Title Page

What triggers online help-seeking retransmission during the COVID-19

period? Empirical evidence from Chinese social media

Authors' biographies

Chen Luo, M.A.

Affiliation: School of Journalism and Communication, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

Yuru Li, M.A.* (corresponding author)

Affiliation: Center for Media, Communication and Information Research, University of Bremen, Germany

Anfan Chen, Ph.D.

Affiliation: School of Humanity and Social Science, University of Science and Technology of China, Anhui Province, China

Yulong Tang, M.A.

Affiliation: Institute of Communication Studies, Communication University of China, Beijing, China

Abstract

The past eight months witnessed COVID-19's fast-spreading at the global level. Limited by medical resources shortage and uneven facilities distribution, online help-seeking becomes an

1

essential approach to cope with public health emergencies for many ordinaries. This study explored the driving forces behind the retransmission of online help-seeking posts. We built an analytical framework that emphasized content characteristics, including information completeness, proximity, support seeking type, disease severity, and emotion. Adopting the framework, a quantitative content analysis was conducted with a probability sample of 727 posts. The results illustrate the importance of individual information completeness, high proximity, instrumental support seeking. This study also reveals the severity principle and the power of anger in the dissemination of help-seeking messages. As one of the first online help-seeking diffusion analyses in the COVID-19 period, the theoretical and practical implications of this study are further discussed.

Keywords

COVID-19; Online Support; Online Help-Seeking; Social Media; Retransmission; Completeness; Proximity; Support-Seeking Typology

Introduction

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), COVID-19 has resulted in more than 6 million confirmed cases and nearly 0.4 million deaths worldwide by June 1st, 2020. Due to the destructive power and highly infectious feature of this new coronavirus, many countries have taken various kinds of measures to prevent virus transmission. Meanwhile, people use social media to acquire and exchange multiple types of information at a historic and unprecedented scale (Li et al., 2020a). So far, some researches have concentrated on the effects of social media in this particular period. For example, harness the social media posts to predict infected case counts and inform timely responses under the infoveillance or infodemiology framework (Li, Xu, Cuomo, Purushothaman, & Mackey, 2020b; Shen, Chen, Luo, Zhang, Feng, & Liao, 2020); analyze the help-seeking posts to identify the characteristics of COVID-19 patients (Huang, et al., 2020); discuss the online censorship on social media from the risk communication perspective (Fu & Zhu, 2020). Among all the research, online help-seeking, as a practical approach to handle difficulties during public health emergencies, has a unique research significance. Firstly, when facing scarce medical resources during the initial outbreak stage, many suspected and confirmed COVID-19 patients were failing to be admitted to a hospital, many of them turned to social media fork help (e.g., sickbeds, testing kits, emotional comforts) and share information instantly (Huang et al., 2020). Secondly, online help-seeking helps relevant actors to ease anxiety, build mutual aid networks, and make the most of the collective power to cope with risks (Mukkamala & Beck, 2018; Barros, Duggan, & Rebholz-Schuhmann, 2020). Social support or so-called supportive communication in computer-mediated communication contexts has always been a hotly discussed issue (Rains, Peterson, & Wright, 2015). COVID-19, as an epidemic affecting the whole world, provides a valuable chance to

explore the mechanisms and effects of online help-seeking. Its practical and theoretical implications not only offer an insight into understanding help-seeking and promote problem-solving during a public health crisis but also enrich the strategies on how to develop compelling online help-seeking posts.

This study aims to explore help-seeking information diffusion on Chinese social media. As Wang et al. (2019) suggest, probing into information diffusion is the basis for understanding and managing the dynamics of content on social media. Generally speaking, retweeting help-seeking posts can be interpreted as a kind of prosocial behavior, which means retweeters intended to help others or the society as a whole by joining the retransmission process (Dovidio, 1984; Lee, Kim, & Kim, 2015). In fact, previous studies provided limited insights on evaluating help-seeking information diffusion during health emergencies. Many studies followed the "sender-content" framework (see Liu, Liu, & Li, 2012) but failed to develop a comprehensive content measurement for health crisis communication. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first online help-seeking diffusion analysis during the COVID-19 period. We intended to replenish content measurement to conform to the current situation. Our findings will provide a reference for social media help-seeking in a similar period in the future.

Literature Review

Retransmission of help-seeking posts and content characteristics

With the rapid development of social media, new possibilities of seeking help online have kept emerging. More and more people are turning to cyberspace for specific social support seeking in various domains like coping with daily hassles, battling a life-threatening illness (Pan, Feng, & Wingate, 2018). Social network sites like Twitter (Rui, Chen, & Damiano, 2013), Facebook

(Indian & Grieve, 2014), Instagram (Andalibi, Ozturk & Forte, 2017) or online forums (Braithwaite, Waldron, & Finn, 1999; Coursaris & Liu, 2009; Pan, Shen, & Feng, 2017) increase the opportunities of receiving and providing social support from all sides. Retransmission, or the so-called retweeting, has always been seen as a crucial indicator of information diffusion in social media platforms (Yang, Tufts, Ungar, Guntuku, & Merchant, 2018). Some studies treated retransmission as a judgment of the effectiveness of communication (Liu, Lu, & Wang, 2017; Wang et al., 2019). The retweeting behavior has been demonstrated as a conversational practice on social media platform (boyd, Golder, & Lotan, 2010); a way to seek personal benefits from the social network (Recuero, Araujo, & Zago, 2011); a kind of prosocial behavior aims to offer help or provide advice to others driven by altruistic and reciprocity motivations (Lee et al., 2015). Retransmission is critical in online help-seeking, especially in the epidemic context for two reasons. Firstly, retweeting is a typical one-to-many communication describing the degree of viral reach on social media (Liu et al., 2017). More retransmission means more users receiving the message, thus increasing the chance of getting help. Secondly, from a practical point of view, facing the medical resources shortage and uneven distribution of medical facilities, widely disseminated help-seeking posts played the role of social warning, assisted official institutions in understanding urgent affairs as well as allocating supplies more effectively.

What are the driving factors behind online help-seeking messages retransmission? Most existing studies categorized driving forces into two dimensions: the sender factors and the content factors (Briones, Nan, Madden, & Waks, 2012; Yang et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019). However, significant driving forces are varied by context. In this study, ordinary people use social media to seek help during a crisis time, which means senders' identities are very close. Thus, it would be more reasonable and significant to explore further in the content factor. There

is plenty of research providing conceptual references, such as the depth of self-disclosure (Altman & Taylor, 1973; Pan et al., 2018), different types of support messages (Pan et al., 2018; Wingate, Feng, Kim, Pan, & Jiang, 2020), physical and emotional proximity to the target (Huang, Starbird, Orand, Stanek, & Pedersen, 2015), the social capital stock of the help seeker (Pan, Shen, & Feng, 2017). Enlightened by existing experience, we will summarize the impelling factors of help-seeking information diffusion into five dimensions: completeness, proximity, support typology, disease severity, emotion, and elaborate them in the following sections.

