

Brain correlates of suicide attempt in 18,925 participants across 18 international cohorts

Adrian I. Campos^{1,2}, Paul M. Thompson³, Dick J. Veltman⁴, Elena Pozzi^{5,6}, Laura S. van Veltzen^{5,6}, Neda Jahanshad³, Mark J. Adams⁷, Bernhard T. Baune^{8,9,10}, Klaus Berger¹¹, Katharina Brosch¹², Robin Bülow¹³, Colm G. Connolly¹⁴, Udo Dannlowski⁸, Christopher G. Davey⁶, Greig I. de Zubicaray¹⁵, Danai Dima^{16,17}, Tracy Erwin-Grabner¹⁸, Jennifer W. Evans¹⁹, Cynthia H.Y. Fu^{20,21}, Ian H. Gotlib²², Roberto Goya-Maldonado¹⁸, Hans J. Grabe^{23,24}, Dominik Grotegerd⁸, Matthew A. Harris⁷, Ben J. Harrison⁶, Sean N. Hatton²⁵, Marco Hermesdorf¹¹, Ian B. Hickie²⁵, Tiffany C. Ho²⁶, Tilo Kircher¹², Axel Krug^{12,27}, Jim Lagopoulos^{25,28}, Hannah Lemke⁸, Katie McMahon²⁹, Frank P. MacMaster^{30,31}, Nicholas G. Martin¹, Andrew M. McIntosh⁷, Sarah E. Medland^{2,32,33}, Susanne Meinert⁸, Tina Meller¹², Igor Nenadic¹², Nils Opel⁸, Ronny Redlich⁸, Liesbeth Reneman³⁴, Jonathan Repple⁸, Matthew D. Sacchet³⁵, Simon Schmitt¹², Anouk Schranter³⁴, Kang Sim^{36,37}, Aditya Singh¹⁸, Frederike Stein¹², Lachlan T. Strike³⁸, Nic J.A. van der Wee^{39,40}, Steven J.A. van der Werff^{39,40}, Henry Völzke⁴¹, Lena Waltemate⁸, Heather C. Whalley⁷, Katharina Wittfeld^{23,24}, Margaret J. Wright^{38,42}, Tony T. Yang²⁶, Carlos A. Zarate¹⁹, Lianne Schmaal^{5,6,*} and Miguel E. Rentería^{1,2,*} for the ENIGMA-MDD working group

1. Genetic Epidemiology Lab, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD, Australia
2. Faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia
3. Imaging Genetics Center, Mark & Mary Stevens Institute for Neuroimaging & Informatics, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA
4. Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Department of Psychiatry, Department of Anatomy & Neurosciences, Amsterdam Neuroscience, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
5. Orygen, The National Centre of Excellence in Youth Mental Health, Parkville, Australia
6. Department of Psychiatry, The University of Melbourne & Melbourne Health, Melbourne, Australia.
7. Division of Psychiatry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
8. University of Münster, Department of Psychiatry, Münster, Germany
9. Department of Psychiatry, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia
10. The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia
11. Institute of Epidemiology and Social Medicine, University of Münster, Münster, Germany
12. Philipps-University Marburg, Department of Psychiatry, Marburg, Germany
13. Institute of Diagnostic Radiology and Neuroradiology, University Medicine Greifswald, Germany
14. Department of Biomedical Sciences, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA
15. Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia
16. Department of Psychology, School of Arts and Social Sciences, City, University of London, Northampton Square, Clerkenwell, London EC1V 0HB, United Kingdom
17. Department of Neuroimaging, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, 16 De Crespigny Park, Camberwell, London SE5 8AF, United Kingdom
18. Laboratory of Systems Neuroscience and Imaging in Psychiatry, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medical Center, Göttingen, Germany
19. Experimental Therapeutics and Pathophysiology Branch, National Institute of Mental Health, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA
20. School of Psychology, University of East London, London, United Kingdom
21. Centre for Affective Disorders, Institute of Psychology, Psychiatry and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, United Kingdom
22. Department of Psychology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA
23. German Center for Neurodegenerative Disease, Site Rostock/Greifswald, Germany
24. Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medicine Greifswald, Germany
25. Brain and Mind Centre, University of Sydney, NSW, Australia
26. Department of Psychiatry & Weill Institute for Neurosciences, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA
27. Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany
28. Thompson Institute, University of the Sunshine Coast, QLD, Australia
29. Herston Imaging Research Facility & School of Clinical Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia

30. Department of Pediatrics and Psychiatry, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada
 31. Strategic Clinical Network for Addictions and Mental Health, Alberta Health Services, Calgary, AB, Canada
 32. Psychiatric Genetics Lab, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD, Australia
 33. School of Psychology, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia
 34. Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, location AMC, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
 35. Center for Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Research, McLean Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Belmont, MA, USA
 36. West Region, Institute of Mental Health, Singapore
 37. Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore
 38. Queensland Brain Institute, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
 39. Department of Psychiatry, Leiden University Medical Center, Albinusdreef 2, 2333 ZA Leiden, The Netherlands
 40. Leiden Institute for Brain and Cognition, Leiden, The Netherlands
 41. Institute for Community Medicine, University Medicine Greifswald, Germany
 42. Centre for Advanced Imaging, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
- * These authors jointly supervised this study.
Correspondence: Miguel E. Rentería (miguel.renteria@qimrberghofer.edu.au)

SUMMARY

Background: Suicidal behavior is highly heterogeneous and complex. A better understanding of its biological substrates and mechanisms could inform the design of more effective suicide prevention and intervention strategies. Neuroimaging studies of suicidality have so far been conducted in small samples, prone to biases and false-positive associations, yielding inconsistent results. The ENIGMA-MDD working group aims to address the issues of poor replicability and comparability by coordinating harmonized analyses across neuroimaging studies of major depressive disorder and related phenotypes, including suicidal behavior.

Methods: Here, we pool data from eighteen international cohorts with neuroimaging and clinical measurements in 18,925 participants (12,477 healthy controls and 6,448 people with depression, of whom 694 had attempted suicide). We compare regional cortical thickness and surface area, and measures of subcortical, lateral ventricular and intracranial volumes between suicide attempters, clinical controls (non-attempters with depression) and healthy controls.

