

Knowledge and Behaviors towards COVID-19 among University of Aleppo Students: An Online Cross-sectional Survey

Aos Alhamid¹, Ziad Aljarad², Ahmad Alhamid¹

¹Medical student, Faculty of Medicine, University of Aleppo, Aleppo, Syria

²Gastroenterology specialist – PhD, Aleppo University Hospital, Aleppo, Syria

Correspondence to Aos Alhamid : Medical student, Faculty of Medicine, University of Aleppo, Aleppo, Syria ; aos.alhamid.98@gmail.com tel: +963 958 366 842

Abstract

Background: Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an infection caused by a novel coronavirus that affects respiratory tract. People's awareness and knowledge of, and behavior and attitude toward COVID-19 are scarcely investigated, making medical literature related to this point poor. We aim to measure the knowledge of, and the reaction to COVID-19 among University of Aleppo students in Syria, and the determinants of their awareness and behavior regarding this disease.

Materials and Methods: This was an online, questionnaire-based cross-sectional study, that was conducted from 21st March to 30 March 2020. We included undergraduate students of the University of Aleppo (Syria). The questionnaire consisted of three sections: Demographics, knowledge and behaviours. Every participant's knowledge was scored from 0-13 depending on the number of correct answers in the knowledge section. The correctness was judged depending on WHO recommendations at the time of questionnaire administration. P-value of 0.05 was considered significant.

Results: Among this well-educated and predominantly medical and health-related students, 682 (45.4%) students had a good knowledge level, which is somehow disappointing. The current study shows that 1st year students and non-medical specialties students and smokers had significantly lower knowledge levels than others. On contrary, residing with less people -which may indicate a higher socioeconomic status-, was associated with a higher knowledge level. We also found that commitment to preventive measures was in general satisfying and correlated significantly with knowledge level and gender in most cases.

Conclusion: Junior students, non-medical specialties, smokers and those who live with high number of people are vulnerable to less knowledge level and awareness campaigns should concentrate on them. Increasing awareness is useful to increase commitment to preventive

measures, and groups that have less adherence to preventive measures, as described in detail, should be taken into consideration while designing public health responses. Finally, we should be aware of the negative impact of quarantine on public health to take it into consideration for current campaigns and future policies.

Introduction

Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an infection caused by a novel coronavirus that affects respiratory tract, it was first detected in Wuhan, China, in December 2019. Whole-genome sequencing of the virus declares that it is a betacoronavirus closely related to the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) virus [1]. The origin of this virus is still questionable [2], but a zoonotic origin from bat and pangolin is suspected [3].

Most symptomatic infected people develop symptoms such as fever, fatigue, Dry cough, Anorexia, Myalgias, Sputum production and dyspnea. And 20% of patients may develop Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [4]. Some studies have also reported gastrointestinal symptoms in 2–10% of cases such as diarrhea, abdominal pain, and vomiting [5,6] and neurological symptoms in 36.4 % of cases such as disturbed consciousness , headache, and paresthesia [7].

COVID-19 is transmitted from human-to-human through respiratory droplet, feco-oral route, and direct contact, and has an incubation period of 2-14 days [8].

COVID-19 is a rapidly expanding global threat, placing an intolerable burden on healthcare services. Number of cases is dramatically increasing every day. Until 21 March 2020 , 292142 cases were detected worldwide, with 12784 deaths , and on 13 May 2020 4,337,562 cases were detected worldwide, with 292,450 deaths, 1,597,641 recovered cases, and 2,447,471 active cases [9] . It has been considered as a major threat to humans since December 31, 2019 [10] , and lastly defined as a pandemic on 11 March 2020 [11] .

To date, no vaccine or treatment has been approved for this disease, making preventive measures the most effective intervention [11] . This makes the public the main player in combating this pandemic.

People's awareness and knowledge of, and behavior and attitude toward COVID-19 are scarcely investigated , making medical literature related to this point poor.

in this study , we aim to measure the knowledge of, and the reaction to COVID-19 among University of Aleppo students, and the determinants of their awareness and behavior regarding this disease. This study is important to inform governments and concerned organizations about people thoughts and actions, especially the young, and may help to inform the upcoming public health measures and interventions.

