A compound Dirichlet-Multinomial model for provincial level Covid-19 predictions in South Africa

Alta de Waal $^{\ast 1,2}$ Daan de Waal 1,3

1 Department of Statistics, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

2 Center for Artificial Intelligence (CAIR), Pretoria, South Africa

3 Mathematical Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of the Free State,

Bloemfontein, South Africa

* alta.dewaal@up.ac.za

Abstract

Accurate prediction of COVID-19 related indicators such as confirmed cases, deaths and recoveries play an important in understanding the spread and impact of the virus, as well as resource planning and allocation. In this study, we approach the prediction problem from a statistical perspective and predict confirmed cases and deaths on a provincial level. We propose the compound Dirichlet Multinomial distribution to estimate the proportion parameter of each province as mutually exclusive outcomes. Furthermore, we make an assumption of exponential growth of the total cummulative counts in order to predict future total counts. The outcomes of this approach is not only prediction. The variation of the proportion parameter is characterised by the Dirichlet distribution, which provides insight in the movement of the pandemic across provinces over time.

Introduction

The global COVID-19 (C19) pandemic has urged governments globally to rapidly ¹⁴ implement measures to reduce the number of infected cases and reduce pressure on the ¹⁵

> health care system. Strategic decisions on measures such as quarantine, economic 16 lockdown and social distancing depend on projections of number of infections, people 17 requiring urgent medical attention and mortality. Already early on in the pandemic, it 18 became clear that data on confirmed cases are plagued with uncertainty, because of the 19 high number of asymptomatic cases, varying test protocols per country [1], as well as 20 different symptoms in different regions [2]. For example, one mathematical approach to 21 understanding the spread of infections is compartmental models such as Susceptible 22 Exposed Infectious Recovered (SEIR) and Susceptible Infectious Recovered (SIR) 23 models [3-5]. 24

The approach of this paper is to predict the cummulative count of C19 confirmed cases and deaths across provinces in South Africa. We provide the following arguments for this approach:

- The spread of the pandemic in a country is not uniform, but characterized by regional hotspots such as Northern Italy [6] in Italy and New York in the USA.
- Although the test protocols and definition of infection may vary across
 countries [1], it is more likely to be consistent for multiple regions within a single
 country. Therefore, it makes sense to model the dependencies between provinces.
- For the purpose of resource planning and mitigation strategies, it is important to understand how the pandemic spreads and moves across provincial or district borders and how the infection proportions change over time. Our modelling approach shows the change in counts as proportions across provinces.

A simple approach to such a model would be the Multinomial distribution, a multivariate discrete distribution which models multiple mutually exclusive outcomes. The simplest application of the Multinomial distribution is determing whether a six-sided die is biased. This is determined by calculating the probability of throwing each one of the numbers from 1–6 by throwing the die multiple times. Uneven probabilities for one of the numbers might indicate an unfair die. There is, however, uncertainty associated with these calculated probabilities, which can be accounted for by following a Bayesian approach to the problem and fitting a prior to the Multinomial probability parameter. The conjugate prior for the Multinomial distribution is the

25

27

29

> Dirichlet distribution which allows us to calculate a posterior distribution over the Multinomial proportions. This approach forms the basis of our methodology which we describe in more detail in the next section. The methodology is followed by a description of the South African data and then the application. We conclude the paper with a discussion of the results and future work.

