

What variables can better predict the number of infections and deaths worldwide by SARS-CoV-2? Variation through time

García de Alcañiz, J. G.; Romero-López, J.; Martínez-Esteban, R. P.; López-Rodas, V. and Costas, E.*

Genetics, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Complutense University Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain

*Corresponding author: ecostas@ucm.es

ABSTRACT

Lack of knowledge is the main problem we face in the global Covid-19 pandemic. SARS-CoV-2 is a new virus of which there were no previous studies.

Using data from 50 very different countries and by means of a regression analysis, we studied the degree to which a series of variables (health indicators, environmental parameters, economic and social indicators, general characteristics of the country) were able to predict the number of people infected and killed by Covid-19. We also studied how these variables were changing their ability to predict the number of infected and dead by covid-19 during a 3 months period (March, April, May).

The number of deaths by Covid-19 can always be predicted with great accuracy from the number of infected, regardless of the characteristics of the country (which has better or worse health, greater or lesser wealth, regardless of its population structure ...). Epidemiological measures to prevent transmission, mainly travel and mobility restrictions, proved to be much more efficient than having large hospital and medical resources.

Inbound tourism turned out to be the variable that best predicts the number of infected (and, consequently, the number of deaths) happening in the different countries. Electricity consumption and degree of air pollution of a country (CO₂ emissions, nitrous oxide and methane) are also capable of predicting, with great precision, the number of infections and deaths from Covid-19 in that country. Characteristics such as the area and population of a country also can predict, although to a lesser extent, the number of infected and dead.

In contrast, a series of variables, which in principle would seem to have a greater influence on the evolution of Covid-19 (hospital bed density, Physicians per

1000 people, Researches in R & D, urban population ...) turned out to have very

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

little ability to predict both the number of infected and the number of deaths from Covid-19.

All this may explain why the countries that opted for social withdrawal policies since the start of the pandemic outbreak obtained better results.

Competing Interest Statement

The authors have declared no competing interest.

Keywords: SARS-Cov-2, COVID-19, Regression Analysis, Tourism, Air Pollution, Urban Population, Hospital Bed density

INTRODUCTION

COVID-19 is a global pandemic caused by SARS-CoV-2 virus^{1,2}. The virus rapidly spread and is happening at the same time all around the world, to different people living in different countries with different economies, different climates, ... and, the effect of the disease is also different.

Infection and death counts vary widely not only from country to country but also through time. In some countries the virus is in the remission phase while in others is yet uncontrolled.

The main feature of COVID-19 pandemic is the lack of knowledge. Little is known yet, despite the effort of scientists around the world that have conducted numerous studies, and still do. Many studies have been performed since the outbreak of the pandemic using regression analysis³⁻⁷, most of them focus on the clinical aspects of the pandemic and its implications on health care settings^{5,8-13}, such as cardiac injury, kidney disease, respiratory conditions, diabetes, comorbidities^{8,9,14-19} gender and underlying comorbidities²⁰, severe COVID-19 condition and risk factors²¹⁻²⁴, number of CD8 +T cells as risk factors for the duration of SARS-CoV-2 viral positivity^{25 26}, clinical symptoms as smell loss²⁷, smoking habits²⁸. And not as many, on other aspects like looking into, for example, temperature as an affecting parameter to transmission rates^{3,29-31}. Some have used GIS modelling to understand what is happening with SARS CoV-2 in this pandemic³². Some others try to unveil the relevance of temperature, humidity, climate, air quality, socioeconomic factors, demographics...^{3,4,38,5,30,31,33-37}.

A classic scientific strategy to approach the unknown is through regression analysis. Many have used regression analysis or other statistical tools to understand this pandemic.

The relationship of COVID-19 with socioeconomic variables has also been investigated. For example, Mollalo et al. (2020) have performed a study in the U.S.A. compiling 35 environmental, socioeconomic, topographic and demographic variables and create a geodatabase to explain the spatial variability of the COVID incidence³⁷. GIS-based spatial modelling studies have also been done.³² Guha et al (2020) studied community and socioeconomic characteristics of people in the United States and its possible associations with COVID-19 cases and deaths⁶, Whittle et al (2020) studied socioeconomic

predictors across neighbourhoods in New York ⁷, Pirouz et al (2020) mixed climate and urban parameters for three regions in Italy ⁴

In a Big Data and Artificial Intelligence world, complex models have been developed in order to explain and understand COVID-19 pandemic, from regressions that analyse millions of data to complex models that relate regressions with geolocation. Non the less, classic statistical tools can still provide valuable information.

In this study we used a regression analysis between the number of COVID-19 cases and the number of deaths, the dependent variables; and a series of characteristics of the studied countries, the independent variables. We included into the independent variables general indicators (economic indicators, health & research indicators, environmental indicators...) of the different countries to analyse the predictive power of those variables, and if they change with time.

Interestingly, some variables that where not considered as relevant variables to predict infected and death count evolution do it very accurately, while some others that *a priori* where considered accurate predictors of COVID-19 infected and death counts are less or non-predictors at all.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We carried out a regression analysis, trying to unveil which characteristics make a country more vulnerable to Covid19.

The chosen dependent variables correspond to two of the most significant and worrisome characteristics of any outbreak: total number of infected people and total death toll. Data was collected from the World Health Organisation (WHO) Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard³⁹ (retrieved from <https://covid19.who.int> on the 21st of March, 21st of April and 21st of May). This study focuses on the predictive ability or not of the chosen independent variables, regarding infected and death counts.

Most of the independent variables were selected based on logical criteria, searching for relations that may explain the differences on the dependent variables within countries. For example: we decided to check for environmental indicators as CO₂, NO₂ and methane emissions, that may show an influence on the disease incidence. Health indicators that may influence over the mortality figures or the incidence count were as well chosen for the study (e.g. Hospital

Bed Density -beds per 1000- or Physicians per 1000 people). We also decided to take in consideration general demographic indicators to study any pattern that explained such differences (e.g. population, surface area, urban population...). Economic indicators were included as they may show different outcomes influenced by the countries' wealth. Among all indicators we decided to include some that showed countries investigation level and for so Research and development expenditure (% of GDP), included among the economic indicators, and Researches in R & D, within health indicators, were chosen.

All data was obtained via internet repositories open to public access, such as The World Health Organization, The Central Intelligence Agency or The World Bank. The complete list of variables, as well as the link to each data set is presented in Table 1.

