

BMJ Global Health

Domestic Violence across 30 Countries in Africa

Journal:	<i>BMJ Global Health</i>
Manuscript ID	Draft
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Ssentongo, Anna; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Public Health Science Heilbrunn, Emily; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Public Health Science Ssentongo, Paddy; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Public Health Science Lin, Dan; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Public Health Science Yang, Yanxu; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Public Health Science Onen, Justin; CURE Children's Hospital of Uganda, Pediatric Neurosurgery Hazelton, Joshua; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Trauma Surgery Oh, John; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Trauma Surgery Chinchilli, Vernon; Penn State Health Milton S Hershey Medical Center, Public Health Science
Keywords:	Public Health < Health policies and all other topics, Epidemiology < Health policies and all other topics

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.19.20107029>; this version posted May 21, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Domestic Violence across 30 Countries in Africa

Anna E. Ssentongo, MPH^{1,2}, Emily S. Heilbrunn, BS¹, Paddy Ssentongo, MD, MPH^{1,3}, Dan Lin, BS^{1,2}, Yanxu Yang, MPH^{1,2}, Justin Onen, MD⁴, Joshua P. Hazelton, DO², John S. Oh², MD², Vernon M. Chinchilli, PhD¹

Corresponding author:
Anna E. Ssentongo, MPH
Penn State College of Medicine
Division of Surgery
500 University Drive
Hershey, Pa 17033-0850
Tel: 814-404-5208

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract:

Introduction: Domestic violence is a prevalent global health issue that causes incredibly adverse consequences for an individual’s physical and psychological health. The rates of physical and sexual violence in developing countries are thought to be some of the highest in the world, where up to 44% of women in Sub-Saharan Africa have experienced domestic violence. However, this has not been explored systematically. We present the first study to estimate the incidence and prevalence of physical and sexual violence across Africa.

Methods: Poisson meta-regression analysis on demographic health survey data from 482,670 women from 442,507 households in 30 countries across Africa. Hotspot analysis using the Getis-ORD Gi approach at the sub-regional level.

Results: Of 482,670 women, those who were divorced or separated were approximately 7 times more likely to experience physical violence and 6 times more likely to experience sexual violence compared to those who were never married (Risk Ratio: RRs:7.35, 95%CI 7.16-7.54 and 5.89, 95%CI 5.75-6.02 respectively). Likewise, wealth index and education level were inversely related to the incidence and prevalence of sexual and physical violence. Hotspots of sexual and physical violence were identified in Congo and surrounding areas.

Conclusions: Interventions should be designed to address the high levels of physical and sexual violence in Congo and surrounding areas, especially in those who are less educated, have lower wealth indices, and are divorced or separated.

Key Questions:

What is already known?

Experiencing domestic violence can increase the likelihood of experiencing poorer health outcomes. Previous research has shown that the rates of domestic violence is higher in developing countries, specifically in countries located in sub-Saharan Africa.

1
2
3 **What are the new findings?**

4 This is the first study to estimate the incidence and prevalence of physical and sexual
5 violence across regions in Africa. Geospatial analysis illustrated hot-spots near Congo that have a
6 higher prevalence of domestic violence. This study also solidified the association between income,
7 education, and marital status, and domestic violence. Those who are less educated and are of lower
8 socioeconomic status are more likely to experience domestic violence in comparison to their
9 educated, wealthy counterparts.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 **What do the new findings imply?**

19
20 Our findings further promote the importance of tailoring appropriate interventions that
21 strive to reduce domestic violence across Africa, while also protecting domestic violence victims
22 against the adverse psychological and physical health outcomes that may occur as a result of their
23 exposure.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 Funding: The USAID funded the Demographic and Health Survey collection. However, the
54 funders played no role in the conceptualization, data analysis, or writing of this manuscript
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Introduction:

Domestic violence (DV), as defined by the World Health Organization, is any form of a behavior that causes psychological, physical, or sexual harm to an intimate partner.[1] Experiencing domestic violence of any form has the potential to cause incredibly adverse consequences for an individual's physical and psychological health. Prior research supports the notion that victims of domestic violence may experience poorer physical and mental health outcomes in comparison to those who have not experienced domestic violence.[2] The psychological consequences of experiencing domestic violence have been explored greatly in research. Depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), suicidal ideation, and self-injury are just a few of the many psychological consequences of domestic violence.[2] Domestic violence also has major implications for both acute and chronic health conditions, with victims having a 60% higher rate of total health problems in comparison to individuals who have never experienced any form of abuse (incidence rate ratio: 1.58; 95% CI 1.34-1.86).[3] Research has found that those who have experienced domestic violence are more likely to report chronic conditions, including cardiovascular disease, high blood pressure, elevated cholesterol, and more.[4] Experiencing domestic violence also increases a victim's likelihood of participating in risky health behaviors, such as smoking and binge drinking.[4]

Domestic violence is an extremely prevalent global health problem, with approximately one in three women experiencing some form of DV during their lifetime in the United States.[5] Approximately 10 million individuals within the United States experience some form of physical abuse annually.[6] The average global prevalence of domestic violence is approximately 30%.[7] European countries (prevalence: 25.4%, 95% CI 20.9-30.0) and Western Pacific regions (prevalence: 24.6%; 95% CI 20.1-29.0) report rates of domestic violence that are significantly lower than the global average.[6] The growing prevalence of domestic violence across the globe

1
2
3 is extremely concerning, for the economic burden of this health problem continues to increase.
4
5 Research estimates that within the United States, the lifetime cost of domestic violence is
6
7 approximately \$2.1 trillion.[8]
8
9

10 The rates of domestic violence are significantly increased in developing countries in
11
12 comparison to developed countries.[9] The prevalence of domestic violence in Sub-Saharan Africa
13
14 (SSA) is above the global average, with a prevalence of approximately 36%.[7] Several African
15
16 countries rank among some of the highest rates of domestic violence in comparison to other
17
18 countries.[10] In previous studies, the pooled prevalence of intimate partner violence (IPV) in Sub-
19
20 Saharan Africa was as high as 44%.[11] Globally, low socioeconomic status is a risk factor for
21
22 domestic violence, and this remains true in Sub-Saharan African countries.[10] Lower educational
23
24 attainment also increases one's risk of experiencing domestic violence during their life.[11]
25
26
27

28 With this being said, we hypothesize that the political instability and active war that
29
30 surrounds this area may contribute to this extremely high prevalence of domestic violence. Prior
31
32 research introduces the idea that active war and violence influences the acceptability and
33
34 prevalence of violence against women.[12] In addition to this, one study conducted in Liberia
35
36 suggest that residing in regions with active violence greatly increases the risk of experiencing
37
38 domestic violence post-conflict.[13]
39
40
41

42 There is a lack of sufficient and comprehensive research on the prevalence of domestic
43
44 violence in Africa. Our objective is to determine the prevalence of domestic violence in 30 African
45
46 countries and to explore the association between domestic violence and wealth, marital status, and
47
48 educational attainment. In addition to this, we expect that those who are poorer and have less
49
50 education experience higher rates of domestic violence as well. To our knowledge, this is the first
51
52 study to estimate the prevalence and incidence of domestic violence in Africa.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Methods:

Data sources

We used nationally representative Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) data collected between 2008 and 2018. We extracted data on domestic violence (physical and sexual violence), number of women surveyed, number of households surveyed, education, wealth, and marital status from 30 countries (27 in Sub-Saharan Africa, and 3 from North Africa). We included data from the most recent survey for each country. Surveys without domestic violence were excluded from the analysis. Data collection involved a multistage stratified sampling design, as detailed elsewhere (<https://dhsprogram.com/data/data-collection.cfm>).

Ethical considerations

Each country's ethical review committee and institutional review board of OCF International, USA reviewed and approved the DHS protocol and guideline. Informed consent was collected prior to the survey being given. Data were de-identified and are publically available upon request; therefore, the authors did not need to seek any further clearance.