Findings: We identified 25 regions of interest with statistically significant ($FDR < 0.05$) differences between groups. *Post-hoc* examinations identified neuroimaging markers associated with suicide attempt over and above the effects of depression, including smaller volumes of the left and right thalamus and the right pallidum, and lower surface area of the left inferior parietal lobe.

Interpretation: This study addresses the lack of replicability and consistency in several previously published neuroimaging studies of suicide attempt, and further demonstrates the need for well-powered samples and collaborative efforts to avoid reaching biased or misleading conclusions. Our results highlight the potential involvement of the thalamus, a structure viewed historically as a passive gateway in the brain, and the pallidum, a region linked to reward response and positive affect. Future functional and connectivity studies of suicidality may focus on understanding how these regions relate to the neurobiological mechanisms of suicide attempt risk.

INTRODUCTION

Suicide is a leading cause of death worldwide and is a considerable health concern in both developed and developing countries¹. While region and country-specific estimates vary, the global average prevalence for suicide is estimated to be about 10.6 deaths per 100,000². Suicide attempts outnumber actual suicides by twenty to thirty-fold,^{3,4} which further increases the economic and social burden of suicidal behavior⁵.

Suicidal behavior is more common in people living with mental illness⁶⁻⁸. In fact, for a long time, suicidality was conceptualized as a symptom inherent to certain conditions, in particular, major depressive disorder (MDD). However, a recent report from the U.S. showed that while suicide rates are increasing, approximately 54% of suicide decedents in 2015 had no diagnosis of any mental disorder^{9,10}. On the whole, a better understanding of suicidality, in terms of its underlying mechanisms, could help identify individuals at increased risk of engaging in suicidal behaviors and inform better interventions.

Non-invasive neuroimaging technologies, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), allow brain structure and function to be studied *in vivo*.¹¹ The analysis of brain morphometry and neuroanatomical differences, on average, between individuals with mental illness and healthy controls has already proven useful in a range of conditions such as MDD¹², bipolar disorder¹³ and schizophrenia¹⁴. Similar approaches have been used to study suicidality, albeit in small samples. Briefly, several studies have reported lower grey matter volume and cortical thickness in the frontal, prefrontal,¹⁵⁻²³ and temporal^{16-20,24-26} lobes associated with suicidality.

Nonetheless, small samples and heterogeneous analysis methods have led to a lack of replicability and inconsistent results.^{27,28} The ENIGMA-MDD working group aims to address issues of poor replicability and comparability in neuroimaging studies by coordinating harmonized analyses of MDD and related phenotypes, including suicidal behavior. In the most recent meta-analysis of subcortical brain volumes conducted by our working group with a sample size of 3,097, we did not detect any significant morphological differences associated with suicidality independently of depression diagnosis¹¹.

Here we present the largest and most comprehensive neuroimaging study of suicide attempt to date. We perform a pooled mega-analysis of subcortical volumes and regional cortical surface area and thickness, using linear mixed model regressions in a sample of 18,925 subjects from eighteen cohorts from around the world. We aim to shed light on the neural circuits that underlie suicidal behavior by comparing brain morphometry between MDD cases with a history of suicide attempt versus those without, as well as versus healthy controls.

METHODS

Samples

We analyzed pooled data (mega-analysis) across seventeen ENIGMA-MDD working group cohorts with clinical and neuroimaging data available for participants fulfilling MDD criteria²⁹ (N=2,533) and healthy controls (N=4,066), and participants from the UK Biobank (N=12,326). We defined three groups: *suicide attempters* (SA), *clinical controls* (CC), that is, participants with depression and no history of suicide attempt, and *healthy controls* (HC). Descriptive statistics for each sample are listed in **Table 1** and **Supplementary Table S1**. Each cohort assessed depression status and history of a suicide attempt based on available clinical information. In the UK Biobank, lifetime depression status (N=3,633) and lifetime suicide attempt (N=322) were ascertained using the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI). Participants with no history of depression or suicide attempt (N=8,411) were defined as healthy controls. Detailed information on the diagnostic instruments used to determine MDD and suicidality, as well as exclusion criteria, are available in **Supplementary Table S2**. The combined sample comprised 12,477 healthy controls and 6,448 participants with a lifetime depression diagnosis. Within the depression group, 694 participants reported at least one suicide attempt. All sites obtained approval from their local institutional ethics committees and review boards to participate in this study, and all participants provided informed consent at their local recruitment institution.

Image processing and analysis

T1-weighted MRI structural brain scans were acquired and analyzed locally at each site using the validated and automated segmentation software *FreeSurfer*³⁰ (available at <http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/>). Image acquisition parameters and software versions and descriptions are detailed in **Supplementary Table S2**. The segmentation of cortical

and subcortical phenotypes was visually inspected for accuracy following standardized protocols designed to facilitate harmonized image analysis across multiple sites (<http://enigma.ini.usc.edu/protocols/imaging-protocols/>). Within each cohort, histogram plots were generated for each region to examine the distribution of each volumetric variable. Measures were visually verified for accuracy and excluded if they were not properly segmented. Within-cohort outliers (defined as measurement greater than three standard deviations away from the mean) were excluded from the analysis. We examined five *global* brain measures (intracranial volume, total surface area of the left and right hemispheres and mean cortical thickness of the left and right hemispheres), 16 subcortical brain volume measures, and cortical surface area and thickness measures for 68 brain regions of interest as defined by the Desikan-Killiany Atlas.

Ascertainment of suicide attempt history

Suicide is the act of intentionally ending one's life. In this study, a suicide attempt was defined as any self-harm act with the intent to die. Attempt severity was not assessed due to a lack of information in individual studies. A description of how suicidality was measured in each independent site is available in **Supplementary Table S2**. Cohorts also provided (where available) information on i) whether participants have used antidepressants, ii) depression severity, coded either as the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS) score excluding the suicide item, or the number of DSM-IV MDD criteria endorsed (ranging from 0 to 9), iii) age of depression onset and iv) whether depression was recurrent or a single episode.

