1 A novel deep learning-based point-of-care diagnostic method for detecting *Plasmodium*

2 *falciparum* with fluorescence digital microscopy

- **3** O. Holmström^{1*}, S. Stenman¹, A. Suutala¹, H. Moilanen², H. Kücükel¹, B. Ngasala^{3,4}, A. Mårtensson³,
- 4 L. Mhamilawa^{3, 4}, B. Aydin-Schmidt⁵, M. Lundin¹, V. Diwan⁶, N. Linder^{1,3*}, J. Lundin^{1,6*}

5

- ¹Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland (FIMM), P.O. Box 20, FI-00014, University
- 7 of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- 8 ²Center of Microscopy and Nanotechnology, University of Oulu, Finland
- 9 ³Department of Women's and Children's Health, International Maternal and Child Health (IMCH),
- 10 Uppsala University, Sweden
- ⁴Department of Medical Entomology and Parasitology, School of Public Health, Muhimbili
- 12 University of Health and Allied Sciences, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania
- ⁵Department of Microbiology, Tumor and Cell Biology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
- ⁶Department of Global Public Health, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
- 15
- 16
- 17 Corresponding author: Johan Lundin, Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland
- 18 (FIMM), P.O. Box 20, FI-00014, University of Helsinki, Finland, E-mail: johan.lundin@helsinki.fi

19

- 20 Short running title: Point-of-care fluorescence microscopy and deep learning for malaria diagnostics
- 21 Key words: Point-of-care testing, Malaria, Computer-aided Diagnosis, Deep learning, Fluorescence
- 22 microscopy.

23

- 24 Word count of abstract: 295
- 25
- 26 Abstract
- 27 Background Malaria remains a major global health problem with a need for improved field-usable
- 28 diagnostic tests. We have developed a portable, low-cost digital microscope scanner, capable of both

29	brightfield and fluorescence imaging. Here, we used the instrument to digitize blood smears, and
30	applied deep learning (DL) algorithms to detect Plasmodium falciparum parasites. Methods Thin
31	blood smears ($n = 125$) were collected from patients with microscopy-confirmed <i>P. falciparum</i>
32	infections in rural Tanzania, prior to and after initiation of artemisinin-based combination therapy.
33	The samples were stained using the 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole fluorogen and digitized using the
34	prototype microscope scanner. Two DL algorithms were trained to detect malaria parasites in the
35	samples, and results compared to the visual assessment of both the digitized samples, and the Giemsa-
36	stained thick smears. Results Detection of P. falciparum parasites in the digitized thin blood smears
37	was possible both by visual assessment and by DL-based analysis with a strong correlation in results
38	(r = 0.99, p < 0.01). A moderately strong correlation was observed between the DL-based thin smear
39	analysis and the visual thick smear-analysis ($r = 0.74$, $p < 0.01$). Low levels of parasites were detected
40	by DL-based analysis on day three following treatment initiation, but a small number of fluorescent
41	signals were detected also in microscopy-negative samples. Conclusion Quantification of P.
42	falciparum parasites in DAPI-stained thin smears is feasible using DL-supported, point-of-care digital
43	microscopy, with a high correlation to visual assessment of samples. Fluorescent signals from
44	artefacts in samples with low infection levels represented the main challenge for the digital analysis,
45	thus highlighting the importance of minimizing sample contaminations. The proposed method could
46	support malaria diagnostics and monitoring of treatment response through automated quantification of
47	parasitaemia and is likely to be applicable also for diagnostics of other <i>Plasmodium</i> species and other
48	infectious diseases.

49

50 1 Introduction

51 Malaria remains a global health burden with over 200 million new yearly cases (1). Although the 52 disease incidence has decreased by approximately 10% during the last decade, data indicates that 53 progress has stalled during recent years (1). As most malaria cases occur in rural areas (1), the disease 54 burden is caused partly by difficulties in diagnosing the disease. Currently, multiple techniques exist 55 for malaria diagnostics (2). Light microscopy assessment of blood smears to detect *Plasmodium*

56 parasites remains the diagnostic golden standard (3) and allows detection and quantification of the 57 various *Plasmodium* species while also being more sensitive than rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) (4). 58 Disadvantages with microscopy-based testing include a high level of labour intensiveness, 59 subjectivity (5, 6), dependence on the microscopist's skill and experience (7), requirements in terms 60 of sample preparation and varying sensitivity for lower-level and mixed infections (4). In addition to 61 microscopy, easy-to-use RDTs based on lateral flow immunochromatography to detect Plasmodium-62 specific antigens are being used to an increasing extent (8). These RDTs enable rapid diagnostics at 63 the point-of-care (POC), but have limited accuracy for non-falciparum (2) and low-level infections, 64 do not allow for quantification of parasites when monitoring treatment response, and remain positive 65 after treatment initiation, which means that results should ideally be validated by other methods (4). 66 Detection of *Plasmodium* spp. nucleic acid with nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) has 67 superior analytical sensitivity compared to other methods, especially for mixed infections (9), and 68 allows quantification of parasitaemia (by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction; qPCR) but 69 is more technically demanding, expensive and therefore not widely available (4), although certain 70 NAAT-methods, such as loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) show promise as a field-71 usable techniques (10). Consequently, the World Health Organization (WHO) currently recommends 72 microscopy-based methods to confirm diagnosis in suspected cases of malaria (3). Various staining 73 methods have been proposed for microscopy identification of malaria parasites in blood smears, with 74 Giemsa staining being the standard method (5). As visual analysis of blood smears is time-consuming 75 and subjective, fluorescent staining methods have been proposed to facilitate the sample analysis 76 process (11). Cell-permeable fluorescent stains can be used to visualize the intracellular Plasmodium 77 parasites more clearly and at lower magnification (12) to reduce the need for high-power microscopy 78 equipment. Fluorescent stainings can also be combined with brightfield staining protocols (11). As 79 access to microscopy diagnostics is severely limited in many areas, the potential to utilize optical 80 components from widely-available consumer electronic products (such as smartphone cameras) to 81 create digital microscopes has been recognized (13 - 15). By utilizing miniaturized, low-cost 82 optomechanical components, several devices for POC digitization of microscopy slides have been 83 developed. Compared to larger-sized, laboratory-grade slide scanners, these types of devices can be

84 manufactured significantly cheaper (16) and have other potential advantages, such as a smaller 85 physical size for increased portability, which make them potentially more suitable for POC usage. 86 Although these components are significantly less expensive than those used in high-end alternatives, 87 the imaging performance achievable is sufficient to e.g. visualize pathogens in common infectious 88 diseases (17) and for analysis of histological samples (18). Digitization of samples at the POC 89 combined with the mobile connectivity of the instruments also mean that samples can be uploaded to 90 a cloud server for remote access and analysis using digital methods (19). Multiple approaches have 91 been studied for automated, computer-assisted diagnosis of malaria using both traditional computer 92 vision methods and more recently machine learning algorithms based on deep convolutional neural 93 networks (20-22). Several efforts have been made to digitize blood smears for malaria diagnostics 94 with POC slide scanners, but a significant challenge with conventionally-stained samples is the need 95 for higher magnifications than what is typically supported by these platforms (21).

