- Effect of evacuation of Japanese residents from Wuhan, China, on preventing
- 2 transmission of novel coronavirus infection: a modelling study
- 4 Yusuke Asai<sup>1</sup>, yuasai@ri.ncgm.go.jp
- 5 Shinya Tsuzuki<sup>1, 2\*</sup>, stsuzuki@hosp.ncgm.go.jp
- 6 Satoshi Kutsuna<sup>3</sup>, <u>skutsuna@hosp.ncgm.go.jp</u>
- 7 Kayoko Hayakawa<sup>1,3</sup>, <u>khayakawa@hosp.ncgm.go.jp</u>
- 8 Norio Ohmagari <sup>1,3</sup> nohmagari @hosp.ncgm.go.jp
- <sup>9</sup> AMR Clinical Reference Center, National Center for Global Health and Medicine,
- 10 Tokyo, Japan

1

- <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium
- <sup>3</sup>Disease Control and Prevention Center, National Center for Global Health and
- 13 Medicine, Tokyo, Japan
- 15 \*Corresponding author
- 16 Contact address: 1-21-1 Toyama, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 162-8655, Japan
- 17 TEL: +81(0)3-3202-7181
- 18 Keywords: COVID-19, mathematical model, evacuation

Abstract

19

33

20 *Objective:* In late January 2020, the Japanese government carried out three evacuations 21 by aircraft from Wuhan, China, to avoid further cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) among Wuhan's Japanese residents. Evacuation by aircraft may be an 22 23 effective countermeasure against outbreaks of infectious diseases, but evidence of its 24 effect is scarce. This study estimated how many COVID-19 cases were prevented 25 among the Japanese residents of Wuhan by the evacuation countermeasure. 26 **Results:** Eleven imported COVID-19 cases were reported on Feb 1 from among the 27 total 566 evacuees who returned to Japan. In the case of no evacuations being made, the 28 cumulative number of COVID-19 cases among Wuhan's Japanese residents was estimated to reach 25 (95% CI [20, 29]) on Feb 8 and 34 (95% CI [28, 40]) on Feb 29 30 15. A 1-week delay in the evacuation might be led to 14 additional cases and a 2-week 31 delay to 23 additional cases. Evacuation by aircraft can contribute substantially to 32 reducing the number of infected cases in the initial stage of the outbreak.

Introduction

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

number of cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-2019) infection [1, 2]. Japan's Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW) decided to deploy charter flights to evacuate Japanese residents of Wuhan over 3 consecutive days, on Jan 29, 30, and 31 [3]. This marked the first time that the Japanese government has carried out evacuations as a countermeasure against an infectious disease outbreak. Evacuation by aircraft may be an effective countermeasure to reduce the number of infected cases during an outbreak, but there is little quantitative evidence of its effect. Thus, the main objective

### Main text

### Data sources

47 For this modelling study, we used data on the number of COVID-19 cases confirmed in

of this study was to elucidate the effect of such intervention quantitatively.

- 48 Hubei Province for the period Jan 10-19, 2020 from a report by Wu et al. [4] and for the
- 49 period Jan 20-Feb 16, 2020 from the World Health Organization (WHO) situation
- reports [2]. We excluded data after Feb 17 due to a change in case definition.
- 51 We collected pharyngeal swab specimens to determine the number of

- 52 COVID-19 cases among the Japanese evacuees who returned on the chartered flights.
- The 536 evacuees answered a questionnaire about their symptoms and contact history
- and provided the pharyngeal swab specimens for PCR testing at that time.
  - Model structure

- We applied the following
- 58 susceptible-exposed-moderate-asymptomatic-infectious-recovered (SEMAIR) model to
- 59 simulate the epidemic in Hubei Province:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -p \beta_0 S(t) \left( M(t) + A(t) \right) - \beta_0 S(t) I(t),$$

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = p \beta_0 S(t) \left( M(t) + A(t) \right) + \beta_0 S(t) I(t) - \sigma E(t),$$

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = \sigma E(t) - \epsilon M(t),$$

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = (1 - \delta) \epsilon M(t) - \gamma A(t),$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \delta \epsilon M(t) - \gamma I(t),$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \gamma A(t) + \gamma I(t).$$

- We created the model based on the assumptions that a substantial proportion of patients
- are asymptomatic or pauci-symptomatic (as suggested by the clinical characteristics of
- 62 COVID-19) [5–7] and that transmission may be possible in mild cases (which include
- asymptomatic and pauci-symptomatic ones) as well as moderate cases) [5, 8, 9], but

only some cases become critically ill. Hence we additionally introduced "moderate"

compartment M and "asymptomatic" compartment A into the standard

susceptible-exposed-infectious-recovered (SEIR) model [10, 11] to create the

abovementioned SEMAIR structure. The model structure is shown in Figure 1 and

detailed description of each parameter is in Appendix.

