1	Modeling the COVID-19 outbreak in Ecuador: Is it the right time to lift social
2	distancing containment measures?
3	
4	Miguel REINA ORTIZ MD, PhD, CPH, <sup>1</sup> Vinita SHARMA, <sup>2</sup>
5	
6	1 College of Public Health, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL – USA
7	2 College of Public Health, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA – USA
8	
9	
10	
11	Corresponding author
12	
13	Name: Miguel REINA ORTIZ
14	Postal address: 13201 Bruce B. Downs Blvd. Tampa, Fl 33647 USA.
15	email: <u>miguelreina@usf.edu</u>
16	Telephone: +1 (813) 974 6253
17	Fax: +1 (813) 974 8121
18	ORCiD: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3309-6881
19	
20	Abstract word count: 220
21	Word count: 2,971
22	

#### 23 ABSTRACT

Objective. Model the effect of partial and full reversal of containment measures on
 COVID-19 morbidity and mortality in Ecuador.

26 **Methods.** Susceptible, Infected, Recovered (SIR) models were used to simulate the

27 transmission dynamics of COVID-19 before and after the implementation (and

28 reversal) of containment measures. A Healthcare Compartmental Epidemic Model

29 (HeCEM), which accounts for Hospital and Intensive Care Unit admission rates, was

30 developed to also simulate the effect of reversing social distancing containment

31 measures. Reported COVID-19 cases between February 29<sup>th</sup> and April 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2020

32 were obtained from the Servicio Nacional de Gestión de Riesgos y Emergencia,

33 Ecuador's national emergency management office. An ARIMA model was used to

34 forecast reported number of cases based on the reported number of cases. SIR,

35 HeCEM, and ARIMA model prediction errors were estimated.

**Results.** SIR and HeCEM models predict that, at the moment, hospital and ICU bed

37 needs for COVID-19 patients exceed the capacity in Ecuador. Partial or full reversal

38 of containment measures before reaching the point where hospital and ICU beds are

39 enough to meet the expected demand will result in secondary waves that delay

40 reaching this equilibrium, resulting in thousands of excess deaths. Forecasts predict

41 over 50,000 reported COVID-19 cases by July 25<sup>th</sup>, 2020.

42 **Conclusion.** Partial reversal of containment measures should occur only after

43 enough hospital and beds are available to meet the demand.

# 44 INTRODUCTION

45	According to the World Health Organization (WHO), almost 4 million COVID-					
46	19 cases and more than 250,000 COVID-19-related deaths had been reported					
47	around the world as of May 10, 2020. (1) With over 28,000 cases, Ecuador ranks					
48	sixth in terms of absolute number of cases in the Americas. (1) However, when					
49	accounting for population size, Ecuador ranks third (first among Latin American					
50	countries). Thus, Ecuador has become a regional COVID-19 pandemic epicenter for					
51	the Latin American region.					
52	Ecuador reported its first case of COVID-19 on February 29 <sup>th</sup> , 2020, (2). The					
53	country declared a State of Sanitary Emergency on March 11 <sup>th</sup> , 2020, which entailed					
54	suspension of school activities and a ban on gatherings of $\geq 250$ people. (3) Since					
55	March 17 <sup>th</sup> , Ecuador has increased social distancing measures by progressively					
56	restricting in-country mobility. (4, 5) Restrictions implemented since March $18^{th}$					
57	include: 1) suspension of intercity transport; (5) 2) reduction of inner-city car					
58	circulation;(5) and, 3) imposing a curfew, (5) among others.					
59	In recent days, local governments have been given the authority to decide					
60	when and how to move from more restrictive "red" to less restrictive "green" phases					
61	in a 3-phased system resembling traffic lights. (6) The objective of this article is to					
62	provide evidence to inform decisions on reversing containment measures by					
63	conducting an epidemiological description of Ecuador's COVID-19 outbreak, by					
64	modeling the effect of partial reversal of containment measures under different					
65	scenarios, and by forecasting predicted number of new reported COVID-19 cases in					
66	the country.					

## 67 **METHODS**

## 68 Study Population

69	Ecuador is a country located in the northwest of South America. It borders
70	Colombia to the north, Peru to the east and south, and the Pacific Ocean lies to its
71	west. Ecuador also shares a maritime border with Costa Rica. The population of
72	Ecuador is estimated to be 17,084,357 in 2020. (7) The country is administratively
73	and politically subdivided into provinces, cantons, and parishes. There are 24
74	provinces and 221 cantons (8) in Ecuador.
75	Study design
76	Based on secondary data analysis, an ecological study was conducted to
77	describe the epidemiological characteristics of Ecuador's COVID-19 outbreak,
78	including model-generated predictions and forecasts of future incidence and deaths.
79	Data collection
80	Cumulative number of COVID-19 cases and cumulative number of COVID-19
81	deaths (per day) were obtained from the COVID-19 reports published by the
82	National Emergency Operations Committee (COE Nacional, in Spanish), Servicio
83	Nacional de Gestion de Riesgos (National Service of Risk Management), Government
84	of Ecuador. (9) Based on this data, we constructed a database of cumulative COVID-
85	19 cases and deaths from Day 0 of the outbreak (February 29 <sup>th</sup> , 2020) (2) until April
86	$23^{rd}$ , 2020. Data collection stopped at April $23^{rd}$ , 2020 because more than 10,000
87	cases (almost a 100% increase from the previous day) were added on April 24 <sup>th</sup> ,
88	2020 due to the inclusion of antibody-based test results, which had not been
89	reported until then (i.e. previous days were based on RT-PCR results).

