- **Early Improvement of Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome in Patients with COVID-19:**
- 2 Insights from the Data of ICU Patients in Chongqing, China
- 3 Zhu Zhan<sup>1, 2</sup>, Xin Yang<sup>3</sup>, Hu Du<sup>1</sup>, Chuanlai Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Yuyan Song<sup>4</sup>, Xiaoyun Ran<sup>1</sup>, An Zhang<sup>1\*</sup>,
- 4 Mei Yang<sup>5\*</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>Department of Intensive Care Unit, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical
- 6 University, Chongqing, China.
- <sup>2</sup>Chongqing Key Laboratory of Ultrasound Molecular Imaging, The Second Affiliated Hospital
- 8 of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China.
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of
- 10 Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China.
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Intensive Care Unit, Chongqing public health medical center, Chongqing, China.
- <sup>5</sup>Department of Intensive Care Unit, Chongqing Sixth People's Hospital, Chongqing, China.
- 13 \*Correspondence:
- 14 Dr. An Zhang, Department of Intensive Care Unit, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Chongging
- Medical University, Chongqing, China. 76 Linjiang Rd, Yuzhong District, Chongqing 400010,
- 16 China. Email: zhangan@hospital.cqmu.edu.cn.
- 17 Dr. Mei Yang, Department of Intensive Care Unit, Chongqing Sixth People's Hospital,
- 18 Chongqing, China. 301 Nancheng Avenue, Nan'an District, Chongqing 400060, China. Email:
- 19 418092179@qq.com.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

**Abstract** Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) may be the main cause of death in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Herein, we retrospect clinical features, outcomes and ARDS characteristics of 75 intensive care unit (ICU) patients with COVID-19 in Chongqing, China. We found a 5.3% case fatality rate of the ICU patients in Chongqing. 93% patients developed ARDS during the intensive care, and more than half were moderate. However, most of the patients (55%) supported with high flow nasal cannula (HFNC) oxygen therapy, but not mechanical ventilation. Nearly one third of patients with ARDS got an early improvement (eiARDS), and the rate is much higher than the other causes of ARDS in a previous study. Patients with eiARDS had a higher survival rate and lower length of ICU stay. The age (< 55 years) is an independent predictor for the eiARDS, and stratification of COVID-19 patients by age is recommended. **Keywords:** COVID-19; acute respiratory distress syndrome; Chongqing; critically ill Introduction On December 2019, Wuhan (Hubei province, China) reported a cluster cases of unknow cause of pneumonia, which were later identified as coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)(1). This contagious disease was caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), and was declared to be a worldwide pandemic by World Health Organization (WHO) on March11, 2020(2). As of 23 May 2020, a total of more than 5 000 000 cases and 330 000 deaths had been reported worldwide(3). The leading cause of COVID-19 death may be the severe respiratory failure caused by acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)(4). Because according to some autopsy results, the lesions

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

are primarily in the lungs, characterized by diffuse alveolar damage. Other organs, such as the heart tissue, have no obvious histological changes (5–7). Previous studies reported that about 48.6% of patients with COVID-19 had ARDS, among which 29% patients had died, and the mortality rate increased with the severity of ARDS(8,9). Therefore, it is needed to fully understand the features of ARDS in patients with COVID-19. In this study, we described epidemiology, clinical features, laboratory findings, treatments, and outcomes of intensive care unit (ICU) patients with COVID-19 in Chongqing, China, the adjoining areas of Hubei province. And we identified a subphenotype of ARDS – early improvement of ARDS, which occurred in about one third patients, and would predict a favorable clinical outcome. Methods Study design and participants This retrospective cohort study included two cohorts of ICU patients from Chongqing public health medical center and Chongqing three gorges central hospital (Chongqing, China), both of which are designated hospital to treat patients with COVID-19 in Chongqing. Patients who admitted to ICU between Jan 21, 2020 (time of the first patient admitted) and March 15, 2020 (time of the last patient discharged in the first wave), were enrolled in our study. Patients with COVID-19 were confirmed by the positive real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assay for nasal and pharyngeal swab specimens according to the WHO guidance. The severity of COVID-19 was judged according to the Fifth Revised Trial Version of the Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia Diagnosis and Treatment Guidance of China(10). Those who met the any following criteria were defined as severe-type: (1) respiratory