Materials and Methods

We conducted this cross-sectional study from 21st March to 30 March 2020. It was hard to make it a field-based study because of limited access to university students in this epidemic situation and because of the governmental measure to close the university temporally, so we made it a web-based study .

Therefore , we formed an online questionnaire and shared it with students on faculties Facebook, Telegram, and Whatsapp groups. The post introducing the questionnaire contained a background about the study , its aims , and some notes about filling the questionnaire , as well as the link to the questionnaire . The front page of the questionnaire contained a detailed informed consent statement for participation, and a button through it the participant can confirm the consent. We included all students from the University of Aleppo, from both sexes and all ages who were studying in a bachelor degree or equivalent program, or in technical middle institutes. We excluded participants from other universities and graduated students.

the questionnaire consisted of 3 parts :Demographics , awareness and knowledge of COVID-19, and behaviors toward COVID-19 and preventive measures. The Demographics part consisted of 12 questions about socio-economic and demographic characteristics, while the awareness and knowledge part included 13 questions on symptoms, transmission ways, preventive ways, therapy , and a question on the resource of participants information. Behavioral part contained 10 questions about the participants commitment to preventive measures and the impact of the quarantine on their daily habits.

Questions in knowledge part were true-false-I do not know questions. Participants who answered the question correctly took one point for each question , and who answered incorrectly or said “ I don’t know “ took zero points for the question . The correctness was considered depending on WHO recommendations on the day of questionnaire administration. [12] So, the degrees ranged from 0 to 13.

We conducted statistical analysis using SPSS (Version 22.0; SPSS Inc.: Chicago, IL, USA). Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages, while continuous data was summarized as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). We calculated Odds ratios (OR) with confidence intervals (CI) using logistic regression analysis. Whichever indicated, we used Chi-square, Fisher’s exact test and Student T-test tests to calculate the p-values. The p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

This study was approved by the research committee of the University of Aleppo.

Results

Among the 1502 students who responded, 834 (55.5%) were females, 881 (58.7%) studied a medical or health-related specialty, and 1423(94.7%) of them were single. Advanced students (who are in the 3rd year or more) constituted for the majority of the sample (904,60.2%). We found that 447 (29.8%) students were regular cigarettes or shisha smokers. More details on the demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of the participants are shown in table.1.

Table 1 : characteristics of participants and its frequencies .

original

Characteristic	Frequency (percentage %)
Gender (n=1502)	

Female	834 (55.5)
Male	668 (44.5)
Specialty (n=1502)	
Medical	881 (58.7)
Engineering	391 (26)
literary	203 (13.5)
sciences	27 (1.8)
Year (n=1502)	
1	344 (22.9)
2	254 (16.9)
3 or more	904 (60.2)
You descend from (n=1502)	
Urban	1276 (85)
Rural	226 (15)
Marital status (n=1502)	
Single	1423 (94.7)
Engaged	39 (2.6)
Married	37 (2.5)
Widow or Divorced	3 (0.2)
You Reside with (n=1502)	
Alone	21 (1.4)
Family	1280 (85.2)
Other students	201 (13.4)
Do you Work beside your study (n=1502)	
No	1074 (71.5)
Yes	428 (28.5)
Do you Smoke cigarette or shisha (n=1502)	
No	1055 (70.2)
Yes	447 (29.8)

Table.2 demonstrates participants' answers to the questions related to the knowledge and awareness of COVID-19. In most questions, the majority of respondents answered correctly to the questions, except for the question K9 (669, 44.6%) about the need to wear a mask outside, and question K13 (573,38.2%) which was about a rumor that spread virally through social media in Syria reporting a new easy diagnostic method for COVID-10 invented by an unknown

Japanese scientist. We can also notice that 773 (51.6%) of participants answered correctly to question K7 about the possibility of being an asymptomatic COVID-19 patient, making this majority not vast and on borderline. The participants scores ranged from 0/13 to 13/13 ,with a mean score of COVID-19 knowledge of 9.2 ± 1.8 . 123 (8.2%) participants had a poor knowledge level, 697 (46.4%) had a moderate knowledge level, and 682 (45.4%) had a good knowledge level. Notably, social media was the main source of information about COVID-19 in 1041 (69.6%) of the study sample, while discussions with family and friends was the least common source of information (373, 23.9%).