Completeness

Completeness is bound up with credibility. Credibility has always been seen as perceived quality and as a result of intertwined dimensions (Fogg & Tseng, 1999; Fogg et al., 2000). Previous studies usually concentrated on source credibility and stressed the significant influence of source credibility perception on retweeting behavior in sports news (Boehmer & Tandoc, 2015) and health information diffusion (Lee & Sundar, 2013), the importance of content credibility was overlooked to some extent. In brief, content with high credibility should be internally consistent and clearly presented. Many scholars have elucidated the connotation of content credibility, including complete, in-depth, precise, reliable, accurate, unbiased, objective, factual, and fair (Sundar, 1999; Bucy, 2003; Hilligoss & Rieh, 2008). In a systematic literature review, Sbaffi and Rowley (2017) listed dozens of content features influencing trust judgments and credibility perception, which means credibility is a typical compound concept made up of various subdimensions (Self, 1996). As a result, it is impossible to investigate all elements of credibility in one study. The proper approach is to pick out the critical factor based on the specific research context.

Completeness is a pivotal part of content credibility, especially in online help-seeking during COVID-19. As the name suggests, it means how much information does an individual disclose and the clarity of the provided information (Stvilia, Mon, & Yi, 2009). Completeness contributes to the perception of health information quality on the Internet (Bates, Romina, Ahmed, & Hopson, 2006). Firstly, more complete information means more additional information, which increases the "real world feel" (Freeman & Spyridakis, 2004). More detailed information implies more communication cues, improves the social presence of communicators in nonverbally environments (Li, Feng, Li, & Tan, 2015). Secondly, completeness is directly bound up with the amount and depth of self-disclosure. Altman and Taylor (1973) argued that breadth is the amount of disclosed information, while depth is the intimacy of disclosed information. A complete self-disclosure can effectively convey individuals' needs and reveal who they are, also benefits the likelihood of receiving social support (Huang, 2016). In this study, we extrapolate that online help seekers' willingness to divulge their personal information helps the audience comprehend their identities. Besides, a complete expression of disease development or health condition usually demonstrates the seriousness of the current problem, improves credibility perception, and eventually leads to successful support provision. We propose the following:

H1: Completeness of individual information in online help-seeking posts is positively associated with retransmission.

H2: Completeness of disease status in online help-seeking posts is positively associated with retransmission.

Proximity

The construal level theory (CLT) proposed that people live in a variety of intangible "distances," such as spatial-temporal distance, social distance, psychological distance, and so on (Trope & Liberman, 2010; Kwon, Chadha, & Pellizzaro, 2017). With the extension of distance, people's cognition (that is, the level of interpretation) of related events and characters becomes more abstract and general, which means a high level of interpretation. On the contrary, people tend to have a more specific and concrete conception of things at a close range, namely the low level of interpretation (Fiedler, Semin, Finkenauer, & Berkel, 1995; Trope & Liberman, 2003; Wang et al., 2019; Trope & Liberman, 2010). This kind of "distance" was interpreted as proximity by many researchers (Trope & Liberman, 2010; Kwon et al., 2017), consists of social proximity, geographical proximity, etc.

The impacts of proximity are reflected in two aspects. On the one hand, proximity plays an irreplaceable role in affecting an individual's empathy and compassion toward others' misfortune. Generally speaking, high proximity increases empathy towards the victims, while low proximity weakens it (Lenstein & Small, 2007). Numerous studies highlighted the role of psychological distance in eliciting empathy towards intimates (e.g., immediate family members) or strangers, indicating that sympathy and compassion for others are highly associated with psychological proximity (Barnett, Tetreault, Esper, & Bristow, 1986; Batson, Lishner, Cook, & Sawyer, 2005; Loewenstein & Small, 2007). On the other hand, proximity also affects individual's information processing, such as perceived information trustworthiness (Lee & Sundar, 2013; Shen et al., 2020), information interpretation (Nan, 2007), moral judgment (Žeželj & Jokić, 2014), moral evaluation (Agerström, Björklund, & Carlsson, 2013), and information sharing (Huang, Starbird, Orand, Stanek, & Pedersen, 2015). Specifically, a study led by Lee and Sundar (2013) argued that proximal source boosts perceived content credibility significantly than

the distal source, which in turn promotes information sharing (Ha & Ahn, 2011), even information adoption (Rabjohn, Cheung, & Lee, 2008). Huang et al. (2015) also proved the evident influences of physical and emotional proximity on online information seeking and sharing under the crisis context.

Based on the above rationale, in supportive communication context, empathy and compassion are closely related to proximity, which further affects information diffusion. Likewise, some studies noted that reciprocal social support behaviors frequently occur in close social proximity circumstances (Rabjohn et al., 1988) and a higher social presence environment, which represents psychological proximity (Li et al., 2015). Thus, we propose the following hypothesis:

H3: A high proximity between the online help seeker and the target patient triggers more retransmission than low proximity.

Support typology

People give support to others in multiple ways, while how different types of social support are associated with the seeking-provision remains underexplored. A considerable number of studies summarized distinct social support types and put forward some conceptual frameworks (House, 1981; Malecki & Demaray, 2003). Some scholars conceptually divided social support into four categories, including emotional support (e.g., expression of empathy, love, trust, and caring), informational support (e.g., advice-giving, providing suggestions, sharing information), appraisal support (i.e., offering information for self-evaluation), and instrumental support (i.e., providing tangible support) (House, 1981; Malecki & Demaray, 2003; Muñoz-Laboy, Severson, Perry, & Guilamo-Ramos, 2014). Others extended the categories of social support by incorporating

emotion, esteem, information, network, and tangible assistance (Cutrona & Suhr, 1992; Coulson, 2005; Coulson, Buchanan, & Aubeeluck, 2007). Moreover, the social support inventory of UCLA (the University of California at Los Angeles) proposes a classification framework consists of three types: information or advice, tangible assistance or aid, and emotional support (Dunkel-Schetter, Feinstein, & Call, 1986).

For concision and clear, also following the previous operation (Wilson et al., 1999; Shakespeare-Finch & Obst, 2011; Federici & Skaalvik, 2014), we merged the existing frameworks into two major types: emotional support and instrumental support. The former one typically refers to needs regarding caring, empathy, love, and trust (Wilson et al., 1999; Ko, Wang, & Xu, 2013; Federici & Skaalvik, 2014), while the latter one denotes the requirements of instrumental resources and practical help (Wilson et al., 1999; Malecki & Demaray, 2003). The interplay between social support types and support provision is still under-investigated, particularly the comparison of different types' outcomes has not been thoroughly examined in the online context. This study intends to remedy the defects by discussing how distinct types of social support induce different feedbacks. Therefore, we raise a research question:

RQ1: What is the relationship between support seeking types and retransmission?

