1	Title
2	
3	Spatial-Temporal Trends in Bed Bug Complaints in New York City
4	
5	Authors
6	Kathryn P. Hacker ¹ , Andrew J. Greenlee ² , Alison L. Hill ³ , and Daniel Schneider ² , Michael Z
7	$Levy^1$
8	
9	¹ Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Informatics, University of Pennsylvania,
10	Philadelphia, PA 19104.
11	² Department of Urban and Regional Planning, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,
12	Urbana, IL 61820.
13	³ Program for Evolutionary Dynamics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

Abstract

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

Bed bug outbreaks pose a major challenge in urban environments and cause significant strain on public resources. Few studies have systematically analyzed the epidemic or the potential effects of policies to combat bed bugs. Here we use three sources of administrative data to characterize the spatial-temporal trends of bed bug complaints and reports in New York City. Bed bug specific complaints have significantly decreased ($-0.021 \pm CI - 0.027 - -0.014$, p < 0.001 decrease in complaints per 100,000 people per month) from 2014–2019. Despite the decrease areas with high bed bug infestation tend to remain infested, highlighting the persistence of these pests. There are limitations to the datasets; still the evidence available suggests that interventions employed by New York City residents and lawmakers are stemming the bed bug epidemic and may serve as a model for other large cities. Introduction Bed bugs (Cimex lectularius) have reemerged as a substantial public health and economic issue, particularly in dense urban environments (1–5). During the early 2000s, bed bug infestations steadily increased (2, 3, 6, 7). While bed bugs were largely controlled after the Second World War, their populations have resurged since. The resurgence of bed bug populations is likely due to a combination of many factors, among these insecticide resistance (3, 8–11), increased mobility (10, 12, 13), and exchange of used furniture (14–16). By the early 1990s, bed bugs were again documented globally as an arthropod pest of public health importance (1, 4, 8, 17–19). The overall prevalence of bed bug infestation in major US cities is high, though rarely

systematically measured, and has attracted the attention of the media and policy entities (7, 20–

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

22). Trends in bed bug resurgence and control effectiveness are poorly understood. Many cities lack a standardized reporting system and many infestations are handled individually (to varying degrees of success) and residents may choose not to report infestation to building managers or city authorities. In 2014, New York City established a reporting system for bed bug infestation through the city's 311 database, a dedicated phone and online system to access NYC services and information (20, 23). Additionally in 2014, the New York City department of Health and Human Services collected data on probable bed bug infestations, estimating a prevalence of 5.1% of households citywide, with some areas (defined by New York United Hospital Fund Regions) reporting up to 12% of households infested (24). Other cities across the United States have experienced high levels of infestation and have responded with various policy and public health interventions (7, 22). The public health burden of bed bug infestations is substantial. Not only are residents of infested dwellings subject to physical symptoms such as painful bites, rashes, sleep loss, and allergic reactions, but some also suffer immense psychological and emotional distress (3, 18, 25– 27). Residents of infested dwellings report increased anxiety and depression, linked both to the physicality of the infestation as well as the incurred social stigma (28, 29). The health burden on the homebound and elderly is particularly relevant, as home healthcare personnel and social workers without adequate training can be reticent, or refuse to, enter infested areas (30, 31). Additionally, due to the expense and difficulty of effective extermination, poisoning, property damage, and exposure to inexpertly applied insecticides has occurred (32, 33). Bed bugs are competent hosts for Trypanosoma cruzi and Bartonella quintana, the etiologic agents of Chagas disease and trench fever respectively (3, 34, 35). Whether bed bugs are, or could become, epidemiologically relevant in the transmission of these agents remains unclear.

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

To combat this public health crisis, New York City has instituted two bed bug disclosure policies. In 2010, New York passed its first ordinance that required landlords to report bed bug infestations occurring in the previous year to residents and prospective residents (36). The city passed a second disclosure ordinance in 2017 requiring landlords to report annually all units infested or treated for bed bug infestation, and to notify all residents in the building, rather than only current or prospective tenets of a given unit (37). These ordinances mandate disclosure by landlords to tenants, but do not include systematic sampling for bed bugs. Making use of data resulting from 311 and other reporting systems, we assess the spatialtemporal trends in bed bug complaints and inquiries made by New York City residents and building owners. In addition to exploring these trends, we question whether the policy-driven approaches to managing bed bug infestations have resulted in a decrease in the rate of complaints over time. We also question whether these policy approaches have had differential impacts across New York City's boroughs. Methods Databases We examine three databases archived by New York City: bed bug inquiries registered by the city's 311 non-emergency reporting system, official bed bug complaints made to the city's Department of Housing Preservation and Development, and building owner reported bed bug infestations reported to the city's Department of Housing Preservation and Development. The specifics of each database (attributes, time scales, and geographic information) are summarized in Table 1 and described in detail below.

