of grab sample was individually collected 113 from the underground tank (i.e., representing untreated wastewater) and from the top aqueous layer of 114 the biological activated sludge tank (i.e., partially treated wastewater). The entire sampling duration was from 15 April to 9 July 2020, with frequencies ranging from 3 to 5 daily samples per week (Table S1). The 115 116 lower sampling frequency during the early stages of the outbreak was due to the movement restriction 117 order imposed in the city. Samples were stored at 4°C for not more than 1 week before they were 118 processed.

119

120 Processing of wastewater samples

250 to 500 mL of samples were individually concentrated for viral particles using the Millipore HA
membrane method with slight modifications ¹³. Briefly, 2 mL of 2.5 M MgCl₂ was added to every 100 mL
of the sample, agitated for 3 minutes and left to stand for 3 minutes. The samples were then filtered
through the HA membrane. The HA membrane was then filtered through with 200 mL of 0.5 mM H₂SO₄ to

adjust the isoelectric point of viruses. The viral particles retained on the HA membrane were eluted with
10 mL of 1 mM NaOH into a sterile collection tube that contained 100 µL of 100X tris-EDTA buffer and 50
µL of 100 mM H2SO4. The eluate was concentrated with Centripep YM-50 (Millipore) to approximately
680 µL, and an aliquot of 140 µL was extracted for its RNA using QIAmp Viral RNA kit (ThermoFisher
Scientific, Carlsbard, CA). QIAmp Viral RNA kit is suggested by the US CDC as one of the extraction
protocols to generate highly purified RNA ¹⁴. Extracted RNA was converted to complementary DNA
(cDNA) using Superscript III First Strand Synthesis System (ThermoFisher Scientific).

132

133 Quantitative PCR

134 Genes associated with nucleocapsid (N) proteins N1, N2 and N3 of SARS-CoV-2 were targeted for guantitative PCR¹⁵. G-blocks that include the annealing regions of N1, N2 and N3 primers-probes were 135 136 synthesized based on the published sequences of SARS-CoV-2¹⁶. Six-point standard curves were 137 generated for each of the primer-probe pair to determine their respective amplification efficiencies. Limit 138 of detection is estimated based on the lowest copy number of G-block template with detectable threshold 139 Cq value. Based on the standard curves, the average amplification efficiency were 92.5%, 91.5%, 90.8% 140 and the R² value ranged from 0.98-0.99, 0.97-0.99, and 0.97-0.99 for N1, N2, and N3, respectively. The LOD was 5 copy/well, corresponding to 3.85-, 4.25-, and 3.35-log copies/L for N1, N2, and N3 gPCR 141 142 reaction, respectively. A non-template control serves as negative control. A positive control made up from 143 cDNA derived from RNA of SARS-CoV-2-positive clinical swab specimens was also included for qPCR. 144 Positive controls have average Cq value of 29 for N1, 30 to 31 for N2 and N3 genes. Thermal cycling 145 conditions include 50 cycles of 95°C for denaturation (3 s) and 55°C for annealing and amplification (30 146 s). All samples, standards and controls were performed in technical duplicates.

147

148 **Results and discussion**

149

150 Recovery and extraction efficiency

151 A total of 6.89-log copies/L MNV was spiked into the untreated wastewater. The overall recovery and 152 RNA extraction efficiency of murine norovirus was ca. 45%, and falls within the range reported by earlier 153 studies (ranging from 10% to 73%) albeit using different concentration procedures. For example, direct 154 ultracentrifugation of 20 mL of wastewater through a 50% sucrose phase layer recovered 12% of the 155 deactivated SARS-CoV-2 spiked into the sample ¹⁷. Aluminium hydroxide adsorption-precipitation 156 (adjusted to pH 6) method recovered on average 11% of spiked porcine epidemic diarrhea virus and 157 mengovirus, respectively, from 200 mL wastewater 8. Ultrafiltration of 100-200 mL using Centricon Plus-158 70 (molecular weight cut-off of 10 kDa) recovered an average 73% of the spiked F-specific RNA phages 159 but with high standard deviation of 50% 7.

