

Title: Children's Anxiety and Physical Activity during COVID-19 in Relation to Prenatal Exposure to Gestational Diabetes

Jasmin M. Alves, PhD ^{1,2#}, Alexandra G. Yunker, BA ^{1,2#}, Alexis DeFendis, BA ^{1,2}, Anny H. Xiang, PhD ^{3&}, Kathleen A. Page, MD ^{1,2*&}

#Jasmin M. Alves and Alexandra G. Yunker contributed equally as co-first authors.

&Kathleen A. Page and Anny H. Xiang contributed equally and serve as joint senior authors.

¹ Division of Endocrinology, Department of Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089.

² Diabetes and Obesity Research Institute, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles CA 90089.

³ Department of Research and Evaluation, Kaiser Permanente Southern California, Pasadena, CA 91101.

*Correspondence can be made to Dr. Kathleen A. Page, MD, Associate Professor of Medicine, USC Keck School of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Obesity Research Institute, 2250 Alcazar Street; CSC 209, Los Angeles, CA 90089. kpage@usc.edu.

Abstract

Research goal: Assess the relationships between anxiety levels, physical activity and in utero exposure to Gestational Diabetes mellitus (GDM) in children age 9 to 15, during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic.

Methods: During the COVID-19 pandemic, participants completed phone call or video calls with study personnel where they were asked to report on their physical activity and anxiety levels using the 24-hour physical activity recall and the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory for Children. GDM-exposure was assessed using electronic medical records.

Results: Children who reported higher levels of moderate to vigorous physical activity or vigorous physical activity, reported lower anxiety symptoms. Children exposed to GDM in utero reported higher anxiety scores and lower engagement in vigorous physical activity compared to unexposed children. Moreover, the pathway through which children exposed to GDM in utero, reported higher anxiety was partially explained by reduced engagement in vigorous physical activity (75%, $p=0.05$).

Conclusions: Engaging in physical activity during the COVID-19 pandemic may be beneficial for reducing anxiety, particularly among children exposed to GDM in utero, who are at increased risk for adverse psychological outcomes.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is an unprecedented stressful time period and is associated with adverse mental health outcomes ¹. Correspondingly, prenatal exposure to gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is associated with increased risk for psychiatric disorders ², and children exposed prenatally to GDM may be particularly vulnerable to heightened anxiety during the pandemic. Moreover, engaging in physical activity (PA) is associated with mental health benefits ¹, but children are engaging in reduced PA during the pandemic ³. Our goal was to investigate children's anxiety and PA levels during the COVID-19 pandemic in relation to prenatal GDM-exposure.

Methods

Participants were recruited from the existing observational *BrainChild* study on neuroendocrine programming associated with GDM-exposure. Children were born at a Kaiser Permanente Southern California (KPSC) and had no history of significant medical or psychiatric disorders. Institutional Review Boards (IRB) at the University of Southern California (#HS-15-00540) and KPSC (#10282) approved this study.

Each mother's GDM status was determined from electronic medical records. The study included one phone/video call visit with both the participant and a parent present between April 20th-June 26th, 2020. Questionnaires were read aloud by a trained staff member, and the participant gave answers verbally.

Physical activity was assessed using a 24-h physical activity recall (PAR), using metabolic equivalent (MET) values were from the Compendium of Physical Activities ⁴. METs ≥ 3 were classified as moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) and MET ≥ 6 as vigorous physical activity (VPA).

State anxiety (S-Anxiety) was assessed via the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory for Children (STAIC) ⁵. The S-Anxiety sub-scale was completed to investigate how children were acutely responding to the pandemic.

The relationships between GDM-exposure and S-Anxiety and PA were assessed via linear/logistic regression analysis when appropriate. MVPA was not normally distributed, and square-root transformation was applied. Many children did not engage in VPA (65%), therefore VPA was treated as a categorical variable (yes/no VPA). Maternal education, defined as high school, some college and college/post, was the only covariate significantly different between GDM-exposed and unexposed children, and therefore was included as covariate. In a post-hoc analysis, we examined PA as a mediator for the association between GDM-exposure and S-Anxiety.

Results

Of the 82 participants from the BrainChild cohort that were eligible to participate, 65 completed the phone call visits. Participant characteristics are described in **Table 1**.

GDM-exposed children reported greater S-Anxiety (regression coefficient $\beta=2.0$, $p=0.02$), compared to unexposed children (**Table 1**). The proportion of children who engaged in any VPA was significantly lower for GDM-exposed children (5%) compared to unexposed children (30%), ($p=0.01$). MVPA levels did not differ between GDM-exposed and unexposed children ($p=0.10$), but GDM-exposed children tended to engage in less MVPA (**Table 1**). Adjusting for maternal education did not change the results appreciably ($p=0.05$, 0.03 , and 0.07 for S-anxiety, VPA, and MVPA, respectively). Children who reported more time spent in MVPA had lower S-Anxiety ($r=-0.34$, $p<0.01$). Children who engaged in VPA also had lower S-Anxiety (mean \pm SD, 44.8 ± 3.2) compared to children who did not engage in any VPA (mean \pm SD, 47.6 ± 3.3), ($p=0.01$). Accounting for MVPA levels explained 19% ($p=0.26$) of the relationship between GDM-exposure and S-Anxiety, while accounting for VPA levels explained 75% ($p=0.05$) of the relationship.