Statistical analyses

Linear mixed-effects models

Statistical analyses were performed in R v3.6.1 using the statistical package *lme4*. To cope with the relatively low prevalence of suicide attempts within each cohort, we conducted a mega-analysis. Linear mixed-effects models were used to account for site variation (with a random intercept for scan site) while correcting for desired covariates as fixed effects. We modeled each regional measure as an outcome while using an indicator variable per group of interest: healthy controls (HC), MDD patients with no suicide attempt history (clinical controls; CC), and MDD patients with attempt history (SA). All models adjusted data for age and sex, while surface area and volumetric analyses also adjusted for ICV (except when

ICV was the measure of interest). Main effects of groups (i.e., differences between groups of healthy controls, clinical controls, and clinical attempters) were identified by performing a type II analysis of variance (F -test) over the fitted linear mixed-effects model described above. We conducted follow-up (*post-hoc*) analyses to assess whether the effects were driven by suicide attempt over and above MDD status. To this end, regions of interest were compared between suicide attempters and clinical controls, and between suicide attempters and healthy controls. Finally, we conducted sensitivity analyses to assess the effects of severity, recurrence, and age of onset of depression, and history of antidepressant use on the observed associations. To achieve this, we repeated the *post-hoc* analyses of the four regions showing evidence of association with suicidality, including additional covariates one at a time.

Statistical significance definition

We corrected for multiple comparisons using a false discovery rate (FDR) procedure³¹ for each set of morphometry measures separately: subcortical volumes, cortical thickness, and cortical surface area. The significance threshold to define regions of interest (ROI) for *post-hoc* analyses was set at FDR p -value <0.05 . Post-hoc regressions comparing attempters to clinical and healthy controls used a matrix spectral decomposition to identify the number of effective variables^{32,33} coupled with Bonferroni to keep the type I error rate at 5%. In this manuscript, a *significant* result survived multiple testing corrections ($p <$ Bonferroni corrected threshold), whereas a *nominally significant* result was only significant before correction ($p < 0.05$).

RESULTS

Suicide attempt prevalence and sample demographics

Details on the sample size and age and sex composition of each cohort included in this analysis are summarized in **Table 1 and Supplementary Table S1**. Notably, not all cohorts had cases of suicide attempt, but still contributed data to the healthy or clinical control groups. The pooled mean age was 56.22 years old, with a standard deviation of 15.17 years. Differences in age and sex composition across cohorts were detected (**Supplementary Table S1**) and used as covariates for all the analyses. The total sample size comprised 18,925 subjects, of which 3.67% ($N=694$) had at least one past suicide attempt. Furthermore, 30.04% ($N=5,574$) of the total sample was diagnosed with

depression but did not report a previous suicide attempt. Methodological differences (e.g., scanner used or different parameters for the scan) between participating cohorts are listed in **Supplementary Table S2**. We used mixed-effects models (**see Methods**) to account for systematic site differences that could bias observed associations.

Subcortical volumetric measures

The thalamus (right and left), right pallidum, and total ICV exhibited a statistically significant group effect (i.e., any difference between healthy controls, clinical controls, or suicide attempters) after correcting for multiple comparisons. The left pallidum and the right nucleus accumbens showed a nominally significant difference but did not survive correction for multiple comparisons (**Supplementary Table S3**). Depressed attempters exhibited smaller volumes in the left and right thalamus and right pallidum compared to both clinical (Cohen's $d=-0.13$, -0.14 and -0.12 , respectively) and healthy controls (**Figure 1**). Depressed attempters also exhibited smaller ICV compared to healthy controls (Cohen's $d=-0.13$). This association did not reach significance, when comparing attempters and clinical controls, after accounting for multiple testing. None of the regions with a significant group effect showed a significant difference when comparing clinical to healthy controls (**Table 2**).

Cortical surface area

Eight of the 68 cortical regions under analysis displayed a significant group effect ($FDR<0.05$). These regions included the left and right pericalcarine, left and right cuneus, left inferior parietal, left rostral-middle frontal, right lingual, and right fusiform gyri. Depressed attempters exhibited, on average, a smaller surface area of the left cuneus, left inferior parietal, left rostral middle frontal and right pericalcarine regions, compared to healthy controls (**Table 3**). Furthermore, clinical controls exhibited smaller surface areas in the right pericalcarine and right fusiform gyri compared to healthy controls and clinical controls (**Table 3**). The left and right cuneus, left inferior parietal, right pericalcarine, and right lingual also exhibited nominally significant differences between attempters and clinical controls ($p<0.05$, uncorrected). After correcting for *post-hoc* multiple testing, only the left inferior parietal surface area was significantly different between attempters and clinical controls (Cohen's $d=-0.12$; **Figure 2**).

Cortical thickness

Widespread cortical thickness differences between the three groups were observed (**Table 4**). Five of these regions showed a significant difference when comparing attempters to healthy controls, and three out of those five (left fusiform, left insula and left rostral middle frontal) showed nominally significant lower cortical thickness in depressed attempters compared to clinical controls. Only the left rostral middle frontal region displayed a statistically significant difference between attempters and healthy controls (**Table 4**). The left fusiform, and the left insula also showed a nominally significant difference between clinical and healthy controls. Conversely, the left rostral middle frontal did not show a significant difference between clinical and healthy controls. All regions with a nominally significant difference between attempters and clinical controls were in the left hemisphere. After adjusting for multiple testing, none of the cortical thickness differences between attempters and clinical controls reached statistical significance (**Figure 3**).

Sensitivity analyses

Finally, we performed sensitivity analyses to assess the effect of additional covariates on the associations discovered. Namely, we tested whether our results were robust to adjustment for previous antidepressant use, depression severity, age of onset, and recurrence. These analyses had lower statistical power as data on these variables was available in fewer cohorts. Participants with a history of suicide attempt continued to show a smaller volume of the right thalamus ($p < 0.05$) even after adjusting for history antidepressant use, depression severity, age of onset and recurrence (**Supplementary Tables S6-S9**). No other region remained significant after adjusting for any of these variables.