Disease severity

A patient's demands vary by the disease phase because each phase presents a new set of challenges and concomitant opportunities (Luker, Beaver, Leinster, & Owens, 1996; van der Molen, 2000). COVID-19 is a relatively novel virus with severe clinical manifestation, and it even incurs death (Emanuel et al., 2020). However, bounded by the shortage of testing capacity in COVID-19's early stage, not all infected patients can get diagnosed formally on time (Shen et

al., 2020). As a result, suspected cases and confirmed cases were treated differently, receiving different medical services. Before the establishment of makeshift hospitals, patients who have mild symptoms but not laboratory-confirmed often isolated themselves at home, while those who got confirmed were admitted to hospitals in a relatively short time. Thus it is reasonable to infer that help seekers in different disease phases would get dissimilar attention. And normally, the more serious the illness, the more urgent the help-seeking and the more likely to obtain support provision. Accordingly, we posit:

H4: Disease severity expressed in online help-seeking posts is positively associated with retransmission.

Emotion

Because different emotions usually have different effects on information processing and stimulate different behaviors, exploring emotional expression in online support seeking posts is vital to understand supportive communication in virtual spaces. Previous researchers suggested that fear is the most prominent emotion during the pandemic times, which is highly contagious and makes people feel imminent threats easily (Cole, Balcetis, & Dunning, 2012). In comparison, other types of illnesses often associated with a plethora of emotional types range from hope to fear and humor to sadness (Mukherjee, 2010). Lazarus (1991) and Dillard et al. (2001) summarized several primary discrete emotions in the health communication field, along with their signal values, functions, action tendencies, and valences (Shown in Table 1). As a matter of fact, hope and happiness rarely exist in our research corpus. Consequently, this study selects fear, anger, sadness as three main emotional types, explores the relationship between emotions and retransmission.

| Emotion | Signal value Function | | Action tendency | Valence | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|--|
| Норе | Chance of improvement in | Perseverance in the | Mobilization/vigilance/ | Positive/ | |
| | situation | face of challenges | commitment | mixed | |
| Fear | Danger | Drotaction | Revise existing | Negative | |
| | Danger | Theetion | plan/create new plan | | |
| Happiness/Humor | Progress toward goal | Self-reward | Bask/bond | Positive | |
| Anger | Obstacle | Remove obstacle | Attack/reject | Negative | |
| Sadness/Despair | Failura | L coming/requiperation | Review | Negative | |
| | ranure | Learning/recuperation | plan/convalesce | | |

| | | | _ | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|--------|------------|-------------|----------|-----------|---------------|-------|
| Tabla 1 | Doughol | agiaal | proportion | of discrete | amotiona | in hoolth | acmmunication | field |
| | . FSVCHOI | Ugical | broberties | of discrete | emotions | in nearm | communication | neiu |
| | 2 | 0 | 1 1 | | | | | |

One study found that anxiety positively predicted health information seeking, with regard to emotional support seeking, fear and anxiety are two positive stimuli, while shame acts as a negative stimulus (Lee, Hwang, Hawkins, & Pingree, 2008). But it is still not clear how distinct emotional types induce different retweeting effects. Therefore, we formulate the following research question:

RQ2: What is the relationship between emotional types and retransmission?

Method

Data

We choose China as our research field for the following reasons. Firstly, Wuhan, the capital of Hubei province, is the earliest epicenter of the COVID-19 outbreak (Wu, Leung, & Leung, 2020). However, the Chinese government adopted a series of prevention and control measures to bring the virus under control, almost tamed the spread of COVID-19 in mainland China (China Daily, 2020). China's experience can be an essential reference to other countries, especially in current global pandemic time. Secondly, with the rapid development of Internet facilities in

China, Internet penetration has reached 61.2% in China until June 2019, along with a continuous growing scale of social media users (China Internet Network Information Center, 2019). Some social media platforms play the role of organizing campaigns, promoting the formation of civil society and the public sphere in China (Lu & Qiu, 2013). In today's crisis period, Chinese social media exerts the potential to mobilize collective intelligence to overcome difficulties, inspiring other countries to utilize social media to solve health-related problems and cross tough barriers.

Sina Weibo, one of the most popular social media platforms in China, was selected as the sample pool. According to Weibo's user report in 2018, this social media service has accumulated more than 0.4 billion monthly active users and nearly 0.2 billion daily active users until Q4 of 2018 (Sina Weibo Data Center, 2019). Weibo has been proved as a vibrant discussion platform and help-seeking space during major social events, especially in the COVID-19 period (Huang et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2020). All authors went through relevant help-seeking posts on Weibo and picked up pertinent keywords. After screening and merging, three pairs of keyword combinations were determined as search terms, including "pneumonia + ask for help," "pneumonia + seek help," and "pneumonia + help me." Date range starts from Jan. 20th, 2020, which is the date that Nanshan Zhong¹ confirmed human-to-human transmission (Wang & Qu, 2020), and ends at Mar. 1st, 2020, as the closing date of the first Fang Cang makeshift hospital in the epicenter Wuhan City, indicating the epidemic had been roughly under control in China (Xu, 2020).

A web crawler written in Python programming language was applied to retrieve all qualified posts. 34,088 posts were collected in the first round, and 9,826 posted remained after removing duplicated and unqualified posts. Because of the large amount of data, 727 posts were sampled randomly from the whole corpus for further analysis. Every single post acts as the

13

analysis unit for our study, and each post contains the user ID, post time, retweet number, content, and some other necessary attributes.

Measurement

The measurement scheme was built on the literature review. Emotion type is composed of fear, anger, sadness, and others. Support typology contains emotional support seeking, instrumental support seeking, and no specific kind of support seeking. Proximity was operationalized into reporting self-illness, reporting others' illness, and both. Disease severity consists of three kinds: suspected case, confirmed case, and others. Completeness is further decomposed into the completeness of individual information and disease status. Detailed meanings of those categories are listed in Table 2. Number of followers, posting frequency and some other indicators are incorporated into analysis as control variables according to existing research (Liu et al., 2012; Zhang, Peng, Zhang, Wang, & Zhu, 2014).

| Concept | Variable | Category | Connotation |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | Completeness | | Disclosure of demographic and biographic |
| Completeness | | Completeness of | information, including name, age, ID number, phone |
| | | individual information | number, geographical information, photo (Altman & |
| | | Completeness of disease status | Taylor, 1973; Pan, Feng, Wingate, & Li, 2020). |
| | | | Disclosure of disease status, including disease |
| | | | development, diagnostic report, lung X-rays photo, |
| | | | medical record, underlying disease, medical |
| | | | treatment experience (Huang, 2016; Zhang et al., |
| | | | 2019). |
| Proximity | Reporting type | Reporting self-illness | Seeking help by disclosing one's own and immediate |
| | | | family members' COVID-19 sickness (Shen et al., |
| | | | 2020). |