NYC Open Data and NYC 311: 311 inquiries and 311 bed bug specific requests

Since 2009, New York City's Open Data Portal has maintained an online database of information collected by the city government (38). One of the largest datasets available is the city's 311 database. The 311 service is a designated system for non-emergency information and reporting, which currently consists of a call service, app, and online system that allows individuals, organizations, and businesses to access New York City's government services and information (39). Those accessing the 311 service are able to report various environmental, legislative, and social issues; reports are then referred to an appropriate agency. This process guarantees anonymity. Inquiries are only processed to the level of information that the person or persons is willing to provide (e.g. location information). We accessed all 311 data inquiries from 2010–2019 focusing on 311 inquiries specific to bed bugs (all bed bug related inquiries as well as official complaints).

NYC housing maintenance code complaints: official bed bug complaints

The Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD) compiles housing maintenance code complaints that are reported from NYC 311 Citizen Services Center or Online database and the Code Enforcement Borough Offices. Only formal complaints that violate the New York City Housing Maintenance Code (HMC) or the New York State Multiple Dwelling Law (MDL) are recorded by HPD. The Housing Maintenance Code helps preserve decent housing, prevent housing from deteriorating, and ensures minimal standards for housing and basic decencies to already deteriorated buildings which must serve as dwellings until they can be replaced (35). This housing code provides a comprehensive list of standards for housing maintenance, including urban pests such as bed bugs.

Under the Housing and Maintenance Code tenants have the right to a bed bug free environment (36). Specifically, in the Housing and Maintenance Code, Subchapter 2, Article 4 names bed bugs as a Class B violation, meaning that the landlord is legally obligated to eradicate (*sic*) the problem within 30 days (36). Tenants are therefore incentivized to report probable bed bug infestation through 311 to officially document their complaint. These official complaints were made publicly available in 2014 and are updated continuously. We compiled all Housing Maintenance Code Complaints from 2014–2019 that were the result of a potential bed bug complaint, and, as a point of comparison, also compiled cockroach infestation complaints from the same data.

Building owner reports of bed bug infestation

In 2017, New York City passed an additional bed bug disclosure law that requires property owners of multiple dwellings (buildings with 3+ residential units) to report annually to the Department of Housing Preservation the number of units infested with bed bugs or that were treated for bed bugs (37). They must also disclose these numbers to all tenants at the time of lease renewal or upon the start of a new lease, as well as posting this information in a prominent location within the building. Failure to provide this information results in a Housing Maintenance Code Violation. While this data is required to be accessible to the public, currently New York City does not have this data published through NYC Open Data. We obtained bed bug infestations reported by property owners for 2018 through a Freedom of Information Request. Data were reported by building, and included information on the total number of residential units, the number that had experienced a bed bug infestation, the number of infestations treated, and the number of units re-infested.

Assessing 311 inquiries linked to bed bugs from 2010 - 2019

We used a text search algorithm to isolate all 311 inquiries that included bed bugs in the descriptor as the reason for the call. We categorized the reason for the complaint and the organization or action that fielded the inquiry following the initial inquiry (Appendix Table 1). While Housing Maintenance Code complaints were only published from 2014, 311 general inquiries have been published since 2010. This dataset allows us to: understand the pattern and quantity of inquiries about bed bugs since 2010 and evaluate the patterns present in 311 data generally.

Assessing the geographical distribution of bed bug infestation in New York City

To assess the geographical distribution of bed bug complaints we calculated the number of bed bug complaints per Neighborhood Tabulation Area (NTA) (Figure 2). NTAs are combinations of whole census-tract level population data with a minimum of 15,000 residents per aggregation (40). These areas are used to predict New York City's population projections per micro-area. Unlike census tracts, which are prone to high sampling error, using NTAs as a geographic boundary helps to standardize areas by population while providing a more statistically reliable estimate of population (40). To standardize the number of bed bug complaints per population, we divided the total number of bed bug complaints by the estimated population for each year and multiplied that ratio by 100,000. We mapped this information using QGIS software (41).

