

1 **Increased levels of anxiety among medical and non-medical university students during the**
2 **COVID-19 pandemic in the United Arab Emirates.**

3
4 **Basema Saddik^{1,2}, Amal Hussein¹, Fatemeh Saheb Sharif-Askari², Waad Kheder³,**
5 **Mohamad-Hani Temsah⁴, Rim Adnan Koutaich⁵, Enad Sami Haddad⁵, Nora Marwan Al-**
6 **Roub⁵, Fatema Adel Marhoon⁵, Qutayba Hamid^{2,5}, Rabih Halwani^{*2,5}**

7 ¹Department of Family and Community Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Sharjah,
8 Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

9
10 ²Sharjah Institute of Medical Research, College of Medicine, University of Sharjah, Sharjah,
11 United Arab Emirates

12
13 ³College of Dental Medicine, University of Sharjah, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

14
15 ⁴ Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

16
17 ⁵Department of Clinical Sciences, College of Medicine, University of Sharjah, Sharjah, United
18 Arab Emirates

19 ***Correspondence:**

20 Corresponding Author
21 rhalwani@sharjah.ac.ae

22
23 **Keywords: COVID-19, anxiety, medical students, GAD-7, United Arab Emirates**

24
25 **Abstract**

26
27 **Introduction:** The COVID-19 pandemic is likely to increase anxiety levels within the community
28 and in particular medical students who are already considered psychologically vulnerable groups.
29 Since the COVID-19 outbreak, no study has yet estimated the effect of this pandemic on university
30 students in the UAE or its impact on the psychological well-being of medical students.

31
32 **Methods:** In this study, we surveyed 1485 medical (comprising medical and dental) and non-
33 medical university students across 4 emirates within the UAE. We used an online platform to
34 assess knowledge, sources of information, changes in hygienic behavior, perceptions of fear and
35 worry and anxiety levels using the generalized anxiety disorder 7 (GAD-7) scale. The GAD-7
36 score was measured at three time points; during hospital visits for medical/dental students, before
37 the introduction of online learning and after online learning for all students.

38
39 **Results:** The majority of students demonstrated high levels of knowledge and utilized reliable
40 sources of information. Non-medical students exercised higher compliance with social restrictions,
41 while medical students practiced better hand hygiene. Almost half of students reported anxiety
42 levels ranging from mild to severe with females reporting higher anxiety scores during hospital
43 visits (OR=2.02, 95% CI, 1.41 to 2.91) and medical students reporting lower anxiety levels in
44 comparison to dental students (OR=0.61, 95% CI, 0.45 to 0.84). Medical students reported higher

45 levels of anxiety during their clinical rotations which decreased with the introduction of online
46 learning, yet, non-medical student's anxiety levels increased with online learning.

47
48 **Conclusions:** This is the first study to provide important information on the initial response and
49 anxiety levels in university students across the UAE. The findings from our study can be used to
50 support the development of effective screening strategies and interventions to build psychological
51 resilience among university students during the COVID-19 pandemic or any other public health
52 emergencies in the future.

53

54 **Introduction**

55 Human coronaviruses (HCoVs) have long been considered inconsequential pathogens, causing the
56 common cold in otherwise healthy people. However, in the 21st century, two highly pathogenic
57 HCoVs—severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and Middle East
58 respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV)—emerged from animal reservoirs to cause global
59 epidemics with alarming morbidity and mortality in 2003 and 2012 respectively. In December
60 2019, yet another pathogenic HCoV, SARS-CoV-2, was recognized in Wuhan, China, causing
61 Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) [1] and raising intense attention not only within China but
62 internationally [1].

63 Since its first detection, COVID-19 has become a major health problem and the global outbreak
64 was declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on March 11th, 2020 [2]. To
65 date, more than 3.4 million laboratory-confirmed cases have been reported worldwide with
66 ~245,000 deaths in 184 countries, bringing the crude case fatality rate (CFR) of COVID-19 to
67 ~7.2% [3]. The first confirmed case of COVID-19 in the United Arab Emirates (UAE) was reported
68 on 29 January 2020. The UAE had 13,599 confirmed cases (10,816 active; 2,664 recovered; 119
69 deaths; CFR ~1.1%, as of 3rd May 2020) [4].

70 The emergence of such a novel and highly contagious pandemic and its uncertainty is likely to
71 raise community anxiety and have a psychological impact on communities overall. Social
72 distancing, quarantine and disruption of studies, are especially pertinent on impacting university
73 students, and in particular medical students who are already considered psychologically vulnerable
74 groups. The highly competitive training of these students, their academic pressure, exposure to
75 patients in clinical settings, financial constraints and lack of sleep are factors which may already
76 contribute to psychological problems associated with stress and anxiety [5-7]. Additionally, during
77 disease outbreaks, medical students, like healthcare workers, are perceived to be at higher risk of
78 infection because of their clinical training, and hence increased risk of exposure to the virus [8, 9].
79 This is in addition to their fear of transmitting the virus back to their family and loved ones [10].

80 In previous infectious disease outbreaks, such as MERS-CoV and SARS, almost one quarter of
81 medical students reported mild to moderate anxiety in Saudi Arabia [11] and anxiety levels were
82 found to be higher in medical students than non-medical students in Hong Kong [12].

83 Since the COVID-19 outbreak, no study has yet estimated the effect of this pandemic on university
84 students in the UAE and its impact on the psychological well-being of medical students. In this

85 study, we aim to assess medical student’s psychological distress and concerns during the recent
86 COVID-19 pandemic, their degree of perceived information about the disease, and their overall
87 attitude, practices and behaviors during the outbreak. We also evaluate students’ perceptions on
88 the precautionary measures in place and the effectiveness of educational strategies in reducing
89 anxiety levels in the United Arab Emirates. This makes our study the first in the UAE to discuss
90 this aspect in the current pandemic.