Table 2. Concepts and corresponding variables

| | | Reporting others' | Seeking help by mentioning or reporting others' |
|----------|------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | | illness | COVID-19 sickness (Shen et al., 2020). |
| | | Both | Reporting self-illness and others' illness simultaneously. |
| | | | Emotional support involves acting as a confidant for |
| | | | someone, providing empathy or other positive |
| | | Emotional support seeking | affection toward people who suffer from misfortune |
| | | | (Wilson et al., 1999; Shakespeare-Finch & Obst, |
| | | | 2011; Federici & Skaalvik, 2014). |
| Support | Support | | Instrumental support means offering assistance |
| typology | seeking type | In straign on to layon out | tangibly or physically, such as donating money, |
| | | Instrumental support | providing medical supplies to someone in sickness |
| | | seeking | (Wilson et al., 1999; Shakespeare-Finch & Obst, |
| | | | 2011; Federici & Skaalvik, 2014). |
| | | No specific kind of support | Not mention any specific kind of support seeking. |
| | | | Patients with some symptoms of COVID-19 |
| | | Suspected | infection, but not get laboratory-confirmed (Jin et al., |
| Severity | Stage of illness | | 2020). |
| | | Confirmed | Patients who get laboratory-confirmed (Jin, et al., 2020). |
| | | Others | Unknown status. |
| | | | An emotion experienced in anticipation of some |
| | | | specific pain or danger. Fear is predicated on the |
| | | Fear | belief that the individual faces impending danger |
| | | | over which he or she may have little or no control |
| | | | (Dillard & Nabi, 2006). |
| | | | Health systems and governments may evoke anger |
| | Emotional | | due to their incapability to provide the necessary |
| Emotion | type | Anger | protection, and by particular individuals for |
| | type | | transmitting the disease (Zhuang, Peng, Tang, & Wu, |
| | | | 2020). |
| | | Sadness | Sorrow and sadness are commonly experienced for |
| | | | loss (Zhuang, Peng, Tang, & Wu, 2020) and |
| | | | irrevocable failure to meet the goal (Dillard & Nabi, |
| | | | 2006). |
| | | Others | Other emotions except for fear, anger, and sadness. |

Coding

A pilot study with 100 posts was conducted to test the coding scheme and train the coders. The pilot study validated the effectiveness of the proposed coding scheme and the accuracy of the corresponding classifications. Three coders major in communication studies were recruited to code the posts for inter-coder reliability evaluation. The average Krippendorff's alpha coefficient was 0.753 in the first round, which is slightly below the acceptable level. We then retrained the coders, resolved all discrepancies, and sampled another 114 posts from the corpus randomly for a new round trial coding. The average reliability coefficient was 0.873 in the second round, which means highly consistent among the coders. All coders then performed coding work on the remaining posts independently.

Analysis

Since the dependent variable is the number of retweets, traditional linear regression models are inadequate for modeling this kind of highly skewed count variable. Four count models: Poisson regression, negative binomial regression, zero-inflated Poisson regression, and zero-inflated negative binomial regression were compared to fit the data. Firstly, the conditional variance of the outcome variable far exceeds the conditional mean on most categorical explanatory variables, which violates the underlying assumption of Poisson regression. Secondly, we compared the negative binomial regression with the zero-inflated negative binomial regression. Figure 1 displays the residuals from the two tested models, and small residual distribution indicates the good-fitting of the corresponding model.



Figure 1. Model comparison based on residuals

Note. Small residuals are indicative of good-fitting models, the model with line closest to zero should be considered for our research data.

Besides, the AIC and BIC indicators also approved the conclusion that the negative binomial regression model (AIC = 6.930, BIC = 424.217) is more preferred to the other one (AIC = 7.034, BIC = 445.066). Table 3 shows the descriptive statistical results of all variables.

| Variable | Ν | Mean (SD) | Min, Max | Description | |
|----------------|-----|----------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Retransmission | 727 | 2166.674 (14150.220) | 0, 160129 | Number of retransmissions | |
| Authentication | 777 | Binory | 0.1 | 1 being authenticated as influencer | |
| Aumentication | 121 | Billary | 0, 1 | (20.50%) | |
| With image | 727 | Binary | 0, 1 | 1 being post with image (31.91%) | |
| With link | 727 | Binary | 0, 1 | 1 being post with extra link (5.91%) | |
| With hashtag | 727 | Binary | 0, 1 | 1 being post with hashtag (70.70%) | |

Table 3. Descriptive statistical results of all variables

| Mention other user | 727 | Binary | 0, 1 | 1 being post mentions other user (14.99%) |
|--|-----|------------------|----------------|---|
| Followers (logarithmized) | 727 | 2.655 (1.318) | 0, 7.098 | Number of followers |
| Posting frequency | 727 | 2.419 (10.024) | 0.002, 124.462 | Average number of posts sent by the user per day |
| Text length (logarithmized) | 727 | 2.081 (0.444) | 1.041, 3.217 | Length of post |
| Growth rate of confirmed cases | 727 | 0.168 (0.628) | -0.664, 6.520 | Daily growth rate of national confirmed cases |
| Emotion type | 727 | Four categories | 1,4 | 1 being fear (41.40%); 2 being anger (10.45%); 3 being sadness (36.18%); 4 being others (11.97%) |
| Support seeking type | 727 | Three categories | 1, 3 | 1 being instrumental support seeking (51.31%); 2 being emotional support seeking (13.48%); 3 being no specific kind of support seeking (35.21%) |
| Proximity | 727 | Three categories | 1, 3 | 1 being reporting self-illness (3.71%); 2 being reporting others' illness (92.16%); 3 being both (4.13%) |
| Disease severity | 727 | Three categories | 1, 3 | 1 being suspected (24.35%); 2 being confirmed (33.56%); 3 being others (42.09%) |
| Completeness of individual information | 727 | 1.692 (1.690) | 0, 6 | Level of detail of demographic and biographic information |
| Completeness of disease status | 727 | 1.360 (1.581) | 0, 6 | Level of detail of disease status |

Results

Figure 2 summarized the results of negative binomial regression models. Model A contains all control variables, while Model B contains both control variables and principal independent variables. All coefficients are evaluated based on robust standard errors.



Figure 2. Results of negative binomial regression models

Note. Coefficient of each variable and its 95% confidence interval are plotted, intervals not cross the vertical zero line denote statistically significant variables

With regard to the completeness, for each one-unit increase in disclosing individual information, the expected log count of the number of retweets increased by $0.588 \ (p < .001, IRR^2 = 1.800)$. However, the correlation between completeness of disease status and the number of retweets is insignificant. Thus, H1 was supported but H2 was rejected.

When it comes to proximity, reporting self-illness (B = 2.408, p < .01, IRR = 11.116) and both self and others' illnesses (B = 3.037, p < .001, IRR = 20.840) are expected to receive more retweets than only reporting others' illnesses. H3 was supported.

For support seeking type, the expected log retweet count of instrumental support seeking is significantly more than emotional support seeking (B = 1.929, p < .001, IRR = 6.885), but no specific support seeking is statistically insignificant. RQ1 was answered.

H4 discusses the relationship between disease severity and retransmission. Compared with other illness stages, posts about confirmed cases receive more attention (B = 2.079, p < .001, IRR = 7.997), suspected cases afterwards (B = 2.053, p < .001, IRR = 7.792). H4 was supported.