96 In this proof-of-concept study we describe how thin blood smears acquired in field-settings in 97 Tanzania, stained with the 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) fluorophore and scanned using a 98 low-cost POC digital microscope scanner prototype enables visualization of *P. falciparum* parasites. 99 P. falciparum is both the most prevalent malaria parasite in Africa, and the cause of the vast majority 100 of malaria-related deaths (1). By digitizing both brightfield and fluorescent image channels from 101 blood smears, and combining them into hybrid images, intracellular malaria parasites can be 102 visualized in the digital samples. Furthermore, we train and apply two separate deep-learning 103 algorithms to automatically detect and quantify *P. falciparum* trophozoites in the digital samples. 104 Results are compared to the visual analysis of the digital samples and to expert microscopy of 105 Giemsa-stained thick smears, using samples collected on the day of initiation of artemisinin-based 106 combination therapy (ACT) and three days after treatment initiation.

107 2 Materials and Methods

108 2.1 Acquisition and preparation of samples

109	We acquired 125 thin blood films for this study, which were collected as part of the trial by
110	Mhamilawa et al. (23). The overall study workflow and sample analysis process is illustrated as a
111	STARD diagram in the supplementary material (S Fig 6). The samples were collected in a region with
112	moderate levels of malaria transmission where P. falciparum is the predominant species (Bagamoyo
113	District, Tanzania) during a time-period between July 2017 and March 2018. Samples were collected
114	from volunteering patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria (age between 1 and 65 years, history of
115	fever in the last 24 hours or axillary temperature \geq 37.5 °C, microscopy-confirmed uncomplicated <i>P</i> .
116	falciparum monoinfection and written informed consent obtained). Microscopy confirmation of
117	malaria positivity was performed on separate Giemsa-stained thick blood smears by certified
118	professional microscopists. The number of asexual parasites and gametocytes was determined by
119	counting the number of visible parasites per 200 white blood cells (WBCs) using a hand tally counter.
120	The parasite density, measured as the number of as exual parasites per microliter (μ l) of blood, was
121	estimated by dividing the number of detected asexual parasites per by the number of WBCs counted
122	(200) and multiplying the value by the assumed WBC count μl of blood (8,000 WBC/ μl). A blood
123	smear was considered negative after examining 100 high-power fields or counting 500 WBC with no
124	parasites seen. Each slide was read by two independent and experienced microscopists, and upon
125	disagreement on presence of parasites or if density differed by more than 25%, the slides were
126	subjected to a third independent and decisive reader (blinded to the results from the previous readers).
127	The mean parasitemia of the two most concordant readings were used as final parasite densities. In
128	total, 125 unstained thin blood films from 100 separate patients were obtained for this study. 100 of
129	these samples were collected before initiation of artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT) (Day
130	0), and 25 samples were follow-up thin blood smears collected three days after initiation of ACT (Day
131	3). The Day 3 samples were also analysed by light microscopy examination, according to the
132	procedure described above. Samples were fixated with methanol (water < 3 %) by incubating for

133 approximately 20 minutes at room temperature, and stored in slide boxes following this. For the 134 staining of the samples, the slides were initially rinsed with deionized water, after which staining of 135 the samples was performed using a mounting media solution containing 4',6-Diamidino-2-136 phenylindole (DAPI) fluorescent stain (Fluoroshield with DAPI, Sigma-Aldrich Finland Oy, Espoo, 137 Finland). DAPI is a counterstain for DNA and RNA which penetrates cellular membranes to stain the 138 DNA (and RNA) of the *Plasmodium* parasites inside intact erythrocytes. The DAPI staining solution 139 was applied to the sample and distributed over the surface of the glass slide. Following this, the 140 sample was let to stand at room temperature for five minutes, after which a cover slip was carefully 141 applied to the sample to avoid air bubbles. After staining, the quality of the sample was examined 142 visually with a fluorescence microscope to confirm that the staining quality was adequate for analysis 143 (i.e. visible fluorescent WBCs to confirm successful staining and low amounts of debris).

144 2.2 Digitization of slides

145 For the digitization of the samples we used a prototype of a portable, digital microscope scanner,

146 developed and patented by the University of Helsinki (Helsinki, Finland) for POC scanning of

biological samples (Fig 1). The device supports brightfield and fluorescent imaging of glass slides and

scanning of sample areas measuring multiple fields of view (FOVs), by capturing and stitching

together multiple FOVs in a similar way as conventional whole-slide microscopy scanners. The

150 device is constructed using inexpensive plastic optomechanical components from consumer electronic

151 products. Total material costs for the components are comparable to the price of a mid-range

smartphone (approximately 500 - 1000 EUR), and significantly lower than the prices of conventional

slide scanners with device costs typically between 50.000 to 300.000 USD (16).

154

Figure 1. (a) The prototype digital slide scanner (1) with slide adjustment motor unit (2) and laptop

156 computer used to control device (3). (b) USAF standardized resolution test chart, digitized with the

157 microscope prototype, showing the smallest set of resolvable bars (corresponding to a spatial

158 resolution of $0.9 \,\mu$ m).

