Genome-wide association study in 8,956 German individuals identifies influence of ABO

histo-blood groups on gut microbiome

Authors and affiliations:

5 Malte Christoph Rühlemann¹, Britt Marie Hermes^{2,3,4}, Corinna Bang¹, Shauni Doms^{2,3}, Lucas Moitinho-Silva^{1,5}, Louise Bruun Thingholm¹, Fabian Frost⁶, Frauke Degenhardt¹, Michael Wittig¹, Jan Kässens¹, Frank Ulrich Weiss⁶, Annette Peters^{7,8}, Klaus Neuhaus⁹, Uwe Völker¹⁰, Henry Völzke¹⁰, Georg Homuth¹⁰, Stefan Weiss¹⁰, Matthias Laudes¹¹, Wolfgang Lieb¹², Dirk Haller^{9,13}, Markus M. Lerch⁶, John F. Baines^{2,3}, Andre Franke¹

10

- ¹ Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology, Kiel University, Kiel, Germany
- ² Evolutionary Genomics, Max-Planck-Institute for Evolutionary Biology, Plön, Germany
- ³ Institute of Experimental Medicine, Kiel University, Kiel, Germany
- ⁴ Lübeck Institute of Experimental Dermatology, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany
- ⁵ Department of Dermatology, Kiel University, Kiel, Germany
 - ⁶ Department of Medicine A, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany
 - ⁷ Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg, Germany
 - ⁸ German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD), Neuherberg, Germany
 - ⁹ZIEL Institute for Food & Health, Technical University of Munich, Freising, Germany
- ¹⁰ Department of Functional Genomics, Interfaculty Institute for Genetics and Functional Genomics, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany
 - ¹¹ Department of Internal Medicine 1, Kiel University, Kiel, Germany
 - ¹² Institute of Epidemiology, Kiel University, Kiel, Germany
 - ¹³ Chair of Nutrition and Immunology, Technical University of Munich, Freising, Germany

25

Correspondence should be addressed to: Prof. Dr. Andre Franke, Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology (IKMB), Kiel University, Rosalind-Franklin-Str. 12, 24106 Kiel, Germany. Email: a.franke@mucosa.de

Abstract

- The intestinal microbiome is implicated as an important modulating factor in multiple inflammatory,^{1,2} neurologic,³ and neoplastic diseases.⁴ Recent genome-wide association studies yielded inconsistent, underpowered and rarely replicated results such that the role of human host genetics as a contributing factor to microbiome assembly and structure remains uncertain.^{5–11} Nevertheless, twin-studies clearly suggest host-genetics as driver of microbiome composition.¹¹ In a genome-wide association analysis of 8,956 German
- individuals, we identified 32 genetic loci to be associated with single bacteria and overall microbiome composition. Further analyses confirm the identified associations of ABO histoblood groups and FUT2 secretor status with *Bacteroides* and *Faecalibacterium*. Mendelian randomization analysis suggests causative and protective effects of gut microbes, with
 clade-specific effects on inflammatory bowel disease. This holistic investigative approach of the host, its genetics, and its associated microbial communities as a 'metaorganism'

broadens our understanding of disease aetiology and emphasizes the potential for implementing microbiota in disease treatment and management.

45 **Main**

50

We conducted the largest single country genome-wide association analysis of microbial traits followed by Mendelian Randomization (MR) analysis to elucidate the genetic link between humans and their associated microbiota. Our study comprised five independent cohorts from German biobanks located in Northern Germany (Kiel, Schleswig-Holstein; PopGen¹², n=724; FoCus, n=957), North-Eastern Germany (Greifswald, Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania; SHIP, n=2,029; SHIP-TREND, n=3,382),^{13,14} and Southern Germany (Augsburg, Bavaria; KORA, n=1,864;^{15,16} see **Methods** for details).

Baseline comparisons show similarities in anthropometric measures, genomic variation and microbial community compositions between cohorts (**Figure 1, Supplementary Figure S1, Supplemental Material**). Taxonomic groups and sequence similarity clusters included in the univariate analysis, henceforth called microbial features, covered between 98.4% and 98.7% of the whole community at the phylum level and between 77.8% (PopGen) and 82.6% (SHIP-TREND) at the genus level across cohorts. These data indicate that the cohorts share a common core microbiota (cohort-level summaries of microbial features can be found in

Supplementary Table S1).

Univariate microbial features were defined based on taxonomic annotations from phylum to genus level. As taxonomic assignments below genus level don't perform well,¹⁷ finer scale features were defined by sequence similarity clustering (97%- and 99%-similarity) and 65 amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) to create a comprehensive dataset (see Methods). Host-features encoded by genetics can possibly influence presence-absence patterns of microorganisms, and also lead to shifts in the relative abundances of such, thus both assumptions were tested in the association analysis. In total, 198 and 233 univariate 70 microbial features were analysed using logistic and linear regression, respectively (see Methods for details). Host-genetic variation might affect multiple community members, thus in addition to univariate analyses, whole community multivariate association analysis of genus-level Bray-Curtis dissimilarity and weighted UniFrac distance¹⁸ were performed (see Methods). Per-cohort results were combined in a meta-analysis framework (see Methods). To ensure robustness of results, genome-wide significant results ($p_{Meta} < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) were 75

reported when supported by nominal significance (p<0.05) in at least two cohorts. Additionally, a study-wide significance threshold was defined as p_{Meta} <1.866×10⁻¹⁰ and heterogeneity measures were calculated (see **Methods**).

Accordingly, we reveal a total of 44 genome-wide significant associations with microbial 80 features and community composition involving 38 genomic loci (Table 1, Figure 2a), among which four associations stem from the multivariate analysis, 17 from the univariate abundance analysis, and 17 from the presence-absence patterns. The majority of genome association - including found in the presence/absence models - showed low heterogeneity (I²<40%), with only six abundance-associated variants showing moderate heterogeneity 85 $(I^2 < 60\%)$ and two surpassing this threshold, thus should be interpreted with caution. The top 10,000 genetic variants for univariate and multivariate analyses are summarized in Supplementary Tables S2-4. All results can be queried via the mGWAS results browser (http://ikmb.shinyapps.io/German mGWAS Browser). None of the signals surpassed the conservative threshold of study-wide significance. Univariate signals with overlapping 90 genetic loci in all cases are found from the same taxonomic group at a different taxonomic and/or clustering level.

Although not meeting the initial inclusion criteria (see **Methods**), the genus *Bifidobacterium* 95 was included in the analysis. Its connection with the lactase gene locus (*LCT*) on chromosome 2 is important, as it is the only signal replicating across numerous previous studies.^{5,9,11} The meta-analysis shows a clear association peak in the *LCT* locus with 53 variants displaying *p*-values lower than the suggestive $p<10^{-5}$ threshold, the lowest for rs3820794 (chr2:136505546; p_{Meta} = 5.62×10⁻⁷; **Figure 2b**). This is supported by nominally significant *p*-values in four of the five cohorts, with only the FoCus cohort showing a *p*-value above nominal significance (p_{FoCus} = 0.069), underlining the previously found connection between the *LCT* locus and *Bifidobacterium* and the validity of the herein-used model of choice, although LD structure does not pinpoint the *LCT* gene itself as the location of the primary association. Also, connections to age and consumption of dairy products remain unresolved and need to be investigated through more targeted approaches.^{11,19}

Our obtained genome-wide association results point to immune-mediated interactions of host and microbiota, e.g. the association detected for OTU99_55 (*Barnesiella;* OTU: operational taxonomic unit) and variants in the biliverdin reductase A (*BLVRA*; rs623108; p_{Meta} =1.05×10⁻⁸; **Figure 2c**) locus. Biliverdin reductase A was previously shown to inhibit Tolllike receptor 4 (TLR4) gene expression.²⁰ TLR4 is a pattern recognition receptor that initiates an immune response to bacterial lipopolysaccharides (LPS) present in many Gram-negative bacteria.²¹ *Barnesiella*, which itself is Gram-negative, is negatively associated with LPSinduced interferon-gamma production, suggesting a contribution of this commensal to homeostasis by immune- or TLR4-signal-modulation.