DISCUSSION

The present study addressed the lack of statistical power, which may have resulted in low replicability and consistency in prior neuroimaging studies of suicide attempt. We pooled data across eighteen cohorts from around the world ($n=18,925$). We employed linear mixed models to test the association between history of suicide attempt and brain morphometry phenotypes while accounting for the site to site variation³⁴. Our analyses revealed four regions associated explicitly with suicide attempt, above and beyond the effect of MDD, supporting the hypothesis of suicidality-associated neural differences²⁷. Despite sample size, the relatively few regions identified, and the overall small effect sizes should be taken as a warning for future studies attempting to perform these types of analyses in small

samples. We observed statistically significant volume reductions of the left and right thalamus, right pallidum, and a smaller surface area in the left inferior parietal cortex in depressed participants with a history of suicide attempt compared to both clinical and healthy controls. Prior studies have documented that these regions are associated with suicidal behaviors;^{16,20,35-37} however, the lack of evidence for associations in better-powered studies¹¹ and the lack of consensus in the field²⁷ made it challenging to reach definitive conclusions.

The pallidum has been linked to reward response, social activity mediation, and positive affect.^{38,39} Furthermore, a recent structural MRI study has linked the pallidum to suicidal ideation severity and impulsivity in a small sample of Korean MDD patients⁴⁰. Thus alterations in the pallidum may reflect changes in affect and impulsivity known to be associated with suicidality.^{41,42} The thalamus, historically viewed as a passive gateway linking different brain regions, has been recently proposed to operate as an integrative hub of the brain⁴³. A growing body of evidence suggests the different nuclei within the thalamus are involved in high order cognition⁴⁴. Thalamic abnormalities and lesions have been linked to disorders such as addiction⁴⁵, bipolar disorder,⁴⁶ and schizophrenia.^{47,48} This is consistent with previously reported changes in the expression of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), a protein known to correlate with astrocyte function in the thalamus of subjects that died by suicide compared to matched controls⁴⁹. Therefore, an impaired astrocytic function in the thalamus might mediate suicidality through impaired affect, empathy, and processing of stimuli-response relationships.

Our analyses of cortical regions identified several group differences in thickness and surface area that were associated with suicide attempt but did not survive strict multiple comparisons correction. Specifically, lower surface area in the right pericalcarine, left inferior parietal and left cuneus showed a statistically significant difference between attempters and healthy controls but only a nominally significant difference between attempters and clinical controls. The exception was the left inferior parietal lobe, which survived post-hoc multiple testing correction. As previously reviewed²⁸, the parietal region is part of the executive control network, which exerts control over thought, emotion and behavior, and has been linked to suicide attempt in bipolar disorder⁵⁰.

Conversely, we observed no robust cortical thickness differences associated with a history of suicide attempt. Some regions differed between attempters and healthy controls, but the lack of differences between clinical controls and suicide attempters suggests that these

associations may be driven by depression status, rather than suicidality. The fact that subcortical volume and surface area associations had a stronger association with suicide attempt than with cortical thickness could be of interest in light of genetic analyses that identified substantial differences in the genetic etiology of cortical morphometry phenotypes⁵¹⁻⁵³. For example, surface area measurements were reported to have a higher heritability and be more influenced by early developmental genetic influences compared to cortical thickness,⁵² suggesting that biomarkers associated with surface area are likely established earlier in life. In contrast, cortical thickness phenotypes may be more variable and more susceptible to adverse environmental effects later in life, such as substance use or having a psychiatric condition. Future studies could test this hypothesis through longitudinal analyses of suicidality risk and brain morphometry.

The substantial overlap between depression severity and suicidality (e.g., our Table 1 shows that participants with a past suicide attempt also have higher HDRS and Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) sum scores even after removing the suicide item) makes it hard to differentiate whether an identified statistical effect is driven by depression severity or suicide attempt status. This is also supported by the fact that several cortical associations also displayed an effect when comparing clinical to healthy controls, and that those results did not remain significant after correcting for multiple comparisons in most of our sensitivity analyses that controlled for proxies of depression severity. Overall, effect sizes were smaller when comparing clinical to healthy controls than those when comparing suicide attempters to either control group. That might be due to the previously discussed collinearity between suicidality and depression severity. Many of the most severely depressed cases will be among the depressed suicidal group, thus reducing the strength of any signals associated with depression severity.

Our previous work only assessed subcortical correlates of suicidality and did not show any significant associations in a smaller sample¹¹. Notably, that study identified nominally significant associations of suicidality with a smaller intracranial and thalamic volumes, but the association did not survive multiple testing corrections. Our current intracranial and thalamus volumes results are consistent with our previous observations. In addition to the significantly increased statistical power in the current study, there are significant design differences between our previous study and the current investigation. First, the implementation of a mega-analytical framework instead of a meta-analytical approach

enabled us to include more samples with a relatively small number of suicide attempt cases. Accordingly, we implemented a linear mixed-model framework, which allowed us to adjust for the potential differences across sites by modeling imaging-site as a random effect without significantly compromising statistical power. This represents a substantially more comprehensive study, as it includes cortical measures in addition to subcortical and ventricular volumes. Finally, the previous study focused on any suicidal symptoms (including suicide ideation, suicide planning, and history of suicide attempt) while here we focused solely on suicide attempt; thus, avoiding the heterogeneity arising from defining and including other forms of suicidality.

This study examined cohorts from the ENIGMA-MDD working group and a subset of participants fulfilling MDD diagnosis criteria from the UK Biobank. Therefore, all individuals with a history of suicide attempt also had an MDD diagnosis. A limitation of this approach is that we cannot conclude whether the suicidality associations observed in this study would also be correlated with suicide attempt history in other mental illnesses. Only the right thalamus was associated with suicide attempt above and beyond potential covariates such as depression severity, recurrence, and history of antidepressant use. The observation of the left thalamus, right pallidum, and left inferior parietal cortex surface area no longer showing an association with suicide attempt after correcting for these additional clinical variables was expected for several reasons. First, suicidality is highly collinear with depression severity, including recurrence of depressive episodes (see **Table 1**). Second, the lack of information on these variables in some of the contributing cohorts greatly reduced the sample size for the sensitivity analyses, resulting in reduced statistical power to detect the same small effect sizes that we observed for these brain measures across the whole sample. Future collaborative efforts would benefit from a cross-disorder design comparing suicidality and its correlates across different conditions.

