1	End-to-End Protocol for the Detection of SARS-CoV-2 from Built Environments
2	
3	Ceth W. Parker ^{1*} , Nitin Singh ^{1*} , Scott Tighe ^{2*} , Adriana Blachowicz ¹ , Jason M. Wood ¹ , Arman
4	Seuylemezian ¹ , Parag Vaishampayan ¹ , Camilla Urbaniak ^{1,3} , Ryan Hendrickson ¹ , Pheobe
5	Laaguiby ² , Kevin Clark ¹ , Brian G. Clement ¹ , Niamh B. O'Hara ^{4,5} , Mara Couto-Rodriguez ⁴ ,
6	Daniela Bezdan ^{6,7} , Chris Mason ⁶ , and Kasthuri Venkateswaran ^{1*}
7	
8	¹ NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA
9	² Vermont Integrative Genomics Resource, Larner College of Medicine, The University of
10	Vermont, Burlington, VT, USA
11	³ ZIN Technologies Inc., Middleburg Hts, OH, USA
12	⁴ Biotia, New York, NY, USA
13	⁵ SUNY Downstate Health Sciences University, Brooklyn, NY, USA
14	⁶ Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New York, NY, USA
15	⁷ Institute of Medical Virology and Epidemiology of Viral Diseases, University Hospital,
16	Tubingen, Germany
17	
18	* Contributed equally
19	· ·
20	Key Words: COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, Surface Sampling, Built Environments, End-to-End,
21	Fomites, Coronavirus
22	
23	BGC: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4591-014X
24	JMW: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0847-4695
25	

26 Abstract

27 Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the virus that causes 28 coronavirus disease 2019, is a respiratory virus primarily transmitted from person to person 29 through inhalation of droplets or aerosols, laden with viral particles. However, as some studies 30 have shown, virions can remain infectious for up to 72 hours on surfaces, which can lead to 31 transmission through contact. For this reason, a comprehensive study was conducted to 32 determine the efficiency of protocols to recover SARS-CoV-2 from surfaces in built 33 environments. This end-to-end (E2E) study showed that the effective combination of monitoring 34 SARS-CoV-2 on surfaces include using an Isohelix swab as a collection tool, DNA/RNA Shield 35 as a preservative, an automated system for RNA extraction, and reverse transcriptase quantitative 36 polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) as the detection assay. Using this E2E approach, this 37 study showed that, in some cases, SARS-CoV-2 viral standards were still recovered from 38 surfaces as detected by RT-qPCR for as long as eight days even after bleach treatment. 39 Additionally, debris associated with specific built environment surfaces appeared to negatively 40 impact the recovery of RNA, with Amerstat inhibition as high as 90% when challenged with an 41 inactivated viral control. Overall, it was determined that this E2E protocol required a minimum of 1,000 viral particles per 25 cm^2 to successfully detect virus from test surfaces. When this 42 43 method was employed to evaluate 368 samples collected from various built environmental 44 surfaces, all samples tested negative, indicating that the surfaces were either void of virus or 45 below the detection limit of the assay.

46 **Importance**

The ongoing severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (the virus
responsible for coronavirus disease 2019; COVID-19) pandemic has led to a global slow down

with far reaching financial and social impacts. The SARS-CoV-2 respiratory virus is primarily 49 50 transmitted from person to person through inhalation of infected droplets or aerosols. However, 51 some studies have shown virions can remain infectious on surfaces for days, and can lead to 52 human infection from contact with infected surfaces. Thus, a comprehensive study was 53 conducted to determine the efficiency of protocols to recover SARS-CoV-2 from surfaces in 54 built environments. This end-to-end study showed that the effective combination of monitoring SARS-CoV-2 on surfaces required a minimum of 1,000 viral particles per 25 cm² to successfully 55 56 detect virus from surfaces. This comprehensive study can provide valuable information regarding 57 surface monitoring of various materials as well as the capacity to retain viral RNA and allow for 58 effective disinfection.

60 Introduction

61 The ongoing coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is caused by severe acute 62 respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (1), which was first identified in Wuhan, 63 China, in December 2019. The World Health Organization (WHO) declared it a Public Health 64 Emergency of international concern on January 30, 2020, and then a pandemic on March 11, 65 2020. The high infection rate and rapid spread has caused global, social, and economic 66 disruption (2), including postponement of many sporting, religious, political, and cultural events, 67 as well as the closure of non-essential business, schools and universities worldwide across 160 68 countries (3). 69 A primary goal set forth by the government has been to keep essential businesses (grocery stores, 70 hospitals, gas stations, etc.) open while protecting staff and patrons with as little disruption as 71 possible given the severity of the situation. Since the current model suggests that the main route 72 of infection is person to person through inhalation of aerosolized droplets containing the virus (4), 73 the use of masks, maintaining physical distancing, avoiding touching ones face, and washing 74 hands have all been identified as important factors in preventing transmission (5). However, 75 since SARS-CoV-2 can remain infective for hours to days on surfaces (6), it is possible to 76 transmit and contract the virus by coming in contact with contaminated surfaces (7). When 77 infected individuals inadvertently carry SARS-CoV-2 into built environments, the infection may 78 spread between individuals via fomites. compromising the ability of workers to continue normal 79 operations and activities. Therefore, disinfection and cleaning regiments have been established by most organizations as a precautionary measure to safeguard against viral transmission (8). 80 81 Since SARS-CoV-2 is fatal and a worldwide concern, the Centers for Disease Control and 82 Prevention (CDC) and National Institutes of Health (NIH) have issued directives that molecular

(RNA)-based detection be applied to clinical specimens (4, 5) but no such policies have been set for environmental monitoring (6). Since the risk of infection from contaminated surfaces is of serious concern, the need for environmental surface monitoring, along with understanding the effectiveness of cleaning and disinfection is critical. In this study we outline a comprehensive approach to characterize and develop an effective environmental monitoring plan that can be used to understand viral persistence and elimination.

89 The ability to collect and analyze samples is fundamental to any microbial monitoring analysis.

90 During this study, a noninfectious and replication-deficient virus was used as a surrogate for the

91 SARS-Cov-2 virus to inoculate representative test surfaces and analyzed for recovery efficiency.

92 Several sampling strategies were evaluated for collecting samples from various materials.

93 Experimental parameters such as the method of viral inoculation of each surface type, collection

94 and transport, and analysis techniques were used to determine viral recovery efficiency, total

95 biomass, species-specific recovery, background contaminant levels, inhibitory factors, as well as

96 sampling and detection anomalies.

97 The overall objective of the study was to develop a standardized end-to-end (E2E) protocol for 98 the detection of SARS-CoV-2 from built environmental surfaces and to determine the minimum 99 number of RNA copies needed on fomites to positively detect virus within the limit of detection 100 of our assay. This study included collecting ~400 samples from seven surface types common to 101 materials found in the built environment and measuring the recovery efficiency of the surrogate 102 SARS-CoV-2 virus. After establishing the E2E protocol, further reproducibility studies were 103 conducted by a second laboratory for verification.

104 **Results**

105

Efficiency and Influence of Swab and DNA/RNA/Shield (DRS) Solution on Viral Extraction

106 To determine the impact of the swabs and DRS transfer medium on the percent recovery 107 of viral particles, the SeraCare AccuPlex SARS-CoV-2 reference material was added to tubes 108 containing water and DRS solution, either directly into the tubes or inoculated onto swabs first, 109 before RNA extraction followed by RT-qPCR. The resulting viral copy numbers were then 110 compared and computed to understand the effects of swabs, DRS solution, and various other 111 combinations in the recovery of viral particles (Figure 1). The RNA copies detected from 112 Accuplex placed into the water suspension (no swab) was used as the 100% positive copy 113 number reference to calculate other combinations. For practical applications, swabs should either 114 be placed in water or in a transport medium like DRS so that samples could be transported and 115 processed in the laboratory. Relative to Accuplex in water (no swab), there was a 12 % loss of 116 viral load when Accuplex solution soaked on the swab before being placed in water (swab effect). 117 Similarly, when Accuplex was placed directly into DRS instead of water (no swab), the recovery 118 was 71% (DRS effect). The double effect of the swab and DRS on viral recovery was 119 significantly less (p=0.0008), with only 21% recovery (*Figure 1*).

120 RNA Extraction Efficiency

121 An automated RNA extraction system was compared to a manual extraction where AccuPlex 122 was inoculated on swabs containing DRS (Figure 2). There were no significant differences 123 between the automated system and the manual extraction method. Subsequently, several viral 124 transport media were also compared with water. No significant differences were observed 125 between the three different viral transport media (Figure 2). All tested combinations yielded 126 between 183 and 204 Nucleocapsid N1 fragment copies per 5µL RNA extract. To characterize 127 the extraction efficiency of the automated process, the Accuplex viral particles were directly 128 added to 96-well PCR plates, and subjected to thermal/enzymatic treatments before performing

129	RT-qPCR. This direct PCR method was considered as 100% (average 327 copies) and compared
130	with other methods employed during this study. The comparative extraction efficiencies of the
131	automated system with H_2O , EtOH, and DRS were 61.0%, 61.5%, and 55.9%, respectively,
132	while the manual method with DRS was 62.2%. All the extraction procedures exhibited high
133	variabilities; however, the automated system demonstrated a lower coefficient of variation (4.0-
134	7.7%) compared to manual kit extraction (8.4%).

135 E2E Assay

136 Zeptometrix NATtrol, an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 positive control, was used in these 137 studies since the AccuPlex stock contains high concentrations of glycerol, making it challenging 138 to dry onto material surfaces. For this study, 5,000 copies of NATtrol viral particles per 25 cm^2 139 were spotted on bare stainless steel (BSS), painted stainless steel (PSS), polyethylene 140 terephthalate modified with glycol (PETG), and fiberglass-reinforced plastic (FRP) materials. 141 After desiccation of the viral control on the surface, the viral droplets left a visible plaque on all 142 surfaces (smaller dots within the swabbed area), Figure 3A). Sample collection with the swab 143 showed noticeable differences in the amount of the plaque that was dissociated during swabbing. 144 The visible marks associated with BSS, PSS, and FRP materials remained mostly intact after 145 swabbing; however, roughly half of the PETG plaques broke apart during swabbing (*Figure 3A*). 146 Such plaque breakup possibly allowed for collecting larger pieces of the viral plaques on the PETG. Materials of 25 cm² (coupons) were swabbed 18 hours after inoculation (Day 1) and re-147 148 swabbed (with a fresh swab) after incubating at room temperature for additional 24 hours (Day 149 2). On Day 8, after inoculation, the coupons were wiped down with 0.6% bleach (sodium 150 hypochlorite) and then re-swabbed with a fresh swab for the third time.

151	Initially, when viral particles were desiccated in an Eppendorf tube and RNA extracted
152	directly, a 11% loss of RNA due to desiccation was documented when compared to the solution
153	that was not dried. The average percent recovery of the viral particles directly inoculated onto the
154	swabs in DRS was ~23% (<i>Figure 3B</i>). However, when desiccated on materials, the highest
155	percent of RNA recovery after Day 1 was observed for PETG material (1.68%), followed by PSS
156	(0.57%) and BSS $(0.21%)$ (<i>Figure 3C</i>). The lowest observed recovery was from FRP at $0.03%$.
157	(<i>Figure 3C</i>). On Day 2, viral recovery decreased on PETG (0.6%) and PSS coupons (0.16%);
158	however, no decrease was noted on Day 2 for the BSS and FRP materials (Figure 3C). After
159	treatment with 0.6% bleach, the recovery from BSS and PETG materials decreased to below
160	detection limit (BDL), while the bleach was not effective in the removal of RNA from PSS as
161	traces of RNA could still be detected (0.45% recovery), while only 0.03% recovery was
162	observed on FRP. This might be because the RT-qPCR assay could detect very short fragments
163	of RNA (~70 bp) and hence likely amplified degraded nucleic acids. This test revealed that viral
164	persistence on surfaces varies, and in some cases (such as on PSS) viral RNA can be recovered
165	after cleaning with bleach.