Methodology

51

61

62

63

64

The structure of the methodology section is as follows: We first describe the nature of categorical count data before introducing the compound Dirichlet Multinomial distribution and describing the methodology in detail according to these steps: 54

1.	Update Dirichlet parameter.	55
2.	Estimate categorical counts.	56
3.	Validate the estimations with Q-Q plots.	57
4.	Predict future total counts.	58
5.	Validate predictions.	59
6.	Predict future categorical counts	60

Categorical data

Consider a sequence of N observations $x_1, ..., x_N$ where each observation x_i is a vector of length K, the number of categories, denoting numbers from 1, ..., M such that $\sum_{k=1}^{K} x_{ik} = M.$

Illustration 1: Let's say K = 4 and M = 123. Then $x_i = [13, 12, 42, 56]$ can be an observation. This is an outcome of the Multinomial distribution with parameters Mand Y. N such outcomes are observed and denoted by the matrix X with N rows and K columns. The objective is to estimate a future outcome x_{N+1} given M. The unknown parameter $Y = y_1, ..., y_K$ (where $\sum_{k=1}^{K} y_k = 1$), however, varies all the time and this variability is characterized by the Dirichlet distribution [7].

> The Dirichlet distribution of Y has parameters $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_K)$ which are positive real numbers and the density function given by [8]:

$$p(Y|\alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_k \alpha_k)}{\prod_k \Gamma(\alpha_k)} \prod_k Y_k^{\alpha_k - 1}.$$
 (1)

71

72

87

Conceptually, we are making N independent draws from a categorical distribution with K categories. Let us represent the N draws as random draws on the K variables and denote the number of times a particular category k has been seen among K categories as n_k with $\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{ik} = n_k$.

Illustration 2: Moving closer to the application of this study and following up on 77 Illustration 1 with K = 4 and M = 264, let N = 17 and suppose N is the number of 78 days in the recorded dataset used to get to n_k . The variable of interest is the number of 79 C19 deaths per day. After 17 days of daily observations of the number of C19 deaths, 80 suppose the totals for 4 categories (provinces) are $n_1 = 34, n_2 = 27, n_3 = 56, n_4 = 153$ 81 with a total of M = 270 deaths. Daily observations are not important, only the totals 82 after the N = 17 days. This is due to the fact that the likelihood function (discussed 83 next) in the predictive distribution only depends on the numbers n_k from the last 84 observation. In the next section we introduce the compound Dirichlet Multinomial distribution.

The compound Dirichlet Multinomial distribution

f

Assume Y is distributed Dirichlet $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_K)$ and draw $Y = y_1, ..., y_K$ from this distribution. $X|Y \sim MN(M, Y)$ and the marginal distribution of X is referred to as a compound Dirichlet-Multinomial (CDM) distribution with parameters M and $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_K)$ [9]. Let $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k = \alpha_0$. The density function is given by:

$$(X|M, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_K) = p(\boldsymbol{x}|M,)p(Y|\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_K)$$

$$= \prod_k y_k^{n_k} \times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_0)}{\prod_k \Gamma(\alpha_k)} \prod_k y_k^{\alpha_k - 1}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_0)}{\prod_k \Gamma(\alpha_k)} \prod_k y_k^{n_k + \alpha_k - 1}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_0)}{\prod_k \Gamma(\alpha_k)} \frac{\prod_k \Gamma(\alpha_k + n_k)}{\Gamma(\alpha_0 + n_k)}.$$
 (2)

The conditions $\sum_{k=1}^{K} n_k = M, x_i \ge 0, n_k > 0, \alpha_k > 0$ must hold.

In a Bayesian framework, the predictive density function of a future X, say Z [10] where $Z|M \sim MN(M, Y)$ and $Y|\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_K \sim Dirichlet(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_K)$ is similar to the CDM density function: Given x_i with values $n_{(1)}, ..., n_{(N)}$ of observed x values, the predictive distribution of $Z|n_{(1)}, ..., n_{(N)} \sim CDM(M, (\alpha_1 + n_{(1)}, ..., \alpha_K + n_{(K)}))$. The density function is given by:

$$f(Z|n_1, ..., n_K) = \frac{M!\Gamma(\alpha_0)}{\Gamma(\alpha_0 + M)} \prod_{k=1}^K \frac{\Gamma(n_k + \alpha_i + z_k)}{z_k!\Gamma(n_k + \alpha_k)}, \sum_{k=1}^K z_k = M, z_k \ge 0.$$
(3)