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

distress with a respiratory rate over 30 breaths per minute, (2) oxygen saturation ≤93% in the resting state, and (3) arterial blood oxygen partial pressure (PaO2) /oxygen concentration (FiO2) ≤300 mm Hg. Those who met one of the following criteria were defined as critically ill-type: (1) mechanical ventilation needed for respiratory failure, (2) shock, and (3) intensive care needed owing to other organ failure. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Commission of the second affiliated hospital of Chongging medical university, Chongging public health medical center and Chongging three gorges central hospital. Written informed consent was waived by the Ethics Commission of the designated hospital for emerging infectious disease. Data collection Both of the two designated hospitals are Grade A hospitals in China, and all the case data can be found in the electronic case system. Epidemiological, demographic, symptoms, underlying diseases, comorbidities, treatments, clinical course and outcome data of the patients were recorded in a spreadsheet. The signs, arterial blood gas analysis, laboratory data, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II (APACHE II) and sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score were collected at the certain time (Day0: admission in hospital, Day1: admission in ICU, Day3, Day7 and Day14) for each patient. If there was no question about the case data, the doctor in charge was promptly inquired. As the data collection was completed, another doctor was responsible for checking and integrating. The proportion of pneumonia volume was calculated according to the pulmonary infection assisted diagnosis system (V1.7.0.1) based on the Computed Tomography image.

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

Definition ARDS was diagnosed according to the Berlin Definition(11). Liver injury was diagnosed according to the following criteria: alanine aminotransferase (ALT) > 3 upperlimit of normal (ULN) or aspartate aminotransferase (AST) > 3ULN or Total bilirubin (TBIL) > 2ULN, regardless of chronic liver disease(12). Acute kidney injury was diagnosed on the basis of serum creatinine(13). Cardiac injury was diagnosed if the serum concentration of hypersensitive cardiac troponin T (hsTNT) was above the upper limit of the reference range (>14 pg/mL). Time of viral shedding was defined as when two consecutive SARS-CoV-2 PCR assays at least 24 h apart were negative. Statistical analysis SPSS26.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics, IBM Corporation) was used as the statistical analysis tool. The continuous variables that met the normal distribution are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, and the independent student's t test was used for comparison between two groups. The continuous variables that do not meet the normal distribution are presented as the median (interquartile ranges, IQR), and the Mann-Whitney U test was used between two groups. Categorical variables are summarized by using frequencies and percentages, and the  $\Box 2$  test or the Fisher exact test was used among two or more groups; We performed bivariate analyses to identify the predictors for early improvement of ARDS, variables with a P value < 0.05 in the univariate analysis were entered into multivariate logistic regression analysis. All tests were twosided and p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Result Clinical characteristics of ICU patients in Chongqing, China

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

From 21 January to 15 March 2020, Chongqing reported 576 new cases of COVID-19, with 6 deaths. 75 ICU patients from two hospitals were included in this study, with 48 severe and 27 critically ill patients, and 4 death. The comparison of clinical characteristics between these two groups is shown in Table 1. The median age of the 75 patients was 57 years (IQR 25-75), and no bias in the sex ratio. Smoking was more prevalent in critically ill patients (30%) than the severe ones (2%, p = 0.002). 16 (21%) patients had a history of exposure to Hubei province, 24 (32%) patients contacted with patients from Hubei, 17 (23%) patients contacted with confirmed patients in Chongging, and 18 (24%) patients had no definite epidemiological link. The most frequent chronic medical illnesses were diabetes (27%) and hypertension (19%). The most common symptoms were cough (83%), fever (68%) and dyspnea (57%). 2 (3%) patients were under asymptomatic period before hospitalization, and appeared dyspnea without fever during the stay in hospital. ARDS was developed in most of the patients (93%), and more than half were moderate (Table 1). However, most of the patients (55%) supported with high flow nasal cannula (HFNC) oxygen therapy, 26 (35%) patients received non-invasive ventilation, and 7 (9%) patients received invasive ventilation. Other supportive treatments included: prone position ventilation in 7 (9%) patients, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in 3 (4%), renal replacement therapy in 3 (4%), and vasoconstrictive agents in 7 (9%). Although bacterial pneumonia was identified by microbiological culture of sputum or alveolar lavage fluid in only 4 (5%) patients, the antibacterial agents were administered to 62 (83%) patients, and antifungal agents in 12 (16%) patients. Liver (25%) was the most commonly injured extrapulmonary organ, followed by cardiac (19%) and kidney (11%). Lymphopenia was a very noteworthy feature in these patients (95%), and lower incidence of leukopenia (25%) and thrombocytopenia (27%) relatively. Anti-