Table 2 : participants' answers to the knowledge questions .

original

Questions	Frequency (percentage %)
K1. COVID-19 virus is transmitted through droplets that come out from the infected person's cough or breath (n=1494)	
True *	1412 (94.5)
False	50 (3.3)
Don't know	32 (2.1)
K2. Young people and people with normal immunity do not get infected with the COVID-19 virus (n=1502)	
True	258 (17.2)
False *	1157(77)
Don't know	87 (5.8)
K3. There is a current cure for corona virus (n=1501)	
True	79 (5.3)
False *	1148 (76.5)
Don't know	274 (18.3)
K4. Influenza vaccine can be used as a vaccine to prevent corona virus (n=1500)	
True	42 (2.8)
False *	1199 (79.9)
Don't know	259 (17.2)
K5. Common symptoms of corona	

virus include fever, dry cough, and fatigue (n=1499)	
True *	1490 (99.4)
False	1 (0.1)
Don't know	8 (0.5)
K6. Sneeze and rhinorrhea is less common in people with corona than people with a common cold (n=1501)	
True *	958 (63.8)
False	299 (19.9)
Don't know	244 (16.2)
K7. A person can become infected with the COVID-19 virus without having fever or shortening their breathing (n=1497)	
True *	773 (51.6)
False	472 (31.5)
Don't know	252 (16.8)
K8. Washing hands with soap and water is a preventative measure (n=1499)	
True *	1478 (98.6)
False	12 (0.8)
Don't know	9 (0.6)
K9. Everyone must cover their mouth and nose with a mask when going outside (n=1499)	
True	785 (52.4)
False *	669 (44.6)
Don't know	45 (3)
K10. Avoiding crowded places and staying at home is a protective measure (n=1498)	
True *	1489 (99.4)
False	5 (0.3)

Don't know	4 (0.3)
K11. The incubation period of the COVID-19 Virus is 2 to 14 days (n=1497)	
True *	1323 (88.4)
False	70 (4.7)
Don't know	104 (6.9)
K12. Most cases of COVID-19 eventually heal (n=1499)	
True *	979 (65.3)
False	266 (17.7)
Don't know	254 (16.9)
K13. COVID-19 virus infection can be diagnosed by holding the breath for 20 seconds and then exhaling quietly .. And when the infection is present, the cough will provoke (n=1499)	
True	408 (27.2)
False *	573 (38.2)
Don't know	518 (34.6)
K14. From where have you got your knowledge about covid-19 (more than one answer is possible) (n=1496)	
Social media	1041 (69.6)
Television or radio	418 (27.9)
Official government websites / organization and health agencies website	989 (66.1)
Discussions with family and friends	373 (23.9)

* = correct answer

Table.3 shows the behavior toward COVID-19 as reported by the participating students. We can notice that a considerable percentage of participants are committed to the preventive measures. 712 (47.7%) participants stopped going out to crowded places, 857 (57.2%) stopped going out with friends, and 896 (59.8%). In addition, as presented in table.3, there are some participants who were not used to do these behaviors in the first place, even before the pandemic. Most

respondents had good hand-washing practices: 1380 (92.1%) students wash their hands more than 3 times a day, and 1323 (88.3%) students use soap in all their hand-washes. Only 109 (7.3%) always wear a mask when going out, while the immense majority did not (1120, 75.1%). 825 (55.3%) of participants sometimes keep a safe distance from others, while, unfortunately, 415 (27.8%) of them do not. Interestingly, 692 (46.1%) and 1323 (88.3%) of the study sample increased their consumption of food and internet, respectively, during the quarantine. It is also notable that 95 (21.3%) of smokers had higher smoking rate since the beginning of quarantine .