Overall, this international collaboration has yielded valuable insights into the neurobiology of suicide. The number of associations discovered, and their small effect sizes indicates that morphological differences between attempters and non-attempters will likely not be useful for clinical diagnosis or risk stratification. Even so, if several neural circuits underlie suicidality with relatively small effect sizes, the aggregation of them might still be useful for risk stratification. That is beginning to become apparent in the field of genetics, where the liability to complex traits can only be modeled through the integration of hundreds to

thousands of genetic variants, each with a small effect size. If this is the case, increasing the resolution of neuroimaging studies (e.g., to the vertex level) or integrating structural measurements with other modalities (e.g., functional imaging data), will help.

In summary, our results suggest that suicide attempt is associated with volumetric reductions within the thalamus, right pallidum and surface area reductions in the left interior parietal lobe, over and above the effects of depression alone. Our findings suggest that several regions are associated with suicide attempt, albeit with relatively small effect sizes. This study addressed the lack of replicability and consistency in several previously published neuroimaging studies of suicide attempt and further demonstrated the need for well-powered samples and collaborative efforts to avoid reaching biased or misleading conclusions. Future neuroimaging studies of suicidality should focus on: achieving even larger sample sizes, studying brain images at a finer resolution and using other MRI modalities, and investigating the neurological underpinnings of suicidal behavior across individuals with different diagnoses.

FIGURES




Figure 1 Group differences in subcortical volumes

Effect sizes are shown for regions that displayed a statistically significant difference in subcortical volumes between the groups: attempters compared to clinical controls (*left panel*) and attempters compared to healthy controls (*right panel*). No difference between clinical and healthy controls reached statistical significance after correction for multiple comparisons. Significant results are the bilateral thalamus and right pallidum.




Figure 2 Group differences in cortical surface area

Effect sizes are shown for regions that displayed a (top) nominally significant ($p < 0.05$) or (bottom) a statistically significant ($p < 0.00333$ threshold after multiple test correction) post-hoc difference between attempters and healthy controls (left panel), attempters and clinical controls (middle panel) and clinical controls compared to healthy controls (right panel). All of the colored regions showed a statistically significant group effect ($FDR < 0.05$).




Figure 3 Group differences in cortical thickness

Effect sizes are shown for regions that displayed a (top) nominally significant ($p < 0.05$) or (bottom) a statistically significant ($p < 0.001373$ threshold after multiple test correction) post-hoc difference between attempters and healthy controls (left panel), attempters and clinical controls (middle panel) and clinical controls compared to healthy controls (right panel). All of the colored regions showed a statistically significant group effect ($FDR < 0.05$).

TABLES

Table 1. Demographics and clinical measures across studied groups

	HC	CC	SA
Total N (%)	15,269 (71)	5,557 (26)	694 (3)
Females N (%)	7,637 (50)	3,642 (66)	466 (67)
Males N (%)	7,632 (50)	1,915 (34)	228 (33)
Age mean (sd)	57.6 (14.8)	53.2 (15.4)	49.2 (16.3)
BDI mean (sd) ^{‡Ω}	3.6 (4.0)	18 (10.9)	23 (11.8)
HDRS mean (sd) ^{‡Ω}	1.3 (2.0)	11.0 (6.9)	13.8 (6.9)
Depression age of onset [‡]	NA	29.8 (14.3)	23.3 (14.1)
Antidepressant use % [‡]	0.1%	13%	11%
Depression recurrence [‡] %	NA	21%	36%

HC- Healthy controls; CC- Clinical controls; SA - Suicide attempters.

BDI- Beck Depression Inventory; HDRS- Hamilton Depression Rating Scale

[‡]Data available only for a subset of the sample. ^ΩSum-score excluding the suicidality item.

Table 2. Main effects of group and *post hoc* analyses for subcortical volumes association results.

Brain region	FDRp	SA	HC	CC	SAvsCC Cohen's d [p]	SAvsHC Cohen's d [p]	CCvsHC Cohen's d [p]
Left thalamus	0.027	682	12,343	5,500	-0.13 [0.002**]	-0.14 [3.51E-04**]	0.004 [0.792]
Right thalamus	0.007	685	12,338	5,494	-0.14 [0.001**]	-0.16 [4.58E-05**]	0.007 [0.684]
Right pallidum	0.036	686	12,325	5,471	-0.12 [0.004**]	-0.11 [0.004**]	-0.015 [0.349]
ICV	0.035	670	12,104	5,475	0.09 [0.028*]	-0.13 [0.001**]	-0.026 [0.112]

HC- Healthy control sample size; CC- Clinical control sample size; SA - Suicide attempter sample size. Only significant associations (FDR $p < 0.05$) of the main effects of groups are shown. See Supplementary Table S3 for full results. *Nominally significant ($p < 0.05$). **Statistically significant difference between suicide attempters and clinical controls after multiple testing correction ($p < 0.00555$).

Table 3. Main effects of group and *post hoc* analyses for cortical surface area association results.

