Title: Causal influence of dietary habits on the risk of major depressive disorder:

a diet-wide Mendelian randomization analysis

Tzu-Ting Chen¹, PhD; Chia-Yen Chen^{2,3}, ScD; Chiu-Ping Fang¹, MS; Yen-Feng

Lin^{1,4}, MD, ScD

¹Center for Neuropsychiatric Research, National Health Research Institutes, Miaoli,

Taiwan

²Biogen, Cambridge, MA, USA

³Psychiatric and Neurodevelopmental Genetics Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital,

Boston, MA, USA

⁴School of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan

Short title: dietary habits and depression

Corresponding Author & Requests for Single Reprints:

Dr. Yen-Feng Lin

Center for Neuropsychiatric Research

National Health Research Institutes

No. 35 Keyan Road

Zhunan, Miaoli County, Taiwan NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Tel: +886-37-206-166 ext. 36707

Fax: +886-37-586-453

Email: <u>yflin@nhri.edu.tw</u>

Manuscript word count: 2525

Abstract

Background

Some evidence suggests that diet may potentially increase or decrease the risk of major depressive disorder (MDD). However, the association between dietary habits and MDD remains controversial. The aim of this study is to systemically investigate the causal influence of dietary habits on the risk of MDD by Mendelian randomization (MR) using diet-wide and genome-wide summary data.

Methods

To perform two-sample MR, we collected publicly available genome-wide association studies' summary statistics for dietary habits from GeneATLAS (n = 452,264) and MDD from Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (n = 43,204). We used a weighted median approach to synthesize MR estimates across genetic instruments. For the robustness of our results, we compared weighted median results with an inverse-variance weighted method, weighted mode method and MR-PRESSO. We also assessed the bidirectional relationships between dietary habits and major depressive disorder through bidirectional Mendelian randomization.

Results

Beef intake showed significant protective effects on MDD (β = -1.33; p-value =

0.002; Bonferroni-corrected p-value = 0.034; 11 single nucleotide polymorphisms [SNPs]), and cereal intake was nominally significantly protective (β = -0.15; p-value = 0.010; 51 SNPs). We obtained similar results by using an inverse-variance weighted method and weighted mode approach despite results in the weighted mode test being non-significant. We also found a potential effect of MDD on tea intake (β = 0.13; p-value = 0.021; 12 single SNPs).

Conclusions

In this two-sample MR, we observed that higher beef and cereal intake may be protective factors for MDD. We also found that MDD might trigger patients to drink more tea. Potential mechanisms need to be further investigated to support our novel findings.

Keywords: Mendelian randomization; major depressive disorder; dietary habits; beef intake; cereal intake; tea intake; GWAS

Introduction

Globally, major depressive disorder (MDD) has been one of the leading causes of non-fatal health loss for nearly three decades.¹ In 2017, the prevalent cases and incident cases for MDD were approximately 163 million and 241.9 million, respectively. The number of all-age years lived with disability attributed to MDD increased by 32.1% from 1990 to 2007 and by 12.6% from 2007 to 2017.¹ Considering the issues of current treatments for depression, such as adverse side effects and unsatisfactory response rates to antidepressant medication, many studies have suggested that non-pharmacological prevention strategies, such as physical activity and healthy diet, may play an important role in reducing the disease burden of MDD.

In the past decade, a number of nutritional epidemiological studies have suggested that diet may potentially increase or decrease the risk of MDD. It is hypothesized that nutrition may activate hormonal, neurotransmitter and signaling pathways in the gut and then modulate depression-associated biomarkers.² However, the findings are inconsistent and inconclusive for many diets' effects on MDD. For example, a metaanalysis of observational studies reported that increased meat consumption is

associated with higher incidence but not prevalence of depression.³ Meanwhile, an observational study in females reported that red meat consumption less than the recommended intake may be associated with an increased risk of depression.⁴ Finally. a recent review on the association between meat abstention and depression showed mixed results; however, the majority of studies, and especially the most rigorous ones, demonstrated a higher risk of depression in those who avoided meat.⁵ Similarly, alcohol consumption was shown to be associated with increased risk of MDD in some studies,⁶⁻⁹ but not in the others.¹⁰⁻¹² Several other potential protective factors for MDD were identified through randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and observational studies, including coffee and caffeine intake,¹³ omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid intake,¹⁴ fruit and vegetable intake,¹⁵ frequent fish consumption,¹⁶ plain water intake,¹⁷ and decreased fat intake (with induced body weight loss).¹⁸ However, there were also studies showing null association of MDD with consumption of tea, coffee¹⁹ and fish.²⁰

