1

2 **Role of IgM and IgA Antibodies in the Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2**

- 3
- 4 Jéromine Klingler^{1,2}, Svenja Weiss^{1,2}, Vincenza Itri¹, Xiaomei Liu^{1,2}, Kasopefoluwa Y.
- 5 Oguntuyo³, Christian Stevens³, Satoshi Ikegame³, Chuan-Tien Hung³, Gospel Enyindah-
- 6 Asonye¹, Fatima Amanat^{3,4}, Ian Baine⁵, Suzanne Arinsburg⁵, Juan C. Bandres², Erna Milunka
- 7 Kojic⁶, Jonathan Stoever⁷, Denise Jurczyszak^{3,4}, Maria Bermudez-Gonzalez³, Viviana Simon^{1,3,8},
- 8 Arthur Nádas⁹, Sean Liu^{1,3}, Benhur Lee³, Florian Krammer³, Susan Zolla-Pazner^{1,3*}, Catarina E.
- 9 Hioe^{1,2,3*}
- 10

11 ¹Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount

- 12 Sinai, New York, NY USA.
- 13 ² James J. Peters VA Medical Center, Bronx, NY, USA.
- 14 ³Department of Microbiology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA.

15 ⁴Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York,

16 NY, USA.

17 ⁵Department of Pathology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA.

- 18 ⁶Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Mount Sinai West and Morningside,
- 19 NY, USA.
- 20 ⁷ Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Mount Sinai West, NY, USA.
- 21 8Global Health Emerging Pathogens Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, NY,
- 22 USA.
- 23 ⁹Department of Environment Medicine, NYU School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

- *Co-corresponding author
- Contact: [catarina.hioe@mssm.edu,](mailto:catarina.hioe@mssm.edu) catarina.hioe@va.gov
-

Abstract

 SARS-CoV-2 has infected millions of people globally. Virus infection requires the receptor- binding domain (RBD) of the spike protein. Although studies have demonstrated anti-spike and - RBD antibodies to be protective in animal models and convalescent plasma as a promising therapeutic option, little is known about immunoglobulin (Ig) isotypes capable of blocking infection. Here, we studied spike- and RBD-specific Ig isotypes in convalescent and acute plasma/sera. We also determined virus neutralization activities in plasma/sera, and purified Ig fractions. Spike- and RBD-specific IgM, IgG1, and IgA1 were produced by all or nearly all subjects at variable levels and detected early after infection. All samples also displayed neutralizing activity. Regression analyses revealed that IgM and IgG1 contributed most to neutralization, consistent with IgM and IgG fractions' neutralization potency. However, IgA also exhibited neutralizing activity at a lower potency. Together, IgG, IgM and IgA are critical components of convalescent plasma used for COVID-19 treatment.

Keywords

SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, antibody isotypes, neutralization, convalescent plasma

44 **Background**

45 In December 2019, the first patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused 46 by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), were identified in Wuhan's 47 city of Hubei Province, China¹. Since then, the epidemic has rapidly spread to most regions of the world, infecting millions of people² 48 . Effective therapeutics and vaccines against SARS-CoV-49 2 are urgently needed. Convalescent plasma transfusion showed promising results in patients 50 with severe to life-threatening COVID-19 $3-7$ and clinical trials to evaluate the efficacy of 51 convalescent plasma treatment for ambulatory and hospitalized COVID-19 patients are 52 underway^{8–11}. To this end, more information about the Ig isotypes present in the plasma of 53 COVID-19 convalescent individuals and their antiviral activities are needed. It is also unclear 54 which of the immunoglobulin (Ig) isotypes present in convalescent plasma are protective. The 55 data would likewise inform vaccine development, as more than 100 vaccine candidates are in 56 different stages of preclinical development, and many are now in phase 2 and 3 clinical trials¹². 57 Although using different strategies¹³, most vaccines are based on the SARS-CoV-2 spike 58 protein^{14,15}, which is a membrane-anchored protein present with two others (membrane and 59 envelope proteins) on the virus envelope surface and contains the receptor-binding domain 60 (RBD) required for binding to and entry into the cells^{16–22}. These vaccines aim to protect by 61 inducing neutralizing antibodies (Abs) capable of blocking viral infection.

62 Monomeric IgG constitutes approximately 75% of the Abs found in serum and exists as 63 four subtypes: IgG1 (~66% of IgG), IgG2 (~23% of IgG), IgG3 (~7% of IgG) and IgG4 (~4% of I_{g} I_{g} I_{g} I_{g} and Abs represent 10% of total serum Abs and are the first to arise in response to new 65 antigens^{24,25}. Although IgM Abs do not undergo extensive somatic hypermutation to increase 66 their affinity as do IgG and IgA Abs, their higher valency due to oligomerization enhances their

3

67 avidity and potency against pathogens^{24,26,27}. IgA Abs exist as two subtypes: IgA1 and IgA2, 68 representing 15% of total serum Abs. IgA1 is the primary IgA subtype in serum while IgA2 69 . predominates in mucosal secretions²⁴. These two IgA subtypes are dimeric in the mucosa, but in 70 the circulation, they are monomeric.

71 SARS-CoV-2 spike-, RBD- and nucleocapsid-specific serum/plasma Abs of IgM, IgG, 72 and IgA isotypes are found in most COVID-19 patients^{28–35}, with Ab neutralizing activities 73 reported developing within the first two weeks of infection and decline over time^{31,33,36–38}. However, the neutralizing titers appear to vary greatly^{31,33,36–38}, and they correlate with Ab 75 binding levels against RBD, spike, and/or nucleocapsid, and with age, duration of symptoms, and 76 symptom severity^{31,33,37}. Several RBD-specific monoclonal Abs of IgG isotype with potent 77 antiviral activities have been generated from individuals with high neutralization titers, and these 78 confer protection in animal models^{31,36,39,40}. Moreover, a monoclonal Ab of IgA isotype capable 79 of recognizing both the SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins and blocking ACE2 80 receptor binding was recently described⁴¹. However, no direct evidence is available regarding the 81 neutralizing capacity of plasma IgM and IgA Abs from COVID-19 patients.

82 Studies on other respiratory viruses such as influenza show that, in addition to IgG, IgA 83 could also mediate virus neutralization, and their relative contribution depends on the 84 physiologic compartment in which they are found, with IgA contributing to the protection of 85 mostly the upper respiratory tract while IgG was protective of the lower respiratory tract^{42–45}. Of 86 note, an anti-hemagglutinin monoclonal polymeric IgA has been demonstrated to mediate more 87 potent antiviral activities against influenza when compared to a monoclonal IgG against the same 88 epitope⁴⁶. Interestingly, an IgM Ab with potent antiviral activities targeting influenza B's 89 receptor binding site has also been described⁴⁷. In addition, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV)-

Results

Levels of Ig isotypes against the SARS-CoV-2 spike and RBD vary in convalescent

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) . **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.18.20177303;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.18.20177303) this version posted November 2, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint

 individuals. A total of 29 serum (P#5-8) and plasma (TF#1-25) specimens from COVID-19- convalescent individuals was tested. TF#1-25 were collected between March 26 and April 7, 2020, about 4-8 weeks after the initial outbreak in North American, and used for transfusion into 116 hospitalized COVID-19 patients³. Ten plasma from COVID-negative contemporaneous blood bank donors (N#4-13) were included for comparison. Sera or plasma from 12 uninfected individuals banked prior to the COVID-19 outbreak (N#1-3 and N#14-22) were used to establish background values. The specimens were initially titrated for total Ig against spike and RBD (**Fig. 1**). All 29 COVID-19 positive specimens exhibited titration curves of total Ig Abs against spike, while none of the negative controls did. Similar results were observed with RBD, except that one contemporaneous COVID-19-negative sample had low levels of RBD-specific Ig (N#10). Overall the background MFI values were higher for RBD than spike. To assess the reproducibility of the assay, the samples were tested in at least two separate experiments run on different days, and a strong correlation was observed between the MFI values from these independent experiments (**Supplementary Fig. 1**). The areas under the curves (AUCs) highly correlated with the MFI values from specimens diluted 1:200 (p < 0.0001; **Supplementary Fig. 2**); consequently, all samples were tested for isotyping at this dilution. At the 1:200 dilution we were able to discern a diverse range of Ig isotype levels among individual samples (**Fig. 2**). To evaluate for the presence of spike-specific and RBD-specific total Ig, IgM, IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, IgA1 and IgA2, the specificity and strength of the secondary Abs used to detect the different isotypes were first validated with Luminex beads coated with myeloma proteins of known Ig isotypes (IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, IgA1, IgA2, and IgM). All eight secondary Abs were able to detect their specific Ig isotypes with MFI values reaching > 60,000 (**Supplementary Fig. 3**).