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

viral agents were used in all patients (100%), the combination of Aluvia (Lopinavir and Ritonavir Tablets) and interferon alpha was the most commonly used. Traditional Chinese medicine was used in 65 (87%) patients owing to potential anti-viral and anti-inflammation activity. Glucocorticoids was given to 46 (61%) patients, immunoglobulin to 30 (40%), and thymopeptides to 63 (84%). Clinical course and outcomes The clinical course and outcomes of patients with COVID-19 in Chongqing are shown in Table 2. Chongging reported 6 deaths of COVID-19 up to 15 March 2020, with 1.04% mortality in all 576 patients. Because 2 patients were died in the emergency department, only 4 dead patients with clinical data were included in our study, with 5.3% 28-Day case fatality rate and 1.3% 28-Day mechanical ventilation dependency in ICU patients. The duration from any initial symptoms to diagnose confirmed by PCR test was 5 days (IQR 2-7), to hospital admission 7 days (IQR 4-8), to ARDS 7 days (IOR 6-10), to ICU admission 8 days (IOR 6-11), to ventilation 10 days (IQR 7-14), to viral shedding 20 days (IQR 16-26), and to death 16 days (min 15, max 28). The length of ICU stay was 13 days (IQR 9-19) and hospital stay 22 days (IQR 16-34). Early improvement of ARDS Learned from the clinical practice, we found a large group of ARDS patients would be improved in one week, we defined these patients as early improvement of ARDS (eiARDS). 56 patients who had ARDS (PaO2/FiO2 < 300mmHg) on the first day of ICU admission (Day 1) were included for analysis. We defined two groups based on the severity of illness on Day 7 (Fig. 1A): "eiARDS" patients PaO2/FiO2 ≥ 300mmHg, "Non-eiARDS" patients PaO2/FiO2 < 300mmHg.

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

18 patients got an eiARDS, accounted for nearly one third of the 56 ARDS patients. There are not significantly differences between the two groups in the PaO2/FiO2 on Day 1 (Fig. 1B), the proportion of pneumonia volume on Day 1(Fig. 1C), and the rate of ventilator usage (2 = 2.46, p)= 0.117). Predictably and regrettably, all of the 4 dead patients did not get an early improvement of ARDS. What's more, patients of "eiARDS" stayed shorter in ICU than the "Non-eiARDS", with 10.5 days (IQR 8, 16) and 18 days (IQR 13, 22) respectively (p = 0.001) (Fig. 1D). In order to determine the factors associated with eiARDS, we performed a bivariate analysis. As shown in Table 3, 3 variables (Age, Temperature and hsCRP) with p < 0.05 in the univariate analysis were chosen for multivariable analysis. Age (< 55 years) was the only variable independently associated with eiARDS, with an odds ratio of 7.4 (95%CI: 1.80-31.08). Indicating that patients younger than 55 years old are 7.4 times more likely to get an early improvement of ARDS than older ones. **Discussion** In the present study, we found that the mortality of COVID-19 in Chongging was 1.04%, and the 28-Day case fatality rate of ICU patients was 5.3%. ARDS was developed in 93% ICU patients, and the HFNC was the most commonly used oxygen therapy. An early improvement of ARDS was occurred in about one third patients, and patients younger than 55 years old would be more likely to do this. Mortality of COVID-19 varies widely in different periods and areas. In the early stage of outbreak, Wuhan reported 4.3% mortality in hospitalized patients (14), and 61.5% in critically ill patients(15). However, the mortality of ICU patients gradually decreased to 32.5-38.5% as time elapsed(16,17). This happened to be 26% in Lombardy Region, Italy(18) and 50% in Seattle

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

Region, America(19). In the present study, we found that only 5.3% case fatality rate of ICU patients was occurred in Chongqing. The big differences of the mortality probably due to whether the medical resources can be timely supplied, including heath workers and hospital beds(20). As a matter of fact, a huge number of health workers from other provinces have been aided to Hubei province, with consecutively increased acute care beds(20). Similar to the model of Hubei, as the first cluster cases of COVID-19 was detected in Chongqing, 4 designated hospitals were arranged and prepared for patients with COVID-19 only, and also the medical experts from different hospitals in Chongqing. The centralized dispatcher of medical resources is the key experience of treating COVID-19 in China. ARDS is the key factor to affect the mortality. According to the Berlin definition, stages of mild, moderate, and severe ARDS were associated with increased mortality (27%, 32% and 45% respectively)(11). Studies have shown that ARDS was one of the risk factors of death in patients with COVID-19(15,21). Many efforts have been attempted to treat ARDS. However, only mechanical ventilation was showed to be effective therapeutics(22). Interestingly, in our present study, although 93% patients had ever suffered ARDS, only 35% patients received non-invasive ventilation, and 9% patients received invasive ventilation. The most commonly used oxygen therapy was HFNC, which counted for 55% (despite patients may receive both HFNC and ventilation), seemly meaning that HFNC is effective for COVID-19-induced ARDS. Similar conclusions can be observed in a previous review(4). The authors hold that HFNC is suitable for COVID-19 patients with mild ARDS, and even safe for moderate and severe patients, which is clearly inconsistently with the stratified treatment strategies of ARDS caused by other factors(4). In our present study, we found nearly one third patients with ARDS recovered in one week, which we defined as an early improvement of ARDS (eiARDS). However, this eiARDS can be

