Waves of COVID-19 pandemic. Detection and SIR simulations

Igor Nesteruk

Institute of Hydromechanics. National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine
National Technical University of Ukraine "Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute"
inesteruk@yahoo.com

Abstract

Background. Unfortunately, the COVID-19 pandemic is still far from stabilizing. Of particular concern is the sharp increase in the number of diseases in June-July 2020. The causes and consequences of this sharp increase in the number of cases are still waiting for their researchers, but there is already an urgent need to assess the possible duration of the pandemic, the expected number of patients and deaths. The resumption of international passenger traffic needs the information for deciding which countries' citizens are welcome guests. Correct simulation of the infectious disease dynamics needs complicated mathematical models and many efforts for unknown parameters identification. Constant changes in the pandemic conditions (in particular, the peculiarities of quarantine and its violation, situations with testing and isolation of patients) cause various epidemic waves, lead to changes in the parameter values of the mathematical models.

Objective. In this article, pandemic waves in Ukraine and in the world will be detected, calculated and discussed. The estimations for hidden periods, epidemic durations and final numbers of cases will be presented. The probabilities of meeting a person spreading the infection and reproduction numbers will be calculated for different countries and regions.

Methods. We propose a simple method for the epidemic waves detection based on the differentiation of the smoothed number of cases. We use the known SIR (susceptible-infected-removed) model for the dynamics of the epidemic waves. The known exact solution of the SIR differential equations and statistical approach were modified and used.

Results. The optimal values of the SIR model parameters were identified for four waves of pandemic dynamics in Ukraine and five waves in the world. The number of cases and the number of patients spreading the infection versus time were calculated. In particular, the pandemic probably began in August 2019. If current trends continue, the end of the pandemic should be expected no earlier than in March 2021 both in Ukraine and in the world, the global number of cases will exceed 20 million. The probabilities of meeting a person spreading the infection and reproduction numbers were calculated for different countries and regions.

Conclusions. The SIR model and statistical approach to the parameter identification are helpful to make some reliable estimations of the epidemic waves. The number of persons spreading the infection versus time was calculated during all the epidemic waves. The obtained information will

be useful to regulate the quarantine activities, to predict the medical and economic consequences of the pandemic and to decide which countries' citizens are welcome guests.

Keywords: COVID-19 pandemic, COVID-19 epidemic in Ukraine, mathematical modeling of infection diseases, SIR model, parameter identification, statistical methods.

Introduction

Here we consider the global COVID-19 pandemic dynamics and epidemic outbreak in Ukraine with the use of official WHO data sets about the confirmed number of cases, [1]. The SIR model, connecting the number of susceptible S, infected and spreading the infection I and removed R persons, was applied in [2-4]. The unknown parameters of this model can be estimated with the use of the cumulative number of cases $V=I+R$ and the statistics-based method of parameter identification [5, 6].

This approach was used in [6-16] to estimate the first waves of pandemic dynamics in Ukraine, Kyiv, China, the Republic of Korea, Italy, Austria, Spain, Germany, France, the Republic of Moldova, UK, USA and in the world. Usually the number of cases registered during the initial period of an epidemic is not reliable, since many infected persons are not detected. That is why the correct estimations of epidemic parameters can be done with the use of data sets obtained for later periods of the epidemic when the number of detected cases is closer to the real one. On the other hand, changes in quarantine conditions, human behavior, pathogen activity, weather etc. can cause changes in the course of the pandemic, namely the so-called epidemic waves. The mathematical simulation of these waves needs development some criteria of the waves detection, modification of models and methods of parameter identification.

In this paper we will update the estimations of the first waves of the pandemic dynamics in Ukraine and in the world with the use of SIR model [2-4] and the statistics-based method of parameter identification [5, 6]. Simple criteria for identification of next pandemic waves will be proposed. The SIR model and the parameter identification procedure will be modified in order to simulate next waves of the pandemic. The results of calculations for 5 global waves of Covid-19 pandemic and 4 waves of the epidemic in Ukraine will be presented and discussed. The new estimations for probabilities of meeting a person spreading the infection and for reproduction numbers will be proposed.

Materials and Methods

Data

Table 1. Official cumulative numbers of confirmed cases in Ukraine and in the world, [1]

Day in April, May, and June 2020	Time in days t_j	Number of cases in Ukraine	Global number of cases	Day in June and July 2020	Time in days t_j	Number of cases in Ukraine	Global number of cases
29	100	10406	3175207	14	146	31810	7823289
30	101	10861	3267184	15	147	32476	7941791
1	102	11411	3349786	16	148	33234	8061550
2	103	11913	3435894	17	149	34063	8242999
3	104	12331	3517345	18	150	34984	8385440
4	105	12697	3588773	19	151	35825	8525042
5	106	13184	3672238	20	152	36560	8708008
6	107	13691	3759967	21	153	37241	8860331
7	108	14195	3855788	22	154	38074	8993659
8	109	14710	3917366	23	155	39014	9129146
9	110	15232	4006257	24	156	40009	9296202
10	111	15648	4088848	25	157	41117	9473214
11	112	16023	4170424	26	158	42065	9653048
12	113	16425	4248389	27	159	42982	9843073
13	114	16847	4338658	28	160	43628	10021401
14	115	17330	4425485	29	161	44334	10185374
15	116	17858	4525497	30	162	44998	10357662
16	117	18291	4618821	1	163	45888	10533779
17	118	18616	4731458	2	164	46763	10710005
18	119	18876	4789205	3	165	47677	10922324
19	120	19230	4893186	4	166	48500	11125245
20	121	19706	4993470	5	167	49043	11327790
21	122	20148	5103006	6	168	49607	11500302
22	123	20580	5204508	7	169	50414	11669259
23	124	20986	5304772	8	170	51224	11874226
24	125	21245	5404512	9	171	52043	12102328
25	126	21584	5488825	10	172	52843	12322395
26	127	21905	5593631	11	173	53521	12552765
27	128	22382	5701337	12	174	54133	12768307
28	129	22811	5817385	13	175	54771	12964809
29	130	23294	5934936	14	176	55607	13150645
30	131	23672	6057853	15	177	56455	13378853
31	132	24002	6194533	16	178	57264	13616593
1	133	24340	6287771	17	179	58111	13876441
2	134	24823	6416828	18	180	58842	14043176
3	135	25411	6535354	19	181	59493	14348858
4	136	25964	6663304	20	182	60166	14562550
5	137	26514	6663304	21	183	60995	14765256
6	138	26999	6799713	22	184	61851	15012731
7	139	27462	6931000	23	185	62823	15296926
8	140	27856	7039918	24	186	63929	15581009
9	141	28381	7145539	25	187	64849	15785641
10	142	29070	7273958	26	188	65656	16114449
11	143	29753	7410510	27	189	66575	16341920
12	144	30506	7553182	28	190	67597	16558289
13	145	31154	7690708	29	191	68794	16812755

The official information regarding the accumulated numbers of confirmed COVID-19 cases V_j in Ukraine and in the world from WHO daily situation reports (numbers 101-194), [1] is presented in Table 1. The corresponding moments of time t_j (measured in days, zero point corresponds to January 20, 2020) are also shown in this table. Some time periods were used for calculations. Other values were used only for verifications of their results.