In nutritional epidemiology studies, it is very challenging to minimize confounding bias that may contribute to the inconsistent results regarding the relationship between diet and MDD. Recently, several GWAS have indicated that dietary habits are heritable traits;^{21,22} therefore, Mendelian randomization (MR), which leverages

genetic instruments to reduce potential confounding biases, may be an appropriate study design to evaluate the effects of diet on a disease or a health outcome.²³ The aim of this study is to systemically investigate the causal influence of dietary habits on the risk of MDD by MR approach using diet-wide and genome-wide summary data.

Methods

Study Design

We applied a two-sample MR study design that uses genetic variants as instrumental variables (IVs) for exposure to investigate the causal relationship between exposure and outcome.²⁴⁻²⁶ We leveraged summary statistics from large-scale genome-wide association studies' (GWAS) meta-analyses to increase statistical power in the two-sample MR study. Our MR method is described in detail in Supplemental Methods.

Dietary habits GWAS summary statistics

We obtained genome-wide associations for 20 dietary habits from GeneATLAS (Table 1).²⁷ The questionnaire for these dietary habits is summarized in eTable 23. GeneATLAS included GWAS performed using 452,264 participants of European ancestry with 9,113,133 genetic variants in UK Biobank (see also Supplemental

Methods). We further filtered the summary statistics to include only imputed and genotyped variants with minor allele frequency ≥ 0.05 , with imputation information score ≥ 0.8 , and with p-value for departure from the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium ≥ 1 $\times 10^{-4}$. We selected genome-wide significant single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with association p-value $< 5 \times 10^{-8}$.

Major depressive disorder GWAS summary statistics

The Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC) provided summary statistics from a genome-wide association meta-analysis of MDD (see Supplementary Methods for cohort details).²⁸ This meta-analysis included 43,204 MDD patients and 95,680 controls of European ancestry, with 12,148,694 genetic variants. We filtered to include imputed and genotyped variants with minor allele frequency ≥ 0.05 and imputation information score ≥ 0.8 . We used a relaxed threshold of association p-value $< 1 \times 10^{-6}$, which has been used in previous psychiatric MR studies to select genetic instruments.²⁹⁻³¹

Statistical Analysis

We assessed the bidirectional relationships between dietary habits and MDD by twosample MR. We performed linkage disequilibrium clumping on significant SNPs to keep independent SNPs as genetic instruments. When the SNPs were not available in

the outcome summary data, we replaced them with proxy SNPs in the highest linkage disequilibrium with $r^2 \ge 0.8$. Next, we harmonized exposure and outcome data, and aligned the effect allele in exposure and outcome GWAS. We inferred positive strand alleles using allele frequencies for palindromic SNPs, and removed palindromic SNPs with a minor allele frequency > 0.42, for which alleles cannot be reliably aligned. A weighted median approach was used to synthesize MR estimates. For the robustness of our results, we compared weighted median results with other estimates, including an inverse-variance weighted (IVW) method and a weighted mode method.

In an MR study, it is essential to check for horizontal pleiotropy, which will lead to biased estimates. We used a MR-PRESSO test to detect horizontal pleiotropic outliers in the multi-instrument MR study and to correct for horizontal pleiotropy via outlier removal.³² In addition, we examined horizontal pleiotropy by test for the intercept in the MR-Egger regression, MR-Egger test and Cochran's Q test for heterogeneity, and the leave-one-out analysis.³³ All analyses were performed using the statistical software R with TwoSampleMR package for processing and harmonizing exposure and outcome data, and conducting MR analyses with the ieugwasr package for linkage disequilibrium clumping.