1	50	co.
	03	13

160	Digital images are captured using a camera module typically used in smartphone camera systems
161	(See3CAM_130, e-con Systems Inc., St Louis, USA), featuring a 13-megapixel (maximum resolution
162	4208 x 3120 pixels) complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) sensor with a plastic 1/3.2"
163	lens. A white light-emitting diode (LED) is used as the light source for brightfield imaging and an
164	ultraviolet LED combined with a retractable band pass filter for fluorescent imaging (peak wavelength
165	365 nm). If the FOV is digitized using both the brightfield and fluorescence modes of the microscope,
166	the resulting image can be rendered into a single merged image. (Fig 2).
167	
168	Figure 2. Microscopic field of view (FOV), showing the corresponding (a) brightfield, (b)
169	fluorescence and (c) merged thin blood smear digital images. Red bounding boxes showing enlarged
170	areas with (1) infected red blood cells (RBCs), (2) normal RBCs, (3) leukocytes and (4) fluorescent
171	debris.
172	
173	With the current image sensor and lens, the pixel size was 0.22 μ m and the spatial resolution 0.9 μ m,
174	as measured using a standardized USAF resolution test chart and white-light LED illumination (Fig
175	1). One sensor FOV measures 0.22 mm^2 (4208 x 3120 pixels), which is approximately five times
176	larger than the FOV of a typical 100x objective (0.22 mm ² compared to 0.04 mm ²).
177	The device is connected to and operated from a computer by universal serial bus (USB), which also
178	provides power for the device. An external motor unit (Fig 2) is used to move the sample holder with
179	the glass slide to scan the sample. Coarse focus can be adjusted with a manual focus lever and fine
180	focus with the built-in autofocus routine of the camera module. The device is controlled with a custom
181	software written in the matrix laboratory (MATLAB, MathWorks Inc, Natick, MA) computing and
182	programming environment, which features a live view from the camera feed, overview of scanned
183	areas and controls for adjusting parameters of slide scanning (e.g. area to be captured, focus and
184	exposure). Digitization of areas measuring more than one FOV utilizes the motor unit for automatic
185	slide translation while the camera captures multiple images. The individual digitized samples in this

186	study measured 12-20 FOVs per sample, representing a thin smear area (red blood cell [RBC]
187	monolayer) without significant amounts of artefacts or debris when examined visually) of 2.65-4.41
188	mm ² . This corresponds to approximately 80-140 optical microscopy FOVs, using a conventional 100
189	x magnification (24). Image files were saved locally in the Tagged Image File Format (TIFF) and
190	converted to a wavelet file format (Enhanced Compressed Wavelet, ECW, ER Mapper, Intergraph,
191	Atlanta, Georgia) with a compression ratio of 1:9, before uploading to the image management
192	platform (Aiforia Cloud, Aiforia Technologies Oy, Helsinki, Finland). This amount of compression
193	has been shown in earlier studies to preserve sufficient detail to not alter results significantly (25).
194	Remote access to the image server for sample viewing is established using a web browser, secured
195	with Secure Socket Layer (SSL).

196 **2.3** Visual analysis of digital samples and training of deep learning systems

197 Samples were visually evaluated by two researchers (O.H. and S.S), who independently reviewed the 198 digital samples on an LCD computer monitor and counted all visible *Plasmodium* parasites in the 199 images. Parasites were manually annotated on the slide-management platform and the annotations 200 served as ground truth for the digital image analysis. Sample parasitaemia was calculated as the 201 number of detected parasites divided by the number of red blood cells (RBCs) detected by digital 202 image analysis as described below. Results were recorded in a spreadsheet table (Microsoft Excel,

203 Microsoft, Redmond WA).

For the digital analysis of the samples we trained two separate image analysis algorithms, based on

205 deep learning (DL) with deep convolutional neural networks (CNNs). We utilized manually annotated

- image regions (n = 1,176) from a subset of thin blood smears (n = 25) to train the algorithms to detect
- 207 visible malaria trophozoites and RBCs.

For the first deep-learning system (DLS 1), the digitized samples were uploaded to a

209 commercially available, cloud-based machine-learning platform (Aiforia Cloud and Create, Aiforia

210 Technologies Oy, Helsinki, Finland). Using this platform, a supervised deep-learning system (DLS)

211 was trained to detect intracellular trophozoites in the digital images. For this method, the

212	corresponding brightfield and fluorescence image channels were merged into hybrid images (Fig 3).
213	The training data was visually reviewed by a researcher (S.S.), and visible trophozoites and RBCs
214	were annotated to constitute the training data. This system consists of two sequential CNN algorithms.
215	The first algorithm detects all RBCs (i.e. infected and non-infected). The corresponding results are
216	then forwarded to a second layer, containing two separate algorithms; one that detects infected RBCs
217	(RBCs with visible fluorescent intracellular trophozoites; i.e. parasite candidates) and one that detects
218	non-infected RBCs (RBCs without visible parasite candidates). The sample parasitaemia is calculated
219	as the number of detected parasites divided by the total number of detected RBCs (Fig 2). To increase
220	the generalisability of the model, digital image augmentations by perturbation of the training data
221	were utilized. In the first CNN layer, augmentations used were rotation (0-360°), variation of scale
222	(±10%), shear distortion (±10%), aspect ratio (±10%), contrast (±10%), white balance (±10%) and
223	luminance ($\pm 10\%$). In the second layer, the training material was augmented by rotation (0-360°),
224	variation of scale (\pm 5%), shear distortion (\pm 5%), aspect ratio (\pm 5%), contrast (\pm 5%), white balance
225	$(\pm 5\%)$ and luminance $(\pm 5\%)$. Training of the model was performed with 7,584 completed iterations
226	(training epochs) of training and a predetermined feature size for object classification of 7 μ m (RBCs)
227	and 3 μm (parasites), using an image analysis window size (FOV) of 15 $\mu m.$
228	
229	

230	Figure 3. Workflow for training of the first deep-learning system (DLS 1) and subsequent analysis of
231	samples using the trained model. (a): Training of the DLS was performed on merged images
232	(brightfield and fluorescent images combined) where regions with visible red blood cells (RBCs) and
233	trophozoites (parasites) were manually annotated and used to train the DLS to detect RBCs and
234	classify them as infected vs. non-infected. (b): Analysis of samples in the validation series was
235	performed on merged images in two steps: 1) Segmentation of all visible RBCs and 2) quantification
236	of infected and non-infected RBCs to determine overall level of parasitaemia.
237	The second deep-learning system (DLS 2) analysis method also utilizes deep learning with