Epidemic waves detection

Changes in quarantine conditions, human behavior, pathogen activity, weather etc. can cause changes in the epidemic dynamics, namely the so-called epidemic waves. The simplest way of their detection is to find some changes in the dependences of the number of registered cases on time. Since the number of cases is random, its time dependence needs some smoothing. We can use the method proposed in [16]:

$$\bar{V}_i = \frac{1}{7}\sum_{j=i-3}^{j=i+3} V_j \,, \tag{1}$$

and the derivatives of the smoothed values

$$\left.\frac{d\,\bar{V}}{dt}\right|_{t=t_i} \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{V}_{i+1} - \bar{V}_{i-1}\right) \tag{2}$$

$$\left.\frac{d^2\bar{V}}{dt^2}\right|_{t=t_i} \approx \bar{V}_{i+1} - 2\bar{V}_i + \bar{V}_{i-1} \tag{3}$$

in order to detect the changes in epidemics dynamics.

SIR model

The SIR model for an infectious disease [2-5] relates the number of susceptible persons S (persons who are sensitive to the pathogen and **not protected**); the number of infected is I (persons who are sick and **spread the infection**; please don't confuse with the number of still ill persons, so known active cases) and the number of removed R (persons who **no longer spread the infection**; this number is the sum of isolated, recovered, dead, and infected people who left the region):

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\alpha_i SI \,, \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \alpha_i SI - \rho_i I \,, \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \rho_i I \,. \tag{6}$$

Parameters α_i and ρ_i are supposed to be constant for every wave of the epidemics, i.e. for the time periods $t_i \le t \le t_{i+1}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$.

Parameters α_i show how quick the susceptible persons become infected (see (4)). Large values of this parameter correspond to severe epidemics with many victims. These parameters accumulate many characteristics. First they shows how strong (virulent) is the pathogen and what is the way of its spreading. Parameters α_i accumulates also the frequency of contacts and the way of contacting. In order to decrease the values of α_i, we have to minimize the number of our contacts and change our contacting habits. For example, we have to avoid the public places and use masks there, minimize or cancel traveling. We have to change our contact habits: to avoid handshakes and kisses. First, all these simple things are very useful to protect yourself. In addition, if most people follow these recommendations, we have chance to diminish the values of parameter α_i and reduce the negative effects of the pandemic.

The parameters ρ_i characterize the patient removal rates, since eq. (6) demonstrates the increase rate for *R*. The inverse values $1/\rho_i$ are the estimations for time of spreading the infection τ. So, we are interested in increasing the values of parameters ρ_i and decreasing $1/\rho_i$. People and public authorities should work on this and organize immediate isolation of suspicious cases.

Since the derivative $d(S+I+R)/dt$ is equal to zero (it follows from summarizing Eqs. (4)-(6)), the sum

$$N_i = S + I + R \tag{7}$$

must be constant for every wave and is not the volume of population (see also [15]).

Analytical solution of SIR equations

To determine the initial conditions for the set of equations (4)–(6), let us suppose that at the beginning of every epidemic wave t_i:

$$I(t_i) = I_i,\ R(t_i) = R_i,\ S(t_i) = N_i - I_i - R_i \tag{8}$$

In particular, when the first wave of the epidemic starts with one infected person, the initial conditions (8) can be written as follows:

$$I(t_1) = 1,\ R(t_1) = 0,\ S(t_1) = N_1 - 1. \tag{9}$$

Equations (9) were used in [5-16] to simulate the first waves of epidemics in different countries.

It follows from (4) and (5) that

$$\frac{dI}{dS} = \frac{\nu_i}{S} - 1, \qquad \nu_i = \frac{\rho_i}{\alpha_i} \tag{10}$$

Integration of (10) with the initial conditions (8) yields:

$$I = \nu_i \ln S - S + N_i - R_i - \nu_i \ln(N_i - I_i - R_i) \tag{11}$$

It follows from (11) that function I has a maximum at $S = \nu_i$ and tends to zero at infinity. The corresponding number of susceptible persons at infinity $S_{i\infty} > 0$ can be calculated from a non-linear equation

$$S_{i\infty} = (N_i - I_i - R_i) e^{\frac{S_{i\infty} - N_i - R_i}{\nu}} \tag{12}$$

Formula (12) follows from (11) at I=0.

As in [5] we solve (4)-(6) by introducing the function $V(t) = I(t) + R(t)$, corresponding to the number of victims or cumulative confirmed number of cases. It follows from (5)-(7) and (11) that:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \alpha_i SI = \alpha_i (N_i - V)\left[\nu_i \ln(N_i - V) + V - R_i - \nu_i \ln(N_i - R_i - I_i)\right] \tag{13}$$

Integration of (13) yield an analytical solution for the set of equations (4)–(6):

$$F_i(V, N_i, I_i, R_i, \nu_i) = \alpha_i (t - t_i), \tag{14}$$

$$F_i = \int_{R_i + I_i}^{V} \frac{dU}{(N - U)\left[\nu_i \ln(N_i - U) + U - R_i - \nu_i \ln(N_i - R_i - I_i)\right]}. \tag{15}$$

Thus, for every set of parameters $N_i, I_i, R_i, \nu_i, \alpha_i, t_i$ and a fixed value of V, integral (15) can be calculated and a corresponding moment of time can be determined from (14). Then functions *I(t)* and *R(t)* can be easily calculated with the of formulas (11) and:

$$S = N_i - V, \qquad R = V - I \tag{16}$$

The final number of victims (final accumulated number of cases) can be calculated from:

$$V_{i\infty} = N_i - S_{i\infty}. \tag{17}$$

To estimate the duration of an epidemic outbreak, we can use the condition:

$$V(t_{final}) = 1. \tag{18}$$

which means that at $t > t_{final}$ less than one person still spreads the infection.