Study selection and inclusion criteria

Two authors (AES and PS) independently reviewed and extracted data from each country's DHS report. Only countries with complete information on physical and sexual violence were included. We derived the following variables: year of the survey, continent, country, number of women surveyed, response rate, proportion of women who experienced sexual violence in the past 12 months, proportion of women who have ever experienced sexual violence, proportion of women who experienced physical violence in the past 12 months, proportion of women who experienced physical violence since age 15, proportion of women abused in each wealth index, proportion of women abused in education level, and proportion of women abused in each marital status. We

1
2
3 excluded surveys which were conducted before 2015 or did not report domestic violence data.
4
5 Non-English reports were translated into English.
6
7

8 **Statistical analysis**

9 The primary outcome was the proportion of women who experienced physical violence within the
10 past 12 months. Secondary outcomes included physical violence since age 15, sexual violence
11 ever, and sexual violence in the past 12 months. We used Poisson regression (meta-regression) to
12 analyze the data. We transformed the proportions into counts by normalizing by offsetting by the
13 number of study participants. All Poisson regression analyses were performed in R studio.
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 Geo-special analysis was conducted using ArcGIS Pro. We performed hot-spot analysis at the sub-
21 regional level using the Getis-ORD G_i^* approach with inverse weighting. We calculated G-scores
22 and plotted the results from each outcome and plotted them at the sub-regional level. Information
23 on education, marital status, and wealth was collected and plotted on the country level.
24
25
26
27
28

29 **Patient and public involvement**

30 No patients were involved in the design or dissemination of this analysis.
31
32
33
34
35

36 **Results:**

37 We identified 442,670 women from 442,507 households utilizing the DHS data from 2008
38 to 2018. This set of data represented 30 countries, with 27 of them being located in Sub-Saharan
39 Africa and 3 in Northern Africa. There was a 98% response rate for survey participants, allowing
40 us to utilize a comprehensive pool of data.
41
42
43
44
45

46 **Effects of Education on Domestic Violence**

47 As displaced in **Table 1**, education was significantly associated with physical and sexual violence
48 in a linear fashion, where the most educated had the lowest prevalence and incidence of sexual and
49 physical violence followed by primary, secondary, and higher. Those who had no education were
50 3.1 times more likely to have experienced physical violence in the last 12 months compared to
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

those of higher education (Risk Ratio: RR= 3.1, 95%CI 3.0-3.16). Likewise, those without any formal education were 2.35 times more likely to have experienced sexual violence within the past 12 months compared to those who had higher education (OR=2.35 95%CI 2.31-2.40).

Effects of Wealth on Domestic Violence

The effects of wealth on the incidence domestic violence appeared linear, where the lowest wealth index was 1.7 times more likely to experience physical violence and 1.68 times more likely to experience sexual violence (RR= 1.72 95%CI 1.68-1.76) and (RR=1.68 95%CI 1.66-1.71) respectfully. As for the prevalence of physical and sexual violence, the lowest wealth index was also at the highest risk for abuse (RR=1.11 95%CI 1.1-1.11) and (RR=1.26 95%CI = 1.24-1.28).

Effects of Marital Status on Domestic Violence

Those who were divorced or separated were 7.5 times more likely to have experienced physical violence in the past 12 months (RR= 7.35, 95%CI 7.16-7.54) and 5.9 times more likely to have experienced sexual violence in the past 12 months (RR=5.89, 95%CI=5.75-6.02) compared to those who were never married. Living together also increased the incidence rate of physical and sexual violence by 3.4 and 5.1 times, compared to those never married, respectfully

Geospatial Statistics

Sub-regions near Congo had the highest prevalence and incidence of physical and sexual violence (Figure 1). Many regions in this area were identified as hotspots (Figure 2). Areas in northern Africa had lower incidence and prevalence rates of sexual and physical violence. Many regions in northern Africa were identified as cold spots.

Table 1:

Risk Ratio (95% CI)	Ever Experienced Physical Violence	Experienced Physical Violence Last 12 Months	Ever Experienced Sexual Violence	Experienced Sexual Violence Last 12 Months
Education				
No education	1.25 (1.24, 1.26)	3.08 (3.00, 3.16)	1.24 (1.22, 1.25)	2.35 (2.31, 2.40)