RQ2 asks how emotional types affect help-seeking diffusion. The expected log retweet count for fear is lower than the expected log count for anger (B = -1.911, p < .01, IRR = 0.148), followed by others (B = -2.053, p < .01, IRR = 0.128) and sadness (B = -2.343, p < .001, IRR = 0.096).

Borrowing former scholars' experience (Wang et al., 2019), we further conducted a sensitivity test using the MANOVA analysis for cross-validation. The robustness check results (both coefficient size and significance) are close to the negative binomial regression, proving the accuracy of our estimation.

Discussion

First of all, the findings of the completeness part are in concert with one recent research. Pan et al. (2020) found that support-seeking posts with peripheral self-disclosure elicit lower perceived anonymity in the support-provider side, thus increasing the trustworthiness of the support-seeking messages and improving the quality of advice. Peripheral self-disclosure denotes

biographical data, including name, age, gender, geographical information (Pan et al., 2020), which is precisely the "completeness of individual information" defined in our study. From the perspective of uncertainty reduction theory, message without clear source identity is more likely to be perceived as low credibility, further impeding support-providers' engagement in supportive communication (Berger, 1987; Rains, 2007). In other words, detailed personal information disclosure can effectively diminish perceived anonymity and demonstrate the vulnerability of help-seekers, which is pivotal in text-based online anonymous settings.

On the contrary, the completeness of disease status fails to trigger retweet behavior. One possible explanation could be the high threshold of medical knowledge posed a high demand for laypersons, resulting in an invisible "communication gap" (Filho et al., 2020). The diagnostic report, lung X-ray photo, or medical record requires expertise to understand, which seems impossible to most Weibo users. However, this does not mean that the description of the disease is not important. To improve the communication effect, symptoms and development of the illness should be described in detail through simple words to make it easily understood by ordinary people. This rule could be verified by the positive correlation between the text length and the retransmission (Liu, Shi, Chen, Wu, & Qi, 2014).

The impact of proximity on information transmission is consistent with the previous studies (Huang, Starbird, Orand, Stanek, & Pedersen, 2015; Lee and Sundar, 2013), which demonstrates that a high level of proximity triggers more retransmission. Proximity was operationalized into reporting self-illness, reporting others' illness, and both in our study. On the one hand, proximity can be interpreted as a type of constructed imaginary relationship with "others," or a perceived connection between individuals (Lenstein & Small, 2007). Imaginary relationship plays a vital role in eliciting emotional or informational responses to suffering. Reporting self-illness,

reporting others' illness, and reporting both represent different levels of perceived psychological distance, lead to varying amounts of information retransmission. On the other hand, the rhetoric school emphasizes the effect of "narrative distance" on audiences' emotional involvement (Andringa, 1996). Specifically, narrative perspectives (first-person versus third-person) can influence victim blame and supporting intention by affecting the perceived psychological distance (Cao & Decker, 2015). Follow this thread, reporting self-illness and reporting others' illness can be separately associated with first-person and third-person narrative perspectives, stimulating disparate psychological distances and leads to different responses toward the patients eventually.

Although social network services generally offer substantial opportunities for social support transactions, their potential to provide emotional and instrumental support may differ (Trepte, Dienlin, & Reinecke, 2015). In this study, more than half of posts contain instrumental support seeking intention, far exceed emotional support seeking, and no specific kind of support seeking. Instrumental support seeking posts receive more retransmission. This result suggests that in the health communication field, especially during a severe pandemic, it is inevitable to face the explosive growth of information. Under this circumstance, attention becomes a scarce but valuable resource (World Health Organization, 2018). Compared with nihilistic emotional support seeking, instrumental support seeking focused on improving one's health condition, demonstrating direct material needs, and showing the willingness for immediate help. Besides, based on Vitak and Ellison's work (2013), many people are reluctant to express emotional needs online because they do not want to appear "needy." Emotional support also articulates with intimacy, which is a prerequisite for emotional communication between interactive partners (Stokers, 1983). The anonymity feature of online communication hinders help seekers' desire for

22

emotional support, impedes the occurrence of in-depth emotional communication (Newman, Lauterbach, Munson, Resnick, & Morris, 2011).

Regarding severity, extant studies revealed that giving priority to the worst-case when allocating health resources in a pandemic is a fundamental principle (Emanuel & Wertheimer, 2006; Persad, Wertheimer, & Emanuel, 2009; Rosenbaum et al., 2011; Biddison et al., 2014; Zucker et al., 2015). This study proves that how to treat patients is in accordance with this principle. The severity of illness positively correlates with retransmission. One reason is that confirmed patients need timely medical care than other patients. Senses of urgency and scarce medical resources drive other social media users to participate in the retweeting process to help confirmed cases find adequate medicare in time.

When it comes to emotion, posts expressing anger received more retransmission than fear, sadness, and other kinds of emotions. Based on our observation, anger mostly stems from hospitals' unfair treatment or official institutions' delayed responses. It is reasonable for social media users to stand on the "just side" to support the unfortunate patients. By retweeting the angry posts, retweeters vented their feelings, intended to get more attention, and urged relevant departments to take effective measures. In our research corpus, sadness is the second common emotion. However, it received the least retransmission. This can be attributed to sadness's intrinsic characteristics: posts with sadness mainly express disappointment toward reality but resist to advocate practical attempts to change reality. This finding implies that when seeking help online, one should stress the principal problem and avoid simple catharsis. Similarly, ingeniously using prevailing emotion contributes to receiving sympathy and pragmatic feedback from others.

Conclusion

COVID-19 poses enormous threats to the whole world. Due to the unbalanced distribution of medical resources and inadequate response at the early breakout stage, many patients (both suspected and confirmed), along with their relatives or friends, turned to social media for support seeking. This study explores the driving forces behind online help-seeking post transmission in a global pandemic period by emphasizing the content characteristics. Completeness, proximity, support typology, disease severity, and emotion composed the analytical framework. By employing content analysis, 727 randomly sampled help-seeking posts were analyzed based on a coding scheme derived from the literature review. Negative binomial regression reveals that posts release anger, express instrumental support seeking intention, report self-illness, expound confirmed cases' conditions, and have detailed individual information disclosure are likely to have more retransmission.

Coronavirus is still spreading fast around the world. As one of the first countries to restrain the spread of the epidemic, China provides a significant experience to other countries stuck in a dilemma. As the first online help-seeking analysis research in the COVID-19 period, this study offers some insights about health communication via social media, especially how to develop a potent help-seeking post in public health emergencies. However, a couple of limitations need to be mentioned. First, retransmission of post, as the core dependent variable in our study, is a multidimensional concept. For example, Wang et al. (2019) decomposed retransmission into "scale" and "structural virality." Limited by research resources and time, we failed to elucidate information diffusion comprehensively. Second, although China's experience is representative, whether this pattern can be generalized into other contexts worth carefully examining. Future studies should conduct more explorations on other social media platforms (e.g., Twitter) to verify

24

the reliability and validity of our results and summarize effective online help-seeking strategies in diversified environments.