160 In addition, the reported differences in recovery efficiencies can be due to the varying type of viral 161 surrogates spiked. Different viruses have different isoelectric points (pl), which are the pH values at which 162 the net surface charge switches. The determination of pl is particularly relevant for concentration 163 protocols that utilize electrocharged membranes or coagulant adsorption-precipitation. Norovirus was 164 previously reported to have a pl ranging from 5.5 to 6¹⁸, while the spike protein of porcine epidemic 165 diarrhea virus has a pl of 5.0¹⁹. In contrast, there is no experimental data currently available that reports 166 on the pl of SARS-CoV-2 but predictive calculations for some of its key proteins put the range of pl 167 between 4.2 to 10.1²⁰. It is therefore uncertain which particular surrogate used thus far among studies 168 would best approximate to SARS-CoV-2.

169 Regardless of the viral surrogates, recovery of viral particles from untreated wastewater has been 170 challenging as evidenced from the wide range of reported efficiencies. High turbidity and organic carbon 171 content in raw wastewater matrix can foul ultrafiltration membranes and decrease flux. In this study, the 172 raw wastewater samples had an average dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentration of 6.4 mg/L and 173 average turbidity of 10.6 NTU (Table 1). DOC can facilitate unintended adsorption of virus onto the 174 filtering glassware ²¹, and hence lower the probability of recovering viral particles that are already present 175 in low abundance and/or not homogenously distributed in the wastewater matrix. Furthermore, 176 suspended particles that accounted for turbidity can clog the membranes rapidly and permit only a limited

volume of untreated wastewater (< 500 mL) to be filtered through without significantly lengthening the
processing time.

179

180 Detected abundance of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated hospital wastewaters

181 43 out of the 57 collected samples of untreated hospital wastewaters (i.e., 75.4%) tested positive for the 182 nucleocapsid genes of SARS-CoV-2. Compared to earlier studies which reported occurrence frequency 183 to range from 15.6 to 50% of the collected municipal wastewaters ^{4, 6, 9, 22, 23}, the number of samples that 184 were positive for SARS-CoV-2 occurred at higher frequency in this study. This is not surprising since the 185 wastewaters originated from a hospital that provides treatment for Covid-19 infected patients. However, 186 despite the confirmed presence of infected patients in this hospital since our first sampling date, we did 187 not detect SARS-CoV-2 in the untreated wastewaters sampled on 15, 16, 22 and 24 April 2020. Instead, 188 a relatively consistent detection of the SARS-CoV-2 N1 gene was only observed after 27 April 2020. Wu 189 et al. determined correlation between wastewater viral titers and daily reports of new clinically confirmed 190 Covid-19 cases in Boston, US, and found that the best correlation was seen when comparing new clinical 191 cases back-dated by 4 days ²⁴. Hence, it is likely that a consistent detection of SARS-CoV-2 in the 192 hospital wastewaters can be achieved when the cumulative number of Covid-19 patients in the hospital 193 was > 73 (Figure 1). Considering that the daily wastewater intake for the on-site hospital WWTP is 750 194 m^3 , the volume of wastewater generated by this hospital approximates the size of a community with ca. 195 2884 persons based on an average capita water usage of 260 L/person/d¹². This therefore suggests that 196 the procedure described in this study has a detection sensitivity of ca. > 253 infected persons per 10,000 197 inhabitants.

Similar to that reported by Medema et al. (2020), N1 and N2 genes were observed in the hospital
wastewater first before N3 gene. The simultaneous detection of N1, N2 and N3 gene was observed after
a cumulative 138 patients or more were hospitalized (i.e., > 464 infected persons per 10,000 inhabitants).
With the exception of N1 and N2 gene exhibiting a weak correlation (r = 0.21 and 0.24, respectively),
there was no apparent correlation between abundance of N3 genes and the number of hospitalized
patients. The weak correlation and sporadic detection of SARS-CoV-2 genes in hospital wastewaters may

be dependent on the viral shedding load per patient and the shedding duration. In this study, information
on whether patients are exhibiting gastrointestinal distress was not provided to us due to concerns of
breaching patients' confidentiality. Hence it is uncertain how many percentage of the patients may be
shedding the virus into the wastewater to result in the sporadic detection of SARS-CoV-2.