# 90 Data Analysis

## 91 Epidemic Models – SIR

92	Compartmental deterministic models were simulated using the deSolve
93	package(10), R software (11), to compare the potential impact of reversing
94	containment measures on COVID-19 morbidity and mortality. Previous research has
95	suggested that Susceptible, Infected, Recovered (SIR) models are more appropriate
96	for modeling COVID-19 than Susceptible, Exposed, Infected, Recovered (SEIR)
97	models. (12)
98	We simulated SIR Models where the total population of Ecuador (as reported
99	by the World Bank)(7) was divided into Susceptible (S), Infectious (I), and
100	Recovered(R) compartments. Ecuador reported its first case of COVID-19 in
101	February 29 <sup>th</sup> , 2020, (2) and this was selected as the starting date of the outbreak
102	for our models. We model the outbreak without any containment measures (Model
103	1), the effect of the enhanced social distancing measures enacted on Mary $18^{ m th}$
104	(Model 2). We also model the effect of full or partial reversal of current social
105	distancing measures at weekly intervals (on Sundays), starting on May 17 <sup>th</sup> , 2020.
106	Model parameters were derived from the published literature. (13)
107	For each scenario, we found the time at which the demand of hospital and
108	ICU beds by COVID-19 patients no longer exceeds the capacity in Ecuador (i.e. after
109	the outbreak peak). We call this point <i>Post-peak Hospital</i> and <i>Post-Peak Intensive</i>
110	Care Unit (ICU) Capacitance. The number of hospital and Intensive Care Unit (ICU)
111	beds available were obtained from the National Institute of Statistics and Census.
112	(14) Hospital and ICU beds needed at any given point of time were calculated from

SIR model outputs using a 20% and a 2% ratio, respectively. (15) The number of 113 114 deaths at any given point of time was also calculated from SIR outputs by using a 2%115 case fatality ratio. (15) 116 *Epidemic models (Healthcare)* 117 Since SIR models do not account for the time that an individual is likely to 118 remain in a hospital or ICU unit until either full recovery or death, we developed the 119 compartmental model shown in Figure 1. In this model, susceptible people become 120 infectious at a rate  $\beta$  (i.e. transmission rate). Infectious people can move into four 121 different compartments: 1) recovery, at a rate  $\gamma$ ; 2) hospitalization, at a rate  $\theta$ ; 3) 122 ICU unit, at a rate  $\iota$ ; or, 4) deceased, at a rate  $\delta$ . Hospitalized patients either recover 123 at a rate  $\varepsilon$  or pass away at a rate  $\chi$  whereas patients admitted to the ICU recovers at 124 a rate  $\omega$  or pass away at a rate  $\alpha$ . Of note, the model allows to account for hospital-125 acquired infections, which occur at a rate  $\zeta$  when hospitalized patients interact with 126 healthcare workers. Once infected, a healthcare worker moves to the infectious 127 compartment. The model also accounts for infections that healthcare workers may 128 acquire from interaction with infectious, but not hospitalized, individuals (see 129 Figure 1). Finally, the model estimates the number of reported cases (i.e. "observed" 130 cases) based on a reporting rate  $\mu$  (not shown in Figure 1). 131 The Healthcare Compartmental Epidemic Model consists of the following

- 132 structure:
- 133  $dS/dt = -\beta SI$
- 134  $dI/dt = \beta SI + \zeta WH + \beta WI \gamma I \theta I \iota I \delta I$
- 135  $dH/dt = \theta I \chi H \varepsilon H$

$$dC/dt = u - \alpha C - \omega C$$

137 
$$dR/dt = \gamma I + \varepsilon H + \omega C$$

$$dD/dt = \delta I + \chi H + \alpha C$$

- 139 dW/dt = -ζWH βWI
- 140  $dO/dt = \mu I$

141 Parameters were derived from the published literature (Table 1).

142 The total number of healthcare workers was obtained from the National

143 Institute of Statistics and Census. (20) We included the number of physicians, nurses

144 and nurse assistants and excluded dentists, psychologists and midwives, as the

145 latter were deemed less likely to enter in direct contact with a COVID-19 patient

146 during the early pandemic spread.