 In **Fig. 3**, regression analyses to assess the impact of individual isotypes on the total Ig 155 binding showed that IgG1 had the highest r^2 values (0.83 and 0.70 for spike- and RBD-binding IgG1, respectively) with p <0.0001, indicating that IgG1 is the major isotype induced by SARS-157 CoV-2 infection against spike and RBD (Fig. 3a,b). IgG2 Abs against RBD had an r^2 value of 158 0.55 with $p < 0.0001$, but IgG2 levels were very low. For all other isotypes, including IgM, the r^2

 values were less than 0.40 (**Fig. 3c**). Thus, despite the presence of many isotypes in sera and plasma, as expected, the major isotype is IgG1.

 Specimens from two patients (P#1 and P#2) were drawn during the acute phase of the infection. Serial specimens from these patients were tested to determine the isotypes of Abs present early in infection. The earliest samples from both patients, drawn at 7 or 8 days after symptom onset were already positive for total Ig, IgG1, IgA1and IgM Abs against spike (**Supplementary Fig. 6a**) and RBD (**Supplementary Fig. 6b**), and these levels increased over the following three to seven days. On the contrary, IgA2 Ab levels were near or below background on days 7-8 and remained unchanged over two weeks post-onset. IgG4 Abs also remained low or near background, whereas IgG2 and IgG3 Abs increased slightly to above background after 10-15 days.

 Neutralizing activities are detected in all convalescent COVID-19 individuals. We subsequently tested the ability of samples from convalescent subjects to neutralize a VSV∆G pseudovirus bearing the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (COV2pp). This pseudovirus assay demonstrated a strong positive correlation with neutralization of the authentic SARS-CoV-2 174 virus ($p = 0.82$ and $p < 0.0001$ for IC₅₀ correlation)⁵⁴. The results, shown in Fig. 4, demonstrate the percentages of COV2pp neutralizing activity in serum or plasma specimens from 28 COVID- 19-convalescent individuals and 11 COVID-19-negative individuals over a range of seven serial four-fold dilutions. A soluble recombinant RBD (sRBD) protein capable of blocking virus infection was tested in parallel as a positive control. All specimens from COVID-19-convalescent individuals were able to neutralize the virus at levels above 50% (**Fig. 4a**). For 26 of 28 specimens, neutralization reached more than 90%

181 (Fig. 4a). The sample with the lowest titer (reciprocal IC_{50} titer = 37) reached a neutralization

Discussion

 Our study demonstrated that IgG1, IgA1 and IgM Abs against spike and RBD were highly prevalent in the plasma samples of convalescent COVID-19 patients approximately one to two months after infection. The presence of these isotypes was detected within 7-8 days after the onset of symptoms. Importantly, all three isotypes show the capacity to mediate virus neutralization. While regression analyses indicate the strongest contribution of IgM and IgG1 Abs to neutralizing activity, direct testing of purified isotype fractions showed that IgA also contributed to neutralizing activity, indicating the protective potential of all three major Ig isotypes. These data carry important implications for the use of convalescent plasma and hyperimmunoglobulin as COVID-19 therapeutic modalities, suggesting that the selection be based on the measurement of all of these Ig isotypes.

 While all COVID-19 convalescent individuals exhibited plasma/serum neutralization activities reaching 50% neutralization, and 26 of 28 specimens attained 90% neutralization, 226 neutralization levels were highly variable with reciprocal IC_{50} and IC_{90} titers ranging over three orders of magnitude. The titers were comparable against the initial Wuhan strain and the

 currently prevalent D614G strain. Similarly, the levels of spike- and RBD-binding total Ig and Ig isotypes varied greatly.

 A trend toward higher levels of total Ig and each Ig isotype was seen in female compared 231 to male subjects, as reported in another study⁵⁶. Moreover, except for TF#11 (a male elite neutralizer), the median neutralizing reciprocal IC⁹⁰ titer was higher in females than males, although the difference did not reach significance (data not shown). Sex differences in Ab induction have been observed following vaccination against influenza in humans and mice and 235 were shown to result from the impact of sex steroids^{57,58}. Whether and to what extent this 236 contributes to sex differences seen in clinical outcomes of COVID-19⁵⁹ remains to be investigated. Other studies have shown that the Ab levels were associated with multiple factors, 238 including time from disease onset⁶⁰ and disease severity³⁰. However, other than sex, clinical data are not available for the subjects studied here, limiting our analysis only to neutralization and Ig isotypes.

 One remarkable finding from our study is that although neutralization titers correlated with binding levels of IgM and IgG1 and not with those of IgA1 or IgA2, purified IgA fractions from convalescent COVID-19 patients exhibited significant neutralizing activities. The importance of this finding is underscored by the data showing that IgA1 was the prominent isotype in some plasma samples such as TF#7 and TF#24 and that IgA1 could be detected early, within a week after symptom onset. Data from other studies also supported IgA's significance in that purified IgA fractions exhibited more or as potent neutralizing activities compared to purified IgG and that RBD-binding IgA correlated as strongly as the IgG equivalent with micro-249 neutralization titers^{61,62}. The presence of IgA was also detected in the saliva and bronchoalveolar 250 lavage samples from COVID-19 patients^{62,63}. Nonetheless, Wang *et al.* reported that plasma IgA

251 monomers were less potent than the plasma IgG and secretory IgA counterparts⁶⁴. In our study, neutralization activities detected in the IgA fractions were mediated mainly by IgA1, the 253 predominant IgA isotype in the plasma, and the IC_{50} potency of the IgA fraction was ~4-fold lower than those of IgM and IgG1 fractions. This difference cannot be explained entirely by lower amounts of spike-specific IgA1 in the tested fractions, as estimations using spike-specific monoclonal antibodies of the respective isotypes yielded similar concentrations in IgA1 and IgM fractions (median of 2 and 2.5 µg/mL respectively). Fine epitope specificity and affinity may differ for IgA, IgM, and IgG to impact neutralization potency, but are yet to be evaluated. In addition to neutralization, non-neutralizing Ab activities have been implicated in protection from virus infection through potent Fc-mediated functions such as antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC), antibody-dependent cellular phagocytosis (ADCP), and 262 complement-mediated lysis; this is reported for HIV, influenza, Marburg, and Ebola viruses^{44,65–} . The Fc activities were not evaluated in our study, and their contribution to protection against 264 infection and disease progression in humans is yet unclear^{15,69–71}. Interestingly, a recent study demonstrated enrichment of spike-specific IgM and IgA1 Abs and spike-specific phagocytic and antibody-dependent complement deposition (ADCD) activity in plasma of individuals who recovered from a SARS-CoV-2 infection, while nucleocapsid-specific IgM and IgA2 responses 268 and nucleocapsid-specific ADCD activity were features enriched in deceased patients⁷². DNA vaccines expressing full-length and truncated spike proteins could curtail SARS-CoV-2 infection in the respiratory tract by varying degrees in rhesus macaques. Virus reduction correlated with 271 levels of neutralization and also Fc-mediated effector functions such as ADCD¹⁵. Interestingly, these DNA vaccines elicited spike- and RBD-specific IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgA, and IgM Abs, and similar to our findings, neutralization correlated most strongly with IgM. Adenovirus serotype 26

 vaccine vectors encoding seven different SARS-CoV-2 spike variants showed varying protection levels, and virus reduction correlated best with neutralizing Ab titers together with IgM binding 276 levels, FcγRII-binding, and ADCD responses⁷³. Defining the full potential of Abs against SARS- CoV-2 that includes neutralizing, non-neutralizing and enhancing activities are vital for determining the optimal convalescent Ab treatment against COVID-19 and assessing the potential efficacy of COVID-19 vaccine candidates.