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

found in only 18% patients with mild ARDS caused by other factors, with 36% patients persisting and 46% worsened in the first week after ARDS onset(23). It is worth mentioning that, why so many patients with COVID-19 got an eiARDS and why HFNC oxygen therapy was so effectual for these patients? There is a voice should be considered. Gattinoni et al.(24) proposed two types of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia: "non-ARDS," type 1, and ARDS, type 2. Although both types of patients meet the ARDS Berlin definition, but the severe hypoxemia in type 1 patients is associated with nearly normal respiratory system compliance, which can lead to ventilation/perfusion mismatch(24). Assuming that type 1 pneumonia would improve quicker than the type 2, it appears to be able to explain the above problems. In addition, Gattinoni et al proposed that the gas volume and percentage of non-aerated tissue can be clearly distinguished by CT scan between type 1 and type 2 pneumonia. However, there was not any differences in the proportion of pneumonia volume between "eiARDS" and "Non-eiARDS" in our study. Regardless of the reasons why the proportion of eiARDS was so high, paying attention to eiARDS itself is clinically meaningful. Early or rapidly improving ARDS always associated with a better surviving or outcomes (23,25). For COVID-19 patients, early improvement in oxygenation was associated with being discharged alive from the ICU(21). We found that patients with eiARDS had a higher survival rate and lower length of ICU stay than the "NoneiARDS". Dynamic observation of ARDS in the short term is very worthwhile for the prognosis of COVID-19, and patients whose ARDS did not improve in one week should be given more attention. One might point out that whether it is because most patients had mild ARDS at baseline, so it is easier to reach eiARDS. But the fact is that, in the baseline, 38.8% patients were under moderate ARDS, and no significantly differences were shown between "eiARDS" and "Non-eiARDS. In

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

other words, the initial PaO2/FiO2 was independently associated with eiARDS. Indeed, multiple studies have shown that older age (> 65 years) is one of the risk factors of death in patients with COVID-19(15,26–28), and establishing risk stratification through age (> 60 years) maybe helpful to clinicians (29). Similar underlying mechanisms may be found in regard to the effect of age to death and the development of ARDS. Nevertheless, age should be given high attention during the management of COVID-19 patients. Limitations This study has several limitations. First, based on the retrospective study design, the laboratory tests (except arterial blood gas analysis, which performed each day) may not be performed in all patients at a specific time, and the missing data was replaced by the values within latest 2 days. Second, although the treatment strategies of the two hospitals followed the guidelines issued by the Chinese National Health Commission, some of the treatments are different, such as the composition of traditional Chinese medicine, which may cause different clinical outcomes. Third, the sample size is relatively small, part of the conclusions needs to be verified by multiple centers and larger sample size. **Conclusions:** In the present study, we described the epidemiology, clinical features, laboratory data, treatments, and outcomes of ICU patients in Chongqing, China. We found that the case fatality rate of ICU patients in this region was only 5.3%, and the timely supplement of medical resources and oxygen therapy based on HFNC may be the reasons for this low fatality rate. In addition, we identified a new subphenotype of ARDS – the early (in one week) improvement of ARDS (eiARDS), which occurred in about one third of ARDS patients with COVID-19,

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

anticipating a favorable clinical outcome. The age (< 55 years) is an independent predictor for the eiARDS, and stratification of COVID-19 patients by age is recommended. **Competing interests** The authors declare that they have no competing interests. **Funding** This work was supported by the Emergency Research Project of COVID-19 of Chongqing Health Commission (2020NCPZX04). Acknowledgments We thank the patients described in this report, the health care personnel who cared for them, the staff members of Health Commission of Chongqing City, and the staff members of Chongqing Center for Disease Control and Prevention. References 1. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J, et al. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020 Jan 24;0(0):null. 2. Cucinotta D, Vanelli M. WHO Declares COVID-19 a Pandemic. Acta Biomed. 2020 19:91(1):157-60. 3. World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) Situation Report – 124. (2020). Accession at: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports

- 4. Li X, Ma X. Acute respiratory failure in COVID-19: is it "typical" ARDS? Crit Care.
- 263 2020 06;24(1):198.
- 5. Xu Z, Shi L, Wang Y, Zhang J, Huang L, Zhang C, et al. Pathological findings of
- 265 COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Lancet Respir Med.
- 266 2020;8(4):420–2.
- 6. Nunes Duarte-Neto A, de Almeida Monteiro RA, da Silva LFF, Malheiros DMAC, de
- Oliveira EP, Theodoro Filho J, et al. Pulmonary and systemic involvement of COVID-19
- assessed by ultrasound-guided minimally invasive autopsy. Histopathology. 2020 May 22;
- 7. Ackermann M, Verleden SE, Kuehnel M, Haverich A, Welte T, Laenger F, et al.
- Pulmonary Vascular Endothelialitis, Thrombosis, and Angiogenesis in Covid-19. N Engl J
- 272 Med. 2020 May 21;
- 8. Tang X, Du R, Wang R, Cao T, Guan L, Yang C, et al. Comparison of Hospitalized
- Patients With ARDS Caused by COVID-19 and H1N1. Chest. 2020 Mar 26;
- 9. Liu Y, Sun W, Li J, Chen L, Wang Y, Zhang L, et al. Clinical features and progression of
- acute respiratory distress syndrome in coronavirus disease 2019. medRxiv. 2020 Feb
- 277 21;2020.02.17.20024166.
- 10. National Health Commission of the Peoples Republic of China. The Fifth Revised Trial
- Version of the Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia Diagnosis and Treatment Guidance. Available
- 280 at:
- 281 http://www.nhc.gov.cn/yzygj/s7653p/202002/d4b895337e19445f8d728fcaf1e3e13a.shtml.
- 11. ARDS Definition Task Force, Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, Ferguson
- ND, Caldwell E, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin Definition. JAMA.
- 284 2012 Jun 20;307(23):2526–33.

- 12. Chinese Digestion Association, Chinese Medical Doctor Association, Chinese Society of
- Hepatology, Chinese Medical Association. [The protocol for prevention, diagnosis and
- treatment of liver injury in coronavirus disease 2019]. Chinese Journal of Hepatology. 2020
- 288 Mar 20;28(3):217–21.
- 13. KDIGO clinical practice guideline for acute kidney injury. Kidney disease: improving
- 290 global outcomes (KDIGO) acute kidney injury work group. 2012 Mar; Available from:
- 291 https://kdigo.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/KDIGO-2012-AKI-Guideline-English.pdf
- 14. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138
- Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus–Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China.
- JAMA [Internet]. 2020 Feb 7 [cited 2020 Feb 8]; Available from:
- https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2761044
- 15. Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, Shu H, Xia J, Liu H, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of
- critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered,
- retrospective, observational study. Lancet Respir Med. 2020 Feb 24;
- 16. Yu Y, Xu D, Fu S, Zhang J, Yang X, Xu L, et al. Patients with COVID-19 in 19 ICUs in
- Wuhan, China: a cross-sectional study. Crit Care. 2020 14;24(1):219.
- 17. Li X, Xu S, Yu M, Wang K, Tao Y, Zhou Y, et al. Risk factors for severity and mortality
- in adult COVID-19 inpatients in Wuhan. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020 Apr 12;
- 18. Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, Antonelli M, Cabrini L, Castelli A, et al. Baseline
- Characteristics and Outcomes of 1591 Patients Infected With SARS-CoV-2 Admitted to
- 305 ICUs of the Lombardy Region, Italy. JAMA. 2020 Apr 6;
- 19. Bhatraju PK, Ghassemieh BJ, Nichols M, Kim R, Jerome KR, Nalla AK, et al. Covid-19
- in Critically Ill Patients in the Seattle Region Case Series. N Engl J Med. 2020 Mar 30;