Parameter identification procedure

In the case of a new epidemic, the values of its independent six parameters are unknown and must be identified with the use of limited data sets. For the first wave of an epidemic starting with one infected person, the number of unknown parameters is only four, since $I_1 = 1$ and $R_1 = 0$. The corresponding statistical approach was used in [6-16] to estimate the values of four unknown parameters for the first waves of Covid-19 pandemic in different regions.

For the next epidemic waves ($i > 1$), the registered number of victims V_j corresponding to the moments of time t_j can be used in eq. (15) in order to calculate $F_{i,j} = F_i(V_j, N_i, \nu_i, I_i, R_i)$ for every fixed values of N_i, ν_i, I_i, R_i and then to check how the registered points fit the straight line (14). For this purpose the linear regression can be applied, e.g., [17], and the optimal straight line, minimizing the sum of squared distances between registered and theoretical points, can be defined. Thus we can find the optimal values of α_i, t_i and calculate the correlation coefficient r_i for the linear dependence (14).

Then the F-test may be applied to check how the null hypothesis that says that the proposed linear relationship (14) fits the data set. The experimental values of the Fisher function can be calculated with the use of the formula:

$$F_i = \frac{r_i^2 (n_i - m)}{(1 - r_i^2)(m - 1)}, \tag{19}$$

where n_i is the number of observations for the i-th wave of epidemis, $m = 2$ is the number of parameters in the regression equation, [17]. The corresponding experimental value F_i has to be compared with the critical value $F_C(k_1, k_2)$ of the Fisher function at a desired significance or confidence level ($k_1 = m - 1$, $k_2 = n_i - m$), [18] . When the values n_i and m are fixed, the maximum

of the Fisher function coincides with the maximum of the correlation coefficient. Therefore, to find the optimal values of parameters N_i, ν_i, I_i, R_i, we have to find the maximum of the correlation coefficient for the linear dependence (14). To compare the reliability of different predictions (with different values of n_i) it is useful to use the ratio $F_i / F_C(1, n_i - 2)$ at fixed significance level. We will use the level 0.001; corresponding values of $F_C(1, n_i - 2)$ can be taken from [18]. The most reliable prediction yields the highest $F_i / F_C(1, n_i - 2)$ ratio.

In the case of sequential calculation of epidemic waves i = 1,2,3 ..., it is possible to avoid determining the four optimal unknown parameters N_i, ν_i, I_i, R_i, thereby reducing the amount of calculations. For parameters I_i, R_i it is possible to use the numbers of I and R calculated for the previous wave of epidemic at the moment of time when the following wave began. In this study we will use this approximate approach.

Results

Detection of Covid-19 pandemic waves in Ukraine and in the world

Applications of formulae (1)-(3) for the pandemic dynamics in Ukraine and in the world are shown in Fig. 1 and 2. The accumulated numbers of cases (blue "circles") were smoothed with the use of eq. (1) and shown by blue lines. Red and black markers represent the results of differentiation (2) and (3) respectively. The make the results more visible, the first derivative (2) is multiplied by 100, the second one (formula (3)) – by 1000.



Fig. 1. Epidemic dynamics in Ukraine versus time in days.

Accumulated number of cases (blue markers and line, eq. (1)). Red markers represent first derivative (eq. (2)), black one show the second derivative (eq. (3)).

Fig. 1 and 2 demonstrate that the second derivatives increase after epidemic outbreak, then become smaller and negative. Such behavior is typical for the first wave of the epidemics (before May 17, 2020 in Ukraine, see Fig. 1 and before May 12 in the world, see Fig. 2). The jumps in the values of the second derivative indicate changes in the conditions of the pandemic (for example, the weakening of quarantine) and the transitions to the next waves with other values of the parameters of mathematical models. These jumps occurred on May 16, May 29, June 8, July 3 and July 19-20 in Ukraine (see Fig. 1) and on May 12 and 28; June 13; July 5 and 14 in the world (see Fig. 2). Therefore these days can be treated as the beginning of the second, third etc. waves.



Fig. 2. Pandemic dynamics in the world versus time in days.

Accumulated number of cases (blue markers and line, eq. (1)). Red markers show first derivative (eq. (2)) multiplied by 100, black one - the second derivative (eq. (3)) multiplied by 1000.

Thus four waves were calculated for Ukraine and presented in the next Section. The distance between some jumps of the second derivative, which took place in the second half of May, was too small to make statistical estimates of the parameters, so the individual waves of the epidemic during this period were not isolated. The same situation occurred for the world dynamics in July. The distance between jumps of second derivative was too short in order to isolate two different waves. Thus five waves were calculated for the world pandemic dynamics. These facts can reduce the accuracy of SIR simulations. At the end of June 2020, the number of days of observation of the fifth wave in Ukraine (after July 19) was still insufficient for statistical analysis, so this wave can be calculated later.

The second epidemic wave in Ukraine was caused by the cancelation of the national lockdown on May 10. After the incubation period (approximately after May 16), the number of new cases began to grow faster. Further waves of the epidemic in Ukraine are associated with further easing of

quarantine and mass non-compliance with social distancing. The fifth epidemic wave in Ukraine can be explained by the consequences of the holiday season, which increased the number of trips and violations of social distancing. Analyze the causes of new waves in the world is difficult because of the large number of countries with different pandemic dynamics. Probably the fourth pandemic wave after June 13 is associated with mass protests in the United States.

Results of SIR simulations

Table 2. Characteristics of the first epidemic wave in Ukraine calculated with the use of different data sets. Optimal values of parameters, final sizes and days (last three rows).