 When we examined plasma specimens collected within 7-8 days after COVID-19 symptom onset, we detected IgG and IgA against spike and RBD, as well as IgM. This is consistent with published reports showing that 100% of COVID-19-infected individuals developed IgG within 19 days after symptom onset and that seroconversion for IgG and IgM 284 occurred simultaneously or sequentially³⁰. IgA was also found early after infection (4-6 days 285 after symptom onset) and increased over time in several other studies^{28,35,63,74}. These studies suggest that measuring total Ig, rather than IgG, would provide a better outcome for early disease diagnosis. Indeed, we found no correlation between the levels of different isotypes examined in our study (data not shown). This lack of correlation may result from their asynchronous, sequential induction (IgM first, then IgG, and finally IgA). Still, IgA's presence early during acute infection also suggests the potential contribution of natural IgA, which, similar to natural IgM, arises spontaneously from innate B1 cells to provide the initial humoral responses before 292 the induction, maturation, and class-switching of adaptive classical B cells^{75,76}. In summary, this study demonstrates that spike- and RBD-specific IgM, IgG1, and IgA1

 Abs were present in serum and plasma of all or almost all analyzed COVID-19 convalescent subjects and were detected at very early stages of infection. The plasma of convalescent 296 individuals also displayed neutralization activities mediated by IgM, IgG, and IgA1, although

neutralization titers correlated more strongly with IgM and IgG levels. The contribution of IgM,

IgG, and IgA Abs to the neutralizing activities against SARS-CoV-2 demonstrates their

importance in the efficacy of convalescent plasma used for COVID-19 treatment.

Methods

 Recombinant proteins. The recombinant spike and RBD proteins were produced as 302 previously described⁷⁷ in Expi293F cells (ThermoFisher) by transfections of purified DNA using an ExpiFectamine Transfection Kit (ThermoFisher). The soluble version of the spike protein included the protein ectodomain (amino acids 1-1213), a C-terminal thrombin cleavage site, a T4 foldon trimerization domain, and a hexahistidine tag. The protein sequence was also modified to remove the polybasic cleavage site (RRAR to A) and two stabilizing mutations (K986P and V987P, wild type numbering). The RBD (amino acids 319-541) included the signal peptide (amino acids 1–14) and a hexahistidine tag. Supernatants from transfected cells were harvested three days after the transfection by centrifugation of the culture at 4000 g for 20 minutes. The supernatant was then incubated with 6 mL Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen) for one to two hours at room temperature. Next, gravity-flow columns were used to collect the Ni-NTA agarose, and the protein was eluted. Each protein was concentrated in Amicon centrifugal units (EMD Millipore) and resuspended in phosphate buffered saline (PBS).

 Human samples. Twenty-five citrated plasma of convalescent COVID-19 individuals destined for transfusion to SARS-CoV-2-infected individuals (TF#1-25, collected between 316 March 26th and April 7th 2020) and ten specimens derived from the blood bank (N#4-13), representing contemporary COVID-19-negative blood bank donors, were obtained from the Division of Transfusion Medicine of [the Department of Pathology, Molecular and Cell-Based](https://icahn.mssm.edu/about/departments/pathology) [Medicine](https://icahn.mssm.edu/about/departments/pathology) (Mount Sinai Hospital System, IRB #20-03574). Four additional de-identified serum

 specimens from individuals with COVID-19 (P#5-8) were provided by the Clinical Pathology Division of the [Department of Pathology, Molecular and Cell-Based Medicine](https://icahn.mssm.edu/about/departments/pathology) at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai. Serum and plasma samples were also obtained from study participants enrolled in IRB-approved protocols at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai (Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai IRB #16-00772, #16-00791, #17-01243) and the James J. Peter VA Medical Center (IRB #BAN-1604). Samples from these protocols included sera from seven participants with documented SARS-CoV-2 infection (P#1 d8, d11, and d15 after symptom onset, P#2 d7 and d10 after symptom onset, and RP#1-5 after convalescence), and sera from twelve healthy donors (N#1-3, N#14-22) collected prior to the spread of SARS-CoV-2 in the USA. All study participants provided written consent at enrollment and agreed to sample banking and future research use of their banked biospecimens. All samples were heat-inactivated and/or treated with 0.05% Triton X-100 prior to use.

 Ig fractionation. IgA was first isolated from plasma by mixing 1:2 diluted plasma with peptide M agarose beads (600 µL/28 mL plasma, InvivoGen #GEL-PDM) for 1.5 hours at room temperature. Beads were then collected on a column and washed with PBS until protein reading (280 nm) by Nanodrop reached background. IgA was eluted from beads with a pH 2.8 elution buffer (Thermo Scientific #21004) and neutralized with pH 9 Tris buffer. The pass-through plasma sample was collected for IgG enrichment using protein G agarose beads (InvivoGen #GEL-AGG) using the same protocol as above and subsequently for IgM isolation using a HiTrap IgM column (G.E. Healthcare #17-5110-01) according to the manufacturer's instruction. An additional purification step was performed using Protein A Plus mini-spin columns to separate IgG from IgM. Protein concentrations were determined with Nanodrop prior to use in Luminex and neutralization experiments.

 Multiplex bead Ab binding assay. The SARS-CoV-2 antigens used in this assay consisted of a soluble recombinant trimerized form of the spike protein and a recombinant RBD 345 protein⁷⁸. Antigens were coupled to beads as previously described, with minor changes⁵³. Each antigen was covalently coupled individually to a uniquely labeled fluorochrome carboxylated xMAP bead set at 2.0 μg protein/million beads using a two-step carbodiimide reaction with the xMAP Ab Coupling (AbC) Kit following to the manufacturers' instructions (Luminex, Austin, TX). The coupled beads were pelleted, resuspended at $5x10^6$ beads/mL in storage buffer (PBS, 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA), 0.02% Tween-20, and 0.05% sodium azide, pH 7.4), and stored at -80°C. Three to five million beads per batch were prepared in a 1.5 mL conical tube. Before each experiment, the beads needed for a single run (2,500 beads/well x number of wells) were pelleted and resuspended in assay buffer (PBS, 0.1% B.S.A., 0.02% Tween-20) to deliver 2,500 beads in a volume of 50 μL/well in a 96-well plate. Sera/plasma samples were serially titrated (1:50 to 1:6400 final dilution) or diluted in assay buffer to 1:100 (for a final 356 dilution of 1:200). The samples were then added as 50 μ L/well to the wells containing the beads and incubated at room temperature for 1 hour at 600 rpm. After two washes in assay buffer, 100 μL/well of biotinylated antibodies specific for total Ig, IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, IgA1, IgA2, or IgM was added and incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature on a plate shaker; these 360 antibodies were rabbit biotinylated-anti-human total Ig (Abcam, catalog #ab97158) at 2 μ g/mL, 361 mouse biotinylated-anti-human IgG1 Fc (Invitrogen $\#MH1515$) at 4 μ g/mL, mouse biotinylated- anti-human IgG2 Fc (Southern Biotech #9060-08) at 1 μg/mL, mouse biotinylated-anti-human IgG3 Hinge (Southern Biotech #9210-08) at 3 μg/mL, mouse biotinylated-anti-human IgG4 Fc (Southern Biotech #9200-08) at 4 μg/mL, mouse biotinylated-anti-human IgA1 Fc (Southern Biotech #9130-08) at 4 μg/mL, mouse biotinylated-anti-human IgA2 Fc (Southern Biotech