We identified two independent univariate associations with a locus surrounding the histoblood group ABO system transferase (ABO) gene. One ABO gene signal for differential OTU99_16 abundance includes belonging to Faecalibacterium (rs3758348; chr9:136155000; p_{Meta} =6.16×10⁻⁹; Figure 2d), which is accompanied by a second signal 120 ~100kb downstream in the surfeit locus protein 4 (SURF4) gene (chr9:136239399; p_{Meta} =4.33×10⁻⁹). The second ABO association is between rs8176632 allele T and the increased prevalence of a Bacteroides OTU (OTU97 27; rs8176632; chr9:136152547; p_{Meta} =6.87×10⁻¹⁰; Figure 2E). Interestingly, this same *Bacteroides* OTU is also significantly associated with variants at the BACH2 (BTB domain and CNC homolog 2) gene locus 125 (chr6:90978161; p_{Meta} =4.58×10⁻¹⁰). Moreover, a suggestive association between this Bacteroides OTU is present for the FUT2 (Galactoside 2-alpha-L-fucosyltransferase 2) locus, whereby the strongest signal is from the missense variant rs602662 (chr19:49206985; p_{Meta} =4.46×10⁻⁷), which is in strong linkage disequilibrium (LD) with variant rs601338 (R²=0.8898) encoding the FUT2 secretor phenotype. This variant determines whether the 130 fucosyl-precursor for the ABO blood-group system is synthesized on mucosal surfaces in the

body and secretions. Individuals homozygous for this missense variant do not have the ABO-encoded antigen on mucosal cells, independent of the ABO allele (i.e. display the non-secretor phenotype; **Figure 3b-d**). Variants at *FUT2* and *BACH2*, correlated with *Bacteroides* OTU97_27 in this study, were previously shown to be associated with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).²²⁻²⁵

For a focused evaluation of blood-group dependent associations with microbial features, we investigated ABO histo-blood group and FUT2 secretor status (see Methods). The
prevalence or abundance of eight taxonomic groups show at least one FDR-corrected significant association (*q*<0.05) with either ABO histo-blood group alleles, secretor status, or their interaction (Figure 3a; Supplementary Table S5). These results demonstrate a positive correlation between non-O blood group and positive secretor status and the prevalence of the aforementioned *Bacteroides* OTU97_27 in four of the five cohorts (*p*_{Meta}=3.65×10⁻¹⁰). Intriguingly, a different *Bacteroides* branch, represented by OTU97_12, OTU99_12, and TestASV_13, exhibited significant associations with ABO histo-blood group status as well, however in this case characterized by an inverse relationship between prevalence and non-O blood group alleles (*p*_{Meta}=2.1×10⁻⁴). Together, these findings suggest histo-blood group dependent effects on *Bacteroides* subclades.

150

135

In addition, the model points to an association between *Faecalibacterium* OTU99_16 and the ABO histo-blood group A allele in interaction with secretor status (p_{Meta} =4.7×10⁻⁶). A significant association between *Holdemanella* and ABO is also identified, although the signal is exclusively driven by the SHIP-TREND cohort with only weak support from the remaining

155 cohorts. Further, FUT2 secretor status is associated with differential abundance of *Roseburia* OTU97_30, independent of ABO blood type (p_{Meta} =4.79×10⁻⁶). In conclusion, the

analyses reveal a specific impact of the human ABO blood groups and secretor status on members of the intestinal community.

- Mendelian randomization (MR) has recently become a popular tool to infer causal relationships of complex traits in observational data,²⁶ and recent publications suggest that MR can be used for exploratory inference of causal effects the microbiome may have on complex host traits.²⁷ MR analysis was performed for all univariate microbial features as "exposures" and 41 selected binary traits from the MR-Base database²⁸ as outcomes (see
- 165 **Methods**). This allows us to assess potential causal effect of microbial features on disease. A total of 19 comparisons reach the per-trait suggestive threshold of $p < 1.22 \times 10^{-3}$, with five traits falling below the global FDR-correction threshold q < 0.05 (**Table 2; Supplementary Table S6**). Nine out of 19 suggestive microbial effects on host traits point to IBD and its subentity Crohn's disease. For example, the presence of the same *Bacteroides* OTU associated
- with ABO histo-blood group status (OTU97_27) and a *Prevotella* ASV (TestASV_18) appear to significantly protect against CD development (β =-0.515 and β =-0.257, respectively). Previous work has revealed *Bacteroides*- and *Prevotella* as main determinants of gut enterotypes.²⁹⁻³¹ Recent studies applying quantitative microbiome profiling suggested protective effects of *Prevotella*-dominated communities on CD, as well as contradicting connections of IBD to *Bacteroides*-subclades, potentially modulated by microbial load,³² supported by additional studies pointing at lower abundances of *Bacteroides* being associated with IBD development.³³ These results once again emphasize the large variability of *Bacteroides* taxa in connection to genetics and disease.
- 180 Further results from MR confirm host-microbiome interactions previously described in observational studies. *Parabacteroides* show a protective effect on the "Obesity class 2" trait (β=-0.568), supporting previous experimental observations of *Parabacteroides* species

alleviating obesity effects in mice.³⁴ Interestingly, none of the microbial traits with causal effects reach genome-wide significance at any locus in the univariate analysis. In addition to

- ¹⁸⁵ MR, replication of previously associated loci and gene-set enrichment and tissue specificity analysis was performed using the FUMA web service³⁵ (see **Supplemental Material**). The obtained results indicate metabolic interactions between the host and associated microbes and an enrichment of genes derived from metabolic and inflammatory traits.
- Our results highlight the power of combining multiple independent cohorts for genomic association analyses of microbial features, as they allow for robust and replicable results. Although a direct influence of ABO histo-blood group and secretor status on the microbiome is debated,^{36,37} our results support this interaction, potentially acting as a modulator in diseases for which variants in histo-blood groups and the microbiome were independently
- 195 reported as risk factors,^{22,38-40} The suggestive causative role of *Bacteroides* in patients genetically susceptible to IBD development is notable, as multiple independent, and sometimes contrasting, results were previously reported from host-microbe association and MR analyses. The multifaceted role of *Bacteroides* in the human gut microbiome is likely insufficiently captured by 16S rRNA gene amplicon-based surveys and may therefore require future in-depth strain-level analysis. Nevertheless, our results suggest an important role of the human ABO histo-blood group antigens as candidates for direct modulation of the
 - human metaorganism in health and disease.



Figure 1: Summary of cohort properties. (a) Composition of study participants sex. (b) Distribution of 205 participants BMI and (c) age. (d) Biobank/cohort locations in Germany. (e) Ordination of all samples based on genus-level Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. Diamonds represent cohort centroids, dashed ellipses represent 95% confidence level of multivariate t-distributions. (f) Distribution of alpha diversities as calculated by Shannon diversity genus-level equivalent and the number of observed genera. (g) Comparison of relative abundances of phylum- and genus-level taxonomic groups that met the

- 210 inclusion criteria for the genome-wide association study in the five analysed German cohorts. Y axis represents the median abundance of the samples with non-zero abundance of the respective taxa, point size is relative to the prevalence of the respective taxon in the cohort. Taxa with cohort prevalence below the inclusion threshold of 20% are displayed as empty circles. The dashed red line represents the abundance threshold of .5% for inclusion in the analysis. Taxa are arranged from left to
- 215 right by the lowest median abundance over all cohorts from high to low. Cohort-level summaries of microbial features can be found in **Supplementary Table S1**. In (b), (c) and (f), centre lines represent median values, box limits show 1st and 3rd quartile, whiskers extend to 1.5 interquartile ranges (IQR) ± 1st/3rd quartile.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.09.20148627; this version posted September 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in





220

analysis across all tested traits, the lowest p-value at each position is shown. Colour coding by analysis type. Green: abundance models; Yellow: presence-absence models (logistic regression); Red: beta diversity. Regional association plot of: (b) genus Bifidobacterium presence-absence test with variants in the LCT gene locus. (c) OTU97_55 (Barnesiella) abundance vs. variants at the biliverdin reductase A (BLVRA) gene locus. (d) OTU99 16 (Faecalibacterium) abundance vs. variants in the ABO/SURF4 gene locus. (e) OTU97_27 (Bacteroides) presence-absence vs. ABO variants. 225 The per-cohort feature abundance means and presences for each genotype are given by the diamonds in the respective colours. In panels (c) and (e) all residual abundance for the individual samples are displayed as dots in the respective colours of the cohort. Centre lines represent median values, box limits show 1st and 3rd quartile, whiskers extend to 1.5 interquartile ranges (IQR) ± 1st/3rd 230 quartile.