166 Comparison of RT-LAMP and RT-qPCR Assays

Reverse-transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP) is a single step colorimetric presence-absence assay that can be used as a narrow range semi-quantitative assay to determine the presence of SARS-CoV-2. When used as described by the manufacturer, the colorimetric RT-LAMP assay generates a yellow color for a positive result or remains unchanged (pink) for a negative result. Samples with borderline results have a gradient color change between yellow and pink. All samples can be further analyzed to obtain narrow range semi-quantitative results by measuring the resulting DNA product with the Qubit DNA broad

174	range quantification kit. Since the dynamic range between positive and negative is narrow,
175	negative reactions (pink) have final DNA concentrations of <50 ng/µl post amplification, and
176	full-color positives have a post amplification of ~550 ng/ μ l in a 25ul reaction. Samples that are
177	borderline will have DNA yields between 50 and 550. For these studies, both positive viral
178	controls were lysed directly (Supplemental Table 1), and the lowest limit of detection was
179	determined at 5 and 12.5 copies per reaction for AccuPlex, and NATrol, respectively (Figure 4A)
180	Since the RT-LAMP assay is not truly quantitative, values < 150 ng μ L ⁻¹ DNA concentration
181	were considered negative, and values $\geq 150 \text{ ng } \mu \text{L}^{-1}$ were considered positive.
182	The samples collected from the inoculated coupon (Figure 3A) and analyzed by RT-qPCR
183	were further analyzed using the RT-LAMP assay (Figure 4B). When the RT-qPCR results were
184	compared with the RT-LAMP assay results, >300 copies were definitively positive with RT-
185	LAMP assay (yellow coloration). However, for samples with concentrations near the limit of
186	detection (LOD) for RT-LAMP assay (~12.5 copies/ μ L), the results between RT-qPCR and RT-
187	LAMP were less correlative. (<i>Figure 4B</i>). For samples that exhibited discrepancies between the
188	two assays (BSS2 and PETG3), Sanger sequencing was performed and confirmed SARS-CoV-2
100	
189	sequences (Figure 4C) indicating the reliability of the RT-LAMP assay.

190 Materials-Associated Organics Inhibition in the RNA Recovery

191 Since many of the chemicals associated with cleaning, disinfection, and indigenous chemical 192 constituents of the materials could have PCR inhibitors, we conducted several experiments to 193 determine this potential. The precision cleaned uninoculated surface materials (25 cm²) described 194 above (BSS, PSS, PETG, and FRP), were swabbed and placed in DRS media along with 5000 195 copies of the NATrol viral control. These samples were processed along with a positive control 196 that included a swab in DRS media with NATtrol viral control but not exposed to any test

197	surfaces. Results indicated that all swabs used for sample collection of surface materials							
198	demonstrated similar recovery rate as the controls not used in surface sampling. Analysis of							
199	variance (ANOVA) indicated that swabs used to sample both BSS and FRP had similar recovery							
200	rates of 25.2% and 24.3%, respectively, while PSS had 30.8% and PETG had 36.0%							
201	(Supplemental Figure 1). Swabs sampling from PETG had a significantly higher recovery rate							
202	than FRP (p=0.0001) and BSS (p=0.0006), while PSS was significantly higher than FRP							
203	(p=0.0152) and BSS (p=0.0439) surface types. The recovery percentages exhibited for all swabs							
204	were within a standard deviation (Average 29.5% +/– 5.5% standard deviation). These recovery							
205	rates from various tested materials (24% to 36%) were similar to the positive control that were							
206	not exposed to any test surfaces (20% to 25%; Figure 3B), which demonstrated that the precision							
207	cleaning did not leave residual organics or debris that could inhibit the RT-qPCR assay. The							
208	difference in the recovery was attributed solely to the DRS-swab combination, since viral							
209	particles spiked in water with swab without DRS solution demonstrated an ~88% recovery.							
210	Influence of Environmental Debris on Viral Quantification							
211	To determine whether the detection of SARS-CoV-2 virus would be affected by the debris							
212	associated with built environment materials (stainless-steel metal, Amerstat, plastic, copper,							
213	painted surfaces, and wood), samples were collected and efficiency of the E2E procedure was							
214	tested. The collected materials in DRS solution were inoculated with and without AccuPlex (500							
215	copies) viral standards prior to RNA extraction and RT-qPCR assay. As expected, there was a							
216	significant inhibition in the recovery of the AccuPlex viral RNA from all surface materials							
217	swabbed, ranging from 50% recovery for stainless-steel to only 4% and 8% for Amerstat and the							
218	painted surfaces, respectively (Figure 5). Wood, copper, and plastic surfaces exhibited							

219 intermediate recovery of viral particles at a level of ~20%. On average, the recovery of AccuPlex

220 viral RNA from the stainless-steel was significantly higher than all other tested surfaces (p=0.05221 to 0.004), but the AccuPlex RNA recovery was more variable with a range from 15% to 100%. 222 Barring one or two outliers, the recovery from plastics was consistent (*Figure 5*). 223 To ascertain whether the decreased AccuPlex viral RNA recovery was due to the interaction 224 of the environmental debris with the organics in extraction reagents, the post-extract of the six 225 materials were spiked with 500 copies of synthetic fragments obtained from Integrated DNA 226 Technologies (IDT) prior to being subjected to RT-qPCR. In contrast to the results above, which 227 showed large inhibition, amplification of the spiked synthetic IDT fragments after the RNA 228 extraction was largely unaffected. The copper resulted in the lowest recovery at 77%, followed 229 by plastic, wood, and painted surfaces (~84%), while the stainless-steel and the Amerstat 230 exhibited 90% recovery when compared to the control (Figure 5, Supplemental Figure 2). These 231 results underscore how the type of environmental surface can influence the recovery of viral 232 molecules, while the RNA purification kit chemistry might account for a small percentage of 233 such inhibition.

234 Validation of E2E Process by an Independent Laboratory

The E2E assay was repeated using the same materials by an independent laboratory for reproducibility and verification purposes. The independent evaluation included LOD determination of RT-qPCR assay, RNA extraction efficiency of automated system/manual kits, and recovery of NATrol viral particles from various built environment material surfaces. The results of the second laboratory evaluation were comparable and or equivalent to the results presented here. A standalone report is included in Data Set-1.

241 Built Environment Study Testing SARS-CoV-2 from Environmental Surfaces

The E2E protocol developed during this study could confirm viral presence from built 242 environment surfaces only when ≥ 1.000 viral particles per 25 cm² were present due to the losses 243 244 associated with swab collection, transportation solution, RNA extraction, and material surface 245 retention. Despite these limitations, the combination of using Isohelix swab, DRS as 246 transportation medium, automated RNA extraction, and RT-qPCR assay was determined to be 247 the best available E2E protocol during March 2020 to reproducibly detect and measure SARS-248 CoV-2 from built environment surfaces. The E2E process implemented during this study are 249 shown in Figure 6. The samples collected were from seven different materials found in 10 250 buildings, including stainless steel, Amerstat, plastic, copper, and painted surfaces. None of the 251 368 samples collected tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 (i.e., RT-qPCR amplification for N1 gene 252 was BDL) using the E2E process developed during this study. Since the detection sensitivity of the E2E process implemented was 1,000 viral particles per 25 cm², the samples collected from 253 254 built environmental surfaces were either devoid of the targeted virus or BDL of the E2E assay.

255 Discussion

256 The current clinical method for screening potential SARS-CoV-2 virus patients require an 257 initial throat and or nasopharyngeal swab sample collection (9). Unlike clinical samples, fomites 258 and high-touch surfaces that become contaminated with the virus display lower concentrations of 259 the virus (10), which are often difficult to detect due to method limitations and, in some cases, 260 inhibitory materials. For this reason, robust methods are imperative for the recovery and 261 detection of SARS-CoV-2 from environmental surfaces. Previous studies have analyzed a variety 262 of methods for viral recovery from surfaces (11); however, there are substantial number of 263 variables that can impact collection, processing, and quantification of viral particles. Despite the 264 World Health Organizations "How To" guide for SARS-CoV-2 surface sampling in hospital

settings, there has not been a comprehensive study that adequately addresses all the issues
associated with an E2E assay for SARS-CoV-2.

During this study, Isohelix swabs were selected over Copan swabs due to easier handling and higher sensitivity for sample collection, and this approach has been successfully used by other studies (12). Furthermore, our results demonstrated that automated RNA extraction was as efficient (13, 14) as manual kits for extracting synthetic SARS-CoV-2, which has been

271 previously noted using phenol-chloroform (15).

272 At the outset of this study, in February 2020, there were several molecular methods available 273 for assaying the virus in a given sample. Various reports demonstrated well-established 274 techniques, such as RT-qPCR (16), RT-LAMP (1), polyA RNA-seq (17), ribo-depletion RNA-275 seq and MeRIP-seq (18), direct RNA sequencing (19), capture panel / amplicon (20), and digital 276 droplet PCR (ddPCR) (21). While each of these techniques has its strengths and merits, in the 277 context of a diverse, low-biomass sample, each have their own shortcomings, which limit their 278 use for environmental surveillance applications. This includes elements such as detection limits, 279 costs, inhibitor affects, input volumes, result type, or ability to validate a positive result. 280 However, despite these shortcomings, two distinct molecular technologies (RT-qPCR and RT-281 LAMP assay) evolved to become part of the mainstream research toolkit for both clinical and 282 environmental testing (add mason wired paper here). The benefits of these assays, when run in 283 tandem, help resolve data associated with the more challenging and complex environmental 284 sample type to accurately detect and quantify SARS-CoV-2 from various surface materials. 285 While comparing RT-qPCR, RT-LAMP, and ddPCR to detect SARS-CoV-2 from surface 286 samples, ddPCR was found to be the most accurate and repeatable diagnostic tool. However, 287 ddPCR is more expensive and requires a specialized ddPCR instrument. In contrast, the RT-

288 LAMP assay is faster and less labor intensive than ddPCR, is relatively inexpensive, and requires 289 minimal instrumentation to operate (e.g., a heat block, water bath or thermocycler). The results 290 of the RT-LAMP assay are colorimetric and the low infrastructure requirements make the assay 291 ideal for field testing as demonstrated in past studies (22, 23). Since RT-LAMP is a narrow range 292 semi-qualitative assay, accurate quantification is best performed by RT-qPCR; the gold standard 293 for viral RNA detection (24-26). For our studies, we selected RT-qPCR for its wide dynamic 294 range, throughput, and sensitivity (2 copies/ μ L) as the primary analysis tool, with RT-LAMP as 295 the confirmatory assay.

296 Once an infected person begins shedding SARS-CoV-2 viral particles, the primary route of 297 infection is via respiration; either through droplets or aerosols that are expelled during normal 298 speech, respiration, and especially sneezing, and unintentionally inhaled by even healthy 299 individuals (27). Although the virus appears to be primarily transmitted through air, SARS-CoV-300 2 can remain viable on surfaces for up to 72 hours (6, 7). Thus, similar to other respiratory 301 viruses (28), it is likely that a major route of SARS-CoV-2 infection comes from contact with 302 infected surfaces followed by inadvertent touching of the face and mouth. This pattern was 303 observed in a study in a Wenzhou, China, where numerous individuals became infected, despite 304 not having any direct contact with known patients (29). These findings, in combination with 305 virus longevity on surfaces, strongly suggest that transmission is not just limited to aerosols. 306 Additionally, preliminary research suggests that the infective dose is lower for SARS-CoV-2 in 307 comparison to other respiratory infections (30, 31). These findings highlight the importance of 308 effective environmental surveillance, surface monitoring, and proper sanitization methods to 309 eliminate the virus.