Table 1 List of independent variables and data source

Independent Variable	Source
<i>General indicators</i>	
Population:	https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/resources/the-world-factbook/fields/335rank.html
Area:	https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/resources/the-world-factbook/fields/279rank.html
Urban Population	https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.URB.TOTL.IN.ZS?view=chart
Median age	https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/resources/the-world-factbook/fields/343rank.html
Population ages 65 and above	https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.65UP.TO.ZS
Proportion of seats held by women in national parliaments	https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SG.GEN.PARL.ZS
Population Growth rate	https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/resources/the-world-factbook/fields/344rank.html
Infant Mortality Rate	https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/resources/the-world-factbook/fields/354rank.html
Life expectancy at birth	https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/resources/the-world-factbook/fields/355rank.html
Obesity - Adult prevalence rate	https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/resources/the-world-factbook/fields/367rank.html
<i>Economic indicators</i>	
Unemployment rate	https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/resources/the-world-factbook/fields/220rank.html
Inflation rate (consumer prices)	https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/resources/the-world-factbook/fields/229rank.html
Research and development expenditure (% of GDP)	https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/GB.XPD.RSDV.GD.ZS

Government expenditure on education, total (% of GDP)	https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SE.XPD.TOTL.GD.ZS
Military expenditures	https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/resources/the-world-factbook/fields/330rank.html
Inbound Tourism	https://www.unwto.org/es/country-profile-inbound-tourism
<i>Health and research indicators</i>	
Hospital Bed Density (beds per 1000 people)	https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/fields/360.html
Physicians (per 1,000 people)	https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.MED.PHYS.ZS
Researches in R & D (per million people)	https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.SCIE.RD.P6
<i>Environmental indicators</i>	
Electricity Consumption	https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/fields/253rank.html
Carbon dioxide emissions from consumption of energy	https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/fields/274rank.html
Nitrous oxide emissions (thousand metric tons of CO ₂ equivalent)	https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/EN.ATM.NOXE.KT.CE
Methane emissions (kT of CO ₂ equivalent)	https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/EN.ATM.METH.KT.CE

The territories for this study were the first 50 countries with more inbound tourism in year 2018 (last data available), according to the World Tourism Organization webpage⁴⁰ (<https://www.unwto.org/country-profile-inbound-tourism>).

Regression analysis allows to establish a relation between two variables, a dependent variable y_i and an independent variable x_i . This linear regression follows the model:

$$y_i = x_i \beta + \alpha + \varepsilon_i$$

and was performed using the statistic package StatPlus^{mac} (AnalystSoft Inc.)

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Results were revealing and we then regrouped the independent variables according to their predictive value into three groups:

1. Variables that are clearly predictive at some point of the covid-19 pandemic (statistically significant linear regression $p < 0.05$)
2. Variables that are not predictive at any time of the covid-19 pandemic (non-statistically significant linear regression $p > 0.10$)
3. Variables that have some prediction capacity at some time in the covid-19 pandemic period studied (statistical significance of linear regression between $p < 0.10$ and $p > 0.05$).

1. Variables that are clearly predictive at some point of the covid-19 pandemic (statistically significant linear regression $p < 0.05$) (Table 2)

Among all the studied parameters, the two variables that had the highest significant regression ($p < 0.00001$) during the time period studied were the number of dead by SARS-COV-2 (dependent variable) and the number of infected people (predictive independent variable). This may appear as an obvious conclusion, but it gains relevance when you consider that other parameters studied (i.e. health and research indicators, country richness...) had no significance. No matter what country you choose (rich, poor, with a good or not so good health system, etc.) the number of infected people is going to rule the number of deaths. This drives the idea that what really matters to fight COVID-19 pandemic is to prevent infections among their citizens rather than better health care systems or richness or development. This may be the reason behind that poorer countries with less developed health care systems did so well fighting this pandemic outbreak (e.g. Viet Nam).

Inbound tourism was the second variable with greater significance. It remains significant with the number of infected people during the three month period studied (March: $p < 0.00001$); April: $p < 0.00001$; May: $p < 0.00006$). Our results are concordant with the results obtained by Aldibasi et al (2020)⁴¹. Daon et al (2020) suggest that an additional prominent reason for the especially wide and rapid spread of COVID-19 is likely to be the current high prevalence of international travel⁴². For Chinazzi et al. (2020) most of the imported cases outside China have likely originated from air travel⁴³ and Linka et al. suggest that the spread of COVID-19 in Europe closely followed air travel patterns and that the severe travel restrictions implemented there resulted in substantial decreases in the disease's spread⁴⁴. Kubota et al (2020) found that relative frequency of foreign

visitors per population was positively correlated⁴⁵ and Coelho et al. (2020) emphasized the role of the air transportation network in this epidemic⁴⁶. Gross et al studying spatial dynamics of the COVID-19 in China found a strong correlation between the number of infected individuals in each province studied and the population migration from Hubei to those provinces⁴⁷ with a slight decay along time, which agrees with our results.

Inbound tourism also has a significant association with the other dependent variable, number of dead people and stays significant in March ($p < 0.0003$), April ($p < 0.00001$) and May ($p < 0.00001$). This means that the number of people arriving to a country is a significant predictor of COVID-19 infected or dead figures, this is concordant with the results obtained by Anzai et al.⁴⁸. Merler et al. conducted a study to see the effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the virus, and they found that the travel quarantine of Wuhan delayed the overall epidemic progression by only 3 to 5 days in mainland China but had a more marked effect on the international scale, where case importations were reduced by nearly 80% until mid-February⁴⁹.

Other results that we estimated relevant was the strong regression found between infected and dead by COVID-19 and independent variables that talk about atmospheric pollution.

Electricity consumption had high statistical significance with both dependent variables, number of infected people and number of deaths, during the period studied. Other environmental indicators (CO₂ emissions, NO₂ emissions and methane emissions) also showed high predictive power since they had statistical significance (with $p < 0.001$ values) Countries with more emissions and more energy usage had higher counts of infected or dead people.

Although Travaglio et al (2020) worked only with data from England their findings are similar to ours since they conclude that the levels of some air pollutants are linked to COVID-19 cases and morbidity⁵⁰.

Similarly, Liu et al. (2020) studied the association of NO₂ atmospheric level over the spread of the COVID-19 in Chinese cities, suggesting that ambient NO₂ may contribute to the spread of COVID-19⁵. Yao et al. (2020) although studying the effect of other pollution indicators (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀) found that COVID-19 held higher death rates with increasing concentration of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀⁵¹. We cannot forget that pollution variables are somehow related with transport (e.g. airplanes, trains and cars)

Population and Area of the countries studied had different predictive power along the studied period. On the 21st of March, both, Population and Area had high correlation with number of infected people ($p=0.00007$ and $p=0.0043$ respectively) but on the consecutive months, while Population had no statistical significance, the Area variable retained predictive power for the number of infected people. The p values for Area were $p=0.009$ on April and $p=0.0002$ on May. When we considered the relation of these two variables with the number of deaths, we found similar outputs, on the 21st of March both had statistical significance (Population: $p=0.014$; Area: $p=0.0053$), but on the following months the Population variable was no longer significant while Area still was ($p=0.04$ on April, $p=0.007$ in May). Aldibasi (2020) found a statistically significant positive association between Area(km²) and mortality rate and a negative association between Population size and incidence rate⁴¹.