Figure 3: (a) Results of the analysis of nine models connecting feature prevalence and abundance to ABO blood group alleles and FUT2 secretor status. Shown are all univariate microbial features with at least one meta-analysis q-value < 0.05. Holdemanella is shown also representing Holdemanella OTU97_33, and Bacteroides OTU97_12 is shown representing also OTU99_12 and TestASV_13 of 235 the same Bacteroides subclade with respective identical results. The Y-axes represent the nine models applied, investigating the linear effects of the number of A (#A) and B (#B) histo-blood group alleles and their sum (#non-O), as well as the effects of binary traits O vs. non-O histo-blood group (non-O) and FUT2 secretor status (Sec). The statistical interaction of Sec with all former traits is also included, indicated by the colon (:) symbol; The X-axis shows the Z-scores of the respective models. 240 Symbols are coloured according to cohort, black diamonds represent the result of the meta-analysis of all five cohorts. Symbol size represents absolute effect size. p-values < 0.05 are displayed as solid shapes. Dashed vertical lines represent Z-values corresponding to nominal significance (light red line: p<0.05; Z=±1.96; two-sided) and adjusted significance (dark red line: q<0.05; Z=±3.59; two-sided). The complete results can be found in Supplementary Table S5. (b) The type II H-antigen on red

- blood cells (RBCs) is completed by addition of a fucose sugar by the enzyme Fucosyltransferase 1 (FUT1). Subsequently the A- and B-antigens are synthesized by addition of N-acetylgalactosamine or galactose, respectively. In individuals with a O histo-blood group, no additional sugars can be added to the H-antigen. (c) On secreted proteins and mucosal cells, the fucosylated type I H-antigen is synthesized by the enzyme Fucosyltransferase 2 (FUT2). In individuals homozygous for the rs601338-A missense variant in *FUT2* also known as non-secretors there is no addition of a
- fucosyl-group, resulting in no H-antigen. **(d)** Consequently, no additional sugars are added to the precursor of the H-antigen in non-secretors, irrespective of the individuals' histo-blood group genotype at ABO. *Bacteroides* OTU97_27 exhibits higher prevalence in individuals with non-O ABO histo-blood groups and functioning FUT2 as compared to individuals with O histo-blood group or FUT2 non-
- 255 secretors.

Table 1: Results summary of the genome-wide association analysis for beta diversity (column "Analysis": Beta), logistic regression of presence/absence patterns (LR) and analysis of abundances (NB) ordered and enumerated by genomic location of the loci. A single-variant association test was performed for each cohort and each microbial feature, adjusting the respective model for the first ten genetic principle components, age, sex and body-mass index (BMI). Results were meta-analysed weighted by inverse-variance for univariate and sample-size in multivariate non-parametric models. For univariate analysis, meta-analysis effect size (Beta) and standard error (SE) are given with respect to the effect allele, total sample numbers in the meta-analysis are given in column "N". A genome-wide significance threshold of p_{Meta} <5×10⁻⁸ and nominal significance (p<0.05) in at least two cohorts was considered to ensure robustness. I² values for the lead SNPs are given as measure of heterogeneity. Genes up to 100kb up- and downstream of the lead SNP are listed, in case multiple genes are found in the locus, the closest gene to the lead SNP is marked in bold.

									Effect					l ²	
Locus	Analysis	uniqID	rsID	Major	MAF	Features	chr	pos	allele	<i>p</i> -value	Beta	SE	Ν	(lead SNP)	Genes in locus (±100kb)
1	NB	1:100446046:A:G	rs1571350	Α	0.425	G_Alistipes	1	100446046	G	3.945×10 ⁻⁸	-0.1391	0.0253	8538	0.226	AGL, SLC35A3 , HIAT
2	LR	2:71268031:A:T	rs10195730	Α	0.244	TestASV_30 (G_Paraprevotella)	2	71268031	Т	3.370×10 ⁻⁹	0.4971	0.0841	3487	0	ATP6V1B1, ANKRD53, TEX261,
															OR7E91P, NAGK, MCEE,
															MPHOSPH10
3	NB	2:171151691:A:G	rs6751051	G	0.1078	C_Betaproteobacteria,	2	171151691	G	1.597×10 ⁻⁸	-0.1418	0.0252	8381	0	
						O_Burkholderiales									MYO3B
4	NB	2:239153765:A:T	rs35093813	Т	0.0895	C_Alphaproteobacteria,	2	239153765	Т	1.111×10 ⁻⁸	-0.2607	0.0456	2903	0.607	KLHL30, FAM132B, ILKAP,
						G_Alphaproteobacteria									LOC151174, LOC643387, HES6,
															PER2, TRAF3IP1
5	LR	3:60225409:A:C	rs213388	Α	0.2411	TestASV_15 (G_Bacteroides)	3	60225409	C	1.492×10 ⁻⁶	-0.2259	0.0399	8930	0	FHIT
6	NB	4:40481757:C:T	rs424048	С	0.5460	TestASV_27 (F_Ruminococcaceae)	4	40481757	Т	4.588×10 ⁻ °	-0.2146	0.0393	1345	0.682	RBM47
7	NB	4:60918325:A:G	rs11729574	G	0.0717	OTU97_11 (G_Parabacteroides)	4	60918325	G	1.193×10 ⁻	0.2267	0.0398	4832	0.449	-
8	LR	4:82818818:A:G	rs10000747	G	0.0617	OTU97_11 (G_Parabacteroides)	4	82818818	G	8.398×10 ⁻⁷	0.3956	0.0687	7733	0	-
9	Beta	4:137653726:C:T	rs1585404	С	0.4150	BrayCurtis	4	137653726	Т	4.176×10 [™]	-	-	8612	-	-
10	LR	5:8438531:C:T	rs340669	C	0.2910	OTU97_80 (G_Ruminococcus),	5	8438531	T	1.710×10°	-0.2178	0.0400	8889	0	LOC729506
				_		OTU99_92 (G_Ruminococcus)	_		_	0					
11	LR	5:57935865:G:T	rs158966	G	0.1973	OTU97_51 (G_Barnesiella),	5	57935865	T	1.517×10°	0.2524	0.0446	8914	0	RAB3C
				_		G_Barnesiella,			_	10					
12	LR	6:90978161:C:T	rs62408234	C	0.1411	OTU97_27 (G_Bacteroides)	6	90978161	T	4.575×10 ⁻¹⁰	0.3634	0.0583	5582	0	BACH2
13	LR	6:140101119:C:T	rs6900161	Т	0.0565	OTU97_23 (G_Faecalibacterium)	6	140101119	Т	2.219×10°	-0.7158	0.1280	7244	0.114	FILNC1
14	NB	7:43864699:A:G	rs623108	G	0.3567	OTU99_55 (G_Barnesiella)	7	43864699	G	1.045×10 ⁻⁸	-0.1664	0.0291	2743	0	COA1 BIVEA MEPS24 LIRGCE
15	IR	7·85818086·C·T	rs17160658	т	0 1535	TestASV 48 (G Sutterella)	7	85818086	т	4 438×10 ⁻⁹	0 5136	0.0875	7207	0	-
16	NB	7:117721635:C:T	rs10235327	Ċ	0.4526	OTU99_30 (G_Parasutterella)	7	117721635	т	2.550×10 ⁻⁸	-0.1504	0.0270	2734	0	-
17	NB	8:5719816:A:G	rs12679403	G	0.3337	TestASV 26	8	5719816	G	2.038×10 ⁻⁸	0.3961	0.0706	460	0.545	
				-		(G Phascolarctobacterium)	-		-						-
18	LR	8:22906641:A:G	rs67791511	А	0.2907	OTU97 34 (G Ruminococcus).	8	22906641	G	1.486×10 ⁻⁸	-0.2433	0.0431	5777	0	RHOBTB2. TNFRSF10B.
						OTU99 35 (G Ruminococcus)									LOC286059, LOC254896,
						_ ` _ ` ,									TNFRSF10C, TNFRSF10D
19	LR	8:112651697:A:G	rs4876786	G	0.1585	G Sutterella	8	112651697	G	1.829×10 ⁻⁸	0.2495	0.0443	8200	0	-
20	NB	9:7545825:A:G	rs62530076	А	0.1070	_ OTU97_15 (G_Parasutterella)	9	7545825	G	4.588×10 ⁻⁸	0.1797	0.0329	4825	0	-
21	LR	9:22175188:C:G	rs10965279			TestASV_20	9	22175188	G	4.967×10 ⁻⁸	0.5047	0.0926	8186	0	CDKN2B-AS1