Table.3 : participants' answers to the behaviors questions .

original

Questions	Frequency (percentage %)
B1. Do you still go out to crowded places (such as markets, parks, and public squares)? (n=1494)	
Yes, as in the past	18 (1.2)
Yes, less than in the past, but sometimes without necessity	126 (8.4)
Yes, just for necessity	477 (31.9)
I stopped going out to crowded places	712 (47.7)
I primarily do not go out to crowded places	161 (10.8)
B2. Do you still go out with your friends? (n=1499)	
Yes, as in the past	46 (3.1)
Yes, less than in the past, but sometimes without necessity	229 (15.2)
Yes, just for necessity	306 (20.4)
I stopped going out with friends	857 (57.2)
I primarily do not go out with friends	61 (4.1)
B3. Do you still eat fast food? (n=1499)	
Yes, as in the past	59 (3.9)
Yes, less than in the past, but sometimes without necessity	123 (8.2)
Yes, just for necessity	251 (16.7)
I stopped eating fast food	896 (59.8)
I primarily do not eat fast food	170 (11.3)

B4. How often do you wash your hands daily? (n=1498)	
never	2 (0.1)
1-3	116 (7.7)
More than 3	1380 (92.1)
B5. Do you always use soap when you wash your hands ? (n=1498)	
Always	1323 (88.3)
Not always	175 (11.7)
B6. Do you wear a mask when you go out on the street? (n=1492)	
Always	109 (7.3)
Sometimes	263 (17.6)
never	1120 (75.1)
B7. Do you keep a safe distance of more than a meter when meeting anyone? (n=1491)	
Always	251 (16.8)
Sometimes	825 (55.3)
never	415 (27.8)
B8. Has your consumption of food increased since the beginning of the home quarantine? (n=1495)	
Yes	692 (46.1)
No	803 (53.7)
B9. Has the number of hours spent on the Internet increased since the beginning of the home quarantine ? (n=1499)	
Yes	1323 (88.3)
No	176 (11.7)
B10. If you smoke a cigarette or shisha, have you increased your consumption of cigarettes or shisha	

since the beginning of the home quarantine ? (n=445)	
Yes	95 (21.3)
No	350 (78.7)

Table.4 shows the correlation between knowledge level and different variables. Specialty, year of study, who the student resides with, working status and smoking status were significantly associated with knowledge level.

Table.4 : the correlation between variables and knowledge level .

original

	Knowledge level		
	Poor n (%)	Moderate n (%)	Good n (%)
Gender			
female	68 (55.3)	407 (58.4)	359 (52.6)
male	55 (44.7)	290 (41.6)	323 (47.4)
Specialty *			
Medical	29 (23.6)	322 (46.2)	530 (77.7)
Non-medical	94 (76.4)	375 (53.8)	152 (22.3)
Year *			
1	49 (39.8)	204 (29.3)	91 (13.3)
2	22 (17.9)	132 (18.9)	100 (14.7)
3 or more	52 (42.3)	361 (51.8)	491 (72)
Descent			
Rural	14 (11.4)	116 (16.6)	96 (14.1)
Urban	109 (88.6)	581 (83.4)	586 (85.9)
Marital status			
Single	120 (97.6)	676 (97.0)	666 (97.7)
Married and others	3 (2.4)	21 (3.0)	16 (2.3)
Reside with *			
Alone	1 (0.8)	10 (1.4)	10 (1.5)
Family	108 (87.8)	572 (82.1)	600 (88)
With other friends	14 (11.4)	115 (16.5)	72 (10.6)
Working *			
work	45 (36.6)	205 (29.4)	178 (26.1)
Don't work	78 (63.4)	492 (70.6)	504 (73.9)
Smoking *			
smoke	49 (39.8)	230 (33.0)	168 (24.6)
Don't smoke	74 (60.2)	467 (67.0)	514 (75.4)

Number Resident partners			
5 or less	95 (77.2)	573 (82.2)	576 (84.5)
>5	28 (22.8)	124 (17.8)	106 (15.5)
Age *			
Mean	20.6	20.9	21.2
Std. Deviation	2.1	2.2	1.9

* P<0.05

table.5 reports the OR and 95% CI of the significantly related variables with knowledge level. Specialty, year of study, who you reside with, working, smoking and number of residency partners were significantly associated with knowledge level, depending on P-value or OR(95% CI).