Results

Beef intake showed a causally protective effect on MDD after Bonferroni correction $(\beta = -1.33; p-value = 0.002; Bonferroni-corrected p-value = 0.034; 11 SNPs)$ (Table 1). We also found cereal intake showing a protective effect on MDD, with a nominally significant p-value ($\beta = -0.15; p-value = 0.010; 51$ SNPs) (Table 1). The causal effect estimate from each SNP and the distribution of genetic effects on exposure and outcome are summarized in Figure 1 for beef intake and Figure 2 for cereal intake on MDD. We obtained similar results by using the IVW method and weighted mode approach, despite results in the weighted mode test being non-significant. SNPs selected as instrumental variables for MR analyses for effects of dietary habits on MDD are listed in eTables 1-20.

We also assessed the effect of MDD as an exposure on dietary habits (Table 2). We found that MDD may be causally increasing tea intake, with a nominally significant p-value ($\beta = 0.13$; p-value = 0.021; 12 SNPs) (Figure 3). We observed similar results by using the IVW method and a weighted mode approach, despite seeing non-significant results in both tests. SNPs selected as potential instrumental variables for MR analyses for effects of MDD on dietary habits are listed in eTable 21.

To detect horizontal pleiotropy, the MR-PRESSO global test detected two, two and one potential outlier in the MR effect of beef intake on MDD, cereal intake on MDD, and MDD on tea intake, respectively (eTable 22). The effect estimates for beef intake on MDD (β = -1.19; p-value = 0.006) and cereal intake on MDD (β = -0.14; p-value = 0.006) remained significant after correcting for horizontal pleiotropy via outlier removal. In contrast, the effect of MDD on tea intake was no longer statistically significant when we excluded the outlier. However, none of the MR-PRESSO distortion tests showed significant distortion in the causal estimates before or after outlier removal. Moreover, we examined the horizontal pleiotropy by using a MR-Egger intercept test, which showed that the horizontal pleiotropy is negligible. We investigated the horizontal pleiotropy by Cochran's Q statistic, MR-Egger test approach (eTable 22), and funnel plots (eFigure 1-3). The results show that horizontal pleiotropy may exist in the effects of beef intake on MDD, cereal intake on MDD, and MDD on tea intake.

In our sensitivity analysis, we conducted leave-one-out analyses, excluding one significant SNP each time. The effect of beef intake on MDD (eFigure 4) in leave-one-out analyses was similar to the main MR result. Although a few MR leave-one-

out estimates were not statistically significant, we observed a similar trend for the effect of cereal intake on MDD across them (eFigure 5). In the leave-one-out analyses for the effect of MDD on tea intake, the result was consistent with the main MR result (eFigure 6).

Discussion

In this two-sample MR using the largest diet GWAS results available to date, we systemically examined the causal relationships between 20 dietary habits and MDD. We observed potential protective effects of beef intake and cereal intake on MDD, while other dietary habits did not show significant effects on MDD. The results were consistent after we corrected horizontal pleiotropy via outlier removal by using MR-PRESSO. We did not observe a significant effect of MDD on either beef or cereal intake. On the other hand, we found a nominally significant effect of MDD on increasing tea intake. However, the effect of MDD on tea intake was no longer statistically significant when we excluded the outlier using MR-PRESSO.

We found a protective effect of beef intake on MDD, but consumptions of processed meat, poultry, lamb/mutton, and pork were not seen to significantly influence MDD.

Previous studies show inconsistent results for the effect of meat intake on MDD, which may be partly due to differences in study designs and methods, including different food frequency questionnaires, diagnostic tools for depression and differential residual confounding.^{3,4,34,35} In the present study, residual confounding was minimized by applying a two-sample MR study design that used genetic variants as IVs for meat intake to estimate the causal relationship between the consumption of each category of meat and MDD. In addition, meat consumption in the current study was divided into five different exposures, each for a different type of meat. This distinction of meat type was not always considered in previous studies and may contribute to the inconsistent results. There are several potential mechanisms that may possibly explain the observed beneficial effect of beef consumption on MDD. Beef contains nutrients that may be beneficial in the prevention of MDD, such as zinc, iron, and protein. A meta-analysis found that dietary zinc and iron intake significantly decrease the risk of depression.³⁶ Sufficient protein intake is essential to building and maintaining lean muscle mass, while lower lean muscle mass has been reported to be associated with more depressive symptoms.³⁷ To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study reporting a potential beneficial causal effect of beef intake on lowering the risk of MDD. Therefore, our MR results need to be interpreted cautiously, especially considering the potential pleiotropic bias in MR analysis. Further investigation of the

causal relationship between beef intake and MDD is also warranted in order to validate the results.