91 **Methods and Materials**

92 *Design and participants*

93 A cross-sectional study design was used to conduct this research during the COVID-19 outbreak
94 in March 2020. Data were collected between March 11 and March 21. University students from
95 medical, dental and non-medical colleges across the UAE were invited to participate in the
96 research through an online web survey hosted on the platform survey monkey
97 (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/VSYTNQ9>)[13] (S1). All students enrolled in the medical and
98 dental colleges at the University of Sharjah received the survey link through their university emails
99 using convenience sampling. Students from other universities received the survey link through
100 WhatsApp and other social media platforms using snowball sampling where acquaintances sent
101 the link to each other. Through the survey link, the first page explained the research objectives and
102 assured participants anonymity and confidentiality. Students’ acceptance indicated their consent
103 to participate in the study. The study was approved by the University of Sharjah Research Ethics
104 Committee (REC-20-03-03-02) prior to participant recruitments.

105 *Sample size*

106 A study conducted in Saudi Arabia during the MERS-COV outbreak found that 77% of medical
107 students reported minimal anxiety levels [11]. Using this proportion and a confidence level of 95%
108 and margin of error of 5%, we calculated the minimum required sample size to be 278 for each of
109 the medical and non-medical groups. To account for non-response the sample size was increased
110 by 20%, making the minimum sample size required for this study 333 students for each of the
111 medical and non-medical groups.

112 *Data collection*

113 A questionnaire comprising of 18 items was used in this study. A modified version of a
114 questionnaire measuring medical student anxiety previously used in Saudi Arabia during the
115 MERS-CoV outbreak was used, after the author's permission[10, 11]. The questions were divided
116 into seven domains comprising of 1) demographic questions, 2) change in hygienic behavior, with
117 responses measured on a 4 point Likert scale ranging from very much has changed to no change
118 at all, 3) level of knowledge on statements related to COVID-19 such as transmission, treatment,
119 prognosis and prevention measured by true/false/don’t know responses, 4) perceptions of worry
120 and fear associated with COVID-19 measured on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from very worried
121 to not worried at all, and their opinion about the public fear associated with COVID-19 being
122 justifiable or dysfunctional, measured on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from strongly agree to
123 strongly disagree, 5) perception of receiving enough information on COVID-19 on a 5-point Likert
124 scale, ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree, 6) the sources of information students
125 resorted to for information on COVID-19 and 7) Measurement of anxiety levels.

126 In order to measure anxiety levels, we used the generalized anxiety disorder scale (GAD-7) [14]
127 which is a 7-item questionnaire asking participants how often they were bothered by each symptom
128 such as feeling nervous, trouble relaxing, irritable and afraid that something awful might happen
129 during the last 2 weeks. Response options were “not at all,” “several days,” “more than half the
130 days,” and “nearly every day,” scored as 0, 1, 2, and 3, respectively. A score of 10 or greater
131 represents a reasonable cut point for identifying cases of anxiety with a sensitivity of 89% and
132 specificity 82%, internal consistency (Cronbach $\alpha=.92$) and Test-retest reliability (intraclass
133 correlation=0.83)[14]. The GAD-7 has also been identified as a screener for panic disorder, social
134 phobia and PTSD (with a cutoff score of 8 sensitivity 77% and specificity 82%) [15]. In our study,
135 the GAD-7 score was totaled for each student and classified into cut-off points of (0-4 minimal, 5-
136 9 mild, 10-14 moderate and 15-21 severe) levels of anxiety. Levels of anxiety were measured using
137 the GAD-7 scale at three different time points. In addition to calculating the mean GAD-7 score,
138 scores were classified into 3 categories (minimal, mild and moderate/severe anxiety).

139 During the launch of data collection for this study, the UAE Ministry of Education declared
140 university campuses to move to online learning to prevent the spread of COVID-19 and classes
141 moved online on March 8. In order to determine levels of anxiety of students during the transition
142 to online learning, medical and dental students were asked to respond to the GAD-7 questions
143 reflecting back to the time they were attending hospital visits within the previous two weeks. This
144 was to assess whether higher levels of anxiety were associated with hospital visits. All students
145 were asked to respond to the GAD-7 questions reflecting on “before the introduction of online
146 learning”, and “after the introduction of online learning”.

147 The final version of the questionnaire was piloted to ensure clarity and consistency between survey
148 items. To ensure face and content validity of the survey instrument, the survey was sent to a group
149 of 9 experts which consisted of students, tutors, faculty and a psychiatrist who reviewed the survey
150 for content accuracy, clarity and comprehensiveness and whether the survey met its objectives. As
151 a result, the phrasing and response items of some questions were modified, and format was edited
152 for clarity and comprehensibility

153 *Statistical analysis*

154 Descriptive statistics, including means, medians, frequencies and percentages were used to
155 summarize data and to illustrate the demographic and other selected characteristics of students.
156 Normality of data was tested visually using the Q-Q plots and statistically using the Kolmogorov-
157 Smirnov test. Bivariate analysis using Chi-square (χ^2) and Mann-Whitney U tests explored the
158 associations between student demographic characteristics and anxiety levels. Spearman's
159 correlation coefficient, r , was used to evaluate the association between knowledge score and GAD-
160 7 score. Statistically significant factors in the bivariate analysis were included in the multivariate
161 ordinal logistic regression analyses to determine if they predicted student anxiety levels. The
162 estimates of the strengths of associations were demonstrated by the odds ratio (OR) with a 95%
163 confidence interval (CI). A two-tailed $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Data were
164 analyzed using IBM SPSS Version 25.0 [16]

165 **Results**

166 In total, 1484 students responded to the questionnaire and data were analyzed for 1385 completed
167 surveys (completion rate 93.3%) from 4 different emirates across the UAE. The mean age of
168 students was 20 years and most participants were females (72%). Almost three quarter of the

169 students were studying medicine or dental medicine and from those students a third (35%) were in
170 their clinical years of study and were completing clinical ward rotations. Of these students, (12%)
171 reported being in contact with an infected or suspected case of COVID-19. Demographic
172 characteristics are shown in Table 1.