Table.5 : OR and 95% CI of the significantly related variables with knowledge level.

original

Variable	OR (95% CI)
Moderate vs. poor knowledge level	
Specialty (medical vs. non-medical)	2.783 (1.789 – 4.331)
Year of study (1 st vs. 3 rd or more)	0.600 (0.392 – 0.918)
Year of study (2 nd vs. 3 rd or more)	0.864 (0.505 – 1.478)
Who do you reside with (Alone vs. with other students)	1.217 (0.145 – 10.236)
Who do you reside with (Family vs. with other students)	0.645 (0.357 – 1.165)
Do you Work beside your study (no vs. yes)	1.385 (0.927 – 2.069)
Do you smoke (no vs. yes)	1.344 (0.907 – 1.994)
How many resident partners do you have (5 or less vs. more than 5)	1.362 (0.856 – 2.166)
Good vs. poor knowledge level	
Specialty (medical vs. non-medical)	11.302 (7.180 – 17.791)
Year of study (1 st vs. 3 rd or more)	0.197 (0.125 – 0.308)
Year of study (2 nd vs. 3 rd or more)	0.481 (0.280 – 0.828)
Who do you reside with (Alone vs. with other students)	1.944 (0.230 – 16.425)
Who do you reside with (Family vs. with other students)	1.080 (0.588 – 1.984)
Do you Work beside your study (no vs. yes)	1.634 (1.090 – 2.449)
Do you smoke (no vs. yes)	2.026 (1.357 – 3.025)
How many resident partners do you have (5 or less vs. more than 5)	1.602 (1.001 – 2.562)

Table.6 demonstrates the association between each behavior and different variables, and table.7 reports the OR and 95% CI of the significantly related variables with each behavior. Statistical significance was concluded evaluated by P-value or OR (95% CI). In general, gender, specialty and knowledge level were significant determinants of most behaviors. More details can be found in table.7.

Table.6 : the correlation between variables and behaviors .

original

	B1		B2		B3	
	Stopped n (%)	have not stopped n (%)	Stopped n (%)	have not stopped n (%)	Stopped n (%)	have not stopped n (%)
Gender						
female	506 (71.1)	237 (38.2)	628 (73.3)	169 (29.1)	536 (59.8)	216 (49.9)
male	206 (28.9)	384 (61.8) *	229 (26.7)	412 (70.9)*	360 (40.2)	217 (50.1)*
Specialty						
Medical	422 (59.3)	359 (57.8)	522 (60.9)	319 (54.9)	519 (57.9)	265 (61.2)
Non-medical	290 (40.7)	262 (42.2)	335 (39.1)	262 (45.1)*	377 (42.1)	168 (38.8)
Year						
1	161 (22.6)	131 (21.1)	202 (23.6)	125 (21.5)	198 (22.1)	88 (20.3)
2	121 (17.0)	100 (16.1)	140 (16.3)	103 (17.7)	143 (16.0)	89 (20.6)
3 or more	430 (60.4)	390 (62.8)	515 (60.1)	353 (60.8)	555 (61.9)	256 (59.1)
Descent						
Rural	97 (13.6)	99 (15.9)	108 (12.6)	105 (18.1)	138 (15.4)	52 (12.0)
Urban	615 (86.4)	522 (84.1)	749 (87.4)	476 (81.9)*	758 (84.6)	381 (88.0)
Marital status						
Single	696 (97.8)	602 (96.9)	839 (97.9)	562 (96.7)	876 (97.8)	416 (96.1)
Married and others	16 (2.2)	19 (3.1)	18 (2.1)	19 (3.3)	20 (2.2)	17 (3.9)
Reside with						
Alone	11 (1.5)	9 (1.4)	13 (1.5)	8(1.4)	16 (1.8)	4 (0.9)
Family	614 (86.2)	525 (84.5)	750 (87.5)	471 (81.1)	763 (85.2)	371 (85.7)
With other friends	87 (12.2)	87 (14.0)	94 (11.0)	102 (17.6)*	117 (13.1)	58 (13.4)
Working						
work	163 (22.9)	234 (37.7)	198 (23.1)	214 (36.8)	254 (28.3)	124 (28.6)
Don't work	549 (77.1)	387 (62.3)*	659 (76.9)	367 (63.2)*	642 (71.7)	309 (71.4)
Smoking						

