

Version 1

Drug treatments affecting ACE2 in COVID-19 infection: a systematic review protocol

Hajira Dambha-Miller¹ MRCGP, PhD, Ali Albasri² DPhil, Sam Hodgson³ BM BCh, Chris Wilcox⁴ MBBCh, Nazrul Islam MBBS, PhD^{5,6}, Shareen Khan MPharm⁷ and Paul Little FMedSci⁸ and Simon J Griffin FMedSci⁹

1. NIHR Academic Clinical Lecturer and GP, Division of Primary Care and Population Health, University of Southampton
2. Clinical Pharmacist and Research Fellow, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford
3. NIHR Academic Clinical Fellow, Division of Primary Care and Population Health, University of Southampton
4. NIHR Academic Clinical Fellow, Division of Primary Care and Population Health, University of Southampton
5. Clinical Trial Service Unit and Epidemiological Studies Unit, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom
6. Medical Research Council Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
7. Specialist Pharmacist, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
8. Professor of Primary Care, Division of Primary Care and Population Health, University of Southampton
9. Professor of General Practice, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge

Correspondence: Dr Sam Hodgson, Dept. of Primary Care, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK SO16 5ST. s.c.b.hodgson@soton.ac.uk

Abstract

Background: The SARS-CoV-2 virus causing COVID-19 binds human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptors in human tissues. ACE2 expression may be associated with COVID-19 infection and mortality rates. Routinely prescribed drugs which up- or down-regulate ACE2 expression are therefore of critical research interest as agents which might promote or reduce risk of COVID-19 infection in a susceptible population.

Aim: To review evidence on routinely prescribed drug treatments in the UK that could up- or down-regulate ACE2 and potentially affect COVID-19 infection.

Design and setting: Systematic review of studies published in MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, the Cochrane Library and Web of Science from inception to April 1st 2020.

Method: A systematic review will be conducted in line with PRISMA guidelines. Inclusion criteria will be: i) assess effect of drug exposure on ACE2 level; ii) drug is included in British National Formulary (BNF) and therefore available to prescribe in UK; iii) a control, placebo or sham group is included as comparator. Exclusion criteria will be: i) ACE2 measurement in utero; ii) ACE2 measurement in children under 18 years; iii) drug not in BNF; iv) review article. Quality will be assessed using the Cochrane risk of bias tool for human studies, and the SYRCLE risk of bias tool for animal studies.

Results: Data will be reported in summary tables and narrative synthesis.

Conclusion: This systematic review will identify drug therapies which may increase or decrease ACE2 expression. This might identify medications increasing risk of COVID-19 transmission, or as targets for intervention in mitigating transmission.

Version 1

Keywords

COVID-19

SARS-CoV-2

ACE2

Systematic Review

Version 1

How this fits in

Clinicians, researchers and patients are increasingly interested in whether existing drug treatments may influence outcomes in COVID-19. As the binding site for SARS-CoV-2, ACE2 is of particular interest. In this systematic review, we will identify what evidence exists on effects of drugs prescribed in the UK on ACE2 levels. The findings will highlight drugs which might promote or prevent transmission of COVID-19.

Version 1

Introduction

The rapid spread of the novel, pathogenic SARS-CoV-2 (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2) - between late 2019 and April 2020 has escalated to a global health emergency¹. As of April 2020 over 2 million individuals have been infected worldwide; over 150,000 people have died of the associated disease COVID-19 (COroNaVirus Disease 2019)². Focus on strategies to reduce viral transmission and disease mortality has intensified, including both pharmacological³ and non-pharmacological approaches^{4,5}, alongside high speed vaccine development programmes⁶.

SARS-CoV-2 has been shown to enter cells via binding to the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2)⁷. The ACE2 receptor performs a broad range of roles in a variety of tissues including lung, kidney, brain and heart⁸. As part of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS), ACE2 is implicated in roles including blood pressure homeostasis⁹ and downregulation of inflammation¹⁰. It has been hypothesised that SARS-CoV-2 may mediate effects contributing to viral sepsis and mortality through interaction with ACE2 in a variety of tissues¹¹. Furthermore, differential expression of ACE2 in disease states such as hypertension may be associated with differing rates of COVID-19 infection or mortality¹².