In the present study, we found that cereal intake might be causally decreasing MDD, with a nominally significant p-value. Previous studies show inconclusive evidence for the effect of cereal intake on MDD. Although it has been suggested that increasing consumption of wholegrain cereals may prevent depression,³⁸ several other studies have shown either a null effect or protective effect of cereal intake on depression only in older adults.³⁹⁻⁴¹ One possible explanation for the potential protective effect is that cereal fiber may modulate gut microbiota⁴² and subsequently influence depressive illness through the mediation of gut microbiota.⁴³ This hypothesis needs to be confirmed in further studies.

We found that MDD might also causally increase tea intake, but not vice versa. A systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of 12 observational studies showed a protective effect of tea intake on risk of depression in cross-sectional studies.¹³ However, the association between tea intake and depression was not statistically significant in prospective studies and pooled analysis. The one-directional effect of MDD on tea intake we observed may explain why previous studies found

only an association in cross-sectional studies but not in prospective studies.

Some evidence suggests that fruit, vegetable, coffee, water, and moderate alcohol consumption are considered as protective factors for MDD;^{10-13,15,17} but several other studies have failed to replicate these associations.^{6-9,19} Considering potential residual bias, insufficient power and a lack of temporality in cross-sectional studies, a carefully designed study with a large sample size, such as an MR study, is therefore required to help resolve this controversial issue. For example, omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, especially adequate eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), are generally suggested as being beneficial against depression.¹⁴ However, for dietary fish intake, an RCT showed that fish consumption is not associated with the risk of MDD,²⁰ and a dose-response meta-analysis of 10 prospective cohort studies showed non-significant effect of an increment of one serving per week of dietary fish consumption on depression.⁴⁴ These negative findings are consistent with our MR results and may be due to insufficient dosage of EPA from dietary fish consumption.

Our study may suffer from the common limitations of the MR study; that is, assumption may be violated due to horizontal pleiotropy. A genetic variant affecting the outcome through a different pathway from the exposure under investigation will lead to biased estimates. Consequently, we did several sensitivity analyses to detect and to minimize the bias, including a MR-PRESSO test, an intercept test in the MR-Egger regression, a heterogeneity test, and a leave-one-out analysis, and observed consistent results. Second, while the effects of diets on MDD may vary across difference sex and age groups, we examined only the overall effects adjusted for sex and age due to limitation of publicly available GWAS summary statistics. Furthermore, the present study included individuals of only European ancestry, and thus the results might lack generalizability to other populations. Last, as information about dietary habits was collected retrospectively by a shortened food frequency questionnaire, recall bias cannot be excluded. In addition, several dietary habits, depending on overall daily or weekly frequency, were regarded as ordinal variables, and the effect of SNPs on dietary habits was assumed to be linear. This strong assumption may bias the GWAS results towards the null and consequently result in a false negative result for the relationship between dietary habits and MDD. Thus, we suggest further studies to collect detailed dietary data prospectively and to test for the non-linear association between dietary habits and MDD.

Despite the limitations, to our knowledge, this study is the most comprehensive MR study to date to evaluate the causal role of dietary habits on the risk of MDD. We leveraged summary statistics from large-scale GWAS meta-analysis to increase statistical power. In addition, sensitivity analyses found no substantial difference in the results from those of the main analysis, thereby indicating that our findings are robust. Identification of protective dietary habits for MDD is crucial for primary prevention; however, interpretation of the evidence of causality from our study needs to be done so cautiously. We have stressed the need for further investigation to confirm and generalize our findings.

Conclusion

In this two-sample MR, we observed that increased beef intake and cereal intake may be protective against MDD. We did not observe effects of MDD on beef or cereal intake. We also found that MDD may trigger patients to drink more tea. Further validation of these novel findings and investigations on potential mechanisms is required.

Acknowledgments: None

Funding: None

Conflict of interests: None

Availability of all data: We collected publicly available genome-wide association studies' summary statistics for dietary habits from GeneATLAS and MDD from Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC). We obtained genome-wide associations for

20 dietary habits from GeneATLAS website

(http://geneatlas.roslin.ed.ac.uk/downloads/). The PGC provided summary statistics

from a genome-wide association meta-analysis of MDD on the website

(https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/download-results/).