Taking the mean $E(z_k) = \frac{M(n_k + \alpha_k)}{\alpha_0 + M}$ as prediction of z_k , we can predict the number of outcomes for the k^{th} category. We've reached our goal of predicting x_{N+1} using:

$$\operatorname{Pred}(x_{N+1,k}) = \frac{M(n_k + \alpha_k)}{\alpha_0 + M}, k = 1, ..., K.$$
(4)

Illustration 3: Following up on Illustration 2, assume it is known that the Dirichlet parameters are $\alpha_1 = 33$, $\alpha_2 = 26$, $\alpha_3 = 55$, $\alpha_4 = 149$ with $\alpha_0 = 263$, the prediction of the 18^{th} observations X_{18} , is pred $(X_{18}) = [35, 28, 59, 160]$ and a total of M = 286. For the purpose of this illustration, the value of M is known.

Update

Suppose X_{N+1} has been predicted according to Eq. 4 and X_{N+1} is becoming available, the aim is to predict X_{N+2} using the observed X_{N+1} and the new updated Dirichlet parameters $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} = n_{k} + \alpha_{k}, k = 1, ..., K$. This leads to the prediction of X_{N+2} as

$$\operatorname{Pred}(x_{N+2,k}) = \frac{(M)(\boldsymbol{n_k} + \boldsymbol{\alpha_k})}{\boldsymbol{\alpha_0} + M}, k = 1, ..., K,$$
(5)

where the bold symbols indicate the daily updated predictions.

Validation

Eq 4 can be used to calculate estimations for each observation x. Q-Q 106 (Quantile-Quantile) plots can be used validate the estimations. A Q-Q plot is a 107 graphical method to compare two distributions by plotting their quantiles against each 108

100

104

other [11]. In our case, it is the empirical distribution (observations) against the CDM $_{109}$ estimations. A straight line is an indication of a good fit. Figure 1 illustrates the Q-Q $_{110}$ plot of the observed agains estimated n_k for each x_N in the dataset. $_{111}$



Fig 1. Q-Q plot of category . Observations are represented on the x-axis and estimations on the y-axis.

Predict ahead

Another application of the CDM model is to predict a number of observations ahead. 113 This is done by estimating the total number of counts (say number of deaths) - y - at 114 day x using an exponential fit $y = \exp(a + bx)$, or a straight line $\log(y) = a + bx$. Let 115 x_0 be the future day for which a prediction is to be made. The fitted line must thus be 116 extended to $x = x_0$. The prediction $\operatorname{pred}(x_0)$ can be made from the CDM model under 117 parameters M and α_k as defined above. 118

Validate predictions

We calculate the variation around the prediction by estimating the distances d of the points $(x_0, \log(y_0))$ to the line $A \log(y) + Bx + C = 0$. Then

$$d = \frac{|A\log(y_0) + Bx_0 + C|}{\sqrt{A^2 + B^2}}.$$

112

> Let S_d be the estimated standard deviation of d. The uncertainty around the total value M is expressed as $M \pm hS_d$.

> **Illustration 4**: Using the observed total counts, the estimation of the slope *b* and 122 intercept *a* of the straight line $\log(y) = a + bx$ are given by a = 4.79 and b = 0.0475. We 123 calculate the distances *d* of the points to the line $A \log(y) + Bx + C = 0$ where 124 A = 1, B = -b and C = -a. The standard deviation $S_d = 0.0082$. Figure 2 shows the 125 fitted line with 3 standard deviations above and below. 126



Fig 2. Fitted straight line. Fitted on $\log(y)$ against x + 27 with $3 * S_d$ standard deviations.

Illustration 5: Suppose we want to predict the number of C19 deaths 10 days 127 ahead from the current day. Let $x_0 = 27$. The estimated total number of deaths is 128 M = 434 (calculated by extending the straight line) with $Pred(x_{27}) = [54, 43, 91, 246]$. 129 Comparing this prediction with $Pred(x_{18})$ shows a significant increase in the number of 130 deaths. A summary of the results of the illustrations is provided in Table 1. 131

Table 1. Summary of results.