Characteristics	**Ukraine, first wave, prediction 8, [13] i=1**	**Ukraine, first wave, prediction 19, [16] i=1**	**Ukraine, first wave, prediction 21 i=1**
Period taken for calculations	April 11-24	May 13-26	May 3-16
I_i	1	1	1
R_i	0	0	0
N_i	21380.9241600000	92770.3987200000	59002.368
ν_i	12190.2413389748	76647.6354922344	43307.0168670536
α_i	1.45792603472e-05	3.766268949867e-06	4.62451395337e-06
t_i	27.72672361552338	-32.4672286400970	-16.5560950369874
ρ_i	0.177724702176649	0.288675609635095	0.200273903780639
$1/\rho_i$	5.62667984671	3.46409591466375	4.99316177056849
r_i	0.998863416866565	0.998856483326519	0.999453409358706
F_i, eq. (19)	5269.98203160936	5237.97374486281	10968.1371753815
$F_i / F_C(1, n_i - 2)$	283.332367290826	281.611491659291	589.684794375351
$S_{i\infty}$, eq. (12)	6108	62507	30676
$V_{i\infty}$, eq. (17)	15273	30263	28327
t_{final}, eq. (18)	186.5	273.6	264.9
Final day of epidemic	July 26, 2020	October 20, 2020	October 11, 2020

The first waves of the pandemic in Ukraine and in the world were already simulated with the use SIR model [11-16]. Usually the number of cases during the initial period of a new epidemic outbreak is not reliable, since many cases are not detected. That is why the first waves need re-estimations after obtaining fresh data. In this paper we have recalculated the first waves of pandemic in Ukraine and in the world with the use of datasets for the periods of time immediately

preceding the second wave. The characteristics of waves 2-4 for Ukraine and pandemic waves 2-5 in the world were also calculated and presented in Tables 2-5. Value n_i =14 was used for all the cases.

Table 3. Characteristics of second, third and fourth epidemic waves in Ukraine. Optimal values of parameters, final sizes and days (last three rows).

Characteristics	Ukraine, second wave, i=2	Ukraine, third wave, i=3	Ukraine, fourth wave, i=4
Period taken for calculations	May 17-30	June 9-22	July 3-16
I_i	2196	2490	3736
R_i	16420	25891	43941
N_i	239587.2	149444.16	182294.495539200
ν_i	209382.9208704	104004.527376384	119723.766724183
α_i	7.728220925e-07	2.117564829222e-06	1.35741149806184e-06
t_i	118.126430079990	140.948538546930	164.986258791213
ρ_i	0.161815747046830	0.220236329252125	0.162514417542680
$1/\rho_i$	6.17986826529682	4.54057694929708	6.15330021250195
r_i	0.998894780369877	0.999714332158213	0.999456710370030
F_i , eq. (19)	5419.78688520125	20994.4146122108	11034.8339181942
$F_i / F_C(1, n_i - 2)$	291.386391677487	1128.73196839843	593.270640763128
$S_{i\infty}$, eq. (12)	178641	78509	89552
$V_{i\infty}$, eq. (17)	60946	70935	92742
t_{final} , eq. (18)	531.9	338.5	421.7
Final day of epidemic	July 5, 2021	December 24, 2020	March 17, 2021

To illustrate the influence of data on the results of SIR simulations, the previous estimations of the first waves are also presented in Tables 2 and 4. It can be seen, that the use of more recent (and complete) data has changed the estimation for the pandemic beginning. Table 2 illustrates that prediction 21 calculated with the use of number of cases from the period May 3-16 (immediately before the start of the second wave) yields much longer hidden period of the epidemic outbreak in Ukraine in comparison with the previous prediction 8, [13]. Prediction 19, [16] yields even longer hidden period, but it was obtained with the use of the dataset for the period May 13-26, which corresponds the transition form first to the second wave. This prediction yielded the smallest values of F_i and $F_i / F_C(1, n_i - 2)$ in comparison with predictions 8 and 21 (see Table 2). The maximum

corresponding values of these parameters demonstrate that the prediction 21 estimating the epidemic outbreak in Ukraine in the beginning of January, 2020 is probably the most reliable.

The transitional period from first to second wave was used in [8] to estimate the characteristics of the epidemic in the Republic of Korea. In the next calculation for this country [14, 15] the dataset corresponding the second wave was used, but the first wave approach (I_i =1, R_i=0) was applied. These facts reduce the accuracy of both estimates for South Korea.

Table 4 illustrates that the use of more recent (and complete) data changed the estimation for the pandemic beginning in the world. Probably, it happened in China in the beginning of August 2019. It must be taken into account that dataset for the period April 29 - May 12 was taken for calculations. On the one hand, this dataset most fully reflects the number of identified cases, but on the other hand, during the period from August 2019 to May 2020, the conditions of the pandemic could change, so such estimates of the date of the pandemic beginning should be treated critically.

Table 4. Characteristics of the first and second pandemic waves in the world. Two datasets for different periods of time were used to simulate the first wave. Optimal values of parameters, final sizes and days (last three rows).

Characteristics	**World, first wave, prediction 1, [15] i=1**	**World, first wave, prediction 2 i=1**	**World, second wave, i=2**
Period taken for calculations	April 9-29	April 29 - May 12	May 12-25
I_i	1	1	295912
R_i	0	0	3874512
N_i	6637317.12	23600000	113600000
ν_i	3537150.79869746	19925870.4384000	102947264.512000
α_i	2.34901375364e-08	1.380196708625e-08	2.66429099926749e-09
t_i	-111.265486657790	-170.837434239689	113.050342136891
ρ_i	0.0830881587484244	0.275016207955738	0.274281470238531
$1/\rho_i$	12.0354093178044	3.63614932891861	3.64588974650873
r_i	0.999861835424859	0.999848053500794	0.999760778228936
F_i, eq. (19)	68744.3303788117	39478.5832347979	25072.3295928952
$F_i / F_C(1, n_i - 2)$	4522.65331439551	2122.50447498914	1347.97470929544
$S_{i\infty}$, eq. (12)	1595881	16654425	93209515
$V_{i\infty}$, eq. (17)	5041436	6945575	20390485
t_{final}, eq. (18)	393	415.5	749.5
Final day of epidemic	February 16, 2021	March 11, 2021	February 18, 2022

The results of SIR simulations of the next waves of the pandemic in Ukraine and in the world are shown in Tables 3-5 and in Figs. 3 and 4. In can be seen that optimal values of the model parameters are rather different for different pandemic waves. In particular, the final sizes and durations of the pandemic significantly differ. It is not surprising, since different time periods with different conditions were used for calculations. The optimal calculated values of t_i are very close to the moments of time, when the corresponding wave has started.

Table 5. Characteristics of the third, fourth and fifth pandemic waves in the world. Optimal values of parameters, final sizes and days (last three rows).