Notes

- Nanshan Zhong is a well-known Chinese pulmonologist, he was one of the leading experts in managing COVID-19 in China.
- 2. IRR is the abbreviation of Incident Rate Ratios, which means the conditional incidence rate compared with baselines.

References

- Agerström, J., Björklund, F., & Carlsson, R. (2013). Look at yourself! Visual perspective influences moral judgment by level of mental construal. *Social Psychology*, *44*(1), 42-46.
- Altman, I., & Taylor, D. A. (1973). Social penetration: The development of interpersonal relationships. New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Andalibi, N., Ozturk, P., & Forte, A. (2017). Sensitive Self-disclosures, Responses, and Social Support on Instagram: the case of# depression. *In Proceedings of the 2017 ACM conference on computer supported cooperative work and social computing* (pp. 1485-1500).
- Andringa, E. (1996). Effects of 'narrative distance on readers' emotional involvement and response. *Poetics, 23*(6), 431-452.
- Barnett, M. A., Tetreault, P. A., Esper, J. A., & Bristow, A. R. (1986). Similarity and empathy:The experience of rape. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, *126*(1), 47-49.

- Barros, J. M., Duggan, J., & Rebholz-Schuhmann, D. (2020). The application of Internet-based sources for public health surveillance (Infoveillance): Systematic review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(3), e13680.
- Bates, B. R., Romina, S., Ahmed, R., & Hopson, D. (2006). The effect of source credibility on consumers' perceptions of the quality of health information on the Internet. *Medical Informatics and the Internet in Medicine*, 31(1), 45-52.
- Batson, C. D., Lishner, D. A., Cook, J., & Sawyer, S. (2005). Similarity and nurturance: Two possible sources of empathy for strangers. *Basic and applied social psychology*, 27(1), 15-25.
- Berger, C. R. (1987). Communicating under uncertainty. In M. E. Roloff., & G. R. Miller. (Eds.).Interpersonal processes: New directions in communication research (pp. 39-62).Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Berger, C. R. (1988). Uncertainty and information exchange in developing relationships. In S. Duck, D. F. Hay, S. E. Hobfoll, W. Ickes, & B. M. Montgomery (Eds.), Handbook of personal relationships: Theory, research and interventions (p. 239–255). John Wiley & Sons. https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1988-97881-013
- Biddison, LD., Berkowitz, KA., Courtney, B., et al. (2014). Ethical considerations: care of the critically ill and injured during pandemics and disasters: CHEST consensus statement. *Chest*, 146(4), e145S-e155S.
- Boehmer, J., & Tandoc, E. C. (2015). Why we retweet: Factors influencing intentions to share sport news on Twitter. *International Journal of Sport Communication*, 8(2), 212-232.

- boyd, d., Golder, S., & Lotan, G. (2010). Tweet, tweet, retweet: Conversational aspects of retweeting on Twitter. 43rd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Honolulu, HI, 2010, pp. 1-10
- Braithwaite, D. O., Waldron, V. R., & Finn, J. (1999). Communication of social support in computer-mediated groups for people with disabilities. *Health communication*, 11(2), 123-151.
- Briones, R., Nan, X., Madden, K., & Waks, L. (2012). When vaccines go viral: An analysis of HPV vaccine coverage on YouTube. *Health Communication*, 27(5), 478-485.
- Bucy, E. P. (2003). Media credibility reconsidered: Synergy effects between on-air and online news. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 80(2),247-264.
- Cao, X., & Decker, D. (2015). Psychological distancing: the effects of narrative perspectives and levels of access to a victim's inner world on victim blame and helping intention.
 International Journal of Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Marketing, 20(1), 12-24.
- China Internet Network Information Center. (2019). Statistical Report on Internet Development in China. Retrieved from

https://cnnic.com.cn/IDR/ReportDownloads/201911/P020191112539794960687.pdf

- Cole, S., Balcetis, E., & Dunning, D. (2013). Affective signals of threat increase perceived proximity. *Psychological Science*, *24*(1), 34-40.
- Coulson, N. S. (2005). Receiving social support online: an analysis of a computer-mediated support group for individuals living with irritable bowel syndrome. *Cyberpsychology & behavior*, 8(6), 580-584.

- Coulson, N. S., Buchanan, H., & Aubeeluck, A. (2007). Social support in cyberspace: a content analysis of communication within a Huntington's disease online support group. *Patient education and counseling*, *68*(2), 173-178.
- Coursaris, C. K., & Liu, M. (2009). An analysis of social support exchanges in online HIV/AIDS self-help groups. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *25*(4), 911-918.
- Cutrona, CE.,& Suhr, JA. (1992). Controllability of stressful events and satisfaction with spouse support behaviors. *Communication Research*, *19*:154–174.
- Dillard, J. P., & Nabi, R. L. (2006). The persuasive influence of emotion in cancer prevention and detection messages. *Journal of Communication*, *56*, S123–S139.
- Dovidio, J. F. (1984). Helping behavior and altruism: An empirical and conceptual overview. In
 L. Berkowitz (ed.). Advances in Experimental Social Psychology (pp. 361-427). New
 York, NY: Academic Press.
- Dunkel-Schetter, C., Feinstein, L., & Call, J. (1986). UCLA social support inventory. Unpublished manuscript, University of California, Los Angeles.
- Emanuel, E. J., Persad, G., Upshur, R., Thome, B., Parker, M., Glickman, A., Zhang, C., Boyle,
 C., Smith, M., & Phillips, J. P. (2020). Fair allocation of scarce medical resources in the
 time of Covid-19. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 382(21), 2049-2055.
- Emanuel, EJ., & Wertheimer, A. (2006). Public health: who should get influenza vaccine when not all can? *Science*, *312*,854-855.
- Federici, R. A., & Skaalvik, E. M. (2014). Students' Perceptions of Emotional and Instrumental Teacher Support: Relations with Motivational and Emotional Responses. *International Education Studies*, 7(1), 21-36.

Fiedler, K., Semin, G.R., Finkenauer, C. & Berkel, I. (1995). Actor-Observer Bias in Close Relationships: The Role of Self-Knowledge and Self-Related Language. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 21*(5), 525-538.

Filho, P. C. L., de Macedo, T. S., Ferreira, A. K. A., de Melo, M. C. F., de Araujo, M. M. S., de Melo Freitas, J. L., Caldas, T. U., & de Franca Caldas Jr., A. (2020). Assessing the quality, readability and reliability of online information on COVID-19: An infoveillance observational study. medRxiv. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.30.20117614

- Fogg, B. J., & Tseng, H. (1999). The elements of computer credibility. Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems the CHI Is the Limit - CHI '99. (pp. 80–87).
- Fogg, B. J., Marshall, J., Osipovich, A., Varma, C., Laraki, O., Fang, N., Paul, J., Rangnekar, A., Shon, J., Swani, P., & Treinen, M. (2000). Elements that affect web credibility: Early results from a self-report study. Retrieved from https://dl.acm.org/doi/pdf/10.1145/633292.633460
- Freeman, K. S., & Spyridakis, J. H. (2004). An examination of factors that affect the credibility of online health information. *Technical Communication*, *51*, 239-263.