#### 147 Forecasting

148 ARIMA models were used to forecast cumulative COVID-19 reported 149 incidence (i.e. number of reported cases by the system) beyond May 4<sup>th</sup>, 2020. In 150 order to avoid bias introduced by the change in method of reporting, the forecast 151 will be based on RT-PCR results, and only RT-PCR-confirmed cases will be 152 forecasted. Optimal order of differencing was determined by examining 153 autocorrelation function (ACF) and partial autocorrelation function (PACF) plots 154 (Figure S1) and by comparing root mean square error (RMSE) terms of the fitted 155 models (0, 1, and 2 orders of differencing were tested, at this point RMSE increased 156 without improving ACF and PACF plots). An inspection of the differentiated ACF and 157 PACF plots revealed a slightly significant positive autocorrelation at lag-1 (Figure 158 S1). Consequently, a

159	(first-order) differenced first-order autoregressive model was fitted, controlling for					
160	outliers (AR parameter = 0.725, p < 0.001; Table S5) to forecast cumulative reported					
161	incidence beyond May $4^{ ext{th}}$ (the date when the 3-phase traffic light system was					
162	implemented). Forecast was stopped on July 25 <sup>th</sup> , 2020. ARIMA models were fit					
163	using SPSS 25. (21)					
164	Simulated and Predicted errors					
165	Simulated (SIR and HeCEM models) and predicted (ARIMA model) errors					
166	were used to compare observed against simulated/predicted cumulative incidence.					
167	In order to account for heteroscedasticity in the simulated/predicted errors,					
168	standardized errors were computed. Afterwards, one-sample T (or Wilcoxon					
169	signed-rank tests, as appropriate) analyses were conducted to assess whether error					
170	means (or medians) were different than zero.					
171	RESULTS					
171 172	RESULTS Epidemiological characteristics of COVID-19 in Ecuador					
171 172 173	RESULTS Epidemiological characteristics of COVID-19 in Ecuador In Ecuador, the number of reported cases has increased at a mean rate of					
171 172 173 174	RESULTS Epidemiological characteristics of COVID-19 in Ecuador In Ecuador, the number of reported cases has increased at a mean rate of 25% (SD = 68.03; Figure 2). The weekly mean increase rate shows a steady but non-					
171 172 173 174 175	RESULTS Epidemiological characteristics of COVID-19 in Ecuador In Ecuador, the number of reported cases has increased at a mean rate of 25% (SD = 68.03; Figure 2). The weekly mean increase rate shows a steady but non- monotonic decrease with the last two weeks showing a rate lower than 5%					
171 172 173 174 175 176	RESULTS <i>Epidemiological characteristics of COVID-19 in Ecuador</i> In Ecuador, the number of reported cases has increased at a mean rate of 25% (SD = 68.03; Figure 2). The weekly mean increase rate shows a steady but non- monotonic decrease with the last two weeks showing a rate lower than 5% compared to over 80% on the first week (Table S1). As of April 23 <sup>rd</sup> , the cumulative					
171 172 173 174 175 176 177	RESULTS <i>Epidemiological characteristics of COVID-19 in Ecuador</i> In Ecuador, the number of reported cases has increased at a mean rate of 25% (SD = 68.03; Figure 2). The weekly mean increase rate shows a steady but non- monotonic decrease with the last two weeks showing a rate lower than 5% compared to over 80% on the first week (Table S1). As of April 23 <sup>rd</sup> , the cumulative COVID-19 incidence curve still shows an upward trend with a total of 11,183 cases,					
171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178	RESULTS <i>Epidemiological characteristics of COVID-19 in Ecuador</i> In Ecuador, the number of reported cases has increased at a mean rate of 25% (SD = 68.03; Figure 2). The weekly mean increase rate shows a steady but non- monotonic decrease with the last two weeks showing a rate lower than 5% compared to over 80% on the first week (Table S1). As of April 23 <sup>rd</sup> , the cumulative COVID-19 incidence curve still shows an upward trend with a total of 11,183 cases, a 3.07% increase from the previous day.					
171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179	RESULTS <i>Epidemiological characteristics of COVID-19 in Ecuador</i> In Ecuador, the number of reported cases has increased at a mean rate of 25% (SD = 68.03; Figure 2). The weekly mean increase rate shows a steady but non- monotonic decrease with the last two weeks showing a rate lower than 5% compared to over 80% on the first week (Table S1). As of April 23 <sup>rd</sup> , the cumulative COVID-19 incidence curve still shows an upward trend with a total of 11,183 cases, a 3.07% increase from the previous day. The country reported its first COVID-19 fatality on March 13 <sup>th</sup> . (22) Since					
171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180	RESULTS Epidemiological characteristics of COVID-19 in Ecuador In Ecuador, the number of reported cases has increased at a mean rate of 25% (SD = 68.03; Figure 2). The weekly mean increase rate shows a steady but non- monotonic decrease with the last two weeks showing a rate lower than 5% compared to over 80% on the first week (Table S1). As of April 23 <sup>rd</sup> , the cumulative COVID-19 incidence curve still shows an upward trend with a total of 11,183 cases, a 3.07% increase from the previous day. The country reported its first COVID-19 fatality on March 13 <sup>th</sup> . (22) Since then, the cumulative number of deaths has increased at a mean rate of 18.61%. By					

mean number of reported deaths has remained relatively constant since Week 6, the
rate of increase has progressively decreased from 22.62% on Week 4 to 4.29% on