 #9140-08) at 4 μg/mL or goat biotinylated-anti-human IgM (Southern Biotech #2020-08) at 3 μg/mL. After two washes, 100 μL/well of Streptavidin*-*Phycoerythrin (P.E.) at 1 μg/mL was added (BioLegend #405204) followed by a 30 minutes incubation at room temperature on a plate shaker. After two additional washes, 100 μL of assay buffer/well was added and put on a shaker to resuspend the beads. Each plate was read with a Luminex Flexmap 3D instrument. Specimens were tested in duplicate, and the results were recorded as mean fluorescent intensity (MFI).

 COV2pp production and titration. The SARS-CoV-2 pseudoviruses (COV2pp) with 373 wild-type (WT) or D614G mutated spike proteins were produced as previously described⁵⁴. Briefly, 293T cells were transfected to overexpress SARS-CoV-2 glycoproteins. For background entry with particles lacking a viral surface glycoprotein, pCAGG empty vector was transfected into 293T cells. Around 8 hours post-transfection, cells were infected with the VSV∆G-rLuc reporter virus for 2 hours and then washed with PBS. Two days post-infection, supernatants were collected and clarified by centrifugation at 1250 rpm for 5 minutes. At the time of collection, a small batch of VSV∆G-rLuc particles bearing the CoV2pp was treated with TPCK-treated trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich #T1426-1G) at room temperature for 15 minutes prior to inhibition with soybean trypsin inhibitor (Fisher Scientific #1707502). Finally, particles were aliquoted prior to 382 storage in -80° C.

 The pseudoviruses were titrated on 20,000 Vero-CCL81 cells seeded in a 96-well black plate with clear bottom 24 hours before infection. At 18 to 22 hours post-infection, the infected cells were washed with PBS and processed for detection of Renilla luciferase activity with 386 Renilla-Glo[™] Luciferase Assay System (Promega #E2720). A Cytation3 (BioTek) instrument was used to read luminescence.

COV2pp neutralization. The day before infection, 20,000 Vero-CCL81 cells per well

 were seeded in a 96-well black plate with clear bottom. On the assay day, the COV2pp WT or D614G virus was diluted based on the titration results, and 82.5 µL added to all wells, except six for cell control, of a 96-well V-bottom plate. The seven serial dilutions of the samples were then prepared in another 96-well V-bottom plate. Samples of the COVID-19-infected individuals and COVID-19-negative individuals were tested at 4-fold dilutions from 1:10 to 1:40,960. Purified IgM, IgG, and IgA fractions and negative control fractions were tested at 4-fold dilutions from 500 to 0.02 µg/mL. 27.5 µL per well of the diluted sample was then added to the plate with the pseudovirus. For each plate, six wells were kept with the virus only, as virus control, and six wells with media only, as cell control. The plates were then incubated for 30 minutes at room 398 temperature. 100 μ L of the virus/sample mix or virus or medium alone was then added to the cells and spinoculated by centrifugation at 1250 rpm for 1 hour at room temperature. After 400 incubation for 18 to 22 hours at 37° C, the measurement of infection/neutralization was performed as described for the COV2pp titration. The percentage of neutralization was calculated with the formula: 100-((sample's R.L.U. 403 – cell control R.L.U.) / virus control R.L.U.) *100). The inhibitory concentration 50% (IC₅₀) and 90% (IC90) were respectively defined as the reciprocal sample dilution or purified Ig fraction concentration achieving 50% and 90% neutralization.

 Statistical analysis. Statistical tests that included two-tailed Mann-Whitney test, Spearman rank-order correlation test, and simple linear regressions were performed as designated in the figure legends. All statistical tests were performed using GraphPad Prism 8.

Data availability.

- The raw data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding
- author upon request.
-
-
- **References.**
- 1. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J, et al. A Novel Coronavirus from
- Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med. 2020 20;382(8):727–33.
- 2. WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard [Internet]. [cited 2020 Jun 29].
- Available from: https://covid19.who.int
- 3. Liu STH, Lin H-M, Baine I, Wajnberg A, Gumprecht JP, Rahman F, et al. Convalescent

plasma treatment of severe COVID-19: a propensity score-matched control study. Nat Med.

2020 Sep 15;

4. Martinez-Resendez MF, Castilleja-Leal F, Torres-Quintanilla A, Rojas-Martinez A,

Garcia-Rivas G, Ortiz-Lopez R, et al. Initial experience in Mexico with convalescent plasma in

COVID-19 patients with severe respiratory failure, a retrospective case series. medRxiv. 2020

- Jul 20;2020.07.14.20144469.
- 5. Altuntas F, Ata N, Yigenoglu TN, Bascı S, Dal MS, Korkmaz S, et al. Convalescent
- plasma therapy in patients with COVID-19. Transfus Apher Sci Off J World Apher Assoc Off J
- Eur Soc Haemapheresis. 2020 Sep 19;102955.
- 6. Zeng H, Wang D, Nie J, Liang H, Gu J, Zhao A, et al. The efficacy assessment of
- convalescent plasma therapy for COVID-19 patients: a multi-center case series. Signal Transduct
- Target Ther. 2020 Oct 6;5(1):1–12.
- 7. Ibrahim D, Dulipsingh L, Zapatka L, Eadie R, Crowell R, Williams K, et al. Factors

- Associated with Good Patient Outcomes Following Convalescent Plasma in COVID-19: A
- Prospective Phase II Clinical Trial. Infect Dis Ther. 2020 Sep 20;1–14.
- 8. Janssen M, Schäkel U, Fokou CD, Krisam J, Stermann J, Kriegsmann K, et al. A
- Randomized Open label Phase-II Clinical Trial with or without Infusion of Plasma from Subjects
- after Convalescence of SARS-CoV-2 Infection in High-Risk Patients with Confirmed Severe
- SARS-CoV-2 Disease (RECOVER): A structured summary of a study protocol for a randomised
- controlled trial. Trials. 2020 Dec;21(1):1–4.
- 9. NIH expands clinical trials to test convalescent plasma against COVID-19 [Internet].
- National Institutes of Health (NIH). 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 7]. Available from:
- https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/nih-expands-clinical-trials-test-convalescent-
- plasma-against-covid-19
- 10. Convalescent Plasma to Limit SARS-CoV-2 Associated Complications Full Text View
- ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. [cited 2020 Nov 2]. Available from:
- https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04373460
- 11. Convalescent Plasma in Outpatients With COVID-19 Full Text View -
- ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. [cited 2020 Nov 2]. Available from:
- https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04355767
- 12. Le TT, Andreadakis Z, Kumar A, Román RG, Tollefsen S, Saville M, et al. The COVID-
- 19 vaccine development landscape. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2020 Apr 9;19(5):305–6.
- 13. Amanat F, Krammer F. SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines: Status Report. Immunity. 2020 Apr 3;
- 14. Mulligan MJ, Lyke KE, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart SP, et al. Phase 1/2
- Study to Describe the Safety and Immunogenicity of a COVID-19 RNA Vaccine Candidate
- (BNT162b1) in Adults 18 to 55 Years of Age: Interim Report. medRxiv. 2020 Jul