Discussion

During the pandemic, children exposed to GDM reported higher anxiety symptoms and less engagement in VPA compared to unexposed children. Moreover, we found that the pathway between GDM-exposure and greater anxiety in children was partially explained by lower engagement in VPA.

Our findings suggest that engaging in VPA during stressful periods may be beneficial for reducing anxiety levels, particularly among GDM-exposed children, who may be more vulnerable to adverse psychological outcomes. However, larger sample sizes are needed to replicate our findings.

Table 1. Participant and Maternal Characteristics*

Variable	Unexposed (N=27)	GDM-exposed (N=38)	p-value**
Child Characteristics			
Age (years)	11.7 (1.3)	11.8 (1.3)	0.76
Sex	Girls: 14 (52%) Boys: 13 (48%)	Girls: 26 (68%) Boys: 12 (32%)	0.18
State Anxiety	46.04 (3.61)	48.03 (3.06)	0.02
Median MVPA	60 (0, 90)	30 (0, 90)	0.10
Screen Time (min)	392.20 (215.70)	363.9 (192.30)	0.58
Time in SB (min)	711.10 (130.20)	689.20 (133.20)	0.51
Engaged in VPA	8 (30%)	2 (5%)	0.01
Maternal Characteristics			
Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m ²)	29.70 (5.95)	30.85 (7.75)	0.52
Family Income (\$)	56,766 (25,620)	60,479 (23,975)	0.55
#Maternal Education	High School: 0 (0%) Some College: 9 (33%) College and Above: 18 (67%)	High School: 6 (16%) Some College: 11 (29%) College and Above: 21 (55%)	0.007
Maternal Age at Delivery (yrs)	31.3 (5.6)	31.5 (5.1)	0.92

*Data presented as N (%) or Mean (SD) or Median (25th quartile, 75th quartile)

**From t-test for means, Wilcoxon two-sample test for medians, and chi-square test/fisher's exact test for proportions

#Percentages may not equal 100% due to rounding to nearest whole number

References

1. Giuntella O, Hyde K, Saccardo S, Sadoff S. *Lifestyle and Mental Health Disruptions During COVID-19*. Social Science Research Network; 2020. Accessed August 5, 2020. <https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=3666985>
2. Nahum Sacks K, Friger M, Shoham-Vardi I, et al. Prenatal exposure to gestational diabetes mellitus as an independent risk factor for long-term neuropsychiatric morbidity of the offspring. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;215(3):380.e1-380.e7. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2016.03.030
3. Xiang M, Zhang Z, Kuwahara K. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on children and adolescents' lifestyle behavior larger than expected. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*. Published online April 30, 2020. doi:10.1016/j.pcad.2020.04.013
4. Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Herrmann SD, et al. 2011 Compendium of Physical Activities: a second update of codes and MET values. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2011;43(8):1575-1581. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31821ece12
5. Spielberger CD, Edwards CD. *STAIC Preliminary Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory for Children ("How I Feel Questionnaire")*. Consulting Psychologists Press; 1973.

Disclosure Summary: The authors have nothing to disclose.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the families who participate in the BrainChild Study. The authors would also like to thank Ana Romero for managing the BrainChild study, Mayra Martinez and Janet Mora-Marquez for recruiting volunteers and helping collect participant data.

Funding sources: This work was supported by an American Diabetes Association Pathway Accelerator Award (#1-14-ACE-36) (PI: K.A.P) and in part by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) R01DK116858 (PIs: K.A.P, A.H.X) and the National Institute Of Mental Health of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number F31MH115640 (PI: J.M.A). A Research Electronic Data Capture, REDCap, database was used for this study, which is supported by the Southern California Clinical and Translational Science Institute (SC CTSI) through NIH UL1TR001855.

Data availability: The datasets generated during and analyzed during the current study are available from Dr. Page the corresponding author, on reasonable request.

Author Contributions:

Dr. Page and Dr. Xiang had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and accuracy of the data analysis.

Dr. Page and Dr. Xiang contributed to study concept and design.

Dr. Page, Dr. Xiang, Dr. Alves and Ms. Yunker drafted the manuscript.

All authors critically revised the manuscript for intellectual content.

Ms. Yunker and Ms. Defendis collected and organized data.

Dr. Xiang and Dr. Alves performed the statistical analyses.

Dr. Page and Dr. Xiang obtained funding and provided study supervision.