Primary	1.37 (1.36, 1.38)	3.03 (2.95, 3.11)	1.38 (1.36, 1.40)	2.56 (2.51, 2.61)
Secondary	1.19 (1.19, 1.20)	2.12 (2.06, 2.18)	1.06 (1.04, 1.07)	1.63 (1.60, 1.67)
Higher	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Wealth				
Lowest	1.11 (1.10, 1.11)	1.72 (1.68, 1.76)	1.26 (1.24, 1.28)	1.68 (1.66, 1.71)
Second	1.12 (1.11, 1.13)	1.61 (1.57, 1.64)	1.23 (1.21, 1.24)	1.61 (1.58, 1.64)
Middle	1.10 (1.10, 1.11)	1.56 (1.52, 1.60)	1.22 (1.21, 1.24)	1.56 (1.53, 1.58)
Fourth	1.07 (1.06, 1.08)	1.33 (1.30, 1.36)	1.18 (1.17, 1.20)	1.40 (1.38, 1.43)
Highest	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Marital Status				
Married	1.37 (1.35, 1.38)	2.89 (2.81, 2.97)	1.93 (1.90, 1.96)	4.26 (4.16, 4.36)
Living together	1.66 (1.65, 1.67)	3.44 (3.35, 3.53)	2.26 (2.23, 2.29)	5.06 (4.95, 5.19)
Married or living together	1.41 (1.40, 1.42)	3.14 (3.06, 3.23)	2.04 (2.00, 2.07)	4.44 (4.33, 4.55)
	2.16 (2.14, 2.17)	7.35 (7.16, 7.54)	3.68 (3.62, 3.73)	5.89 (5.75, 6.02)
Divorced/Separated				
ed				
Widowed	1.40 (1.39, 1.42)	1.87 (1.81, 1.92)	2.17 (2.14, 2.20)	2.35 (2.29, 2.41)
Widowed, divorced, or separated	1.88 (1.87, 1.89)	5.42 (5.28, 5.56)	3.09 (3.05, 3.14)	4.70 (5.59, 4.81)
Never married	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference

Discussion:

We utilized data from the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) to estimate the prevalence of domestic violence and how wealth, marital status, and educational attainment affects the rates of physical and sexual violence. To our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive ecological study to look at the prevalence of domestic violence in 30 African regions and to explore the association between domestic violence and socioeconomic status, educational attainment, and marital status. Our results suggest that sub-regions located in close proximity to the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) had the highest rates of both physical and sexual violence. On the contrary, as seen on the geospatial map, northern Africa had the lowest rates of domestic violence. The cold spots seen in these maps represent areas with a decreased prevalence of physical and sexual violence. These findings are in line with previous research, which suggests that Sub-Saharan African countries have an above average prevalence of domestic violence in comparison to other African regions.[7]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

When analyzing the association between socioeconomic status, it was found that those in the lowest wealth category are most likely to experience both physical and sexual violence. There is an inverse relationship between wealth and risk of domestic violence, meaning that as wealth decreases, the risk of both physical and sexual violence increases simultaneously. Those in the lowest wealth category were 1.7 times (RR 1.72; 95% CI 1.68, 1.76) more likely to experience physical violence in the past 12 months and 1.68 times (RR 1.68; 95% CI 1.66, 1.71) more likely to experience sexual violence in the past 12 months. Overall, the lowest wealth category was 1.11 times (RR 1.11; 95% CI 1.1, 1.11) more likely to ever experience physical violence and 1.26 times (RR 1.26; 95% CI 1.24, 1.28) more likely to ever experience sexual violence in their lifetime. This was followed by those in the second wealth category, who were 1.61 times more likely to experience both physical (RR 1.61; 95% CI 1.57, 1.64) and sexual violence (RR 1.61; 95% CI 1.66, 1.71) in the past 12 months. Those categorized in the middle wealth category were 1.56 times more likely to experience both physical (RR 1.56; 95% CI 1.52, 1.6) and sexual violence (RR 1.56; 1.53, 1.58) in the past 12 months. Individuals in this group were 1.1 times (RR 1.1; 95% CI 1.10, 1.11) more likely to ever experience physical violence and 1.22 (RR 1.22; 95% CI 1.21, 1.24) times more likely to ever experience sexual violence. On the contrary, those in the highest wealth categories, were least likely to have experienced any physical or sexual violence at any time in comparison to lower wealth categories. The relationship between socioeconomic status and domestic violence risk is one that has been founded in evidence. Therefore, our findings are in line with previous research that support the notion that individuals in a lower socioeconomic status bracket are more likely to experience any form of physical or sexual violence.[14]