- 1;2020.06.30.20142570.
- 15. Yu J, Tostanoski LH, Peter L, Mercado NB, McMahan K, Mahrokhian SH, et al. DNA
- vaccine protection against SARS-CoV-2 in rhesus macaques. Science. 2020 May 20;
- 16. Yan R, Zhang Y, Li Y, Xia L, Guo Y, Zhou Q. Structural basis for the recognition of
- SARS-CoV-2 by full-length human ACE2. Science. 2020 27;367(6485):1444–8.
- 17. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Krüger N, Müller M, Drosten C, Pöhlmann S. The novel
- coronavirus 2019 (2019-nCoV) uses the SARS-coronavirus receptor ACE2 and the cellular
- protease TMPRSS2 for entry into target cells. bioRxiv. 2020 Jan 31;2020.01.31.929042.
- 18. Wang K, Chen W, Zhou Y-S, Lian J-Q, Zhang Z, Du P, et al. SARS-CoV-2 invades host
- cells via a novel route: CD147-spike protein. bioRxiv. 2020 Mar 14;2020.03.14.988345.
- 19. Zhou P, Yang X-L, Wang X-G, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, et al. A pneumonia outbreak
- associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature. 2020;579(7798):270–3.
- 20. Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, Niu P, Yang B, Wu H, et al. Genomic characterisation and
- epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding.
- Lancet Lond Engl. 2020 22;395(10224):565–74.
- 21. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Krüger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, et al.
- SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically
- Proven Protease Inhibitor. Cell. 2020 16;181(2):271-280.e8.
- 22. Walls AC, Park Y-J, Tortorici MA, Wall A, McGuire AT, Veesler D. Structure,
- Function, and Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein. Cell. 2020 16;181(2):281- 292.e6.
- 23. Vidarsson G, Dekkers G, Rispens T. IgG Subclasses and Allotypes: From Structure to
- Effector Functions. Front Immunol [Internet]. 2014 Oct 20 [cited 2018 Jun 12];5. Available

- from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4202688/
- 24. Schroeder HW, Cavacini L. Structure and function of immunoglobulins. J Allergy Clin
- Immunol. 2010 Feb;125(2 Suppl 2):S41-52.
- 25. Boes M. Role of natural and immune IgM antibodies in immune responses. Mol
- Immunol. 2000 Dec;37(18):1141–9.
- 26. Müller R, Gräwert MA, Kern T, Madl T, Peschek J, Sattler M, et al. High-resolution
- structures of the IgM Fc domains reveal principles of its hexamer formation. Proc Natl Acad Sci.
- 2013 Jun 18;110(25):10183–8.
- 27. Brekke OH, Sandlie I. Therapeutic antibodies for human diseases at the dawn of the
- twenty-first century. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2003 Jan;2(1):52–62.
- 28. Ma H, Zeng W, He H, Zhao D, Jiang D, Zhou P, et al. Serum IgA, IgM, and IgG
- responses in COVID-19. Cell Mol Immunol. 2020 Jul;17(7):773–5.
- 29. Grifoni A, Weiskopf D, Ramirez SI, Mateus J, Dan JM, Moderbacher CR, et al. Targets
- of T Cell Responses to SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus in Humans with COVID-19 Disease and
- Unexposed Individuals. Cell. 2020 Jun 25;181(7):1489-1501.e15.
- 30. Long Q-X, Liu B-Z, Deng H-J, Wu G-C, Deng K, Chen Y-K, et al. Antibody responses
- to SARS-CoV-2 in patients with COVID-19. Nat Med. 2020 Jun;26(6):845–8.
- 31. Robbiani DF, Gaebler C, Muecksch F, Lorenzi JCC, Wang Z, Cho A, et al. Convergent
- antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in convalescent individuals. Nature. 2020 Jun 18;
- 32. Zhao J, Yuan Q, Wang H, Liu W, Liao X, Su Y, et al. Antibody responses to SARS-
- CoV-2 in patients of novel coronavirus disease 2019. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc
- Am. 2020 Mar 28;
- 33. Okba NMA, Müller MA, Li W, Wang C, GeurtsvanKessel CH, Corman VM, et al.

- Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2-Specific Antibody Responses in Coronavirus
- Disease Patients. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020 Jul;26(7):1478–88.
- 34. Guo L, Ren L, Yang S, Xiao M, Chang D, Yang F, et al. Profiling Early Humoral
- Response to Diagnose Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19). Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect
- Dis Soc Am. 2020 Mar 21;
- 35. Padoan A, Sciacovelli L, Basso D, Negrini D, Zuin S, Cosma C, et al. IgA-Ab response
- to spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 in patients with COVID-19: A longitudinal study. Clin
- Chim Acta. 2020 Aug 1;507:164–6.
- 36. Ju B, Zhang Q, Ge J, Wang R, Sun J, Ge X, et al. Human neutralizing antibodies elicited
- by SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nature. 2020 May 26;
- 37. Wu F, Wang A, Liu M, Wang Q, Chen J, Xia S, et al. Neutralizing antibody responses to
- SARS-CoV-2 in a COVID-19 recovered patient cohort and their implications. medRxiv. 2020
- Apr 20;2020.03.30.20047365.
- 38. Prévost J, Gasser R, Beaudoin-Bussières G, Richard J, Duerr R, Laumaea A, et al. Cross-
- Sectional Evaluation of Humoral Responses against SARS-CoV-2 Spike. Cell Rep Med. 2020
- 518 Oct 20;1(7):100126.
- 39. Rogers TF, Zhao F, Huang D, Beutler N, Burns A, He W, et al. Isolation of potent SARS-
- CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies and protection from disease in a small animal model. Science
- [Internet]. 2020 Jun 15 [cited 2020 Jul 16]; Available from:
- https://science.sciencemag.org/content/early/2020/06/15/science.abc7520
- 40. Zost SJ, Gilchuk P, Case JB, Binshtein E, Chen RE, Nkolola JP, et al. Potently
- neutralizing and protective human antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. Nature. 2020 Jul 15;1–10.
- 41. Ejemel M, Li Q, Hou S, Schiller ZA, Wallace AL, Amcheslavsky A, et al. IgA MAb

- 42. Renegar KB, Small PA, Boykins LG, Wright PF. Role of IgA versus IgG in the control of
- influenza viral infection in the murine respiratory tract. J Immunol Baltim Md 1950. 2004 Aug
- 1;173(3):1978–86.
- 43. Suzuki T, Kawaguchi A, Ainai A, Tamura S, Ito R, Multihartina P, et al. Relationship of
- the quaternary structure of human secretory IgA to neutralization of influenza virus. Proc Natl
- Acad Sci U S A. 2015 Jun 23;112(25):7809–14.

44. Krammer F. The human antibody response to influenza A virus infection and vaccination.

- Nat Rev Immunol. 2019;19(6):383–97.
- 45. Reynolds HY. Immunoglobulin G and its function in the human respiratory tract. Mayo Clin Proc. 1988 Feb;63(2):161–74.
- 46. Muramatsu M, Yoshida R, Yokoyama A, Miyamoto H, Kajihara M, Maruyama J, et al.

