

## **Meteorological Conditions and Covid-19 in Large U.S. Cities**

Hisato Takagi, M.D., Ph.D., Toshiki Kuno, M.D., Ph.D., Yujiro Yokoyama, M.D., Hiroki Ueyama, M.D., Takuya Matsushiro, M.D. Yosuke Hari, M.D., Tomo Ando, M.D.

Shizuoka Medical Center, Shizuoka, Kitasato University, School of Medicine, Sagamihara, Japan (HT, TM, YH), Mount Sinai Beth Israel Medical Center, New York, NY, USA (TK, HU), Easton Hospital, Easton, PA, USA (YY), New York Presbyterian Hospital/Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, USA (TA)

## Abstract

To determine whether prevalence of Coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) is modulated by meteorological conditions, we herein conducted meta-regression of data in large U.S. cities. We selected 33 large U.S. cities with a population of >500,000. The integrated numbers of confirmed Covid-19 cases in the country to which the city belongs on 14 May 2020, the estimated population in 2019 in the country, and monthly meteorological conditions at the city for 4 months (from January to April 2020) were obtained. Meteorological conditions consisted of mean temperature (F), total precipitation (inch), mean wind speed (MPH), mean sky cover, and mean relative humidity (%). Monthly data for 4 months were averaged or integrated. The Covid-19 prevalence was defined as the integrated number of Covid-19 cases divided by the population. Random-effects meta-regression was performed by means of OpenMetaAnalyst. In a meta-regression graph, Covid-19 prevalence (plotted as the logarithm transformed prevalence on the y-axis) was depicted as a function of a given factor (plotted as a meteorological datum on the x-axis). A slope of the meta-regression line was significantly negative (coefficient,  $-0.069$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ) for the mean temperature and significantly positive for the mean wind speed (coefficient,  $0.174$ ;  $P = 0.027$ ) and the sky cover (coefficient,  $2.220$ ;  $P = 0.023$ ). In conclusion, lower temperature and higher wind speed/sky cover may be associated with higher Covid-19 prevalence, which should be confirmed by further epidemiological researches adjusting for various risk and protective factors (in addition to meteorological conditions) of Covid-19.

## Text

### Introduction

Higher temperature and ultraviolet (UV) index in Northern Europe have been reported as the most important meteorological protective factors for the transmission of influenza virus.<sup>1</sup> On the other hand, a recent study in China suggests that higher temperature and UV radiation may not be associated with a decrease in the epidemics of Coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19).<sup>2</sup> To determine whether prevalence of COVID-19 is modulated by meteorological conditions, we herein conducted meta-regression of data in large U.S. cities.

### Methods

We selected 33 large U.S. cities with a population of >500,000 in 2010 from the U.S. Census Bureau (<http://www.census.gov>). The integrated numbers of confirmed Covid-19 cases in the country to which the city belongs on 14 May 2020 from the Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center (<https://coronavirus.jhu.edu>), the estimated population in 2019 in the country from the U.S. Census Bureau, and monthly meteorological conditions at the city for 4 months (from January to April 2020) from the National Weather Service (<https://www.weather.gov>) were obtained (**Table 1**). Meteorological conditions consisted of mean temperature (F), total precipitation (inch), mean wind speed (MPH), mean sky cover, and mean relative humidity (%). Monthly data for 4 months were averaged or integrated. The Covid-19 prevalence was defined as the integrated number of Covid-19 cases divided by the population. Random-effects meta-regression was performed by means of OpenMetaAnalyst

(<http://www.cebm.brown.edu/openmeta/index.html>). In a meta-regression graph, Covid-19 prevalence (plotted as the logarithm transformed prevalence on the y-axis) was depicted as a function of a given factor (plotted as the meteorological data on the x-axis).

## Results

Results of the meta-regression were summarized in **Table 2**. A slope of the meta-regression line was significantly negative (coefficient,  $-0.069$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; **Figure 1**, upper panel) for the mean temperature (indicating that Covid-19 prevalence decreased significantly as the mean temperature increased) and significantly positive for the mean wind speed (coefficient,  $0.174$ ;  $P = 0.027$ ; **Figure 1**, middle panel) and the sky cover (coefficient,  $2.220$ ;  $P = 0.023$ ; **Figure 1**, lower panel) (indicating that Covid-19 prevalence increased significantly as the mean wind speed and the sky cover increased).

## Discussion and Conclusion

The present meta-regression suggests that temperature may be negatively and wind speed/sky cover may be positively associated with COVID-19 prevalence. Higher sky cover is probably related to lower UV radiation. Our recent preliminary study of Japanese prefectural data suggests that temperature and UV index may be negatively associated with COVID-19 prevalence,<sup>3</sup> which could strengthen the present findings. Despite the association of lower temperature and UV index with the influenza transmission,<sup>1</sup> no association of temperature and UV radiation with the COVID-19 epidemics has been reported,<sup>2</sup> however, which may be denied by the present results of the association of lower temperature and higher sky cover with higher COVID-19 prevalence. In conclusion, lower temperature and higher wind speed/sky cover may be




associated with higher Covid-19 prevalence, which should be confirmed by further epidemiological researches adjusting for various risk and protective factors (in addition to meteorological conditions) of Covid-19.