173 *Hygienic Practices*

174 The majority of students reported increased hand hygiene (85%), increased use of hand sanitizer
175 (85.5%), avoiding people with flu like symptoms (80%) and decreased visits to crowded places
176 (77%). A lower proportion of students reported wearing gloves and masks (58%), decreased social
177 visits (62%) or decreased hand shaking (58%). We combined medical and dental students together
178 as the medical group, and all other specialties made up the non-medical group. When medical and
179 non-medical students were compared, more non-medical students avoided being in contact with
180 people with flu-like symptoms, had decreased social visits, decreased visits to crowded places and
181 use of public facilities as displayed in Figure 1.

182 *Knowledge and perception of information on COVID-19*

183 Most students agreed they had heard enough information on the symptoms, prognosis,
184 transmission and prevention of COVID-19. When we compared medical and non-medical
185 students, significantly more medical students reported having heard sufficient information on
186 COVID-19 prognosis, $\chi^2(2, N=1385) = 55.20, p<0.001$ and COVID-19 transmission, $\chi^2(2,$
187 $N=1385) = 17.88, p<0.001$. (Table 2). Overall, students had good knowledge of COVID-19 with
188 over 70% of students answering correctly on the COVID-19 knowledge questions with a median
189 score of 5 (from a maximum score of 7). When we compared participant's knowledge scores by
190 field of study, gender and contact with COVID-19, we found that medical students, females and
191 students who had been in contact with COVID-19 cases had significantly higher knowledge scores
192 than their counterparts, as shown in Table 2.

193 *Student sources of information on COVID-19*

194 Students' main sources of information were official websites, press releases from the Ministry of
195 Health (MOH) and social media. A statistically significant higher percentage of medical students
196 reported using the WHO website (52%) ($p\leq 0.001$), MOH (50%) ($p=0.001$), hospital
197 announcements (27%) ($p\leq 0.001$) and social media (54%) ($p=0.010$) to retrieve their information
198 as displayed in Figure 2.

199 *Levels of anxiety and worry among students*

200 The median GAD-7 scores for medical students during their hospital visits, for all students before
201 introduction of online learning and after online learning were 4, 4 and 3, respectively. When we
202 compared GAD-7 scores for medical students by gender, specialty (dental or medical), contact
203 with COVID-19, rotation type and clinical phase of study, we found that females, dental medicine
204 students, students who had been in contact with COVID-19 patients and students in their clinical
205 phase of study reported statistically significant higher anxiety levels. More students (27%) in low-
206 risk ward rotations reported mild anxiety while the majority of students who reported moderate to
207 severe levels of anxiety (26%) were attending high risk ward rotations $\chi^2(3, N=1385) = 10.92,$
208 $p=0.027$ (Table 3).

209 Overall, females reported higher levels of anxiety in GAD-7, both before and after online learning.
210 The medical students reported higher levels of anxiety before online learning in comparison to
211 non-medical students (Table 3).

212 Interestingly, anxiety levels significantly decreased for females and for medical students after
213 switching to the online learning, with $\chi^2(2, N=1385) = 12.22, p < 0.001$ and $\chi^2(2, N=1385) = 20.99,$
214 $p < 0.001$, respectively, with a higher percentage of decreased anxiety among dental students; whilst
215 non-medical students reported higher levels of anxiety after the introduction of online learning (χ^2
216 $(4, N=1385) = 36.38, p < 0.001$) (Table 3).

217 The majority of students (73%) reported being worried about transmitting COVID-19 to one of
218 their family members or friends, while 65% of them were worried about catching the virus
219 themselves. Almost half (49%) of medical students reported being worried about transmitting
220 COVID-19 to others and were less likely (29%) to worry about catching it themselves, in
221 comparison to non-medical students (35%), although the differences were not statistically
222 significant ($\chi^2(2, N=1385) = 2.85, p = 0.24$). Most students (61%) agreed that the public fear was
223 justifiable and (46%) of students did not perceive it as dysfunctional.

224 A cumulative odds ordinal logistic regression with proportional odds was performed to determine
225 predictors of anxiety levels during the different time points. The effect of gender, specialty, contact
226 with COVID-19, rotation type, clinical phase of study and knowledge score on the GAD-7 score
227 during hospital visits was modelled. We included knowledge score in the regression model because
228 we found a positive, but weak, correlation between knowledge score and anxiety score using
229 Spearman's correlation ($r_s = 0.086, p = 0.015$). The odds of females having higher anxiety scores
230 during hospital visits was 2.02 (95% CI, 1.41 to 2.91) times that for males, and medical students
231 reported lower anxiety levels in comparison to dental medicine students (OR=0.61, 95% CI, 0.45
232 to 0.84). Furthermore, being in a rotation and demonstrating higher knowledge scores predicted
233 higher GAD-7 scores during hospital visits (Table 4). Similarly, gender, field of study and
234 knowledge score predicted higher GAD-7 scores before online learning, but only gender and field
235 of study predicted GAD-7 scores after switching to online learning (Table 4).