smoke	164 (23.0)	244 (39.3)	164 (19.1)	275 (47.3)	248 (27.7)	165 (38.1)
Don't smoke	548 (77.0)	377 (60.7)*	693 (80.9)	306 (52.7)*	684 (72.3)	268 (61.9)*
Number of Resident partners						
5 or less	580 (81.5)	524 (84.4)	704 (82.1)	489 (84.2)	753 (84.0)	359 (82.9)
>5	132 (18.5)	97 (15.6)	153 (17.9)	92 (15.8)	143 (16.0)	74 (17.1)
Age						
Mean	20.9	21.2	20.8	21.3	21.0	21.2
Std. Deviation	2.0	2.1 *	1.9	2.2 *	2.0	2.3
Knowledge level						
Poor	46 (6.5)	58 (9.3)	68 (7.9)	45 (7.7)	74 (8.3)	31 (7.2)
Moderate	332 (46.6)	299 (48.1)	387 (45.2)	285 (49.1)	406 (45.3)	219 (50.6)
good	334 (46.9)	264 (42.5)	402 (46.9)	251 (43.2)	416 (46.4)	183 (42.3)

* P<0.05

Table.7 : OR and 95% CI of the significantly related variables with behaviors .

original

Variable	OR (95% CI)
B1 (stopped vs. have not stopped)	
Gender (female vs. male)	3.980 (3.165 – 5.004)
Do you Work beside your study (yes vs. no)	0.491 (0.387 – 0.623)
Do you smoke (yes vs. no)	0.462 (0.365 – 0.586)
Knowledge level (moderate vs. poor)	1.400 (0.922 – 2.125)
Knowledge level (good vs. poor)	1.595 (1.049 – 2.426)
B2 (stopped vs. have not stopped)	
Gender (female vs. male)	6.686 (5.289 – 8.452)
Specialty (medical vs. non-medical)	1.280 (1.034 – 1.584)
You descend from (urban vs. rural)	1.530 (1.142 – 2.049)
Who do you reside with (Alone vs. with other students)	1.763 (0.700 – 4.443)
Who do you reside with (Family vs. with other students)	1.728 (1.276 – 2.339)
Do you Work beside your study (yes vs. no)	0.515 (0.409 – 0.650)
Do you smoke (yes vs. no)	0.263 (0.208 – 0.333)
B3 (stopped vs. have not stopped)	
Gender (female vs. male)	1.496 (1.187 – 1.884)
Do you smoke (yes vs. no)	0.622 (0.488 – 0.793)
B4 (more than 3 vs. 3 or less)	
Gender (female vs. male)	2.737 (1.838 – 4.075)
Year of study (1 st vs. 3 rd or more)	0.589 (0.379 – 0.915)
Year of study (2 nd vs. 3 rd or more)	0.591 (0.363 – 0.961)