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

Modeling the Temporal Patterns of Housing Maintenance Code Complaints for Bed Bugs and Cockroaches To evaluate the temporal patterns of code complaints for bed bugs and cockroaches and account for the apparent seasonality, we modeled the number of complaints per month as a function of time using linear regression incorporating a sinusoidal curve. We standardized the total number of official bed bug complaints by the total number of 311 general inquires. We first evaluated the model residuals of a simple sinusoidal curve function. This step allowed us to examine the general patterns of complaints excluding the harmonic patterns and evaluate if a linear function was appropriate for the data. We then incorporated the sinusoidal curve into the linear function. We repeated this analysis for each of the New York City boroughs, standardizing the number of complaints per borough using population estimates obtained by the American Community Survey (24). Comparing landlord reports of bed bug infestation to 311 resident complaints for 2018 We examined the concordance between the 311-complaint data and recently-available data on building owner reports for each NTA through linear regression. Results Summary of 311 inquiries linked to bed bugs from 2010 - 2019 From 2010–2019 there were a total of 72,701,278 inquiries processed by 311 either online, through the app, or by phone. Since 2010, the number of inquiries processed by 311 has steadily decreased (Fig. 1). When we selected for inquiries that included bed bugs in the descriptor, we identified 185,289 inquiries specific to bed bugs. These inquiries were processed

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

as 18 specific descriptions which were forwarded to seven different city departments or by the general 311 call center (Appendix Table 1). Three of these descriptions are types of reports for general pests, which may or may not include bed bugs as the primary complaint. The majority of bed bug related inquiries were forwarded to the Department of Housing Preservation and Development (n = 130,502). The inquiries processed by this department include bed bug complaints for all residential and single room occupancy areas, as well as hotels. The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH) and the Housing Authority (HA) fielded the second (n = 33,250) and third (n = 12,062) largest number of inquiries respectively. The majority of the inquiries processed by these two agencies were informational in nature, asking either for a general brochure about bed bugs (n = 30,447), how to comply with bed bug related ordinances (n = 1.854), or tips on how to prevent and get rid of bed bug infestation (n = 480). Similar to the general 311 inquiries, bed bug related inquiries decreased from 2010–2019. The largest peak in bed bug related inquiries occurred in August 2010 (n = 6.737). Throughout the time series, bed bug inquiries peaked during late summer (June–August) and decreased from September through April, creating a distinct seasonal pattern. This seasonal pattern was not evident in the general 311 inquiries.

Geographical distribution of bed bug infestation in New York City

From 2014–2019, the number of official bed bug complaints processed by the department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD) were widely distributed throughout the five boroughs (Fig. 2). The Bronx had the greatest proportional number of bed bug complaints per borough population per 100,000 (724.8 \pm CI 711, 738.8), followed by Brooklyn (559.7 \pm CI 550.7, 568.8), Manhattan (575.6 \pm CI 564.1, 587.3), Queens (281.8 \pm CI 275, 288.7), and Staten

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

Island (191.4 \pm CI 179.3, 204.2) for the study period (Appendix Table 2). Areas that were positive for bed bug complaints tended to remain positive throughout the study term (Fig. 2). During 2015, bed bug complaints peaked and then decreased until 2019 across all boroughs (Fig. 3). Temporal Patterns of Housing Maintenance Code Complaints for Bed Bugs and Cockroaches Similar to general 311 bed bug inquiries (Fig. 1), official bed bug complaints followed seasonal patterns, peaking during summer (June-August) and bottoming out during winter and spring (December–April) (Fig. 4A). When this harmonic pattern was extracted, the residuals formed a linear decreasing trend from 2014–2019 (Fig. 4C). The complete model fit both the seasonal and decreasing linear trends well (Fig. 4E). The decreasing temporal trend was significant in the full model (Table 3), indicating that bed bug complaints significantly decreased from 2014–2019. Cockroach complaints followed a similar seasonal pattern to bed bug complaints (Fig. 4B). We modeled cockroach complaints using the same model structure, which fit the data well (Fig. 4F). However, unlike bed bug complaints, we observed a significant positive temporal trend (Table 3). We used the same model structure to assess the temporal patterns of bed bug complaints for each of the individual boroughs (Fig. 5). Across all the boroughs, bed bug complaints decreased (Table 2). Once the harmonic pattern was extracted, Brooklyn had the greatest yearly rate of decrease, followed by Manhattan and the Bronx, Queens and lastly Staten Island. The seasonal pattern was evident across all the boroughs. Reflecting the total number of complaints per borough, the R^2 was highest for Brooklyn ($R^2 = 0.82$) and the Bronx ($R^2 = 0.76$), followed by Manhattan ($R^2 = 0.75$) and Queens ($R^2 = 0.71$), and lastly Staten Island ($R^2 = 0.37$).