184 Week 9.

#### 185 Simulating the COVID-19 outbreak in Ecuador

Model 1 shows COVID-19 outbreak dynamics without accounting for the
effect of containment measures (Figure 3A). In that context, the peak of the
outbreak would have occurred on April 11<sup>th</sup>, 2020. Post-peak Hospital Capacitance
would have been reached on May 2<sup>nd</sup> whereas post-peak ICU Capacitance would
have been reached by May 10<sup>th</sup>.
Model 2 accounts for the restricting mobility social distancing measures

192 imposed in Ecuador on March 18<sup>th</sup>, 2020 (Figure 3B). The time of the policy change

193 (i.e. introduction of containment measures) effectively divides the model into Period

194 A (before the implementation of such measures) and Period B (after). After

accounting for the effect of containment measures, the peak of the COVID-19

196 outbreak is delayed until May 24<sup>th</sup>, 2020. Post-Peak Hospital Capacitance (HC)

197 would be reached on June 2<sup>nd</sup> whereas Post-peak ICU capacitance (ICU-C) would be

reached by July 14<sup>th</sup>. As shown in Table S2, the introduction of containment

199 measures is simulated to have reduced the number of new infections during the

200 outbreak peak by 90% and to have averted almost 138,000 deaths.

201 Impact of reversing containment measures on COVID-19 incidence

In order to analyze the temporal dynamics of the COVID-19 outbreak in
Ecuador and to assess the impact that phasing out containment measures has on
simulated COVID-19 morbidity and mortality we developed *full reversal* and *partial*

205	<i>reversal</i> scenarios. Four full reversal (Models 3 to 6, Figures S2 to S5) and four
206	partial reversal scenarios (Models 7 to 10, Figures S6 to S8 and Figure 3C) are
207	presented. For each scenario, we assume that there will be a complete (or partial)
208	reversal of containment measures at different time points, which are designated as
209	"Policy change 2". Each scenario is divided into three periods: 1) Period A, between
210	start of outbreak and "Policy change 1"; 2) Period B, between "Policy change 1" and
211	"Policy change 2"; and, 3) Period C, after "Policy change 2".
212	Full reversal scenarios
213	All full reversal scenarios would result in excess deaths ranging from 5,043
214	to 127,745 (Table S4). Only a full reversal on May 17 <sup>th</sup> would reach HC and ICU-C
215	earlier than Model 2 (by 7 and 17 days, respectively. However, fully reversing social
216	distancing containment measures by May $17^{ m th}$ would result in an excess of 127,745
217	deaths. More detailed descriptions for each individual scenario are provided in
218	Supplemental Materials.
219	Partial reversal scenarios
220	Excess COVID-19 deaths range between 2,707 and 91,151. A partial reversal
221	on May $17^{ ext{th}}$ would result in HC and ICU-C being reached with 2 and 10 days of
222	anticipation but with a cost of over 90,000 deaths, compared to keeping
223	containment measures. The best scenario is provided by a partial reversal on July
224	9 <sup>th</sup> , which would result in a 0- and 67-day delay for HC and ICU-C, compared with no
225	change in policy (Model 10, Figure 3C). Excess mortality of a partial reversal on July
226	9 <sup>th</sup> would be 2,707 (Table S4). More detailed descriptions for each individual
227	scenario are provided in Supplemental Materials.

#### 228 Simulating local COVID-19 outbreaks using HeCEM

229 We used HeCEM to find the predicted dates of HC and ICU-C for Guayaguil 230 (Figure 4C, Model 13). ICU-C would be reach by June 30<sup>th</sup> whereas HC would be 231 reached on July 18<sup>th</sup> (Figure 4C, Model 13). By May 10<sup>th</sup>, the model predicts over 1.1 232 million persons having been infected with COVID-19 in the city and about 15,000 233 deaths. In addition, it is predicted that by May 10<sup>th</sup> over 6,500 healthcare workers 234 have been infected with COVID-19 in Guayaguil. 235 We also explored the use of HeCEM in a city where the COVID-19 outbreak 236 started after the enactment of containment measures. The first reported COVID-19 237 case was reported on March 21<sup>st</sup> in Esmeraldas. (23) According to HeCEM (Figure 4D, Model 14), ICU-C would be reached by August 13<sup>th</sup> whereas HC would be 238 239 reached 10 days later, by August 23<sup>rd</sup>. By May 10<sup>th</sup>, the model predicts that 4,814 240 people have been infected since the beginning of the outbreak and that 50 people 241 have died of COVID-19. The model also predicts that 32 healthcare workers would 242 have been infected with COVID-19 by May 10<sup>th</sup>. 243 Forecasting COVID-19 reported cases