Do you smoke (yes vs. no)	0.591 (0.402 – 0.869)
Knowledge level (moderate vs. poor)	2.114 (1.221 – 3.658)
Knowledge level (good vs. poor)	3.228 (1.811 – 5.754)
B5 (No vs. Yes)	
Gender (female vs. male)	0.382 (0.275 – 0.531)
Specialty (medical vs. non-medical)	0.646 (0.471 – 0.886)
You descend from (urban vs. rural)	0.597 (0.404 – 0.883)
Do you Work beside your study (yes vs. no)	1.620 (1.166 – 2.250)
Do you smoke (yes vs. no)	1.503 (1.082 – 2.086)
Knowledge level (moderate vs. poor)	0.535 (0.329 – 0.872)
Knowledge level (good vs. poor)	0.363 (0.219 – 0.603)
B6 (always vs. never)	
Gender (female vs. male)	1.819 (1.197 – 2.766)
Specialty (medical vs. non-medical)	0.445 (0.299 – 0.663)
Do you Work beside your study (yes vs. no)	0.796 (0.520 – 1.219)
Knowledge level (moderate vs. poor)	2.682 (1.352 – 5.319)
Knowledge level (good vs. poor)	2.241 (1.441 – 3.485)
B6 (sometimes vs. never)	
Gender (female vs. male)	1.142 (0.871 – 1.498)
Specialty (medical vs. non-medical)	0.633 (0.483 – 0.829)
Do you Work beside your study (yes vs. no)	0.638 (0.480 – 0.848)
Knowledge level (moderate vs. poor)	1.550 (0.931 – 2.579)
Knowledge level (good vs. poor)	1.624 (1.221 – 2.159)
B7 (always vs. never)	
Gender (female vs. male)	2.092 (1.517 – 2.883)
You descend from (urban vs. rural)	0.782 (0.514 – 1.191)
Do you smoke (yes vs. no)	1.064 (0.764 – 1.483)
How many resident partners do you have (5 or less vs. more than 5)	1.199 (0.806 – 1.784)
Knowledge level (moderate vs. poor)	0.624 (0.351 – 1.111)
Knowledge level (good vs. poor)	1.139 (0.819 – 1.584)
Year of study (1 st vs. 3 rd or more)	0.771 (0.525 – 1.133)
Year of study (2 nd vs. 3 rd or more)	1.116 (0.736 – 1.693)
B7 (sometimes vs. never)	
Gender (female vs. male)	1.730 (1.364 – 2.195)
You descend from (urban vs. rural)	0.634 (0.461 – 0.871)
Do you smoke (yes vs. no)	1.446 (1.121 – 1.865)
How many resident partners do you have (5 or less vs. more than 5)	1.536 (1.134 – 2.081)
Knowledge level (moderate vs. poor)	0.481 (0.314 – 0.738)
Knowledge level (good vs. poor)	1.092 (0.851 – 1.401)
Year of study (1 st vs. 3 rd or more)	0.705 (0.531 – 0.937)
Year of study (2 nd vs. 3 rd or more)	0.843 (0.608 – 1.168)
B8 (yes vs. no)	
Gender (female vs. male)	1.574 (1.280 – 1.935)

Marital status (single vs. married and other)	2.047 (1.033 – 4.058)
Who do you reside with (Alone vs. with other students)	1.148 (0.463 – 2.846)
Who do you reside with (Family vs. with other students)	0.703 (0.521 – 0.947)
B9 (yes vs. no)	
Gender (female vs. male)	1.510 (1.101 – 2.070)
You descend from (urban vs. rural)	1.662 (1.125 – 2.457)
Marital status (single vs. married and other)	3.384 (1.688 – 6.784)
Knowledge level (moderate vs. poor)	1.375 (0.810 – 2.334)
Knowledge level (good vs. poor)	1.736 (1.012 – 2.980)
B10 (yes vs. no)	
Gender (female vs. male)	0.541 (0.322 – 0.907)
Knowledge level (moderate vs. poor)	0.374 (0.195 – 0.720)
Knowledge level (good vs. poor)	0.292 (0.145 – 0.588)

Discussion:

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to measure awareness of and behaviors and attitudes toward COVID-19 pandemic in Syria. Among this well-educated and predominantly medical and health-related students, 682 (45.4%) students had a good knowledge level, which is somehow disappointing. The current study shows that 1st year students and non-medical specialties students and smokers had lower knowledge levels than others, which strongly suggests to concentrate on these groups in awareness campaigns. The lower knowledge level among the first mentioned two groups may be explained by the less medical education they received compared to advanced or medical students. The lower knowledge level among smokers seems to be an association with lower health awareness or less interest in personal and public health. On contrary, residing with less people -which may indicate a higher socioeconomic status-, was associated with a higher knowledge level.