70 (Figure 1)

65

66

67

69

71

73

74

76

77

79

81

In the early phase of an outbreak when it is not yet recognised as such, the

diagnosis rate is considered to be small and not all cases are detectable [4, 12–14]. The

diagnosis rate will increase over the course of a pandemic, so we introduce

time-dependent function a(t) to represent each day's ascertainment rate, which

reflects people's awareness of COVID-19. Here we assume that a(t) is a logarithmic

growth curve and that the number of reported cases C(t) is given by

78 
$$C(t) = a(t) I(t) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-k(t - t_0 - D))} I(t).$$

In addition to the change in diagnosis rate over time, people pay more attention to

prevention and start wearing masks and washing their hands more frequently as public

- 82 awareness increases. It is reported that such behavioural changes lower transmission
- rate  $\beta_0$  and this effect is captured by

$$\beta(t) = \beta_0 r (1 - a(t)) = \beta^* (1 - a(t)),$$

where r is a proportionality constant and  $\beta^* = \beta_0 r$ .

### **Results**

85

86

91

- Parameter values were randomly generated based on the estimated parameter
- values and their 95% CIs, and 10,000 numerical simulations were carried out to obtain
- the upper and lower bounds for the total number of COVID-19 patients in Hubei
- 90 Province. The estimated parameter values are shown in Table 1.
- 92 **(Table 1)**
- 94 Estimated number of cases by SEMAIR model is shown in Figure 2. In lower
- 95 left small panels, the brown dots show the total number of COVID-19 cases and the
- pink dots and shaded region show the 95% CI for Wuhan (612,475 [397,256,
- 97 827,695]) and Hubei Province (590,873 [487,794, 693,951]) as of Feb 16, 2020. The
- 98 estimated parameters were slightly different between the Wuhan population-based

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

the right panel of Figure 2.

analysis and the Hubei population-based analysis and the 95% CI was wider for the former than the latter, but the estimated total number of COVID-19 patients agreed well between them. Incidence rates in the Wuhan and Hubei populations were estimated as  $612,475/(1.1 \times 10^7)$  and  $590,873/(5.9 \times 10^7)$ , respectively, and the estimated numbers of cases [95% CI] among Japanese evacuees as of Feb 1 were 31 [20, 42] and 6 [5, 7], respectively, which give bounds for over- and underestimation of Japanese evacuee cases. The actual number of cases among Japanese evacuees was 11, which lies between these estimates. The incidence rate among Japanese evacuees was 0.0195 = 11/564. By applying this rate to the Wuhan and Hubei population-based estimations, cumulative numbers of COVID-19 cases among Wuhan's Japanese residents of were estimated as 25 [16, 33] and 25 [20, 29] on Feb 8 and as 34 [22, 46] and 34 [28, 40] on Feb 15, respectively, if the evacuation had not been carried out. As of Feb 1, there were 11 diagnosed cases among the evacuees, so a 1-week delay in the evacuation is estimated to lead to 14 additional cases and a 2-week delay to 23 additional cases. The details of estimated number of cases among Wuhan's Japanese residents are shown in

(Figure 2) 117 118 Sensitivity analysis 119 We conducted sensitivity analyses for fixed parameters to reflect uncertainty. The mean 120 121 values always stayed within 30 to 40 cases and details are shown in Appendix. 122 **Discussion** 123 To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the effect of evacuation by 124 125 aircraft as a countermeasure against an emerging infectious disease outbreak. Our results showed that evacuation by aircraft can contribute substantially in the short term 126 127 to reducing the total cumulative number of patients. One of the strengths of this study is that we could use all test results from the 128 129 evacuees, including actual prevalence. It is noteworthy that six of 11 PCR-positive cases 130 were asymptomatic. Furthermore, most of these 11 cases had no obvious contact history 131 with patients with COVID-19 or influenza-like illness. These characteristics are 132 compatible with the long duration of comparatively mild illnesses and a large number of 133 unreported cases [5, 7, 8, 14–19]. Given this information, we modified the existing 134 SEIR model to a SEMAIR model in order to reflect the characteristics of COVID-19.