Fu, K., & Zhu, Y. (2020). Did the world overlook the media's early warning of COVID-19? Journal of Risk Research. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1080/13669877.2020.1756380

Ha, S., & Ahn, J. (2011). Why are you sharing others' tweets?: The impact of argument quality and source credibility on information sharing behavior. *Thirty Second International*

Conference on Information Systems, Shanghai 2011. Shanghai, China.

https://aisel.aisnet.org/icis2011/proceedings/humanbehavior/4

- Hilligoss, B., & Rieh, S. Y. (2008). Developing a unifying framework of credibility assessment:
 Construct, heuristics, and interaction in context. *Information Processing & Management*, 44(4), 1467-1484.
- House, JS. (1981). Work stress and social support (Unpublished master's thesis). Addison-Wesley, Reading.
- Huang, C., Xu, X., Cai, Y., Ge, Q., Zeng, G., Li, X., Zhang, W., Ji, C., & Yang, L. (2020). Mining the characteristics of COVID-19 patients in China: Analysis of social media posts. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(5), e19087.
- Huang, H. Y. (2016). Examining the beneficial effects of individual's self-disclosure on the social network site. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *57*, 122-132.
- Huang, Y. L., Starbird, K., Orand, M., Stanek, S. A., & Pedersen, H. T. (2015, February).
 Connected through crisis: Emotional proximity and the spread of misinformation online. *In Proceedings of the 18th ACM conference on computer supported cooperative work & social computing* (pp. 969-980).
- Indian, M., & Grieve, R. (2014). When Facebook is easier than face-to-face: Social support derived from Facebook in socially anxious individuals. *Personality and individual differences*, 59, 102-106.
- Ko, H. C., Wang, L. L., & Xu, Y. T. (2013). Understanding the different types of social support offered by audience to A-list diary-like and informative bloggers. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 16*(3), 194-199.

Kwon, K. H., Chadha, M., & Pellizzaro, K. (2017). Proximity and terrorism news in social media: A construal-level theoretical approach to networked framing of terrorism in Twitter. *Mass Communication and Society*, 20(6), 869-894.

Lazarus, R. S. (1991). Emotion and adaptation. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

- Lee, J. Y., & Sundar, S. S. (2013). To tweet or to retweet? That is the question for health professionals on Twitter. *Health Communication*, *28*(5), 509-524.
- Lee, M., Kim, H., & Kim, O. (2015). Why do people retweet a tweet?: Altruistic, egoistic, and reciprocity motivations for retweeting. *Psychologia*, *58*, 189-201.
 Lee, S. Y., Hwang, H., Hawkins, R., & Pingree, S. (2008). Interplay of negative emotion and health self-efficacy on the use of health information and its outcomes. *Communication Research*, *35*(3), 358–381.
- Li, J., Xu, Q., Cuomo, R., Purushothaman, V., & Mackey, T. (2020b). Data mining and content analysis of the Chinese social media platform Weibo during the early COVID-19 outbreak: Retrospective observational infoveillance study. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*, 6(2), e18700.
- Li, L., Zhang, Q., Wang, X., Zhang, J., Wang, T., Gao, T., Duan, W., Tsoi, K. K., & Wang, F.
 (2020a). Characterizing the propagation of situational information in social media during COVID-19 epidemic: A case study on Weibo. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*, 7(2), 556-562.
- Li, S., Feng, B., Li, N., & Tan, X. (2015). How social context cues in online support-seeking influence self-disclosure in support provision. *Communication Quarterly*, *63*(5), 586-602.
- Liu G., Shi C., Chen Q., Wu B., Qi J. (2014) A Two-Phase Model for Retweet Number Prediction. In: Li F., Li G., Hwang S., Yao B., Zhang Z. (eds) Web-Age Information

Management. WAIM 2014. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 8485. Springer, Cham

- Liu, X., Lu, J., & Wang, H. (2017). When health information meets social media: Exploring virality on Sina Weibo. *Health Communication*, 32(10), 1252-1260.
- Liu, Z., Liu, L., & Li, H. (2012). Determinants of information retweeting in microblogging. *Internet Research*, 22(4), 443-466.
- Loewenstein, G., & Small, D. A. (2007). The scarecrow and the tin man: The vicissitudes of human sympathy and caring. *Review of General Psychology*, *11*(2), 112-126.
- Lu, J., & Qiu, Y. (2013). Microblogging and social change in China. *Asian Perspective*, 37(3), 305-331.
- Luker, K., Beaver, K., Leinster, S.,& Owens, GR. (1996). Information needs and sources of information for women with breast cancer: A follow-up study. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 23:487-495.
- Malecki, C. K., & Demaray, M. K. (2003). What type of support do they need? Investigating student adjustment as related to emotional, informational, appraisal, and instrumental support. *School psychology quarterly*, 18(3), 231.
- Mukherjee, S. (2010). *The emperor of all maladies: A biography of cancer*. New York, NY: Scribner.
- Mukkamala, A., & Beck, R. (2018). The role of social media for collective behavior development in response to natural disasters. *Twenty-Sixth European Conference on Information Systems (ECIS2018)*, Portsmouth, UK, 2018. Retrieved from https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/8c21/3b874dd3bddcd8d913522ab89c1ea4d0de12.pdf?_g a=2.175377954.1065990714.1591080026-1929738709.1591080026

- Muñoz-Laboy, M., Severson, N., Perry, A., & Guilamo-Ramos, V. (2014). Differential impact of types of social support in the mental health of formerly incarcerated Latino men. *American journal of men's health*, 8(3), 226-239.
- Myrick, J. G., Holton, A. E., Himelboim, I., & Love, B. (2016). #Stupidcancer: Exploring a Typology of Social Support and the Role of Emotional Expression in a Social Media Community. *Health Communication*, 31(5), 596–605.
- Nan, X. (2007). Social Distance, Framing, and Judgment: A Construal Level Perspective. *Human Communication Research*, *33*(4), 489-514.
- Newman, M. W., Lauterbach, D., Munson, S. A., Resnick, P., & Morris, M. E. (2011). It's not that I don't have problems, I'm just not putting them on Facebook: Challenges and opportunities in using online social networks for health. In P. Hinds, J. C. Tang, & J. Wang (Chairs), Proceedings of the ACM 2011 Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work (pp. 341–350). New York, NY: ACM.
- Pan, W., Feng, B., & Skye Wingate, V. (2018). What you say is what you get: how selfdisclosure in support seeking affects language use in support provision in online support forums. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 37(1), 3-27.
- Pan, W., Feng, B., Wingate, V. S., & Li, S. (2020). What to say when seeking support online: A comparison among different levels of self-disclosure. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 978.
- Pan, W., Shen, C., & Feng, B. (2017). You get what you give: understanding reply reciprocity and social capital in online health support forums. *Journal of health communication*, 22(1), 45-52.
- Persad, G., Wertheimer, A., & Emanuel, EJ. (2009). Principles for allocation of scarce medical interventions. *Lancet 373*,423-431.