The association between educational attainment and risk of domestic violence was also inverse. Those with lower levels of education were more likely to have experienced physical and

1
2
3 sexual violence at any time. Those with no education were 3.1 times (RR 3.08; 95% CI 3.00, 3.16)
4
5 more likely to have experienced physical violence in the past 12 months and 2.35 times (RR 2.35;
6
7 95% CI 2.31, 2.4) more likely to experience sexual violence in the past 12 months. Those with a
8
9 primary education were 3.03 times (RR 3.03; 95% CI 2.95, 3.11) more likely to have experienced
10
11 physical violence in the past 12 months and 2.56 times (RR 2.56; 95% CI 2.51, 2.61) more likely
12
13 to have experienced sexual violence in the past 12 months. This group is 1.37 times (RR 1.37; 95%
14
15 CI 1.36, 1.38) more likely to have ever experienced physical violence and 1.38 times (RR 1.38;
16
17 95% CI 1.36, 1.40) more likely to have ever experienced sexual violence. Those with a secondary
18
19 education experience the lowest risk of physical and sexual violence. This group is 2.12 times (RR
20
21 2.12; 95% CI 2.06, 2.18) more likely to have experienced physical violence in the past 12 months
22
23 and 1.63 times (RR 1.63; 95% CI 1.60, 1.67) more likely to have experienced sexual violence in
24
25 the past 12 months. In addition to this, those with a secondary education are 1.19 times (RR 1.19;
26
27 95% CI 1.19, 1.20) more likely to have ever experienced physical violence and 1.06 times (RR
28
29 1.06; 95% CI 1.04, 1.07) more likely to have ever experienced sexual violence in their lifetime.
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Those who are divorced/separated have the highest risk of both physical and sexual
37
38 violence at any point. These individuals are 7.35 times (RR 7.35; 95% CI 7.16, 7.54) more likely
39
40 to have experienced physical violence in the past 12 months and 5.89 times (RR 5.89; 95% CI
41
42 5.75, 6.02) more likely to have experienced sexual violence in the past 12 months. They also have
43
44 the highest risk of both physical and sexual violence at any point in time. Those who are divorced
45
46 or separated are 2.16 times (RR 2.16; 95% CI 2.14, 2.17) more likely to experience physical abuse
47
48 and 3.68 times (RR 3.68; 95% CI 3.62, 3.73) more likely to experience sexual violence at any
49
50 time. This is followed by individuals who cohabit, or live together. Those who are living
51
52 together are 3.44 times (RR 3.44; 95% CI 3.35, 3.53) more likely to have experienced physical
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 violence in the past 12 months and 5.06 times (RR 5.06; 95% CI 4.95, 5.19) more likely to have
4
5 experienced sexual violence in the past 12 months. Those who have never been married or are
6
7 single experience the lowest risk of domestic violence.
8
9

10 11 **Conclusions:**

12 To summarize, domestic violence was most common in Democratic Republic of Congo
13
14 and surrounding countries. Wealth and educational attainment are both inversely related to risk of
15
16 domestic violence. Those who are divorced experience an increased risk of domestic violence,
17
18 followed by individuals living together, then married individuals. Single or non-married
19
20 individuals experience the lowest risk of domestic violence.
21
22

23
24 Public health interventions targeted at domestic violence and the adverse consequences
25
26 that may arise as a result of this experience are continuously growing and expanding. Prior research
27
28 suggests that therapeutic interventions may be effective in addressing the negative effects of
29
30 domestic violence.[15] With this being said, implementing interventions in African regions
31
32 focused on the adverse consequences of domestic violence may be useful. While the evidence of
33
34 if specific interventions are effective in reducing the prevalence of domestic violence are
35
36 inconclusive, further research is necessary to explore potential ways to reduce these growing and
37
38 concerning rates of violence.
39
40
41
42
43

44 **Author Affiliations**

45
46 ¹Department of Public Health Sciences, Penn State College of Medicine and Milton S. Hershey
47
48 Medical Center, Hershey, Pennsylvania, United States of America