- 20. Zhang Z, Yao W, Wang Y, Long C, Fu X. Wuhan and Hubei COVID-19 mortality
- analysis reveals the critical role of timely supply of medical resources. J Infect. 2020 Mar 21;
- 21. Zangrillo A, Beretta L, Scandroglio AM, Monti G, Fominskiy E, Colombo S, et al.
- Characteristics, treatment, outcomes and cause of death of invasively ventilated patients with
- 312 COVID-19 ARDS in Milan, Italy. Crit Care Resusc. 2020 Apr 23;
- 22. Fan E, Brodie D, Slutsky AS. Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: Advances in
- Diagnosis and Treatment. JAMA. 2018 20;319(7):698–710.
- 23. Pham T, Serpa Neto A, Pelosi P, Laffey JG, De Haro C, Lorente JA, et al. Outcomes of
- Patients Presenting with Mild Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: Insights from the
- 317 LUNG SAFE Study. Anesthesiology. 2019;130(2):263–83.
- 318 24. Gattinoni L, Chiumello D, Rossi S. COVID-19 pneumonia: ARDS or not? Crit Care
- 319 [Internet]. 2020 Apr 16 [cited 2020 May 10];24. Available from:
- https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7160817/
- 25. Schenck EJ, Oromendia C, Torres LK, Berlin DA, Choi AMK, Siempos II. Rapidly
- Improving ARDS in Therapeutic Randomized Controlled Trials. Chest. 2019;155(3):474–82.
- 26. Du R-H, Liang L-R, Yang C-Q, Wang W, Cao T-Z, Li M, et al. Predictors of Mortality
- for Patients with COVID-19 Pneumonia Caused by SARS-CoV-2: A Prospective Cohort
- 325 Study. Eur Respir J. 2020 Apr 8;
- 326 27. Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, Xia J, Zhou X, Xu S, et al. Risk Factors Associated With Acute
- Respiratory Distress Syndrome and Death in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019
- Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA internal medicine [Internet]. 2020; Available from:
- 329 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32167524

 28. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study.
The Lancet. 2020 Mar;S0140673620305663.
29. Liu Y, Mao B, Liang S, Yang J, Lu H, Chai Y, et al. Association between ages and clinical characteristics and outcomes of coronavirus disease 2019. European Respiratory Journal [Internet]. 2020 Jan 1 [cited 2020 May 11]; Available from: https://erj.ersjournals.com/content/early/2020/04/20/13993003.01112-2020

**Table 1**. Clinical characteristics of ICU patients (severe/critically ill) with COVID-19.

	Total	Severe	Critically ill	p value
	(n=75)	(n=48)	(n=27)*	p value
Age, median (IQR), y	57 (25, 75)	56 (47, 70)	63 (51, 69)	0.566
Sex				0.644
Female	36 (48%)	24 (50%)	12 (44%)	
Male	39 (52%)	24 (50%)	15 (56%)	
Smoking	9 (12%)	1 (2%)	8 (30%)	0.002
Exposure				0.052
a recent travel to Hubei province	16 (21%)	13 (27%)	3 (11%)	
contact with patients from Hubei	24 (32%)	18 (38%)	6 (22%)	
contact with confirmed patients	17 (23%)	7 (15%)	10 (37%)	
No definite epidemiological link	18 (24%)	10 (21%)	8 (30%)	
Chronic medical illness				
Hypertension	14 (19%)	8 (17%)	6 (22%)	0.553
Diabetes	20 (27%)	12 (25%)	8 (30%)	0.663

Chronic cardiac disease	7 (9%)	6 (13%)	1 (4%)	0.399
COPD	4 (5%)	4 (8%)	0 (0%)	0.314
Malignancy	1 (1%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	1
Symptoms				
Fever	51 (68%)	28 (58%)	23 (85%)	0.017
Cough	62 (83%)	40 (83%)	22 (81%)	1
Expectoration	29 (39%)	21 (44%)	8 (30%)	0.228
Dyspnea	43 (57%)	23 (48%)	20 (74%)	0.028
Myalgia	20 (27%)	12 (25%)	8 (30%)	0.663
Headache	9 (12%)	7 (15%)	2 (7%)	0.584
Diarrhea	7 (9%)	4 (8%)	3 (11%)	1
Comorbidities				
ARDS†	70 (93%)	43 (90%)	27 (100%)	0.012
Without	5 (7%)	5 (10%)	0 (0%)	
Mild	10 (13%)	9 (19%)	1 (4%)	
Moderate	38 (51%)	25 (52%)	13 (48%)	
Severe	22 (29%)	9 (19%)	13 (48%)	
Pneumothorax	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	0.769
Bacterial pneumonia‡	4 (5%)	3 (6%)	1 (4%)	1
Cardiac injury	14 (19%)	7 (15%)	7 (26%)	0.226
Liver injury	19 (25%)	10 (21%)	9 (33%)	0.232
Kidney injury	8 (11%)	5 (10%)	3 (11%)	1
Shock	7 (9%)	0 (0%)	7 (26%)	0.001
Leukopenia	19 (25%)	11 (23%)	8 (30%)	0.521
Lymphopenia	71 (95%)	46 (96%)	25 (93%)	0.949