Comparison of antiviral activity between IgA and IgG specific to influenza virus hemagglutinin:

- increased potential of IgA for heterosubtypic immunity. PloS One. 2014;9(1):e85582.
- 47. Shen C, Zhang M, Chen Y, Zhang L, Wang G, Chen J, et al. An IgM antibody targeting
- the receptor binding site of influenza B blocks viral infection with great breadth and potency.
- Theranostics. 2019;9(1):210–31.
- 48. Habibi MS, Jozwik A, Makris S, Dunning J, Paras A, DeVincenzo JP, et al. Impaired
- Antibody-mediated Protection and Defective IgA B-Cell Memory in Experimental Infection of
- Adults with Respiratory Syncytial Virus. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2015 May 1;191(9):1040–
- 9.
- 49. Du L, He Y, Zhou Y, Liu S, Zheng B-J, Jiang S. The spike protein of SARS-CoV--a

- target for vaccine and therapeutic development. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2009 Mar;7(3):226–36.
- 50. Schurink B, Roos E, Radonic T, Barbe E, Bouman CSC, de Boer HH, et al. Viral
- presence and immunopathology in patients with lethal COVID-19: a prospective autopsy cohort
- study. Lancet Microbe. 2020 Sep 25;
- 51. Lindner D, Fitzek A, Bräuninger H, Aleshcheva G, Edler C, Meissner K, et al.
- Association of Cardiac Infection With SARS-CoV-2 in Confirmed COVID-19 Autopsy Cases.
- JAMA Cardiol. 2020 Jul 27;
- 52. Remmelink M, De Mendonça R, D'Haene N, De Clercq S, Verocq C, Lebrun L, et al.
- Unspecific post-mortem findings despite multiorgan viral spread in COVID-19 patients. Crit
- Care Lond Engl. 2020 12;24(1):495.
- 53. Weiss S, Klingler J, Hioe C, Amanat F, Baine I, Kojic EM, et al. A High Through-put
- Assay for Circulating Antibodies Directed against the S Protein of Severe Acute Respiratory
- Syndrome Corona virus 2. medRxiv. 2020 Apr 17;2020.04.14.20059501.
- 54. Oguntuyo KY, Stevens CS, Hung C-T, Ikegame S, Acklin JA, Kowdle SS, et al.
- Quantifying absolute neutralization titers against SARS-CoV-2 by a standardized virus
- neutralization assay allows for cross-cohort comparisons of COVID-19 sera. medRxiv. 2020
- Aug 15;2020.08.13.20157222.
- 55. Korber B, Fischer WM, Gnanakaran S, Yoon H, Theiler J, Abfalterer W, et al. Tracking
- Changes in SARS-CoV-2 Spike: Evidence that D614G Increases Infectivity of the COVID-19
- Virus. Cell. 2020 Aug 20;182(4):812-827.e19.
- 56. Zeng F, Dai C, Cai P, Wang J, Xu L, Li J, et al. A comparison study of SARS-CoV-2 IgG
- antibody between male and female COVID-19 patients: a possible reason underlying different
- outcome between gender. medRxiv. 2020 Mar 27;2020.03.26.20040709.

- efficacy and protection against influenza in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018
- 04;115(49):12477–82.
- 58. Potluri T, Fink AL, Sylvia KE, Dhakal S, Vermillion MS, vom Steeg L, et al. Age-
- associated changes in the impact of sex steroids on influenza vaccine responses in males and
- females. Npj Vaccines. 2019 Jul 12;4(1):1–12.
- 59. Jin J-M, Bai P, He W, Wu F, Liu X-F, Han D-M, et al. Gender Differences in Patients
- With COVID-19: Focus on Severity and Mortality. Front Public Health [Internet]. 2020 [cited
- 2020 Aug 6];8. Available from:
- https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00152/full
- 60. Long Q-X, Tang X-J, Shi Q-L, Li Q, Deng H-J, Yuan J, et al. Clinical and
- immunological assessment of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections. Nat Med. 2020 Jun 18;1–
- 5.
- 61. Mazzini L, Martinuzzi D, Hyseni I, Lapini G, Benincasa L, Piu P, et al. Comparative
- analyses of SARS-CoV-2 binding (IgG, IgM, IgA) and neutralizing antibodies from human
- serum samples. bioRxiv. 2020 Aug 10;2020.08.10.243717.
- 62. Sterlin D, Mathian A, Miyara M, Mohr A, Anna F, Claer L, et al. IgA dominates the early
- neutralizing antibody response to SARS-CoV-2. medRxiv. 2020 Jun 11;2020.06.10.20126532.
- 63. Isho B, Abe KT, Zuo M, Jamal AJ, Rathod B, Wang JH, et al. Persistence of serum and
- saliva antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 spike antigens in COVID-19 patients. Sci Immunol
- [Internet]. 2020 Oct 8 [cited 2020 Oct 9];5(52). Available from:
- https://immunology.sciencemag.org/content/5/52/eabe5511
- 64. Wang Z, Lorenzi JCC, Muecksch F, Finkin S, Viant C, Gaebler C, et al. Enhanced

- SARS-CoV-2 Neutralization by Secretory IgA in vitro. bioRxiv. 2020 Sep 9;2020.09.09.288555.
- 65. Horwitz, Bar-On Y, Lu C-L, Fera D, Lockhart AAK, Lorenzi JCC, et al. Non-
- neutralizing Antibodies Alter the Course of HIV-1 Infection In Vivo. Cell. 2017 Jul 26;
- 66. Chung AW, Ghebremichael M, Robinson H, Brown E, Choi I, Lane S, et al.
- Polyfunctional Fc-effector profiles mediated by IgG subclass selection distinguish RV144 and
- VAX003 vaccines. Sci Transl Med. 2014 Mar 19;6(228):228ra38.
- 67. Ilinykh PA, Huang K, Santos RI, Gilchuk P, Gunn BM, Karim MM, et al. Non-
- neutralizing Antibodies from a Marburg Infection Survivor Mediate Protection by Fc-Effector
- Functions and by Enhancing Efficacy of Other Antibodies. Cell Host Microbe. 2020
- 10;27(6):976-991.e11.
- 68. Gunn BM, Yu W-H, Karim MM, Brannan JM, Herbert AS, Wec AZ, et al. A Role for Fc
- Function in Therapeutic Monoclonal Antibody-Mediated Protection against Ebola Virus. Cell
- Host Microbe. 2018 08;24(2):221-233.e5.
- 69. Zohar T, Alter G. Dissecting antibody-mediated protection against SARS-CoV-2. Nat
- Rev Immunol. 2020;20(7):392–4.
- 70. Pinto D, Park Y-J, Beltramello M, Walls AC, Tortorici MA, Bianchi S, et al. Cross-
- neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by a human monoclonal SARS-CoV antibody. Nature.
- 2020;583(7815):290–5.
- 71. Natarajan H, Crowley AR, Butler SE, Xu S, Weiner JA, Bloch EM, et al. SARS-CoV-2
- antibody signatures robustly predict diverse antiviral functions relevant for convalescent plasma
- therapy. MedRxiv Prepr Serv Health Sci. 2020 Sep 18;
- 72. Atyeo C, Fischinger S, Zohar T, Slein MD, Burke J, Loos C, et al. Distinct Early
- Serological Signatures Track with SARS-CoV-2 Survival. Immunity [Internet]. 2020 Jul 30

- [cited 2020 Aug 12]; Available from:
- http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1074761320303277
- 73. Mercado NB, Zahn R, Wegmann F, Loos C, Chandrashekar A, Yu J, et al. Single-shot
- Ad26 vaccine protects against SARS-CoV-2 in rhesus macaques. Nature. 2020 Jul 30;
- 74. Yu H-Q, Sun B-Q, Fang Z-F, Zhao J-C, Liu X-Y, Li Y-M, et al. Distinct features of
- SARS-CoV-2-specific IgA response in COVID-19 patients. Eur Respir J. 2020;56(2).
- 75. Meyer-Bahlburg A. B-1 cells as a source of IgA. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2015
- Dec;1362:122–31.
- 76. Rodriguez-Zhurbenko N, Quach TD, Hopkins TJ, Rothstein TL, Hernandez AM. Human
- B-1 Cells and B-1 Cell Antibodies Change With Advancing Age. Front Immunol [Internet].
- 2019 [cited 2020 Aug 6];10. Available from:
- https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00483/full
- 77. Amanat F, Stadlbauer D, Strohmeier S, Nguyen THO, Chromikova V, McMahon M, et
- al. A serological assay to detect SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion in humans. Nat Med. 2020 May
- 12;1–4.
- 78. Stadlbauer D, Amanat F, Chromikova V, Jiang K, Strohmeier S, Arunkumar GA, et al.
- SARS-CoV-2 Seroconversion in Humans: A Detailed Protocol for a Serological Assay, Antigen
- Production, and Test Setup. Curr Protoc Microbiol. 2020;57(1):e100.
-
-