	Parameter	n_1	n_2	n_3	n_4	Total
Observed day $N = 17$	n_k	34	27	56	153	M = 270
Dirichlet parameter	$lpha_k$	33	26	55	149	$\alpha_0 = 263$
$\operatorname{Pred}(X_{18})$	$rac{M(\boldsymbol{n_i}+\boldsymbol{lpha_i})}{\boldsymbol{lpha_0}+M}$	35	28	59	160	M = 286
Dirichlet updated	$\boldsymbol{\alpha_k} = \alpha_k + n_k$	70	51	106	320	$\alpha_0 = 547$
$\operatorname{Pred}(X_{27})$	$M_{27} \frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_0}$	65	43	91	246	$M_{27} = 434$

In this section, we discussed the methodology we're going to follow in detail. In the 132

next section, we describe the South African C19 data.

Data

South Africa has a total population of 58, 775, 022 [12]. It consists of 9 provinces and the population per province is indicated in Table 2.

Table 2.	Popula	ation e	stimates	for So	outh A	Africa	\mathbf{per}	province.	The
abbrevatio	on for ea	ach prov	vince is als	so indi	cated.				

Province	Population	Abbreviation	
Gauteng	15, 176, 115	GP	
KwaZulu-Natal	11,289,086	KZN	
Western Cape	6,844,272	WC	
Eastern Cape	5,982,584	\mathbf{EC}	
Limpopo	4,592,187	LP	
Mpumalanga	4,027,160	MP	
North West	4,027,160	NW	
Free State	2,887,465	\mathbf{FS}	
Northern Cape	1,263,875	NC	

South Africa reported its first confirmed case of C19 on 5 March 2020 and first 137 mortality on 27 March 2020. Since then, the number of confirmed cases have grown to 138 34,357 confirmed cases and 705 mortalities by 1 June, 2020. Official numbers of C19 139 confirmed cases, mortalities and recoviers in South Africa are shared by the National 140 Institute for Communicable Diseases (NICD). This information is communicated to the 141 public as infographics and during press releases by the Department of Health (DoH). 142 The Data Science for Social Impact (DSFSI) research group at the University of 143 Pretoria, South Africa has developed an open repository for South African C19 related 144 data: https://github.com/dsfsi/covid19za [13]. The data is consolodated and 145 disseminated on a provincial level and linked to a dashboard for visualisation 146 purposes [14]. For the purpose of this study, C19 deaths are summed for these smaller 147 provinces: Limpopo, Mpumalanga, North West and Northern Cape because the numbers 148 are still very low. Although Free State has the second smallest population, the province 149 experienced an early outbreak in March 2020 of the disease. For this reason, Free State 150 is considered individually, and not part of the grouped smaller provinces. The datasets 151 are visualised in Figures 3 and 4. The counts are also indicated in text boxes at the last 152

133

> day. Figure 3 indicates missing data of confirmed cases for two days. The missing data ¹⁵³ points are imputed with the rounded average of the two adjacent days' count. ¹⁵⁴



Fig 3. C19 confirmed cases growth in South Africa. Province-level data is not available for two days (indicated by the gaps in the frowth lines).



Fig 4. C19 related mortality growth in South Africa. Four smaller provinces are summed into one count 'Smaller_provinces'.