236

237 Discussion

238 This study has revealed that the COVID-19 pandemic has impacted on anxiety levels among
239 university students in the UAE, with almost half of students reporting mild to moderate/severe
240 anxiety levels. The effect of COVID-19 on the global community overall has been considerably
241 significant, causing fear, anxiety and worry, particularly due to uncertainty of the prognosis of the
242 disease, changes in societies lifestyles, lockdown restrictions and educational disruptions. The
243 impact of COVID-19 on university students is particularly burdensome due to the perceived effect
244 of the virus on their studies; and with medical students specifically it could be due to the
245 interdisciplinary nature of their training and the potential proximity of being exposed to the virus
246 during their clinical studies [17]

247 Overall, students in our study demonstrated good knowledge of COVID-19 and reported using
248 reliable sources such as official statements and press releases from the ministry of health and the
249 WHO website. Although the use of these sources was higher among medical students, social media
250 was the main source of information for both medical and non-medical students. This finding is

251 consistent with the literature and emphasizes the role that social media can play in risk perception
252 and dissemination of reliable information during a pandemic such as COVID-19 [18-21].
253 However, other studies have also reported that young people tend to obtain a large amount of
254 information from social media which can easily be a trigger for stress and anxiety [22].

255 Good knowledge may also explain students' compliance with hygienic practices in our study. Most
256 students reported significant change in their hygienic behavior since the COVID-19 outbreak,
257 particularly for increased hand hygiene, avoiding crowded places and avoiding being in contact
258 with people with flu like symptoms. However, even though levels of knowledge were significantly
259 higher among medical students, compliance with hygienic practices were similar for both groups.
260 Furthermore, we found significant positive correlations between changes in hygienic behavior and
261 increased levels of anxiety. More than half of students who reported changed hygienic practices
262 reported higher levels of anxiety, which is consistent with recent literature indicating that people
263 who were more anxious about COVID-19 were also more engaged with regular hand hygiene and
264 social distancing behaviors [23].

265 There is increasing evidence that the number of growing cases of COVID-19 globally and within
266 the UAE is causing public worry and concern[24, 25] and in the absence of vaccines and effective
267 treatment, government authorities have introduced rules and restrictions[26]. Compliance and
268 adherence with these restrictions has been found to differ amongst different age groups and
269 populations, with less acceptance of these restrictions being reported amongst younger age-groups
270 [27]. However, in our study, the majority of students did not consider the strict measures
271 undertaken by the healthcare authorities as dysfunctional or not required. The students were
272 worried about transmitting COVID-19 to their family members more than they were worried about
273 catching the virus themselves, therefore, indicating a beneficial sense of social responsibility
274 during such infectious disease outbreak. Similar concerns were reported amongst healthcare
275 workers in Hong Kong and Canada during the SARS outbreak [28, 29].

276 The results from the current study confirm that anxiety levels due to COVID-19 among university
277 students are high, ranging from mild to severe, especially amongst females, which is in accordance
278 with previous research [22, 30, 31]. The majority of students in our study were females, which
279 reflects the gender imbalance in higher education within the UAE. More than two thirds of students
280 reported mild anxiety levels and one third of students reported severe anxiety. What makes this
281 study unique, is that medical and non-medical students were compared, and within the medical
282 student group, we compared medical and dental students. Furthermore, this study assessed anxiety
283 levels at three different time points: during hospital visits for medical and dental students, before
284 online learning and after switching to the online learning for all students. Among the
285 medical/dental group, students in their clinical phase of study and who had rotations in high risk
286 wards or who had been in contact with COVID-19 patients reported significantly higher (moderate
287 to severe) levels of anxiety, demonstrating that high risk perception of COVID-19 may contribute
288 to higher levels of anxiety. Medical/dental students continued attending the hospitals at the early
289 onset of COVID-19 when fear and worry associated with the outbreak would have been at their
290 peak and before lockdown restrictions were in place, which could also explain their high
291 compliance with infection control measures. Medical students high risk perceptions associated
292 with attending hospitals during infectious disease outbreaks has been reportedly associated with
293 higher levels of anxiety [12, 21]. However, when we compared between medical and dental
294 students, dental students reported higher levels of anxiety and these remained significant with
295 further multivariate analysis. Previous studies have established that medical and dental programs

296 are highly competitive and students generally experience high levels of stress during their training
297 [32, 33]. Yet, dental students suffer from greater levels of perceived stress than medical students
298 due to their role as providers of care and earlier exposure to patients in the dental clinics [34].
299 Furthermore, dental students are in very close proximity to patients and are dealing directly with
300 patients' dental care during a potentially highly transmissible respiratory virus. This may explain
301 the higher levels of anxiety among dental students in our study and the overall decrease in anxiety
302 among all medical students following the introduction of online learning. Psychological support
303 should be tailored to each students' needs and incorporated into the online remote curriculum.
304 Screening university students on a regular basis with tools such as the GAD-7 could help faculty
305 in the early identification of highly anxious students and guide students to receive help from
306 targeted interventions that promote psychological well-being, offer counselling, mental health
307 support and coping mechanisms[35].

308 Non-medical students reported higher levels of anxiety before and after online learning in
309 comparison to medical students and whilst medical student anxiety levels decreased following the
310 introduction of online learning, non-medical student's anxiety levels increased. This may be due
311 to several factors, including medical students possibly being more familiar with the use of online
312 learning platforms, being distant from the perceived risk of COVID-19, or due to the variable
313 sources of information about the pandemic among both groups. Knowledge of the virus might
314 reduce students fears and anxiety while inadequate understanding of COVID-19, its prognosis,
315 transmission and control measures might contribute to negative implications and fear of the
316 unknown [29] hence explaining the higher anxiety levels in non-medical students in our study.