A key research question is whether any routinely prescribed drugs may be associated with altered ACE2 expression, and whether this altered expression may be of clinical relevance in COVID-19. For example, debate is ongoing about whether patients taking ACE inhibitors should be advised to switch drug class due to their association with increased ACE2 expression^{13,14}. Conversely, medications which downregulate ACE2 transmission may be of interest as part of a strategy to reduce viral transmission¹⁵.

In this systematic review we seek to identify what evidence exists on existing drug therapies that may increase or decrease ACE2 expression. Identifying drugs with these effects will help direct future COVID-19 research by highlighting drugs which may either prevent or promote transmission of the disease.

Methods and Analysis

Protocol Development

The systematic review will be conducted in line with guidance set out in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis PRISMA statement¹⁶.

Search Strategy

Systematic searches will be conducted from inception until 1/4/2020 in the following databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, the Cochrane Library, and Web of Science. The detailed search strategy is provided in Table 1. References of relevant reviews and articles meeting selection criteria will additionally be screened; advice will be sought from topic experts; and OpenGrey will be searched to identify additional texts. We will set no language limits or study design filters on our search.

Study selection criteria

The study inclusion criteria will be:

1. Studies measuring ACE2 levels (of either activity or gene expression)
2. Studies including a drug that is available on a UK prescription according to the British National Formulary (BNF)¹⁷
3. Measuring the effect of the drug against a control, placebo or sham group

Study exclusion criteria will be:

1. ACE2 measurements in utero

Version 1

2. Studies in children under 18 years of age
3. The medication being studied is not available to prescribe in primary care in the UK, as assessed by inclusion in the (BNF)
4. Review articles

All methodologies meeting the above criteria, including randomised and non-randomised controlled trials and other experimental studies, will be included. Conference abstracts will be included if sufficient data can be elicited from them. Review articles will be excluded from synthesis, but their references will be screened and all appropriate studies included.

Screening & Data Extraction

Study titles and abstracts will be reviewed for eligibility by four members of the review team (AA, HDM, CW, SH). Full text review, data extraction and quality assessment will be performed by five members of the team (AA, HDM, CW, SH, SK). Initial screening will be performed using the systematic review web app Rayyan QCRI¹⁸.

The following data will be extracted and verified by one of the five members of the review team (AA, HDM, CW, SH, SK): i) drug class; ii) drug name; iii) duration of treatment; iv) effect on ACE2 level (defined as upregulation or downregulation or no effect); v) model (e.g. human, mouse); vi) site of ACE2 receptor (e.g. lung, brain); vii) study design; viii) study population; ix) sample size; x) country. Given the urgency of this research question during the COVID-19 pandemic, we will extract all available information from the text but will not separately contact authors.

Quality Assessment

Methodological quality of included animal studies will be assessed using the SYRCLE risk of bias tool (SYStematic Review Center for Laboratory animal Experimentation)¹⁹. This tool has been adapted from the Cochrane risk of bias tool for randomised studies²⁰ to include criteria to specifically assess the quality of animal studies. The quality of human studies will be analysed separately using the Cochrane risk of bias tool.

Summary Tables and Narrative Synthesis

Numbers of studies identified in searches and subsequently included in analysis will be demonstrated using the PRISMA flowchart in line with PRISMA guidance¹⁶. Extracted data will be presented in summary tables to meet the primary objective of this review.

Given the expected heterogeneity in study designs, models included in analysis (including in vivo and in vitro human and animal studies), and methods for measuring ACE2, meta-analysis via forest plots will not be appropriate. A narrative synthesis of results will be produced. Where inconsistencies are identified in the effect of a drug between studies, we will consider additional data such as materials, quality of study, and outcome measurement for potential explanatory factors.

PPI

Patients and the public were not directly involved in the design of this study due to funding limitations. We have invited patients to help us to develop our dissemination strategy.