Application

We apply the steps as outlined in the methodology section on the two South African ¹⁵⁶ C19 datasets, namely cummulative confirmed cases and cummulative confirmed ¹⁵⁷ mortalities. In both cases, we use the daily counts for the month of May 2020 to ¹⁵⁸ calculate the parameter estimations. We use the available data for the month of June ¹⁵⁹ 2020 for predictions. ¹⁶⁰

C19 confirmed cases

The first step in the process is to update the Dirichlet parameter α_k for each province k. 162 We use the 31 total counts of confirmed cases in May for M in Equation 4 and update 163 α_k at each day. Since the first confirmed case was recorded on 5 March, the 31 164 observations in our training set are day 55 - day 85. The change in proportions over 165 time is illustrated in Figure 5. Western Cape (WC), for example changed from having 166 42% of South Africa's C19 confirmed cases on day 55 (1 May 2020) to 60% on day 85 167 (end of May). 168



Fig 5. C19 confirmed cases Dirichlet parameter α_k for each province.

In order to validate the estimations $x_{55} - x_{85}$, we draw Q-Q plots for each province 169 which are shown in Figure 6. The Q-Q plots of the larger provinces (EC, GP, WC) 170 confirms a good fit. 171

The next step is to predict new confirmed cases. First, we fit a straight line to the 172 log transformation of the daily counts. Figure 7 shows the fit of the straight line 173 log(y) = 5.578 + 0.0569x with the upper and lower bounds according to the standard 174 deviation of the distances d between the observed y and the line as described in the 175 methodology section. The std(d) is s = 0.01. Extending x provides us with an 176 estimation of M for future counts. Figure 8 shows this extension (transformed back to 177

155



Fig 6. C19 confirmed cases Q-Q plots. The x-axis represents observations and the y-axis represents estimations.

the exponential domain). The dots on the future prediction curve are observations of June which are not included in the training set.



Fig 7. Straight line fitted to the log of daily confirmed cases. The cumulative daily counts are represented by the variable y and each day is represented by x. x range from day 55 to day 85.

Figure 9 shows the prediction per province. The provinces Eastern Cape (EC), Gauteng (GP) and Western Cape (WC) show good predictions. The same good fit is seen in the Q-Q plots of Figure 6.



Fig 8. C19 confirmed cases predictions. The red line represents the estimations on training data, and the blue line represents estimations on test data as well as future predictions.



Fig 9. C19 confirmed cases predictions per province

C19 related mortalities

As with confirmed cases, we use the month of May as training data for C19 related ¹⁸⁴ mortalities. The first death was recorded on 27 March 2020 and the 31 observations in ¹⁸⁵ our training set are day 31 - day 61. We apply the same methodology than with the ¹⁸⁶ confirmed cases dataset. Figure 10 shows the proportion movement over time. This ¹⁸⁷ figure reflects similar behaviour than Figure 5 although Gauteng (GP) has a smaller ¹⁸⁸ proportion deaths than confirmed cases.



Fig 10. C19 deaths Dirichlet parameter α_k for each province.

The Q-Q plots are shown in Figure 11. We ommit the counts for FS and Small_provinces as they are too small to produce meaningful Q-Q plots.



Fig 11. QQ plots for EC, GP KZN and WC



183

189

190

and lower bounds. The std(d) is s = 0.021.



Fig 12. Straight line fitted to the log of daily deaths. The cumulative daily counts are represented by the variable y and each day is represented by x. x range from day 31 to day 61.

Figure 13 indicates the prediction on observed (red line) and unobserved (blue line) ¹⁹⁴ data.



Fig 13. C19 related mortality predictions. The red line represents the estimations on training data, and the blue line represents estimations on test data as well as future predictions.

Finally we show the C19 related mortalities perdictions per province in Figure 14. ¹⁹⁶ From this graph it can be seen that Eastern Cape (EC) and Western Cape (WC) follow ¹⁹⁷ an exponential pattern as per our assumption. These two provinces contribute 75% of ¹⁹⁸ the total deaths. ¹⁹⁹