Table 2 Variables that are clearly predictive at some point of the covid-19 pandemic (statistically significant linear regression $p < 0.05$)

I. VARIABLES THAT ARE CLEARLY PREDICTIVE AT SOME POINT OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC							
(statistically significant linear regression $p < 0.05$)							
21 st of MARCH 2020							
dependent variable (y)	independent (predictive) variable (x)	F value	d. f. regression residue	P	R	R ²	α and β coefficients
Dead vs. infected covid-19							
dead by covid-19	infected by covid-19	194.20	1 48	<0.00001 ***	0.90	0.80	$\alpha=-44,4432$ $\beta=0,0531$
Inbound tourism							
infected by covid-19	arrivals (in millions)	24.73	1 48	0.00001 ***	0.58	0.34	$\alpha=3605,1659$ $\beta=0404,0676$
dead by covid-19	arrivals (in millions)	15.23	1 48	0.0003 ***	0.49	0.24	$\alpha=-206$ $\beta=20.15$
Environmental indicators							
infected by covid-19	electricity consumption	10.76	1 48	<0.00001 ***	0.69	0.47	$\alpha=1407,3889$ $\beta<0.00001$
dead by covid-19	electricity consumption	10.35	1 43	0.0023 ***	0.42	0.17	$\alpha=101,56$ $\beta<0.00001$
infected by covid-19	Carbon dioxide emissions	55.34	1 48	<0.00001 ***	0.73	0.54	$\alpha=1604,2334$ $\beta=0.00001$
dead by covid-19	Carbon dioxide emissions	12.83	1 48	0.0008***	0.46	0.21	$\alpha=104,38$ $\beta<0.00001$
infected by covid-19	Nitrous oxide emissions	29.32	1 48	<0.00001 ***	0.65	0.43	$\alpha=26250$ $\beta=0.4545$
dead by covid-19	Nitrous oxide emissions	8.59	1 39	0.0056**	0.43	0.18	$\alpha=125,52$ $\beta= 0.0939$
infected by covid-19	Methane emissions	28,62	1 40	<0.00001 ***	0.65	0.42	$\alpha=35022$ $\beta=0.1012$
dead by covid-19	Methane emissions	8.62	1 39	0.0055**	0.42	0.18	$\alpha=135$ $\beta=0.00133$

General indicators							
infected by covid-19	Population	10.90	1 48	0.00007***	0.53	0.28	$\alpha=2520$ $\beta=0.00003$
dead by covid-19	Population	6.48	1 48	0.014*	0.34	0.12	$\alpha=134$ $\beta<00001$
infected by covid-19	Area	7,70	1 48	0.0049 ***	0.39	0.1153	$\alpha=2626$ $\beta=0.0015$
dead by covid-19	Area	8.51	1 48	0.0053 **	0.39	0.15	$\alpha=78.14$ $\beta=0.00009$

I. VARIABLES THAT ARE CLEARLY PREDICTIVE AT SOME POINT OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

(statistically significant linear regression $p < 0.05$)

21st of APRIL 2020

dependent variable (y)	independent variable (x)	F value	d. f. regression residue	P	R	R ²	α and β coefficients
Dead vs. infected covid-19							
dead by covid-19	infected by covid-19	136.20	1 43	<0.00001 ***	0.87	0.76	$\alpha=-35423$ $\beta=3580$
Inbound tourism							
infected by covid-19	arrivals (in millions)	31.08	1 43	0.00001 ***	0.65	0.42	$\alpha=786$ $\beta=0.057$
dead by covid-19	arrivals (in millions)	73.49	1 43	0.00001 ***	0.79	0.63	$\alpha=-3136$ $\beta=285$
Environmental indicators							
infected by covid-19	electricity consumption	20.76	1 43	0.00001 ***	0.57	0.33	$\alpha=18774$ $\beta<0.00001$
dead by covid-19	electricity consumption	8.32	1 43	0.006 ***	0.40	0.16	$\alpha=2162$ $\beta<0.00001$
infected by covid-19	Carbon dioxide emissions	8.71	1 43	0.005 ***	0.41	0.17	$\alpha=28961$ $\beta=0.00003$
dead by covid-19	Carbon dioxide emissions	3.37	1 43	0.0735	0.27	0.07	$\alpha=2690$ $\beta<0.00001$
infected by covid-19	Nitrous oxide emissions	7.99	1 43	0.007 **	0.40	0.16	$\alpha=26250$ $\beta=0.4545$
dead by covid-19	Nitrous oxide emissions	3.24	1 43	0.08	0.26	0.07	$\alpha=2558$ $\beta= 0.01974$
infected by covid-19	Methane emissions	2.85	1 43	0.10	0.25	0.06	$\alpha=35022$ $\beta=0.1012$
dead by covid-19	Methane emissions	0.90	1 43	0.34 n.s.	0.14	0.02	$\alpha=3017$ $\beta=0.00377$

General indicators							
infected by covid-19	Population	1.09	1 43	0.30 n.s	0.157	0.024	$\alpha=40190$ $\beta=0.00007$
dead by covid-19	Population	0.32	1 43	0.57 n.s.	0.086	0.007	$\alpha=3221$ $\beta<00001$
infected by covid-19	Area	7,45	1 43	0.009 **	0.384	0.147	$\alpha=22664$ $\beta=0.01185$
dead by covid-19	Area	4.31	1 43	0.04 *	0.30	0.09	$\alpha=2211$ $\beta=0.00006$

I. VARIABLES THAT ARE CLEARLY PREDICTIVE AT SOME POINT OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

(statistically significant linear regression $p < 0.05$)