208

209 Log reduction of SARS-CoV-2 gene fragments at different stage of treatment process

210 The biological activated sludge tank is an open-air tank placed on the roof of the hospital, and wastewater 211 inside the tank was subjected to an increasing ambient temperature and solar irradiance during the 212 sampling period as KSA enters into summer. To exemplify, maximum diurnal temperature increased from 213 41.5 °C in April to 45.3 °C in May and 46.3 °C in June 2020, while minimum diurnal temperature also 214 increased from 14.2 °C in April to 21 °C in June (personal communication, Matthew McCabe). The 215 maximum net solar irradiation measured at 1 pm also increased from 1184.9 W/m² in April to 1333.0 216 W/m² in June (personal communication, Matthew McCabe). Parameters related to water quality, namely 217 DOC and turbidity were lower in values for wastewater sampled from the biological activated sludge tank 218 compared to the underground septic tank (Table 1). This indicates a certain degree of partial treatment 219 was achieved at the sampling point of the activated sludge tank.

220 Likewise, this level of partial treatment resulted in a lower detection frequency of SARS-CoV-2 in

wastewaters sampled from the biological activated sludge tank. Only 8 out of 52 samples (15.4%)

222 collected from the biological activated sludge tank were tested positive for N genes associated with

223 SARS-CoV-2. Specifically, N1 genes were detected in 5 samples, N2 genes were detected in 4 samples,

and 1 positive occurrence of N3 genes among the collected samples. N1 genes were detected first before

N2 and N3. The average abundance of N1 and N3 genes decreased by 0.3-log (i.e., 50%) and 0.5-log

(i.e., 70%), respectively, from the underground septic tanks to the biological activated sludge tank.

However, there was an increase by 44% in the average abundance of N2 genes sampled from the

activated sludge tank compared to that in the underground septic tank. This suggest a potential

accumulation of N2 genes in the activated sludge tank, likely due to better persistence of N2 compared to

230 N1 and N3 genes. Most of the positive detection in the biological activated sludge tank occurred in late

June, and coincides after a period of high cumulative numbers of hospitalized patients. Despite the slight increase of N2 genes in a small group of samples, it is unlikely that the N genes will persist in the final treated wastewater since the last stage of the hospital WWTP includes a final disinfection step at a very high chlorine concentration (100 mg/L) and at long contact time (2 h). An earlier study determined that 6.5 mg/L of free chlorine and 1.5 h of contact time was already sufficient to remove 0.5 to 18.7 x 10³ copies/L of SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA to levels below detection limits ²⁵.

237

238 Conclusion

239 By utilizing a confined environment (i.e., a hospital with known number of Covid-19 cases), this study 240 determined the detection sensitivity of wastewater-based epidemiology for SARS-CoV-2 to be about > 241 253 persons per 10,000 inhabitants. The correlation between nucleocapsid gene abundances of SARS-242 CoV-2 and the number of infected hospitalized patients was weak, suggesting the difficulty in correlating 243 the number of cases based on the gene abundances detected in wastewaters. However, it is likely that 244 when the nucleocapsid genes were detected, there is already a substantial number of cases circulating in 245 the community that would warrant immediate intervention measures to be taken. N genes do not persist well in the hospital wastewater based on the lower detection frequency in partially treated wastewater. In 246 247 instances where the nucleocapsid genes were detected in the partially treated wastewater, N1 and N3 genes had already decreased by 0.3-log and 0.5-log (i.e., ca. 50 and 70%, respectively). This is with the 248 249 exception of N2 genes that may persist better than N1 and N3. Future studies would be needed to 250 improve the recovery efficiency of viral particles from wastewater matrix, and to determine the decay 251 kinetics of the N genes in various environmental conditions to facilitate the detection sensitivity and 252 capabilities of WBE.

253

254 Acknowledgements

This work was funded by KAUST baseline grant BAS/1/1033-01-01 awarded to PYH. The authors would like to thank the medical team of the studied hospital for providing access to wastewater samples and for

- saving lives during this pandemic. The authors would also like to thank Professor Matthew McCabe and
- 258 Mr Samir Almashharawi for providing the ambient temperature and solar irradiance measured at the
- 259 Hada Al Sham monitoring station.
- 260
- 261
- 262 Supporting materials available
- 263 Table: Information on the samples collected for this study.

- **Table 1.** Summary of the percentage of samples detected positive for nucleocapsid genes of SARS-CoV-
- 265 2 and the average abundance among positive detection. Average dissolved organic carbon and turbidity
- 266 ± standard error were also measured for wastewaters collected at both sampling points.