310 In this study, we analyzed several environmental surface materials that were inoculated with 311 a known concentration of SARS-CoV-2 viral reference standard to determine the recovery 312 efficiencies for each material. While each material has characteristics that contribute to recovery, 313 surface roughness and hydrophobicity are important contributors. It has been reported that 314 surface roughness is a key mitigating factor for lower recovery of biological materials (32). For 315 the test surfaces evaluated in this study, FRP had a textured surface and resulted in a lower 316 NATrol viral recovery. Even though the PETG surface had similar surface roughness as BSS and 317 PSS, the surface texture of PETG might have enabled the recovery of more virions. This was 318 evident from visual observations revealing that the dried virus inoculum was easily dissociated 319 and resulted in higher recoveries-a likely result of both the smoothness and hydrophobicity. 320 The decreasing amount of recoverable NATrol viral particles over time from the surface 321 materials are likely attributed to the combination of desiccation time (Day 1 to 8) and the use of a 322 disinfectant. Unlike all other materials, PSS demonstrated nearly the same RNA copy numbers 323 persisting from Day 1 desiccation until Day 8 post-bleach. This might be due to the RT-qPCR 324 method, which was targeting only 67 to 71 bp amplicons that could still easily be detected from 325 virion fragments degraded by the disinfectant. Despite desiccation, the chemical nature of the 326 paint associated with PSS material might have allowed viral fragments to persist even after 327 cleaning with bleach. Furthermore, after applying bleach, the pigmentation of the paint was 328 altered indicating a chemical reaction had occurred, which may have enabled easier removal of 329 viral particles from the surfaces. Similarly, recovery of viral fragments was documented on the 330 cruise ship *Diamond* after hypochlorite disinfection of contaminated rooms (33). These 331 surprising positive results were likely due to the detection of degraded RNA fragments still being 332 detected in the short amplicon RT-qPCR method. Due to short fragment amplification, even

significantly degraded RNA can be detected. In order to avoid these false positive results after
the bleach treatments, samples should be tested using an alternative technique that targets longer
RNA fragments, such as RT-LAMP.

336 To detect the high concentration of SARS-CoV-2 virus in clinical samples, it has been shown 337 that direct amplification was possible with a maximum sample input of 2 μ L (34), whereas for 338 environmental samples, a RNA purification step was mandatory due to the PCR inhibitory 339 substances (13, 35). In addition, the concentration of the target molecules during RNA extraction 340 would allow larger volume input (10-fold more) and increased the detection limit (2 copies per 341 µL of RNA extract). The collection of microorganisms from environmental surfaces have been 342 documented to yield ~1 to 10% of biological materials due to issues in their removal from the 343 surfaces as well as challenges associated with their dissociation from the swab (13, 35). During 344 our study, the DRS chemistry in combination with environmental debris and RNA extraction has 345 compounded losses an additional ~80%.

346 The E2E process implemented to survey SARS-CoV-2 virus presence for built environment 347 surfaces (n=368 samples) exhibited no viral incidence (or <1,000 viral particles per 25 cm²), 348 which might be attributed to a highly controlled practices that were strictly adhered. These 349 practices included but were not limited to admitting limited number of employees at a given time 350 period, training "Safe at Workplace," enforcing social distancing, wearing masks, practicing 351 personal hygiene, and deep-cleaning of the environmental surfaces might have limited the viral 352 contamination in these built environment surfaces. However, high traffic areas like hospitals, 353 restaurants, cruise ships, and subways might show a different pattern(s) of viral adherence and 354 persistence on fomites and surfaces (33, 36).

355 Conclusion

356	When examining all elements, the optimized E2E protocol implemented during this study
357	indicated that only ~ 0.5 to 2% of the viral particles could be recovered from a variety of built
358	environment surfaces and a minimum of 1,000 target molecules (viruses) per 25 cm ² were
359	needed to positively detect the virus. During this study, it was established that 1% of NATrol
360	viral particles were recovered due to sample collection (swabs) and transportation solution
361	(DRS), and that the RNA extraction step accounted for a further 90% loss of target molecules.
362	These data reflect an overall E2E process efficiency of 0.1%, meaning that at least 1,000 copies
363	need to be present for successful and reproducible detection of the SARS-CoV-2 virus from
364	environmental surfaces.

365 Methods

366 Inactivated Viral Reference Standards

367 Two noninfectious, replication-deficient, encapsulated SARS-CoV-2 viral reference 368 standards were used during this study, including the SeraCare AccuPlex (Milford, MA; Cat#: 369 0505-0126), which contained the ORF1a, RdRp, E, and N sequences, and the ZeptoMetix 370 NATtrol (Buffalo, NY; Cat#: NATSARS(COV2)-ERC), which contained the entire RNA 371 sequence. The AccuPlex and NATtrol stocks were purchased at a concentration of 5 x 10^3 and 5 $x 10^4$ viral particles per mL, respectively. These concentrations were confirmed in-house using 372 digital droplet PCR (ddPCR) to be within 1.25% accurate (Supplemental Table 1). 373 374 Digital droplet PCR was performed using the BioRad QX200 instrument with the IDT 375 primer/probe set for N1 and N2 with a modified probe quencher of Iowa Black ZEN/IBFQ (Cat# 376 10006770) along with the BioRad One-Step RT ddPCR advanced supermix (Cat #1864021). 377 Four methods were used for extraction of RNA from these reference materials (i.e., AccuPlex 378 and NATrol) and consisted of the following: (a) direct lysis at 75°C for 5 min, (b) direct lysis of

379	a 1:1 mixture of sample to nuclease-free water (15ul:15ul) to which 3ul of Proteinase K (Qiagen)
380	and 0.8ul of RNase inhibitor (Ribolock, Thermo Scientific EO0381) was added and incubated at
381	50°C for 10 minutes followed by freeze thaw -80 °C to $+95$ °C for 4 minutes, (c) utilization of
382	viral RNA extraction kits such as the QIA amp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD;
383	Cat #52904) and (d) the RNeasy Micro kit (Qiagen; Cat #: 74004). Volumes of 1, 2, 3, 5, and 7
384	μ L of the Accuplex and NATrol viral standards were analyzed using methods 1 and 2 for ddPCR

to determine exact copy number.

386 Swab and Viral Transport Medium Selection

387 Two protocols, involving sample collection and transport medium, were tested during 388 this study. The first protocol in Supplemental Figure 3A shows the procedure used for the 389 Metagenomics and Metadesign of Subways and Urban Biomes (MetaSUB) and heritage NASA 390 environmental sampling (12, 37, 38). The Copan Liquid Amies Elution Swab (ESwab, Copan 391 Diagnostics, Cat.:480C) were used for environmental sampling. Sampled Copan swabs were 392 stored on dry ice and transferred to the lab for further processing. Once in the lab, 300 µL of 393 lysis buffer and 30 µL Proteinase K (Promega, Madison, WI) were added, and the swab was cut 394 using sterile scissors to release the swab into the tube and mixed thoroughly using a vortex. The 395 materials released from the swab were extracted using the Maxwell Viral Total Nucleic Acid 396 Purification Kit (AS1330; Promega) or Zymo Quick-DNA/RNA viral kit (Cat# D7020). The 397 protocol shown in Supplemental Figure 3B represents the reference protocol procedures used for 398 a similar study design by the 2017–2019 MetaSUB research consortium (12). This process used the Isohelix MS-02 swab (Mini-Swab, Isohelix Cat.:MS-02) with 400 µL of DRS (R1100-250) 399 400 preservative. Sampled Isohelix swabs were broken off into the sample tube and transferred to the 401 lab at room temperature. Once in the lab, samples were extracted for nucleic acids via the

402	Promega Maxwell RSC 16. Among the swabs tested, Isohelix swabs demonstrated higher a
403	recovery of microorganisms compared to the Copan swabs (39). Since no published reports were
404	available on the efficiency of swabs specific for virus collection from environmental surfaces,
405	data from the MetaSUB consortium (39) were adapted for this study. The DRS medium used
406	throughout this study (DNA/RNA Shield -Zymo Corp) contains proprietary chemicals that
407	inactivate the live virus and preserve RNA at a biosafety level 2 status.
408	Efficiency of Various Protocols in Extracting Viral RNA

409 The standard methodology for viral RNA extraction in this study involved using the 410 surface samples collected in DRS ($\sim 200 \ \mu$ L), and processing them using the automated Maxwell 411 RSC extraction platform (Promega Corp., Madison, WI) following the manufacturer's 412 instructions for Maxwell RSC Viral Total Nucleic Acid Purification Kit (Promega). In brief, the 413 collected swabs were vortexed for 2 min and treated with the lysis solution provided by the 414 manufacturer (220 μ L of the lysis buffer per 100 μ L of sample and 200 μ L of the DRS solution). 415 This extraction tube was incubated at room temp for 10 minutes and 56°C for additional 10 416 minutes. Samples were transferred to Maxwell cartridges for extraction using the Viral Total 417 Nucleic Acid program of the instrument. Purified RNA was eluted into a 60 µL of UltraPure 418 molecular grade water and divided into two aliquots. Samples were stored at -80°C with one 419 aliquot used for downstream RT-qPCR analysis while the other aliquot was archived for later use. 420 In order to compare the efficiency of the extraction protocols and the effects of the 421 DNA/RNA Shield on RNA amplification, four sets of extraction fluids were prepared in 422 triplicate. Set one was prepared with 100 μ L of AccuPlex in 100 μ L of UltraPure water; set two 423 was prepared with 100 µL of AccuPlex in 100 µL 95% EtOH; and sets three and four were 424 prepared with 100 µL of AccuPlex in DRS. Sets one, two, and three were all processed on the

425	Maxwell RSC as described above. Set four was processed using the Quick-DNA/RNA Viral Kit
426	(Zymo Research, Irvine, CA) following the manufacturer's protocol. Purified RNA was eluted in
427	60 μL of UltraPure water.
428	Synthetic RNA and Limit of Detection for RT-qPCR
429	Two synthetic nucleic acid reference samples were used to generate standard curves for the
430	RT-qPCR reactions: (i) 2019-nCoV N Positive DNA Control (10006625) from Integrated DNA
431	Technologies (IDT) (40) and (ii) SARS-CoV-2 RNA Control 2 (MN908947.3) from Twist
432	Biosciences (San Francisco, CA). The IDT standard consisted of control plasmids containing the
433	complete nucleocapsid gene from SARS-CoV-2, while the Twist standard consisted of six
434	synthetic 5kb ssRNA section of the viral genome. Both IDT and Twist contain the nucleocapsid
435	gene and can be amplified by either N1 or N2 primer sets, producing amplified products that
436	have lengths of 72 bp or 67 bp, respectively. Comparison of N1 and N2 primers using the IDT
437	and Twist BioSciences synthetic standards showed that all combinations of the primers and
438	standards had highly reproducible amplification quantities across log dilutions, with N1
439	demonstrating a slightly higher efficiency amplification curve. (Supplemental Figures 4A–E).
440	Hence, only the N1 primer set was used for developing the E2E protocol. Samples that resulted
441	in a N1 positive results were further confirmed with the N2 primer set. A significantly higher
442	viral copy number was detected using the IDT reference material (1.28-fold) in comparison to
443	Twist ($P < 0.05$) when assessed with RNA extracted from AccuPlex as a benchmark control
444	(Supplemental Figure 4E).
4.4.5	

445 To determine the limits of detection of the RT-qPCR assay, a two-fold dilution series from 0 446 to 200 viral RNA copies per reaction volume (5 μ L; 12 replicates), were conducted and indicated 447 a LOD of 10 viral RNA copies per 5 μ L reaction volume (2 copies/ μ l; *Supplemental Figure 5A*).

448 Among the 12 replicates that theoretically contained one viral RNA copy (5 copies/5µl), five did 449 not reach the cycle threshold (Ct) and were thus considered as BDL. All no template controls 450 (NTC) were negative. As expected, the standard deviation of Ct values increased as the molecule 451 concentration decreased (<10 copies) (Supplemental Figure 5B). 452 Optimization of RT-qPCR Assay 453 qPCR was carried out with the extracted viral RNA from the sample using the Luna 454 Universal Probe One-Step RT-qPCR Kit (#E3006, New England BioLabs [NEB], USA) as per 455 the manufacturer's protocol for Applied Biosystems real-time instruments. N1 and N2 IDT 456 primers (2019-CoV CDC EUA Kit, Integrated DNA technologies) designed for CDC SARS-457 CoV-2 qPCR probe assays were used for all reaction setups. The kit consists of all published 458 SARS-CoV-2 assays in the CDC's recommended working concentration. The final 20 µL 459 reaction mix also included Antarctic Thermolabile UDG (Uracil-DNA Glycosylase) to prevent 460 sample cross contamination. The IDT SARS-CoV-2 Plasmid DNA Control was used to generate a \log_{10} standard curve from 1 to 10^5 copies in triplicate. The AccuPlex SARS-CoV-2 reference 461 material was used as an extraction control and treated as an "unknown" sample for each analysis. 462 463 A QuantStudio 6 Flex Real-Time PCR Detection System was used for all RT-qPCR runs. 464 Cycling conditions were: reverse transcription, $55^{\circ}C$ (10 min, 1X); initial denaturation, $95^{\circ}C$ (1 465 min, 1X); and 40 cycles of 95°C (15 sec), 60°C (60 sec) plus plate read. The N1 gene was used to 466 determine the number of viral particles in a sample. NTCs, a reaction mixture with molecular-467 grade water substituted for the sample, were run on each RT-qPCR plate to serve as negative 468 controls. Standard curve and quantification were carried out using the Design and Analysis 469 Software Version 2.4.1, for QuantStudio 6/7 Pro systems.