Gyrus	FDRp	SA	HC	CC	SAvsCC Cohen's d [p]	SAvsHC Cohen's d [p]	CCvsHC Cohen's d [p]
Right pericalcarine	0.005	683	12335	5475	-0.08 [0.044*]	-0.13 [0.001**]	-0.05 [0.001**]
Left inferior parietal	0.017	679	12298	5484	-0.12 [0.002**]	-0.15 [2 .30E-04**]	-0.02 [0.120]
Right fusiform	0.017	674	12257	5473	-0.02 [0.580]	-0.1 [0.013*]	-0.05 [0.001**]
Left rostral middle frontal	0.03	685	12352	5507	-0.06 [0.144]	-0.12 [0.003**]	-0.04 [0.013*]
Right lingual	0.03	686	12388	5503	-0.08 [0.043*]	-0.10 [0.010*]	-0.04 [0.018*]
Left cuneus	0.04	682	12319	5492	-0.10 [0.015*]	-0.13 [0.002**]	-0.02 [0.133]
Left pericalcarine	0.04	683	12367	5500	-0.07 [0.090]	-0.11 [0.008*]	-0.04 [0.019*]
Right cuneus	0.05	682	12318	5465	-0.08 [0.037*]	-0.10 [0.009*]	-0.03 [0.051]

HC- Healthy control sample size; CC- Clinical control sample size; SA- Suicide attempter sample size. Only significant associations (FDR $p < 0.05$) of the main effects of groups are shown. See Supplementary Table S4 for full results. *Nominally significant ($p < 0.05$). **Statistically significant difference between suicide attempters and clinical controls after multiple testing correction ($p < 0.00333$).

Table 4. Main effects of group and post-hoc results for cortical thickness

Gyrus	FDRp	SA	HC	CC	SAvsCC Cohen's d [p]	SAvsH C Cohen' s d [p]	CCvsHC Cohen's d [p]
Left fusiform	0.001	682	12350	5497	-0.081 [0.047*]	-0.148 [1.78E- 04**]	-0.054 [0.001**]
Left pars opercularis	0.001	684	12359	5498	-0.079 [0.052]	-0.151 [1.22E- 04**]	-0.057 [4.00E- 04**]
Left rostral anterior cingulate	0.001	687	12370	5494	0.011 [0.778]	-0.062 [0.112]	-0.066 [5.30E- 05**]
Left insula	0.002	687	12346	5499	-0.098 [0.016*]	-0.135 [0.001**]	-0.047 [0.003*]
Right inferior temporal	0.004	677	12321	5478	-0.070 [0.088]	-0.150 [1.44E- 04**]	-0.043 [0.009*]
Right rostral anterior cingulate	0.004	685	12356	5491	-0.005 [0.906]	-0.054 [0.168]	-0.055 [0.001**]
Right fusiform	0.004	685	12373	5502	-0.009 [0.827]	-0.102 [0.009*]	-0.056 [0.001**]
Right insula	0.004	687	12315	5492	-0.049 [0.225]	-0.111 [0.005*]	-0.046 [0.005*]
Left posterior cingulate	0.007	683	12389	5511	-0.008 [0.836]	-0.056 [0.153]	-0.050 [0.002*]
Left medial orbitofrontal	0.007	687	12338	5493	-0.046 [0.255]	-0.096 [0.014*]	-0.038 [0.019*]

Left inferior temporal	0.007	672	12314	5453	-0.104 [0.011*]	-0.125 [0.002*]	-0.025 [0.131]
Right middle temporal	0.008	684	12357	5483	-0.053 [0.188]	-0.115 [0.003*]	-0.044 [0.006*]
Right superior temporal	0.013	682	12283	5419	-0.053 [0.196]	-0.124 [0.002*]	-0.044 [0.006*]
Right posterior cingulate	0.018	685	12376	5518	-0.019 [0.638]	-0.052 [0.187]	-0.042 [0.010*]
Right medial orbitofrontal	0.02	686	12346	5504	-0.046 [0.254]	-0.078 [0.047*]	-0.038 [0.020*]
Left rostral middle frontal	0.02	682	12347	5497	-0.088 [0.030*]	-0.132 [0.001**]	-0.019 [0.251]
Left superior frontal	0.024	684	12343	5500	-0.088 [0.031*]	-0.120 [0.002*]	-0.018 [0.258]
Left pars triangularis	0.024	685	12349	5503	-0.089 [0.028*]	-0.116 [0.003*]	-0.013 [0.41]
Right superior frontal	0.037	685	12358	5503	-0.047 [0.25]	-0.089 [0.024*]	-0.030 [0.067]
Left pars orbitalis	0.042	686	12365	5505	-0.098 [0.016*]	-0.116 [0.003*]	-0.002 [0.889]
Left caudal middle frontal	0.042	683	12344	5504	-0.122 [0.003*]	-0.116 [0.003*]	0.013 [0.42]
Right isthmus cingulate	0.048	686	12377	5506	0.007 [0.865]	-0.046 [0.241]	-0.036 [0.028*]
Right pars opercularis	0.048	681	12357	5504	-0.033 [0.415]	-0.107 [0.007*]	-0.030 [0.067]

HC- Healthy control sample size; CC- Clinical control sample size; SA - Suicide attempter sample size. Only regions with a statistically significant effect (FDR $p < 0.05$) of group are shown. See Supplementary Table S5 for full results. *Nominally significant ($p < 0.05$). **Statistically significant difference between suicide attempters and clinical controls after multiple testing correction ($p < 0.0014$).