Characteristics	**World, third wave, i=3**	**World, fourth wave, i=4**	**World, fifth wave, i=5**
Period taken for calculations	May 28 – June 10	June 13-26	July 5-18
I_i	377816	392933	562468
R_i	5323521	7297775	10765332
N_i	95388160	94880000	47113472
ν_i	88021224.0422502	81424517.3514240	32637843.7070307
α_i	3.5269848715e-09	3.903409800432e-09	9.51859566656283e-09
t_i	129.027798384899	145.076908463997	167.113076654722
ρ_i	0.310449525572027	0.317833259025022	0.310666437675697
$1/\rho_i$	3.22113553936803	3.14630382945943	3.21888649279808
r_i	0.999820043845012	0.999654631292158	0.999700105970476
F_i , eq. (19)	33332.4550282053	17363.7383017448	19998.0676446882
$F_i / F_C(1, n_i - 2)$	1792.06747463469	933.534317298105	1075.16492713378
$S_{i\infty}$, eq. (12)	79959644	72028177	26315036
$V_{i\infty}$, eq. (17)	15428516	22851823	20798436
t_{final} , eq. (18)	652.3	600	427.2
Final day of epidemic	November 2, 2021	September 11, 2021	March 22, 2021

Figs. 3 and 4 show four waves of the epidemic in Ukraine and five pandemic waves in the world. The accumulated number of cases $V=I+R$ (solid lines) increases for each next wave. Every new wave also increases the number of infected and spreading the infection persons I. The calculated dependences $10*I(t)$ are shown by dashed lines. The accuracy of simulations is rather good, especially for second and further waves of pandemic, since blue "stars" (showing the accumulated values of confirmed cases used only for control the calculations) are located very close to the corresponding solid lines showing the calculated $V=I+R$ values. There are some

discrepancies for the early stages of first waves, when the number of registered cases is lower that the real one due to the problems with the identification of the infected persons. It can be seen also the beginning of the fifth wave in Ukraine. After July 23, the real number of cases is higher than the calculated one for the fourth wave (compare blue "stars" and solid magenta line in Fig. 3).



Fig. 3. Epidemic waves in Ukraine. SIR curves (lines) and accumulated number of cases (markers) versus time.

Red, black, blue and magenta colors correspond to waves 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively. Numbers of infected and spreading I^*10 (dashed lines) and victims $V=I+R$ (solid lines). "Circles" represent the values V_j taken for calculations, "stars" show the accuracy of calculations.

The quarantine releasing in Ukraine caused new waves of the epidemic and the increase of the infected persons number. Unfortunately, this number is still around its maximal value in Ukraine (see magenta dashed line in Fig. 3). The fifth epidemic wave (which has to be calculated later) will probably show further increase in the number of persons spreading the infection.

Discussion

Hidden periods of Covid-19 pandemic

It was already mentioned that during the initial stages of epidemic the registered number of cases is much lower than the real one, since there are a lot of infected persons without symptoms. In every country some hidden periods occurred, before the first case was confirmed [14, 15]. To make reliable estimations of hidden periods, we have to use the datasets corresponding to the period when the number of registered cases approaches the real one during the first wave of epidemic. In prediction 21 for Ukraine (see Table 2) and in prediction 2 for the world (see Table 4), the periods

of time just before the start of the second wave were used for SIR calculations. The results are shown in Fig. 5.



Fig. 4. Pandemic waves in the world. SIR curves (lines) and accumulated number of cases (markers) versus time.

Red, black, blue, magenta and brown colors correspond to waves 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 respectively. Numbers of infected and spreading *I*10* (dashed lines) and victims $V=I+R$ (solid lines). "Circles" represent the values V_j taken for calculations, "stars" show the accuracy of calculations.

In particular the hidden period in the world according to the second simulation of the first wave is approximately 60 days longer in comparison this the first prediction for the first wave (see Table 4, [15]). Ukrainian statistics yields similar results. Estimation No. 8 available in [13-15] yielded February 16 as the day of the epidemic outbreak in Ukraine. The results of calculations, performed with the use of the more recent dataset (days immediately preceding the beginning of the second wave) and shown in Table 2, demonstrate that the first cases in Ukraine probably appeared in the beginning of January, 2020 (see solid blue line in Fig. 5).

Solid magenta line in Fig. 5 demonstrates that Covid-19 probably started to spread in August 2019. On December 31, 2019 – the day when China notified WHO about the situation in Wuhan - more than 2,000 persons could spread the infection (see dashed magenta line in Fig. 5). In the period from 18 to 27 October, 2019 (when the Military World Games held in Wuhan with the participation of 9,300 athletes from more than 140 countries) the number of infected persons can be estimated by 50-80 (see dashed magenta line in Fig. 5). May be some participants got the infection and passed it on to their families, [20].



Fig. 5. Hidden periods in Ukraine and in the world. SIR curves for the first wave of pandemic (lines) and accumulated number of cases (markers) versus time.

Blue and magenta colors correspond to Ukraine (Table 1) and the world (Table 2) respectively. Numbers of infected and spreading I (dashed lines) and victims $V=I+R$ (solid lines). "Stars" represent the accumulated number of cases V_j from [1]; "squares" show the number of laboratory confirmed cases in Wuhan, China calculated in [9] with the use of daily data presented in [19].

Long-term predictions for Ukraine and the world

Table 6. Comparison of the theoretical results with the real number of cases after one and two weeks of observations

Characteristics	Ukraine, fourth wave, July 23, 2020	Ukraine, fourth wave, July 30, 2020	World, fifth wave, July 25, 2020	World, fifth wave, August 1, 2020
Calculated number of cases $V=I+R$	62486	67571	15571846	16909569
Confirmed number of cases, WHO reports, [1]	62823	69884	15785641	17660523
Discrepancy (%)	0.54	3.31	1.35	4.25

Table 6 illustrates a rather high accuracy of calculations. It can be seen that after one week of observations (after the last day of the periods taken for calculations) the real accumulated number of cases exceed the calculated ones by 0.54% and 1.35% for Ukraine and the world respectively.

After two weeks of observations the discrepancies are higher (3.31% for Ukraine and 4.25% for the world).

Fig. 6 shows the long-term predictions for Ukraine and the world for the period August-December, 2020. Despite the relatively high accuracy of pandemic wave calculations (see Table 6), these long-term forecasts given should be considered as preliminary and overly optimistic. The new waves are expected due to the quarantine easing and changes in social distancing. Gradual weakening of quarantines may not cause pronounced new waves. In this case, the parameters of the pandemic dynamics will change continuously, which makes the proposed approach unsuitable. The expected emergence of vaccines could also change the course of the pandemic. If mass vaccination begins in 2021, the total number of cases will still exceed 20 million. In any case the global and Ukrainian dynamics must be updated with the use of new data sets.