A differenced first-order autoregressive ARIMA model was fit to forecast the cumulative COVID-19 incidence. The model was allowed to forecast up to July 25<sup>th</sup>. According to the forecasting, reported cases will continue an upward trend until reaching a total of 52,404 reported cases on July 25<sup>th</sup> (mean = 21,343, SD = 16,9830; Figure 5).

#### 249 Estimating simulation and predictive errors

250	ARIMA model-generated errors were the most stable, at around -0.05 (Figure
251	S9). SIR model (Model 2) errors tend to decrease whereas HeCEM (Model 11) errors
252	increase with time (Figure S9). SIR-generated errors were larger than HeCEM-
253	generated errors (Table S6). ARIMA model-generated errors were not different than
254	zero (Table S6). HeCEM-generated standardized errors were not different than the
255	mean (p = 0.446, One-sample Wilcoxon Signed Rank test; Table S7).

### 256 **DISCUSSION**

257 Based on reported figures, Ecuador has one of the largest COVID-19 258 outbreaks in the Americas, (1) and the largest in Latin America (in terms of ratios). 259 However, the official figures are widely believed to underrepresent the true extent 260 of the outbreak. (24) Guayaquil, in particular, has suffered the greatest burden in the 261 country both in terms of morbidity and mortality. (25) The magnitude of the 262 outbreak in Guayaquil has been dramatic. There have been reports of corpses 263 accumulating on city streets after the local healthcare system was unable to cope 264 with the demand and due to the overwhelming of morgues and funeral homes. (26) 265 Severe social distancing measures consisting on mobility restrictions were 266 applied nationwide since March 18<sup>th</sup>, 2020. (5) Canton governments have been 267 authorized to evaluate conditions to reverse containment measures. (6) Some 268 cantons are considering lifting restrictions, (27) including Guayaquil that will keep 269 current containment measures until May 24<sup>th</sup>. (28) We used SIR and HeCEM 270 modeling to provide evidence that can inform decisions regarding reversing COVID-271 19 containment measures in Ecuador.

272	The SIR and HeCEM models reported in this manuscript derive transmission
273	and infectiousness parameters from previous research studying COVID-19
274	transmission before and after the implementation of containment measures,
275	including restrictions in mobilization, in China. (13) In addition, HeCEM models
276	derive parameters from published literature as shown in Table 1. Since lockdown
277	efforts in Ecuador seem to have been loosely implemented, at least in some
278	regions,(29) it is likely that our estimates are rather conservative.
279	Our results suggest that Ecuador benefited from imposing containment
280	measures by reducing cumulative COVID-19 mortality by over 130,000. Of all
281	scenarios evaluated, the best outcome is given by delaying the implementation of a
282	partial reversal of measures until both Hospital Capacitance and ICU Capacitance
283	dates, under current circumstances, have been reached and stabilized. That
284	corresponds to SIR MODEL 10 (i.e. partial reversal implemented on July 19 <sup>th</sup> , 2020).
285	HeCEM models may provide more accurate predictions, as they account for
286	hospitalization and ICU admittance in addition to recovery and death. HeCEM
287	models confirm that partial reversal of containment measures should occur after, or
288	right before, HC and ICU-C have been reached. The HeCEM Guayaquil model predicts
289	over 1.1 million cumulative infections by May 10 <sup>th</sup> , 2020. A recent study conducted
290	in Guayaquil suggests that over 30% of the population (close to 1 million people)
291	have already been infected. (28) By April 30 <sup>th</sup> , the HeCEM Guayaquil model
292	estimated 7,299 deaths, which correlates with the excess mortality observed in
293	Guayaquil (i.e. compared to 2019, there was an excess of 10,387 deaths between
294	March and April, as registered by the Civil Registry). (30) SIR-generated errors were