21st of MAY 2020

dependent variable (y)	Independent (predictive) variable (x)	F value	d. f. regression residue	P	R	R ²	α and β coefficients
Dead vs. infected covid-19							
dead by covid-19	infected by covid-19	4912108	1 48	<0.00001 ***	0.99	0.99	$\alpha=-0.22617$ $\beta=0.02910$
Inbound tourism							
infected by covid-19	arrivals (in millions)	19-52	1 48	0.00006 ***	0.54	0.29	$\alpha=-43061$ $\beta=05702$
dead by covid-19	arrivals (in millions)	40.22	1 48	<0.0000 ***	0.67	0.46	$\alpha=-4900$ $\beta=485$
Environmental indicators							
infected by covid-19	electricity consumption	22.47	1 48	0.00002 ***	0.56	0.32	$\alpha=34430$ $\beta<0.00001$
dead by covid-19	electricity consumption	14.00	1 48	0.00049 ***	0.47	0.23	$\alpha=3116$ $\beta<0.00001$
infected by covid-19	Carbon dioxide emissions	9.20	1 48	0.004 **	0.40	0.16	$\alpha=53388$ $\beta=0.00005$
dead by covid-19	Carbon dioxide emissions	5.25	1 48	0.026*	0.31	0.10	$\alpha=4383$ $\beta<0.00001$
infected by covid-19	Nitrous oxide emissions	3.31	1 39	0.08	0.28	0.08	$\alpha=48735$ $\beta=0.2236$
dead by covid-19	Nitrous oxide emissions	0.51	1 39	0.48	0.11	0.013	$\alpha=3930$ $\beta= 0.009$
infected by covid-19	Methane emissions	4.91	1 39	0.03*	0.33	0.11	$\alpha=47540$ $\beta=0.09$
dead by covid-19	Methane emissions	0.21	1 39	0.65 n.s.	0.07	0.005	$\alpha=4083$ $\beta=0.0019$

General indicators							
infected by covid-19	Population	1.62	1 48	0.20 n.s	0.18	0.032	$\alpha=70907$ $\beta=0.00015$
dead by covid-19	Population	0.68	1 48	0.41 n.s.	0.12	0.014	$\alpha=5450$ $\beta<00001$
infected by covid-19	Area	15,38	1 48	0.0002 ***	0.49	0.243	$\alpha=29559$ $\beta=0.02954$
dead by covid-19	Area	7.86	1 48	0.007 **	0.37	0.14	$\alpha=3202$ $\beta=0.0015$

2. Variables that are not predictive at any time of the covid-19 pandemic (non-statistically significant linear regression $p > 0.10$) (Table 3)

None of the variables included in this group had statistical significance during the time period studied, from 21st of March to the 21st of May. The regression analysis with Hospital Bed Density (beds per 1000 people), Number of Physicians (per 1000 people) had no correlation with number of infected nor number of deaths at any moment in our study. When confronted with this new pandemic, health care systems showed little efficiency in preventing infections and death counts, due to probably lack of knowledge. What proved to be more effective was early prevention measures.

Researches in R&D (per million people) or Infant Mortality Rate (indicator of a countries health and care system) showed similar behaviour. In the same line, Yao et al. (2020) did not find significance in the association between hospital beds per capita and COVID-19 death rate⁵¹. Sorci et al. (2020) found that the Number of hospital beds per 1,000 inhabitants was negatively associated with Case Fatality Rate⁵². Qiu et al (2020) in their study in China, found that COVID-19 transmission is negatively moderated by the number of doctors at the city level⁵³

The logic of any disease is that good health and research parameters of a country should have a positive effect over the number of infected or the number of deaths, but our results indicate no significance at all. It is necessary to highlight that the best predictor we found to death count by COVID-19 is the number of infected by COVID-19 ($p > 0,00001$), there is a cause effect relation. What it really shows is that prevention, and not treatment, is the best way to face the COVID-19 pandemic.

Unemployment rate, Inflation rate and Military expenditure, categorised as economic indicators fell also in this group of non-predictive variables. We did not find statistically significant regressions between the different economic indicators we selected and the dependent variables (number of infected and number of deaths). Richness of a country does not predict its infected or dead by COVID-19, with the exception of those that showed easiness to travel across the country (i.e. Inbound tourism). Yao et al. among other variables they also studied Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita as a measurement of a country's wealth and in their work, GDP per capita was found not to have

significance in the association with COVID-19 death rate⁵¹. According to Qiu et al. (2020) COVID-19 transmission is positively moderated by GDP per capita⁵³

In our study, Urban population (%) and Population Growth rate were also not statistically significant in relation with number of infected people and number of deaths by SARS-CoV-2.

Table 3.- Variables that are not predictive at any time of the covid-19 pandemic (non-statistically significant linear regression $p > 0.10$)

II: VARIABLES THAT ARE NOT PREDICTIVE AT ANY TIME OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC (non-statistically significant linear regression $p > 0.10$) 21 st of MARCH 2020							
dependent variable (y)	independent (predictive) variable (x)	F value	d. f. regression residue	P	R	R ²	α and β coefficients
Health (direct and indirect) indicators							
infected by covid-19	Hospital Bed Density	0.07	1 36	0.78 n.s.	0.05	0.00	$\alpha=5059$ $\beta=-248$
dead by covid-19	Hospital Bed Density	0.16	1 35	0.70 n.s.	0.07	0.004	$\alpha=380.10$ $\beta=-21.19$
infected by covid-19	Physicians (per 1,000 people)	0.19	1 39	0.66 n.s.	0.07	0.00	$\alpha=24452$ $\beta=8703$
dead by covid-19	Physicians (per 1,000 people)	0.16	1 39	0.69 n.s.	0.06	0.004	$\alpha=179$ $\beta=43.44$
infected by covid-19	Researches in R & D	0.52	1 43	0.48 n.s.	0.22	0.05	$\alpha=174$ $\beta=4946$
dead by covid-19	Researches in R & D	0.51	1 43	0.46 n.s.	0.20	0.04	$\alpha=176$ $\beta=4427$
infected by covid-19	Infant Mortality Rate	0.78	1 48	0.38 n.s.	0.13	0.016	$\alpha=7572$ $\beta=-201$
dead by covid-19	Infant Mortality Rate	0.65	1 48	0.42 n.s.	0.12	0.013	$\alpha=351$ $\beta=-156$

Economic & social indicators							
infected by covid-19	Unemployment rate	0.04	1 48	0.83 n.s.	0.03	0.00	$\alpha=4995$ $\beta=85$
dead by covid-19	Unemployment rate	0.84	1 48	0.36 n.s.	0.13	0.02	$\alpha=96.92$ $\beta=21.71$
infected by covid-19	Inflation rate	0.52	1 48	0.47 n.s.	0.10	0.01	$\alpha=6671$ $\beta=-293$
dead by covid-19	Inflation rate	0.19	1 48	0.66 n.s.	0.06	0.004	$\alpha=291$ $\beta=-10.47$
infected by covid-19	Military expenditures	0.16	1 48	0.69 n.s.	0.06	0.00	$\alpha=6743$ $\beta=-587$
dead by covid-19	Military expenditures	0.21	1 48	0.65 n.s.	0.07	0.004	$\alpha=329$ $\beta=-39$
Demographic indicators							
infected by covid-19	Urban Population	0.06	1 39	0.81 n.s.	0.04	0.001	$\alpha=-8756$ $\beta=-34$
dead by covid-19	Urban Population	0.07	1 39	0.79 n.s.	0.04	0.001	$\alpha=-459$ $\beta=-2.24$
infected by covid-19	Population Growth rate	1.1	1 48	0.20 n.s.	0.15	0.022	$\alpha=7756$ $\beta=-3411$
dead by covid-19	Population Growth rate	0.65	1 48	0.42 n.s.	0.11	0.013	$\alpha=351$ $\beta=-156$