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

Comparing landlord reports of bed bug infestation to 311 resident complaints for 2018 When we compared 2018 Housing Preservation bed bug complaint data (total number of complaints per NTA) to building owner reported bed bug infestation (number of reports per NTA) we found significant positive agreement (0.653 \pm CI 4.06, 12.4, p < 0.001) between the two measures (Fig. 6). The correlation between the two measures was high ($R^2 = 0.60$). When we subset by borough the correlation for each borough was similar for Manhattan, Queens, and Bronx (R^2 of 0.44, 0.40, 0.47) and for Staten Island and Brooklyn (R^2 of 0.27, 0.30). Discussion During the time period assessed, both general 311 inquiries about bed bugs (2010–2019) and official bed bug complaints (2014–2019) decreased in New York city. This decrease was significant both when standardized by population and against the totality of 311 inquiries, and is directly contrasted to patterns observed in cockroach complaints. These contrasting patterns, as well as strong seasonal patterns, indicate that the decrease in complaints are not an artifact of overall 311 or reporting use. Ultimately, disclosure laws, new approaches in pest management, increased knowledge, and commitment to inspections have likely all contributed to this decrease in bed bug complaints in New York City. New York City has enacted one of the most comprehensive strategies to combat bed bug infestations among major US cities (7). The strategy is a combination of policy and enforcement. Ordinances assign responsibility for treatment to landlords, and subsequent disclosure of infestations to tenants. The city then commits substantial resources to rapidly respond to all bed bug related complaints, even employing a canine team to help assist in timely inspections (42).

While our study cannot individually and specifically assess the efficacy of these policies, the decrease in bed bug complaints across all boroughs provides evidence that they are working. A previous modeling study by Xie et al not only demonstrates that disclosure laws can reduce the spread of bed bug infestations, but also that they can reduce the costs incurred by landlords and tenants (43). Strong disclosure laws like those in New York City may therefore offer a cost-effective road map for other cities struggling with bed bugs.

Best practices for bed bug management have also improved over the course of the epidemic, and could account for the decrease in complaints. These improvements are not specific to New York. There are no comparable studies from other major cities—if such studies were to show a similar downward trend it might be reasonable to attribute the decrease in 311 complaints in New York to improved pest management methods alone. Governmental and nongovernmental entities have also increased educational efforts, and these may have improved knowledge among landlords and residents (although this has not been formally assessed). Improved access to information may also contribute to the decrease in calls to the 311 system. Residents may choose to work directly with their landlord and not involve the city. If this were the case, we might expect to see areas in which mandated landlord reporting was out-of-step with 311 inquiries. Instead we saw high concordance between the two (R² = 0.60).

The decrease in bed bug complaints was observed across all New York City boroughs. However, the rate of decline was not equivalent. Higher income boroughs (Manhattan and Brooklyn) saw steeper declines than Queens and Staten Island. The differences in the rates of decline are very likely due to differences in financial means to properly treat infestations and incorporate recommendations of Integrated Pest Management (IPM). Differences in trust and access to city government and services are also likely to affect rates of decline (7, 16, 44–46). In

Chicago, bed bug infestation was highly associated with lower-income neighborhoods, crowding, and eviction notices (22). While we did not specifically assess sociodemographic features, it is likely that similar patterns exist in New York City and indeed have been noted in smaller scale surveys and assessments (44, 45).

Despite substantial decreases in all boroughs, on the finer scale of NTD areas there are many persistently infested areas (Fig. 2). Many, though not all, of these persistently infested areas are located in lower-income areas. Appropriate treatment for bed bugs can cost well over \$1000 (7, 16), in addition residents are faced with additional costs incurred from loss of personal property and time spent dealing with infestation (47). While New York City has made a vested effort to emphasize that tenants are not financially responsible for bed bug treatment, fear of eviction or cost may prevent tenants from reporting bed bug infestation, which may promote spread to non-infested units. Areas with limited financial resources are therefore potentially at risk for persistent or entrenched bed bug infestation.

Our study was not without limitations. Self-reported and landlord reporting of bed bug infestation, like all Volunteered Geographic Information (VGI), have inherent biases and inaccuracies (45). Sociodemographic differences have been documented between bed bug complaints and confirmed bed bug violations, with non-verified bed bug complaints (complaints that resulted in a negative bed bug inspection) occurring primarily in higher-income, majority white non-Hispanic neighborhoods (45). However, barring a standardized spatial sampling design, the VGI provided by New York City Open Data is likely the largest and most complete proxy available to estimate the spatial and longitudinal patterns in bed bug infestations.

Bed bugs are tied inextricably to their human hosts and the dynamic urban environment.