317 *Limitations*

318 Despite the findings of this study, we acknowledge that it has several limitations. Firstly, the use
319 of convenience sampling and its descriptive nature through an online survey may not allow the
320 generalization of results. However, considering the need for a rapid method to assess stress and
321 anxiety in a vulnerable population during a rapidly evolving infectious disease outbreak, the use
322 of an online survey serves as a promising method for quick results [36]. Additionally, responses
323 were collected from four different emirates across the UAE with good response rate allowing for
324 a certain element of representation. Secondly, the nature of self-reported data in the survey may
325 lead to response biases specifically for hygienic practices where students may provide socially
326 desirable responses and self-reported levels of anxiety, stress and worry which may not always be
327 as accurate as being assessed by a mental health professional. However, despite these limitations,
328 this study provides important baseline information which will inform further research and public
329 health interventions in this area.

330 *Conclusion*

331 To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to provide important information on the initial
332 response and anxiety levels in university students across the UAE immediately following the
333 period COVID-19 was declared a global pandemic. More than half of university students reported
334 mild to severe anxiety levels with a quarter of students reporting severe anxiety. Specifically,
335 medical students reported higher levels of anxiety during their clinical rotations which decreased
336 with the introduction of online learning, yet, non-medical student's anxiety levels increased with
337 online learning. The findings from our study can be used at the government and university level to
338 develop effective screening strategies and to formulate interventions that improve mental health
339 of students. Such strategies will reduce unnecessary stress and anxiety among university students,

340 as well as build psychological resilience during the COVID-19 pandemic or any other public health
341 emergencies in the future.

342 **Conflict of Interest**

343 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
344 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

345 **Author Contributions**

346 RH, BS, FS, WK and MT conceived, designed and initiated the study. RK, EH, NA, FM
347 contributed to the planning and implementation of the study. AH analyzed survey data. BS, AH,
348 RH interpreted the results. BS drafted the manuscript with input from RH, AH, FS, MT and QH.
349 All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

350 **Acknowledgements**

351 The authors would like to thank all students who participated in the study.

352 **Supplementary Material**

353 The survey used to collect data for this study is provided as supplementary material.

354

355 **References**

- 356 1. Paules CI, Marston HD, Fauci AS. Coronavirus Infections-More Than Just the Common
357 Cold. *Jama*. 2020. Epub 2020/01/24. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.0757. PubMed PMID: 31971553.
- 358 2. WHO. World Health Organisation Director General's opening remarks at the media
359 briefing on COVID-19, 11th March 2020. [https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-](https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19--11-march-2020)
360 [general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19--11-march-2020](https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19--11-march-2020). 30-3-2020.
- 361 3. WHO. World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak.
362 <https://covid19.who.int/>. 3-5-2020.
- 363 4. DOH. Department of Health (UAE). . <https://www.doh.gov.ae/covid-19>. 3-5-2020.
- 364 5. Al Saadi T, Zaher Addeen S, Turk T, Abbas F, Alkhatib M. Psychological distress among
365 medical students in conflicts: a cross-sectional study from Syria. *BMC medical education*.
366 2017;17(1):173. Epub 2017/09/22. doi: 10.1186/s12909-017-1012-2. PubMed PMID: 28931387;
367 PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5607487.
- 368 6. Quek TT, Tam WW, Tran BX, Zhang M, Zhang Z, Ho CS, et al. The Global Prevalence
369 of Anxiety Among Medical Students: A Meta-Analysis. *International journal of environmental*
370 *research and public health*. 2019;16(15). Epub 2019/08/03. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16152735. PubMed
371 PMID: 31370266; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6696211.
- 372 7. Trivate T, Dennis AA, Sholl S, Wilkinson T. Learning and coping through reflection:
373 exploring patient death experiences of medical students. *BMC medical education*. 2019;19(1):451.
374 Epub 2019/12/06. doi: 10.1186/s12909-019-1871-9. PubMed PMID: 31801494; PubMed Central
375 PMCID: PMC6894273.
- 376 8. Kim S, Kim S. Exploring the Determinants of Perceived Risk of Middle East Respiratory
377 Syndrome (MERS) in Korea. *International journal of environmental research and public health*.
378 2018;15(6). Epub 2018/06/06. doi: 10.3390/ijerph15061168. PubMed PMID: 29867054; PubMed
379 Central PMCID: PMC6025578.