	% occurrence	Average copy numbers/L	Average DOC (mg/L) ± std error	Average NTU ± std error
Underground septic tank	75.4	N1: 173.7 N2: 772.1 N3: 1327.4	6.40 ± 0.28	10.57 ± 0.99
Biological activated sludge tank	15.4	N1: 81.1 N2: 1115.8 N3: 411.2	4.51 ± 0.27	6.72 ± 0.93

269 Figure legend

- 270 **Figure 1.** Abundance of nucleocapsid genes associated with SARS-CoV-2 and the number of
- 271 hospitalized patients throughout the sampling period. (a) N1 gene, (b) N2 gene, (c) N3 gene. The dashed
- 272 line in panel (a) indicates the number of hospitalized patients needed to obtain qPCR signals from this
- 273 wastewater matrix.

274 References

275	1. WHO Q&A: Similarities and differences – COVID-19 and influenza. <u>https://www.who.int/news-</u>
276	room/q-a-detail/q-a-similarities-and-differences-covid-19-and-influenza
277	2. Chan, V. WS.; Chiu, P. KF.; Yee, CH.; Yuan, Y.; Ng, CF.; Teoh, J. YC., A systematic review on
278	COVID-19: urological manifestations, viral RNA detection and special considerations in urological
279	conditions. World J Urol 2020 , 1-12.
280	3. Cheung, K. S.; Hung, I. F. N.; Chan, P. P. Y.; Lung, K. C.; Tso, E.; Liu, R.; Ng, Y. Y.; Chu, M. Y.; Chung,
281	T. W. H.; Tam, A. R.; Yip, C. C. Y.; Leung, KH.; Fung, A. YF.; Zhang, R. R.; Lin, Y.; Cheng, H. M.; Zhang, A.
202	J. A., TO, K. K. W., Chan, KH., Tuen, KT., Leung, W. K., Gastronnestinal Mannestations of SARS-COV-2
205	and virus Load in Fecal samples From a hong kong conort. Systematic Review and Meta-
204 205	A Abmed W: Angel N: Edson I: Ribby K: Rivins A: O'Brien I. W: Choi P. M: Kitajima M:
205	Simpson S L · Li L· Tscharke B · Verhagen B · Smith W L M · Zaugg L· Dierens L · Hugenholtz D ·
200	Themas K. V.: Mueller L.E. Eirst confirmed detection of SAPS CoV 2 in untreated wastewater in
201	Australia: A proof of concept for the wastewater suppoillance of COVID 10 in the community. Science of
200	The Total Environment 2020 , 729, 129764
209	$\frac{1}{2}$
290	presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater and river water in Japan. Science of The Total Environment
202	2020 737 140405
292	6 La Rosa G : Jaconelli M : Mancini P : Bonanno Ferraro G : Veneri C : Bonadonna L : Lucentini
292	I : Suffredini E First detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewaters in Italy. Science of The Total
295	Environment 2020 736 139652
296	7. Medema G : Heijnen L : Elsinga G : Italiaander, R : Brouwer, A., Presence of SARS-Coronavirus-
297	2 RNA in Sewage and Correlation with Reported COVID-19 Prevalence in the Early Stage of the Epidemic
298	in The Netherlands. Environmental Science & Technology Letters 2020. 7. (7), 511-516.
299	8. Randazzo, W.; Truchado, P.; Cuevas-Ferrando, E.; Simón, P.; Allende, A.; Sánchez, G., SARS-CoV-2
300	RNA in wastewater anticipated COVID-19 occurrence in a low prevalence area. <i>Water Research</i> 2020 ,
301	181, 115942.
302	9. Sherchan, S. P.; Shahin, S.; Ward, L. M.; Tandukar, S.; Aw, T. G.; Schmitz, B.; Ahmed, W.; Kitajima,
303	M., First detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater in North America: A study in Louisiana, USA.
304	Science of The Total Environment 2020 , 140621.
305	10. La Rosa, G.; Mancini, P.; Bonanno Ferraro, G.; Veneri, C.; Iaconelli, M.; Bonadonna, L.; Lucentini,
306	L.; Suffredini, E., SARS-CoV-2 has been circulating in northern Italy since December 2019: evidence from
307	environmental monitoring. <i>medRxiv</i> 2020, 2020.06.25.20140061.
308	11. Kitajima, M.; Oka, T.; Takagi, H.; Tohya, Y.; Katayama, H.; Takeda, N.; Katayama, K., Development
309	and application of a broadly reactive real-time reverse transcription-PCR assay for detection of murine
310	noroviruses. Journal of Virological Methods 2010, 169, (2), 269-273.
311	12. GaStat Per capita water consumption drops for first time in 5 years.
312	https://saudigazette.com.sa/article/535696 (31 July 2020),
313	13. Haramoto, E.; Katayama, H.; Ohgaki, S., Detection of noroviruses in tap water in Japan by means
314	of a new method for concentrating enteric viruses in large volumes of freshwater. Appl Environ
315	<i>Microbiol</i> 2004 , <i>70</i> , (4), 2154-2160.
316	14. CDC Real-Time RT-PCR Panel for Detection 2019-Novel Coronavirus
317	https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/rt-pcr-panel-for-detection-instructions.pdf
318	15. CDC, Research use only 2019-novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) real-time RT-PCR panel primer and
319	probe information. In 2020.