(G_Phascolarctobacterium)

22	LR	9:136152547:C:T	rs8176632	С	0.1686	OTU97_27 (G_Bacteroides)	9	136152547	Т	6.866×10 ⁻¹⁰	0.3142	0.0509	6100	0	OBP2B, ABO , SURF6, MED22, RPL7A, SURF1, SURF2, SURF4, C9orf96
23	NB	9:136239399:C:G	rs3758348	G	0.1516	OTU99_16 (G_Faecalibacterium)	9	136239399	G	4.332×10 ⁻⁹	-0.1434	0.0244	6559	0.433	ABO, SURF6, MED22, RPL7A,
															SURF1, SURF2, SURF4, C9orf96,
															REXO4, ADAMTS13, CACFD1,
															SLC2A6 [†]
24	NB	10:112954252:A:G	rs4439447	Α	0.3334	C_Clostridia	10	112954252	G	2.861×10 ⁻⁸	0.0878	0.0158	8821	0	-
25	NB	11:119792443:C:T	rs71484153	Т	0.2799	TestASV_21 (F_Ruminococcaceae)	11	119792443	Т	3.947×10 ⁻⁸	0.1640	0.0299	2776	0	-
26	NB	11:134761316:A:G	rs10894898	Α	0.3893	OTU99_4 (G_Alistipes),	11	134761316	G	1.651×10 ⁻⁸	-0.1147	0.0203	5513	0	
						TestASV_4 (G_Alistipes)									-
27	Beta	12:30561406:A:G	rs7954208	Α	0.0603	BrayCurtis	12	30561406	G	4.667×10 ⁻⁹	-	-	8903	-	-
28	NB	12:97768678:C:T	rs12304493	С	0.4571	G_Alloprevotella	12	97768678	Т	1.798×10 ⁻⁸	0.1922	0.0341	1738	0.568	RMST
29	LR	13:46265207:C:G	rs7981551	G	0.3511	OTU97_56 (F_Ruminococcaceae)	13	46265207	G	3.303×10 ⁻⁸	0.1882	0.0341	8390	0	FAM194B, SPERT , SIAH3
30	LR	13:107517622:A:G	rs8000335	G	0.09352	OTU97_109 (G_Paraprevotella)	13	107517622	G	4.693×10 ⁻⁸	-0.3318	0.0607	8640	0	-
31	NB	14:38073877:A:T	rs1956429	Α	0.3944	F_Rikenellaceae	14	38073877	Т	8.316×10 ⁻⁹	-0.0917	0.0159	8464	0	MIPOL1, FOXA1, C14orf25
32	NB	15:23999122:C:G	rs72703939	G	0.2171	TestASV_37 (F_Ruminococcaceae)	15	23999122	G	3.567×10 ⁻⁸	-0.3657	0.0664	615	0	NDN
33	Beta	15:93820994:A:G	rs12592825	Α	0.3616	BrayCurtis	15	93820994	G	4.552×10 ⁻⁸	-	-	7837	-	-
34	NB	16:23372110:G:T	rs185150	G	0.0673	TestASV_16 (G_Bacteroides)	16	23372110	Т	2.476×10 ⁻⁸	0.6011	0.1078	669	0.541	SCNN1B, COG7
35	LR	17:53069650:A:G	rs4794550	Α	0.2829	TestASV_16 (G_Bacteroides)	17	53069650	G	1.707×10 ⁻⁸	-0.2978	0.0538	8864	0	TOM1L1, COX11, STXBP4
36	LR	17:65565305:G:T	rs3760215	G	0.4492	OTU99_94 (G_Bacteroides)	17	65565305	Т	2.169×10 ⁻⁸	-0,4044	0.0722	6659	0.5023	PITPNC1
37	Beta	19:4855248:C:T	rs11880778	С	0.2103	BrayCurtis	19	4855248	Т	7.974×10 ⁻⁹	-	-	8664	-	FEM1A, TICAM1, PLIN3, ARRDC5,
															C19orf31, UHRF1
38	LR	20:34011645:C:T	rs6060406	С	0.0593	OTU97_117 (G_Ruminococcus)	20	34011645	Т	2.810×10 ⁻⁸	0.6333	0.1141	7267	0	UQCC, GDF5, GDF5OS, CEP260

¹ In this locus, two signals in weak LD (<.4) are found, one close to SURF4, the other close to ABO (see Figure 2E).

Table 2: *Results from Mendelian Randomization (MR) analysis.* Shown are only results with $p < 1.220 \times 10^{-3}$ (significance threshold as determined in **Methods**) and the respective FDR-adjusted *q*-values. All SNPs with F-statistics > 10 and $p < 10^{-5}$ in the respective genome-wide association meta-analysis of presence/absence (LR) and abundance (NB) patterns (exposures) were used as instrument variables and tested for their effects on 41 binary traits (see **Methods** and **Supplementary Material**). Mean and minimum F-statistics of included instruments are reported. Tests used for MR (Method) were Wald ratio (WR) in case of a single instrument variable, and inverse-variance weighted (IVW) analysis in case of two and more instrument variables (#SNPs). Effect sizes (Beta) and standard errors (SE) of the primary analyses are reported in the table. A complete table of all results from all MR analyses including results from the sensitive analysis can be found in



Outcome	Exposure	Analysis	Method	#SNPs	F (Mean)	F (Min)	Beta	SE <i>p</i> -value	q-value
Anthropometric									
Extreme body mass index id:85	P_Firmicutes	NB	IVW	2	20.17	18.81	-0.8804	0.2634 8.31 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.4044
Obesity class 2 id:91	G_Parabacteroides	NB	IVW	3	18.32	18.09	-0.5683	0.1659 6.14 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.3350
Autoimmune / inflammatory									
Asthma id:44	OTU99_84 (G_Prevotella)	LR	WR	1	11.77	11.77	-0.7256	0.2109 5.82 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.3927
Celiac disease id:1059	OTU99_62 (F_Ruminococcaceae)	LR	WR	1	21.22	21.22	-0.6131	0.1763 5.07 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.3764
Crohn's disease id:10	C_Clostridia	NB	WR	1	19.28	19.28	-1.7060	0.2465 4.46 x 10 ⁻¹²	4.28 x 10 ⁻⁸
Crohn's disease id:10	OTU97_27 (G_Bacteroides)	LR	WR	1	17.45	17.45	-0.5151	0.0867 2.77 x 10 ⁻⁹	1.33 x 10⁻⁵
Crohn's disease id:10	TestASV_23 (G_Barnesiella)	LR	WR	1	15.17	15.17	0.2711	0.0599 6.00 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.0115
Crohn's disease id:10	TestASV_18 (G_Prevotella)	LR	WR	1	13.42	13.42	-0.2575	0.0579 8.76 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.0140
Crohn's disease id:11	F_Porphyromonadaceae	NB	IVW	2	21.01	20.43	3.2134	0.7962 5.44 x 10 ⁻⁵	0.0745
Crohn's disease id:11	TestASV_12 (G_Bacteroides)	LR	WR	1	20.44	20.44	-1.0344	0.3160 1.06 x 10 ⁻³	0.5672
Inflammatory bowel disease id:293	F_Porphyromonadaceae	NB	IVW	2	21.01	20.43	2.5143	0.5433 3.70 x 10 ⁻⁶	8.88 x 10⁻³
Inflammatory bowel disease id:293	TestASV_12 (G_Bacteroides)	LR	WR	1	20.44	20.44	-0.9989	0.2654 1.68 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.1786
Inflammatory bowel disease id:293	OTU99_85 (G_Alistipes)	LR	WR	1	17.18	17.18	0.4096	0.0776 1.29 x 10 ⁻⁷	4.13 x 10 ⁻⁴
Cancer									
Ovarian cancer id:1120	OTU97_27 (G_Bacteroides)	LR	IVW	5	14.54	13.44	-0.1140	0.0341 8.39 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.4740
Gallbladder cancer id:1057	OTU97_4 (G_Alistipes)	NB	IVW	4	14.71	13.52	5.8987	1.5071 9.08 x 10 ⁻⁵	0.1090
Cardiovascular									
Coronary heart disease id:6	TestASV_23 (G_Barnesiella)	LR	IVW	4	17.78	15.17	0.1497	0.0431 5.10 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.3764
Psychiatric / neurological									
Autism id:802	TestASV_11 (F_Lachnospiraceae)	NB	IVW	7	11.44	10.60	0.4156	0.1151 3.07 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.2945
Major depressive disorder id:804	OTU97_51 (G_Barnesiella)	NB	WR	1	16.49	16.49	0.8655	0.2447 4.05 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.3538
Schizophrenia id:22	F_Lachnospiraceae	NB	IVW	8	21.45	19.96	0.1687	0.0521 1.20 x 10 ⁻³	0.6069