REFERENCES

1. Suicide Data. World Health Organization, 2018. (Accessed 10 December, 2018, at <https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/suicide>.)
2. Suicide mortality rate. WHO, 2018. (Accessed 10 December, 2018, at <http://apps.who.int/gho/data/node.sdg.3-4-viz-2?lang=en>.)
3. Statistics on Suicide in Australia. Lifeline Australia, 2016. (Accessed 02 October, 2018, at <https://www.lifeline.org.au/about-lifeline/lifeline-information/statistics-on-suicide-in-australia>.)
4. Zalsman G, Hawton K, Wasserman D, et al. Suicide prevention strategies revisited: 10-year systematic review. *The Lancet Psychiatry* 2016;3:646-59.
5. Shepard DS, Gurewich D, Lwin AK, Reed Jr GA, Silverman MM. Suicide and suicidal attempts in the United States: costs and policy implications. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior* 2016;46:352-62.
6. Chesney E, Goodwin GM, Fazel S. Risks of all-cause and suicide mortality in mental disorders: a meta-review. *World Psychiatry* 2014;13:153-60.
7. Bertolote JM, Fleischmann A. Suicide and psychiatric diagnosis: a worldwide perspective. *World Psychiatry* 2002;1:181.
8. Rihmer Z. Suicide risk in mood disorders. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry* 2007;20:17-22.
9. Stone DM, Simon TR, Fowler KA, et al. Vital Signs: Trends in State Suicide Rates—United States, 1999–2016 and Circumstances Contributing to Suicide—27 States, 2015. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 2018;67:617.
10. Pompili M. The increase of suicide rates: the need for a paradigm shift. *The Lancet* 2018;392:474-5.
11. Rentería M, Schmaal L, Hibar DP, et al. Subcortical brain structure and suicidal behaviour in major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis from the ENIGMA-MDD working group. *Translational psychiatry* 2017;7:e1116.
12. Schmaal L, Veltman DJ, van Erp TG, et al. Subcortical brain alterations in major depressive disorder: findings from the ENIGMA Major Depressive Disorder working group. *Molecular psychiatry* 2016;21:806.
13. Hibar D, Westlye LT, Doan NT, et al. Cortical abnormalities in bipolar disorder: an MRI analysis of 6503 individuals from the ENIGMA Bipolar Disorder Working Group. *Molecular psychiatry* 2018;23:932.
14. van Erp TG, Walton E, Hibar DP, et al. Cortical brain abnormalities in 4474 individuals with schizophrenia and 5098 controls via the ENIGMA consortium. *Biological Psychiatry* 2018.
15. Wagner G, Koch K, Schachtzabel C, Schultz CC, Sauer H, Schlösser RG. Structural brain alterations in patients with major depressive disorder and high risk for suicide: evidence for a distinct neurobiological entity? *Neuroimage* 2011;54:1607-14.
16. Taylor WD, Boyd B, McQuoid DR, Kudra K, Saleh A, MacFall JR. Widespread white matter but focal gray matter alterations in depressed individuals with thoughts of death. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry* 2015;62:22-8.
17. Gosnell SN, Velasquez KM, Molfese DL, et al. Prefrontal cortex, temporal cortex, and hippocampus volume are affected in suicidal psychiatric patients. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging* 2016;256:50-6.

18. Hwang J-P, Lee T-W, Tsai S-J, et al. Cortical and subcortical abnormalities in late-onset depression with history of suicide attempts investigated with MRI and voxel-based morphometry. *Journal of geriatric psychiatry and neurology* 2010;23:171-84.
19. Besteher B, Wagner G, Koch K, et al. Pronounced prefronto-temporal cortical thinning in schizophrenia: Neuroanatomical correlate of suicidal behavior? *Schizophrenia research* 2016;176:151-7.
20. Giakoumatos CI, Tandon N, Shah J, et al. Are structural brain abnormalities associated with suicidal behavior in patients with psychotic disorders? *Journal of psychiatric research* 2013;47:1389-95.
21. Wagner G, Schultz CC, Koch K, Schachtzabel C, Sauer H, Schlösser RG. Prefrontal cortical thickness in depressed patients with high-risk for suicidal behavior. *Journal of psychiatric research* 2012;46:1449-55.
22. Lijffijt M, Rourke E, Swann A, Zunta-Soares G, Soares J. Illness-course modulates suicidality-related prefrontal gray matter reduction in women with bipolar disorder. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 2014;130:374-87.
23. Rüsç N, Spoletini I, Wilke M, et al. Inferior frontal white matter volume and suicidality in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging* 2008;164:206-14.
24. Aguilar EJ, Garcia-Marti G, Marti-Bonmati L, et al. Left orbitofrontal and superior temporal gyrus structural changes associated to suicidal behavior in patients with schizophrenia. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry* 2008;32:1673-6.
25. Soloff PH, Pruitt P, Sharma M, Radwan J, White R, Diwadkar VA. Structural brain abnormalities and suicidal behavior in borderline personality disorder. *Journal of psychiatric research* 2012;46:516-25.
26. Soloff P, White R, Diwadkar VA. Impulsivity, aggression and brain structure in high and low lethality suicide attempters with borderline personality disorder. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging* 2014;222:131-9.
27. Domínguez-Baleón C, Gutiérrez-Mondragón LF, Campos-González AI, Rentería ME. Neuroimaging studies of suicidal behaviour and non-suicidal self-injury in psychiatric patients: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* 2018;9:500.
28. Schmaal L, van Harmelen A-L, Chatzi V, et al. Imaging suicidal thoughts and behaviors: a comprehensive review of 2 decades of neuroimaging studies. *Molecular psychiatry* 2019:1-20.
29. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5®)*: American Psychiatric Pub; 2013.
30. Fischl B, Salat DH, Busa E, et al. Whole brain segmentation: automated labeling of neuroanatomical structures in the human brain. *Neuron* 2002;33:341-55.
31. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal statistical society: series B (Methodological)* 1995;57:289-300.
32. Nyholt DR. A simple correction for multiple testing for single-nucleotide polymorphisms in linkage disequilibrium with each other. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* 2004;74:765-9.
33. Li J, Ji L. Adjusting multiple testing in multilocus analyses using the eigenvalues of a correlation matrix. *Heredity* 2005;95:221-7.