Very long duration of the pandemic requires correction of our behavior, we can not live as before it occurred. Decreased feelings of insecurity and non-compliance with social distancing may further increase the pandemic duration and the number of the coronavirus victims. Total closure of settlements or regions can be recommended only in the event of a sharp increase in the number of cases. There are many things that can be done without loss to the economy and our daily lives:

1. Minimize the number of contacts and trips, not visit crowded places. Work and study remotely where possible.

2. Refrain from shaking hands and kisses during meetings. Use masks in transport and crowded areas.

3. If you (or others) have any suspicious symptoms, do your best to avoid the spread of the infection.



Fig. 6. Long-term predictions for Ukraine (fourth wave) and the world (fifth wave). SIR curves (lines) and accumulated number of cases (markers) versus time.

Magenta and brown colors correspond to Ukraine (Table 3) and the world (Table 5) respectively. Numbers of infected and spreading I (dashed lines) and victims (accumulated number of conformed cases) $V=I+R$ (solid lines).

It must be noted that the relatively small number of people who spread the coronavirus can cause a fairly long course of the epidemic. In particular, 20 such persons in Ukraine (estimation at the beginning of 2021, see Fig. 6) can cause new cases for another two and a half months. This explains the many new outbreaks in South Korea, Japan, China, Singapore and not all of them are caused by imported cases, [1, 21, 22]. To finally overcome the pandemic, it is very important to identify all the infected, and this is very difficult due to the large number of asymptomatic patients.

The dynamics of Covid-19 pandemic in different countries was simulated with the use of different mathematical models [23-37]. Nevertheless, in literature there are no long-time predictions for the final sizes and durations of the pandemic in Ukraine and in the world in order to compare with the presented results.

Probability of meeting an infected person

As long as there are infected people in the population, there is a chance to meet one of them. The corresponding probability can be estimated with the use of simple formula:

$$p(t) = \frac{I(t)}{N_{pop}} \tag{20}$$

where N_{pop} is the number of persons in a country or in a region (volume of population). With the use of corresponding SIR curves it is possible to estimate $p(t)$ for every moment of time. For example, on July 19, 2020 these probabilities can be estimated as $1.04 \cdot 10^{-4}$ and $9.28 \cdot 10^{-5}$ for Ukraine and the world respectively. It means that Ukraine was 12% more dangerous in comparison with the average situation in the world.

Formula (20) can be useful for deciding which countries' citizens are welcome guests after the resumption of international passenger traffic. If $p_A(t) > p_B(t)$ for countries A and B, citizens of A are not welcome guests in country B at the moment of time t. Since such decisions are very important, we will propose some simple method of estimating $p(t)$ without calculations of SIR parameters for epidemic waves in a country. According to (13):

$$I = \frac{1}{\alpha_i S}\frac{dV}{dt} \tag{21}$$

Since function $S(t)$ changes not very sufficient during a wave (compare initial $S_i = N_i - I_i - R_i$ and final $S_{i\infty}$ values of this function in Tables 2-5 and in corresponding Tables in [11, 15]), we can use the constant value S_i instead of $S(t)$. To estimate dV/dt, it is possible to use the known values V_j

$$\left.\frac{dV}{dt}\right|_{t=t_j} \approx \frac{V_{j+1}-V_{j-1}}{2} \qquad (22)$$

or formula (2) for smoothed number of cases. Finally, with the use of (21), equation (20) can be written as follows:

$$p(t) \approx k_p \frac{dV}{dt} \; ; \qquad k_p = \frac{1}{S_i \alpha_i N_{pop}} \qquad (23)$$

Table 7. Epidemic characteristics for different countries and regions calculated for different days.

Country or region, number of prediction or wave, reference	**Day used for calcula-tions**	**Volume of population** N_{pop}	$\alpha_i S_i$	k_p, eq. (23)	**Proba-bility** p, **on July19** eqs. (22),(23)	**Effective repro-duction number** R_t, eq. (25)
World, wave 1, prediction 2	April 29	$7594 \cdot 10^6$	0.3257	$4.04 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.184
World, wave 5	July 5	$7594 \cdot 10^6$	0.3406	$3.87 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.096
Ukraine, wave 1, prediction 21	May 3	43716569	0.2729	$8.38 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$5.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.363
Ukraine, wave 4	July 3	43716569	0.1827	$1.25 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$8.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.124
USA, [15]	Apr 9	331002651	0.7715	$3.92 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$4.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.122
Germany, 2, [15]	Apr 9	83783942	0.8268	$1.44 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$5.6 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0.116
UK, [15]	Apr 9	67886011	0.4384	$3.36 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$3.9 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.326
Italy, 6, [12]	Mar 29	6045595	0.7608	$2.17 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$4.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.116
Spain, 2, [12]	Mar 29	46754778	0.8120	$2.63 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$6.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.182
France, 2, [12]	Apr 5	65283190	1.3569	$1.13 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$7.1 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0.108
Austria, 4, [12]	Mar 28	9009755	1.4471	$7.67 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$6.6 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0.116
Moldova, 2, [12]	Apr 5	4033963	0.4646	$5.34 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$8.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.499
Kyiv, 2, [13]	Apr 11	2988176	0.3598	$9.30 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.603

The results of calculations for different countries and regions are presented in Table 7. It can be seen that coefficient k_p changes not very fast (compare values on different days for Ukraine and the world). It means that values of this coefficient can be used during rather long period of time before

new exact estimations of *I(t)* will be available. According to eq. (23), the probability of meeting an infected person mostly depends on the daily increase in the number of cases. The values *p* were estimated for July 19, 2020 and presented in Table 7.

The *p* value for the fourth wave in Ukraine in 20% lower than the exact estimation $1.04 \cdot 10^{-4}$ calculated before with the use of eq. (20). In the case of the world fifth wave, the difference between the exact estimation (20) and the approximate formula (23) is only 8%. It can be seen that the most infected country is USA. The average global figure is approximately 4 time less. The probabilities *p* in Kyiv, Moldova and Ukraine are around the world average value. Lower figures were calculated for Spain, Italy and UK. The safest counties are France, Austria and Germany.