Their resurgence in urban spaces and the necessity of rapid intervention strategies have elicited

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

health policy, increased monitoring, and novel treatment strategies over the past 10 years. While there is continued need to increase active surveillance for bed bug infestations, particularly among vulnerable populations, the policy and public health approaches employed by New York City appear to be a step in the right direction. Acknowledgments We thank the other participants in the workshop "Socio-Spatial Ecology of the Bed Bug and its Control"—Claudia Arevalo, Dawn Biehler, Stephen Billings, Warren Booth, Ludovica Gazze, Loren Henderson, Sara McLafferty, Shannon Sked, and Chris Sutherland—for discussions that helped shape this paper. This work was supported by the National Socio-Environmental Synthesis Center under funding received from National Science Foundation Grant DBI-1639145. KPH was supported by the University of Pennsylvania Diversity Postdoc Fellowship and National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number K12GM081259 The authors declare no competing interests.

Tables

321

322

323

324

325

326

331

Table 1. Description of databases used for the analyses. Descriptive properties of each of the

datasets including timeframe, georeferencing information, organizational management of

database, and codes used for analysis.

Database	Timeframe Available	Georeferencing Information	Description	Code or text search used	Department
311 Inquires	2010–2019	Not georeferenced	All calls, online inquiries, and inquiries registered through the app	N/A	NYC 311
311 bed bug specific requests	2010–2019	Not georeferenced	Official bed bug complaints, as well as general bed bug inquires	Text search using bed bug terms ²	NYC 311
Official bed bug complaints ³	2014–2019	Georeferenced	Official bed bug complaints registered with HPD ⁴	Problem Category Values: 2818 & 2517	HPD
Official cockroach complaints	2014–2019	Georeferenced	Official cockroach complaints registered with HPD	Problem Category Values: 2514 & 2823	HPD
Building owner reported bed bug infestations ⁵	2018	Georeferenced	Units infested by bed bugs as reported by building managers to the HDP	Infested units	HPD

¹ 311 bed bug specific requests are a subset of general 311 inquiries extracted through text search

² Text search terms included: bedbug(s), bed bug(s), and pest(s). Pests according to the housing

³²⁷ maintenance code include bed bugs as well as "any unwanted member of class Insecta" (36) 328 ³ Formal bed bug complaints have been archived by the HDP since 2014 and are a subset of 311

³²⁹ inquiries 330

⁴ Department of Housing and Preservation

⁵ Report obtained by a freedom of information request

Table 2. Association between time and official bed bug and cockroach complaints accounting for seasonality. Model results of a linear harmonic model assessing the association between month and number of official bed bug complaints and cockroach complaints from 2014 – 2019. Bed bug and cockroach complaints were standardized by the total number of 311 inquiries and multiplied by 100,000.

Variable	Estimate	Confidence Interval	p-value		
Bed Bug Complaints					
Time ¹	-0.021	-0.0270.014	p < .001		
Amplitude ²	36	29–43	p < .001		
Phase Shift ¹	1.1	1.0-1.2	p < .001		
Cockroach Complaints					
Time ¹	0.081	0.069-0.093	p < .001		
Amplitude ²	58	46.5–67.9	p < .001		
Phase Shift ¹	1.6	1.4–1.8	p < .001		

¹Measured in months

²Measured in the number of complaints per total number of 311 inquires multiplied by 100,000

Table 3. Association between time and official bed bug complaints for each borough accounting for seasonality. Results of a linear harmonic model standardized per population size for each of the five New York City boroughs from 2014–2019.

Borough	r-squared	Model Variables	Model Coefficient ± (95% confidence interval)	p-value
Bronx	0.76	Time ¹	$-3.4e-03 \pm CI (-4.3e-032.5e-03)$	p < .001
		Amplitude ²	$4.5 \pm \text{CI} (3.5 - 5.5)$	p < .001
		Phase Shift ¹	$1.0 \pm CI (1.0-1.6)$	p < .001
Brooklyn	n 0.82	Time ¹	$-3.5e-03 \pm CI (-4.1e-032.9e-03)$	p < .001
		Amplitude ²	$2.9 \pm CI (2.2-3.5)$	p < .001
		Phase Shift ¹	$0.99 \pm CI (0.95-1.1)$	p < .001
Queens	0.71	Time ¹	$-1.2e-03 \pm CI (-1.5e-038.2e-04)$	p < .001
		Amplitude ²	$1.5 \text{ CI} \pm (1.1-1.9)$	p < .001
		Phase Shift ¹	$0.90 \text{ CI} \pm (0.87 - 0.94)$	p < .001
Manhattan	n 0.75	Time ¹	$-2.9e-03 \pm CI (-3.6e-032.2e-03)$	p < .001
		Amplitude ²	$2.8 \pm CI (2.1-3.6)$	p < .001
		Phase Shift ¹	$1.1 \pm CI (1.0-1.2)$	p < .001
Staten	0.37	Time ¹	$-1.1e-03 \pm CI (-2.5e-036.1e-04)$	p < .001
Island		Amplitude ²	$0.78 \pm CI (0.46-1.3)$	p < .001
		Phase Shift ¹	$1.4 \pm CI (1.2-2.2)$	p < .001