- 380 9. Al Ghobain M, Aldrees T, Alenezi A, Alqaryan S, Aldabeeb D, Alotaibi N, et al. Perception
381 and Attitude of Emergency Room Resident Physicians toward Middle East Respiratory Syndrome
382 Outbreak. *Emergency medicine international*. 2017;2017:6978256. Epub 2017/05/11. doi:
383 10.1155/2017/6978256. PubMed PMID: 28487774; PubMed Central PMCID:
384 PMCPMC5402244.
- 385 10. Goulia P, Mantas C, Dimitroula D, Mantis D, Hyphantis T. General hospital staff worries,
386 perceived sufficiency of information and associated psychological distress during the A/H1N1
387 influenza pandemic. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2010;10:322. Epub 2010/11/11. doi: 10.1186/1471-
388 2334-10-322. PubMed PMID: 21062471; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2990753.
- 389 11. Al-Rabiaah A, Temsah MH, Al-Eyadhy AA, Hasan GM, Al-Zamil F, Al-Subaie S, et al.
390 Middle East Respiratory Syndrome-Corona Virus (MERS-CoV) associated stress among medical
391 students at a university teaching hospital in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of infection and public health*.
392 2020. Epub 2020/02/01. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2020.01.005. PubMed PMID: 32001194; PubMed
393 Central PMCID: PMCPMC7102651.
- 394 12. Wong TW, Gao Y, Tam WWS. Anxiety among university students during the SARS
395 epidemic in Hong Kong. *Stress and health*. 2007;23(1):31-5. doi: 10.1002/smi.1116.
- 396 13. SurveyMonkey. San Mateo. CA.
- 397 14. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Lowe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized
398 anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. *Archives of internal medicine*. 2006;166(10):1092-7. Epub
399 2006/05/24. doi: 10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092. PubMed PMID: 16717171.
- 400 15. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Monahan PO, Lowe B. Anxiety disorders in primary
401 care: prevalence, impairment, comorbidity, and detection. *Annals of internal medicine*.
402 2007;146(5):317-25. Epub 2007/03/07. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-146-5-200703060-00004.
403 PubMed PMID: 17339617.
- 404 16. IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows VA, NY: IBM Corp.
- 405 17. Rastegar Kazerooni A, Amini M, Tabari P, Moosavi M. Peer mentoring for medical
406 students during COVID-19 pandemic via a social media platform. *Medical education*. 2020. Epub
407 2020/05/01. doi: 10.1111/medu.14206. PubMed PMID: 32353893.
- 408 18. Albarrak AI, Mohammed R, Al Elayan A, Al Fawaz F, Al Masry M, Al Shammari M, et
409 al. Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS): Comparing the knowledge, attitude and practices
410 of different health care workers. *Journal of infection and public health*. 2019. Epub 2019/08/23.
411 doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2019.06.029. PubMed PMID: 31431424; PubMed Central PMCID:
412 PMCPMC7102554.
- 413 19. Karasneh R, Al-Azzam S, Muflih S, Soudah O, Hawamdeh S, Khader Y. Media's effect on
414 shaping knowledge, awareness risk perceptions and communication practices of pandemic
415 COVID-19 among pharmacists. *Research in social & administrative pharmacy : RSAP*. 2020.
416 Epub 2020/04/29. doi: 10.1016/j.sapharm.2020.04.027. PubMed PMID: 32340892; PubMed
417 Central PMCID: PMCPMC7179508.
- 418 20. Khan MU, Shah S, Ahmad A, Fatokun O. Knowledge and attitude of healthcare workers
419 about Middle East Respiratory Syndrome in multispecialty hospitals of Qassim, Saudi Arabia.
420 *BMC public health*. 2014;14:1281. Epub 2014/12/17. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-1281. PubMed
421 PMID: 25510239; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4300996.
- 422 21. Yang S, Cho SI. Middle East respiratory syndrome risk perception among students at a
423 university in South Korea, 2015. *American journal of infection control*. 2017;45(6):e53-e60. Epub
424 2017/04/08. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2017.02.013. PubMed PMID: 28385465; PubMed Central
425 PMCID: PMCPMC7115287.

- 426 22. Qiu J, Shen B, Zhao M, Wang Z, Xie B, Xu Y. A nationwide survey of psychological
427 distress among Chinese people in the COVID-19 epidemic: implications and policy
428 recommendations. *General psychiatry*. 2020;33(2):e100213. Epub 2020/03/28. doi:
429 10.1136/gpsych-2020-100213. PubMed PMID: 32215365; PubMed Central PMCID:
430 PMC7061893.
- 431 23. Harper CA, Satchell LP, Fido D, Latzman RD. Functional Fear Predicts Public Health
432 Compliance in the COVID-19 Pandemic. *International journal of mental health and addiction*.
433 2020:1-14. Epub 2020/04/30. doi: 10.1007/s11469-020-00281-5. PubMed PMID: 32346359;
434 PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7185265.
- 435 24. Bao Y, Sun Y, Meng S, Shi J, Lu L. 2019-nCoV epidemic: address mental health care to
436 empower society. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2020;395(10224):e37-e8. Epub 2020/02/12. doi:
437 10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30309-3. PubMed PMID: 32043982; PubMed Central PMCID:
438 PMC7133594.
- 439 25. Rajkumar RP. COVID-19 and mental health: A review of the existing literature. *Asian*
440 *journal of psychiatry*. 2020;52:102066. Epub 2020/04/18. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102066.
441 PubMed PMID: 32302935; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7151415.
- 442 26. Ryan BJ, Coppola D, Canyon DV, Brickhouse M, Swienton R. COVID-19 Community
443 Stabilization and Sustainability Framework: An Integration of the Maslow Hierarchy of Needs and
444 Social Determinants of Health. *Disaster medicine and public health preparedness*. 2020:1-16. Epub
445 2020/04/22. doi: 10.1017/dmp.2020.109. PubMed PMID: 32314954.
- 446 27. Zettler I, Christoph Schild, Lau Lilleholt, and Robert Böhm. Individual Differences in
447 Accepting Personal Restrictions to Fight the COVID-19 Pandemic: Results from a Danish Adult
448 Sample. *PsyArXiv*. 2020;Preprint. Epub 23 Mar 2020. doi: doi:10.31234/osf.io/pkm2a.
- 449 28. Maunder RG, Lancee WJ, Balderson KE, Bennett JP, Borgundvaag B, Evans S, et al. Long-
450 term psychological and occupational effects of providing hospital healthcare during SARS
451 outbreak. *Emerging infectious diseases*. 2006;12(12):1924-32. Epub 2007/03/01. doi:
452 10.3201/eid1212.060584. PubMed PMID: 17326946; PubMed Central PMCID:
453 PMC3291360.
- 454 29. Wong JG, Cheung EP, Cheung V, Cheung C, Chan MT, Chua SE, et al. Psychological
455 responses to the SARS outbreak in healthcare students in Hong Kong. *Medical teacher*.
456 2004;26(7):657-9. Epub 2005/03/15. doi: 10.1080/01421590400006572. PubMed PMID:
457 15763860.
- 458 30. Liu N, Zhang F, Wei C, Jia Y, Shang Z, Sun L, et al. Prevalence and predictors of PTSS
459 during COVID-19 outbreak in China hardest-hit areas: Gender differences matter. *Psychiatry*
460 *research*. 2020;287:112921. Epub 2020/04/03. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112921. PubMed
461 PMID: 32240896; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7102622 of interest.
- 462 31. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho CS, et al. Immediate Psychological Responses
463 and Associated Factors during the Initial Stage of the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19)
464 Epidemic among the General Population in China. *International journal of environmental research*
465 *and public health*. 2020;17(5). Epub 2020/03/12. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17051729. PubMed PMID:
466 32155789; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7084952.
- 467 32. Aboalshamat K, Hou XY, Strodl E. Psychological well-being status among medical and
468 dental students in Makkah, Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study. *Medical teacher*. 2015;37 Suppl
469 1:S75-81. Epub 2015/02/05. doi: 10.3109/0142159x.2015.1006612. PubMed PMID: 25649101.
- 470 33. Ahmad FA, Karimi AA, Alboloushi NA, Al-Omari QD, AlSairafi FJ, Qudeimat MA.
471 Stress Level of Dental and Medical Students: Comparison of Effects of a Subject-Based