238 CNNs, and analyses the brightfield and fluorescence images from the sample separately. The

239 workflow of this system is described in Figure 3. First, the RBCs in the brightfield-only image are 240 identified using circle Hough Transform (CHT) to allow the selection of individual, well-preserved 241 RBCs, while avoiding overlapping, clumped or otherwise deformed cells. Subsequently, a normalized 242 cross correlation and peak finding algorithm (26) identifies the locations matching with a parasite 243 template in the corresponding fluorescence-only image where the correlation peaks represent the 244 centroids of the parasite candidates. Selected RBCs are then used to create a quantitation mask, and 245 by combining the data from both images the parasite candidates are then addressed to the selected 246 individual RBCs in the merged image. Notably, only the detected fluorescence signals emitted from 247 locations inside the RBCs are included (with a small margin to cover the applique parasite forms and 248 possible optical misalignment of the image channels). This also enables the detection of multiple 249 objects within a single RBC; such as multiple visible parasites. A threshold was determined for the 250 cross-correlation coefficient and Structural Similarity Index (SSIM) (27) value of the candidates to 251 reduce computation cost by not including the least likely parasite objects, i.e. weak signals emitting 252 from the background fluorescence. The SSIM Index assesses the visual impact of luminance, contrast 253 and structure characteristics of an image.

254

Figure 4. Workflow of training and analysis with the second deep-learning system (DLS 2) using the
GoogLeNet model. Panels showing brightfield images with segmented red blood cells (RBCs), the
corresponding fluorescence image with detected parasite candidates, classification of parasites and
exported analysis results.

For the classification part, transfer learning (28) was utilized using a pre-trained GoogLeNet network (29) The model is a binary-classification CNN which was trained using manually selected image-regions from the training series of samples, representing visible parasites and non-parasite fluorescent objects (i.e. debris and other artefacts). The training data was visually reviewed by a researcher (AS), and visible trophozoites (n = 5059) and other fluorescence signals (n = 856) were annotated from the training samples to constitute the training data for the two classes. As the

265 parasitaemia level was relatively high in a large part of the training samples, the number of true 266 parasites was significantly higher than the number representing other fluorescence signals. To reduce 267 training imbalance and to ensure that high-parasitaemia samples would not dominate the training 268 while preserving a sufficiently diverse distribution of parasites, the number of parasites selected from 269 each training sample was limited based on the SSIM value of the candidate objects. Specifically, in 270 each training sample, only the candidates with a unique SSIM value were included in the training. 271 Rotation (0 - 360°) and variation of scale (± 5 %) were utilized to augment image data, to prevent 272 overfitting. Training of the model was performed in 30 training epochs with a batch size of 32 using a 273 stochastic gradient descent solver with a momentum of 0.9 and initial learning rate of 0.0003. During 274 the analysis phase the RBC was marked infected if at least one addressed parasite candidate was 275 classified as a trophozoite with a classification score of at least 95/100. The overall parasitaemia was 276 determined by the ratio of infected RBCs to the total number of RBCs (S Fig 1). DLS 2 is run locally 277 and therefore suitable for potential integration directly into e.g. the imaging system for rapid analysis.

278 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

279 Statistical analysis of results was performed using a general-purpose statistical software package

280 (Stata 15.1 for Mac, Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA). We calculated a Pearson's product-

281 moment correlation to determine the relationship between parasitaemia determined by visual

examination and analysis with the deep learning models in the digital samples. We utilized a two-

sided paired Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test to assess the difference in detected levels of parasitaemia

between the Day 0 and Day 3 samples. Power analysis for these calculations were conducted using the

285 G*Power software v3.1.7 (Universität Kiel, Kiel, Germany) to determine a sufficient sample size,

using an alpha of 0.05, a power of 0.95 and an effect size of 1.17 (as calculated based on the

287 difference between visually-determined levels of parasitaemia in slide pairs from the training data),

which yielded a minimum required number of samples of 34 (17 slide pairs) (30).

289

290 **2.6 Ethical statement**

- 291 Ethical clearance for the study was issued by the National Institute for Medical Research and
- 292 Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, Tanzania (Identifier: NCT03241901).

293 **3 Results**

3.1 Quantification of parasites in digital samples

- 295 Prior to analysis of the main samples used in the study, we tested the image capture and algorithms on
- a series of test blood thin smears, prepared in laboratory conditions from blood cultures with known
- levels of *P. falciparum* infection (n = 7; approximately 0%, 0.2%, 0.5%, 1% and 2%, respectively).
- Here, we confirmed that fluorescent parasites and parasitized red blood cells (RBCs) could be
- visualized at the spatial resolution provided by the instrument. Overall, we observed a high level of
- 300 similarity and almost perfect correlation between the DLS-based sample analysis and the known
- infection levels of the samples (r(7) = 0.99, p < 0.001) (S Fig 4).
- 302 For the samples used in the study, after exclusion of samples used for the training of the deep-303 learning systems, 97 samples remained in the validation series, of which 77 samples were thin blood 304 smears collected at baseline, prior to initiation of treatment with ACT (Day 0), and 20 samples were 305 follow-up thin blood smears collected on day 3 after treatment initiation (Day 3). All samples 306 collected on day 0 were confirmed as malaria-positive by light-microscopy assessment of thick 307 smears from the same patients, with a mean parasitaemia of 58,711 parasites/µL (95%CI 44,055 -308 73,368 parasites/µL) and correspondingly a mean estimated parasitaemia of 1.17 % (95% CI 0.88 -309 1.47). The follow-up samples on day 3 after treatment initiation were all confirmed microscopy 310 negative for malaria (no visible parasites in the Giemsa thick smear). The visual analysis of all 311 fluorescently-stained digitized day 0 thin smears revealed visible parasites, with an overall mean rate 312 of infected RBCs of 1.79 % (CI95% 1.31 - 2.26%). The visual analysis of the Day 3 digital samples 313 detected a significantly lower rate of infected RBCs (0.014%, 95% CI 0.009 - 0.009 - 0.020%, z = -314 3.92, p < 0.001). The DLS analysis of the digitized thin smears returned similar levels of detected

- 315 infected RBCs as the visual analysis of the digital samples in all analysed microscopy-positive
- 316 samples (mean 1.70% [95%CI 1.27 2.15%] and 1.76% [95% CI 1.32 2.20%]) (Table 1).