295	larger than HeCEM-generated errors suggesting that HeCEM models were more
296	accurate. However, HeCEM-generated errors showed a tendency to increase over
297	time. Estimating parameters from data generated on the field, in Ecuador, will help
298	to reduce both SIR and HeCEM errors and produce more accurate predictions.
299	Reversing containment measures should be carefully considered. The WHO
300	has indicated that COVID-19 cases may surge after lifting restrictions. (31) If
301	Ecuador follows a similar trajectory, then the resulting surge in cases will be
302	additional burden to an already overwhelmed healthcare system. Preventing
303	overwhelming healthcare services will be key to handling post-pandemic SARS-CoV-
304	2 transmission. (32) Prevention and control of cases in Ecuador, and specifically in
305	densely-populated cities like Guayaquil, could be crucial to keeping the pandemic
306	under control.
307	There are some limitations of this study. First, the study simulates the
308	outbreak for the whole nation. It is important to keep in mind that in-country
309	variations might exist. Similar approaches should be done at a local scale – we have
310	started by providing two local HeCEM models. Second, the models assume that once
311	a person recovers, they will not be re-infected. There is limited evidence regarding
312	lifelong COVID-19 immunity. (33) Third, our models do not consider the
313	socioeconomic impact of containment measures and therefore it does not include
314	them in the analysis of the impact of reversing such measures. In terms of
315	forecasting, the ARIMA models are based on the officially reported figures, which
316	are believed to be an underrepresentation of the real number of cases. (24)

317 Acknowledgements

# 318 The authors would like to thank Dr. Catalina Lopez-Quintero for her valuable

319 input.

#### 320 **References**

- 321 1. World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19). Situation
- 322 Report 111. Available at: https://www.who.int/docs/default-
- 323 source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200510covid-19-sitrep-
- 324 111.pdf?sfvrsn=1896976f\_2. WHO [Geneva], May 10, 2020. Cited May 10, 2020.
- 325 2. Gobierno de la República del Ecuador. Secretaría General de Comunicación
- de la Presidencia. Se registra el primer caso de coronavirus en Ecuador [on line].
- 327 https://www.comunicacion.gob.ec/se-registra-el-primer-caso-de-coronavirus-en-
- 328 ecuador/. Secretaría de Comunicación [Quito]. Cited April 29, 2020.
- 329 3. Gobierno de la República del Ecuador. Comité de Operaciones de Emergencia
- 330 Nacional. Informe de Situación COVID-19 Ecuador No. 001 | COE Nacional 13 de
- 331 Marzo de 2020. Available at: https://www.gestionderiesgos.gob.ec/wp-
- 332 content/uploads/2020/03/Informe-de-Situaci%C3%B3n-No001-Casos-
- 333 Coronavirus-Ecuador-12032020.pdf. COE Nacional [Quito], March 13, 2020. Cited,
- 334 May 10, 2020.
- 335 4. Gobierno de la República del Ecuador. Comité de Operaciones de Emergencia
- 336 Nacional. Informe de Situación COVID-19 Ecuador No. 007 | COE Nacional 16 de
- 337 Marzo de 2020 [on line]. Available at: https://www.gestionderiesgos.gob.ec/wp-
- 338 content/uploads/2020/03/Informe-de-Situaci%C3%B3n-No007-Casos-
- 339 Coronavirus-Ecuador-16032020-14h00.pdf. COE Nacional [Quito], March 16, 2020.
- 340 Cited, May 10, 2020.
- 5. Gobierno de la República del Ecuador. Comité de Operaciones de Emergencia
- 342 Nacional. Informe de Situación COVID-19 Ecuador No. 008 | COE Nacional 16 de

343	Marzo de 2020	on line	. Available at: h	nttps:/	/www.gestiond	deriesgos.gob.ec/	/wp-

- 344 content/uploads/2020/03/Informe-de-Situaci%C3%B3n-No008-Casos-
- 345 Coronavirus-Ecuador-16032020-20h00.pdf. COE Nacional [Quito], March 16, 2020.
- 346 Cited, May 10, 2020.
- 347 6. Gobierno de la República del Ecuador. Comité de Operaciones de Emergencia
- 348 Nacional. Informe de Situación COVID-19 Ecuador No. 042 | COE Nacional 29 de
- 349 Abril de 2020. Available at: https://www.gestionderiesgos.gob.ec/wp-
- 350 content/uploads/2020/04/Informe-de-Situaci%C3%B3n-No042-Casos-
- 351 Coronavirus-Ecuador-29042020.pdf. COE Nacional [Quito], April 29, 2020. Cited,
- 352 May 10, 2020.
- 353 7. The World Bank. World Bank Open Data. Data [onl ine].
- 354 https://data.worldbank.org/. The World Bank [Washington, D.C.] c. 2019. Cited
- 355 April 29, 2020.
- 356 8. Instituto Nacional de Estadística. Proyecciones Poblacionales. Instituto
- 357 Nacional de Estadística y Censos [on line].
- 358 https://www.ecuadorencifras.gob.ec/proyecciones-poblacionales/.INEN [Quito].
- 359 Cited April 29, 2020.
- 360 9. Servicio Nacional de Gestión de Riesgos y Emergencias. COE Nacional [on
- line]. https://www.gestionderiesgos.gob.ec/coe-nacional/. [Quito]. Cited April 29,

362 2020.