Funding

 This study was supported by the Microbiology Laboratory Clinical Services at the Mount Sinai Health System and the Mount Sinai Health System Translational Science Hub, National Institutes of Health [grant U54TR001433]; the Personalized Virology Initiative supported by institutional funds and philanthropic donations (to V.S.); the Department of Medicine of the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai Department of Medicine (to S.Z-P., C.E.H.); the Department of Microbiology and the Ward-Coleman estate for endowing the Ward-Coleman Chairs at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai (to B.L.), the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Centers of Excellence for Influenza Research and Surveillance [contract HHSN272201400008C] (to F.K., V.S.); the Department of Veterans Affairs [Merit Review Grant I01BX003860] (to C.E.H.) and [Research Career Scientist Award 1IK6BX004607] (to C.E.H.); the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases [grant AI139290] to C.E.H., [grant AI136916] (to V.S.), [grants R01 AI123449, R21 AI1498033] to B.L. K.Y.O. and C.S. were supported by Viral-Host Pathogenesis Training Grant T32 AI07647; K.Y.O. was additionally supported by F31 AI154739. S.I. and C-T. H. were supported by postdoctoral fellowships from CHOT-SG (Fukuoka University, Japan) and the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST, Taiwan), respectively. **Acknowledgments**

We thank all the donors for their contribution to research.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

- J.K., S.W., G.E-A., S.Z-P., and C.E.H. wrote and edited the manuscript. S.W., J.K., C.E.H., and
- S.Z-P. designed the experiments. J.K., S.W., V.I., X.L. performed the experiments and collected
- the data. J.K., A.N., S.Z-P. and C.E.H. analyzed the data. K.Y.O., C.S., S.I., C-T.H., F.A., B.L.,
- and F.K. provided protocols, antigens, cells and pseudovirus stocks. G.E-A., I.B., S.A., J.C.B.,
- E.M.K., J.S., S.L., D.J., M.B-G., and V.S. provided samples. All authors read and approved the
- final manuscript.

Fig. 1. Titration of SARS-CoV-2 spike and RBD total Ig in plasma or serum samples from

Figure legends

COVID-19 convalescent individuals. Titration of (a) spike-specific or (b) RBD-specific total Ig from 29 COVID-19-convalescent individuals, two acute COVID-19 patients with longitudinal samples, and 13 COVID-19-negative individuals. Specimens were diluted at 2-fold dilutions from 1:50 to 1:6,400. **Fig. 2. Levels of Ig isotypes against the SARS-CoV-2 spike and RBD vary in plasma or serum samples from COVID-19 convalescent individuals.** Detection of total Ig, IgM, IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, IgA1 and IgA2 against (a) spike and (b) RBD in specimens from 29 COVID- 19-convalescent individuals, 13 COVID-19-negative contemporary samples, and pre-pandemic 680 controls. The samples were tested at a dilution of 1:200 and data are shown as mean MFI + standard deviation (SD) of duplicate measurements from at least two independent experiments. The pre-pandemic controls are shown as mean MFI + SD of 12 samples (Pre, black bar). The horizontal red dotted line represents the cut-off value determined as the mean + 3 SD of 12 pre- pandemic samples for each of the isotypes. (c) Percentages of responders above the cut-off for each spike- or RBD-specific Ig isotype.

Fig. 3. IgG1 is the dominant isotype response induced in COVID-19 convalescent

individuals. Simple linear regression of (a) spike-specific or (b) RBD-specific total Ig levels

versus IgM, IgG1 or IgG2 levels or versus (c) spike-specific and RBD-specific IgG3, IgG4,

IgA1, and IgA2 levels from the 29 COVID-19-convalescent individuals from Fig. 1. The dash

lines represent 95% confidence intervals.

Fig. 4. Neutralization activities are detected in all COVID-19 convalescent individuals.

692 Neutralization of COV2pp with (a,b,c) WT or (d,e) D614G mutated spike proteins by samples

from (a,d) 28 COVID-19-convalescent individuals and (b,e) 11 COVID-19-negative individuals,

- compared to (c) a recombinant soluble RBD (sRBD) control. Each plasma or sera specimen was
- tested at 4-fold dilutions from 1:10 to 1:40,960, and sRBD was tested at 4-fold dilutions from
- 696 100 to 0.02 μ g/mL. The data are shown as mean percentage of neutralization + SD of triplicate.
- The extrapolated titration curves were generated using a nonlinear regression model in GraphPad
- Prism (Inhibitor versus response variable slope (four parameters), least squares regression).
- The dotted horizontal lines highlight 50% neutralization. (f) Spearman correlation between the
- IC⁹⁰ titers against COV2pp WT versus D614G.

Fig. 5. Summary of relative Ig isotype levels and neutralization titers. Table showing sex

(purple, F: female, M: male), relative levels of spike-specific (green) and RBD-specific (blue) Ig

isotypes (+: bottom quartile, ++: second quartile, +++: third quartile, ++++: top quartile, -: non-

responder) and reciprocal IC⁵⁰ and IC⁹⁰ neutralization titers against WT pseudovirus (orange)

 and D614G pseudovirus (red) of 29 plasma samples from COVID-19-convalescent individuals (nd: not done).

Fig. 6. IgM and IgG1 contribute most to SARS-CoV-2 neutralization. Simple linear

regression of reciprocal IC⁹⁰ neutralization titers of 27 COVID-19-convalescent individuals

versus (a) spike-specific or (b) RBD-specific total Ig, IgM, IgG1 and IgA1 Ab levels. The black

dash line shows 95% confidence interval. The dotted vertical red line represents the cut-off

(mean of 12 pre-pandemic samples + 3 SD) for each isotype from Fig. 1. (b) Simple linear

- regression of reciprocal IC⁹⁰ neutralization titers of 27 COVID-19-convalescent individuals
- versus spike-specific or RBD-specific total IgG2-4 and IgA2 Ab levels.

Fig. 7. Purified IgM, IgG, and IgA fractions display neutralizing activities against SARS-

CoV-2. (a) Neutralization of COV2pp by five COVID-19-infected individual plasma samples

- The statistical significance was determined by a two-tailed Mann-Whitney test (ns: non-
- 740 significant: $p > 0.05$).

Supplementary Fig. 6. Induction of IgA1 and IgG1 along with IgM early after disease

- **onset**. Kinetics of induction of spike-specific (left panel) or RBD-specific (right panel) (a) total
- Ig, (b) IgM, (c) IgG1, (d) IgG2, (e) IgG3, (f) IgG4, (g) IgA1, and (h) IgA2 from two COVID-19
- patients. Longitudinal samples from each patient were tested at a dilution of 1:200 in parallel
- with all negative samples and data are shown as mean MFI + SD of duplicate measurements
- from at least two experiments. The dotted red line represents the cut-off value calculated as the
- 747 mean of 12 pre-pandemic samples + 3 SD from Fig. 1.
- **Supplementary Fig. 7. Enrichment of spike-specific (a) IgM, (b) IgG, and (c) IgA in**
- **purified fractions from RP#1-5 and RN#1.** Each fraction was measured for the presence of
- IgM, IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, IgA1, and IgA2 Abs using the isotyping method validated in
- Supplementary Fig. 3.