317

- 318 Table 1. Results from analysis of blood samples by light microscopy of Giemsa-stained thick smears,
- 319 visual analysis of digitized DAPI-stained samples and DLS-based analysis of the digitized DAPI-
- 320 stained samples. Parasitaemia estimated as the rate of infected red blood cells (RBCs), multiplied by
- 321 the assumed number of RBCs per μ l of blood (5,000,000).
- 322

Diagnostic comparison	Visual analysis of digitized thin smears	DLS1 analysis of digitized thin smears	DLS2 analysis of digitized thin smears	Microscopy thick- smear analysis (Giemsa)
Day 0				
Number of samples	77	77	77	77
Mean number of parasites detected per analysed area (n, 95% CI)	398 (297 - 498)	396 (295 - 496)	348 (261 - 436)	n/a*
Percentage of infected RBCs (%, 95% CI)	1.79 (1.31 - 2.26)	1.70 (1.27 - 2.15)	1.76 (1.32 - 2.20)	1.17 (0.88 - 1.47)
Mean estimated parasitemia (throphozoites/µL , 95% CI)	89,500 (65,500 - 113,000) **	85,000 (63,500 - 107,500) **	88,000 (66,000 - 110,000) **	58,711 (44,054 - 73,368)
Day 3				
Number of samples	20	20	20	20
Mean number of parasites detected per analysed area (n, 95% CI)	3 (2 - 4)	11 (4 - 17)	9 (2 - 16)	0
Percentage of infected RBCs (%, 95% CI)	0.01 (0.01 - 0.02)	0.05 (0.02 - 0.08)	0.05 (0.01-0.09)	0
Mean estimated parasitemia	500 (500 - 1,000) **	2,500 (1,000 - 4,000) **	2,500 (500 - 4,500) **	0

	trophozoites/µL, 5% CI)	
323	Not available from thick smear analysis.	
324	*Calculated based on the commonly-used approximation of 5,000,000 RBCs per μ L.	
325		
326	The results from the DLS analysis of the Day 3 digitized thin smears also yielded similar values as the	
327	visual sample analysis (mean 0.05% [95%CI 0.017 - 0.083] and 0.05% [95%CI 0.009 - 0.094]). When	
328	ssessing correlation between the DLS analysis and the visual analysis of the digital samples, an	
329	lmost perfect correlation in detected rate of trophozoites was observed, as calculated with the	
330	earson's product-moment correlation coefficient, for the microscopy-positive samples (r (77) =	
331	.9996 and 0.9986, $p < 0.01$), by analysis with DLS 1 and DLS 2. Compared to the estimated rate of	
332	nfected RBCs in the Giemsa thick-smear analysis, the correlation for the DLS 1 and DLS 2 results	
333	vere strong (r (77) = 0.740 , p < 0.01 and r (77) = 0.743 , p < 0.01 , respectively) (Figure 5).	
334	ned	
335		
336	Figure 5. Box plots illustrating the detected levels of <i>P. falciparum</i> parasitemia (percentage of	
337	nfected red blood cells; RBCs) in malaria-positive blood smears collected prior to initiation of	
338	reatment (Day 0) by the deep learning systems (DLS) and by visual analysis of the digital, DAPI-	
339	tained slides and by conventional light microscopy of Giemsa-stained thick smears.	
340		
341	for the detected rate of infected RBCs in the microscopy-negative samples, a modest correlation was	
342	bserved for the DLS-based analysis of samples, compared to the visual sample analysis ($r(20) = 0.61$	
343	nd 0.42, p < 0.01) (Figure 6).	
344		
345	Figure 6. Correlation between levels of <i>P. falciparum</i> parasitemia, as detected with the deep learning	
346	ystems (DLSs), and by visual analysis of the digital samples, collected on (a) Day 0 and (b) Day 3).	
347		

348 To further evaluate the DLS-based quantification of *P. falciparum* parasitemia in the Day 0 samples,

- 349 we also compared the results from the digital analyses to the level of infection, as determined by
- 350 quantitative PCR (qPCR). Here, we also observed a strong correlation between the DLS- and the

351 qPCR-based assessment of infection level (r(27) = 0.90).

352 As qPCR results were only available for a subset of patients (27), these are provided in more detail as

353 supplementary material (S Fig 3).

354

355 **3.2** Monitoring of parasite clearance in thin smears collected at the day of ACT

- 356 treatment initiation and three days later
- 357 After exclusion of samples used for training of the image-analysis systems, 40 samples, containing a

total number of 20 pairs of thin smears (Day 0 and Day 3) remained. By expert light microscopy

assessment of the Giemsa-stained thick smears from the same patients, all Day 0 samples (n = 20)

360 were classified as positive for *P. falciparum* parasites, and all Day 3 (n = 20) samples classified as

361 negative for visible parasites. Overall, assessed rates of infected RBCs in the Day 3 samples were

362 significantly lower with all methods studied, than the rates detected in the pre-treatment (Day 0)

363 samples and the results showed high correlation between the methods studied (Table 1). A Wilcoxon

364 signed-rank test revealed that the post-treatment (Day 3) parasitaemia of the digitized DAPI-stained

365 samples was significantly lower than the pre-treatment parasitaemia (Day 0), as determined by visual

analysis (mean: 0.01% [95%CI: 0.01 - 0.02%] vs. 1.76% [CI 95: 1.32 - 2.20], z = -3.92, p < 0.001),

367 analysis by DLS 1 (mean: 0.05% [CI 95% 0.02 - 0.08] vs. 1.70% [CI 95% 1.27 - 2.15%], z = -3.92, p

368 < 0.001) and analysis by DLS 2 (mean: 0.05% [CI 95% 0.01-0.09) vs. 1.76% [CI 95: 1.32 - 2.20], z =

369 -3.92, p < 0.001) (Figure 7).

370

Figure 7. Box plots illustrating the detected levels of *P. falciparum* parasitemia (percentage of

372 infected red blood cells; RBCs) in blood smears collected at baseline, prior to initiation of treatment

373 (Day 0) and three days following initiation of treatment (Day 3). Results shown as detected by

analysis with the deep learning systems (DLS) and by visual analysis of the digital slides.