- 363 10. Soetaert K, Petzoldt T, Setzer RW. Solving Differential Equations in R:
- 364 Package deSolve. 2010. 2010;33(9):25.
- 365 11. R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for

- 366 statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical
- 367 Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/. Cited April 29, 2020.
- 368 12. Huang Y, Yang L, Dai H, Tian F & Chen K. Epidemic situation and forecasting
- of COVID-19 in and outside China. [Submitted]. Bull World Health Organ. E-pub: 16
- 370 March 2020. doi:
- 371 http://dx.doi.org/10.2471/BLT.20.255158.
- 13. Li R, Pei S, Chen B, Song Y, Zhang T, Yang W, et al. Substantial undocumented
- 373 infection facilitates the rapid dissemination of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV2).
- 374 Science. 2020.
- 375 14. Gobierno de la República del Ecuador. Instituto Nacional de Estadísticas y
- 376 Censos. Camas y egersos hospitalarios [on line].
- 377 https://www.ecuadorencifras.gob.ec/camas-y-egresos-hospitalarios/. INEN [Quito],
- 378 n.d. Cited, May 6, 2020.
- Team CC-R. Severe Outcomes Among Patients with Coronavirus Disease
- 380 2019 (COVID-19) United States, February 12-March 16, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal
- 381 Wkly Rep. 2020;69(12):343-6.
- 382 16. Verity R, Okell LC, Dorigatti I, Winskill P, Whittaker C, Imai N, et al. Estimates
- 383 of the severity of coronavirus disease 2019: a model-based analysis. Lancet Infect
- 384 Dis. 2020.
- 385 17. Garg S, Kim L, Whitaker M, O'Halloran A, Cummings C, Holstein R, et al.
- 386 Hospitalization Rates and Characteristics of Patients Hospitalized with Laboratory-
- 387 Confirmed Coronavirus Disease 2019 COVID-NET, 14 States, March 1-30, 2020.
- 388 MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69(15):458-64.

- Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients
- infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet.
- 391 2020;395(10223):497-506.
- 392 19. Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the
- 393 Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of
- 394 72314 Cases From the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. JAMA.
- 395 2020.
- 396 20. Gobierno de la República del Ecuador. Instituto Nacional de Estadísticas y
- 397 Censos. Actividades y Recursos de Salud [on line]. Available at:
- 398 https://www.ecuadorencifras.gob.ec/actividades-y-recursos-de-salud/. INEN
- 399 [Quito], n.d. Cited, May 6, 2020.
- 400 21. IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0.
- 401 Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.
- 402 22. Heredia, V, Gonzalez, J. Murió la primera paciente que dio positivo a covid-19
- 403 en Ecuador, informó el MSP; hay tres nuevos casos.
- 404 https://www.elcomercio.com/actualidad/murio-primera-paciente-coronavirus-
- 405 ecuador.html. March 13, 2020. Accessed April 30, 2020.
- 406 23. Gobierno de la República del Ecuador. Comité de Operaciones de Emergencia
- 407 Nacional. Informe de Situación COVID-19 Ecuador No. 016 | COE Nacional 21 de
- 408 Marzo de 2020 [on line]. Available at: https://www.gestionderiesgos.gob.ec/wp-
- 409 content/uploads/2020/03/Informe-de-Situaci%C3%B3n-No016-Casos-
- 410 Coronavirus-Ecuador-21032020.pdf. COE Nacional [Quito], Mar 21, 2020. Cited, May
- 411 10, 2020.

- 412 24. Otis J. COVID-19 Numbers Are Bad In Ecuador. The President Says The Real
- 413 Story Is Even Worse.
- 414 https://www.npr.org/sections/goatsandsoda/2020/04/20/838746457/covid-19-
- 415 numbers-are-bad-in-ecuador-the-president-says-the-real-story-is-even-wo.
- 416 National Public Radio, April 20, 2020. Cited May 14, 2020.
- 417 25. Gobierno de la República del Ecuador. Comité de Operaciones de Emergencia
- 418 Nacional. Infografía No 073 COVID 19 | COE Nacional 10 de Mayo de 2020 [on line].
- 419 Available at: https://www.gestionderiesgos.gob.ec/wp-
- 420 content/uploads/2020/03/Resoluciones-COE-Nacional-24-de-marzo-2020.pdf. COE
- 421 Nacional [Quito], May 10, 2020. Cited, May 10, 2020.
- 422 26. Rivers M, Gallón N.Where are the bodies? Missing remains mean no peace for
- 423 grieving families in Ecuador [on line]. Cable News Network. May 10, 2020.
- 424 https://www.cnn.com/2020/05/07/americas/ecuador-coronavirus-missing-
- 425 intl/index.html. Cited May 10, 2020.
- 426 27. Medina A. 166 cantones se quedarán con semáforo en rojo hasta el 31 de
- 427 mayo. El Comercio. https://www.elcomercio.com/actualidad/cantones-semaforo-
- 428 rojo-covid19-distanciamiento.html. May 5, 2020. Accessed May 6, 2020.
- 429 28. El Universo. Coronavirus en Guayaquil: Semáforo en rojo se mantendrá hasta
- 430 el 24 de mayo; según muestreo, el 33% de la población se ha contagiado, de esos el
- 431 14,8% ha superado la enfermedad [on line]. Available at:
- 432 https://www.eluniverso.com/guayaquil/2020/05/07/nota/7834590/guayaquil-
- 433 coronavirus-medidas-coe. El Universo [Guayaquil], May 7, 2020. Cited May 10, 2020.