II: VARIABLES THAT ARE NOT PREDICTIVE AT ANY TIME OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

(non-statistically significant linear regression $p > 0.10$)

21st of APRIL 2020

dependent variable (y)	independent variable (x)	F value	d. f. regression residue	P	R	R ²	α and β coefficients
Health (direct and indirect) indicators							
infected by covid-19	Hospital Bed Density	0.03	1 43	0.85 n.s.	0.03	0.0006	$\alpha=55993$ $\beta=-1195$
dead by covid-19	Hospital Bed Density	0.03	1 43	0.84 n.s.	0.03	0.0010	$\alpha=4105$ $\beta=-86.81$
infected by covid-19	Physicians (per 1,000 people)	0.44	1 43	0.51 n.s.	0.10	0.01	$\alpha=24452$ $\beta=8703$
dead by covid-19	Physicians (per 1,000 people)	1.30	1 43	0.26 n.s.	0.17	0.03	$\alpha=918$ $\beta=961$
infected by covid-19	Researches in R & D	0.53	1 43	0.47 n.s.	0.11	0.01	$\alpha=1461$ $\beta=5.71$
dead by covid-19	Researches in R & D	1.74	1 43	0.19 n.s.	0.19	0.04	$\alpha=1313$ $\beta=1427$
infected by covid-19	Infant Mortality Rate	1.27	1 43	0.26 n.s.	0.169	0.028	$\alpha=4286$ $\beta=-1220$
dead by covid-19	Infant Mortality Rate	2.36	1 43	0.13 n.s.	0.228	0.052	$\alpha=5284$ $\beta=-186$

Economic & social indicators							
infected by covid-19	Unemployment rate	0.0002	$\frac{1}{43}$	0.98 n.s.	0.002	0.023	$\alpha=47418$ $\beta=48.05$
dead by covid-19	Unemployment rate	0.43	$\frac{1}{43}$	0.43 n.s.	0.119	0.014	$\alpha=2240$ $\beta=176$
infected by covid-19	Inflation rate	0.24	$\frac{1}{43}$	0.63 n.s.	0.074	0.005	$\alpha=53445$ $\beta=1768$
dead by covid-19	Inflation rate	0.40	$\frac{1}{43}$	0.53 n.s.	0.096	0.009	$\alpha=3970$ $\beta=-147$
infected by covid-19	Military expenditures	0.54	$\frac{1}{43}$	0.46 n.s.	0.111	0.012	$\alpha=30854$ $\beta=8962$
dead by covid-19	Military expenditures	0.012	$\frac{1}{43}$	0.91 n.s.	0.016	0.000	$\alpha=3.327$ $\beta=87$
Demographic indicators							
infected by covid-19	Urban Population	0.85	$\frac{1}{43}$	0.36 n.s.	0.139	0.019	$\alpha=-20436$ $\beta=941$
dead by covid-19	Urban Population	1.28	$\frac{1}{43}$	0.26 n.s.	0.169	0.028	$\alpha=-1901$ $\beta=74$
infected by covid-19	Population Growth rate	0.21	$\frac{1}{43}$	0.65 n.s.	0.069	0.004	$\alpha=56011$ $\beta=-12647$
dead by covid-19	Population Growth rate	0.46	$\frac{1}{43}$	0.50 n.s.	0.103	0.011	$\alpha=4286$ $\beta=-1220$

II: VARIABLES THAT ARE NOT PREDICTIVE AT ANY TIME OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

(non-statistically significant linear regression $p > 0.10$)

21st of MAY 2020

dependent variable (y)	independent variable (x)	F value	d. f. regression residue	P	R	R ²	α and β coefficients
Health (direct and indirect) indicators							
infected by covid-19	Hospital Bed Density	0.09	1 36	0.76 n.s.	0.05	0.0003	$\alpha=55993$ $\beta=-1195$
dead by covid-19	Hospital Bed Density	0.005	1 35	0.94 n.s.	0.01	0.000	$\alpha=3755$ $\beta=-30.12$
infected by covid-19	Physicians (per 1,000 people)	0.87	1 39	0.35 n.s.	0.15	0.02	$\alpha=35276$ $\beta=8492$
dead by covid-19	Physicians (per 1,000 people)	1.77	1 39	0.19 n.s.	0.21	0.04	$\alpha=1084$ $\beta=1186$
infected by covid-19	Researches in R & D	0.53	1 43	0.44 n.s.	0.25	0.06	$\alpha=71910$ $\beta=-779$
dead by covid-19	Researches in R & D	0.10	1 40	0.19 n.s.	0.10	0.009	$\alpha=3978$ $\beta =-0.222$
infected by covid-19	Infant Mortality Rate	0.49	1 48	0.49 n.s.	0.10	0.010	$\alpha=110832$ $\beta=-2449$
dead by covid-19	Infant Mortality Rate	1.49	1 48	0.23 n.s.	0.17	0.030	$\alpha=8956$ $\beta=-287$

Economic & social indicators							
infected by covid-19	Unemployment rate	0.01	1 48	0.92 n.s.	0.01	0.000	$\alpha=91570$ $\beta=-634$
dead by covid-19	Unemployment rate	0.10	1 48	0.76 n.s.	0.04	0.002	$\alpha=5226$ $\beta=130$
infected by covid-19	Inflation rate	0.13	1 43	0.71 n.s.	0.05	0.002	$\alpha=95252$ $\beta=-2281$
dead by covid-19	Inflation rate	0.40	1 48	0.53 n.s.	0.09	0.008	$\alpha=7130$ $\beta=-266$
infected by covid-19	Military expenditures	1.37	1 48	0.25 n.s.	0.16	0.027	$\alpha=37127$ $\beta=25781$
dead by covid-19	Military expenditures	0.012	1 43	0.91 n.s.	0.016	0.000	$\alpha=3.327$ $\beta=87$
Demographic indicators							
infected by covid-19	Urban Population	0.83	1 39	0.37 n.s.	0.14	0.020	$\alpha=-11100$ $\beta=656$
dead by covid-19	Urban Population	1.17	1 43	0.29 n.s.	0.17	0.029	$\alpha=-1233$ $\beta=77$
infected by covid-19	Population Growth rate	0.06	1 48	0.81 n.s.	0.03	0.001	$\alpha=94458$ $\beta=-12003$
dead by covid-19	Population Growth rate	0.12	1 48	0.72 n.s.	0.05	0.003	$\alpha=6925$ $\beta=-1207$

3. Variables that have some prediction capacity at some time in the covid-19 pandemic period studied (statistical significance of linear regression between $p < 0.10$ and $p > 0.05$) (Table 4)

This group of variables showed evolution with time, generally they had no predictive power but at some moment in time of our study they acquired some degree of statistical significance. The Government expenditure on education (as % of GDP) had some correlation with number of infected and number of deaths by SARS-CoV-2 on the 21st of March but not the following two months.