Online Methods

Cohort description, genotyping and imputation

- **PopGen:** The PopGen cohort is a population-based cohort from the area around Kiel, Schleswig-Holstein, Germany.¹² From this cohort, 1,108 individuals were genotyped using the Affymetrix Genome-Wide Human SNP Array 6.0 covering 906,600 genetic variants. After the initial QC, which included filtering out variants with a minor allele frequency (MAF) < 1%, per-SNP callrate < 95% and deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibirum (HWE) with p<10⁻⁵, the genotyping data were prepared for
- 280 imputation following the miQTL cookbook instructions (https://github.com/alexa-kur/miQTL_cookbook#chapter-2-genotype-imputation). Briefly, this Plinkbased processing script includes steps to prepare variants to be in consistency with the HRC v1.1 reference panel regarding the order of reference and alternative alleles, variant naming and strand orientation. Finally, all data is converted to VCF files for imputation. Imputation of the autosomal
- 285 chromosomes was performed using the Michigan Imputation Server using the Haplotype Reference Consortium (HRC) release v1.1 from 2016 as reference panel. Eagle v2.3 was chosen as phasing algorithm and EUR individuals was selected as population for quality control purposes. The process was started in "Quality Control & Imputation" mode. After downloading the final data, it was converted to binary plink files. and variants with minor allele frequency < 1% were removed. Faecal samples
- 290 were available for 724 of these individuals. Faecal samples were collected by the participants themselves at their respective home in standard faecal collection tubes and mailed to the study centre where they were stored at -80°C until processing. DNA from faecal samples (approx. 200 mg) was extracted using the QIAamp DNA stool mini kit automated on the QIAcube.
- Food Chain Plus (FoCus): The FoCus cohort was incepted as part of the competence network Food <u>Chain Plus</u> (http://www.focus.uni-kiel.de/component/content/article/88.html). This cohort consists of two parts. One part is a population-registry based cross-sectional cohort including individuals from the area around Kiel, Schleswig-Holstein, Germany. The second part is an outpatient clinic-based cohort including obese individuals (BMI > 30) with and without accompanying disease status. For our study, only the registry-based part of the cohort was included. Cohort participants were genotyped using the

Infinium OmniExpressExome array. Data processing, imputation and sampling of faecal material was performed in the same way as in the PopGen cohort. Finally, out of 1,583 participants, 957 belonged to the population-based part of the cohort and supplied faecal samples. DNA from faecal samples (approx. 200 mg) was extracted using the QIAamp DNA stool mini kit automated on the QIAcube.

305

KORA FF4: KORA (Kooperative Gesundheitsforschung in der Region Augsburg) is a population-based adult cohort study in the Region of Augsburg, Southern Germany, that was initiated in 1984 (https://www.helmholtz-muenchen.de/epi/research/cohorts/kora-cohort/objectives/index.html). For the second follow-up study (FF4) of baseline study S4 2,279 participants were recruited and the study
was conducted in 2013/2014 mainly focusing on diabetes, cardiovascular disease, lung disease and links to environmental factors such as the microbiome. Stool-derived DNA samples of 2,136 participants were obtained via the KORA Biobank. The DNA had been extracted using a guanidinethiocyanat / *N*-lauroylsarcosine-based buffer⁴⁰ and subsequent clean-up with NucleoSpin gDNA Clean-up (Macherey-Nagel) for further analysis. Genotyping was performed using the Affymetrix Axiom array, initial QC of raw data included MAF filtering < 1%, per-SNP callrate < 98% and deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibirum (HWE) with *p*<10⁻⁴. In total, 1,864 samples with genotyping and 16S rRNA gene survey data were included in the association analysis.

SHIP and SHIP-TREND: The Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP) is a longitudinal population-based cohort study located in the area of West Pomerania (Northeast Germany). It consists of the two independent cohorts SHIP (n = 4,308; baseline examinations 1997 - 2001) and SHIP-TREND (n = 4,420; baseline examinations 2008 - 2012 with regular follow-up examinations every five years.¹³ Stool samples have been collected since the second follow-up investigation of the SHIP (SHIP-2, 2008 - 2012) and the baseline examination of the SHIP-TREND cohort. All faecal samples were collected by the study participants in their home environment, stored in a plastic tube containing stabilizing EDTA buffer and shipped to the laboratory where DNA isolation (PSP Spin Stool DNA Kit, Stratec Biomedical AG, Birkenfeld, Germany) was performed as described before.⁴¹ For a total of 2,029 and 3,382 samples 16S rRNA gene survey and genotype on Affymetrix Genome-Wide Human

SNP Array 6.0 and Illumina Infinium Global Screening Array, respectively, data were available and included in the association analysis. Initial QC of raw genotyping data included filter for per-SNP callrate < 95% and deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibirum (HWE) with p<10^{-5.}

Written, informed consent was obtained from all study participants in all cohorts, and all protocols were approved by the institutional ethical review committee in adherence with the Declaration of Helsinki Principles.

Inference of ABO blood group and secretor status

335

ABO blood groups were inferred using the phased and imputed genetic data and four variants as proposed by Paré *et al.*,⁴² which rs507666, rs687289, rs8176746, rs8176704 encode for the allele A1,

- O, B, and A2, respectively. All variants were, depending on the genotyping array used in the respective cohort, either genotyped by the array or showed very high imputation quality scores between 98.7% and 99.8%. Additionally, observed allele frequencies were manually compared to frequencies in public databases to assure highest quality blood group assignments. Secretor status was assessed by variant rs601338 on chromosome 19. Individuals homozygous for the A allele were
- classified as "non-secretor". This variant was genotyped in all cohorts, except for the PopGen cohort.Here, the estimated imputation accuracy was 94.6%.

Microbial data generation and processing

Library preparation and sequencing was performed using a standardized protocol at a single wet lab in Kiel, Germany. DNA amplification by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was performed using the 27F/338R primer combination targeting the V1-V2 region of the gene employing a dual-index strategy to achieve multiplex sequencing of up to 384 samples per sequencing run. After PCR, product DNA was normalized using the SequalPrep Normalization Kit. Sequencing of the libraries was performed on an Illumina MiSeq using v3 chemistry and generating 2x300bp reads. Demultiplexing was performed allowing no mismatches in the index sequences. Data

processing was performed in the R software environment (version 3.5.1)⁴³, using the DADA2 (v.1.10)⁴⁴ workflow for big datasets (https://benjjneb.github.io/dada2/bigdata.html) resulting in abundance tables of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs). All sequencing runs underwent quality control and error profiling separately. Briefly, forward and reverse reads were trimmed to a length of