375

376 4 Discussion

377	In this study we acquired thin blood smears from patients with light microscopy-determined
378	uncomplicated P. falciparum infection, collected at baseline before initiation of artemisinin-based
379	combination therapy (ACT) and three days following treatment initiation. We stained the samples
380	using a simplified fluorescent staining protocol and digitized both the fluorescence and brightfield
381	images into hybrid digital samples, using a small-sized POC digital microscope prototype. The digital
382	samples were uploaded to a cloud-server and analysed with two deep learning-based systems to detect
383	and quantify malaria parasites in the samples. Results were compared to visual assessment of the
384	digitized samples, and to light microscopy examination of Giemsa-stained thick smears. Overall, we
385	observed strong correlations in the numerical results, i.e. values for detected level of parasitaemia
386	with the DLS-based system in malaria-positive samples and visual assessment of the digital samples
387	(r = 0.98 - 0.99, p < 0.01) and $(r = 0.42 - 0.61, p < 0.01)$. Compared to the light-microscopy
388	assessment of Giemsa-stained thick smears, the correlation in detected number of parasites was strong
389	but lower (0.74, $p < 0.01$), likely as the quantification of parasites is not directly comparable when
390	using different methods of analysis and sample types (thick and thin blood films). Notably, previous
391	studies have shown that the visual approximation of parasite density in especially thick blood films is
392	prone to variations, due to factors such as reader technique, quality of slides and the random
393	distribution of parasites and WBCs (31, 32). Here, both DLSs performed with high similarity to the
394	manual assessment of the digitized thin smears. When assessing parasite clearance by DLS-based
395	analysis of digital samples collected on Day 3 after initiation of ACT, significantly lower levels of
396	parasite candidates were detected in the samples using the digital methods (0.05% vs. 1.73%, $z = -$
397	3.92). For quantification of <i>P. falciparum</i> parasites in malaria-positive samples, both deep learning
398	algorithms performed with high correlation ($r > 0.99$) to the manual, visual quantification of parasites
399	in the digital samples, suggesting that the methods are comparable. Notably, a similarly high level of
400	correlation was also observed when comparing the results from the DLSs to the qPCR-based
401	assessment of infection level ($r = 0.90$), although these results were only available for a subset of
402	patients (S Fig 3). Overall, the number of detected signals (parasite candidates) by analysis with the

403 DLSs was low in microscopy-negative samples, and mainly corresponded to fluorescent artefacts and 404 debris (S Fig 2). The results here suggest that it would be possible to establish an operative threshold 405 for the DLSs to separate positive and negative samples with relatively high sensitivity. Here, using a 406 threshold for positivity of e.g. 0.10% for detected infected RBCs, the detection of positive samples in 407 the validation series would be possible with approximately 95% sensitivity and specificity. This could 408 initially be useful e.g. as a triage system to automatically detect the majority of abnormal slides, 409 although the accurate detection of low-level infections would require higher sensitivity. Our results 410 are in line with findings from earlier studies, where fluorescence malaria field microscopy has shown 411 promise as a field-applicable and inexpensive diagnostic technology (33). Similarly to our findings, 412 previous work has suggested that high sensitivity (up to 98%) and reasonable sensitivity (89%) can be 413 achieved using visual fluorescence field microscopy, compared to conventional methods, and 414 especially for samples with high levels of parasitaemia (34). Previous work has also demonstrated 415 how the digital analysis of DAPI-stained blood samples, digitized with a 40x objective digital 416 microscope in laboratory conditions, can be used to quantify levels of parasitaemia and even classify 417 the infection stage of the parasites (35). Notably, the principal challenges with equivocal fluorescent 418 particles being detected as parasites in samples with low levels of parasitemia encountered here has 419 also been described previously (33). Although significantly lower amounts of parasite signals were 420 detected in the microscopy-negative samples, the levels were still relatively high compared to e.g. the 421 detection limits of conventional Giemsa thick smear microscopy. Therefore, to achieve ideal levels of 422 sensitivity for primary malaria diagnostics, methods to improve sensitivity for especially low-level 423 infections are essential. These include steps to minimize sample contaminations to allow the 424 digitization of large, representative sample areas (i.e. uncontaminated monolayers of RBCs). To 425 achieve similar levels of sensitivity as Giemsa thick smear-microscopy and RDTs, increasing the total 426 sample area analysed (currently ~100 high-power FOVs) or utilizing methods to increase the amounts 427 of visible RBCs per image field are crucial. 428 As this work represents a proof-of-concept study, it has certain limitations that need to be 429 addressed. Firstly, the principal challenge encountered here and the major cause of false-positive

430 signals in the microscopy-negative samples was the presence of artefacts and debris in the blood

431	smears, which resulted in fluorescent signals not originating from parasites. Although the staining
432	process described here is simple to perform, the technique is, similarly to conventional staining
433	methods, prone to contaminations, which was challenging especially in samples with higher levels of
434	contamination and low parasite densities (S Fig 1). This emphasizes the need for robust sample
435	processing to ensure usability in field settings. Secondly, here, we compared the parasite
436	quantification in stained thin blood smears to the microscopy-assessment of thick smears from the
437	patients, and accordingly observed a certain variation in the estimated infection levels. To determine
438	the correlation to Giemsa microscopy, the analysis of Giemsa-stained thin blood smears from the
439	same patients would be the preferred ground truth, which was not available in the current study.
440	Notably, when testing the algorithms on samples prepared in laboratory conditions with known levels
441	of <i>P. falciparum</i> infection, a strong correlation ($r > 0.99$, $p < 0.001$) was observed in DLS-detected
442	levels of infection and known parasitaemia (S Fig 4). Lastly, we digitized areas of the thin smears that
443	contained representative monolayers with minimal amounts of artefacts, but in a clinical setting larger
444	representative sample areas would be analysed to improve the sensitivity for lower-level infections.

445 5 Conclusion

446 This proof-of-concept study shows that detection and quantification of *P. falciparum* parasites 447 in thin blood smears is feasible, using a simplified fluorescent staining process, an inexpensive, POC 448 portable slide-scanner and a deep learning-algorithm. As digital microscopy is currently limited 449 mainly to laboratories with access to high-end digitization equipment, this method warrants further 450 investigation as a potential novel platform for AI-based, digital malaria microscopy at the POC. The 451 method can facilitate microscopy diagnostics in field settings and offer the benefits that digital and 452 automated microscopy is associated with e.g. more objective and reproducible results, potentially 453 reduced time for needed for sample analysis (compared to the manual quantification of parasites), and 454 a method that can be used for monitoring of treatment efficacy through assessment of parasite 455 clearance. Also, the method is likely to be applicable for different *Plasmodium* species and other 456 pathogens, especially those where fluorescence microscopy may offer additional diagnostic 457 advantages.