The Population ages 65 and above in our study had no relation with the number of infected on the 21st of March, gained significance on the 21st of April ($p=0.06$) and went back on the 21st of May to be not statistically significant. When we studied Population ages 65 and above against number of deaths it showed no correlation in March or April, but it did in May ($p=0.09$).

Messner also studied the effect of education and countries' median age on the COVID-19 outbreak and found that the quality of a country's education system is positively associated with the outbreak and that countries with an older population are more affected⁵⁴

Shagam (2020) using multivariate linear regression found significant correlation between incidence and mortality rates with GDP per capita ($p = 2.6 \times 10^{-15}$ and 7.0×10^{-4} , respectively), country-specific duration of the outbreak (2.6×10^{-4} and 0.0019), fraction of citizens over 65 years old ($p = 0.0049$ and 3.8×10^{-4}) and level of press freedom ($p = 0.021$ and 0.019).

Table 4.- Variables that have some prediction capacity at some time in the covid-19 pandemic period studied (statistically signification of linear regression between $p < 0.10$ and $p > 0.05$)

III: VARIABLES THAT HAVE SOME PREDICTION CAPACITY AT SOME TIME IN THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC (statistically signification of linear regression between $p < 0.10$ and $p > 0.05$) 21 st of MARCH 2020							
dependent variable (y)	independent (predictive) variable (x)	F value	d. f. regression residue	P	R	R ²	α and β coefficients
Health (direct and indirect) indicators							
infected by covid-19	Median age	2.80	1 48	0.10	0.23	0.05	$\alpha = -13175$ $\beta = 503$
dead by covid-19	Median age	1.88	1 48	0.17 n.s.	0.19	0.04	$\alpha = -667$ $\beta = 24.66$
infected by covid-19	Population ages 65 and above	0.72	1 39	0.39 n.s.	0.13	0.02	$\alpha = 1959$ $\beta = 312$
dead by covid-19	Population ages 65 and above	1.87	1 48	0.18 n.s.	0.19	0.04	$\alpha = -667$ $\beta = 24.66$
infected by covid-19	Life expectancy at birth	1.06	1 48	0.31 n.s.	0.15	0.021	$\alpha = -28178$ $\beta = 434$
dead by covid-19	Life expectancy at birth	0.70	1 48	0.40 n.s.	0.12	0.01	$\alpha = -1388$ $\beta = 21.12$
infected by covid-19	Obesity - Adult prevalence rate	1.70	1 39	0.20 n.s.	0.20	0.004	$\alpha = -14009$ $\beta = -372$
dead by covid-19	Obesity - Adult prevalence rate	0.64	1 39	0.43 n.s.	0.13	0.016	$\alpha = 583$ $\beta = -13.80$

Economic & social indicators							
infected by covid-19	Expenditure on education	3.78	$\frac{1}{39}$	0.06	0.30	0.09	$\alpha=21704$ $\beta=-3270$
dead by covid-19	Expenditure on education	3.17	$\frac{1}{43}$	0.08	0.27	0.07	$\alpha=1146$ $\beta=-180$
infected by covid-19	Proportion of seats held by women in national parliaments	0.22	$\frac{1}{39}$	0.64 n.s.	0.07	0.005	$\alpha=3475$ $\beta=104$
dead by covid-19	Proportion of seats held by women in national parliaments	0.21	$\frac{1}{39}$	0.65 n.s.	0.07	0.005	$\alpha=-134$ $\beta=6.02$

III: VARIABLES THAT HAVE SOME PREDICTION CAPACITY AT SOME TIME IN THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

(statistically signification of linear regression between $p < 0.10$ and $p > 0.05$)

21st of APRIL 2020

dependent variable (y)	independent variable (x)	F value	d. f. regression residue	P	R	R ²	α and β coefficients
Health (direct and indirect) indicators							
infected by covid-19	Median age	1.36	1 43	0.25 n.s.	0.175	0.030	$\alpha=-64791$ $\beta=3004$
dead by covid-19	Median age	2.99	1 43	0.09	0.255	0.065	$\alpha=-7165$ $\beta=284$
infected by covid-19	Population ages 65 and above	1.47	1 43	0.23 n.s.	0.162	0.053	$\alpha=4325$ $\beta=5172$
dead by covid-19	Population ages 65 and above	3.83	1 43	0.06	0.286	0.081	$\alpha=-935$ $\beta=323$
infected by covid-19	Life expectancy at birth	1.44	1 43	0.23 n.s.	0.179	0.032	$\alpha=-282391$ $\beta=4237$
dead by covid-19	Life expectancy at birth	3.31	1 43	0.07	0.268	0.072	$\alpha=-28426$ $\beta=409$
infected by covid-19	Obesity - Adult prevalence rate	3.09	1 43	0.09	0.259	0.007	$\alpha=-25123$ $\beta=3440$
dead by covid-19	Obesity - Adult prevalence rate	2.15	1 43	0.15 n.s.	0.218	0.048	$\alpha=-506$ $\beta=188$
Economic & social indicators							
infected by covid-19	Expenditure on education	0.21	1 43	0.65 n.s.	0.123	0.015	$\alpha=42032$ $\beta=-3265$
dead by covid-19	Expenditure on education	0.0008	1 43	0.97 n.s.	0.007	0.000	$\alpha=1281$ $\beta=10$
infected by covid-19	Proportion of seats held by women in national parliaments	0.241	1 43	0.63 n.s.	0.074	0.005	$\alpha=26128$ $\beta=804$
dead by covid-19	Proportion of seats held by women in national parliaments	2.77	1 43	0.10	0.246	0.060	$\alpha=-1128$ $\beta=172$

III: VARIABLES THAT HAVE SOME PREDICTION CAPACITY AT SOME TIME IN THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

(statistically signification of linear regression between $p < 0.10$ and $p > 0.05$)