In conclusion, evacuation by aircraft might be an effective countermeasure against an emerging infectious disease outbreak. However, further investigation is needed to assess the impact of this countermeasure more precisely.

### Limitations

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

We recognise several limitations of our analysis. First, we assumed homogeneity between Wuhan's Japanese and Chinese residents, but presumably the two populations have some differences in lifestyle and behaviour and if that is the case, frequency of effective contact would be different. A previous study showed that Japanese have more frequent social contact than people in European countries [20, 21], but there is no evidence on social contact in China in the literature. Accordingly, both overestimation and underestimation should be considered when interpreting our results. Second, we fixed some of the parameters in accordance with a previous modelling study. Because these parameter values vary due to each model's assumption, it is not clear whether fixed parameter values appropriately reflect reality or not. Nevertheless, the results of the sensitivity analyses indicate the validity of our analyses. Third, it is difficult to assess true "effectiveness" of evacuation as a countermeasure against a COVID-19 outbreak. While the number of cases averted by the intervention can be estimated, the

impact of the intervention on public health is still not apparent. In addition, the Japanese government restricted the movement of evacuees after their check-up at National Center for Global Health and Medicine (NCGM), and this is thought to have prevented the spread of COVID-19 inside Japan. Restriction of movement is another effect of evacuation, but our estimation did not take it into consideration. This might be another topic appropriate for modelling studies in the future.

161 **Abbreviations** 162 COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019; MHLW: Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare 163 Japan; WHO: World Health Organization; NCGM: National Center for Global Health 164 165 and Medicine, Tokyo, Japan; SEMAIR: susceptible-exposed-moderate-asymptomatic-infectious-recovered; SEIR: 166 susceptible-exposed-infectious-recovered; CI: confidence interval. 167 168 169 **Declarations** 170 171 Ethics approval and consent to participate This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of National Center for Global Health 172 and Medicine, Tokyo, Japan (Approval Number NCGM-G-003475-00). 173 174 175 **Consent for publication** 176 Not applicable. 177 178 Availability of data and materials

179	The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the
180	corresponding author on reasonable request.
181	
182	Competing interests
183	We declare no competing interests.
184	
185	Funding
186	No funder supported this study.
187	
188	Authors' contributions
189	ST and YA conceived the study. YA and ST constructed the model and ran simulations
190	ST drafted the first manuscript and YA modified it. SK, KH and ST collected a part of
191	data used in this study. SK, KH, and NO critically reviewed the manuscript and all
192	authors approved the final version of the manuscript.
193	
194	Acknowledgments
195	Not applicable.

197 **References** 

- 199 1. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J, et al. A Novel Coronavirus from
- 200 Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. New England Journal of Medicine.
- 201 2020;0:null.

- 202 2. World Health Organization. Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) situation reports.
- 203 https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports.
- 204 Accessed 4 Feb 2020.
- 3. Shimazu S. Nikkei Business Online (in Japanese). Returnee from Wuhan city by the
- 206 chartered flights were "not isolated."
- 207 https://business.nikkei.com/atcl/gen/19/00002/012801051/. Accessed 4 Feb 2020.
- 4. Wu P, Hao X, Lau EHY, Wong JY, Leung KSM, Wu JT, et al. Real-time tentative
- assessment of the epidemiological characteristics of novel coronavirus infections in
- Wuhan, China, as at 22 January 2020. Eurosurveillance. 2020;25:2000044.
- 5. Tian S, Hu N, Lou J, Chen K, Kang X, Xiang Z, et al. Characteristics of COVID-19
- infection in Beijing. Journal of Infection. 2020. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2020.02.018.
- 6. Tong Z-D, Tang A, Li K-F, Li P, Wang H-L, Yi J-P, et al. Potential Presymptomatic
- 214 Transmission of SARS-CoV-2, Zhejiang Province, China, 2020. Emerging Infect Dis.
- 215 2020;26.
- 7. Bai Y, Yao L, Wei T, Tian F, Jin D-Y, Chen L, et al. Presumed Asymptomatic Carrier
- 217 Transmission of COVID-19. JAMA. 2020. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.2565.
- 8. Guan W, Ni Z, Hu Y, Liang W, Ou C, He J, et al. Clinical Characteristics of
- 219 Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020;0:null.
- 9. Kam K, Yung CF, Cui L, Lin Tzer Pin R, Mak TM, Maiwald M, et al. A Well Infant
- with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) with High Viral Load. Clin Infect Dis.
- 222 doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa201.
- 223 10. Kermack WO, McKendrick AG. Contributions to the mathematical theory of
- epidemics—I. Bulletin of mathematical biology. 1991;53:33–55.