458

459

460

461 **7** Supplementary material

462

463	S Figure 1. Results from deep learning-based analysis of control samples, prepared from blood
464	cultures in laboratory-conditions with known levels of P. falciparum infections (0% and
465	approximately 0.2%, 0.5%, 1% and 2% levels of parasitemia, respectively). Correlation between
466	results measured with the Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient and showing an almost
467	perfect level of correlation $(r(7) = 0.99)$.
468	
469	S Figure 2. Digitized Giemsa-stained thin blood smear from patient in study cohort. Images showing
470	sample with high amounts of visible artefacts and debris.
471	
472	S Figure 3. Detected level of malaria infection before initiation of treatment, as determined by
473	analysis with the deep learning-systems (DLSs), compared to quantitative PCR-based analysis of
474	samples from a subset of patients. Correlation between results measured with the Pearson's product-
475	moment correlation coefficient and showing a high level of correlation ($r(27) = 0.90$).
476	
477	S Figure 3 (Suggestion 2). Detected level of malaria infection before initiation of treatment, as
478	determined by analysis with the deep learning-systems (DLSs), compared to quantitative PCR-based
479	analysis of samples from a subset of patients. DLS-detected parasitemia calculated based on an
480	assumed amount of 5,000,000 RBCs per μ L of blood. Correlation between results measured with the
481	Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient and showing a high level of correlation $(r(27) =$
482	0.90).
483	
484	
485	
486	S Figure 4. STARD diagram of study workflow and sample processing.
487	
488	Declaration of interests

489	Johan Lundin and Mikael Lundin are founders and co-owners of Aiforia Technologies Oy,
490	Helsinki, Finland. The rest of the authors declare that there are no competing interests.
491	

492 **Funding statement**

- 493 This work was funded by the Swedish Research Council, Swedish International Development
- 494 Agency (SIDA) and Sigrid Jusélius Foundation. In addition, the study has been supported by
- 495 Finska Läkaresällskapet, Biomedicum Foundation, Medicinska Understödsföreningen Liv
- 496 och Hälsa rf, the Nvidia Corporation and Wilhelm och Elsa Stockmanns stiftelse. We
- 497 furthermore greatly acknowledge the assistance and support from the Helsinki Institute of
- 498 Life Science (HiLIFE) and the FIMM Digital Microscopy and Molecular Pathology Unit
- 499 supported by Helsinki University and Biocenter Finland. The funders did not play any role in
- 500 the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the
- 501 manuscript.

502 8 References

- 503 (1) World Health Organization. World Malaria Report 2018. 2018 19 november.
- 504 (2) Charpentier E, Benichou E, Pagès A, Chauvin P, Fillaux J, Valentin A, et al. Performance
- 505 evaluation of different strategies based on microscopy techniques, rapid diagnostic test and molecular
- 506 loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay for the diagnosis of imported malaria. Clinical
- 507 Microbiology and Infection 2020;26(1):115-121.
- 508 (3) World Health Organization. Guidelines for the treatment of malaria, 3rd ed. 2015.
- 509 (4) Mathison BA, Pritt BS. Update on Malaria Diagnostics and Test Utilization. J Clin Microbiol
- **510** 2017;55(7):2009-2017.
- 511 (5) World Health Organization. Malaria microscopy quality assurance manual Ver. 2. 2016 January.
- (6) Frean J, Perovic O, Fensham V, McCarthy K, von Gottberg A, de Gouveia L, et al. External
- 513 quality assessment of national public health laboratories in Africa, 2002-2009. Bull World Health
- 514 Organ 2012 Mar 01;90(3):191-199A.
- 515 (7) O'Meara WP, Barcus M, Wongsrichanalai C, Muth S, Maguire JD, Jordan RG, et al. Reader
- technique as a source of variability in determining malaria parasite density by microscopy. Malaria
- 517 Journal 2006 Dec 12;5:118.
- 518 (8) Wongsrichanalai C, Barcus MJ, Muth S, Sutamihardja A, Wernsdorfer WH. A review of malaria
- 519 diagnostic tools: microscopy and rapid diagnostic test (RDT). American Journal of Tropical Medicine
- **520** & Hygiene 2007 Dec;77(6 Suppl):119-127.
- 521 (9) Leski TA, Taitt CR, Swaray AG, Bangura U, Reynolds ND, Holtz A, et al. Use of real-time
- 522 multiplex PCR, malaria rapid diagnostic test and microscopy to investigate the prevalence of
- 523 Plasmodium species among febrile hospital patients in Sierra Leone. Malaria Journal 2020;19(1):84.
- 524 (10) Mhamilawa LE, Aydin-Schmidt B, Mmbando BP, Ngasala B, Morris U. Detection of
- 525 Plasmodium falciparum by Light Microscopy, Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification, and
- 526 Polymerase Chain Reaction on Day 3 after Initiation of Artemether–Lumefantrine Treatment for
- 527 Uncomplicated Malaria in Bagamoyo District, Tanzania: A Comparative Trial. The American Journal
- 528 of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 2019;101(5):1144-1147.