Thrombocytopenia	20 (27%)	9 (19%)	11 (41%)	0.039
Treatment				
High flow nasal cannula	41 (55%)	20 (42%)	21 (78%)	0.003
Mechanical ventilation				
Non-invasive	26 (35%)	0 (0%)	26 (96%)	< 0.0001
Invasive	7 (9%)	0 (0%)	7 (26%)	0.001
Prone position ventilation	7 (9%)	0 (0%)	7 (26%)	0.001
Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation	3 (4%)	0 (0%)	3 (11%)	0.081
Renal replacement therapy	3 (4%)	0 (0%)	3 (11%)	0.081
Vasoconstrictive agents	7 (9%)	0 (0%)	7 (26%)	0.001
Antiviral agents	75 (100%)	48 (100%)	27 (100%)	NA
Antibacterial agents	62 (83%)	37 (77%)	25 (93%)	0.166
Antifungal	12 (16%)	4 (8%)	8 (30%)	0.037
Glucocorticoids	46 (61%)	22 (46%)	24 (89%)	0.0002
Immunoglobulin	30 (40%)	13 (27%)	17 (63%)	0.002
Thymopeptides	63 (84%)	37 (77%)	26 (96%)	0.064
Traditional Chinese medicine	65 (87%)	41 (85%)	24 (89%)	0.944

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome.

**Table 2**. Clinical course and outcomes of ICU patients with COVID-19.

<sup>\*4</sup> patients died in ICU were included.

<sup>†</sup>ARDS stages were defined by the worst PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub>.

<sup>343 ‡</sup>Bacterial pneumonia was confirmed by sputum or alveolar lavage fluid culture.

Duration from any initial symptoms*	Median (IQR), days
to diagnose confirmed by PCR test	5 (2, 7)
to hospital admission	7 (4, 8)
to ARDS	7 (6, 10)
to ICU admission	8 (6, 11)
to ventilation	10 (7, 14)
to viral shedding†	20 (16, 26)
to death‡	16 (min 15, max 28)
Length of ICU stay	13 (9, 19)
Length of hospital stay	22 (16, 34)
Outcomes (n = 75)	NO. (%)
28-Day Mortality‡	4 (5.3)
28-Day mechanical ventilation dependency	1 (1.3)
Location of death $(n = 6)$	
ICU	4 (66.7)
Emergency department	2 (33.3)

Abbreviations: PCR, polymerase chain reaction; ICU, intensive care unit; IQR, interquartile range.

348

349

350

351

<sup>\*2</sup> patients without any symptoms until hospital admission were excluded for statistical analysis.

<sup>†</sup>The viral shedding was defined as two or more consecutive throat swab PCR test were negative.

<sup>‡4</sup> patients died in ICU were included.

Table 3. Univariate and multivariate analysis of predictors for early improvement of ARDS.

	Variable OR	e volus	
	(95% CI)	p value	
Demographics and clinical chara	cteristics		
Age, years*			
>=55	1 (ref)		
<55 (univariate)	4.33 (1.31-14.32)	0.016	
<55 (multivariate)	7.40 (1.80-31.08)	0.006	
Male sex (vs female)	2.00 (0.62-6.43)	0.245	
Time of onset to ICU	1.03 (0.87-1.22)	0.704	
Smoke	2.43 (0.53-11.10)	0.252	
Hypertension	0.55 (0.10-2.98)	0.491	
Diabetes	0.80 (0.21-3.01)	0.741	
COPD	0.69 (0.07-7.10)	0.752	
APACHE $\square$	0.85 (0.70-1.05)	0.130	
SOFA	0.96 (0.56-1.67)	0.889	
Temperature, °C*			
<37.3	1 (ref)		
>=37.3 (univariate)	0.22 (0.06-0.90)	0.034	
>=37.3 (multivariate)	0.307 (0.06-1.68)	0.173	
Heart rate, /min			
<100	1 (ref)		
>=100	2.54 (0.63-10.25)	0.191	
Respiratory rate, /min			