Amendments

Any amendments to this protocol will be documented and communicated in the final prepared manuscript.

Version 1

Dissemination

The results of this review will be published in an open access journal to ensure free and immediate access for researchers and clinicians. Findings will also be disseminated in various media including through presentation at medical conferences and through digital platforms, including research group websites and social media.

Discussion

Summary

This review will deliver timely and key answers to an important question amidst the COVID-19 pandemic: do any routinely prescribed drugs up- or down-regulate ACE2 expression and therefore play a potential role in disease transmission?

Strengths and limitations

The strengths of this review protocol include its broad search strategy; inclusion of both human and animal studies; inclusion of studies from all languages; and the intention to rapidly assess and synthesise the evidence to meet the pressing research needs of the COVID-19 pandemic. Weaknesses include anticipated heterogeneity across animal and human models which may complicate result interpretation. Furthermore, we do not intend to contact authors of papers to obtain missing data due to the need to urgently report findings amidst the current pandemic.

Comparison to existing literature

We believe this to be the first systematic review assessing associations between drug exposure and ACE2 expression for drugs routinely prescribed in the UK.

Implications for practice

Identification of the best evidence on these drugs might, for example, inform clinicians considering whether patients should be advised to stop ACE inhibitors²¹. Conversely, drugs which are associated with ACE2 downregulation might represent a therapeutic strategy to reduce viral transmission and disease spread.

Acknowledgements: None

Competing interests: Prof Griffin reports grants from Wellcome Trust, Medical Research Council, NIHR, NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme, NHS R&D and the University of Aarhus (Denmark), and provision of equipment from Bio-Rad during the conduct of the study. Outside the submitted work he also reports receiving fees from Novo Nordisk, Astra Zeneca and Napp for speaking at postgraduate education meetings, support to attend a scientific meeting from Napp, and an honorarium and reimbursement of travel expenses from Eli Lilly associated with membership of an independent data monitoring committee for a randomised trial of a medication to lower glucose. No other authors have any competing interests to declare.

Funding: The Southampton, Cambridge and Oxford Primary Care Departments are members of the NIHR School for Primary Care Research and supported by NIHR Research funds. The University of Cambridge has received salary support in respect of SJG from the NHS in the East of England through the Clinical Academic Reserve. SJG is supported by an MRC Epidemiology Unit programme:

Version 1

MC_UU_12015/4. HDM is an NIHR Clinical Lecturer and supported by an NIHR SPCR grant for this work: SPCR2014-10043. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care

Ethical Approval: Not required

Data sharing: No other data available

Author Contribution: HDM contributed to the design of the study, wrote the analysis plan, conducted the analysis, and revised the paper. SH contributed to the design of the study, drafted and revised the paper. PL, AA, CW, NI and SK and SG contributed to the design of the study and revised the paper. HDM is guarantor. All authors will be involved in delivery of the systematic review in line with this protocol.

Patient and Public Involvement: It was not possible to involve patients or the public in the design or conduct of our work due to the rapid timelines, but we have invited PPI representatives to help us with drafting a lay summary and in the dissemination of our findings.

Supplementary Data: Nil

References:

1. Sohrabi C, Alsafi Z, O'Neill N, Khan M, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, et al. World Health Organization declares global emergency: A review of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19). Vol. 76, *International Journal of Surgery*. Elsevier Ltd; 2020. p. 71–6.
2. World Health Organisation. COVID-19 Situation Report 19 April 2020 [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 20]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200419-sitrep-90-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=551d47fd_4
3. Rathi S, Ish P, Kalantri A, Kalantri S. Hydroxychloroquine prophylaxis for COVID-19 contacts in India. *Lancet Infect Dis* [Internet]. 2020 Apr [cited 2020 Apr 20];0(0). Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1473309920303133>
4. Ferguson NM, Laydon D, Nedjati-Gilani G, Imai N, Ainslie K, Baguelin M, et al. of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to reduce COVID-19 mortality and healthcare demand. [cited 2020 Apr 20]; Available from: <https://doi.org/10.25561/77482>.
5. Cowling BJ, Ali ST, Ng TWY, Tsang TK, Li JCM, Fong MW, et al. Impact assessment of non-pharmaceutical interventions against coronavirus disease 2019 and influenza in Hong Kong: an observational study. *Lancet Public Heal* [Internet]. 2020 Apr [cited 2020 Apr 20];0(0). Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2468266720300906>
6. Thanh Le T, Andreadakis Z, Kumar A, Gómez Román R, Tollefsen S, Saville M, et al. The COVID-19 vaccine development landscape. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* [Internet]. 2020 Apr 9 [cited 2020 Apr 20]; Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32273591>
7. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Krüger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. *Cell*. 2020 Apr 16;181(2):271-280.e8.
8. Imai Y, Kuba K, Ohto-Nakanishi T, Penninger JM. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) in disease pathogenesis. Vol. 74, *Circulation Journal*. Circ J; 2010. p. 405–10.

Version 1

9. Anguiano L, Riera M, Pascual J, Soler MJ. Circulating ACE2 in Cardiovascular and Kidney Diseases. *Curr Med Chem*. 2017 Oct 26;24(30).
10. Gaddam RR, Chambers S, Bhatia M. ACE and ACE2 in inflammation: A tale of two enzymes. *Inflamm Allergy - Drug Targets*. 2014 Nov 1;13(4):224–34.
11. Li H, Liu L, Zhang D, Xu J, Dai H, Tang N, et al. SARS-CoV-2 and viral sepsis: observations and hypotheses. *Lancet (London, England)* [Internet]. 2020 Apr 17 [cited 2020 Apr 22];0(0). Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32311318>
12. Fang L, Karakiulakis G, Roth M. Are patients with hypertension and diabetes mellitus at increased risk for COVID-19 infection? Vol. 8, *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*. Lancet Publishing Group; 2020. p. e21.
13. Patel AB, Verma A. COVID-19 and Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors and Angiotensin Receptor Blockers: What Is the Evidence? *JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association*. American Medical Association; 2020.
14. Sommerstein R, Kochen MM, Messerli FH, Gräni C. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): Do Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors/Angiotensin Receptor Blockers Have a Biphasic Effect? *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2020 Apr 7;9(7).
15. Zhang H, Penninger JM, Li Y, Zhong N, Slutsky AS. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) as a SARS-CoV-2 receptor: molecular mechanisms and potential therapeutic target. *Intensive Care Med*. 2020 Apr 1;46(4):586–90.
16. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JPA, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *BMJ*. 2009;339.
17. Committee JF. BNF: British National Formulary - NICE. *BMJ Publ R Pharm Soc*. 2020;80.
18. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan-a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. *Syst Rev*. 2016 Dec 5;5(1).
19. Hooijmans CR, Rovers MM, De Vries RBM, Leenaars M, Ritskes-Hoitinga M, Langendam MW. SYRCLE’s risk of bias tool for animal studies. *BMC Med Res Methodol* [Internet]. 2014 Mar 26 [cited 2020 Apr 22];14(1):43. Available from: <https://bmcmmedresmethodol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2288-14-43>
20. Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration’s tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2011 Oct 29;343(7829).
21. Rico-Mesa JS, White A, Anderson AS. Outcomes in Patients with COVID-19 Infection Taking ACEI/ARB. *Curr Cardiol Rep* [Internet]. 2020 Apr 14 [cited 2020 Apr 22];22(5):31. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32291526>

Table 1: Search strategies to be used in systematic review, described by database to be searched.
Terms Database

Terms	Database
(ace2[Title/Abstract]) OR ace 2[Title/Abstract] Filters: English	Medline (Pubmed)
(ace2 or ace 2).ab. and English.lg	Embase
ace2 in Title Abstract Keyword OR ace-2 in Title Abstract Keyword - (Word variations have been searched)	Cochrane
(TS=(ace2 OR ace-2)) AND LANGUAGE: (English) AND DOCUMENT TYPES: (Article)	Web of Science

Version 1