- 472 Curriculum versus a Case-Based Integrated Curriculum. *Journal of dental education*.
473 2017;81(5):534-44. Epub 2017/05/04. doi: 10.21815/jde.016.026. PubMed PMID: 28461630.
- 474 34. Murphy RJ, Gray SA, Sterling G, Reeves K, DuCette J. A comparative study of
475 professional student stress. *Journal of dental education*. 2009;73(3):328-37. Epub 2009/03/18.
476 PubMed PMID: 19289722.
- 477 35. Roberto A, Almeida A. [Mental health of students of medicine: exploraty study in the
478 Universidade da Beira Interior]. *Acta medica portuguesa*. 2011;24 Suppl 2:279-86. Epub
479 2012/08/08. PubMed PMID: 22849913.
- 480 36. Geldsetzer P. Use of Rapid Online Surveys to Assess People's Perceptions During
481 Infectious Disease Outbreaks: A Cross-sectional Survey on COVID-19. *Journal of medical
482 Internet research*. 2020;22(4):e18790. Epub 2020/04/03. doi: 10.2196/18790. PubMed PMID:
483 32240094; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7124956.

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of students (N=1385)

		Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Age (years) [mean ± SD]	20.5 ± 2.3		
Gender			
Female		994	71.8
Male		391	28.2
Emirate			
Sharjah		814	58.8
Dubai		107	7.7
Ajman		446	32.2
Al-Ain/RAK		18	1.3
Field of study			
Medical		719	51.9
Dental		323	23.3
Non-medical		343	24.8
Phase of study for medical/dental students			
Pre-Clinical		685	65.5
Clinical		361	34.5
Current ward rotation for clinical students*			
Not in a rotation		33	9.8
High risk rotation		25	7.4
Low risk rotation		280	82.8
Contact with suspected COVID-19 patient			
Yes		152	12.1
No		1109	87.9
GAD-7 Score Median [IQR]			
<i>During hospital visits (medical/dental students)</i>	4 [8]		
Minimal		368	53.1
Mild		166	24.0
Moderate		82	11.8
Severe		77	11.1
<i>Before online learning (all students)</i>	4 [9]		
Minimal		570	52.3
Mild		274	25.1
Moderate		116	10.6
Severe		130	11.9
<i>After online learning (all students)</i>	3 [8]		
Minimal		620	56.9
Mild		254	23.3
Moderate		105	9.6
Severe		111	10.2
Knowledge Score Median [IQR]			
	5 [1]		

508 *High risk rotation includes ICU, ER, OR, Isolation wards

509

510

511

512

513

514 **Table 2: Perception of sufficient COVID-19 information by field of study and knowledge of**
 515 **COVID-19 by demographic factors**

I believe I have heard sufficient information about COVID-19, n (%)						
		Strongly disagree/Disagree	Neutral	Agree/ Strongly agree	Chi-Square *	<i>P-value</i>
Symptoms	Medical	94 (11.2)	87 (10.3)	660 (78.5)	2.99	0.224
	Non-medical	16 (7.8)	27 (13.2)	162 (79.0)		
Prognosis	Medical	159 (18.9)	145 (17.2)	537 (63.9)	55.20	<0.0001
	Non-medical	33 (16.1)	84 (41.0)	88 (42.9)		
Treatment	Medical	305 (36.3)	212 (25.2)	324 (38.5)	4.74	0.094
	Non-medical	88 (42.9)	54 (26.3)	63 (30.7)		
Transmission	Medical	56 (6.7)	60 (7.1)	725 (86.2)	17.88	<0.0001
	Non-medical	24 (11.7)	29 (14.1)	152 (74.1)		
Prevention	Medical	37 (4.4)	55 (6.5)	749 (89.1)	1.88	0.391
	Non-medical	13 (6.3)	16 (7.8)	176 (85.9)		
Knowledge score by field of study, gender and contact with COVID-19 patient						
(Maximum possible 0-7)		Median Knowledge Score		Mann-Whitney U test	<i>P-value</i>	
Field of Study	Medical	6		75060.5	<0.0001	
	Non-Medical	5				
Gender	Female	6		127281	<0.0001	
	Male	5				
Contact with COVID-19	Yes	6		68041	0.011	
	No	5				

516 *df (Degrees of freedom) = 2

517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525
 526
 527
 528
 529

530 **Table 3: Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7) Scores (0-21) during hospital visits, before and after**
 531 **online learning by sociodemographic factors**