34. West BT, Welch KB, Galecki AT. Linear Mixed Models : A Practical Guide Using Statistical Software. Philadelphia, PA, USA: Chapman & Hall/CRC Press; 2006.
35. Vang FJ, Ryding E, Träskman-Bendz L, van Westen D, Lindström MB. Size of basal ganglia in suicide attempters, and its association with temperament and serotonin transporter density. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging* 2010;183:177-9.
36. Jia Z, Wang Y, Huang X, et al. Impaired frontothalamic circuitry in suicidal patients with depression revealed by diffusion tensor imaging at 3.0 T. *Journal of Psychiatry & Neuroscience: JPN* 2014;39:170.
37. Chen Z, Zhang H, Jia Z, et al. Magnetization transfer imaging of suicidal patients with major depressive disorder. *Scientific reports* 2015;5:9670.
38. Napier TC, Mickiewicz AL. The role of the ventral pallidum in psychiatric disorders. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2010;35:337.
39. Smith KS, Tindell AJ, Aldridge JW, Berridge KC. Ventral pallidum roles in reward and motivation. *Behavioural Brain Research* 2009;196:155-67.
40. Kim K, Shin J-H, Myung W, et al. Deformities of the Globus pallidus are Associated with severity of suicidal Ideation and Impulsivity in patients with Major Depressive Disorder. *Scientific reports* 2019;9:1-10.
41. Conner KR, Meldrum S, Wieczorek WF, Duberstein PR, Welte JW. The association of irritability and impulsivity with suicidal ideation among 15-to 20-year-old males. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior* 2004;34:363-73.
42. Smith AR, Witte TK, Teale NE, King SL, Bender TW, Joiner TE. Revisiting impulsivity in suicide: Implications for civil liability of third parties. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law* 2008;26:779-97.
43. Hwang K, Bertolero MA, Liu WB, D'esposito M. The human thalamus is an integrative hub for functional brain networks. *Journal of Neuroscience* 2017;37:5594-607.
44. Wolff M, Vann SD. The cognitive thalamus as a gateway to mental representations. *Journal of Neuroscience* 2019;39:3-14.
45. Balleine BW, Morris RW, Leung BK. Thalamocortical integration of instrumental learning and performance and their disintegration in addiction. *Brain Research* 2015;1628:104-16.
46. Biele H, Trübner K, Krell D, et al. Volume deficits of subcortical nuclei in mood disorders. *European archives of psychiatry and clinical neuroscience* 2005;255:401-12.
47. Pinault D. Dysfunctional thalamus-related networks in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 2011;37:238-43.
48. Anticevic A, Cole MW, Repovs G, et al. Characterizing thalamo-cortical disturbances in schizophrenia and bipolar illness. *Cerebral Cortex* 2013;24:3116-30.
49. Torres-Platas S, Nagy C, Wakid M, Turecki G, Mechawar N. Glial fibrillary acidic protein is differentially expressed across cortical and subcortical regions in healthy brains and downregulated in the thalamus and caudate nucleus of depressed suicides. *Molecular psychiatry* 2016;21:509.
50. Benedetti F, Radaelli D, Poletti S, et al. Opposite effects of suicidality and lithium on gray matter volumes in bipolar depression. *Journal of affective disorders* 2011;135:139-47.
51. Elliott LT, Sharp K, Alfaro-Almagro F, et al. Genome-wide association studies of brain imaging phenotypes in UK Biobank. *Nature* 2018;562:210-6.
52. Grasby KL, Jahanshad N, Painter JN, et al. The genetic architecture of the human cerebral cortex. *Science* 2020;367(6484).

53. Hibar DP, Stein JL, Renteria ME, et al. Common genetic variants influence human subcortical brain structures. *Nature* 2015;520:224-9.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work reported here was supported in part by many public and private agencies across the world. **Core** funding for ENIGMA was provided by the NIH Big Data to Knowledge (BD2K) program under consortium grant U54 EB020403. **AFFDIS** was supported by the University Medical Center Göttingen and the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Bundesministerium fuer Bildung und Forschung, BMBF: 01 ZX 1507, “PreNeSt - e:Med”). The **BiDirect** study was supported by grants from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF; grants FKZ-01ER0816 and FKZ-01ER1506). **ETPB** study at NIH is funded by the National Institute of Mental Health Intramural Program. The **FOR2107** study was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) grant Nos Ki588/14-1 and Ki588/14-2 to TK. The **IMH Singapore** cohort was supported by the National Healthcare Group Research Grant (SIG/15012) awarded to KS. The **LOND** study was supported in part by the Biomedical Research Centre, South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. The **Stanford** study was supported in part by the National Institute of Mental Health Grant R37-MH101495 to IHG. The **Melbourne** study was supported by Australia’s National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Project Grants 1064643 (principal investigator, BJH) and 1024570 (principal investigator, CGD). The **QTIM** study was supported by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (R01-HD050735), and the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC 486682, 1009064), Australia (principal investigator MJW). The **Pharmo** study in Amsterdam was supported by faculty resources of the Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, and 11.32050.26 ERA-NET PRIOMEDCHILD FP 6 (EU). The **UCSF** study was funded in part by the National Institute of Mental Health (R01MH085734 and K01MH117442), National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health (NCCIH 1R61AT009864) and the American Foundation for Suicide Prevention (AFSP). The **Edinburgh** group is funded by a Wellcome Trust Strategic Award “Stratifying Resilience and Depression Longitudinally” (STRADL) (Reference 104036/Z/14/Z). The **SHIP** cohort is part of the Community Medicine Research Network of the University Medicine Greifswald, which is supported by the German Federal State of Mecklenburg- West Pomerania. AIC is supported by a UQ Research Training Scholarship from The University of Queensland (UQ). MER thanks the support of the NHMRC and Australian Research Council (ARC) through a Research Fellowship (APP1102821). BJH and CGD were supported by NHMRC Career Development Fellowships (1124472 and 1061757, respectively). SEM thanks funding support from NHMRC grants APP1103623, APP1158127, and APP1172917. TTY is funded in part by NCCIH 1R61AT009864. LS and NJ received support from the National Institute of Mental Health of the National Institutes of Health (USA) under Award Number R01-MH117601.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MER and LS conceived and jointly supervised the study. Authors from all site cohorts were involved in data collection, processing, analysis, and funding for their samples. AIC implemented the analysis pipeline, performed all statistical analyses, and generated the tables and figures. AIC, MER, and LS drafted the first draft of the manuscript with feedback and input from all co-authors. All authors approved the content of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Hans J. Grabe has received travel grants and speakers' honoraria from Fresenius Medical Care, Neuraxpharm, Servier, and Janssen Cilag as well as research funding from Fresenius Medical Care; Carlos A. Zarate is a full-time U.S. government employee. He is listed as a co-inventor on a patent for the use of ketamine and its metabolites in major depression and suicidal ideation. Dr. Zarate has assigned his patent rights to the U.S. government but will share a percentage of any royalties that may be received by the government. NJ and PMT are MPI of a research related grant from Biogen, Inc., for work unrelated to this manuscript.