¹Measured in months

²Measured in the number of complaints per borough population multiplied by 100,000

Figures

Figure 1. New York City 311 data usage and bed bug related inquiries. New York City 311 inquiries, bed bug related inquiries, which include official bed bug complaints registered to HPD, and bed bug related inquiries standardized by the total number of 311 inquiries from 2010–2019. Bed bug related inquires were extracted using a text search algorithm. Dates of the two bed bug disclosure laws are indicated by a dashed line




Figure 2. Spatial temporal distribution of bed bug complaints in New York City. Spatial temporal distribution of official bed bug complaints registered to HPD from 2014–2019 standardized by the population per NTD area per 100,000.




Fig. 3 Official bed bug complaints for each borough per year. Official bed bug complaints registered to HPD standardized by borough population per 100,000 from 2014–2019.




Figure 4. Longitudinal analysis of official bed bug and cockroach complaints registered to HPD. Graphical representation of the results of a linear harmonic model assessing the temporal relationship of official bed bug and cockroach complaints from 2014–2019. (A) Monthly official bed bug complaints standardized by the total number of 311 inquiries per 100,000 with monthly harmonic curve. (B) Monthly cockroach complaints standardized by the total number of 311 inquiries per 100,000 with monthly harmonic curve. (C) Official bed bug complaint residual pattern after extracting the seasonal oscillation from panel A. (D) Official cockroach complaint residual pattern after extracting the seasonal oscillation from panel B. (E) Complete linear harmonic model assessing official bed bug complaints over time. (F) Complete linear harmonic




Figure 5. Harmonic linear model assessing the temporal patterns of official bed bug complaints for each of the five NYC boroughs. Graphical representation of model results assessing official bed bug complaints per each of the NYC borough standardized by borough population from 2014–2019. Model fit assessed by R-squared and slope of the linear residual pattern when only extracting seasonality are reported.





Figure 6. Comparison between building manager reported bed bug infestation and official bed bug complaints registered to HPD for 2018. Correlation between official bed bug complaints from residents and building manager reported infestation was high ($R^2 = 0.60$).



423 Citations

- Wang L, Xu Y, Zeng L. Resurgence of Bed Bugs (Hemiptera: Cimicidae) in Mainland China. Florida Entomologist. 2013 Mar;96(1):131–6. DOI: 10.1653/024.096.0117
- Doggett SL, Dwyer DE, Peñas PF, Russell RC. Bed Bugs: Clinical Relevance and Control
 Options. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 2012 Jan 1;25(1):164–92. DOI:
 10.1128/CMR.05015-11
- 429 4. Kilpinen O, Jensen K-MV, Kristensen M. Bed Bug Problems in Denmark, with a european perspective. Procedings of the Sixth International Conference on Urban Pests. 2008;
- 431 395:399.
- Gangloff-Kaufmann J, Hollingsworth C, Hahn J, Hansen L, Kard B, Waldvogel M. Bed
 Bugs in America: A Pest Management Industry Survey. American Entomologist.
 2006;52(2):105–6. DOI: 10.1093/ae/52.2.105
- 435 6. Scarpino SV, Althouse BM. Uncovering the hidden cost of bed bugs. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2019 Apr 9;116(15):7160–2. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1902404116
- Schneider D. They're Back: Municipal Responses to the Resurgence of Bed Bug
 Infestations. Journal of the American Planning Association. 2019 Apr 3;85(2):96–113.
 DOI: 10.1080/01944363.2019.1591294
- 440 8. Doggett SL, Geary MJ, Russell RC. The Resurgence of Bed Bugs in Australia: With Notes on Their Ecology and Control. Environmental Health. 2004 Feb 4; 4(2):30–38.
- Romero A, Potter MF, Potter DA, Haynes KF. Insecticide Resistance in the Bed Bug: A
 Factor in the Pest's Sudden Resurgence? Journal of Medical Entomology. 2007
 Mar;44(2):175-178. DOI:10.1603/0022-2585(2007)44[175:IRITBB]2.0.CO;2
- Davies TGE, Field LM, Williamson MS. The re-emergence of the bed bug as a nuisance pest: implications of resistance to the pyrethroid insecticides. Medical and Veterinary Entomology. 2012 Sep;26(3):241–54. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2915.2011.01006.x
- Raab RW, Moore JE, Vargo EL, Rose L, Raab J, Culbreth M, et al. New Introductions,
 Spread of Existing Matrilines, and High Rates of Pyrethroid Resistance Result in Chronic
 Infestations of Bed Bugs (Cimex lectularius L.) in Lower-Income Housing. PLoS ONE.
 2016 Feb 22;11(2):e0117805. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0117805
- 452 12. Benoit JB, Adelman ZN, Reinhardt K, Dolan A, Poelchau M, Jennings EC, et al. Unique 453 features of a global human ectoparasite identified through sequencing of the bed bug 454 genome. Nat Communications. 2016 Apr;7(1):10165.
- 455 13. Szalanski AL, Austin JW, McKern JA, Steelman CD, Gold RE. Mitochondrial and 456 ribosomal internal transcribed spacer 1 diversity of Cimex lectularius (Hemiptera: 457 Cimicidae). J Med Entomology. 2008 Mar;45(2):229–36. DOI: 10.1603/0022-
- 458 2585(2008)45[229:MARITS]2.0.CO;2