- Rabjohn, N., Cheung, C. M., & Lee, M. K. (2008). Examining the perceived credibility of online opinions: information adoption in the online environment. *In Proceedings of the 41st Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS 2008)* (pp. 286-286). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2008.156
- Rains, S. A. (2007). The impact of anonymity on perceptions of source credibility and influence in computer-mediated group communication: A test of two competing hypotheses. *Communication Research*, 34(1), 100-125.
- Rains, S. A., Peterson, E. B., & Wright, K. B. (2015). Communicating social support in computer-mediated contexts: A meta-analytic review of content analyses examining support messages shared online among individuals coping with illness. *Communication Monographs*, 82(4), 403-430.
- Recuero, R., Araujo, R., & Zago, G. (2011). How does social capital affect retweets? In International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media. Retrieved from https://www.aaai.org/ocs/index.php/ICWSM/ICWSM11/paper/view/2807
- Report: China' s fight against COVID-19. (2020). *China Daily*. Retrieved from https://covid-19.chinadaily.com.cn/a/202004/21/WS5e9e2c62a3105d50a3d17880.html
- Rosenbaum, SJ., Bayer, R., Bernheim, RG., et al. (2011). Ethical considerations for decision making regarding allocation of mechanical ventilators during a severe influenza pandemic or other public health emergency. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and *Prevention, 2011*. Retrieved from:

https://www.cdc.gov/od/science/integrity/phethics/docs/Vent_Document_Final_Version. pdf. opens in new tab.

- Rui, J. R., Chen, Y., & Damiano, A. (2013). Health organizations providing and seeking social support: a Twitter-based content analysis. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 16*(9), 669-673.
- Sbaffi, L., & Rowley, J. (2017). Trust and credibility in web-based health information: A review and agenda for future research. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, *19*(6), e218.
- Self, C. C. (1996). Credibility. In Salwen, M. B., & Stacks, D. W. (eds.). An integrated approach to communication theory and research (pp. 421-441). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Shakespeare-Finch, J., & Obst, P. L. (2011). The development of the 2-way social support scale: A measure of giving and receiving emotional and instrumental support. *Journal of personality assessment*, 93(5), 483-490.
- Shen, C., Chen, A., Luo, C., Zhang, J., Feng, B., & Liao, W. (2020). Using reports of symptoms and diagnoses on social media to predict COVID-19 case counts in mainland China:
 Observational infoveillance study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(5), e19421.
- Sina Weibo Data Center. (2019, March 15). Weibo User Report in 2018. Retrieved from https://data.weibo.com/report/reportDetail?id=433
- Stokes, J. P. (1983). Predicting satisfaction with social support from social network structure. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 11, 141–152.
- Stvilia, B., Mon, L., & Yi, Y. J. (2009). A model for online consumer health information quality. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 60(9), 1781-1791.
- Sundar, S. S. (1999). Exploring receivers' criteria for perception of print and online news. Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly, 76(2), 373-386.

- Trepte, S., Dienlin, T., & Reinecke, L. (2015). Influence of social support received in online and offline contexts on satisfaction with social support and satisfaction with life: A longitudinal study. *Media Psychology*, 18(1), 74-105.
- Trepte, S., Reinecke, L., & Juechems, K. (2012). The social side of gaming: How playing online computer games creates online and offline social support. *Computers in Human Behavior, 28*, 832–839.
- Trope, Y., & Liberman, N. (2010). Construal-Level Theory of Psychological Distance. *Psychological Review*, 117(3), 1024-1024.
- van der Molen B. (2000). Relating information needs to the cancer experience: Themes from six cancer narratives. *European Journal of Cancer Care*, 9:48-54.
- Vitak, J., & Ellison, N. B. (2013). "There's a network out there you might as well tap": Exploring the benefits of and barriers to exchanging information and support-based resources on Facebook. *New Media & Society*, 15, 243–259.
- Wang, B., & Qu, T. (2020, January 20). The development of novel coronavirus infection: Human-to-human transmission confirmed and medical staff infected. *Xinhua News Agency*. Retrieved from http://www.xinhuanet.com/politics/2020-01/20/c 1125487200.htm
- Wang, X., Chen, L., Shi, J., & Peng, T. (2019). What makes cancer information viral on social media? *Computers in Human Behavior*, 93, 149-156.
- Wilson, D. K., Kliewer, W., Bayer, L., Jones, D., Welleford, A., Heiney, M., & Sica, D. A. (1999). The influence of gender and emotional versus instrumental support on cardiovascular reactivity in African-American adolescents. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 21(3), 235-243.

- Wingate, V. S., Feng, B., Kim, C., Pan, W., & Jang, J. (2020). The Influence of Self-Disclosure in Online Support Seeking on Quality of Received Advice. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 0261927X20911989.
- World Health Organization. (2018). *Managing epidemics: key facts about major deadly diseases*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018.
- Wu, J. T., Leung, K., & Leung, G. M. (2020). Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: A modelling study. *The Lancet, 395*(10225), 689-697.
- Xu, K. (2020, March 8). Closure of Wuhan's 11 makeshift hospitals reflects epidemic under control. *Global Times*. Retrieved from https://www.globaltimes.cn/content/1181971.shtml
- Yang, Q., Tufts, C., Ungar, L., Guntuku, S., & Merchant, R. (2018). To retweet or not to retweet:
 Understanding what features of cardiovascular tweets influence their retransmission.
 Journal of Health Communication, 23(12), 1026-1035.
- Žeželj, I. L., & Jokić, B. R. (2014). Replication of experiments evaluating impact of psychological distance on moral judgment: (Eyal, Liberman & Trope, 2008; Gong & Medin, 2012). Social Psychology, 45(3), 223-231.
- Zhang, J., Le, G., Larochelle, D., Pasick, R., Sawaya, G. F., Sarkar, U., & Centola, D. (2019).
 Facts or stories? How to use social media for cervical cancer prevention: A multi-method study of the effects of sender type and content type on increased message sharing. *Preventive Medicine*, *126*. Retrieved from 10.1016/j.ypmed.2019.105751

- Zhang, L., Peng, T., Zhang, Y., Wang, X., & Zhu, J. (2014). Content or context: Which matters more in information processing on microblogging sites. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 31, 242-249.
- Zhuang, J., Peng, T., Tang, J., & Wu, Y. (2020). Mixed and blended emotional reactions to 2014 Ebola outbreak. *Journal of Global Health*, *10*(1): 010304.

Zucker, H., Adler. K., Berens, D., et al. (2015). Ventilator allocation guidelines. Albany: New York State Department of Health Task Force on Life and the Law. Retrieved from:https://www.health.ny.gov/regulations/task_force/reports_publications/docs/ventilat or_guidelines.pdf. opens in new tab.