- 360 230 and 180 bp, respectively, or at the first position with a quality score less or equal to 5. Low quality read-pairs were discarded when the estimated error in one of the reads exceeded 2 or of ambiguous bases ("N"s) were present in the base sequence. Read pairs that could not be merged due to insufficient overlap or mismatches in their nucleotide sequences were discarded. The complete workflow adjusted for the 16S rDNA V1-V2 amplicon can be found on GitHub:
- 365 <u>https://github.com/mruehlemann/german_mgwas_code/tree/master/1_preprocess</u>. Finally, all data from the separate sequencing runs were collected in a single abundance table per dataset, followed by chimera filtering. ASVs underwent taxonomic annotation using the Bayesian classifier provided in DADA2 and using the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) version 16 release.⁴⁵ ASV abundance tables and taxonomic annotation were passed on to the phyloseq package⁴⁶ for random subsampling
- to 10,000 sequences per sample (*rarefy_even_depth*()) and construction of phylum- to genus-level abundance tables (*tax_glom*()). Samples with less than 10,000 clean reads were not included in the analysis. Sequences that were not assignable to genus level were binned into the finest-possible taxonomic classification. As amplicon-based sequencing of the 16S rDNA has clade-dependent taxonomic resolution differences,⁴⁷ abundance profiles of ASVs and operation taxonomic units (OTU)
- based on two widely used similarity cut-offs (97% similarity for a proxy of species level, 99% similarity for strain level) were included in the analysis. This enables for an unbiased assessment of genetic effects at a sub-genus taxonomic scale. Although similarity cut-offs as proxy for taxonomic resolution are element of ongoing discussion⁴⁸, clustering still allows to bundle similar sequences, and by that evolutionary closely related organisms, into units of likely also functional similarity. For this, ASV datasets were exported including their respective abundance information and combined for a dataset-spanning OTU picking at 99% and 97% identity level using the VSEARCH software.⁴⁹ ASVs and OTUs were assigned cross-dataset consistent IDs for more convenient data handling, 97%- and 99%-identity based features being named OTU97 and OTU99 throughout the article, respectively. ASVs included in the analysis were relabelled to "TestASV". OTUs on 97% identity level were aligned

- against the SILVA reference alignment (v132) using the SINA aligner, consistent gaps in the alignment were truncated.⁵⁰ The resulting alignment was used to construct a phylogenetic tree using the FastTree (v2.1.7)⁵¹ software with the flags --nt (input is nucleotide alignment), --gtr (generally time-reversible model) and --gamma (for branch-length rescaling and calculation of gamma20likelihood).
- 390

Statistics for cohort comparisons

Basal phenotypes of age and BMI were compared between cohorts using pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum test using the R-base function *pairwise.wilcox.test()* and the default method "holm" for *p*-value correction. Within sample diversity was assessed using the total number of observed genera and
Shannon diversity index calculated on genus level using the vegan⁵²::*diversity()* function in R. To generate Shannon genus level equivalents, the Shannon diversity was used as exponent in the natural exponent function *exp()*. Differences between cohorts were assessed using a pairwise Wilcoxon rank-sum test implemented in the R-base function p*airwise.wilcox.test()* and the default method "holm" for *p*-value correction. Pairwise cohort differences in between sample diversity (beta diversity) were assessed using genus-level Bray-Curtis dissimilarity and a permutational multivariate

400 diversity) were assessed using genus-level Bray-Curtis dissimilarity and a permutational multivariate analysis of variance using distance matrices as implemented in the vegan::*adonis*() function. For each comparison, 1,000 permutations were used to assess *p*-values.

Statistical framework for genome wide association analysis

Rationale: The assembly of intestinal microbial communities is a highly complex process, which potentially can be driven by environmental and lifestyle factors, host-genetics⁵⁻¹⁰ and disease.¹⁻⁴ These biotic and abiotic factors mould niches for specific microorganisms, supplying them with metabolic substrates which can be directly host-derived, as with specific glycosylation patterns, or influenced by the host's metabolism, as it is discussed for the connection between the persistence of lactose hydrolysis and the abundance of Bifidobacterium.¹¹ The univariate statistical frameworks applied in this study aimed to identify genetic associations with presence/absence and abundance patterns of microbial clades. These associations could be the result of variation in host genes leading to the

Such effects would, therefore, facilitate competitive (dis-)advantage of the specific bacteria associated

availability of specific energy sources or metabolic substrates (and the lack thereof, respectively).

to them. Alternatively, an immune response, which is specific to a given microbial feature, could be
influenced by genetic variations. In this case, the abundance or the presence of the microorganism in
the community would be modulated. In addition, the community as a whole can be influenced by the
effect of host genetics, which can act on more than a single clade, and can also depend upon
stochastic effects in the initial community assembly.⁵³ As such, effects would be distributed across
multiple features or clades with only small individual effect sizes. Therefore, an association analysis
targeting multivariate effects was additionally implemented to identify host-genetics associated shifts
on the level of the microbial community.

Feature filtering: All univariate microbial features, defined by either taxonomic annotation or ASV/OTU clustering, independently underwent filtering using the same criteria for inclusion in the association analysis. Within a cohort, a feature had to be present in at least 100 individuals and had to

- 425 exceed the median abundance of 50 reads, thus .5%, in the individuals with non-zero counts. For the analysis of differential prevalence, the feature additionally had to be absent in at least 100 individuals. If these criteria were fulfilled in at least three of the cohorts, the feature was included in the analysis. Summary statistics for all cohorts and microbial features included in the analysis can be found in **Supplementary Table S1.** This filtering resulted in 233 univariate features for the abundance-based
- analysis, of which were four on phylum level, eight on class level, six on order level, 10 on family
 level, 29 on genus level and 65, 62 and 49 on 97%-OTU, 99%-OTU and ASV level, respectively. For
 the presence-absence-based analysis, 198 features were included, of these two were on class, one
 on order, two on family, 17 on genus and 65, 62 and 49 on 97%-OTU, 99%-OTU and ASV level,
 respectively. In total, 431 univariate microbial features were included in the genome-wide association
 analysis.

Prevalence-based analysis: For the analysis of genetic effects on the prevalence of bacterial features, abundance values were recoded into 0 (absence) and 1 (presence). Genetic variants were filtered to a minor allele frequency of > 5% and coded into numeric features 0 (homozygous for reference allele), 1 (heterozygous) and 2 (homozygous for alternative allele). Taxon prevalence was submitted to a logistic regression employing a generalized linear model with binomial distribution and

logit-link-function using the genotype as predictor, including age, sex, body mass index (BMI), and the

440

ten first genetic principle components (PCs) as covariates. All tests statistical tests were performed two-sided.

Abundance-based analysis: For calculating the effects of genetic variants on the zero-truncated abundance of bacterial features, the features were first filtered for extreme outliers, deviating more than 5 interquartile ranges (IQR) from the median abundance. Using the *glm.nb*() function from the MASS package in R, count abundances were fit in a model using previously mentioned covariates age, sex, BMI and the first ten genetic PCs as covariates. Residual variation was extracted using the *residuals*() function and submitted to a linear model estimating the effect of the genetic variants on the

450 residual abundance. Analysis of SNP vs. feature abundance directly using generalized linear models with negative binomial distribution was tested as well; however, these models' results showed highly inflated λ_{GC} -values, thus were discarded for the genome-wide association analysis. All tests statistical tests were performed two-sided.

Beta diversity analysis: In addition to the single-feature based analyses, we analyzed the effects of 455 genetic variants on the beta-diversity. For this, the genus-level abundance tables were used to calculate the pairwise Bray-Curtis dissimilarity between the individual microbial communities. Additionally, weighted, normalized UniFrac distance was calculated based on 97% identity OTU abundances using the UniFrac() function in phyloseq. Distance-matrices were submitted to a distance-based redundancy analysis (dbRDA) using the vegan::capscale() function and the same 460 previously mentioned covariates. The residual variance of the model was extracted using the residuals() function, resulting in a distance matrix adjusted for these possibly confounding factors. This distance matrix was used in a procedure to estimate the effect of genetic variants based on a distance-based F-test using moment matching⁵⁴. The calculations were implemented to run on a GPU for further speed-up, especially in the larger cohorts (see supplemental data for benchmark). As 465 calculations for large cohorts with n > 1,000 individuals (with tables of size n×n) still could not be finished in reasonable time, we employed a stepwise calculation of results for the cohorts (estimating from single CPU usage, processing time of 7×10⁶ variants for the SHIP-Trend dataset would take 61 years; and even using one GPU instance, processing would take ~94 days). The stepwise calculation process was as follows: For the PopGen, FoCus and SHIP cohort, all variants were tested for an

470 association. If a variant showed a nominal significant association (p < 0.05) in at least one of the cohorts, this variant was tested in the KORA cohort. If then a variant was nominal significant in at least two of these four cohorts, it was also tested in the SHIP-TREND cohort.