References

- GBD 2017 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators.
 Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet.* 2018;392(10159):1789-1858.
- Lang UE, Beglinger C, Schweinfurth N, Walter M, Borgwardt S. Nutritional aspects of depression. *Cell Physiol Biochem.* 2015;37(3):1029-1043.
- Zhang Y, Yang Y, Xie MS, et al. Is meat consumption associated with depression? A meta-analysis of observational studies. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2017;17(1):409.
- 4. Jacka FN, Pasco JA, Williams LJ, et al. Red meat consumption and mood and anxiety disorders. *Psychother Psychosom.* 2012;81(3):196-198.
- Dobersek U, Wy G, Adkins J, et al. Meat and mental health: a systematic review of meat abstention and depression, anxiety, and related phenomena. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* 2020:1-14.
- Parker DA, Parker ES, Harford TC, Farmer GC. Alcohol use and depression symptoms among employed men and women. *Am J Public Health*. 1987;77(6):704-707.

- Hamalainen J, Kaprio J, Isometsa E, et al. Cigarette smoking, alcohol intoxication and major depressive episode in a representative population sample. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 2001;55(8):573-576.
- 8. Yue Y, Hong L, Guo L, et al. Gender differences in the association between cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption and depressive symptoms: a cross-sectional study among Chinese adolescents. *Sci Rep.* 2015;5:17959.
- Polshkova S, Chaban O, Walton MA. Alcohol Use, Depression, and High-Risk Occupations Among Young Adults in the Ukraine. *Subst Use Misuse*. 2016;51(7):948-951.
- Gea A, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Toledo E, et al. A longitudinal assessment of alcohol intake and incident depression: the SUN project. *BMC Public Health*. 2012;12:954.
- 11. Gea A, Beunza JJ, Estruch R, et al. Alcohol intake, wine consumption and the development of depression: the PREDIMED study. *BMC Med.* 2013;11:192.
- Taylor KL, Simpson S, Jr., Jelinek GA, et al. Longitudinal Associations of Modifiable Lifestyle Factors With Positive Depression-Screen Over 2.5-Years in an International Cohort of People Living With Multiple Sclerosis. *Front Psychiatry*. 2018;9:526.
- 13. Grosso G, Micek A, Castellano S, Pajak A, Galvano F. Coffee, tea, caffeine

and risk of depression: A systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of observational studies. *Mol Nutr Food Res.* 2016;60(1):223-234.

- Liao Y, Xie B, Zhang H, et al. Efficacy of omega-3 PUFAs in depression: A meta-analysis. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2019;9(1):190.
- Liu X, Yan Y, Li F, Zhang D. Fruit and vegetable consumption and the risk of depression: A meta-analysis. *Nutrition*. 2016;32(3):296-302.
- 16. Sharifan P, Hosseini MS, Sharifan A. The interventional relationship between frequent fish consumption and depression symptoms in aging adults: a randomized controlled trial. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2017;32(12):e116-e122.
- 17. Haghighatdoost F, Feizi A, Esmaillzadeh A, et al. Drinking plain water is associated with decreased risk of depression and anxiety in adults: Results from a large cross-sectional study. *World J Psychiatry*. 2018;8(3):88-96.
- Firth J, Marx W, Dash S, et al. The Effects of Dietary Improvement on Symptoms of Depression and Anxiety: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Psychosom Med.* 2019;81(3):265-280.
- 19. Kwok MK, Leung GM, Schooling CM. Habitual coffee consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes, ischemic heart disease, depression and Alzheimer's disease:
 a Mendelian randomization study. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:36500.
- 20. Ness AR, Gallacher JE, Bennett PD, et al. Advice to eat fish and mood: a

randomised controlled trial in men with angina. Nutr Neurosci. 2003;6(1):63-

65.