## References

1. Ianevski A, Zusinaite E, Shtaida N, et al. Low Temperature and Low UV Indexes Correlated with Peaks of Influenza Virus Activity in Northern Europe during 2010–2018. *Viruses* 2019;11:207.
2. Yao Y, Pan J, Liu Z, et al. No association of COVID-19 transmission with temperature or UV radiation in Chinese cities. *Eur Respir J* 2020;55:2000517.
3. Takagi H, Kuno T, Yokoyama Y, et al. Higher Air Temperature, Pressure, and Ultraviolet Are Associated with Less Covid-19 Incidence. *medRxiv* 2020 May 13. doi: 10.1101/2020.05.09.20096321.

## Figure legends

**Figure 1.** Meta-regression graph depicting covid-19 prevalence (plotted as the logarithm transformed prevalence on the y-axis) as a function of the given factors (plotted as the meteorological data on the x-axis).



**Table 1. Extracted data in each large U.S. city and country to which the city belongs.**

City	State	County	Covid-19 prevalence in the country			Meteorological condition at the city				
			Cases (N)	Population (N)	Prevalence	Mean temperature (F)	Total precipitation (inch)	Mean wind speed (MPH)	Mean sky cover	Mean relative humidity (%)
Albuquerque	New Mexico	Bernalillo	1,149	679,121	0.00169	47.1	1.65	8.4	0.45	43
Austin	Texas	Travis	2,345	1,273,954	0.00184	60.6	12.06	8.2	0.63	72
Baltimore	Maryland	Baltimore	4,290	827,370	0.00519	46.5	14.66	7.4	0.67	61
Boston	Massachusetts	Suffolk	15,881	803,907	0.01975	40.6	12.62	12.1	0.62	58
Charlotte	North Carolina	Mecklenburg	2,342	1,110,356	0.00211	53.7	21.33	7.3	0.62	63
Chicago	Illinois	Cook	58,457	5,150,233	0.01135	37.9	10.86	10.3	–	–
Columbus	Ohio	Franklin	4,227	1,316,756	0.00321	41.6	19.31	8.9	0.75	67
Dallas	Texas	Dallas	6,837	2,635,516	0.00259	57.0	17.53	11.1	0.65	70
Denver	Colorado	Denver	4,359	727,211	0.00599	38.0	2.82	10.1	0.57	57
Detroit	Michigan	Wayne	18,770	1,749,343	0.01073	37.5	11.35	9.6	0.81	73
D.C.	D.C.	–	6,736	705,749	0.00954	48.7	14.61	9.1	0.70	63
El Paso	Texas	El Paso	1,456	839,238	0.00173	57.1	3.07	8.7	0.45	40
Fort Worth	Texas	Tarrant	4,076	2,102,515	0.00194	57.0	17.53	11.1	0.65	70
Houston	Texas	Harris	8,817	4,713,325	0.00187	64.2	13.90	8.5	0.68	71
Indianapolis	Indiana	Marion	7,793	964,582	0.00808	41.4	15.61	10.5	0.74	73
Jacksonville	Florida	Duval	1,215	957,755	0.00127	65.2	6.36	7.4	0.60	68
Las Vegas	Nevada	Clark	5,045	2,266,715	0.00223	58.1	2.31	6.5	0.45	37
Los Angeles	California	Los Angeles	35,392	10,039,107	0.00353	61.0	7.17	7.1	0.48	62
Louisville	Kentucky	Jefferson	1,741	766,757	0.00227	47.9	16.28	8.6	0.75	62
Memphis	Tennessee	Shelby	3,542	937,166	0.00378	52.9	27.76	8.8	0.68	71
Milwaukee	Wisconsin	Milwaukee	4,387	945,726	0.00464	35.4	10.52	10.4	0.68	66
Nashville	Tennessee	Davidson	3,745	694,144	0.00540	51.0	23.11	7.8	0.70	64
New York City	New York	New York City	188,545	8,336,817	0.02262	44.4	12.74	6.5	–	57
Oklahoma City	Oklahoma	Oklahoma	1,013	797,434	0.00127	49.3	10.86	12.1	–	–
Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	19,093	1,584,064	0.01205	45.1	12.79	9.8	0.67	60
Phoenix	Arizona	Maricopa	6,599	4,485,414	0.00147	63.6	3.55	5.9	–	42
Portland	Oregon	Multnomah	940	812,855	0.00116	47.7	12.35	7.2	0.68	71
San Antonio	Texas	Bexar	1,976	2,003,554	0.00099	63.0	7.35	8.3	0.65	66
San Diego	California	San Diego	5,391	3,338,330	0.00161	61.0	6.69	5.1	0.55	69
San Francisco	California	San Francisco	1,999	881,549	0.00227	55.0	3.86	9.3	0.54	69
San José	California	Santa Clara	2,391	1,927,852	0.00124	55.2	4.06	5.8	0.51	65
Seattle	Washington	King	7,290	2,252,782	0.00324	46.4	18.15	9.0	0.73	73
Tucson	Arizona	Pima	1,696	1,047,279	0.00162	59.7	2.09	6.8	0.11	40

**Supplementary Table 2. Meta-regression summary.**

Covariate	Coefficient			Standard error	P value
	Lower bound		Upper bound		
Mean temperature (F)	-0.069	-0.093	-0.045	0.012	<0.001
Total precipitation (inch)	0.038	-0.004	-0.081	0.022	0.075
Mean wind speed (MPH)	0.174	0.020	0.328	0.079	0.027
Mean sky cover	2.220	0.313	4.128	0.973	0.023
Mean relative humidity (%)	0.007	-0.020	0.035	0.014	0.613