Meta-analysis: Genomic inflation (λ_{GC}) was assessed for all cohorts and features, and all showed values below the proposed threshold of 1.05. Results from the separate cohorts were combined using

- ⁴⁷⁵ a meta-analysis framework. Prevalence- and abundance-based results were submitted to an inversevariance based strategy, calculating effects based on effect size and variance of the respective cohorts. For the beta-diversity meta-analysis, we chose a weighing based on sample size of the respective cohorts. Both approaches were adapted from the METAL software package for GWAS meta-analysis.⁵⁵ Criteria for the reporting of a significant association were a genome-wide significant
- 480 meta-analysis *p*-value < 5×10⁻⁸, and nominal significance in at least two cohorts for the single-feature tests and at least three cohorts for the beta diversity analysis. As the univariate microbial features can be correlated across the different taxonomic levels in the analysis, the matSpDlite algorithm was used to estimate the effective number of independent (effective) variables across all levels based on the variance of eigenvalues of the univariate abundances and presence-absence patterns.^{56,57} This
- 485 yielded 141 and 127 effective variables for the abundance-based and the prevalence-based analysis, respectively. From this, we defined a study-wide significance threshold of P < 5 × 10⁻⁸ / 268 = 1.866 × 10⁻¹⁰. Heterogeneity statistics Cochran's Q and variation across studies due to heterogeneity I² for individual variants from the presence/absence and relative abundance association analyses were calculated as described in Deeks *et al.* (2008).⁵⁸

490 Analysis of influence of blood groups and secretor status

Hurdle models were used to investigate prevalence and abundance patterns in connection with ABO blood group and secretor status. Nine models were used for analysis. Models 1 - 4 analysed the effects of the individual's counts of A alleles, B alleles, the sum of A and B alleles and the binary status O vs. non-O, respectively. Models 5 - 8 investigated the same factors, however in interaction

495 with FUT2 secretor status, thus only taking non-zero values when assigned as "secretor". The last model only investigated the effects of the binary secretor status. All models included the covariates age, sex, BMI and the first ten genetic principle components, in analogy to the genome-wide

association analysis. Inverse-variance weighted meta-analysis was used to combine the results into a composite result per taxon and model.

500 Mendelian Randomization

Mendelian Randomization (MR) analysis was performed using the TwoSampleMR package (version 0.4.25)²⁵ for R. Using the MR-Base database (mrbase.org), 41 binary traits from the subcategories "Anthropometric", "Autoimmune / Inflammatory", "Bone", "Cancer", "Cardiovascular", "Diabetes", "Kidney", "Pediatric disease", and "Psychiatric / neurological" were selected for analysis of directional

- ⁵⁰⁵ effect of microbial features on these outcomes. A full list of the selection criteria, used outcome traits and the used database IDs can be found in the Supplemental Material. To ensure power and suitability of the instruments used for MR, only variants with *p*-value < 10⁻⁵ and F-statistics⁵⁹ > 10 were included as exposure/instrument variables in the analysis. Remaining instruments were LD clumped to include only independent signals. Using the *power_prune()* function, the best set of instrumental
- 510 variables for each trait was selected using instrument strength and sample size as selection criteria (method=2). Primary Mendelian randomization analysis was performed for sets with multiple instrument variables and single instrument variables using the inverse variance weighted analysis and Wald Test, respectively. Additional sensitivity analyses using weighted median, weighted mode and Egger regression were performed for analyses with more than two instrument variables available. Per
- 515 microbial trait, a suggestive threshold was defined as $p < 0.05/41 = 1.220 \times 10^{-3}$. For study wide significance, *p*-values were adjusted using Benjamin-Hochberg FDR correction, for the resulting *q*value the threshold was set to 0.05. For beta diversity analysis, no MR was performed, as the nonparametric test used for analysis did not include a beta value for effect size needed for MR.

520 Acknowledgements

525

We want to thank Mr Tonio Hauptmann, Ms Ilona Urbach and Ms Ines Wulf of the IKMB Microbiome Lab for excellent technical assistance. We are very thankful to Dr. Kaitlin Wade for her valuable input on the Mendelian Randomization analysis. We thank Martin Schulzky for the support in figure design. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) Collaborative Research Center 1182 "Origin and Function of Metaorganisms" (DFG Grant: "SFB1182"; Project A2) and the

DFG Cluster of Excellence 2167 "Precision Medicine in Chronic Inflammation (PMI)" (DFG Grant: "EXC2167"). The SHIP part of the study was supported by the PePPP-project (ESF/14-BM-A55_0045/16), and the RESPONSE-project (BMBF grant number 03ZZ0921E). SHIP is part of the Research Network Community Medicine of the University Medicine Greifswald, which is supported by the German Federal State of Mecklenburg-West Pomerania.

530 the German Federal State of Mecklenburg-West Por

Author contributions

A.F., J.F.B., M.M.L., and D.H. designed the experiment. G.H., M.La., W.L., U.V., H.V., S.W., and A.P. performed genotype and phenotype data collection. F.D., F.F. and H.V. performed data quality control and curation. C.B., M.C.R., K.H., K.N. and F.U.W. performed microbiome sample preparation, data

535 generation and curation. M.W. and M.C.R. implemented ABO blood-group inference. M.C.R., S.D., and J.K. implemented statistical models and performed the (meta-)analysis. M.C.R., C.B., B.M.H., L.B.T., and L.M.S. curated and interpreted results. M.C.R., B.M.H. and S.D. wrote the manuscript draft with advice from C.B., A.F. and J.F.B.. All authors reviewed, edited and approved the final manuscript.

540 Competing interests

All authors declare no competing interests.

Code availability

Microbiome data pre-processing, GWAS analysis and post-processing code is available via github: https://github.com/mruehlemann/german_mgwas_code.

545 Data availability

550

Cohort-level summaries of microbial feature abundances are provided in the supplemental matierial. The German mGWAS Browser application is available for local query of results from Dockerhub: <u>https://hub.docker.com/r/mruehlemann/german_mgwas_browser_app</u>. Due to constraints given by the written consent, participant phenotypes, genotyping and 16S rRNA gene sequencing data is available upon request from the respective biobanks:

- PopGen and Focus: https://portal.popgen.de/
- KORA FF4: <u>https://epi.helmholtz-muenchen.de/</u>
- SHIP and SHIP-TREND: https://www.fvcm.med.uni-greifswald.de/dd_service/data_use_intro.php

555 References

- 1. Lloyd-Price, J. *et al.* Multi-omics of the gut microbial ecosystem in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Nature* **569**, 655–662 (2019).
- 2. Franzosa, E. A. *et al.* Gut microbiome structure and metabolic activity in inflammatory bowel disease. *Nat. Microbiol.* **4**, 293–305 (2019).
- 3. Cryan, J. F., O'Riordan, K. J., Sandhu, K., Peterson, V. & Dinan, T. G. The gut microbiome in neurological disorders. *Lancet Neurol.* **0**, (2019).
- 4. Wirbel, J. *et al*. Meta-analysis of fecal metagenomes reveals global microbial signatures that are specific for colorectal cancer. *Nat. Med.* **25**, 679–689 (2019).
- 5. Blekhman, R. *et al.* Host genetic variation impacts microbiome composition across human body sites. *Genome Biol.* **16**, 191 (2015).
- Goodrich, J. K. *et al.* Human genetics shape the gut microbiome. *Cell* 159, 789–799 (2014).
- Wang, J. *et al.* Genome-wide association analysis identifies variation in vitamin D receptor and other host factors influencing the gut microbiota. *Nat. Genet.* 48, 1396– 1406 (2016).
- 8. Turpin, W. *et al*. Association of host genome with intestinal microbial composition in a large healthy cohort. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1413–1417 (2016).
- 9. Bonder, M. J. *et al.* The effect of host genetics on the gut microbiome. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1407–1412 (2016).
- 10. Rothschild, D. *et al.* Environment dominates over host genetics in shaping human gut microbiota. *Nature* **555**, 210–215 (2018).