- Cole JB, Florez JC, Hirschhorn JN. Comprehensive genomic analysis of dietary habits in UK Biobank identifies hundreds of genetic associations. *Nat Commun.* 2020;11(1):1467.
- Matoba N, Akiyama M, Ishigaki K, et al. GWAS of 165,084 Japanese
 individuals identified nine loci associated with dietary habits. *Nat Hum Behav.*2020;4(3):308-316.
- 23. Carnegie R, Zheng J, Sallis HM, et al. Mendelian randomisation for nutritional psychiatry. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2020;7(2):208-216.
- Evans DM, Davey Smith G. Mendelian Randomization: New Applications in the Coming Age of Hypothesis-Free Causality. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet.* 2015;16:327-350.
- 25. Burgess S, Scott RA, Timpson NJ, Davey Smith G, Thompson SG. Using published data in Mendelian randomization: a blueprint for efficient identification of causal risk factors. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2015;30(7):543-552.
- Smith GD, Ebrahim S. 'Mendelian randomization': can genetic epidemiology contribute to understanding environmental determinants of disease? *Int J Epidemiol.* 2003;32(1):1-22.

- Canela-Xandri O, Rawlik K, Tenesa A. An atlas of genetic associations in UK Biobank. *Nat Genet.* 2018;50(11):1593-1599.
- 28. Wray NR, Ripke S, Mattheisen M, et al. Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. *Nat Genet.* 2018;50(5):668-681.
- Choi KW, Chen CY, Stein MB, et al. Assessment of Bidirectional Relationships Between Physical Activity and Depression Among Adults: A 2-Sample Mendelian Randomization Study. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2019;76(4):399-408.
- 30. Gage SH, Jones HJ, Burgess S, et al. Assessing causality in associations between cannabis use and schizophrenia risk: a two-sample Mendelian randomization study. *Psychol Med.* 2017;47(5):971-980.
- Hartwig FP, Borges MC, Horta BL, Bowden J, Davey Smith G. Inflammatory Biomarkers and Risk of Schizophrenia: A 2-Sample Mendelian Randomization Study. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2017;74(12):1226-1233.
- 32. Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet.* 2018;50(5):693-698.
- 33. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Interpreting findings from Mendelian

randomization using the MR-Egger method. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2017;32(5):377-389.

- 34. Baines S, Powers J, Brown WJ. How does the health and well-being of young Australian vegetarian and semi-vegetarian women compare with nonvegetarians? *Public Health Nutr.* 2007;10(5):436-442.
- 35. Larsson CL, Klock KS, Nordrehaug Astrom A, Haugejorden O, Johansson G. Lifestyle-related characteristics of young low-meat consumers and omnivores in Sweden and Norway. *J Adolesc Health.* 2002;31(2):190-198.
- 36. Li Z, Li B, Song X, Zhang D. Dietary zinc and iron intake and risk of depression: A meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Res.* 2017;251:41-47.
- Li Y, Meng L, Li Y, Sato Y. Depression-related differences in lean body mass distribution from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2005-2006. J Affect Disord. 2014;157:1-7.
- 38. Lai JS, Hiles S, Bisquera A, Hure AJ, McEvoy M, Attia J. A systematic review and meta-analysis of dietary patterns and depression in community-dwelling adults. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2014;99(1):181-197.
- 39. El Ansari W, Adetunji H, Oskrochi R. Food and mental health: relationship between food and perceived stress and depressive symptoms among university students in the United Kingdom. *Cent Eur J Public Health*. 2014;22(2):90-97.

- 40. Mikolajczyk RT, El Ansari W, Maxwell AE. Food consumption frequency and perceived stress and depressive symptoms among students in three European countries. *Nutr J.* 2009;8:31.
- Gopinath B, Flood VM, Burlutksy G, Louie JC, Mitchell P. Association between carbohydrate nutrition and prevalence of depressive symptoms in older adults. *Br J Nutr.* 2016;116(12):2109-2114.
- 42. Weickert MO, Pfeiffer AF. Metabolic effects of dietary fiber consumption and prevention of diabetes. *J Nutr.* 2008;138(3):439-442.
- 43. Dash S, Clarke G, Berk M, Jacka FN. The gut microbiome and diet in psychiatry: focus on depression. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2015;28(1):1-6.
- 44. Yang Y, Kim Y, Je Y. Fish consumption and risk of depression:
 Epidemiological evidence from prospective studies. *Asia Pac Psychiatry*.
 2018;10(4):e12335.