49
50 ²Department of Surgery, Division of Trauma Surgery, Penn State College of Medicine and
51
52 Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, Pennsylvania, United States of America
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

³Center for Neural Engineering, Department of Engineering, Science and Mechanics, The Pennsylvania State University, Pennsylvania, United States of America

⁴CURE Children's Hospital of Uganda, Mbale, Uganda

Contributors AS, PS, JSO, and JH conceived the study. AS, EH, and YY conducted the literature search. AS, DL, VC, and PS completed data analysis. AS, DL, VC, JY, PS, JSO, JO and JH interpreted the data. AS, ES, YY wrote the manuscript. All Authors agreed to the manuscript in its final form

Funding DHS surveys are funded by the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID). This funder had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report.

Competing Interest We report no competing interest

References:

1. Garcia-Moreno C Guedes A, Knerr W. Intimate Partner Violence: World Health Organization 2012.
2. Dillion G, Hussain F, Loxton D, Rahman S. Mental and Physical Health and Intimate Partner Violence against Women: A Review of the Literature. *Int J Family Med* 2013;313909.
3. Campbell J, Snow Jones A, Dienemann J. Intimate partner violence and physical health consequences. *Arch Intern Med* 2002;162(10):1157-63.
4. Breiding MJ, Black MC, Ryan GW. Chronic disease and health risk behavior associated with intimate partner violence-18 U.S. states/territories, 2005. *Annals of Epidemiology* 2008;18(7):538-44.
5. Huecker MR, Smock W. Domestic Violence: StatPearls, 2019.

- 1
2
3 6. Garcia-Moreno C, Pallitto C. Global and regional estimates of violence against women:
4 prevalence and health effects of intimate partner violence and non-partner sexual violence
5
6 World Health Organization, 2013.
7
- 8
9
10 7. Ahinkorah BO, Dickson KS, Seidu AA. Women decision-making capacity and intimate
11 partner violence among women in sub-Saharan Africa. *Arch Public Health* 2018;76(5)
12
- 13 8. Peterson C, Kearns MC, McIntosh WL, et al. Lifetime economic burden of intimate partner
14 violence among U.S. adults. *Am J Prev Med* 2018;55(4):433-44.
15
16
- 17 9. Garcia-Moreno C, Jansen H, Ellsberg M, Heise L, Watts CH. Prevalence of intimate partner
18 violence: findings from the WHO multi-country study on women's health and domestic
19 violence. *Lancet* 2006;368:1260-69.
20
21
22
- 23 10. McCloskey LA, Boozaier F, Steinbrenner SY, Hunter T. Determinants of intimate partner
24 violence in sub-Saharan Africa: a review of prevention and intervention programs *Partner
25 Abuse* 2016;7(3):277-315
26
27
- 28 11. Muluneh MD, Stulz V, Francis L, Agho K. Gender based violence against women in sub-
29 Saharan Africa. A systematic review and meta-analysis of cross-sectional studies. *Int J
30 Environ Res Public Health* 2020;17(3):903.
31
32
- 33 12. Horn R, Puffer ES, Roesch E, Lehmann H. Women's perceptions of effects of war on
34 intimate partner violence and gender roles in two post-conflict West African countries:
35 consequences and unexpected opportunities. *Confl Health* 2014;8:12.
36
37
- 38 13. Kelly JTD, Colatuoni E, Robinson C, Decker MR. From the battlefield to the bedroom: a
39 multilevel analysis of the links between political conflict and intimate partner violence in
40 Liberia. *BMJ Glob Health* 2018;3(2):e000668.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 14. Lubker DK. Socioeconomic status and domestic violence. *International Journal of Global*
4
5 *Health and Health Disparities* 2004;3(1):85-91.
6

7
8 15. Warshaw C, Sullivan C, Rivera EA. A systematic review of trauma-focused interventions for
9
10 domestic violence survivors *National Center of Domestic Violence, Trauma, and Mental*
11
12 *Health* 2013
13

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Confidential: For Review Only

Figure 1: Prevalence and incidence of physical and sexual violence in 30 countries in Africa




Figure 2: Hotspots for physical and sexual violence in 30 countries in Africa