Estimations of reproduction numbers

Effective reproduction number $R_t(t)$ shows the average number of people infected by one person [38]. In terms of SIR model it can be estimated as:

$$R_t(t) = \frac{I(t+0.5\tau) - I(t-0.5\tau)}{I} = \frac{1}{I}\int_{t-0.5\tau}^{t+0.5\tau} \frac{dI(t^*)}{dt^*} dt^* \approx \frac{\tau}{I}\frac{dI(t)}{dt} \qquad (24)$$

Where τ is the average time of spreading the infection. Taking into account (5), $\tau \approx 1/\rho_i$ and using constant value $S_i = N_i - I_i - R_i$ instead of *S(t)*, equation (24) can be rewritten as follows:

$$R_t(t) \approx \frac{\alpha_i S(t) - \rho_i}{\rho_i} \approx \frac{\alpha_i S_i - \rho_i}{\rho_i} \qquad (25)$$

Equation (25) was used for calculations of the effective reproduction number for different regions and moments of time. The results are shown in the last column of Table 7.

It can be seen that France, Italy, Germany and Austria have the lowest values of R_t which are close to the world figure (wave 5). Not much higher values were calculated for USA and Ukraine (wave 4). Spain, UK, Moldova and Kyiv demonstrate the highest figures. The large difference in Ukrainian and Kyiv values can be explained by very different days of estimation (July 3 and April 11 respectively). Earlier periods of pandemic have higher values of R_t. The same tendency demonstrates Table 7.

The R_t values corresponding to July 19, 2020, were estimated for Ukraine (wave 4, Table 3) and the world (wave 5, Table 5) with the use of exact dependences *I(t)* in formula (24) in order to compare with the results presented in Table 7. The values $R_t = 0.0257$ and $R_t = 0.0059$ for Ukraine and the world respectively demonstrate that the effective reproduction number can decrease very rapid over time.

The effective reproduction numbers of Covid-19 pandemic were estimated with the use of different mathematical models for different countries [37, 39, 40]. In particular, in [37] the R_t values were estimated as of May 10 for EU countries. Corresponding values for Austria, Spain, Germany, France and Italy vary from 0.45 to 0.74 and significantly exceed those shown in Table 7. Rapid changes in R_t values for the epidemic in China are reported in [39] (from 2.35 on January 16 to 1.05 on January 31).

The basic reproduction number R_0 for the initial periods of an epidemic outbreak can be estimated with the use of equation, [38]:

$$R_0 = \exp\left(\frac{\tau \ln 2}{T_d}\right) \tag{26}$$

where T_d is the doubling time (the period during which the number of cases duplicates; the exponential growth is assumed). In the first half of March 2020, the increase in cases in the United States and around the world was close to exponential, [10]. Taking into account the values $T_d = 2.31$ and $T_d = 3.65$ days for USA and the world respectively (calculated in [10]) and estimation $\tau \approx 1/\rho_1$, the values $R_0 = 1.55$ (USA) and $R_0 = 1.99$ (the world) can be obtained (we have used eq. (26) and values $\rho_1 = 0.6877$, [15]; $\rho_1 = 0.275$, Table 4).

The basic reproduction numbers of Covid-19 pandemic were estimated in [29, 41-44]. According to WHO calculations for China [41], values R_0 vary from 2 to 2.5. During the initial period of an epidemic outbreak many infected persons are undetected. This fact diminish the accuracy of R_0 estimations. For example, paper [44] reported the $R_0 = 7.97$ for Indonesia and two different values 1.54 and 6.22 for Singapore.

Conclusions

In order to detect the Covid-19 pandemic waves, a simple method was proposed and used for Ukraine and the world. The SIR model and statistical approach to the parameter identification were modified and some reliable estimations of the epidemic waves are presented. In particular, the optimal values of the SIR model parameters were calculated for four pandemic waves in Ukraine and five waves in the world. E.g., the first wave dynamics allows estimating the real time of the outbreak. To calculate the final size and the duration of the epidemic, simulations of its next waves were used. The number of cases and the number of patients spreading the infection versus time were calculated.

The results demonstrate that the pandemic probably began in August 2019. If current trends continue, the end of the pandemic should be expected no earlier than in March 2021 both in Ukraine and in the world, the global number of cases will exceed 20 million. The probabilities of meeting a

person spreading the infection and reproduction numbers were calculated for different countries and regions. The obtained information will be useful to regulate the quarantine activities, to predict the medical and economic consequences of the pandemic and to decide which countries' citizens are welcome guests.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere thanks to Gerhard Demelmair, Anatolii Nikitin and Anatolii Podkur for their help in collecting and processing data.

References

1. World Health Organization. “Coronavirus disease (COVID-2019) situation reports”. https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports/.

2. Kermack WO, McKendrick AG. A Contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics. J Royal Stat Soc Ser A. 1927;115:700-21.

3. Murray JD. Mathematical Biology I/II. New York: Springer; 2002.

4. Langemann D, Nesteruk I, Prestin J. Comparison of mathematical models for the dynamics of the Chernivtsi children disease. Mathematics in Computers and Simulation. 2016;123:68-79. DOI: 10.1016/j.matcom.2016.01.003

5. Nesteruk I. Statistics based models for the dynamics of Chernivtsi children disease. Naukovi Visti NTUU KPI. 2017;5:26-34. DOI: 10.20535/1810-0546.2017.5.108577

6. Nesteruk I. Statistics-based predictions of coronavirus epidemic spreading in mainland China. Innov Biosyst Bioeng. 2020; 4(1):13–18. DOI: 10.20535/ibb.2020.4.1.195074.

7. Nesteruk I. Characteristics of coronavirus epidemic in mainland China estimated with the use of official data available after February 12, 2020. [Preprint.] ResearchGate. 2020 Mar. DOI:10.13140/RG.2.2.19667.32804.

8. Nesteruk I. Estimations of the coronavirus epidemic dynamics in South Korea with the use of SIR model [Preprint.] ResearchGate. 2020 Mar. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.15489.40807.