- 225 11. Hethcote HW. The Mathematics of Infectious Diseases. SIAM Review.
- 226 2000;42:599–653.
- 12. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients
- infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. The Lancet. 2020;0.
- 229 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5.
- 230 13. Yu P, Zhu J, Zhang Z, Han Y, Huang L. A familial cluster of infection associated
- with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating potential person-to-person transmission
- during the incubation period. J Infect Dis. 2020.
- 233 14. Wang W, Tang J, Wei F. Updated understanding of the outbreak of 2019 novel
- 234 coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in Wuhan, China. J Med Virol. 2020;92:441–7.
- 235 15. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics
- in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. New England Journal of
- 237 Medicine. 2020;0:null.
- 238 16. Riou J, Althaus CL. Pattern of early human-to-human transmission of Wuhan 2019
- 239 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV), December 2019 to January 2020. Eurosurveillance.
- 240 2020;25:2000058.
- 17. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical
- characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a
- descriptive study. The Lancet. 2020;0. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30211-7.
- 244 18. Hayakawa K, Kutsuna S, Kawamata T, Sugiki Y, Nonaka C, Tanaka K, et al.
- 245 SARS-CoV-2 infection among returnees on charter flights to Japan from Hubei, China:
- a report from National Center for Global Health and Medicine. Global Health &
- 247 Medicine. 2020;2:107–11.
- 248 19. Cheng H-Y, Jian S-W, Liu D-P, Ng T-C, Huang W-T, Lin H-H. Contact Tracing
- 249 Assessment of COVID-19 Transmission Dynamics in Taiwan and Risk at Different
- Exposure Periods Before and After Symptom Onset. JAMA Intern Med. 2020.
- doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.2020.
- 252 20. Mossong J, Hens N, Jit M, Beutels P, Auranen K, Mikolajczyk R, et al. Social
- 253 contacts and mixing patterns relevant to the spread of infectious diseases. PLoS
- 254 medicine. 2008;5:e74.

21. Ibuka Y, Ohkusa Y, Sugawara T, Chapman GB, Yamin D, Atkins KE, et al. Social contacts, vaccination decisions and influenza in Japan. J Epidemiol Community Health.

**2016**;70:162–7.

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

Figure legends Figure 1. Model structure White boxes show each compartment S (Susceptible), E (Exposed), M (Moderate), A (Asymptomatic), I (Infected), and R (Recovered) and arrows represent movement of each individual. Figure 2. Total number of COVID-19 patients estimated by the SEMAIR model and estimated cumulative number of Japanese cases in Wuhan if the evacuations had not been carried out The four left small panels show the number of patients estimated by the SEMAIR model. The left two panels show the estimation of cumulative number of confirmed cases and number of individuals in each compartment based on the Wuhan population. The right two panels show the estimation of cumulative number of confirmed cases and number of individuals in each compartment based on the Hubei Province population. Bar plots on the upper panels show the reported number of confirmed cases and dots and triangles show the estimation by spline method and SEMAIR model, respectively.

Blue triangles and brown dots on the lower panels represent the estimated number of reported cases and the total number of cases (reported and unreported), respectively.

Pink dots and the shaded region represent the 95% confidence intervals.


The right large panel shows expected cumulative number of Japanese cases in Wuhan.

Green square represents the observed number of Japanese patients on Feb 1. The shaded region represents the 95% confidence intervals.

# Table 1. Values of parameters estimated in SEMAIR model for the Wuhan and

# **Hubei population**

	Transmission	Transition rate	Growth rate	Time when	Coefficient of
	rate: $\beta^*$	from $M$ to $I$ :	of logistic	a(t) = 1/2:	infectiousness for
		δ	curve: k	D	M and A: p
Wuhan	3.11	0.046	0.34	13.38	0.73
population	[3.00,	[0.029,	[0.32,	[12.77,	[0.63,
	3.22]	0.063]	0.35]	13.99]	0.82]
Hubei	3.10	0.047	0.34	13.40	0.72
population	[3.09,	[0.038,	[0.33,	[13.40,	[0.68,
	3.11]	0.056]	0.35]	14.41]	0.76]



#### **Parameters**

A: Asymptomatic

 $eta_0$ : Transmission rate p: Coefficient of infectiousness  $\sigma$ : Duration of incubation period  $\epsilon$ : Duration of mild illness  $\gamma$ : Recovery rate  $\delta$ : Transition rate

1: Infected

R: Recovered