- 459 14. Changlu Wang, Kurt Saltzmann, Eva Chin, Gary W. Bennett, Timothy Gibb.
- 460 Characteristics of Cimex lectularius (Hemiptera: Cimicidae), Infestation and Dispersal in a
- High-Rise Apartment Building. Journal of Economic Entomology. 2010 Feb
- 462 1;103(1):172–7. DOI:10.1603/EC09230
- 463 15. Cooper R, Wang C, Singh N. Mark-Release-Recapture Reveals Extensive Movement of
- Bed Bugs (Cimex lectularius L.) within and between Apartments. Lazzari CR, editor.
- 465 PLoS ONE. 2015 Sep 9;10(9):e0136462. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0136462
- 466 16. M. Wong, N. Vaidyanathan, R. Vaidyanathan, Strategies for Housing Authorities and Other
- Lower-Income Housing Providers to Control Bed Bugs. *Journal of Housing and*
- 468 *Community Development; Washington* **70**, 20–28 (2013).
- 17. M. F. Potter, The History of Bed Bug Management—With Lessons from the Past. *American*
- 470 Entomologist **57**, 14–25 (2011).
- 471 18. X. Bonnefoy, H. Kampen, K. Sweeney, Public health significance of urban pests (WHO
- 472 Reginal Office for Europe, 2008).
- 473 19. BedBug Central. History and Resurgence [internet]. 2008 [cited 2020 Apr 26]. Available
- from: https://www.bedbugcentral.com/bedbugs101/history-resurgence
- 475 20. Buckley C. Legislature Passes Bedbug-Notification Law. The New York Times [Internet].
- 476 2010 Jun 24 [cited: 26 Apr 2020]; Available from:
- https://www.nytimes.com/2010/06/25/nyregion/25bedbugs.html
- 478 21. E. Pilkington, How bedbugs invaded New York. *The Guardian* (2010) (April 26, 2020).
- 479 22. C. Sutherland, A. J. Greenlee, D. Schneider, Socioeconomic drivers of urban pest
- prevalence. *People and Nature*, pan3.10096 (2020).
- 481 City of New York. NYC Health: Bedbugs Information for Homeowners & Tenants [Internet].
- 482 2020 [cited: 15 March 2020]. Available from:
- https://www1.nyc.gov/site/doh/health/health-topics/bedbugs-information-for-
- homeowners-and-tenants.page
- 485 23. NYC Health. Community Health Survey [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 26]. Available
- from: https://www1.nyc.gov/site/doh/data/data-sets/community-health-survey.page
- 487 24. Goddard J, deShazo R. Bed Bugs (Cimex lectularius) and Clinical Consequences of Their
- 488 Bites. JAMA. 2009 Apr 1;301(13):1358–66. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2009.405
- 489 25. Doggett SL, Russell R. Bed bugs what the GP needs to know. Australian Family
- 490 Physician. 2009 Nov 1;38(11):880–4. PMID: 19893834.
- 491 26. Divjan A, daSilva HA, Acosta LM, Rundle AG, Weichsel A, Montfort WR, et al.
- Detectable IgG against a Cimex lectularius allergen after a report of bed bug bites among