470	There are some unresolved issues with the RT-qPCR, which repeatedly detected higher
471	amounts of AccuPlex and NATrol in standard controls than the quantity that was being measured
472	by dd-qPCR. Supplemental Figure 6A-B shows the number of copies per mL of AccuPlex and
473	NATrol that were calculated based on RT-qPCR runs. The red lines demarcate the reported
474	concentration of viral particles for AccuPlex and NATrol. Supplemental Figure 6C shows that
475	there was a 2.69-fold higher concentration of viral particles for AccuPlex and 3.5-fold higher for
476	NATrol.

477 RT-LAMP Assay

478 A 5 µL aliquot of each sample was analyzed in triplicate using the RT-LAMP assay with 479 the WarmStart RT-LAMP reagent (M1800S NEB Inc Ipswich MA) and the N2/E primer mix 480 against the nucleocapsid envelop protein gene. A custom primer mix for the final primer mix 481 included 40 mM guanidine hydrochloride which increased the sensitivity as previously described 482 (41). All samples were incubated at 65°C for 42 min and photographed. Sample were quantified 483 using spectrofluorimetry with the (Qubit. Broad Range DNA kit; ThermoFisher Waltham, MA) 484 Titrations were performed on both AccuPlex and NATtrol viral particles for estimating copy 485 numbers. Direct RNA extraction was performed by mixing viral reference particles at a ratio of 486 1:1 (15 μ L to 15 μ L to water) and adding 1 μ L of an RNase inhibitor (RiboLock-ThermoFisher 487 EO0381) and 3 µL of Proteinase K (Qiagen Germantown Maryland), with incubation at 50°C for 488 10 min followed by immediately freezing at -80° C. After freezing, the controls were 489 immediately incubated at 95°C for 4 min, followed by duplicate titrations into the RT-LAMP 490 reaction master mix and incubation at 65°C for 42 min.

491 Surface Materials Tested and Coupon Fabrication

492	Four of the most common high-touch surface materials were used in this study including bare
493	302 stainless steel (BSS), painted 302 stainless steel (PSS; white acrylic paint 168130-Rust-
494	Oleum, Vernon Hills, IL), polyethylene terephthalate modified with glycol (PETG), and
495	fiberglass-reinforced plastic (FRP). All materials were smooth on a macroscale, except for FRP,
496	which exhibited an irregular, textured surface. These materials were fabricated as test "coupons"
497	of 25 cm ² square at Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) and sand tumbled to deburr. Throughout,
498	coupons were handled carefully as to limit surface damage and scratching.

499 Precision Cleaning of the Test Coupons

500 Unless otherwise indicated, all precision cleaning was performed in a Class 100 biohazard 501 hood or a Class 100 laminar flow bench. Care was taken in handling, and high-grade chemicals 502 were used to minimize contamination. Coupons were precision cleaned based on each individual 503 material's best practices, as outlined in JPL's standard protocols (42). In short, BSS was cleaned 504 per JPL D-51981 type IV (subsequent baths of solvent, detergent AquaVantage 815 GD; Brulin 505 Holding Company, Indianapolis, IN), alkaline, and final passivation). The passivation consisted 506 of a 30-min exposure to 5 M nitric acid at 24°C. Due to the paint's associated chemical attributes 507 and susceptibility to solvents, PSS was rinsed with deionized water. The FRP wood laminate and 508 PETG were both cleaned per JPL D-51981 type V method C (solvent bath followed by deionized 509 water rinse). After cleaning, the product cleanliness level was tested to the level 100 (which 510 means particles of <0.5 µm not exceed 100 particle counts). The cleaned test coupons were 511 individually sealed in an antistatic Amerstat bag, until use.

512 Inoculation of Surface Materials (Test Coupons)

513 Precision-cleaned coupons were opened aseptically in a biosafety cabinet and placed into
514 individual, sterile Petri dishes. Aliquots of 10 µl of the NATrol control were spotted (n=10) onto

515 each test coupon in evenly spaced rows of 3, 4, and 3 spots and covered with a lid. Triplicate 516 coupons of each of the following material types were prepared, including several controls: (i) a 517 BSS coupon remained uninoculated (NC BSS) and were processed alongside as a negative 518 control; (ii) a swab negative control in DRS; (iii) a swab with 5,000 copies of NATtrol in DRS; 519 and (iv) 5,000 copies of NATtrol control extracted directly from Maxwell. All test coupons were 520 loaded into a modified GasPak System, Anaerobic Jar 150 LG (Cat#: 260607; Becton Dickinson, 521 Franklin Lakes, NJ) with the palladium catalyst removed and a valve port drilled into the top of 522 the lid; no desiccation beads or reactants were added. The lid port was hooked up to a vacuum 523 line to provide negative pressure on the jar. Coupons were dried at room temperature for 18 524 hours, sampled, and immediately extracted for RNA (Day 1; initial collection). After initial 525 swabbing, coupons were stored at room temperature for 24 hours at standard pressure, and 526 swabbed again with a fresh swab, followed by viral RNA extraction (Day 2; secondary 527 collection). Coupons were subsequently stored at room temperature and standard pressure for 528 another 5 days, after which coupons were treated with 10% bleach (0.6% v/v sodium 529 hypochlorite) using Kimwipes (Kimberly-Clark, Irving, TX) using a wiping pattern vertically, 530 horizontally, and diagonally. Coupons were allowed to dry for 30 min, swabbed, and extracted 531 for RNA (Day 8; collection after bleach treatment).

532 Sample Collection from Coupons or Built Environmental Surfaces

Test coupon were sampled over a 25 cm² area using Isohelix MS-02 buccal swabs (Cell Projects, Kent, UK). Prefilled 2 mL tubes containing 200 μ L of DRS and labeled with a unique barcode (Cat. No.: R1100-96-1) were used for each sample. The Isohelix swab was dipped into DRS solution for 15 s prior to sampling to ensure the swab was sufficiently moistened. The moistened swab was then held against the sample surface at a 45-degree angle and dragged in a

raster pattern across the 25 cm^2 area. To ensure good coverage over the sample area, the raster 538 539 pattern was repeated three times in different directions (horizontal, vertical, and diagonal), 540 rotating the swab head 180 degrees between the horizontal and vertical passes. The swab was 541 held to the surface perpendicular to the direction of travel to ensure that the maximal surface area 542 was covered by the swab during each pass in the raster pattern. After sample collection, each 543 swab head was transferred into the same barcoded tube used to pre-moisten the swab by 544 aseptically breaking and twisting the head off into the tube. Sample specific metadata (e.g., 545 surface type and finish) were recorded for each barcoded tube. Environmental sampling of built 546 environment surfaces was conducted in an identical manner. Environmental samples and field 547 control samples were collected in a similar manner, but instead of dragging the moistened swab 548 across a surface, the moistened swab for the field control was waved in the air for 2 min prior to 549 breaking the head off into a barcoded tube. After collection of all samples, DRS collection tubes 550 were stored at room temperature for up to three hours before RNA extraction.

551 Environmental Debris in the Recovery of Viral Particle/RNA

552 Various materials, including metal, Amerstat, plastic, wood, copper plate, and painted 553 surface, were tested to assess whether the debris associated with the environmental surface 554 affected the recovery and detection of the viral RNA. Each surface was sampled with two swabs, 555 which were preserved in DRS. The DRS from both collection tubes corresponding to one type of 556 the environmental surface was pooled together, mixed, and divided into two 200 µL aliquots. 557 One aliquot was inoculated with 100 µL of AccuPlex control and the other with 100 µL of 558 UltraPure water and extracted using the Maxwell RSC using the protocol for the RT-qPCR 559 analysis. The percent recovery for each tested material was determined when compared to the

560	control containing	100 µL	of AccuPlex	SARS-CoV-2 in 200	µL of	UltraPure v	water. Three
	C						

technical RT-qPCR replicates of biological samples were used for the analysis.

562 Inhibition of Maxwell Extraction Chemistry in the Recovery of Viral Particle/RNA

563 Test surfaces were evaluated to determine if any RNA extraction inhibition was observed for 564 the AccuPlex viral particles using the Maxwell RSC system. In order to determine the potential 565 effect of the Maxwell RSC kit, 500 copies of the IDT synthetic fragments (2019-CoV N Positive 566 Control, Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc., San Jose, CA) were added to each test reaction and 567 5 µL of each extracted sample. 20 µl of the Luna master mix (Cat#: E3006; NEB, Ispsich MA) 568 was added, the plate sealed and analyzed using the QuantStudio 6F instrument. The percent of 569 the inhibition for each tested material was determined by comparing to the control containing 570 500 copies. Three technical RT-qPCR replicates of biological samples were used for the analysis.

571 Materials Associated Debris and Chemistry Inhibition

In order to determine whether debris or chemistry associated with the built environment surface materials contain PCR inhibitors, uninoculated test coupons were swabbed following the standard swabbing procedure outlined above. The swabs were transferred to a tube containing DRS and 100 μ L of the NATtrol viral particles. Appropriate negative and positive controls were also included. Sample were then extracted for RNA using the Maxwell RSC and were analyzed via RT-qPCR. Inhibition was determined by comparison of the extraction ratios between positive control and the sample reaction mixtures.

579 Development of SWAB Metadata Generation

For the field data collection and associated metadata characteristics of samples, JPL
Information and Technology Solutions Directorate created a custom mobile application (Safe

582 Workspace Analysis Barcode Scanner, or SWABS) that uses an iPhone to capture tracking 583 metadata at each stage of the sample collection and analysis process. The vendor-provided 584 barcode of each sample container was chosen as the unique identifier of each sample tracked 585 through each phase of analysis. In the first phase (sampling), the container barcode was scanned 586 and relevant metadata such as the name of the collection personnel, location, surface material 587 properties, time of collection, material lot numbers, and optional description details were 588 recorded for each sample. To accelerate data entry and eliminate human errors, barcode scanning 589 with the iPhone camera was used to exactly identify each sample, and data in common were 590 retained and automatically reused. In the second phase (RNA extraction), the sample was 591 scanned once again, and details of the Maxwell machine identifier, extraction tip size, and lot 592 numbers were added. For the third phase (archival), analysts added details of the cryo box 593 location, extraction tip size, and lot numbers for each sample. At the final qPCR stage, the record 594 was completed with the qPCR machine identifier, extraction tip size, and lot numbers, as well as 595 the Ct score and copy number determined by the analysis. At each stage of the analysis 596 procedure, the operations procedure version number was also recorded to track which 597 documented procedure was followed at the time when each sample was processed. All of these 598 sample processing data were gathered and stored in a centralized database at JPL. Leveraging 599 this database, the SWABS web application makes these data accessible for viewing, searching, 600 or editing, as well as providing reporting capabilities to communicate and summarize any of the 601 data on demand. Although the SWABS application streamlines and improves the accuracy of the 602 processing metadata recording process as a whole, it is most advantageous during the initial 603 sample collection phase owing to its mobile platform that allows its users to move around freely 604 within the workspace environment while minimally encumbered by support equipment.

605 Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism Version 8.2.0 (GraphPad
Software, San Diego, California USA). Specifically, Welch's *t*-test and a two-way ANOVA
followed by a post-hoc sample correction were computed. Outliers were screened using the rOut
method from the robustX R package (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=robustX).