- 434 29. Torres I, Sacoto F. Localising an asset-based COVID-19 response in Ecuador.
- 435 Lancet. 2020;395(10233):1339.
- 436 30. Ecuavisa. Muertos sin descanso | Visión 360 VII Temporada [video, on line].
- 437 Available: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gXP2eJW5hnA. Ecuavisa [Quito],
- 438 May 11, 2020. Cited May 12, 2020.
- 439 31. World Health Organization. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the
- 440 media briefing on COVID-19 11 May 2020 [on line].
- 441 https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-
- 442 remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-may-2020. WHO [Geneva], May 11,
- 443 2020. Cited May 12, 2020.
- 444 32. Kissler SM, Tedijanto C, Goldstein E, Grad YH, Lipsitch M. Projecting the
- transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 through the postpandemic period. Science.
- 446 2020.
- 447 33. Kirkcaldy RD, King BA, Brooks JT. COVID-19 and Postinfection Immunity:
- 448 Limited Evidence, Many Remaining Questions. JAMA. 2020.



Figure 1. Healthcare Compartmental Epidemic Model.

S = Susceptibles, W = Heatlhcare Workers, I = Infectious, R = Recovered, H = Hospitalized, C = admitted to ICU units, D = deceased. Greek letters represent the rate at which individuals move from one compartment to another. Arrows represent the direction of the inter-compartmental movement. Dashed lines represent interactions between people in different compartments that may result in infections.



Figure 2. Epidemiological characteristics of the COVID-19 outbreak in Ecuador



Time in days (Day 0 = 29 FEB 2020)

Figure 3A. MODEL 1: Ecuador COVID-19 initial SIR Model.



Figure 3B. MODEL 2: SIR-simulated COVID-19 outbreak in Ecuador, accounting for the start of containment measures.



Figure 3C. MODEL 10: SIR-simulated COVID-19 outbreak in Ecuador if containment measures are partially reversed on July 19<sup>th</sup>, 2020. Solid lines represent period boundaries. Dashed lines represent time at which capacitance is reached.



Time in days (Day 0 = 29 FEB 2020)

Figure 4A. MODEL 11: HeCEM-simulated COVID-19 outbreak in Ecuador. Solid line represents the time when containment measures were enacted. Dashed lines represent time at which capacitance is reached.



Time in days (Day 0 = 29 FEB 2020)

Figure 4B. MODEL 12: HeCEM-simulated COVID-19 outbreak in Ecuador assuming a partial reversal of containment measures on August 9<sup>th</sup>. Solid lines represent time when COVID-19 policies change. Dashed lines represent time at which capacitance is reached.



Time in days (Day 0 = 29 FEB 2020)

Figure 4C. MODEL 13: HeCEM-simulated COVID-19 outbreak in Guayaquil. Solid lines represent time when COVID-19 policies change. Dashed lines represent time at which capacitance is reached.



Time in days (Day 0 = 21 MAR 2020)

Figure 4D. MODEL 14: HeCEM-simulated COVID-19 outbreak in Esmeraldas. Dashed lines represent time at which capacitance is reached.



Figure 5. Forecast of COVID-19 cumulative reported incidence.

Parameter	Range	Period
Infectious Period (before		3.48 days(13)
containment measures)		
Infectious Period (after		3.13 days(13)
containment measures)		
Hospitalization ratio	6.8% <sup>*</sup> (16)to	7 days(17, 18)
	31.4%(15)**	
ICU admission ratio+	32%	3.5 days(18)
Case fatality ratio (CFR)	1.4%(16) to	12.8 days(16)
	3.4%(15)\$	
CFR in ICU-admitted patients	38%(18)	7.3 days(16)
CFR in hospitalized patients	4%(18)	10.8 days(16)
Recovery ratio in ICU-	62%(18)	17.7 days(16)
admitted patients		
Recovery ratio in	96%(18)	17.7 days(16)
hospitalized patients		

## Table 1. Healthcare Compartmental Epidemic Model Parameters

\* Weighted average, \*\* Other estimates: 12.1%(17), 20.7%,(15) 14%(19).

+ among hospitalized patients.

<sup>\$</sup> Other estimates: 1.8%(15)

CFR = Case Fatality Ratio; ICU = Intensive Care Unit