9. Nesteruk I. Comparison of the coronavirus epidemic dynamics in Italy and mainland China [Preprint.] MEDRXIV. 2020 March.
DOI: https://medrxiv.org/cgi/content/short/2020.03.18.20038133v1

10. Nesteruk I. Stabilization of the coronavirus pandemic in Italy and global prospects [Preprint.] MEDRXIV. 2020 March. DOI: https://medrxiv.org/cgi/content/short/2020.03.28.20045898v1

11. Nesteruk I. Long-term predictions for COVID-19 pandemic dynamics in Ukraine, Austria and Italy [Preprint.] MEDRXIV, 2020 Apr. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.31170.53448

12. Nesteruk I. SIR-simulation of Corona pandemic dynamics in Europe. [Preprint.] MEDRXIV, 2020 Apr. DOI: https://medrxiv.org/cgi/content/short/2020.04.22.20075135v1

13. Nesteruk I. Динаміка COVID-19 епідемії в Україні та Києві після покращання тестування. COVID-19 epidemic dynamics in Ukraine and Kyiv after testing has improved (In Ukrainian). [Preprint.] ResearchGate. 2020 Apr. doi: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36705.86885

14. Nesteruk I. Hidden periods, duration and final size of COVID-19 pandemic. Preprint. MedRxiv. May 10, 2020. https://medrxiv.org/cgi/content/short/2020.05.10.20097147v1

15. Nesteruk I. Simulations and predictions of COVID-19 pandemic with the use of SIR model. Innov Biosyst Bioeng, 2020, vol. 4, no. 2, 110–121, doi: 10.20535/ibb.2020.4.2.204274. http://ibb.kpi.ua/article/view/204274

16. Nesteruk I. Coronasummer in Ukraine and Austria. [Preprint.] ResearchGate. 2020 June. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.32738.56002

17. Draper NR, Smith H. Applied regression analysis. 3rd ed. John Wiley; 1998.

18. https://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/nchrp/cd-22/manual/v2appendixc.pdf

19. Qun Li, Xuhua Guan, Peng Wu et. al. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus–Infected Pneumonia. New England Journal of Medicine. January 29, 2020. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001316

20. Frankfurter Allgemeine. https://m.faz.net/aktuell/sport/mehr-sport/militaerweltspiele-2019-in-wuhan-damals-schon-corona-faelle-16758894.html

21. Coronavirus: Asian nations face second wave of imported cases; 2020 (accessed July 28,2020). Available from:https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-51955931.

22. As China's virus cases reach zero, experts warn of second wave; 2020 (accessed July 28, 2020). Available from: https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2020-03-18/as-china-virus-cases-near-zero-experts-warn-of-second-wave.

23. Joseph T Wu , Kathy Leung , Gabriel M Leung. Nowcasting and Forecasting the Potential Domestic and International Spread of the 2019-nCoV Outbreak Originating in Wuhan, China: A Modelling Study. Lancet 2020 Jan 31. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30260-9

24. BiaoTang, Nicola Luigi Bragazzi, Qian Li, Sanyi Tang,YanniXiao, Jianhong Wu. An updated estimation of the risk of transmission of the novel coronavirus (2019-nCov). Infectious Disease Modelling. http://www.keaipublishing.com/en/journals/infectious-disease-modelling/

25. M.Batista. Estimation of the final size of the COVID-19 epidemic
Preprint. February 2020. DOI: 10.1101/2020.02.16.20023606

26. J. Dehninget al. Inferring COVID-19 spreading rates and potential change points for case number forecasts. Preprint, ArXiv:2004.01105(2020).

27. Chen Y, Cheng J, Jiang Y, Liu K. A time delay dynamical model for outbreak of 2019-nCoV and the parameter identification. ArXiv:2002.00418; 2020.

28. Peng L, Yang W, Zhang D, Zhuge C,Hong L. Epidemic analysis of COVID-19 in China by dynamical modeling. ArXiv:2002.06563;2020.

29. Chang SL, Harding N, Zachreson C, Cliff OM, Prokopenko M. Modelling transmission and control of the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia. ArXiv:2003.10218;2020.

30. Pellis L, Scarabel F, Stage HB, Overton CE, Chappell LH, Lythgoe KA, et al. Challenges in control of Covid-19: short doubling time and long delay to effect of interventions. ArXiv:2004.00117;2020.

31. Zhou T, Liu Q,Yang Z, Liao J, Yang K,Bai W, et al. Preliminary prediction of the basic reproduction number of the Wuhan novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV. Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine; 2020. https://doi.org/10.1111/jebm.12376PMID:3204881523.

32. Maier BF, Brockmann D. Effective containment explains sub-exponential growth in confirmed cases of recent COVID-19 out break in mainland China. ArXiv:2002.07572;2020.

33. Song PX, Wang L, Zhou Y, He J, Zhu B, Wang F, et al. An epidemiological forecast model and software assessing interventions on COVID-19 epidemic in China. MedRxiv. 2020;

34. Chinazzi M, Davis JT, Ajelli M, Gioannini C, Litvinova M, Merler S, et al. The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak. Science. 2020; 368(6489):395–400. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba9757PMID:32144116

35. Zhang Y,Jiang B,Yuan J, Tao Y. The impact of social distancing and epicenter lockdown on the COVID-19 epidemic in mainland China: A data-driven SEIQR model study. MedRxiv. 2020;

36. Linka K., Peirlinck M., Kuhl E. The reproduction number of COVID-19 and its correlation with public health interventions. [Preprint.] MEDRXIV, 2020. DOI:10.1101/2020.05.01.20088047

37. Pereira IG et al. Forecasting Covid-19 Dynamics in Brazil: A Data Driven Approach.
Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2020, *17*(14), 5115; https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17145115

38. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Basic_reproduction_number

39. Kucharski AJ. et al. Early dynamics of transmission and control of COVID-19: a mathematical modelling study. TheLancet Infectious Diseases (2020)

40. Hyokyoung G. Hong, Yi Li. Estimation of time-varying reproduction numbers underlying epidemiological processes: A new statistical tool for the COVID-19 pandemic. July 2020, PLoS ONE 15(7):e0236464, DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0236464

41. Report of the WHO–China joint mission on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) .https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/who-china-joint-mission-on-covid-19-final-report.pdf(2020)

42. Ferguson NM. et al. Impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to reduce COVID-19 mortality and healthcaredemand. Preprint, Imperial College COVID-19 Response Team (2020).

43. Ying Liu, Gayle AA, Wilder-Smith A, Rocklöv J. The reproductive number of COVID-19 is higher compared to SARS coronavirus. J*urnal of Travel Medicine*, Volume 27, Issue 2, March 2020, taaa021, https://doi.org/10.1093/jtm/taaa021

44. Pongkaew Udomsamuthirun et al. The reproductive index from SEIR model of Covid-19 epidemic in Asean. [Preprint.] MEDRXIV, 2020 Apr.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.24.20078287