- 11. Goodrich, J. K. *et al.* Genetic Determinants of the Gut Microbiome in UK Twins. *Cell Host Microbe* **19**, 731–743 (2016).
- Krawczak, M. *et al.* PopGen: population-based recruitment of patients and controls for the analysis of complex genotype-phenotype relationships. *Community Genet.* 9, 55–61 (2006).
- 13. Völzke, H. [Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP). Concept, design and selected results]. Bundesgesundheitsblatt Gesundheitsforschung Gesundheitsschutz **55**, 790–794 (2012).
- 14. Völzke, H. *et al.* Cohort profile: the study of health in Pomerania. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **40**, 294–307 (2011).
- Holle, R., Happich, M., Löwel, H., Wichmann, H. E. & MONICA/KORA Study Group.
 KORA--a research platform for population based health research. *Gesundheitswesen* Bundesverb. Arzte Offentlichen Gesundheitsdienstes Ger. **67 Suppl 1**, S19-25 (2005).
- 16. Reitmeier, S. *et al.* Arrhythmic gut microbiome signatures for risk profiling of Type-2 Diabetes. *bioRxiv* 2019.12.27.889865 (2019) doi:10.1101/2019.12.27.889865.
- 17. Johnson, J. S. *et al.* Evaluation of 16S rRNA gene sequencing for species and strain-level microbiome analysis. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1–11 (2019).
- Lozupone, C. & Knight, R. UniFrac: a New Phylogenetic Method for Comparing Microbial Communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **71**, 8228–8235 (2005).
- 19. Davenport, E. R. *et al.* Genome-Wide Association Studies of the Human Gut Microbiota. *PLOS ONE* **10**, e0140301 (2015).
- 20. Wegiel, B. *et al.* Biliverdin inhibits Toll-like receptor-4 (TLR4) expression through nitric oxide-dependent nuclear translocation of biliverdin reductase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.*A. **108**, 18849–18854 (2011).
- 21. Schirmer, M. *et al.* Linking the Human Gut Microbiome to Inflammatory Cytokine Production Capacity. *Cell* **167**, 1125-1136.e8 (2016).
- 22. McGovern, D. P. B. *et al.* Fucosyltransferase 2 (FUT2) non-secretor status is associated with Crohn's disease. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **19**, 3468–3476 (2010).

- 23. Jostins, L. *et al*. Host-microbe interactions have shaped the genetic architecture of inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature* **491**, 119–124 (2012).
- Liu, J. Z. *et al.* Association analyses identify 38 susceptibility loci for inflammatory bowel disease and highlight shared genetic risk across populations. *Nat. Genet.* 47, 979–986 (2015).
- 25. de Lange, K. M. *et al.* Genome-wide association study implicates immune activation of multiple integrin genes in inflammatory bowel disease. *Nat. Genet.* **49**, 256–261 (2017).
- 26. Smith, G. D. & Ebrahim, S. Mendelian Randomization: Genetic Variants as Instruments for Strengthening Causal Inference in Observational Studies. (National Academies Press (US), 2008).
- 27. Wade, K. H. & Hall, L. J. Improving causality in microbiome research: can human genetic epidemiology help? *Wellcome Open Res.* **4**, 199 (2020).
- 28. Hemani, G. *et al.* The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *eLife* **7**, e34408 (2018).
- 29. Arumugam, M. *et al.* Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. *Nature* **473**, 174–180 (2011).
- 30. Wu, G. D. et al. Linking long-term dietary patterns with gut microbial enterotypes. *Science* **334**, 105–108 (2011).
- Costea, P. I. *et al.* Enterotypes in the landscape of gut microbial community composition.
 Nat. Microbiol. 3, 8–16 (2018).
- Vieira-Silva, S. *et al.* Quantitative microbiome profiling disentangles inflammation- and bile duct obstruction-associated microbiota alterations across PSC/IBD diagnoses. *Nat. Microbiol.* 4, 1826–1831 (2019).
- 33. Zhou, Y. & Zhi, F. Lower Level of Bacteroides in the Gut Microbiota Is Associated with Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Meta-Analysis. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2016**, 5828959 (2016).
- 34. Wang, K. *et al.* Parabacteroides distasonis Alleviates Obesity and Metabolic Dysfunctions via Production of Succinate and Secondary Bile Acids. *Cell Rep.* **26**, 222-235.e5 (2019).

- 35. Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., Bochoven, A. van & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1–11 (2017).
- 36. Davenport, E. R. *et al.* ABO antigen and secretor statuses are not associated with gut microbiota composition in 1,500 twins. *BMC Genomics* **17**, 941 (2016).
- Turpin, W. *et al.* FUT2 genotype and secretory status are not associated with fecal microbial composition and inferred function in healthy subjects. *Gut Microbes* 9, 357– 368 (2018).
- Rausch, P. *et al.* Colonic mucosa-associated microbiota is influenced by an interaction of Crohn disease and FUT2 (Secretor) genotype. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **108**, 19030– 19035 (2011).
- 39. Weiss, F. U. *et al.* Fucosyltransferase 2 (FUT2) non-secretor status and blood group B are associated with elevated serum lipase activity in asymptomatic subjects, and an increased risk for chronic pancreatitis: a genetic association study. *Gut* 64, 646–656 (2015).
- Godon, J. J., Zumstein, E., Dabert, P., Habouzit, F. & Moletta, R. Molecular microbial diversity of an anaerobic digestor as determined by small-subunit rDNA sequence analysis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 63, 2802–2813 (1997).
- Frost, F. *et al.* Impaired Exocrine Pancreatic Function Associates With Changes in Intestinal Microbiota Composition and Diversity. *Gastroenterology* **156**, 1010–1015 (2019).
- 42. Paré, G. *et al.* Novel Association of ABO Histo-Blood Group Antigen with Soluble ICAM-1: Results of a Genome-Wide Association Study of 6,578 Women. *PLoS Genet.* **4**, (2008).
- 43. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. (2014).
- 44. Callahan, B. J. *et al.* DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* **13**, 581–583 (2016).
- 45. Cole, J. R. *et al.* Ribosomal Database Project: data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, D633–D642 (2014).

- 46. McMurdie, P. J. & Holmes, S. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e61217 (2013).
- 47. Johnson, J. S. *et al.* Evaluation of 16S rRNA gene sequencing for species and strain-level microbiome analysis. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1–11 (2019).
- 48. Edgar, R. C. Updating the 97% identity threshold for 16S ribosomal RNA OTUs.

Bioinformatics **34**, 2371–2375 (2018).

- 49. Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C. & Mahé, F. VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ* **4**, e2584 (2016).
- 50. Quast, C. *et al.* The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, D590–D596 (2013).
- 51. Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S. & Arkin, A. P. FastTree 2 Approximately Maximum-Likelihood Trees for Large Alignments. *PLOS ONE* **5**, e9490 (2010).
- 52. Oksanen, J. et al. The vegan package. Community Ecol. Package 10, 631-637 (2007).
- 53. Zhou, J. & Ning, D. Stochastic Community Assembly: Does It Matter in Microbial Ecology? *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **81**, (2017).
- 54. Rühlemann, M. C. *et al.* Application of the distance-based F test in an mGWAS investigating β diversity of intestinal microbiota identifies variants in SLC9A8 (NHE8) and 3 other loci. *Gut Microbes* 9, 68–75 (2017).
- 55. Willer, C. J., Li, Y. & Abecasis, G. R. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2190–2191 (2010).
- 56. Qin, Y. *et al.* Combined effects of host genetics and diet on human gut microbiota and incident disease in a single population cohort. *medRxiv* 2020.09.12.20193045 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.09.12.20193045.
- 57. Li, J. & Ji, L. Adjusting multiple testing in multilocus analyses using the eigenvalues of a correlation matrix. *Heredity* **95**, 221–227 (2005).

- 58. Deeks, J. J., Higgins, J. P. & Altman, D. G. Analysing Data and Undertaking Meta-Analyses. in Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions 243–296 (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2008). doi:10.1002/9780470712184.ch9.
- 59. Yarmolinsky, J. *et al.* Circulating Selenium and Prostate Cancer Risk: A Mendelian Randomization Analysis. *JNCI J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **110**, 1035–1038 (2018).