- 529 (11) Guy R, Liu P, Pennefather P, Crandall I. The use of fluorescence enhancement to improve the
- 530 microscopic diagnosis of falciparum malaria. Malaria Journal 2007;6(1):89.
- 531 (12) Wongsrichanalai C, Kawamoto F. Fluorescent Microscopy and Fluorescent Labelling for Malaria
- 532 Diagnosis. In: Hommel M, Kremsner PG, editors. Encyclopedia of Malaria New York, NY: Springer
- 533 New York; 2021. p. 1-7.
- 534 (13) Boppart SA, Richards-Kortum R. Point-of-care and point-of-procedure optical imaging
- technologies for primary care and global health. Science Translational Medicine 2014;6(253):253rv2.
- 536 (14) International Telecommunication Union. ICT Facts and Figures The World in 2015. 2015;
- 537 Available at: https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Documents/facts/ICTFactsFigures2015.pdf.
- 538 Accessed 20.11., 2019.
- 539 (15) J. C. Contreras-Naranjo, Q. Wei, A. Ozcan. Mobile Phone-Based Microscopy, Sensing, and
- 540 Diagnostics. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics 2016;22(3):1-14.
- 541 (16) Digital Pathology Association, 2020. Digital Pathology FAQ. Electronic resource, available on:
- 542 https://digitalpathologyassociation.org/faq [Retrieved on June 21th, 2020].
- 543 (17) Holmstrom O, Linder N, Ngasala B, Martensson A, Linder E, Lundin M, et al. Point-of-care
- 544 mobile digital microscopy and deep learning for the detection of soil-transmitted helminths and
- 545 Schistosoma haematobium. Glob Health Action 2017 Jun;10(sup3):1337325.
- 546 (18) Holmstrom O, Linder N, Moilanen H, Suutala A, Nordling S, Stahls A, et al. Detection of breast
- 547 cancer lymph node metastases in frozen sections with a point-of-care low-cost microscope scanner.
- 548 PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource] 2019;14(3):e0208366.
- 549 (19) Bera K, Schalper KA, Rimm DL, Velcheti V, Madabhushi A. Artificial intelligence in digital
- 550 pathology new tools for diagnosis and precision oncology. Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology
- **551** 2019;16(11):703-715.
- (20) Moon S, Lee S, Kim H, Freitas-Junior L, Kang M, Ayong L, et al. An image analysis algorithm
- 553 for malaria parasite stage classification and viability quantification. PloS one 2013;8(4):e61812.
- 554 (21) Linder N, Turkki R, Walliander M, Martensson A, Diwan V, Rahtu E, et al. A malaria diagnostic
- tool based on computer vision screening and visualization of Plasmodium falciparum candidate areas
- in digitized blood smears. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource] 2014;9(8):e104855.

557	(22) Poostchi M.	Silamut K.	Maude RJ.	Jaeger S.	Thoma G	. Image a	analysis and	1 machine	learning f	or
	()	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								

- 558 detecting malaria. Translational Research: The Journal Of Laboratory & Clinical Medicine
- 559 2018;194:36-55.
- 560 (23) Mhamilawa LE, Ngasala B, Morris U, Kitabi EN, Barnes R, Soe AP, et al. Parasite clearance,
- 561 cure rate, post-treatment prophylaxis and safety of standard 3-day versus an extended 6-day treatment
- of artemether-lumefantrine and a single low-dose primaquine for uncomplicated Plasmodium
- 563 falciparum malaria in Bagamoyo district, Tanzania: a randomized controlled trial. Malaria journal
- 564 2020;19(1):216.
- 565
- 566 (24) World Health Organization. Malaria Parasite Counting. Malaria Microscopy Standard Operating
- 567 Procedure. 2016; Available at:
- 568 http://www.wpro.who.int/mvp/lab_quality/2096_oms_gmp_sop_09_rev1.pdf. Accessed 20.11., 2019.
- 569 (25) Konsti J, Lundin M, Linder N, Haglund C, Blomqvist C, Nevanlinna H, et al. Effect of image
- 570 compression and scaling on automated scoring of immunohistochemical stainings and segmentation
- 571 of tumor epithelium. Diagnostic Pathology 2012;7:29.
- 572 (26) A. Natan, Fast 2D peak finder, MATLAB Central File Exchange, 2013. Available at:
- 573 <u>https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/37388-fast-2d-peak-finder</u>)
- 574 (27) Z. Wang, A. C. Bovik, H. R. Sheikh, and E. P. Simoncelli, "Image Quality Assessment: From
- 575 Error Visibility to Structural Similarity," IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, Volume 13, Issue
- **576** 4, pp. 600- 612, 2004.
- 577 (28) Tajbakhsh N, Shin J, Gurudu S, Hurst RT, Kendall C, Gotway M, et al. Convolutional Neural
- 578 Networks for Medical Image Analysis: Fine Tuning or Full Training? IEEE Trans Med Imaging
- **579** 2016;35:1.
- 580 (29) Szegedy C, Liu W, Jia Y, Sermanet P, Reed S, Anguelov D, et al. Going deeper with
- 581 convolutions.; 2015.
- 582 (30) Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang A, Buchner A. G*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis
- 583 program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behavior Research Methods 2007(39
- 584 (2)):175-191.

- 585 (31) Bejon P, Andrews L, Hunt-Cooke A, Sanderson F, Gilbert SC, Hill AVS. Thick blood film
- 586 examination for Plasmodium falciparum malaria has reduced sensitivity and underestimates parasite
- 587 density. Malaria journal 2006;5:104.
- 588 (32) O'Meara W, McKenzie F, Magill A, Forney J, Permpanich B, Lucas C, et al. Sources of
- variability in determining malaria parasite density by microscopy. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2005;73:593-
- **590** 8.
- 591 (33) Sousa-Figueiredo, J.C., Oguttu, D., Adriko, M., Besigye, F., Nankasi, A., Arinaitwe, M.,
- 592 Namukuta, A., Betson, M., Kabatereine, N.B. & Stothard, J.R. 2010, "Investigating portable
- 593 fluorescent microscopy (CyScope) as an alternative rapid diagnostic test for malaria in children and
- women of child-bearing age", Malaria journal, vol. 9, pp. 245-245.
- 595 (34) Hassan, S., Haggaz, A., Mohammed-Elhassan, E., Malik, E. & Adam, I. 2011, "Fluorescence
- 596 microscope (Cyscope®) for malaria diagnosis in pregnant women in Medani Hospital, Sudan",
- 597 Diagnostic pathology, vol. 6, pp. 88.
- 598 (35) Moon S, Lee S, Kim H, Freitas-Junior L, Kang M, Ayong L, et al. An image analysis algorithm
- for malaria parasite stage classification and viability quantification. PloS one 2013;8(4):e61812.









Quantification of infected RBCs

Quantification of non-infected RBCs

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in performance of the performance of the preprint in performance of the performance o







b.



Detected P. falciparum parasitemia (Control samples)





Detected P. falciparum parasitemia



(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the prepr STARD diagram of Study workflow