Figure legend

Figure 1. Mendelian randomization results for estimating the causal effects of beef intake on major depressive disorder. (A) Scatter plot showing the effects of SNPs on beef intake versus major depressive disorder. (B) Forest plot of Mendelian randomization effect size for beef intake on major depressive disorder

Figure 2. Mendelian randomization results for estimating the causal effects of cereal intake on major depressive disorder. (A) Scatter plot showing the effects of SNPs on cereal intake versus major depressive disorder. (B) Forest plot of Mendelian randomization effect size for cereal intake on major depressive disorder

Figure 3. Mendelian randomization results for estimating the causal effects of major depressive disorder on tea intake. (A) Scatter plot showing the effects of SNPs on major depressive disorder versus tea intake. (B) Forest plot of Mendelian randomization effect size for major depressive disorder on tea intake

Table 1. Mendelian randomization results by using weighted median approach

Dietary habits	Number of SNPs	β	Standard error	p-value
Cooked vegetable intake	14	0.077	0.202	0.702
Salad / raw vegetable intake	19	-0.151	0.147	0.304
Fresh fruit intake	62	0.119	0.099	0.231
Dried fruit intake	10	0.006	0.271	0.982
Oily fish intake	53	0.071	0.164	0.664
Non-oily fish intake	9	0.390	0.430	0.364
Processed meat intake	17	0.155	0.265	0.559
Poultry intake	5	0.006	0.514	0.991
Beef intake ^a	11	-1.328	0.423	0.002
Lamb/mutton intake	25	0.587	0.339	0.084
Pork intake	10	0.949	0.514	0.065
Cheese intake	43	-0.237	0.175	0.176
Bread intake	25	0.015	0.025	0.543
Cereal intake	51	-0.146	0.057	0.010
Salt added to food	86	0.099	0.137	0.470
Tea intake	39	-0.030	0.056	0.586
Coffee intake	35	0.030	0.058	0.609
Hot drink temperature	69	0.358	0.256	0.163
Water intake	45	0.037	0.079	0.644
Alcohol intake frequency	72	-0.025	0.094	0.790

for estimating the causal effect of dietary habits on major depressive disorder

SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism

^a Bonferroni-corrected p-value for the effect of beef intake on major depressive disorder is 0.034.

Table 2. Mendelian randomization results by using weighted median approach

Dietary habits	β ^a	Standard error	p-value
Cooked vegetable intake	0.015	0.029	0.592
Salad / raw vegetable intake	0.021	0.034	0.540
Fresh fruit intake	0.005	0.029	0.853
Dried fruit intake	-0.002	0.030	0.950
Oily fish intake	0.020	0.020	0.307
Non-oily fish intake	0.014	0.013	0.275
Processed meat intake	-0.012	0.017	0.497
Poultry intake	-0.024	0.015	0.121
Beef intake	-0.027	0.014	0.055
Lamb/mutton intake	-0.017	0.012	0.167
Pork intake	-0.022	0.013	0.091
Cheese intake	0.001	0.017	0.942
Bread intake	-0.104	0.137	0.448
Cereal intake	0.061	0.048	0.204
Salt added to food	0.004	0.019	0.821
Tea intake	0.125	0.054	0.021
Coffee intake	0.024	0.035	0.501
Hot drink temperature	0.011	0.011	0.301
Water intake	0.045	0.039	0.246
Alcohol intake frequency	-0.019	0.029	0.512

for estimating the causal effect of major depressive disorder on dietary habits

^a Included 12 SNPs meeting the relaxed threshold (1×10^{-6})

Figure 1. Mendelian randomization results for estimating the causal effects of beef intake on major depressive disorder. (A) Scatter plot showing the effects of SNPs on beef intake versus major depressive disorder. (B) Forest plot of Mendelian randomization effect size for beef intake on major depressive disorder



Figure 2. Mendelian randomization results for estimating the causal effects of cereal intake on major depressive disorder. (A) Scatter plot showing the effects of SNPs on cereal intake versus major depressive disorder. (B) Forest plot of Mendelian randomization effect size for cereal intake on major depressive disorder



Figure 3. Mendelian randomization results for estimating the causal effects of major depressive disorder on tea intake. (A) Scatter plot showing the effects of SNPs on major depressive disorder versus tea intake. (B) Forest plot of Mendelian randomization effect size for major depressive disorder on tea intake