Forster, P.; Forster, L.; Renfrew, C.; Forster, M., Phylogenetic network analysis of SARS-CoV-2
 genomes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2020, 202004999.

322 17. Green, H.; Wilder, M.; Middleton, F. A.; Collins, M.; Fenty, A.; Gentile, K.; Kmush, B.; Zeng, T.;

Larsen, D. A., Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 and cross-assembly phage (crAssphage) from wastewater to monitor coronavirus transmission within communities. *medRxiv* **2020**, 2020.05.21.20109181.

18. Goodridge, L.; Goodridge, C.; Wu, J.; Griffiths, M.; Pawliszyn, J., Isoelectric Point Determination

of Norovirus Virus-like Particles by Capillary Isoelectric Focusing with Whole Column Imaging Detection.

327 Analytical Chemistry **2004**, *76*, (1), 48-52.

19. Pickett, B. E.; Sadat, E. L.; Zhang, Y.; Noronha, J. M.; Squires, R. B.; Hunt, V.; Liu, M.; Kumar, S.;

Zaremba, S.; Gu, Z.; Zhou, L.; Larson, C. N.; Dietrich, J.; Klem, E. B.; Scheuermann, R. H., ViPR: an open

- bioinformatics database and analysis resource for virology research. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012, 40,
 (Database issue), D593-D598.
- 332 20. Scheller, C.; Krebs, F.; Minkner, R.; Astner, I.; Gil-Moles, M.; Wätzig, H., Physicochemical
- properties of SARS-CoV-2 for drug targeting, virus inactivation and attenuation, vaccine formulation and
 quality control. *ELECTROPHORESIS* 2020, *41*, (13-14), 1137-1151.

335 21. Gerba, C. P.; Betancourt, W. Q., Viral Aggregation: Impact on Virus Behavior in the Environment. 336 *Environ Sci Technol* **2017**, *51*, (13), 7318-7325.

22. Döhla, M.; Wilbring, G.; Schulte, B.; Kümmerer, B. M.; Diegmann, C.; Sib, E.; Richter, E.; Haag, A.;

338 Engelhart, S.; Eis-Hübinger, A. M.; Exner, M.; Streeck, H.; Schmithausen, R. M., SARS-CoV-2 in

environmental samples of quarantined households. *medRxiv* **2020**, 2020.05.28.20114041.

340 23. Sharif, S.; Ikram, A.; Khurshid, A.; Salman, M.; Mehmood, N.; Arshad, Y.; Ahmad, J.; Angez, M.;

Alam, M. M.; Rehman, L.; Mujtaba, G.; Hussain, J.; Ali, J.; Akthar, R.; Malik, M. W.; Baig, Z. I.; Rana, M. S.;

Usman, M.; Ali, M. Q.; Ahad, A.; Badar, N.; Umair, M.; Tamim, S.; Ashraf, A.; Tahir, F.; Ali, N., Detection of

343 SARS-Coronavirus-2 in wastewater, using the existing environmental surveillance network: An

epidemiological gateway to an early warning for COVID-19 in communities. *medRxiv* **2020**,

345 2020.06.03.20121426.

346 24. Wu, F.; Xiao, A.; Zhang, J.; Moniz, K.; Endo, N.; Armas, F.; Bonneau, R.; Brown, M. A.; Bushman,

347 M.; Chai, P. R.; Duvallet, C.; Erickson, T. B.; Foppe, K.; Ghaeli, N.; Gu, X.; Hanage, W. P.; Huang, K. H.; Lee,

348 W. L.; Matus, M.; McElroy, K. A.; Nagler, J.; Rhode, S. F.; Santillana, M.; Tucker, J. A.; Wuertz, S.; Zhao, S.;

Thompson, J.; Alm, E. J., SARS-CoV-2 titers in wastewater foreshadow dynamics and clinical presentation of new COVID-19 cases. *medRxiv* **2020**, 2020.06.15.20117747.