GAD-7 score during hospital visits (Medical/dental students) n (%)							
		Minimal (0-4)	Mild (5-9)	Moderate/ Severe (10-21)	Chi-Square	df	P-value*
Gender	Female	296 (50.2)	149 (25.3)	145 (24.6)	19.584	2	≤0.0001
	Male	135 (67.5)	39 (19.5)	26 (13)			
Specialty	Medical	243 (58.1)	95 (22.7)	80 (19.2)	7.535	2	0.023
	Dental	116 (47.5)	64 (26.2)	64 (26.2)			
Contact with COVID-19	No	324 (55.9)	140 (24.1)	116 (20)	18.586	2	≤0.0001
	Yes	44 (38.9)	26 (23)	43 (38.1)			
Rotation type	No Rotation	199 (58.4)	78 (22.9)	64 (18.8)	10.92	3	0.027
	High risk**	18 (66.7)	2 (7.4)	7 (25.9)			
	Low risk	142 (48.3)	79 (26.9)	73 (24.8)			
Clinical Phase of study	Pre-clinical	211 (58.4)	82 (22.7)	68 (18.8)	6.627	2	0.043
	Clinical	148 (49.2)	77 (25.6)	76 (25.2)			
GAD-7 score before online learning (all students) n (%)							
Gender	Female	376 (47.8)	216 (27.5)	194 (24.7)	22.435	2	≤0.0001
	Male	194 (63.8)	58 (19.1)	52 (17.1)			
Field of Study	Medical	484 (55.7)	213 (24.5)	172 (19.8)	24.793	2	≤0.0001
	Non-medical	86 (38.9)	61 (27.6)	74 (33.5)			
GAD-7 score after online learning (all students) n (%)							
Gender	Female	423 (53.8)	196 (24.9)	167 (21.2)	10.788	2	0.005
	Male	197 (64.8)	58 (19.1)	49 (16.1)			
Field of Study	Medical	546 (62.8)	194 (22.3)	129 (14.8)	81.90	2	≤0.0001
	Non-medical	74 (33.5)	60 (27.1)	87 (39.4)			
Change in GAD-7 scores before and after online learning n (%)							
		Before>After	Before=After	Before <After			
Gender	Female	184 (23.4)	479 (60.9)	123 (15.6)	12.224	2	0.0002
	Male	47 (15.5)	219 (72)	38 (12.5)			
Specialty	Medical	109 (18.2)	405 (67.5)	86 (14.3)	36.383	4	≤0.0001
	Dental	77 (28.6)	171 (63.6)	21 (7.8)			
	Non-medical	45 (20.4)	122 (55.2)	54 (24.4)			
Field of Study	Medical	186 (21.4)	576 (66.3)	107 (12.3)	20.994	2	≤0.0001
	Non-medical	45 (20.4)	122 (55.2)	54 (24.4)			
Contact with COVID-19	No	200 (20.9)	612 (64)	144 (15.1)	0.722	2	0.697
	Yes	31 (23.1)	86 (64.2)	17 (12.7)			

532 *Significant at $p < 0.05$ **High risk rotation includes ICU, ER, OR, Isolation wards

533
 534
 535
 536
 537
 538
 539

540

Table 4: Ordinal logistic regression model for factors predicting anxiety GAD-7 score

	n (%)	b	SE(b)	P-Value	OR [95% CI]
<i>GAD-7 score during hospital visits</i>					
Gender Female	492 (74.3)	0.71	0.19	≤0.001	2.02 [1.41-2.91]
Male ^a	170 (25.7)	-	-	-	1
Specialty Medical	418 (63.1)	-0.49	0.16	0.002	0.61 [0.45-0.84]
Dental ^a	244 (36.9)	-	-	-	1
Contact with COVID-19					
No	552 (83.4)	-0.77	0.21	≤0.001	0.46 [0.31- 0.69]
Yes ^a	110 (16.6)	-	-	-	1
In Rotation					
No	341 (51.5)	-0.09	0.22	0.672	0.91 [0.60-1.40]
Yes ^a	321 (48.5)	-	-	-	1
Clinical phase of study					
Pre-clinical	361 (54.5)	-0.04	0.22	0.856	0.96 [0.62-1.47]
Clinical ^a	301(45.5)	-	-	-	1
Knowledge score	662	0.18	0.08	0.024	1.19 [1.02-1.40]
<i>-2Log-Likelihood 424.53 Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square 48.790 (df=6, p-value≤0.001)</i>					
<i>GAD-7 score before online learning</i>					
Gender Female	786 (72.1)	0.61	0.14	≤0.001	1.85 [1.41-2.41]
Male ^a	304 (27.9)	-	-	-	1
Field of study					
Medical	869 (79.7)	-0.87	0.15	≤0.001	0.42 [0.31-0.56]
Non-medical ^a	221 (20.3)	-	-	-	1
Knowledge score	1090	0.18	0.06	0.002	1.20 [1.07-1.34]
<i>-2Log-Likelihood 208.599 Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square 58.130 (df=3, p-value≤0.001)</i>					
<i>GAD score after online learning</i>					
Gender Female	786 (72.1)	0.541	0.141	≤0.001	1.72 [1.30-2.26]
Male ^a	304 (27.9)	-	-	-	1
Field of study					
Medical	869 (79.7)	-1.389	0.152	≤0.001	0.25 [0.189-0.34]
Non-medical ^a	221 (20.3)	-	-	-	1
Knowledge score	1090	0.090	0.058	0.122	1.10 [0.98-1.23]
<i>-2Log-Likelihood 185.344 Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square 95.435 (df=3, p-value≤0.001)</i>					

541 ^a reference group, *b* parameter estimate, *SE* Std Error, *OR* Odds Ration, *CI* Confidence Interval


542

543

544


545

Figure 1: Proportion of Medical & Non-Medical Students Reporting "Very much change" in Hygienic Practices related to COVID-19 outbreak



546
547
548
549

Figure 2: Sources of Information for COVID-19 for Medical and Non-medical Students



550
551
552