610 Acknowledgments

611 Part of the research described in this publication was carried out at the Jet Propulsion

612 Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with NASA. Researchers

associated with Biotechnology and Planetary Protection Group at JPL are acknowledged for their

614 facility support.

615 The authors would like to thank Garry Burdick and Subbarao Surampudi (initiating the

616 concept of COVID-19 surface testing), Soren Madsen (day to day management of the work flow),

617 Roger Gibbs, Leon Alkalai, Timothy O'Donnell, and the JPL Management Council (financial

618 support and directions), Mimi Ton (IRB clearance), Anton Ovcharenko (safety protocol

619 development), Kerry Wisden, Marisa Gamboa, and Bill Kert (procuring chemicals and materials),

620 Oscar Rendon Perez (coupon material preparation and precision cleaning). We would also like to

thank Brent Mcwatters, Mark Powell, and the members of the JPL Information and Technology

622 Solutions Directorate that rapidly created an iPhone app that was used for metadata collection

623 during this project. We are indebted to the personnel involved in JPL shipping / receiving for

624 their help during this pandemic and the facility managers associated with the surface collection

625 locations. Additionally, Mikael Kubista from the TATAA Biocenter for helping to develop our

- 626 RT-qPCR limit of detection protocols, thanks to MetaSUB consortium members (especially
- 627 Benjamin Young) for generating the sample collection SOP, and Julie Dragon for RT-LAMP

- 628 assay SOP and continued support. Christopher Fleming and Frank Tansley are acknowledged for
- 629 their timely support of procuring critical equipment and consumables. David Lee from JPL is
- 630 thanked for critically reviewing the manuscript. © 2020 California Institute of Technology.
- 631 Government sponsorship acknowledged.

632 Ethics approval and consent to participate

633 Not applicable.

634 Funding

This research was supported by the JPL Director Discretionary Funds for COVID-19 projects which also funded a portion of the fellowship of CWP, AB and JMW. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, the writing of the manuscript, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

639 Availability of data and materials

640 Not Applicable.

641 **Disclaimer**

642 This manuscript was prepared as an account of work sponsored by NASA, an agency of the 643 US Government. The US Government, NASA, California Institute of Technology, Jet Propulsion 644 Laboratory, and their employees make no warranty, expressed or implied, or assume any liability 645 or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of information, apparatus, product, 646 or process disclosed in this manuscript, or represents that its use would not infringe upon 647 privately held rights. The use of, and references to any commercial product, process, or service 648 does not necessarily constitute or imply endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the US 649 Government, NASA, California Institute of Technology, or Jet Propulsion Laboratory. Views

and opinions presented herein by the authors of this manuscript do not necessarily reflect those
of the US Government, NASA, California Institute of Technology, or Jet Propulsion Laboratory,
and shall not be used for advertisements or product endorsements.
Work for this study way also completed by Biotia, Inc. Biotia and its employees make no
warranty, expressed or implied, or assume any liability or responsibility for the accuracy,
completeness, or usefulness of information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed in this
manuscript, or represents that its use would not infringe upon privately held rights.

657 Authors' contributions

658 KV coordinated with all authors in designing the concept, executed the study, implemented 659 the project, involved in the data analyses, and wrote the manuscript. CWP was involved in 660 establishing the RT-qPCR assay with NKS, and was instrumental in executing the E2E process, and wrote the manuscript along with KV. NKS carried out the QA/QC of RT-qPCR assay and 661 662 analyzed the data. ST helped design and setup the experimental methods, provided training, 663 conducted RT-LAMP, qPCR, ddPCR, interpretation of data, and assisted in writing and editing 664 the manuscript. PL carried out lab work pertaining to protocol optimization of RT-LAMP, qPCR, 665 and ddPCR assays as well as Sanger sequencing. NKS, JMW, CWP, RH, and KC carried out 666 environmental sampling from various built environment surfaces. JMW managed metadata 667 collection and curation, helped analyze data, and was crucial in surface sampling as well as 668 drafting portions of the manuscript. AB performed RNA extraction of the collected samples, 669 contributed to data analysis, interpretation, and drafted parts of the manuscript. CU helped 670 analyze the data, write the paper, and assisted in the study design. RH helped with sample 671 collection and contributed in writing about the swab and DNA extraction methodology process 672 selection for the paper. KC planned and coordinated built-environment sampling and supported

673	data collection during sampling. AS and PV carried out RT-qPCR assays and contributed to the
674	LOD determination. BC oversaw the project and provided critical input for the QC/QA analyses
675	of RT-qPCR data, and edited the manuscript. NO coordinated work at Biotia, where a second
676	laboratory performed verification out, helped design of the additional procedures used. MCR
677	performed experiments, interpret analyzed results, and contributed to writing validation portion
678	of the Data Set-1. MCR helped design additional experiments, performed experiments, analyzed
679	results and contributed to writing the validation portion of the Data Set-1. DB conducted
680	experiments pertaining to the selection of swabs and DRS and wrote these portions in the
681	manuscript and reviewed the manuscript. CM coordinated with KV and helped to design the
682	concept, provided insights about the MetaSUB protocol to get implemented.
683	Consent for publication
684	All authors that participated in this study have reviewed the results, read the final manuscript,
685	and given their consent for publication.
686	Competing interests

NBO, MCR, and CM hold shares in Biotia, a company that conducts infectious disease

688 diagnostics and characterization. All other authors declare that they have no competing interests.

690 References

691 Kashir J, Yaqinuddin A. 2020. Loop mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assays 1. 692 as a rapid diagnostic for COVID-19. Med Hypotheses 141:109786-109786. 693 2. Ru H, Yang E, Zou K. 2020. What do we learn from SARS-CoV-1 to SARS-CoV-2: 694 Evidence from global stock markets. Available at SSRN 3569330. 695 3. de Oliveira Araújo FJ, de Lima LSA, Cidade PIM, Nobre CB, Neto MLR. 2020. Impact 696 of Sars-Cov-2 And its reverberation in global higher education and mental health. 697 Psychiatry Res:112977. 698 4. Han Q, Lin Q, Ni Z, You L. 2020. Uncertainties about the transmission routes of 2019 699 novel coronavirus. Influenza Other Respi Viruses 14:470-471. West R, Michie S, Rubin GJ, Amlôt R. 2020. Applying principles of behaviour change to 700 5. 701 reduce SARS-CoV-2 transmission. Nature Human Behaviour:1-9. 702 6. Van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, Holbrook MG, Gamble A, Williamson BN, 703 Tamin A, Harcourt JL, Thornburg NJ, Gerber SI. 2020. Aerosol and surface stability of 704 SARS-CoV-2 as compared with SARS-CoV-1. N Engl J Med 382:1564-1567. 705 7. Jiang F-C, Jiang X-L, Wang Z-G, Meng Z-H, Shao S-F, Anderson B, Ma M-J. 2020. 706 Detection of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 RNA on Surfaces in 707 Ouarantine Rooms. Emerging Infectious Disease journal 26. 708 8. Kampf G, Todt D, Pfaender S, Steinmann E. 2020. Persistence of coronaviruses on 709 inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents. J Hosp Infect 104:246-251. 710 9. Singhal T. 2020. A review of coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19). The Indian Journal 711 of Pediatrics:1-6. 712 10. Goldman E. 2020. Exaggerated risk of transmission of COVID-19 by fomites. Lancet 713 Infect Dis doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30561-2. 714 11. Anderson RM, Fraser C, Ghani AC, Donnelly CA, Riley S, Ferguson NM, Leung GM, 715 Lam TH, Hedley AJ. 2004. Epidemiology, transmission dynamics and control of SARS: 716 the 2002-2003 epidemic. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 359:1091-105. 717 12. Danko DC, Bezdan D, Afshinnekoo E, Ahsanuddin S, Alicea J, Bhattacharva C, 718 Bhattacharyya M, Blekhman R, Butler DJ, Castro-Nallar E, Cañas AM, Chatziefthimiou 719 AD, Chng KR, Coil DA, Court DS, Crawford RW, Desnues C, Dias-Neto E, Donnellan 720 D, Dybwad M, Eisen JA, Elhaik E, Ercolini D, De Filippis F, Frolova A, Graf AB, Green 721 DC, Lee PKH, Hecht J, Hernandez M, Jang S, Kahles A, Karasikov M, Knights K, 722 Kyrpides NC, Ljungdahl P, Lyons A, Mason-Buck G, McGrath K, Mongodin EF, 723 Mustafa H, Mutai B, Nagarajan N, Neches RY, Ng A, Nieto-Caballero M, Nikolayeva O, 724 Nikolayeva T, Noushmehr H, Oliveira M, et al. 2019. Global Genetic Cartography of 725 Urban Metagenomes and Anti-Microbial Resistance. bioRxiv 726 doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/724526. 727 Kwan K, Cooper M, La Duc MT, Vaishampayan P, Stam C, Benardini JN, Scalzi G, 13. 728 Moissl-Eichinger C, Venkateswaran K. 2011. Evaluation of procedures for the collection, 729 processing, and analysis of biomolecules from low-biomass surfaces. Appl Environ 730 Microbiol 77:2943-2953. 731 Liu H, Gan Y, Yang B, Weng H, Huang C, Yang D, Lei P, Shen G. 2012. Performance 14. 732 evaluation of the Maxwell 16 System for extraction of influenza virus RNA from diverse 733 samples. PLoS One 7:e48094. 734 15. Dimke H, Larsen SL, Skov MN, Larsen H, Hartmeyer GN, Moeller JB. 2020. Phenol-735 chloroform-based RNA purification for detection of SARS-CoV-2 by RT-qPCR:

 doi:10.1101/2020.05.26.20099440.2020.05.26.20099440. Wang Y, Kang H, Liu X, Tong Z. 2020. Combination of RT-qPCR testing and clinical features for diagnosis of COVID-19 facilitates management of SARS-CoV-2 outbreak. J Med Virol 92:538-539. Kim D, Lee JY, Yang JS, Kim JW, Kim VN, Chang H. 2020. The Architecture of SARS- CoV-2 Transcriptome. Cell 181:914-921 e10. Meyer Kate D, Saletore Y, Zumbo P, Elemento O, Mason Christopher E, Jaffrey Samie R. 2012. Comprehensive Analysis of mRNA Methylation Reveals Enrichment in 3' UTRs and near Stop Codons. Cell 14:9:1635-1646. Viehweger A, Krautwurst S, Lamkiewicz K, Madhugiri R, Ziebuhr J, Hölzer M, Marz M. 2019. Direct RNA nanopore sequencing of full-length coronavirus genomes provides novel insights into structural variants and enables modification analysis. Genome Res 29:1545-1554. Hung SS, Meissner B, Chavez EA, Ben-Neriah S, Ennishi D, Jones MR, Shulha HP, Chan FC, Boyle M, Kridel R, Gascoyne RD, Mungall AJ, Marra MA, Scott DW, Connors JM, Steidl C. 2018. Assessment of Capture and Amplicon-Based Approaches for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Pipeline D Personalize Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2003. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Manrid N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for apid detection of the Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latti S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perel	736		comparison with automated systems medRxiv
 Wang Y, Kang H, Liu X, Tong Z. 2020. Combination of RT-qPCR testing and clinical features for diagnosis of COVID-19 facilitates management of SARS-CoV-2 outbreak. J Med Virol 92:538-539. Kim D, Lee JY, Yang JS, Kim JW, Kim VN, Chang H. 2020. The Architecture of SARS- CoV-2 Transcriptome. Cell 181:914-921 e10. Meyer Kate D, Saletore Y, Zumbo P, Elemento O, Mason Christopher E, Jaffrey Samie R. 2012. Comprehensive Analysis of mRNA Methylation Reveals Enrichment in 3' UTRs and near Stop Codons. Cell 149:1635-1646. Viehweger A, Krautwurst S, Lamkiewicz K, Madhugiri R, Ziebuhr J, Hölzer M, Marz M. 2019. Direct RNA nanopore sequencing of full-length coronavirus genomes provides novel insights into structural variants and enables modification analysis. Genome Res 29:1545-1554. Hung SS, Meissner B, Chavez EA, Ben-Neriah S, Ennishi D, Jones MR, Shulha HP, Chan FC, Boyle M, Kridel R, Gascoyne RD, Mungall AJ, Marra MA, Scott DW, Connors JM, Steidl C. 2018. Assessment of Capture and Amplicon-Based Approaches for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Pipeline to Personalize Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 43:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatiti	737		doi:10.1101/2020.05.26.20099440:2020.05.26.20099440
 Faures for diagnosis of COVID-19 facilitates management of SARS-CoV-2 outbreak. J Med Virol 92:538-539. Kim D, Lee JY, Yang JS, Kim JW, Kim VN, Chang H. 2020. The Architecture of SARS-CoV-2 Transcriptome. Cell 181:914-921 e10. Meyer Kate D, Saletore Y, Zumbo P, Elemento O, Mason Christopher E, Jaffrey Samie R. 2012. Comprehensive Analysis of mRNA Methylation Reveals Enrichment in 3' UTRs and near Stop Codons. Cell 149:1635-1646. Viehweger A, Krautwurst S, Lamkiewicz K, Madhugiri R, Ziebuhr J, Hölzer M, Marz M. 2019. Direct RNA nanopore sequencing of full-length coronavirus genomes provides novel insights into structural variants and enables modification analysis. Genome Res 29:1545-1554. Hung SS, Meissner B, Chavez EA, Ben-Neriah S, Ennishi D, Jones MR, Shulha HP, Chan FC, Boyle M, Kridel R, Gascoyne RD, Mungall AJ, Marra MA, Scott DW, Connors JM, Steidl C. 2018. Assessment of Capture and Amplicon-Based Approaches for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Pipeline to Personalize Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hegatiration asseni-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process: control by duplex RT-qoCR Food Microbiol 31:246-253.<td>738</td><td>16</td><td>Wang Y Kang H Liu X Tong 7 2020 Combination of RT-aPCR testing and clinical</td>	738	16	Wang Y Kang H Liu X Tong 7 2020 Combination of RT-aPCR testing and clinical
 Med Virol 92:538-539. Kim D, Lee JY, Yang JS, Kim JW, Kim VN, Chang H. 2020. The Architecture of SARS-CoV-2 Transcriptome. Cell 181:914-921 e10. Meyer Kate D, Saletore Y, Zumbo P, Elemento O, Mason Christopher E, Jaffrey Samie R. 2012. Comprehensive Analysis of mRNA Methylation Reveals Enrichment in 3' UTRs and near Stop Codons. Cell 149:1635-1646. Viehweger A, Krautwurst S, Lamkiewicz K, Madhugiri R, Ziebuhr J, Hölzer M, Marz M. 2019. Direct RNA nanopore sequencing of full-length coronavirus genomes provides novel insights into structural variants and enables modification analysis. Genome Res 29:1545-1554. Hung SS, Meissner B, Chavez EA, Ben-Neriah S, Ennishi D, Jones MR, Shulha HP, Chan FC, Boyle M, Kridel R, Gascoyne RD, Mungall AJ, Marra MA, Scott DW, Connors JM, Steidl C. 2018. Assessment of Capture and Amplicon-Based Approaches for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Pipeline to Personalize Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu P. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 31:246-253. Pupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 37:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection of the Panterican Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454.<td>739</td><td>10.</td><td>features for diagnosis of COVID-19 facilitates management of SARS-CoV-2 outbreak</td>	739	10.	features for diagnosis of COVID-19 facilitates management of SARS-CoV-2 outbreak
 Yino Yuto Yuto Yuto Yuto Yuto Yuto Yuto Yut	740		Mad Virol 02:538 530
 Kim D, Lee Y, Lang JS, Lang JJ, Lang JJ, Lang TL, Dob The Architecture of ShKJ- CoV-2 Transcriptome. Cell 181:914-921 e10. Meyer Kate D, Saletore Y, Zumbo P, Elemento O, Mason Christopher E, Jaffrey Samie R. 2012. Comprehensive Analysis of mRNA Methylation Reveals Enrichment in 3' UTRs and near Stop Codons. Cell 149:1635-1646. Viehweger A, Krautwurst S, Lamkiewicz K, Madhugiri R, Ziebuhr J, Hölzer M, Marz M. 2019. Direct RNA nanopore sequencing of full-length coronavirus genomes provides novel insights into structural variants and enables modification analysis. Genome Res 20:1545-1554. Hung SS, Meissner B, Chavez EA, Ben-Neriah S, Ennishi D, Jones MR, Shulha HP, Chan FC, Boyle M, Kridel R, Gascoyne RD, Mungall AJ, Marra MA, Scott DW, Connors JM, Steidl C. 2018. Assessment of Capture and Amplicon-Based Approaches for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Pipeline to Personalize Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria fo discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Marin-Latil S, Hennechart-Colleute C, Guilier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus 8t a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, OConnor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. In	740	17	Kim D Lee IV Vang IS Kim IW Kim VN Chang H 2020 The Architecture of SARS-
 Rever Kata D, Saletore Y, Zumbo P, Elemento O, Mason Christopher E, Jaffrey Samie R. 2012. Comprehensive Analysis of mRNA Methylation Reveals Enrichment in 3' UTRs and near Stop Codons. Cell 149:1635-1646. Viehweger A, Krautwurst S, Lamkiewicz K, Madhugiri R, Ziebuhr J, Hölzer M, Marz M. 2019. Direct RNA nanopore sequencing of full-length coronavirus genomes provides novel insights into structural variants and enables modification analysis. Genome Res 29:1545-1554. Hung SS, Meissner B, Chavez EA, Ben-Neriah S, Ennishi D, Jones MR, Shulha HP, Chan FC, Boyle M, Kridel R, Gascoyne RD, Mungall AJ, Marra MA, Scott DW, Connors JM, Steidl C. 2018. Assessment of Capture and Amplicon-Based Approaches for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Pipeline to Personalize Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collett C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van	741	17.	CoV 2 Transprinteme Coll 181:014 021 c10
 Meyer Kate D, Satetore T, Zuffildo P, Elemento O, Masdof Christopher E, Jahrey Sathle K. 2012. Comprehensive Analysis of mRNA Methylation Reveals Enrichment in 3' UTRs and near Stop Codons. Cell 149:1635-1646. Viehweger A, Krautwurst S, Lamkiewicz K, Madhugiri R, Ziebuhr J, Hölzer M, Marz M. 2019. Direct RNA nanopore sequencing of full-length coronavirus genomes provides novel insights into structural variants and enables modification analysis. Genome Res 29:1545-1554. Hung SS, Meissner B, Chavez EA, Ben-Neriah S, Ennishi D, Jones MR, Shulha HP, Chan FC, Boyle M, Kridel R, Gascoyne RD, Mungall AJ, Marra MA, Scott DW, Connors JM, Steidl C. 2018. Assessment of Capture and Amplicon-Based Approaches for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Pipeline to Personalize Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Microbiol 31:246-253. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious	742	10	Cov-2 Hanschpionie. Cell 181.914-921 e10. Meyer Kete D. Seletere V. Zurnhe D. Elemente O. Meser Christenher E. Jeffrey Semie D.
 2012. Comprehensive Analysis of mRNA Methylation Reveals Enrichment in 3' UTRs and near Stop Codons. Cell 149:1635-1646. Vichweger A, Krautwurst S, Lamkiewicz K, Madhugiri R, Ziebuhr J, Hölzer M, Marz M. 2019. Direct RNA nanopore sequencing of full-length coronavirus genomes provides novel insights into structural variants and enables modification analysis. Genome Res 29:1545-1554. Hung SS, Meissner B, Chavez EA, Ben-Neriah S, Ennishi D, Jones MR, Shulha HP, Chan FC, Boyle M, Kridel R, Gascoyne RD, Mungall AJ, Marra MA, Scott DW, Connors JM, Steidl C. 2018. Assessment of Capture and Amplicon-Based Approaches for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Pipeline to Personalize Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45::351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duptex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human	743	10.	Meyer Kale D, Saletore T, Zumbo P, Elemento O, Mason Christopher E, Jarrey Same K.
 and near Stop Codons. Cell 149:1635-1646. Viehweger A, Krautwurst S, Lamkiewicz K, Madhugri R, Ziebuhr J, Hölzer M, Marz M. 2019. Direct RNA nanopore sequencing of full-length coronavirus genomes provides novel insights into structural variants and enables modification analysis. Genome Res 29:1545-1554. Hung SS, Meissner B, Chavez EA, Ben-Neriah S, Ennishi D, Jones MR, Shulha HP, Chan FC, Boyle M, Kridel R, Gascoyne RD, Mungall AJ, Marra MA, Scott DW, Connors JM, Steidl C. 2018. Assessment of Capture and Amplicon-Based Approaches for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing pipeline to Personalize Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Zo Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical viro	744		2012. Comprehensive Analysis of mRNA Methylation Reveals Enrichment in 3' UTRs
 Viehweger A, Krautwurst S, Lamkiewicz K, Madhugiri R, Ziebuhr J, Hölzer M, Marz M. 2019. Direct RNA nanopore sequencing of full-length coronavirus genomes provides novel insights into structural variants and enables modification analysis. Genome Res 29:1545-1554. Hung SS, Meissner B, Chavez EA, Ben-Neriah S, Ennishi D, Jones MR, Shulha HP, Chan FC, Boyle M, Kridel R, Gascoyne RD, Mungall AJ, Marra MA, Scott DW, Connors JM, Steidl C. 2018. Assessment of Capture and Amplicon-Based Approaches for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Pipeline to Personalize Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Hartin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimes. Journal of clinical virology : the official pu	745		and near Stop Codons. Cell 149:1635-1646.
 2019. Direct RNA nanopore sequencing of full-length coronavirus genomes provides novel insights into structural variants and enables modification analysis. Genome Res 29:1545-1554. 20. Hung SS, Meissner B, Chavez EA, Ben-Neriah S, Ennishi D, Jones MR, Shulha HP, Chan FC, Boyle M, Kridel R, Gascoyne RD, Mungall AJ, Marra MA, Scott DW, Connors JM, Steidl C. 2018. Assessment of Capture and Amplicon-Based Approaches for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Pipeline to Personalize Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. 21. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. 22. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. 23. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. 24. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. 25. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. 26. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, VeldKamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology 58:449-454. 27. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK	746	19.	Viehweger A, Krautwurst S, Lamkiewicz K, Madhugiri R, Ziebuhr J, Hölzer M, Marz M.
 novel insights into structural variants and enables modification analysis. Genome Res 29:1545-1554. Hung SS, Meissner B, Chavez EA, Ben-Neriah S, Ennishi D, Jones MR, Shulha HP, Chan FC, Boyle M, Kridel R, Gascoyne RD, Mungall AJ, Marra MA, Scott DW, Connors JM, Steidl C. 2018. Assessment of Capture and Amplicon-Based Approaches for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Pipeline to Personalize Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Conor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680.<td>747</td><td></td><td>2019. Direct RNA nanopore sequencing of full-length coronavirus genomes provides</td>	747		2019. Direct RNA nanopore sequencing of full-length coronavirus genomes provides
 29:1545-1554. Hung SS, Meissner B, Chavez EA, Ben-Neriah S, Ennishi D, Jones MR, Shulha HP, Chan FC, Boyle M, Kridel R, Gascoyne RD, Mungall AJ, Marra MA, Scott DW, Connors JM, Steidl C. 2018. Assessment of Capture and Amplicon-Based Approaches for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Pipeline to Personalize Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance	748		novel insights into structural variants and enables modification analysis. Genome Res
 Hung SS, Meissner B, Chavez EA, Ben-Neriah S, Ennishi D, Jones MR, Shulha HP, Chan FC, Boyle M, Kridel R, Gascoyne RD, Mungall AJ, Marra MA, Scott DW, Connors JM, Steidl C. 2018. Assessment of Capture and Amplicon-Based Approaches for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Pipeline to Personalize Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680.<!--</td--><td>749</td><td></td><td>29:1545-1554.</td>	749		29:1545-1554.
 Chan FC, Boyle M, Kridel R, Gascoyne RD, Mungall AJ, Marra MA, Scott DW, Connors JM, Steidl C. 2018. Assessment of Capture and Amplicon-Based Approaches for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Pipeline to Personalize Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in abone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significanc	750	20.	Hung SS, Meissner B, Chavez EA, Ben-Neriah S, Ennishi D, Jones MR, Shulha HP,
 Connors JM, Steidl C. 2018. Assessment of Capture and Amplicon-Based Approaches for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Pipeline to Personalize Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Jp DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J,	751		Chan FC, Boyle M, Kridel R, Gascoyne RD, Mungall AJ, Marra MA, Scott DW,
 for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Pipeline to Personalize Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikunguya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 19 oc	752		Connors JM, Steidl C. 2018. Assessment of Capture and Amplicon-Based Approaches
 Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214. Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 19 acces. Wanghou, China. 2020 	753		for the Development of a Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Pipeline to Personalize
 Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 detection using digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 19 access. Wanghou, China. 2020 	754		Lymphoma Management. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 20:203-214.
 digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge. medRxiv. 22. Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. 23. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. 24. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. 25. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. 26. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. 27. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. 28. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. 29. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COWID 19 access. Warehey Ching. 2020. 	755	21.	Lu R, Wang J, Li M, Wang Y, Dong J, Cai W, 2020, SARS-CoV-2 detection using
 medRxiv. parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 16 acces. Warehen Chine 2020. 	756		digital PCR for COVID-19 diagnosis, treatment monitoring and criteria for discharge.
 Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluver of COVID 19. 	757		medRxiv.
 loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:257-263. 23. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. 24. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. 25. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. 26. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. 27. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. 28. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. 29. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 19. Genese Waverbow. Chine. 2020. 	758	22.	Parida M, Posadas G, Inoue S, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004. Real-time reverse transcription
 Microbiol 42:257-263. Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 10 encore. 	759		loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of West Nile virus. J Clin
 Parida M, Santhosh S, Dash P, Tripathi N, Lakshmi V, Mamidi N, Shrivastva A, Gupta N, Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID. 19 cases 	760		Microbiol 42:257-263.
 Saxena P, Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 19 caces. Warnshow, China. 2020. 	761	23.	Parida M. Santhosh S. Dash P. Tripathi N. Lakshmi V. Mamidi N. Shrivastva A. Gupta N.
 transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357. Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 19 cases. Wanybay. China 2020. 	762		Saxena P. Babu JP. 2007. Rapid and real-time detection of Chikungunya virus by reverse
 Martin-Latil S, Hennechart-Collette C, Guillier L, Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 19 cases. Wanzhen: China. 2020. 	763		transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. J Clin Microbiol 45:351-357.
 extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 19 cases. Wearshow Ching. 2020. 	764	24.	Martin-Latil S. Hennechart-Collette C. Guillier L. Perelle S. 2012. Comparison of two
 murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-qPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253. Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 19 caces. Workboard Ching. 2020. 	765		extraction methods for the detection of hepatitis A virus in semi-dried tomatoes and
 Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Biron A, O'Connor O, Huguon E, Descloux E. 2016. Infectious Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 19 cases. Warehow. China 2020. 	766		murine norovirus as a process control by duplex RT-aPCR. Food Microbiol 31:246-253
 Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051. 26. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. 27. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. 28. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. 29. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in 	767	25	Dupont-Rouzevrol M Biron A O'Connor O Huguon E Descloux E 2016 Infectious
 de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 26. de Crom SCM, Obihara CC, de Moor RA, Veldkamp EJM, van Furth AM, Rossen JWA. 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. 27. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in 	768	20.	Zika viral particles in breastmilk. The Lancet 387:1051
 20. all Crom Berlin, Commune Cel, de Moor Mill, Verendamp EdM, van Fahn, Harrin Mil, Rossen 5 (14). 2013. Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. 27. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in 	769	26	de Crom SCM Obihara CC de Moor RA Veldkamp EIM van Furth AM Rossen IWA
 parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in 	770	20.	2013 Prospective comparison of the detection rates of human enterovirus and
 clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 19 cases. Weighboy. China. 2020. 	771		parechovirus RT-qPCR and viral culture in different pediatric specimens. Journal of
 Virology 58:449-454. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 19 cases. Weighted Science of Coving 2020. 	772		clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical
 27. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan K-H, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen H-L, Li Y, Ip DK, 27. Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. 28. Nat Med 26:676-680. 28. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and 28. enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. 29. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in 29. cluster of COVID 19 cases. Weighbour, China. 2020. 	773		Virology 58.449-454
 Peiris JM. 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 19 cases. Weinzhou. China. 2020. 	774	27	Leung NH Chu DK Shiu EY Chan K-H McDevitt II Hau BI Yen H-L Li Y In DK
 Nat Med 26:676-680. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 19 cases. Worzboy. China. 2020. 	775	_/.	Peiris IM 2020 Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks
 Rut filed 20:070 000. Rut filed 20:070 000. Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 19 cases. Worzboy. China. 2020. 	776		Nat Med 26:676-680
 enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 19 cases. Worzhou. China. 2020. 	777	28	Boone SA, Gerba CP, 2007 Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and
779 29. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in cluster of COVID 19 cases. Worzhou, China, 2020.	778	-0.	enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1687-1696
780 cluster of COVID 10 cases. Worzboy, China 2020. Indirect virus transmission in	779	29	Cai J. Sun W. Huang J. Gamber M. Wu J. He G. 2020. Indirect virus transmission in
100 UIUNEI UI UU VID-17 CASES, WEIIZHOU, UIIIIA, 2020.	780	_/ •	cluster of COVID-19 cases. Wenzhou, China. 2020.