25. Zhang, D.; Ling, H.; Huang, X.; Li, J.; Li, W.; Yi, C.; Zhang, T.; Jiang, Y.; He, Y.; Deng, S.; Zhang, X.; Wang, X.; Liu, Y.; Li, G.; Qu, J., Potential spreading risks and disinfection challenges of medical

352 wastewater by the presence of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) viral

354 RNA in septic tanks of Fangcang Hospital. *Science of The Total Environment* **2020**, *741*, 140445.



How early into the outbreak can surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater tell us?

Pei-Ying Hong ¹*, Andri Taruna Rachmadi ¹, David Mantilla Calderon ¹, Mohsen Alkahtani ², Yasir M. Bashwari ³, Hamed Al Qarni ³, Jianqiang Zhou ¹

^{1.} Division of Biological and Environmental Science and Engineering, Water Desalination and Reuse

Center, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia

² Environmental Health Laboratory, Jeddah, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia

^{3.} General Directorate of Environment Health, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia

* Corresponding author Email: <u>peiying.hong@kaust.edu.sa</u> Phone: +966 12 8082218 тос

 Table S1. Information on the sampling dates at the respective sampling point......1

Table S1. Information on the sampling dates at the respective sampling point. Gray cell indicates sampling was performed at the septic tank on that particular date. Green cell indicates sampling was performed at the biological tank on that particular date. Values in each cell refer to the filtered volume.

Sampling date in	Underground	Biological	Number of
year 2020	septic tank	activated sludge	cases
	(filtered volume in	tank (filtered	hospitalized
	mL)	volume in mL)	
15 April (Wed)	NA	300	42
16 April (Thurs)	NA	300	68
22 April (Wed)	300	NA	53
24 April (Fri)	350	NA	73
27 April (Mon)	500	NA	89
28 April (Tues)	250	NA	110
3 May (Sun)	300	500	118
4 May (Mon)	300	500	115
5 May (Tues)	500	500	118
10 May (Sun)	500	500	105
11 May (Mon)	500	500	109
12 May (Tues)	500	450	138
17 May (Sun)	500	500	158
18 May (Mon)	500	500	169
19 May (Tues)	500	500	159
27 May (Wed)	500	500	163
28 May (Thurs)	500	500	163
29 May (Fri)	500	500	168
30 May (Sat)	500	500	134
31 May (Sun)	500	500	134
1 Jun (Mon)	400	500	147
2 Jun (Tues)	400	500	158
3 Jun (Wed)	400	500	164
4 Jun (Thurs)	500	500	153
5 Jun (Fri)	500	500	137
6 Jun (Sat)	500	500	147
7 Jun (Sun)	500	500	157
8 Jun (Mon)	500	500	153
9 Jun (Tues)	500	500	159
10 Jun (Wed)	400	500	165
11 Jun (Thurs)	400	NA	156
12 Jun (Fri)	500	NA	157
13 Jun (Sat)	400	500	153
14 Jun (Sun)	500	430	153
15 Jun (Mon)	500	500	203
16 Jun (Tues)	500	NA	208
17 Jun (Wed)	500	500	207
18 Jun (Thurs)	500	500	209
19 Jun (Fri)	500	500	200
20 Jun (Sat)	500	500	206
21 Jun (Sun)	500	500	201
22 Jun (Mon)	500	500	226
23 Jun (Tues)	500	500	222
24 Jun (Wed)	400	500	225

25 Jun (Thurs)	400	500	225
26 Jun (Fri)	400	400	221
27 Jun (Sat)	400	NA	205
28 Jun (Sun)	500	500	208
29 Jun (Mon)	400	500	201
30 Jun (Tues)	400	500	218
1 Jul (Wed)	400	500	224
2 Jul (Thurs)	400	500	231
3-Jul (Fri)	300	500	225
4-Jul (Sat)	400	500	222
5-Jul (Sun)	400	500	226
6-Jul (Mon)	400	500	228
7-Jul (Tues)	400	500	230
8-Jul (Wed)	400	400	236
9-Jul (Thurs)	400	500	230