21st of MAY 2020

dependent variable (y)	independent variable (x)	F value	d. f. regression residue	P	R	R ²	α and β coefficients
Health (direct and indirect) indicators							
infected by covid-19	Median age	0.36	1 48	0.55 n.s.	0.09	0.007	$\alpha = -18867$ $\beta = 2836$
dead by covid-19	Median age	1.44	1 48	0.23 ns	0.17	0.03	$\alpha = -8026$ $\beta = 380$
infected by covid-19	Population ages 65 and above	0.39	1 39	0.39 n.s	0.10	0.010	$\alpha = 42120$ $\beta = 1176$
dead by covid-19	Population ages 65 and above	2.98	1 39	0.09	0.27	0.071	$\alpha = -42$ $\beta = 314$
infected by covid-19	Life expectancy at birth	0.41	1 48	0.52 n.s.	0.09	0.008	$\alpha = -236851$ $\beta = 4166$
dead by covid-19	Life expectancy at birth	2.27	1 48	0.14	0.21	0.045	$\alpha = -44583$ $\beta = 652$
infected by covid-19	Obesity - Adult prevalence rate	0.16	1 39	0.68 ns	0.06	0.0004	$\alpha = 45936$ $\beta = 605$
dead by covid-19	Obesity - Adult prevalence rate	0.13	1 39	0.72 n.s.	0.06	0.003	$\alpha = 3207$ $\beta = 54$

Economic & social indicators							
infected by covid-19	Expenditure on education	0.11	$\frac{1}{39}$	0.74 n.s.	0.05	0.002	$\alpha=72682$ $\beta=-3021$
dead by covid-19	Expenditure on education	0.0008	$\frac{1}{43}$	0.97 n.s.	0.007	0.000	$\alpha=1281$ $\beta=10$
infected by covid-19	Proportion of seats held by women in national parliaments	<0.01	$\frac{1}{39}$	0.99 n.s.	0.00	0.000	$\alpha=58525$ $\beta=-2,66$
dead by covid-19	Proportion of seats held by women in national parliaments	2.98	$\frac{1}{39}$	0.09	0.27	0.071	$\alpha=-42.20$ $\beta=314$

In conclusion, regarding health and research indicators it is necessary to highlight that the best predictor to death count by COVID-19 was the number of infected by COVID-19 ($p > 0,00001$), there is a cause effect relation. As stated previously, what it really shows is that prevention, and not treatment, is the best way to confront COVID-19 outbreak. Those countries that conducted more tests to isolate infectious promptly and impose to their citizens confinement policies at early stages were, by far, least affected. Piguillem et al. using Italy's early figures to calibrate their model they estimate that without lockdowns there could be as much as 800, 000 fatalities. With a very early intervention that number reduces to about 120 – 230 fatalities, while if the intervention is started 60 days later, the number of fatalities is between 7, 200 and 9, 000⁵⁵. Italy had, as to 21st of May, 227,364 infected people and 32,330 dead according to the World Health Organisation COVID-19 dashboard (<https://covid19.who.int>)

Early detection of cases through surveillance and aggressive contact tracing around known cases has helped to contain spread of the outbreak in Singapore. Together with other healthcare, border and community measures, they allow the COVID-19 outbreak to be managed without major disruption to daily living. Countries could consider these measures for a proportionate response to the risk of COVID-19⁵⁶

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank to Dr. C. García-Balboa, H. Díaz-Alejo, P. Martínez-Alesón for their help and advice on this paper.

BIBLIOGRAFY

1. Li, K. et al. The Clinical and Chest CT Features Associated with Severe and Critical COVID-19 Pneumonia. *Invest. Radiol.* (2020).
2. Zhou, G., Chen, S. & Chen, Z. Back to the spring of Wuhan: facts and hope of COVID-19 outbreak. *Frontiers of Medicine* (2020). doi:10.1007/s11684-020-0758-9
3. Wang, J., Tang, K., Feng, K. & Lv, W. High Temperature and High Humidity Reduce the Transmission of COVID-19. *SSRN Electron. J.* (2020). doi:10.2139/ssrn.3551767
4. Pirouz, B., Haghshenas, S. S., Pirouz, B., Haghshenas, S. S. & Piro, P. Development of an assessment method for investigating the impact of

- climate and urban parameters in confirmed cases of COVID-19: A new challenge in sustainable development. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **17**, (2020).
5. Liu, J. et al. Impact of meteorological factors on the COVID-19 transmission: A multi-city study in China. *Sci. Total Environ.* **726**, 138513 (2020).
 6. Guha, A., Bonsu, J., Dey, A. & Addison, D. Community and Socioeconomic Factors Associated with COVID-19 in the United States: Zip code level cross sectional analysis. *medRxiv* 2020.04.19.20071944 (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.04.19.20071944
 7. Whittle, R. S. & Diaz-Artilles, A. An ecological study of socioeconomic predictors in detection of COVID-19 cases across neighborhoods in New York City. *medRxiv* 2020.04.17.20069823 (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.04.17.20069823
 8. Cheng, Y. et al. Kidney impairment is associated with in-hospital death of COVID-19 patients. *MedRxiv* (2020).
 9. Zhang, F. et al. Myocardial injury is associated with in-hospital mortality of confirmed or suspected COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: A single center retrospective cohort study. *MedRxiv* (2020).
 10. Chen, J. et al. Clinical progression of patients with COVID-19 in Shanghai, China. *J. Infect.* (2020).
 11. Du, R.-H. et al. Predictors of mortality for patients with COVID-19 pneumonia caused by SARS-CoV-2: a prospective cohort study. *Eur. Respir. J.* (2020).
 12. Li, Z. et al. Caution on kidney dysfunctions of COVID-19 patients. (2020).
 13. Cai, Q. et al. Experimental treatment with favipiravir for COVID-19: an open-label control study. *Engineering* (2020).
 14. Shi, S. et al. Association of cardiac injury with mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Cardiol.* (2020).
 15. Chen, C., Yan, J. T., Zhou, N., Zhao, J. P. & Wang, D. W. Analysis of myocardial injury in patients with COVID-19 and association between concomitant cardiovascular diseases and severity of COVID-19.

- Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi* **48**, E008–E008 (2020).
16. Cheng, Y. et al. Kidney disease is associated with in-hospital death of patients with COVID-19. *Kidney Int.* (2020).
 17. Li, J.-W. et al. The impact of 2019 novel coronavirus on heart injury: A systemic review and Meta-analysis. *Prog. Cardiovasc. Dis.* (2020). doi:10.1016/j.pcad.2020.04.008
 18. Huang, I., Lim, M. A. & Pranata, R. Diabetes mellitus is associated with increased mortality and severity of disease in COVID-19 pneumonia – A systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression: Diabetes and COVID-19. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Clin. Res. Rev.* **14**, 395–403 (2020).
 19. Guan, W. et al. Comorbidity and its impact on 1590 patients with Covid-19 in China: A Nationwide Analysis. *Eur. Respir. J.* (2020).
 20. Meng, Y. et al. Sex-specific clinical characteristics and prognosis of coronavirus disease-19 infection in Wuhan, China: A retrospective study of 168 severe patients. *PLOS Pathog.* **16**, e1008520 (2020).
 21. Zhang, J. et al. Risk factors for disease severity, unimprovement, and mortality of COVID-19 patients in Wuhan, China. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* (2020). doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2020.04.012
 22. Chen, R. et al. Risk factors of fatal outcome in hospitalized subjects with coronavirus disease 2019 from a nationwide analysis in China. *Chest* (2020). doi:10.1016/j.chest.2020.04.010
 23. Zhou, F. et al. Articles Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. (2020). doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3
 24. Aifen Lin et al. Early risk factors for the duration of SARS-CoV-2 viral positivity in COVID-19 patients. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America* (2020).
 25. Aifen Lin et al. Early risk factors for the duration of SARS-CoV-2 viral positivity in COVID-19 patients. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America* (2020).
 26. Du, R. H. et al. Predictors of Mortality for Patients with COVID-19 Pneumonia Caused by SARS-CoV-2: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Eur.*

- Respir. J.* (2020). doi:10.1183/13993003.00524-2020
27. Yan, C. H., Faraji, F., Prajapati, D. P., Ostrander, B. T. & DeConde, A. S. Self-reported olfactory loss associates with outpatient clinical course in Covid-19. *Int. Forum Allergy Rhinol.* (2020). doi:10.1002/alr.22592
 28. Vardavas, C. I. & Nikitara, K. COVID-19 and smoking: A systematic review of the evidence. *Tob. Induc. Dis.* **18**, (2020).
 29. Shi, P. et al. Impact of temperature on the dynamics of the COVID-19 outbreak in China. *Sci. Total Environ.* **728**, (2020).
 30. Bukhari, Q. & Jameel, Y. Will Coronavirus Pandemic Diminish by Summer? *SSRN Electron. J.* (2020). doi:10.2139/ssrn.3556998
 31. Ficetola, G. F. & Rubolini, D. Climate affects global patterns of COVID-19 early outbreak dynamics. *medRxiv* 2020.03.23.20040501 (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.03.23.20040501
 32. Mollalo, A., Vahedi, B. & Rivera, K. M. GIS-based spatial modeling of COVID-19 incidence rate in the continental United States. *Sci. Total Environ.* **728**, 138884 (2020).
 33. Triplett, M. Evidence that higher temperatures are associated with lower incidence of COVID-19 in pandemic state, cumulative cases reported up to March 27, 2020. *medRxiv* 2020.04.02.20051524 (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.04.02.20051524
 34. Wang, M. et al. Temperature significant change COVID-19 Transmission in 429 cities. *medRxiv* 2020.02.22.20025791 (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.02.22.20025791
 35. Tobías, A. & Molina, T. Is temperature reducing the transmission of COVID-19? *Environmental Research* **186**, (2020).
 36. Shi, P. et al. Impact of temperature on the dynamics of the COVID-19 outbreak in China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 138890 (2020). doi:10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2020.138890
 37. Mollalo, A., Vahedi, B. & Rivera, K. M. GIS-based spatial modeling of COVID-19 incidence rate in the continental United States. *Sci. Total Environ.* 138884 (2020). doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138884
 38. Luo, W. et al. The role of absolute humidity on transmission rates of the

- COVID-19 outbreak. (2020).
39. World Health Organisation Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard. Available at: <https://covid19.who.int/region/euro/country/al>. (Accessed: 21st April 2020)
 40. World Tourism Organization. Country profile – inbound tourism | OMT.
 41. Aldibasi, O. S. The Association of Country-Level Factors with Outcomes of COVID-19 : Analysis of the pandemic after one million cases
CURRENT STATUS : POSTED. 1–19 doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-25834/v1
 42. Daon, Y., Thompson, R. N. & Obolski, U. Estimating COVID-19 outbreak risk through air travel. *medRxiv* 2020.04.16.20067496 (2020).
doi:10.1101/2020.04.16.20067496
 43. Chinazzi, M. et al. The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak. *Science* (80-.). **368**, 395–400 (2020).
 44. Peirlinck, M., Linka, K., Sahli Costabal, F. & Kuhl, E. Outbreak dynamics of COVID-19 in China and the United States. *Biomech. Model. Mechanobiol.* 1–15 (2020). doi:10.1007/s10237-020-01332-5
 45. Kubota, Y., Shiono, T., Kusumoto, B. & Fujinuma, J. Multiple drivers of the COVID-19 spread: role of climate, international mobility, and region-specific conditions. *medRxiv* 2020.04.20.20072157 (2020).
doi:10.1101/2020.04.20.20072157
 46. Coelho, M. T. P. et al. Exponential phase of covid19 expansion is not driven by climate at global scale. *medRxiv* 2020.04.02.20050773 (2020).
doi:10.1101/2020.04.02.20050773
 47. Gross, B. et al. Spatio-temporal propagation of COVID-19 pandemics. 1–7 (2020).
 48. Anzai, A., Kobayashi, T., Linton, N. M. & Kinoshita, R. Assessing the Impact of Reduced Travel on Exportation Dynamics of Novel Coronavirus Infection (COVID-19).
 49. Merler, S., Pastore, A., Mu, K., Rossi, L. & Sun, K. The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak. **400**, 395–400 (2020).

50. Travaglio, M., Popovic, R., Yu, Y., Leal, N. & Martins, L. M. Links between air pollution and COVID-19 in England. *medRxiv* 2020.04.16.20067405 (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.04.16.20067405
51. Yao, Y. & Ph, D. Spatial Correlation of Particulate Matter Pollution and Death Rate of COVID-19 /China/ PM2.5, PM10/multiple linear regression. (2020).
52. Sorci, G., Faivre, B. & Morand, S. Why does COVID-19 case fatality rate vary among countries? *medRxiv* 2020.04.17.20069393 (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.04.17.20069393
53. Qiu, Y., Chen, X. & Shi, W. Impacts of social and economic factors on the transmission of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China. *J. Popul. Econ.* **2019**, 1–27 (2020).
54. Messner, W. The Institutional and Cultural Context of Cross-National Variation in COVID-19 Outbreaks. *medRxiv* **2019**, 2020.03.30.20047589 (2020).
55. Piguillem, F. The Optimal COVID-19 Quarantine and Testing. (2020).
56. Lee, V. J., Chiew, C. J. & Khong, W. X. Interrupting transmission of COVID-19 : lessons from containment efforts in Singapore. 1–5 (2020). doi:10.1093/jtm/taaa039