COVID-19-positive subjects

- COVID-19-negative subjects

Fig. 1. Titration of SARS-CoV-2 spike and RBD total Ig in plasma or serum samples from COVID-19 convalescent individuals. Titration of (a) spike-specific or (b) RBDspecific total Ig from 29 COVID-19-convalescent individuals, two acute COVID-19 patients with longitudinal samples, and 13 COVID-19-negative individuals. Specimens were diluted at 2-fold dilutions from 1:50 to 1:6,400.

Fig. 2. Levels of Ig isotypes against the SARS-CoV-2 spike and RBD vary in plasma or serum samples from COVID-19 convalescent individuals. Detection of total Ig, IgM, IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, IgA1 and IgA2 against (a) spike and (b) RBD in specimens from 29 COVID-19-convalescent individuals, 13 COVID-19-negative contemporary samples, and pre-pandemic controls. The samples were tested at a dilution of 1:200 and data are shown as mean MFI + standard deviation (SD) of duplicate measurements from at least two independent experiments. The pre-pandemic controls are shown as mean $MFI + SD$ of 12 samples (Pre, black bar). The horizontal red dotted line represents the cut-off value determined as the mean $+3$ SD of 12 pre-pandemic samples for each of the isotypes. (c) Percentages of responders above the cut-off for each spike- or RBD-specific Ig isotype.

c

Fig. 3. IgG1 is the dominant isotype response induced in COVID-19 convalescent

individuals. Simple linear regression of (a) spike-specific or (b) RBD-specific total Ig levels versus IgM, IgG1 or IgG2 levels or versus (c) spike-specific and RBD-specific IgG3, IgG4, IgA1, and IgA2 levels from the 29 COVID-19-convalescent individuals from Fig. 1. The dash lines represent 95% confidence intervals.

Fig. 4. Neutralization activities are detected in all COVID-19 convalescent individuals. Neutralization of COV2pp with (a,b,c) WT or (d,e) D614G mutated spike proteins by samples from (a,d) 28 COVID-19-convalescent individuals and (b,e) 11 COVID-19-negative individuals, compared to (c) a recombinant soluble RBD (sRBD) control. Each plasma or sera specimen was tested at 4-fold dilutions from 1:10 to 1:40,960, and sRBD was tested at 4-fold dilutions from 100 to 0.02 µg/mL. The data are shown as mean percentage of neutralization + SD of triplicate. The extrapolated titration curves were generated using a nonlinear regression model in GraphPad Prism (Inhibitor versus response – variable slope (four parameters), least squares regression). The dotted horizontal lines highlight 50% neutralization. (f) Spearman correlation between the IC_{90} titers against COV2pp WT versus D614G.

Fig. 5. Summary of relative Ig isotype levels and neutralization titers. Table showing sex (purple, F: female, M: male), relative levels of spike-specific (green) and RBD-specific (blue) Ig isotypes $(+;$ bottom quartile, $++:$ second quartile, $++:$ third quartile, $+++:$ top quartile, -: non-responder) and reciprocal IC_{50} and IC_{90} neutralization titers against WT pseudovirus (orange) and D614G pseudovirus (red) of 29 plasma samples from COVID-19 convalescent individuals (nd: not done).

Fig. 6. IgM and IgG1 contribute most to SARS-CoV-2 neutralization. Simple linear regression of reciprocal IC_{90} neutralization titers of 27 COVID-19-convalescent individuals versus (a) spike-specific or (b) RBD-specific total Ig, IgM, IgG1 and IgA1 Ab levels. The black dash line shows 95% confidence interval. The dotted vertical red line represents the cut-off (mean of 12 pre-pandemic samples $+ 3$ SD) for each isotype from Fig. 1. (b) Simple linear regression of reciprocal IC_{90} neutralization titers of 27 COVID-19-convalescent individuals versus spike-specific or RBD-specific total IgG2-4 and IgA2 Ab levels.

 $\mathbf b$

-50

- RP#1 RP#2
- RP#3
- RP#4
- RP#5 \star
- Control Ig Δ

Fig. 7. Purified IgM, IgG, and IgA fractions display neutralizing activities against

SARS-CoV-2. (a) Neutralization of COV2pp by five COVID-19-infected individual plasma samples (RP#1-5) compared to a COVID-19-negative sample (RN#1). Plasma samples were tested at 4-fold dilutions from 1:10 to 1:40,960 or 1:20 to 1:81,920. Data are shown as the mean percentage of neutralization. The dotted horizontal lines highlight 50% neutralization. (b) Reciprocal IC₅₀ and IC₉₀ neutralization titers of RP#1-5 plasma samples (c)

Neutralization of COV2pp by purified IgM, IgG, and IgA fractions from five COVID-19 infected individuals (RP#1-5) compared to a control Ig fraction. The fractions were tested at 4-fold dilutions from 500 to 0.02 µg/mL. Data are shown as the mean percentage of neutralization. The dotted horizontal lines highlight 50% neutralization. (d) IC₅₀ of purified IgM, IgG, and IgA fractions from RP#1-5. The statistical significance was determined by a two-tailed Mann-Whitney test $(*: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.01)$.

Supplementary Fig. 1. Spearman correlations of (a) spike-specific or (b) RBD-specific total Ig MFI values from two independent experiments to show the degree of assay reproducibility.

Supplementary Fig. 2. Spearman correlations of the area under the curves (AUCs) of (a) spike- or (b) RBD-specific total Ig versus total Ig MFI values at a 1:200 dilution.

Immunoglobulin isotypes on beads

Immunoglobulin isotypes on beads

Immunoglobulin isotypes on beads

Immunoglobulin isotypes on beads

Immunoglobulin isotypes on beads

Immunoglobulin isotypes on beads

Immunoglobulin isotypes on beads

Supplementary Fig. 3. Isotyping validation was performed by coating Luminex beads with IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, IgA1, IgA2, and IgM myeloma proteins and detecting each specifically with eight different secondary Abs against (a) total Ig, (b) IgM, (c) IgG1, (d) IgG2, (e) IgG3, (f) IgG4, (g) IgA1 and (h) IgA2. The data are shown as mean MFI + SD of duplicate.

Supplementary Fig. 4. Spearman correlations between spike-specific versus RBD-specific total Ig, IgM, IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, IgA1, or IgA2 MFI values.

Supplementary Fig. 5. Violin plots of (a) spike-specific or (b) RBD-specific total Ig, IgM, IgG1, and IgA1 levels from nine COVID-19 convalescent female (F) and 15 male (M) subjects. The statistical significance was determined by a two-tailed Mann-Whitney test (ns: non-significant: $p > 0.05$).

d8 d11d15 d7 d10

RBD

100

1000

10000

Log (Total Ig MFI)

Log (Total Ig MFI)

100000

1000000

Spike

a

1000000

Supplementary Fig. 6. Induction of IgA1 and IgG1 along with IgM early after disease onset. Kinetics of induction of spike-specific (left panel) or RBD-specific (right panel) (a) total Ig, (b) IgM, (c) IgG1, (d) IgG2, (e) IgG3, (f) IgG4, (g) IgA1, and (h) IgA2 from two COVID-19 patients. Longitudinal samples from each patient were tested at a dilution of 1:200 in parallel with all negative samples and data are shown as mean MFI + SD of duplicate measurements from at least two experiments. The dotted red line represents the cutoff value calculated as the mean of 12 pre-pandemic samples + 3 SD from Fig. 1.

Spike

MFI

RN#1 IgA fraction

Supplementary Fig. 7. Enrichment of spike-specific (a) IgM, (b) IgG, and (c) IgA in purified fractions from RP#1-5 and RN#1. Each fraction was measured for the presence of IgM, IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, IgA1, and IgA2 Abs using the isotyping method validated in Supplementary Fig. 3.