- 30. Liu K, Chen Y, Lin R, Han K. 2020. Clinical features of COVID-19 in elderly patients: A comparison with young and middle-aged patients. J Infect.
- MacIntyre CR. 2014. The discrepant epidemiology of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV). Environ Syst Decis 34:383-390.
- Elimelech M, Xiaohua Z, Childress AE, Seungkwan H. 1997. Role of membrane surface
 morphology in colloidal fouling of cellulose acetate and composite aromatic polyamide
 reverse osmosis membranes. J Membr Sci 127:101-109.
- 33. Yamagishi T. 2020. Environmental sampling for severe acute respiratory syndrome
 coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) during a coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak aboard
 a commercial cruise ship. medRxiv
- 791 doi:10.1101/2020.05.02.20088567:2020.05.02.20088567.
- Bruce EA, Huang M-L, Perchetti GA, Tighe S, Laaguiby P, Hoffman JJ, Gerrard DL,
 Nalla AK, Wei Y, Greninger AL, Diehl SA, Shirley DJ, Leonard DGB, Huston CD,
 Kirkpatrick BD, Dragon JA, Crothers JW, Jerome KR, Botten JW. 2020. DIRECT RTqPCR DETECTION OF SARS-CoV-2 RNA FROM PATIENT NASOPHARYNGEAL
- 796 SWABS WITHOUT AN RNA EXTRACTION STEP. bioRxiv
- 797 doi:10.1101/2020.03.20.001008:2020.03.20.001008.
- Bargoma E, La Duc MT, Kwan K, Vaishampayan P, Venkateswaran K. 2013.
 Differential recovery of phylogenetically disparate microbes from spacecraft-qualified metal surfaces. Astrobiology 13:189-202.
- 36. Ong SWX, Tan YK, Chia PY, Lee TH, Ng OT, Wong MSY, Marimuthu K. 2020. Air,
 Surface Environmental, and Personal Protective Equipment Contamination by Severe
 Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) From a Symptomatic Patient.
 JAMA 323:1610-1612.
- Kwan K, Cooper M, La Duc MT, Vaishampayan P, Stam C, Benardini JN, Scalzi G,
 Moissl-Eichinger C, Venkateswaran K. 2011. Evaluation of procedures for the collection,
 processing, and analysis of biomolecules from low-biomass surfaces. Appl Environ
 Microbiol 77:2943-53.
- 38. La Duc MT, Osman S, Venkateswaran K. 2009. Comparative analysis of methods for the
 purification of DNA from low-biomass samples based on total yield and conserved
 microbial diversity. Journal of Rapid Methods & Automation in Microbiology 17:350368.
- 39. Danko DC, Bezdan D, Afshinnekoo E, Ahsanuddin S, Alicea J, Bhattacharya C,
 Bhattacharyya M, Blekhman R, Butler DJ, Castro-Nallar E, Cañas AM, Chatziefthimiou
 AD, Chng KR, Coil DA, Court DS, Crawford RW, Desnues C, Dias-Neto E, Donnellan
 D, Dybwad M, Eisen JA, Elhaik E, Ercolini D, De Filippis F, Frolova A, Graf AB, Green
- 817 DC, Lee PKH, Hecht J, Hernandez M, Jang S, Kahles A, Karasikov M, Knights K,
- 818 Kyrpides NC, Ljungdahl P, Lyons A, Mason-Buck G, McGrath K, Mongodin EF,
- 819 Mustafa H, Mutai B, Nagarajan N, Neches RY, Ng A, Nieto-Caballero M, Nikolayeva O,
- 820 Nikolayeva T, Noushmehr H, Oliveira M, et al. 2019. Global Genetic Cartography of
- 821 Urban Metagenomes and Anti-Microbial Resistance. bioRxiv
 822 doi:10.1101/724526:724526.
- 40. Corman VM, Landt O, Kaiser M, Molenkamp R, Meijer A, Chu DK, Bleicker T, Brünink
- 824 S, Schneider J, Schmidt ML, Mulders DG, Haagmans BL, van der Veer B, van den Brink
- 825 S, Wijsman L, Goderski G, Romette J-L, Ellis J, Zambon M, Peiris M, Goossens H,
- 826 Reusken C, Koopmans MP, Drosten C. 2020. Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus

827		(2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les
828		maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin 25:2000045.
829	41.	Butler DJ, Mozsary C, Meydan C, Danko D, Foox J, Rosiene J, Shaiber A, Afshinnekoo
830		E, MacKay M, Sedlazeck FJ, Ivanov NA, Sierra M, Pohle D, Zietz M, Gisladottir U,
831		Ramlall V, Westover CD, Ryon K, Young B, Bhattacharya C, Ruggiero P, Langhorst
832		BW, Tanner N, Gawrys J, Meleshko D, Xu D, Steel PAD, Shemesh AJ, Xiang J, Thierry-
833		Mieg J, Thierry-Mieg D, Schwartz RE, Iftner A, Bezdan D, Sipley J, Cong L, Craney A,
834		Velu P, Melnick AM, Hajirasouliha I, Horner SM, Iftner T, Salvatore M, Loda M,
835		Westblade LF, Cushing M, Levy S, Wu S, Tatonetti N, Imielinski M, et al. 2020.
836		Shotgun Transcriptome and Isothermal Profiling of SARS-CoV-2 Infection Reveals
837		Unique Host Responses, Viral Diversification, and Drug Interactions. bioRxiv
838		doi:10.1101/2020.04.20.048066:2020.04.20.048066.
839	42.	McEnerney B. 2018. General Cleaning of Materials. Jet Propulsion Laboratoy, California
840		Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA.
841		
842		

843 Figure Legends

844	Figure 1: Influence of swab and DRS on viral RNA extraction efficiency. Equal quantities of the
845	inactivated AccuPlex viral particles were extracted using a variety of initial extraction conditions
846	and then quantified using RT-qPCR assay. The extraction conditions encompassed water with no
847	swab (\bullet), water with Isohelix swab (\blacksquare), DNA/RNA Shield (DRS) with no swab (\checkmark) DRS with
848	Isohelix swab (\blacklozenge). Each extraction condition was then divided by the average copy numbers
849	generated from the water with no swab (theoretical highest yield) to get percent recovery and
850	plotted with columns representing their mean percentage. Welch's t test was used to determine
851	significant differences between extraction conditions, significance ($p<0.05$) denoted by '*'.
852	Figure 2: Extraction kit efficiency. RNA extraction from AccuPlex viral particles was examined
853	using Direct PCR (black column) and compared to four different combinations of storage liquids
854	and extraction kits including Maxwell RSC Viral extraction kit with water (•), ethanol (EtOH;
855	■), and DRS (\checkmark), as well as Zymo Quick-DNA/RNA Viral Kit with DRS (\diamondsuit), followed by
856	quantification using RT-qPCR assay. Values are expressed as nucleocapsid (N1) copy numbers
857	in 5μ L of RNA extract; all replicates are plotted as individual points, with presented as columns.
858	Direct PCR was treated as 100% to calculate the extraction efficeny of the other extraction
859	methods (recorded with in the columns). Significant differences were determined by Welch's t
860	test, significance (p<0.05) denoted by '*'.
861	Figure 3: Viral particle recovery from built-environment surface materials. (A) Image of the
862	inoculated plates (BSS, PSS, FRP, and PETG) where inactivated viral particles (10 μ L of
863	NATrol) were aliquoted 10 times on to four separate materials in triplicate. (B) Viral particles
864	were either kept overnight at room temperature as liquid (Eppendorf tube was closed; Water No