Fig 14. C19 related mortality predictions per province

Conclusion

In this paper we present the compound Dirichlet Multinomial distribution to model 201 COVID-19 related count data per province as mutually exclusive categories. Taking into 202 account that test protocols and positive case definitions are more likely to be consistent 203 within a country than across legislative borders, we can assume dependencies of the 204 pandemic's key indicators across provincial borders. The benefit of this assumption is 205 that we can track the change in Multinomial probabilities over time. This is done by 206 placing a Dirichlet prior over the Multinomial probability parameter. A practical 207 illustration of this in the paper is the change in Western Cape's C19 confirmed cases 208 over time as a proportion of the total cases in South Africa. The benefit of the Bayesian 209 approach in this case, is that we can express the uncertainty associated with the 210 provincial-level probabilities. 211

We apply this model to the prediction of future cases by assuming that the log transformation of the total counts follows a straight line. In the application section we

show the prediction results. The total confirmed cases (Figure 8) and dominant214provinces (EC, GP and WC in Figure 9) show satisfactory predictions of unseen data.215The C19 related mortality predictions follow an exponential pattern for these same216provinces (Figure 14)217

At the core of making future predictions is the assumption that the pandemic follows 218 an exponential growth. As the pandemic progresses, the slope changes and the training 219 data range must be restricted to only include a consistent slope. The implication of this 220 that the predictions are limited to short and medium term. Future work includes using 221 change point detections [3] to determine the training data range. Alternatively, dates of 222 lockdown level changes (with a lag) can be considered in selecting the training data 223 range. Finally, the model can be applied on a district level, such as districts within 224 Western Cape. Such data is available for most provinces on 225 https://github.com/dsfsi/covid19za [13]. 226

References

- World Health Organization (WHO). Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). The WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019. 2020;1(February):40.
- Lechien JR, Chiesa-Estomba CM, Place S, Van Laethem Y, Cabaraux P, Mat Q, et al. Clinical and Epidemiological Characteristics of 1,420 European Patients with mild-to-moderate Coronavirus Disease 2019. Journal of Internal Medicine. 2020; p. 0–2. doi:10.1111/joim.13089.
- Mbuvha R, Marwala T. Bayesian Inference of COVID-19 Spreading Rates in South Africa. medRxiv. 2020; p. 2020.04.28.20083873. doi:10.1101/2020.04.28.20083873.
- Mbuvha RR, Marwala T. On Data-Driven Management of the COVID-19 Outbreak in South Africa. medRxiv. 2020; p. 2020.04.07.20057133. doi:10.1101/2020.04.07.20057133.
- Ferguson N, Laydon D, Nedjati-Gilani G, Imai N, Ainslie K, Baguelin M, et al. Report 9 - Impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to reduce

COVID-19 mortality and healthcare demand. Imperial College COVID Response Team. 2020;.

- Goumenou M, Sarigiannis D, Tsatsakis A, Anesti O, Docea AO, Petrakis D, et al. Covid-19 in Northern Italy: An integrative overview of factors possibly influencing the sharp increase of the outbreak (Review). Molecular Medicine Reports. 2020;22(1):20–32. doi:10.3892/mmr.2020.11079.
- Gelman A, Carlin JB, Stern HS, Dunson DB, Vehtari A, Rubin DB. Bayesian Data Analysis Third edition. 2020;.
- 8. DeGroot M. Optimal statistical decisions. John Wiley & Sons; 1970.
- Johnson NL, Kotz S, Balakrishnan N. Discrete Multivariate Distributions. New York: Wiley; 1997.
- Geisser S. Bayesian Estimation in Multivariate Analysis. The Annals of Mathematical Statistics. 1965;36(1):150–159.
- Rice J. Mathematical Statistical Analysis and Data analysis. Duxbury Press; 1995.
- 12. Statistics South Africa. Midyear Population Estimate 2019. 2020;.
- Marivate V, Combrink HM. A Framework For Sharing Publicly Available Data To Inform The COVID-19 Outbreak in Africa: A South African Case Study. 2020;.
- 14. Marivate V. COVID 19 ZA South Africa Dashboard; Available from: https://datastudio.google.com/u/0/reporting/ 1b60bdc7-bec7-44c9-ba29-be0e043d8534/page/hrUIB.