<24	1 (ref)			
>=24	1.27 (0.32-5.04)	0.738		
Systolic pressure, mmHg				
<140	1 (ref)			
>=140	1.21 (0.47-3.10)	0.695		
Ventilation (vs Non-)	0.83 (0.14-4.73)	0.829		
Proportion of pneumonia volume, %	0.97 (0.92-1.02)	0.227		
Laboratory findings				
рН				
7.35-7.45	1 (ref)			
>7.45	1.68 (0.46-6.21)	0.437		
PaCO <sub>2</sub> , mmHg				
34-45	1 (ref)			
<34	1.10 (0.35-3.46)	0.873		
PaO <sub>2</sub> , mmHg				
>=60	1 (ref)			
<60	0.44 (0.12-1.59)	0.209		
White blood cell count, $\times$ 10 $\Box$ /L				
<4	3.63 (0.97-13.64)	0.056		
4-10	1 (ref)			
>10	2.07 (030-14.44)	0.461		
Lymphocyte, $\times 10$	2.73 (0.54-13.80)	0.224		
Platelet, $\times$ 10 $\Box$ /L				
>=100	1 (ref)			
<100	2.19 (0.28-16.95)	0.454		

Potassium, mmol/L  3.5-4.5  1 (ref)  <3.5  0.51 (0.12-2.12)  0.352  Sodium, mmol/L  135-145  1 (ref)  <135  1.05 (0.34-3.27)  0.933  Creatinine, μmol/L  1.00 (0.97-1.03)  0.804  Albumin, g/L  >=40  1 (ref)  <40  0.57 (0.11-2.87)  0.491  Total bilirubin, μmol/L  <=17.1  1 (ref)				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
Sodium, mmol/L  135-145  1 (ref)  <135  1.05 (0.34-3.27)  0.933  Creatinine, μmol/L  1.00 (0.97-1.03)  0.804  Albumin, g/L  >=40  1 (ref)  <40  0.57 (0.11-2.87)  0.491  Total bilirubin, μmol/L				
135-145				
<135 Creatinine, μmol/L Albumin, g/L >=40 1 (ref) <40 0.57 (0.11-2.87) 0.933 0.804 0.804 0.804				
Creatinine, $\mu$ mol/L 1.00 (0.97-1.03) 0.804 Albumin, g/L 1 (ref) 1 (ref) 0.57 (0.11-2.87) 0.491 Total bilirubin, $\mu$ mol/L				
Albumin, g/L >=40 1 (ref) <40 0.57 (0.11-2.87) 0.491  Total bilirubin, μmol/L				
>=40				
<40 0.57 (0.11-2.87) 0.491 Total bilirubin, μmol/L				
Total bilirubin, μmol/L				
<=17.1 1 (ref)				
>17.1 1.96 (0.61-6.29) 0.256				
Alanine aminotransferase, U/L				
<=40 1 (ref)				
>40 0.59 (0.17-2.00) 0.396				
Creatine kinase, U/L				
<=200 1 (ref)				
>200 0.72 (0.17-3.10) 0.657				
High-sensitivity cardiac troponin T, ng/mL, ng/mL				
<=0.014 1 (ref)				
>0.014 0.70 (0.06-7.74) 0.774				
Prothrombin time, sec				
<=14 1 (ref)				
>14 2.53 (1.23-5.19) 0.999				

D-dimer, μg/L		
<=0.55	1 (ref)	
>0.55	0.94 (0.27-3.26)	0.928
Procalcitonin, ng/ml		
<=0.046	1 (ref)	
>0.046	0.32 (0.09-1.17)	0.084
hsCRP, mg/L* (univariate)	0.99 (0.98-1.00)	0.041
hsCRP, mg/L (multivariate)	0.99 (0.98-1.00)	0.092

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; ICU, intensive care unit; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; APACHE, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation; SOFA, sequential organ

## Figure 1. Legend.

358

359

360

- Comparison between "eiARDS" and "Non-eiARDS". (A) All patients were under ARDS on Day
- 1, but divided into two groups (eiARDS and Non-eiARDS) according to the PaO2/FiO2 on Day
- 7. (B) No significantly difference between two groups in the PaO2/FiO2 on Day 1. (C) No
- significantly difference between two groups in the proportion of pneumonia volume on Day 1.
- 365 (D) The length of ICU stay showed different between two groups. eiARDS, early improvement
- of ARDS; Non-eiARDS, none of eiARDS.

failure assessment; PaCO2, arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PaO2, arterial partial

pressure of oxygen; hsCRP, high-sensitivity C reaction protein.

<sup>\* 3</sup> variables (Age, Temperature and hsCRP) were chosen for multivariable analysis.