865 Swab, \blacktriangle) or desiccated over night at room temperature in tubes (No Swab Desiccated \bullet) which 866 were then sacrificed to extract RNA directly without removing from the surface. In addition, 867 aliquots of viral particles that were not desiccated but inoculated in DRS and swab materials 868 were also processed (DRS Swab, ■). (C) Viral particles were collected from the seeded surfaces 869 with Isohelix swabs and DRS, extracted on the Maxwell RSC, and quantified using RT-qPCR 870 assay. Viral RNA copy number for each condition was divided by an extraction control to 871 calculate percent recovery for Day 1 (♥), Day 2 (●), and Day 8 post bleach (■). Statistical 872 significance was determined by Welch's t test with significance (p<0.05) denoted by '*'. 873 Figure 4: Comparison of RT-LAMP and RT-qPCR assays. (A) RT-LAMP assay limit of 874 detection was carried for AccuPlex and NATtrol standards with both the colorimetric changes 875 seen in the reaction (RT-LAMP Assay output) and the Qubit quantifications presented across a 876 dilution series of viral particle number. The qualitative RT-LAMP assay output was determined 877 based on color change from red to yellow in the presence of the target sequence, whereas RNA 878 measurements of RT-LAMP assay reactions using Qubit give semi-quantitative values. Qubit 879 values that were below 100 ng/µL were denoted as '-' and Qubit values that were above 150 880 ng/µL were recorded as '+'. (B) Viral particles collected from built-environment surface 881 materials (Figure 3 Day 1) were analyzed with the RT-LAMP and RT-qPCR assays. RT-LAMP 882 Assay colorimetric output is presented alongside Qubit +/- result value, and RT-qPCR quantities. 883 Values that were not tested were marked as not applicable (NA), and values that were 884 undetectable were recorded as BDL.

885Figure 5: Inhibition by field collected built-environment surface samples post RNA extraction.

886 Field swab collection of diverse built-environment surface samples had their DRS vials spiked

887 with inactivated viral AccuPlex particles prior to RNA extraction and quantification with RT-

- qPCR. Differential amplification of Metal SS (•), Amerstat (•), Plastic (•), Metal Cu (•),
- 889 Painted Surface (•), and Wood (•) was compared to a positive control (•) and reported as
- 890 percent recovery compared to that positive control mean. Each column represents average
- 891 percent recovery for respective surface type. Significance (p<0.05) denoted by '*', based on
- 892 Welch's t test.
- 893 Figure 6: Environmental surface testing using E2E protocol. The optimized E2E protocol for
- detecting SARS-CoV-2 virus on surfaces is a 5 part procedure: (1) Surface sample collection; (2)
- 895 Viral transport medium; (3) RNA extraction; (4) RT-qPCR assay; and (5) Test results.
- 896 Tables
- 897 NA
- 898

899 Supplemental Legends

900 Supp. Fig 1: <u>RT-qPCR Inhibition by Built-Environment Surface Materials</u>

- 901 Uninoculated Built-Environment coupons were swabbed with Isohelix swabs and DRS, and then
- 902 spiked with NATrol. RT-qPCR was performed after RNA extraction on the four sampled surface
- materials BSS (•), PSS (•), FRP (\checkmark), PETG (\blacklozenge), and water no swab (\blacktriangle). Data is presented as
- 904 percent RNA recovery for each material type as compared to a control inhibitor free NATtrol
- 905 RNA extraction. All replicates are plotted and columns represent mean percent recovery.
- 906 Significant differences were determined between materials using Welch's t test, significance
- 907 indicated by '*'.
- Supp. Fig 2: <u>RT-qPCR Inhibition by Field Collected Built-Environment Surface Samples Post</u>
 RNA Extraction
- 910 RNA was extracted from the same diverse set of Built-Environment field samples as in Fig. 6.
- 911 SARS-CoV-2 cDNA was added to each sample prior to RT-qPCR to determine the inhibitor
- 912 carry-through impacts on amplification of Metal SS (•), Amerstat (•), Plastic (•), Metal Cu (•),
- 913 Painted Surface (•), and Wood (•) and positive control (•). Data is presented as percent

914 recovery compared to the positive control mean, while means for each surface type are presented

- 915 as columns. Welch's t test determined significant differences between samples (**').
- 916 Supp. Fig 3: Collection Tool Selection and Use of Transfer Media
- 917 Comparison of the MetaSUB sampling SOP of 2016 and 2017-19. A) SOP for MetaSUB
- sampling day 2016. Samples had to be transported on dry ice and kept cold at all times. Copan
- swab heads have been treated with lysis buffer, cut into a 1.5ml tube containing a filter,
- 920 centrifuged to separate the lysate from the foamy swab, and combined with its sample associated

921	transport media. Those swab-specific treatments add approximately 1.5h per 96 samples to the
922	extraction process. DNA extraction was performed using Promega Maxwell. B) SOP for
923	MetaSUB sampling days 2017-19. Samples have been collected in DNA/RNA preservative
924	(Zymo Shield) at room temperature. Isohelix swabs release species after lysis and vortex due to
925	their hard surface. Samples collected in early 2017 have been extracted using the Promega
926	Maxwell system while DNA extraction for samples received after mid-2017 has been outsourced
927	to Zymo Research.
928	Supp. Fig 4: Comparison of Primer Sets and Viral Standards
929	CDC/NIH recommended SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid N1 (red) and N2 (blue) primer sets were
930	tested in combination with both SARS-CoV-2 standards, IDT cDNA (\blacklozenge) and TWIST RNA (\bullet),
931	over a log dilution from 10,000 copies to 1 copy (n=5) (A-D). The N1 primer set (A, C) had
932	lower r ² values than N2 primer set (B, D); however, the IDT standard with both N1 and N2
933	primer sets (A, B) had higher r ² values than the TWIST standard with N1 and N2 primer sets (C,
934	D). The N1 primer set was used with IDT (\bullet) and TWIST (\blacksquare) to produce RT-qPCR standard
935	curves that were intern used to quantitate five AccuPlex RNA extraction controls (S1-S5)
936	amplified from 5 μ L of extracted RNA (B). The number of N1 RNA copies from individual
937	extraction control replicates were plotted along with each controls' mean value.
938	Supp. Fig 5: <u>RT-qPCR Limit of detection.</u>
939	The inset figure shows RT-qPCR generated Ct values for the two-fold dilution series of IDT
940	cDNA copies. The IDT dilution series are 200 (●), 100 (●), 50 (●), 25 (●), 10 (●), 5 (●), 1 (●),
941	and no template control (•; NTC; negative control) (n=12). All replicates were positive when

942 IDT was at higher concentrations (200 to 25 copies); however, 2, 5, and 12 replicates were

943	negative for the IDT at 10, 5, and 1 copies, respectively. Of these 12 replicates per IDT dilution,
944	three representative amplification plots per IDT dilution are presented as normalized reporter
945	value (Rn) by amplification cycle number (Cycle). Color coded labeled arrows point to each
946	triplicate plot.
947	Supp. Fig 6: Quantification and Comparison of AccuPlex and NATrol Viral Particles
948	(A) AccuPlex and (B) NATrol. RT-qPCR extraction replicates are given in x-axis, and
949	manufacturers' reported copy numbers are demarcated by red lines. (C) Values reported in the
950	table include particle numbers reported by the manufacturers and RNA copy numbers
951	determined by dd-PCR method and RT-qPCR assays. The fold-increase by RT-qPCR using IDT
952	viral fragments as standard curve is depicted.
953	Supp. Table 1: Quantification and Comparison of Accuplex and NATrol Viral Particles by direct
954	droplet qPCR
955	Multiple extraction methods were performed, including direct extraction and a variety of RNA
956	extraction kits, followed by ddPCR to determine the precise quantity of N1 and N2 gene copies
957	present in both Accuplex and NATrol viral particles.
958	Data Set-1: Independent Validation Report of E2E Protocol by a Second laboratory.
959	



Viral Copy (%)





Α

Comple Norse									Vi	ral Practice I	Numb	ber								
	0			2.5			5			10			12.5				25			
	LAI	MP	L	.AN	1P	Qubit	L	AN	ИΡ	Qubit	LAN	ΛP	Qubit	LA	M	Ρ	Qubit	LA	MP	Qubit
AccuPlex		-		0	-	17ng/μL	(+	161ng/µL	🛑 + 240ng/μL		NA		NA			NA		
NATrol A	C	-		0	-	7ng/μL			-	7ng/μL	NA		C		+	280ng/µL		+	282ng/µL	
NATrol B	C	-		0	-	7ng/μL		0	-	6ng/μL	NA		NA			+	224ng/µL		+	220ng/µL

В					C
Sample Name	qPC	R Copy Nun	nber	LAMP Assay	TTOCG AND ARCOLL AND COLLEGED CONTRACTOR COLLEGED COLLEGE
BSS1	BDL	5	BDL		
BSS2	BDL	BDL	BDL	<u> </u>	
BSS3	10	7	10		My March MMM March Mar
PS1	14	19	18	- + +	Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 isolate SARS-CoV-2/human/BHR/wuhan-hu-1/2020
PS2	BDL	5	5		Sequence ID: MT742783.1 Length: 29856 Number of Matches: 1 Page 0.1: 20142 to 20200 ConBack Combine Wind Match
PS3	5	9	9	<u> </u>	Score Expect Identities Skps Strand 124 bits(67) 6e-25 67/67(100%) 0/67(0%) Plus/Mirus
PETG1	10	9	9		Query 1 TECCONAGACCECENACCECECOGCCANATORCONATECCCCCCCANTGREGAN 60
PETG2	41	27	46	6 6 6 +++	Query 61 CAGTTCC 67
PETG3	14	56	36	<u> </u>	Solet 29149 CANTOO 29143
FRP1	BDL	BDL	BDL		
FRP2	BDL	BDL	BDL		
FRP3	BDL	5	BDL	000	
NC_BSS	BDL	BDL	BDL	000	
ZS1	BDL	BDL	BDL		
ZSZ1	394	384	437	000+++	Work W mary annous an mary annous annous
ZSZ2	279	330	373	<u> </u>	<u>MANA E LE AL AL ALTITY WITH COMPANY ALE ALARDED SELVARIABLE</u>
ZSZ3	466	380	519	<u> </u>	TTGTAATCA GTTCCGCTTCAGCGTTCTTCGGAAAGTSGSGCATIGGCAIGGAAGT 60 70 80 100 100 110 1. A . A A A
ZPC1	1852	1825	1541	<u> </u>	wanter margar Margara Margara Margara
ZPC2	1730	1689	1673	<u> </u>	Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 isolate SARS-CoV-2/human/NLD/Leiden-0008/2020.
ZPC3	1608	1501	1399	<u> </u>	Sequence ID: MT705206.1 Length: 29898 Number of Matches: 2
Blank				000	Kengg 1: 29131 to 29221 Sentants Stratacto Thex match Previous hatch Score Expect Identities Gaps Strand 129 bits(142) Se-26 71/71(100%) Plus/Minus
Positive		NA			Query 1 GACATTCCGAAGAAGCGTGAAGCGCGAAGTGGGGGAAATTGCGCCAATTGCGCCAATGTTG 60
Blank				000	orje: 42421 WACHT-CUMAMAMACUCTVARGUGUUUUUGGCAAATTGTGCAATTTSCGGCCAATGTTTS 29162 Query 61 TAATCAGTTCC 71
Negative		NA		999	Sbjet 29161 TRATCRETTCC 29151