493 NYC children. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2019 Feb;143(2):AB236.

- 494 DOI: 10.1016/j.jaci.2018.12.721
- 495 27. Ashcroft R, Seko Y, Chan LF, Dere J, Kim J, McKenzie K. The mental health impact of
- bed bug infestations: a scoping review. Int J Public Health. 2015 Nov;60(7):827–37. DOI:
- 497 10.1007/s00038-015-0713-8
- 498 29. S. R. Susser, et al., Mental health effects from urban bed bug infestation (Cimex lectularius
- 499 L.): a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open; London* **2** (2012).
- 500 29. Laliberté M, Hunt M, Williams-Jones B, Feldman DE. Health Care Professionals and
- Bedbugs: An Ethical Analysis of a Resurgent Scourge. HEC Forum. 2013 Sep;25(3):245–
- 502 55. DOI: 10.1007/s10730-013-9209-1
- 30. Miller DM. Bed Bug Action Plan for Home Health Care and Social Workers. Virginia
- Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services. 2014 Aug;1–4.
- 31. Jacobson JB, Wheeler K, Hoffman R, Mitchell Y, Beckman J, Mehler L, et al. Acute
- illnesses associated with insecticides used to control bed bugs--seven states, 2003-2010.
- Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2011 Sep 23;60(37):1269-1274. PMID:
- 508 21937972
- 32. Bashir B, Sharma SG, Stein HD, Sirota RA, D'Agati VD. Acute Kidney Injury Secondary
- to Exposure to Insecticides Used for Bedbug (Cimex lectularis) Control. American Journal
- of Kidney Diseases. 2013 Nov 1;62(5):974–7. DOI: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2013.04.020
- 33. Salazar R, Castillo-Neyra R, Tustin AW, Borrini-Mayorí K, Náquira C, Levy MZ. Bed
- Bugs (Cimex lectularius) as Vectors of Trypanosoma cruzi. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2015
- Feb 4:92(2):331–5. DOI: 10.4269/ajtmh.14-0483
- 515 34. Leulmi H, Bitam I, Berenger JM, Lepidi H, Rolain JM, Almeras L, et al. Competence of
- 516 Cimex lectularius Bed Bugs for the Transmission of Bartonella quintana, the Agent of
- Trench Fever. Turell MJ, editor. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015 May 22;9(5):e0003789. DOI:
- 518 10.1371/journal.pntd.0003789
- 35. Title 27 Chapter 2: Housing Maintenance Code. New York City Administration Code.
- 520 2014. Available from:
- https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/buildings/pdf/HousingMaintenanceCode.pdf
- 36. Local Laws of the City of New York for the Year 2017. No. 69. Available from:
- https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/buildings/pdf/HousingMaintenanceCode.pdf
- 37. City of New York Open Data NYC Open. 311 Call Center Inquiry. City Government. 13
- June 2016. Availible from: https://data.cityofnewyork.us/City-Government/311-Call-
- 526 Center-Inquiry/wewp-mm3p.

527 38. City of New York. 2020. NYC 311 The official website of the city of new york: About NYC 528 311. [Internet] 2020 [cited 30 Jan 2018]. Available from: https://portal.311.nyc.gov/about-529 nyc-311/ 530 39. City of New York. NYC planning: Neighborhood Tabulation Areas (Formerly 531 "Neighborhood Projection Areas") [Internet]. 2020 [cited: 10 March 2020]. Availible 532 from: https://www1.nyc.gov/site/planning/data-maps/open-data/dwn-nynta.page 533 41. OGIS Geographic Information System. (Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project). 534 40. City of New York. NYC housing preservation and development: Bed bugs. [Internet]. 2020 535 [cited: 10 March 2020]. Available from: https://www1.nyc.gov/site/hpd/services-and-536 information/bedbugs.page 537 41. S. Xie, A. L. Hill, C. R. Rehmann, M. Z. Levy, Dynamics of bed bug infestations and 538 control under disclosure policies. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 116, 6473-6481 (2019). DOI: 539 10.1073/pnas.1814647116 540 42. N. Ralph, H. E. Jones, L. E. Thorpe, Self-reported bed bug infestation among New York 541 City residents: prevalence and risk factors. Journal of Environmental Health 76, 38-542 (2013). PMID: 23947287 543 43. S. McLafferty, D. Schneider, K. Abelt, Placing volunteered geographic health information: 544 Socio-spatial bias in 311 bed bug report data for New York City. Health & Place, 102282 545 (2020). DOI: 10.1016/j.healthplace.2019.102282 546 46. L. Carter, F. Bélanger, The utilization of e-government services: citizen trust, innovation 547 and acceptance factors*. *Information Systems Journal* 15, 5–25 (2005). 548 47. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC - Bed Bugs. [Internet] 2016 [cited 30 Jan 549 2018]. Availible from: https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/bedbugs/index.html 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559