Regarding adherence to preventive measures, we can notice that always females higher commitment levels than males. Knowledge level was associated with behavior in most but not all aspects, and behavior was also correlated with the same factors that were found correlated with knowledge, like scholar year, specialty, work, urban or rural descent, smoking and who and how many you reside with. This indicates that higher awareness leads in general to better action.

The quarantine has increased the consumption of food, internet in 692 (46.1%), 1323 (88.3%) of participants respectively, and increased tobacco consumption in 95 (21.3%) of smokers, which means that the quarantine may lead to future side effects on public health.

Unfortunately, to our knowledge, there is no peer-reviewed reports on the same topic of our study published from neighboring countries. However, we found a similar Chinese study performed on public, that reported a high knowledge level with a correct answer rates of 70.2-

98.6%. [13], This might be because China was the first country to be affected with COVID-19, and much more than Syria.

Our study has major limitations. First, the questionnaire is delivered online, which makes quality control challenging, and reduces the accessibility of some vulnerable groups less probable. In addition, our tools were not well developed and standardized because of limited time and accessibility to the target population.

Conclusion:

In summary, our study reports a somehow low knowledge level of COVID-19 if we took into consideration that the target population was university students. Junior students, non-medical specialties, smokers and those who live with high number of people are vulnerable to less knowledge level and awareness campaigns should concentrate on them. Increasing awareness is useful to increase commitment to preventive measures, and groups that have less adherence to preventive measures, as described in detail, should be taken into consideration while designing public health responses. Finally, we should be aware of the negative impact of quarantine on public health to take it into consideration for current campaigns and future policies.

Data Availability

Data are available upon request by contacting the corresponding author .

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Funding Statement

No funding

References

- 1- Team NCPERE. Vital surveillances: the epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) – China. *China CDC Weekly*. 2020;2(8):113-22.
- 2- World Health Organization (WHO). Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): situation report-25, 14 February 2020. Available from: <https://www.who.int/docs/defaultsource/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200214-sitrep-25-covid-19.pdf>
- 3- Lam TTY, Shum MHH, Zhu HC et al. Identification of 2019-nCoV related coronaviruses in Malayan pangolins in southern China. *bioRxiv* preprint first posted online February 18, 2020. 2020:2020.2002.2013.945485. doi: 10.1101/2020.02.13.945485. Accessed February 20, 2020.
- 4- Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. , Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *UPTODATE* 2020. <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/abstract/36>
- 5- Wang D , Hu B , Hu C , et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus–infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*. 2020; (published online Feb 7.) [DOI:10.1001/jama.2020.1585](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.1585)
- 6- Chen N , Zhou M , Dong X , et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet*. 2020; 395: 507-513
- 7- Mao L., Wang M.D., Chen S.H., et al. , 2020. Neurological manifestations of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective case series study. *MedRxiv* 2020.02.22.20026500.
- 8- World Health Organization. Novel coronavirus (COVID-19) situation. Available online: <https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/685d0ace521648f8a5beeee1b9125cd> (Accessed on 13 March 2020).
- 9- <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/>
- 10- World Health Organization (WHO). Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak. Available from: <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019>.
- 11- World health organization. Rolling updates on coronavirus disease (COVID-19). Available from : <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/events-as-they-happen> (accessed on 13 May 2020)
- 12- World health organization . Q&A on coronaviruses (COVID-19) . available from : <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/question-and-answers-hub/q-a-detail/q-a-coronaviruses>
- 13- Bao-Liang Zhong , Wei Lu, Hai-Mei Li., et al. , Knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards COVID-19 among Chinese residents during the rapid rise period of the COVID-19 outbreak: a quick online cross-sectional survey. *International Journal of Biological Sciences* . 2020; 16(10): 1745-1752. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.45221